



Recent Advances in the Research on the Anticyanobacterial Effects and Biodegradation Mechanisms of *Microcystis aeruginosa* with Microorganisms

Yun Kong ^{1,2,3,*}, Yue Wang ¹, Lihong Miao ⁴, Shuhong Mo ², Jiake Li ² and Xing Zheng ²

- ¹ College of Resources and Environment, Yangtze University, Wuhan 430100, China; 2021720590@yangtzeu.edu.cn
- ² State Key Laboratory of Eco-Hydraulics in Northwest Arid Region, Xi'an University of Technology, Xi'an 710048, China; moshuhong@xaut.edu.cn (S.M.); xaut_ljk@163.com (J.L.); xingzheng@xaut.edu.cn (X.Z.)
- ³ Key Laboratory of Water Pollution Control and Environmental Safety of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310058, China
- ⁴ School of Biology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Wuhan Polytechnic University, Wuhan 430023, China; miaowhpu@126.com
- * Correspondence: ky020241@hotmail.com; Tel./Fax: +86-27-69111182

Abstract: Harmful algal blooms (HABs) have attracted great attention around the world due to the numerous negative effects such as algal organic matters and cyanobacterial toxins in drinking water treatments. As an economic and environmentally friendly technology, microorganisms have been widely used for pollution control and remediation, especially in the inhibition/biodegradation of the toxic cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* in eutrophic water; moreover, some certain anticyanobacterial microorganisms can degrade microcystins at the same time. Therefore, this review aims to provide information regarding the current status of *M. aeruginosa* inhibition/biodegradation microorganisms and the acute toxicities of anticyanobacterial substances secreted by microorganisms. Based on the available literature, the anticyanobacterial modes and mechanisms, as well as the in situ application of anticyanobacterial microorganisms are elucidated in this review. This review aims to enhance understanding the anticyanobacterial microorganisms and provides a rational approach towards the future applications.

Keywords: *Microcystis aeruginosa;* microorganisms; biodegradation; anticyanobacterial modes; harmful cyanobacterial blooms

1. Introduction

Harmful cyanobacterial blooms (HCBs) caused by cyanobacteria (including *Microcystis, Anabaena, Nodularia, Oscillatoria*, and so on) have become a common occurrence in freshwater worldwide [1,2]. Among the blooming cyanobacteria, *Microcystis aeruginosa* is one of the most common and widespread species [3]; specifically, it is known to be a representative species due to the dominant production of microcystins [4,5]. The rapid and excessive growth of *M. aeruginosa* is harmful to drinking water treatments and aquatic ecosystems due to the release of algal organic matters and cyanobacterial toxins [6,7]. As a result, the control of HCBs in water sources is a matter of great urgency.

Many approaches have been adopted for *M. aeruginosa* removal over the past few decades [8]. Physical methods including mechanical salvage, physical aeration, and ultrasonic treatment are usually high cost and take a long time; chemical methods such as chemical oxidants are highly efficient and low-cost methods for controlling HCBs within a short time [9]. However, chemicals may lead to a secondary contamination that may lead to potential threats to the aquatic ecosystem [10,11]. Compared with the physical and chemical



Review

Citation: Kong, Y.; Wang, Y.; Miao, L.; Mo, S.; Li, J.; Zheng, X. Recent Advances in the Research on the Anticyanobacterial Effects and Biodegradation Mechanisms of *Microcystis aeruginosa* with Microorganisms. *Microorganisms* 2022, 10, 1136. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/microorganisms10061136

Academic Editors: Leda Giannuzzi and Marcelo Pablo Hernando

Received: 5 May 2022 Accepted: 29 May 2022 Published: 31 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). methods, biological approaches such as plant allelopathy, aquatic animals and anticyanobacterial microorganisms are considered to be an economic and environmentally friendly way for cyanobacteria inhibition/biodegradation [2,10,12]. Among these methods, anticyanobacterial microorganisms are used as efficient biological agents *M. aeruginosa* [13]; furthermore, the microcystins can be biodegraded by certain anticyanobacterial microorganisms at the same time [6,14,15].

