

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)butan-2-one

Jian-Guo Wang

School of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Jiujiang University, Jiujiang 332005, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jgwang117@163.com


Received 28 April 2011; accepted 7 May 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$ Å; R factor = 0.064; wR factor = 0.173; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.9.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_2$, the substituted benzene ring is inclined at a dihedral angle of $75.9(1)^\circ$ to the almost planar butan-2-one substituent (r.m.s. deviation = 0.02 Å). In the crystal, intermolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into chains along the a axis.

Related literature

For the odour threshold of the title compound, see: Larsen & Poll (1990); Tang (2006). For a related structure, see: Kosjek *et al.* (2003). For the synthesis, see: Smith (1996).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_2$ $M_r = 164.20$ Orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$ $a = 14.0242(13)$ Å $b = 12.4450(12)$ Å $c = 5.2706(5)$ Å $V = 919.88(15)$ Å³ $Z = 4$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.08$ mm⁻¹ $T = 298$ K $0.23 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
5687 measured reflections1797 independent reflections
1678 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.101$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$ $wR(F^2) = 0.173$ $S = 1.06$

1797 reflections

113 parameters

1 restraint

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.21$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.15$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{O1}-\text{H1}\cdots\text{O2}^i$	0.91 (5)	1.97 (5)	2.842 (4)	161 (5)

Symmetry code: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - 1$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The author thanks Professor Xianggao Meng at Hua-Zhong Normal University for the X-ray crystallographic determination and some helpful discussion and theoretical analysis.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SJ5132).

References

- Bruker (1997). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Kosjek, B., Stampfer, W., van Deursen, R., Faber, K. & Kroutil, W. (2003). *Tetrahedron*, **59**, 9517–9521.
- Larsen, M. & Poll, L. (1990). *Z. Lebensm. Unters. Forsch.* **191**, 129–131.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Smith, L. R. (1996). *Chem. Educator*, **1**, 1–18.
- Tang, J. (2006). *Technol. Dev. Chem. Ind.* **35**, 21–23.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o1411 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811017272]

4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)butan-2-one

J.-G. Wang

Comment

The title compound, known as raspberry ketone, was originally extracted from raspberry and possesses the flavour of raspberries (Larsen & Poll 1990). However, the content of raspberry ketone in raspberry is very low (Tang, 2006).

The asymmetric unit of (I) contains one independent molecule (Fig. 1). The bond lengths and angles are normal and similar to those in a related structure (Kosjek *et al.*, 2003; Smith, 1996). The hydroxy substituted C1...C6 benzene ring is inclined at a dihedral angle of 75.9 (1)° from the planar C7...C10(O2) butan-2-one substituent (rms deviation 0.02Å). In the crystal structure, a one-dimensional network structure (Fig. 2) is formed by intermolecular O—H...O hydrogen bonds (Table 1).

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized according to a reported procedure from the corresponding *p*-hydroxybenzaldehyde (Smith, 1996). After recrystallisation from ethanol, the title compound was dissolved in dilute aqueous NaOH. Hydrochloric acid 1:1 (v/v) was slowly added to adjust to pH = 5. The mixture was left for a week after which colourless block-like crystals were obtained.

Refinement

All the carbon-bounded hydrogen atoms were located at their ideal positions with the C—H=0.93 Å, C—H=0.96 Å, C—H=0.97 Å, and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H})=1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. The hydrogen atom bonded to the oxygen atom was located from the difference map and refined with the restraints of O—H = 0.91 (5)Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H})=1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. View of the molecule of (I) showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.




Fig. 2. The crystal packing for (I), with O—H...O interactions shown as dashed lines.

