

Effect of non-pharmacological interventions on anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain after orthopedic surgery

A protocol for systematic review and network meta-analysis

Xingquan Zhang, MM^{a,*}, Genxin Zhou, MM^a, Naifei Chen, MM^a, Yonghua Zhang, MM^b, Zenghui Gu, MB^c

Abstract

Background: Patients after orthopedic surgery often experience the pain, anxiety, depression, and sleep disturbances, which can be greatly reduced by non-pharmacologic interventions as alternative therapies. Randomized controlled trials of nonpharmacologic interventions for anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain in patients after orthopedic surgery have been reported, but the results may be conflicting. Evidence to determine the optimal non-pharmacological intervention with a high efficacy is limited. This study aims to assess the effects of non-pharmacologic interventions on the bone anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain in patients after orthopedic surgery have been reported, but the results of assess the effects of non-pharmacologic interventions on the bone anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain in patients after orthopedic surgery through a network meta-analysis, thus providing guidance in clinical application.

Methods: A systematic search of randomized controlled trials reporting the effects of non-pharmacological interventions on anxiety, depression, sleep quality and pain after orthopedic surgery published before October 2021 will be searched in Wanfang, VIP Information Chinese Journal Service Platform, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Chinese BioMedicine Literature Database, Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane, and Web of science. Two reviewers will be independently responsible for study selection, quality appraisal, and data extraction. Stata 14.0 software will be used to perform the network meta-analysis.

Results: The findings of this research will be reported in a recognized journal.

Conclusion: This meta-analysis will provide the stronger evidence for non-pharmacological interventions on alleviating bone anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain in patients after orthopedic surgery, which will help clinicians and decision makers in their choices.

Open Science Framework registration number: DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/2SCBD.

Abbreviations: NMA = network meta-analysis, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, SUCRA = surface under the cumulative ranking curve.

Keywords: network meta-analysis, non-pharmacological interventions, orthopedic surgery, protocol, systematic review

1. Introduction

Patients after orthopedic surgery often experience the pain, anxiety, depression, and sleep disturbance problems.^[1,2] It is reported that orthopedic surgeries like those for joint replacements, extremity fractures, and spinal fusions are associated with

higher levels of pain.^[3,4] Pain can cause negative emotions, such as anxiety, depression, insomnia, and unpleasantness, leading to tachycardia and elevated blood pressure in patients.^[5,6] Pain can lead to cardiovascular, neuroendocrine, gastrointestinal, and other system abnormalities. In addition, it also triggers emotional

Neither ethics approval nor patient informed consent is necessary since this protocol was designed based on the existing literature. The results will be disseminated electronically or in print through publications in peer-reviewed scientific journal.

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Received: 5 October 2021 / Accepted: 14 October 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000027645

This work is supported by the Wenzhou Basic Scientific Research Project in 2018 (Y20180310).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a Orthopedics and Traumatology of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhuji Sixth People's Hospital, Shaoxing Zhuji, Zhejiang, China, ^b Orthopedics and Traumatology of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhejiang Bone Injury Hospital, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, ^c Three Families of Bone, Sandun District of Zhejiang Hospital, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Xingquan Zhang, No. 1, Wenwei Road, Datang Street, Zhuji, Shaoxing, Zhejiang, China, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhuji Sixth People's Hospital, Shaoxing Zhuji 311800, Zhejiang, China (e-mail: zhangxq5019@163.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Zhang X, Zhou G, Chen N, Zhang Y, Gu Z. Effect of non-pharmacological interventions on anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain after orthopedic surgery: A protocol for systematic review and network meta-analysis. Medicine 2021;100:44(e27645).

symptoms like the anxiety and insomnia, which can even aggravate the development of the disease and affect the postoperative recovery.^[7–9] Therefore, how to alleviate the pain, adverse emotions and psychological pressure, as well as improve the sleep quality is of significance to achieve a good outcome in postoperative orthopedic patients.

Currently, conventional clinical interventions include pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions.^[10,11] Compared with pharmacological interventions, non-pharmacological interventions do not increase medical costs, treatment duration, or potential adverse effects.^[12] Non-pharmacologic interventions would not induce drug tolerance or dependence.^[13] Therefore, non-pharmacological interventions are often applied based on clinical need. It is shown that non-pharmacological interventions can effectively relieve cancer pain and chronic pain, regulate paininduced negative emotions like the anxiety and depression, and promote the quality of sleep.^[14–16] Currently, non-pharmacological interventions have been widely used in patients with anxiety, depression, pain, and sleep disorders, which have achieved good outcomes.^[17–22]

In postoperative orthopedic patients, non-pharmacological interventions have been validated effective in improving the quality of sleep, reducing pain, and relieving dysphoria.^[23–26] However, the difference in the efficacy of various non-pharmacological interventions on alleviating the anxiety, depression, sleep disorder, and pain in postoperative orthopedic surgery is unclear, which requires further explorations.