Up to now, several review articles have been published to introduce the anticyanobacterial microorganisms including bacteria, viruses, and fungi [2,10,13,16,17]. However, the previous reviews have concentrated mainly on both the freshwater and marine cyanobacterial/algal species or diatoms. While few studies have focused on elimination and degradation of the toxic cyanobacterium *M. aeruginosa* by bacteria and fungi. Moreover, the important role of anticyanobacterial microorganisms on the key genes expression and the anticyanobacterial activities regulated by quorum sensing (QS) system hasn't been mentioned. In order to clarify the current situation of anticyanobacterial microorganisms for *M. aeruginosa* control, the available literature on the bacteria and fungi (studies that focused on bacteriophages against *Microcystis* spp. are not included in this review) are adapted to review the current progress. In this review, anticyanobacterial substances and their acute toxicities (the half maximal effective concentration, EC_{50}), anticyanobacterial modes and mechanisms, as well as in situ application of anticyanobacterial microorganisms are elucidated. This review will enhance understanding the anticyanobacterial microorganisms and provide a rational attitude towards future application

2. Anticyanobacterial Effects for M. aeruginosa

2.1. Anticyanobacterial Microorganisms

Over the past few decades, the isolation and identification of microorganisms with anticyanobacterial effects have attracted extensive concern. Based on the literature, a variety of anticyanobacterial microorganisms have been isolated from the natural environment, and most of them belong to the anticyanobacteria and anticyanobacterial fungi.

2.1.1. Anticyanobacteria

The high diversity of anticyanobacteria reported in the literatures is summarized in Table 1. There are more than 50 genera belonging mainly to Proteobacteria, Actinomycetes, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Thermus. Proteobacteria, which is divided into five parts, is one of the most widespread and extensively studied bacteria in the microbiology field, and it is well known to effectively biodegrade cyanobacteria and diatoms in eutrophic environments [2,10]. The majority have been identified as members of *Pseudomonas* [18,19], *Aeromonas* [20,21], *Acinetobacter* [22], *Raoultella* [23], *Brevundimonas* [24], *Ochrobactrum* [25], *Halobacillus* [26], *Shewanella* [27], *Citrobacter* [28], *Stenotrophomonas* [29], *Serratia* [30] and *Hahella* [31] genera belonging to the γ-Proteobacteria class.

According to the microbial taxonomy, anticyanobacterial Actinomycetes can be classified into four major categories: *Streptomyces* sp. [32,33], *Rhodococcus* sp. [34], *Microbacterium* sp. [35] and *Arthrobacter* sp. [14]. *Streptomyces* is the most common anticyanobacterial Actinomycetes in HCBs control. A previous study confirmed that *S. grisovariabilis* NT0401 shows a high anticyanobacterial activity against *M. aeruginosa* by secreting active substances [36], and the anticyanobacterial substances of amino acids (L-lysine and L-valine) [3,37], tryptamine [38] and triterpenoid saponin [35] from Actinomycetes have been identified. In addition to Actinomycetes, many other Bacteroidetes are also highly efficient at inhibiting the growth of *M. aeruginosa*, such as *Aquimarina* sp. [39], *Chryseobacterium* sp. [40,41], *Aureispira* sp. [42] and *Pedobacter* sp. [43]. Although the Bacteroidetes group has been reported to inhibit cyanobacteria, diatoms and green algae [2,10], there is no publication on the inhibition of *M. aeruginosa* by *Flavobacterium* sp. or *Cellulomonas* sp.

It is shown in Table 1 that the largest number of anticyanobacterial Firmicutes are the *Bacillus* group, accounting for 77.3% of the total number of Firmicutes, while the remaining strains are from the genera *Exiguobacterium* [44,45] and *Staphylococcus* [35].

Li et al., (2015) revealed that *Bacillus* sp. Lzh-5 releases anticyanobacterial substances to attack *M. aeruginosa*, *M. viridis*, *Chroococcus* sp., and *Oscillatoria* sp. [46]; *B. licheniformis* Sp34 can also effectively destroy the cell membrane of *M. aeruginosa* and inhibit the synthesis of microcystins [47]; moreover, the simultaneous application of *Bacillus* sp. T4 and toxindegrading bacteria could eliminate both *Microcystis* sp. and microcystins [48]. These results demonstrate that *Bacillus* not only inhibits the growth of *M. aeruginosa* [49,50], but also inhibits the expression of microcystins synthesis gene *mcyB* [47,51] and degrades the cyanobacterial toxins [48]. Obviously, *Bacillus* has a potential application for HCBs control.