4-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)butan-2-one

Crystal data

$C_{10}H_{12}O_2$	$F(000) = 352$
$M_r = 164.20$	$D_x = 1.186 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Hall symbol: P 2c -2n	Cell parameters from 2580 reflections
$a = 14.0242 (13) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.2\text{--}24.5^\circ$
$b = 12.4450 (12) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 5.2706 (5) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 298 \text{ K}$
$V = 919.88 (15) \text{ \AA}^3$	Block, colourless
$Z = 4$	$0.23 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer	1678 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.101$
graphite	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.0^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.2^\circ$
φ and ω scans	$h = -16 \rightarrow 17$
5687 measured reflections	$k = -12 \rightarrow 15$
1797 independent reflections	$l = -6 \rightarrow 6$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.173$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$S = 1.06$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1055P)^2 + 0.082P]$
1797 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
113 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
1 restraint	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.21 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.15 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.38120 (16)	0.29404 (19)	0.1522 (5)	0.0521 (6)
C2	0.38140 (19)	0.3629 (2)	0.3552 (6)	0.0627 (7)
H2	0.3252	0.3966	0.4048	0.075*
C3	0.46513 (19)	0.3825 (2)	0.4863 (5)	0.0622 (7)
H3	0.4644	0.4300	0.6224	0.075*
C4	0.54959 (17)	0.33317 (19)	0.4198 (5)	0.0532 (6)
C5	0.54753 (17)	0.2641 (2)	0.2135 (6)	0.0604 (7)
H5	0.6035	0.2298	0.1648	0.072*
C6	0.46503 (18)	0.2445 (2)	0.0779 (6)	0.0577 (6)
H6	0.4658	0.1987	-0.0614	0.069*
C7	0.6424 (2)	0.3537 (2)	0.5558 (5)	0.0639 (7)
H7A	0.6750	0.2860	0.5835	0.077*
H7B	0.6293	0.3854	0.7203	0.077*
C8	0.70702 (18)	0.4287 (2)	0.4049 (5)	0.0573 (6)
H8A	0.7130	0.4007	0.2338	0.069*
H8B	0.6764	0.4984	0.3933	0.069*
C9	0.80525 (18)	0.4441 (2)	0.5120 (5)	0.0622 (7)
C10	0.8711 (2)	0.5121 (3)	0.3595 (8)	0.0852 (11)
H10A	0.9327	0.5135	0.4389	0.128*
H10B	0.8462	0.5839	0.3495	0.128*
H10C	0.8768	0.4828	0.1917	0.128*
O1	0.29729 (13)	0.27805 (18)	0.0238 (5)	0.0713 (6)
H1	0.303 (3)	0.230 (4)	-0.105 (10)	0.107*
O2	0.82901 (17)	0.4040 (2)	0.7093 (5)	0.0906 (8)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0400 (11)	0.0505 (12)	0.0657 (15)	-0.0032 (9)	-0.0021 (10)	0.0083 (11)
C2	0.0480 (12)	0.0688 (15)	0.0715 (16)	0.0096 (11)	0.0080 (11)	0.0007 (13)
C3	0.0634 (15)	0.0657 (14)	0.0576 (13)	0.0022 (12)	0.0011 (12)	-0.0075 (12)
C4	0.0509 (12)	0.0542 (12)	0.0544 (13)	-0.0026 (10)	-0.0061 (10)	0.0062 (10)
C5	0.0419 (11)	0.0611 (13)	0.0782 (17)	0.0042 (10)	-0.0035 (11)	-0.0084 (13)
C6	0.0481 (13)	0.0537 (13)	0.0714 (15)	-0.0009 (10)	-0.0056 (11)	-0.0101 (11)
C7	0.0652 (16)	0.0635 (14)	0.0629 (16)	-0.0026 (13)	-0.0180 (13)	0.0059 (12)
C8	0.0547 (14)	0.0560 (13)	0.0612 (14)	-0.0002 (10)	-0.0136 (11)	-0.0033 (12)
C9	0.0554 (13)	0.0494 (12)	0.0818 (18)	0.0059 (11)	-0.0183 (14)	-0.0129 (13)
C10	0.0637 (18)	0.0765 (19)	0.115 (3)	-0.0186 (14)	-0.0073 (17)	-0.014 (2)
O1	0.0410 (9)	0.0783 (13)	0.0947 (15)	-0.0026 (8)	-0.0109 (10)	-0.0017 (12)

supplementary materials

O2 0.0806 (16) 0.0855 (14) 0.1056 (18) -0.0036 (12) -0.0449 (14) 0.0113 (14)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

C1—C2	1.371 (4)	C7—C8	1.525 (4)
C1—O1	1.372 (3)	C7—H7A	0.9700
C1—C6	1.384 (4)	C7—H7B	0.9700
C2—C3	1.384 (4)	C8—C9	1.501 (3)
C2—H2	0.9300	C8—H8A	0.9700
C3—C4	1.379 (4)	C8—H8B	0.9700
C3—H3	0.9300	C9—O2	1.200 (4)
C4—C5	1.386 (4)	C9—C10	1.489 (5)
C4—C7	1.508 (3)	C10—H10A	0.9600
C5—C6	1.382 (4)	C10—H10B	0.9600
C5—H5	0.9300	C10—H10C	0.9600
C6—H6	0.9300	O1—H1	0.91 (5)
C2—C1—O1	118.5 (2)	C8—C7—H7A	109.3
C2—C1—C6	119.8 (2)	C4—C7—H7B	109.3
O1—C1—C6	121.6 (2)	C8—C7—H7B	109.3
C1—C2—C3	120.1 (2)	H7A—C7—H7B	108.0
C1—C2—H2	120.0	C9—C8—C7	115.3 (2)
C3—C2—H2	120.0	C9—C8—H8A	108.5
C4—C3—C2	121.6 (2)	C7—C8—H8A	108.5
C4—C3—H3	119.2	C9—C8—H8B	108.5
C2—C3—H3	119.2	C7—C8—H8B	108.5
C3—C4—C5	117.2 (2)	H8A—C8—H8B	107.5
C3—C4—C7	123.0 (2)	O2—C9—C10	122.1 (3)
C5—C4—C7	119.7 (2)	O2—C9—C8	121.9 (3)
C6—C5—C4	122.2 (2)	C10—C9—C8	116.0 (3)
C6—C5—H5	118.9	C9—C10—H10A	109.5
C4—C5—H5	118.9	C9—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—C6—C1	119.1 (2)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—C6—H6	120.4	C9—C10—H10C	109.5
C1—C6—H6	120.4	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C7—C8	111.6 (2)	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C7—H7A	109.3	C1—O1—H1	113 (3)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-178.8 (3)	C2—C1—C6—C5	1.2 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.3 (4)	O1—C1—C6—C5	179.6 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.7 (4)	C3—C4—C7—C8	-102.6 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.9 (4)	C5—C4—C7—C8	75.6 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C7	179.1 (3)	C4—C7—C8—C9	-173.6 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.0 (4)	C7—C8—C9—O2	-3.5 (4)
C7—C4—C5—C6	-178.3 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C10	176.7 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C1	-1.0 (4)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1—H1 \cdots O2 ⁱ	0.91 (5)	1.97 (5)	2.842 (4)	161 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x-1/2, -y+1/2, z-1$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