Network meta-analysis (NMA) is advanced from the traditional meta-analysis, which extends from a direct meta-analysis involving 2-group comparison to a simultaneous comparison of a series of treatment factors with each other.^[27] NMA is superior in quantitative analysis and comparison of summarizing different interventions for similar diseases, and ranking the effect of a certain indicator, thus selecting the optimal intervention.^[28] Therefore, NMA will be performed in the present study to evaluate the effects of different non-pharmacological interventions on the anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain after orthopedic surgery, thus providing guidance for clinical application.

2. Methods

2.1. Design and registration

This systematic review and network meta-analysis will evaluate the effectiveness and safety of non-pharmacological interventions on the anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain after orthopedic surgery. This protocol is designed according to the guideline of preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols.^[29] The protocol of this review was registered in Open Science Framework (registration number: DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/2SCBD). The findings of this study will be reported in line with the guideline of preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and network meta-analysis.^[30]

2.2. Ethics

Since the present study is a meta-analysis based on existed researches, formal ethical approval is not required.

2.3. Eligibility criteria

2.3.1. Type of studies. All randomized controlled trials (RCTs) reporting non-pharmacological interventions on the anxiety,

depression, sleep quality, and pain after orthopedic surgery will be included in this study, regardless of blinding method.

2.3.2. *Type of participants.* Postoperative orthopedic patients with 19 years of age and older.

2.3.3. Type of interventions and comparators. RCTs evaluating the effectiveness of non-pharmacological on the anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain after orthopedic surgery.

Non-pharmacological interventions include psychotherapies, music therapy auricular therapy, acupressure, acupuncture therapy, and physical therapy. Studies combining non-pharmacological treatments and pharmacological treatments will be excluded, whereas those with a concomitant use of different nonpharmacological interventions will be included.

The control group will include conventional care, drug therapy, and placebo treatment.

2.3.4. Outcome measures. Any rating scale that describes the anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain.

- Anxiety, including the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) and Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAMA);
- Depression, including the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Self-Rating Depression Scale (SDS), Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), and Edinburg Postpartum Depression Scale (EPDS);
- Sleep, including the Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI), Self-Rating Scale of Sleep (SRSS), Dysfunctional Beliefs and attitudes about Sleep (DBAS), Ford Insomnia Response to Stress Test (FIRST), Insomnia Severity Index (ISI), and Sleep Dysfunction Rating Scale (SDRS);
- Pain, including the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and Numeric Rating Scales (NRS).

2.3.5. Exclusion criteria. We will exclude non-RCT, RCTs without available data and duplicate publication.

2.4. Search strategy

We will systematically search RCTs published in English and Chinese language before October 2021 in Wanfang, VIP Information Chinese Journal Service Platform, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Chinese BioMedicine Literature Database, Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane, and Web of science. In addition, we will also manually review citations in included RCTs. The preliminary search strategy of PubMed was shown in Table 1, which will be adjusted in accordance with specific database.

2.5. Study selection and data extraction

All retrieved articles will be imported into Endnote. Duplicate RCTs will be deleted initially. Two researchers will be independently responsible for study screening and cross-check based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. For controversial literatures, consensus will be reached after discussion by a third researcher. Literature information of eligible RCTs will be extracted by 2 researchers. The following information will be extracted: Study characteristics: title, name of the first author, publication date, literature sources, and quality evaluation. Baseline characteristics of patients: sample size, age, gender, surgery type, and method, procedure, frequency and duration of