There is only one strain of *Deinococcus metallilatus* MA1002 attached to Thermus that has been reported to inhibit *M. aeruginosa* [52]. The bacterium *Deinococcus* sp. also shows an anticyanobacterial effect on the toxic dinoflagellate *Alexandrium tamarense* [53]. Except for the genera mentioned above, other genera connected with anticyanobacterial or flocculation activities also exist, including *Citrobacter* sp. [28,54] and *Sphingopyxis* sp. [55]. The above anticyanobacteria can destroy the *M. aeruginosa* cells by causing cell membrane damage, and oxidative stress and by inhibiting the gene expression from a wide range of temperatures (-20 to 121 °C) and pH (3 to 11) [5,32,33]. Not only that, the photosynthesis system of *M. aeruginosa* is also reduced [56]. To summarize, the anticyanobacteria can effectively inhibit the growth of *M. aeruginosa*, and cause an inhibition effect at a low concentration.

	Strain Name	Target Cyanobacterium	Initial Cyanobacterial Cell Density (cells mL ⁻¹)	Dosage (v/v)	Duration Time	Inhibition Rate/Removal Efficiency	Anticyanobacterial Modes	References
	Brevibacillus laterosporus Bl-zj	M. aeruginosa FACHB- 905	1.0×10^{7}	1.0×10^{7} **	3 d (4 d)	72.36% (92.30%)	NA	[57]
	Brevundimonas sp. AA06	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$2.0 imes10^9$	NA	4 d	70%	NA	[24]
α-Proteobacteria	Ochrobactrum sp. FDT5	M. aeruginosa	$2.0{\sim}6.0 imes10^6$	$4.0 imes 10^7 **$	5 d	58.9%	indirect attack	[25]
	Stappia sp. F2	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$2.5 imes10^6$	10%	7 d	94.9%	indirect attack	[35]
	Rhizobium sp. AQ_MP	M. aeruginosa	NA	9%	10 d	100%	NA	[58]
	Alcaligenes denitrificans	M. aeruginosa NIES 298	$2 imes 10^5$	0.7%	4 d	96.4%	direct contact	[59]
	Alcaligenes sp. H3	wild cyanobacterium	NA	20%	4 d	93%	indirect attack	[60]
β-Proteobacteria	Paucibacter aquatile DH15	NA	$1.0 imes 10^6$	NA	36h	94.9%	combination of direct and indirect attacks	[61]
	Advanabactor opp. I.C.1	M. aeruginosa CAAT 2005-3	1.0 105	10106**	7.4	$29.0 \pm 1.8{\sim}55.0 \pm 3.8\%$	- NA	[42]
	Achromoducier spp. LG1	M. aeruginosa 24A	1.0×10^{5}	1.0×10^{6} **	7 d	$25.3 \pm 2.2 \text{~~} 48.3 \pm 5.5\%$		[02]
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$437\pm21~^{*}$	5% (10%)	7 d	81.21% (83.84%)	NA	[18]
	P. aeruginosa ACB3	M. aeruginosa FACHB-912	- $0.55 \sim 1.13 \times 10^6$	$1.0 imes 10^7 **$	6.4	96.5%	— NA —	[63]
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-924			ou	82.6%		[00]
	P. aeruginosa UCBPP-PA14	M. aeruginosa NIES 298	- - 1.0 × 10 ⁵			$82.4\pm2.4\%$		
		M. aeruginosa NIES 44				$75.0\pm2.7\%$		
		M. aeruginosa NIER 101		$1.0 imes 10^5 **$	10 d	$69.0\pm3.7\%$		[64]
		M. aeruginosa NIER 100001				$67.0\pm4.2\%$		
	P. grimontii A01	— M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	1.0	109/		91.81%	NA	[65]
	P. grimontii A14		$1.0 \times 10^{\circ}$	10 %	7 d	78.25%	NA	
a. Brotochestorie	P. putida CH-22	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$5.3 imes10^6$	15%	7 d	98.8%	indirect attack	[19]
y-rroteobacteria	Pseudomonas sp. K44-1	M. aeruginosa NIES 299	NA	NA	NA	NA	indirect attack	[66]
	P. syringae KACC10292 ^T		_			96%	indirect attack	
	Aeromonas bestiarum HYD0802-MK36	– M. aeruginosa NIES 298	$1.1 imes 10^{5}$	10%	10 d	91%	direct attack	- [20]
	Aeromonas sp. FM	M. aeruginosa	NA	5% (10%)	9 d	70.7% (88.1%)	indirect attack	[67]
	Assessment as an EM	M. aeruginosa FACHB 927	$1.4 imes 10^7$	2.1 × 109 **	4 d	up to 85%	NA	[(0]
	Aeromonus sp. FM	M. aeruginosa FACHB 975	$5.88 imes 10^6$	- 2.1 × 10	7 d	91.2%	NA	- [68]
		M. aeruginosa 9110	7	10/		96.5±1.1%		[(0]
	Aeromonas sp. GLY-2107	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	$1.0 imes 10^7$	1%	6 d	88.9±1.9%	 indirect attack 	[69]
	A	M. aeruginosa UTEX LB 2385	co tob	059/	- 1	$88 \pm 1.2\%$	in diment attach	[21]
	Aeromonas sp. L23	M. aeruginosa NHSB	$6.0 imes 10^{\circ}$	25%	5 d	$94\pm2.6\%$	indirect attack	[21]
	Aeromonas sp.	NA	NA	8%	5 d	95%	indirect attack	[70]