Table 1		
Search strategy in PubMed database.		
Number	Search terms	

Number	Search terms
#1	Orthopedics[MeSH]
#2	Orthopedic Surgery[Title/Abstract]
#3	Surgery, Orthopedic[Title/Abstract]
#4	Orthopedic[Title/Abstract]
#5	Orthopedic Surgeries[Title/Abstract]
#6	Surgeries, Orthopedic[Title/Abstract]
#7	OR/1–6
#8	Anxiety[MeSH]
#9	Hypervigilance[Title/Abstract]
#10	Nervousness[Title/Abstract]
#11	Anxieties[Title/Abstract]
#12	Depression[MeSH]
#13	Depressive Symptoms[Title/Abstract]
#14	Emotional Depression[Title/Abstract]
#15	Depression, Emotional[Title/Abstract]
#16	Depressions[Title/Abstract]
#17	Depressions, Emotional[Title/Abstract]
#18	Depressive Symptom[Title/Abstract]
#19	Emotional Depressions[Title/Abstract]
#20	Symptom, Depressive[Title/Abstract]
#21	Symptoms, Depressive[Title/Abstract]
#22	Simploms, Depressive[mue/Abstract] Sleep[MeSH]
#23	Sleep, Slow-Wave[Title/Abstract]
#23 #24	Sleep, Slow Wave[Title/Abstract]
	Slow-Wave Sleep[Title/Abstract]
#25	
#26 #27	Pain[MeSH] Suffering, Physical[Title/Abstract]
	0. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
#28	Ache[Title/Abstract]
#29	Pain, Burning[Title/Abstract]
#30	Pain, Crushing[Title/Abstract]
#31	Pain, Migratory[Title/Abstract]
#32	Pain, Radiating[Title/Abstract]
#33	Pain, Splitting[Title/Abstract]
#34	Aches[Title/Abstract]
#35	Burning Pain[Title/Abstract]
#36	Burning Pains[Title/Abstract]
#37	Crushing Pain[Title/Abstract]
#38	Crushing Pains[Title/Abstract]
#39	Migratory Pain[Title/Abstract]
#40	Migratory Pains[Title/Abstract]
#41	Pains, Burning[Title/Abstract]
#42	Pains, Crushing[Title/Abstract]
#43	Pains, Migratory[Title/Abstract]
#44	Pains, Radiating[Title/Abstract]
#45	Pains, Splitting[Title/Abstract]
#46	Physical Suffering[Title/Abstract]
#47	Physical Sufferings[Title/Abstract]
#48	Radiating Pain[Title/Abstract]
#49	Radiating Pains[Title/Abstract]
#50	Splitting Pain[Title/Abstract]
#51	Splitting Pains[Title/Abstract]
#52	Sufferings, Physical[Title/Abstract]
#53	OR/8-52
#54	Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic[MeSH]
#55	Clinical Trials, Randomized[Title/Abstract]
#56	Controlled Clinical Trials, Randomized[Title/Abstract]
#57	Trials, Randomized Clinical[Title/Abstract]
#58	Random*[Title/Abstract]
#59	OR/54-58
#60	#7 AND #53 AND #59

interventions; Outcomes: Assessment scale and scores before and after intervention. Any disagreements will be resolved through discussion or consultation with a third researcher. The screening flow chart of this study was presented in Figure 1.

2.6. Quality assessment of studies

The quality of RCTs will be assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration risk assessment tool.^[31] The evaluation results will be classified into the high-risk, low-risk, and unclear categories.

2.7. Data synthesis and statistical methods

2.7.1. Pairwise and network meta-analysis. We will conduct the conventional pairwise meta-analysis for direct comparisons. The effect size of continuous variable data will be calculated with the standardized mean difference (SMD) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Statistical analyses will be performed using Stata 14.0 software (STATA Corporation, College Station, TX). The network, mvmeta program package and funnel plots will be depicted to visualize the net relationship between the interventions for comparison, and assess publication bias, respectively. The surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) and probability values will be summarized and reported as SUCRA for each non-pharmacological intervention. SUCRA curves will be described with percentages, with 100% for the best treatment and 0% for the worst.

2.7.2. Assessment of heterogeneity. Before the combination of effect size, the homogeneity will be analyzed by I^2 statistic to check whether the results of individual studies are mergeable. A fixed-effect model will be used to combine the effect size at $I^2 \leq 50\%$; Otherwise, a random-effect model will be used for metaanalysis after excluding the influence of apparent clinical heterogeneity.

2.7.3. Subgroup and sensitivity analysis. The subgroup analysis will be conducted based on different assessment tools and the surgery type. Sensitivity analysis will be performed by a one-by-one elimination method to verify the robustness of the results.

2.7.4. Assessment of inconsistency. Consistency is a basic principle in network meta-analysis, indicating the consistency between direct and indirect comparative results. The node-splitting approach and inconsistency model will be used to test the consistency assumption.^[32]

2.7.5. *Publication bias.* Comparison-adjusted funnel plots will be drawn to evaluate publication bias.^[33]

2.8. Grading the quality of evidence

The evidence quality will be evaluated by 2 independent reviewers using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE), which classifies the quality of evidence as high, medium, low, and very low.^[34]

3. Discussion

Orthopedic patients often experience varying degrees of pain with the gradually regressive anesthesia. In addition, the postoperative pain after orthopedic surgery may also influence the quality of sleep, which in turn causes psychological stress and



affects the recovery.^[17] Therefore, it is very important to relieve the pain to promote effective recovery of postoperative orthopedic patients.^[35]