Tabl	e 1.	Cont.
1401	~	<i>COivv·</i>

	Strain Name	Target Cyanobacterium	Initial Cyanobacterial Cell Density (cells mL ⁻¹)	Dosage (v/v)	Duration Time	Inhibition Rate/Removal Efficiency	Anticyanobacterial Modes	References
	Acinetobacter sp. J25	NA	NA	10%	24 d	87.86%	NA	[71]
	Acinetobacter sp. CMDB-2	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$1.0 imes 10^6$	5%	3 d	87.5%	indirect attack	[22]
	A. guillouiae A2	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$\sim 1.0 \times 10^6$	10%	7 d	91.6%	indirect attack	[72]
	Raoultella sp. R11	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	NA	15% (30%)	6 d	57.63% (93.58%)	NA	[73]
	R. planticola	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	NA	4% (8%)	9 d (3 d)	nearly 60% (83%)	indirect attack	[70]
	R. ornithinolytica S1	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	NA	5%	3 d	96.2%	indirect attack	[23]
		M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	2.0 107	5%	24h	90% (93 \pm 1%)		[26]
	Halobaculus sp. H9	M. aeruginosa TAIHU98	$2.0 \times 10^{\circ}$			$87\pm2\%$	- indirect attack	[20]
		M. aeruginosa 9110	1.0107	10%	6 d	$92.3\pm6.8\%$	in dimentant attenda	[27]
	Shewanella sp. Lzh-2	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	-1.0×10^{7}			$84.9\pm3.8\%$	 indirect attack 	[27]
γ-Proteobacteria	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia 15	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	400 *	NA	16 d	~80%	indirect attack	[74]
	Hahella sp. KA22	M. aeruginosa FACHB-1752	NA	0.01 ***	3 d	60%	indirect attack	[31]
	Citrobacter sp. R1	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$1.0 imes10^7$	16.7%	3 d	$81.6\pm2.2\%$	NA	[28]
	Citrobacter sp. AzoR-1	M. aeruginosa	$1.0 imes 10^7$	NA	NA	~95%	indirect attack	[54]
	Enterobacter sp. NP23	M. aeruginosa	$1.0 imes 10^8$	$1.0 imes 10^8$ **	20 d	~70%	NA	[75]
	Shigella sp. H3	wild cyanobacterium	NA	20%	10 d	76%	direct attack	[60]
		M. aeruginosa TH1	$-3.0 imes 10^{6}$	5%		72.4% (79.0%)	indirect attack	
	Serratia marcescens LTH-2	M. aeruginosa TH1			2 d (3 d)	70.0% (74.6%)		[76]
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-905				84.3% (87.7%)	_	
	S. marcescens BWL1001	M. aeruginosa	NA	NA	2 d	91.1%	indirect attack	[30]
	Aquimarina salinaria	M. aeruginosa MTY01	$1.0 imes 10^5$	10%	3 d (6 d)	80% (100%)	indirect attack	[39,77]
	Chryseobacterium sp.	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$6.0 imes10^6$	10%	3 d	up to 80%	direct attack	[40]
	Chryseobacterium sp. H2	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	NA	10%	7 d	85.3%	NA	[78]
	Chryseobacterium sp. GLY-1106	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes10^7$	NA	6 d	98.9%	indirect attack	[41]
Bacteroidetes	Chryseobacterium sp. S7	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	718 *	28.5%	7 d	59.37%	indirect attack	[79]
	Aureispira sp. CCB-QB1	M. aeruginosa NISE 102	NA	NA	3min	75.39%	indirect attack	[42]
	Pedobacter sp. Mal 11-5	M. aeruginosa NIES 843	NA	6.7%	2 d (10 d)	exceeded 50% (75~85%)	NA	[43]

Table 1. Co

	Strain Name	Target Cyanobacterium	Initial Cyanobacterial Cell Density (cells mL ⁻¹)	Dosage (v/v)	Duration Time	Inhibition Rate/Removal Efficiency	Anticyanobacterial Modes	References
	CI I NTTO 401	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806		50/	- 1			[2(]
	Streptomyces sp. N10401	M. aeruginosa XW01	- NA	5%	5 d	up to 85%	indirect attack	[30]
	Streptomyces sp. L74	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$1.0 imes 10^6$	10%	4 d	$71.48 \pm 5.33\%$	indirect attack	[33]
	S. neyagawaensis	M. aeruginosa NIES 298	NA	NA	7 d	84.5%	NA	[80]
	S. rameus KKU-A3	M. aeruginosa KKU-13	NA	10%	7 d	81.56%	NA	[81]
	S. aurantiogriseus PK1	M. aeruginosa KKU-13	$\sim 1.5 imes 10^6$	5%	8 d	~83.3%	indirect attack	[82]
	Streptomyces sp. KY-34	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	354.3 ± 13.8 *	3% (10%)	8 d	81.2% (99.0%)	indirect attack	[56]
	Streptomyces sp. HJC-D1	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	637.5 ± 32.1 *	5% (10%)	5 d	$88.4 \pm 2.8\%~(91.8 \pm 1.2\%)$	indirect attack	[32]
		M. aeruginosa NIES 44				$95.1\pm1.6\%$		
Actinomycetes	S. globisporus G9	M. aeruginosa NIES 90	 300 ± 60 *	5%	5 d	88.8 ± 3.7%	direct attack	
		M. aeruginosa NIES 843				$94.6\pm1.4\%$		[83]
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-905				$84.9\pm0.3\%$		
		M. aeruginosa PCC 7806				$86.5\pm2.1\%$		
	S. amritsarensis	M. aeruginosa NIES 44	500 ± 100 *			$81.4 \pm 0.57\%$ (80.7 \pm 0.87%)	NA	
		M. aeruginosa NIES 90		5%		$51.3 \pm 7.83\%$ (80.9 \pm 6.49%)		
		M. aeruginosa NIES 843			5 d (10 d)	$74.6 \pm 0.00\%$ (89.8 \pm 2.89%)		[5]
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-905				$85.4 \pm 2.21\%~(98.8 \pm 1.05\%)$		
		M. aeruginosa DCM4	-			$83.2\pm0.00\%~(96.6\pm4.79\%)$		
	S. jiujiangensis JXJ 0074	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$5.0 imes10^6$	10%	8 d	$90.50 \pm 1.08\%$	indirect attack	[84]
	Streptomyces sp. U3	M. aeruginosa PCC 1752	NA	5%	3 d	36.22%	indirect attack	[85]
		M. aeruginosa NIES 843				97%	— indirect attack —	
		M. aeruginosa UTEX 2388				94%		[34]
	Rhodococcus sp. KWR2	M. aeruginosa KW	- 1.72 × 10 ⁶	2% (filtrate)	5 d	79%		
		M. aeruginosa Mi 0601	-			75%		
	Microbacterium sp. F3	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$2.5 imes10^6$	10%	7 d	84.8%	indirect attack	[35]
	Arthrobacter sp.	M. aeruginosa	$2.0 imes10^6$	9%	10 d	32.3 ±13.8%	NA	[14]

Table 1. Cont.