Western medical treatment commonly uses oral medication for analgesia, although it is featured by limited effects, and potential adverse events.^[36] Non-pharmacological interventions, including psychotherapy, music therapy, auricular therapy, acupressure, acupuncture therapy, and physical therapy are effective in alleviating pain and dysphoria.^[37-40] Many non-pharmacological interventions can be used to improve postoperative orthopedic anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain.^[23-26] However, previous studies have not elucidated which types of nonpharmacologic interventions are the most effective for postoperative orthopedic anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the effects of different nonpharmacological interventions on anxiety, depression, sleep quality, and pain after orthopedic surgery using the NMA method, thus guiding the clinical practice.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Xingquan Zhang. Data collection: Genxin Zhou and Naifei Chen. Funding acquisition: Xingquan Zhang. Resources: Yonghua Zhang. Software: Zenghui Gu. Supervision: Xingquan Zhang. Writing - original draft: Xingquan Zhang. Writing - review & editing: Xingquan Zhang and Genxin Zhou.

References

- [1] Lu K, Barron JO, Israel H, Cannada LK. Sleep disturbances in orthopaedic trauma patients. OTA Int 2019;2:e040.
- [2] Jensen PS, Specht K, Mainz H. Sleep quality among orthopaedic patients in Denmark - a nationwide cross-sectional study. Int J Orthop Trauma Nurs 2021;40:100812.
- [3] Incerpi S, Rizvi SI, Vito PD, Luly P. Intraoperative music reduces perceived pain after total knee arthroplasty: a blinded, prospective,

randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. J Knee Surg 2008;21: 275-8.

- [4] Nelson K, Adamek M, Kleiber C. Relaxation training and postoperative music therapy for adolescents undergoing spinal fusion surgery. Pain Manag Nurs 2017;18:16–23.
- [5] Jabbour HJ, Naccache NM, Jawish RJ, et al. Ketamine and magnesium association reduces morphine consumption after scoliosis surgery: prospective randomised double-blind study. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2014;58:572–9.
- [6] Levaux C, Bonhomme V, Dewandre PY, Brichant JF, Hans P. Effect of intra-operative magnesium sulphate on pain relief and patient comfort after major lumbar orthopaedic surgery. Anaesthesia 2003;58:131–5.
- [7] Beetz G, Herrero Babiloni A, Jodoin M, et al. Current concepts review: relevance of sleep disturbances to orthopaedic surgery: a current concepts narrative and practical review. J Bone Joint Surg 2021;(Epub ahead of print).
- [8] Xu L, Hong L. Influence of pain nursing intervention for pain and sleep of patients after orthopaedic surgery. World J Sleep Med 2019;6:115–7.
- [9] Yan X. Effect of TCM emotional nursing in patients with orthopaedic surgery anxiety status and quality of sleep. J Liaoning Univ Tradit Chin Med 2017;19:204–7.
- [10] Ionescu CG, Popa-Velea O, Mihăilescu AI, Talaşman AA, Bădărău IA. Somatic symptoms and sleep disorders: a literature review of their relationship, comorbidities and treatment. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland) 2021;9:1128.
- [11] Vancampfort D, Firth J, Correll CU, et al. The impact of pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions to improve physical health outcomes in people with schizophrenia: a meta-review of meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. Focus (American Psychiatric Publishing) 2021;19:116–28.
- [12] Wang Y, Luo J, Wang G, Zeng Y. Efficacy of non-pharmacological interventions to relieve pain at injection site caused by intramuscular injection in adults: a network meta-analysis. Chin Evid Based Nurs 7:427–35.
- [13] Domínguez-Solís E, Lima-Serrano M, Lima-Rodríguez JS. Non-pharmacological interventions to reduce anxiety in pregnancy, labour and postpartum: a systematic review. Midwifery 2021;102:103126.
- [14] Namadian M, Taran Z. The effect of sedation protocol on sedation level and pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions on mechanically ventilated patients. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res 2021;26: 316–23.
- [15] Hung CM, Zeng BY, Zeng BS, et al. Cancer-related fatigue: light therapy: updated meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Support Palliat Care 2021;Epub ahead of print.
- [16] Johnson JA, Garland SN, Carlson LE, et al. Bright light therapy improves cancer-related fatigue in cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. J Cancer Survivor Res Pract 2018;12:206–15.
- [17] Beleckas CM, Prather H, Guattery J, Wright M, Calfee RP. Anxiety in the orthopedic patient: using PROMIS to assess mental health. Qual Life Res 2018;27:1–8.
- [18] Kwekkeboom KL, Abbott-Anderson K, Wanta B. Feasibility of a patientcontrolled cognitive-behavioral intervention for pain, fatigue, and sleep disturbance in cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum 2010;37:E151–9.
- [19] Kwekkeboom KL, Abbott-Anderson K, Cherwin C, Roiland R, Serlin RC, Ward SE. Pilot randomized controlled trial of a patient-controlled cognitive-behavioral intervention for the pain, fatigue, and sleep disturbance symptom cluster in cancer. J Pain Symptom Manag 2012; 44:810–22.
- [20] Kwekkeboom K, Zhang Y, Campbell T, et al. Randomized controlled trial of a brief cognitive-behavioral strategies intervention for the pain, fatigue, and sleep disturbance symptom cluster in advanced cancer. Psycho-oncology 2018;27:2761–9.
- [21] Nguyen LT, Alexander K, Yates P. Psychoeducational intervention for symptom management of fatigue, pain, and sleep disturbance cluster

among cancer patients: a pilot quasi-experimental study. J Pain Symptom Manag 2018;55:1459–72.

- [22] Smith CA, Armour M, Lee MS, Wang LQ, Hay PJ. Acupuncture for depression. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018;3:Cd004046.
- [23] Wong EM, Chair SY, Leung DY, Chan SW. Can a brief educational intervention improve sleep and anxiety outcomes for emergency orthopaedic surgical patients? Contemp Nurse 2014;47:132–43.
- [24] Zheng Y, Deng H, Tnag P. Effect of emotional nursing of traditional Chinese medicine on improving the treatment effect and the anxiety state and sleep quality of patients with orthopedic surgery. Med Innov China 2019;16:114–7.
- [25] Mondanaro JF, Homel P, Lonner B, Shepp J, Loewy JV. Music therapy increases comfort and reduces pain in patients recovering from spine surgery. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead, NJ) 2017;46:E13–22.
- [26] Lin PC, Lin ML, Huang LC, Hsu HC, Lin CC. Music therapy for patients receiving spine surgery. J Clin Nurs 2011;20:960–8.
- [27] Higgins JP, Welton NJ. Network meta-analysis: a norm for comparative effectiveness? Lancet (London, England) 2015;386:628–30.
- [28] Giacoppo D, Gargiulo G, Aruta P, Capranzano P, Tamburino C, Capodanno D. Treatment strategies for coronary in-stent restenosis: systematic review and hierarchical Bayesian network meta-analysis of 24 randomised trials and 4880 patients. BMJ (Clin Res ed) 2015;351: h5392.
- [29] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. PRISMA-P GroupPreferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;350:g7647.
- [30] Hutton B, Salanti G, Caldwell DM, et al. The PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of health care interventions: checklist and explanations. Ann Intern Med 2015;162:777–84.
- [31] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ (Clin Res ed) 2011;343:d5928.
- [32] Dias S, Welton NJ, Caldwell DM, Ades AE. Checking consistency in mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis. Stat Med 2010;29:932–44.
- [33] Mavridis D, Giannatsi M, Cipriani A, Salanti G. A primer on network meta-analysis with emphasis on mental health. Evid Based Mental Health 2015;18:40–6.
- [34] Xiao Z, Wang C, Zhou M, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of Aidi injection plus paclitaxel-based chemotherapy for advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis of 31 randomized controlled trials following the PRISMA guidelines. J Ethnopharmacol 2019;228: 110–22.
- [35] Bai C, Lei Y, Mo L, Zou J, Jinyan. Research progress of auricular acupressure in improving pain, anxiety and sleep quality of orthopedic patients. Chin Gen Pract Nurs 2021;19:2492–5.
- [36] Bao Z, Landers M. Non-pharmacological interventions for pain management in patients with dementia: a mixed-methods systematic review. J Clin Nurs 2021;Epub ahead of print.
- [37] Warth M, Zöller J, Köhler F, Aguilar-Raab C, Kessler J, Ditzen B. Psychosocial interventions for pain management in advanced cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Curr Oncol Rep 2020;22:3.
- [38] Weingarten SJ, Levy AT, Berghella V. The effect of music on anxiety in women undergoing cesarean delivery: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021;3:100435.
- [39] Krøll LS, Callesen HE, Carlsen LN, et al. Manual joint mobilisation techniques, supervised physical activity, psychological treatment, acupuncture and patient education for patients with tension-type headache. A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Head Pain 2021;22:96.
- [40] López-Liria R, Torres-Álamo L, Vega-Ramírez FA, et al. Efficacy of physiotherapy treatment in primary dysmenorrhea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:7832.