	Strain Name	Target Cyanobacterium	Initial Cyanobacterial Cell Density (cells mL ⁻¹)	Dosage (v/v)	Duration Time	Inhibition Rate/Removal Efficiency	Anticyanobacterial Modes	References
	Bacillus subtilis C1	M. aeruginosa	1000 *	1%	2 d	85%	NA	[86]
	B. fusiformis B5	M. aeruginosa	412.3 *	3.6×10^{7} **	7 d	nearly 90%	indirect attack	[87]
		M. aeruginosa 9110	,		6 d	$92.51 \pm 2.79\%$	- indirect attack	[00]
	Bacillus sp. 551107	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	$1.0 \times 10^{\circ}$	10%		$91.65 \pm 1.00\%$		[66]
	Bacillus sp. AF-1	M. aeruginosa NIES 843	$1.6 imes 10^3$	2%	3 d (6 d)	77% (93%)	indirect attack	[51]
	Bacillus sp. Lzh-5	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes 10^7$	10%	6 d	$91.2\pm6.3\%$	indirect attack	[46]
	Bacillus sp. T4	M. aeruginosa KW	$1.0 imes10^6$	5%	3 d	~100%	indirect attack	[48]
		M. aeruginosa DCM3			5 d (10 d)	$69.4 \pm 0.67~(97.1 \pm 0.86\%)$	indirect attack	
	B. licheniformis Sp34	M. aeruginosa DCM4	$1.35 imes 10^5$	5%	5 d (10 d)	$60.8 \pm 1.63~(82.4 \pm 2.09)$		[47]
		M. aeruginosa NIES 843			5 d (10 d)	78.7 \pm 5.94% (97.1 \pm 0.86%)	-	
	B. cereus DC22	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$1.0 imes 10^8$	10%	4 d (7 d)	$74.89 \pm 2.23\%~(78.45 \pm 0.68\%)$	NA	[89]
	Bacillus mycoides B16	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	$\sim 1.0 \times 10^{6}$	NA	6 d	97%	NA	[90]
	Bacillus methylotrophicus ZJU	M. aeruginosa	$1.0 imes10^7$	16.7%	3 d	$89\pm0.5\%$	indirect attack	[50]
Firmicutes	Bacillus sp. Mal 11-2	Manual NIEC 942	NT 4	< F 0/	10 d	up to 60%	— NIA	[42]
	Bacillus sp. Mal 11-10	IVI. ueruginosa INIES 843	NA	6.7%	10 d	55~64%	- NA	[43]
	B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42	M. gomeniussa NIEC 942	1.0 106	NT A	7 1	98.78%	NA	[01]
	B. amyloliquefaciens CH03	Ivi. uer uginosu INIES 645	$1.0 \times 10^{\circ}$	NA	7 d	94.39%	NA	- [91]
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	-	10%		100%	indirect attack	
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-1023			5 d	62.52%		
	Bacillus sp. B50	M. aeruginosa NIES 843	NA			100%		[92,93]
		M. aeruginosa PCC 7806				66.90%		
		M. aeruginosa CHAB-439				71.08%		
		M. aeruginosa CHAB-456				60.33%		
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-905		5%	6 d	99.4%	_	[49,94]
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-907		2%	4 d	$76.9\pm3.1\%$	_	
	B. amyloliquefaciens T1	M. aeruginosa FACHB-908	$1.0 imes10^6$	2%	4 d	$78.2\pm2.2\%$	indirect attack	[40]
		M. aeruginosa FACHB-912		2%	4 d	$72.9\pm3.0\%$	_	[47]
		M. aeruginosa PCC 7806		2%	4 d	$85.1\pm1.8\%$		
	B. methylotrophicus ZJU	M. aeruginosa	$1.0 imes 10^7$	16.7%	3 d	$89.0\pm0.5\%$	NA	[50]
	Damihacillus on SI 72	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	NA	5%	- 71	$83.97 \pm 1.60\%$	- in dimont attack	[95]
	Fuentouculus sp. 5J-73	M. aeruginosa TH1701	NA	5% (10%)	7 d	92.10% (94.38%)	indirect attack	[95]
	Exiguobacterium sp. h10	M. aeruginosa PCC 7820	NA	5%	2 d (6 d)	43.4% (73.6%)	indirect attack	[44]

Table L. Com	Гab	le	1. (Con	ıt.
--------------	-----	----	------	-----	-----

	Strain Name	Target Cyanobacterium	Initial Cyanobacterial Cell Density (cells mL ⁻¹)	Dosage (v/v)	Duration Time	Inhibition Rate/Removal Efficiency	Anticyanobacterial Modes	References
	Exiguobacterium sp. A27	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	$1.0 imes 10^7$	1.00/	2.1	$64.4\pm10.3\%$		[0/]
		M. aeruginosa 9110	NA	- 10%	2 d	$58.3\pm8.2\%$	 indirect attack 	[96]
Firmicutes	Exiguobacterium indicum EI9	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$4.4 imes10^7$	1.1×10^8 **	NA	NA	NA	[45]
	Staphylococcus sp. F1	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$2.5 imes10^6$	10%	7 d	96.0%	indirect attack	[35]
Thermus	Deinococcus metallilatus MA1002	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	$6.0 imes 10^{6}$	10%	3 d	up to 80%	indirect attack	[52]
Ascomycota	Trichoderma citrinoviride	M. aeruginosa	$3.2 imes10^4$	10%	2 d	100%	NA	[6]
	Aspergillus niger 7806F3	M. aeruginosa PCC 7820	$5.0 imes10^6$	10%	4 d	up to 80%	indirect attack	[15]
	Penicillium chrysogenum	M. aeruginosa	NA	3.85%	6 d	69.56%	indirect attack	[97]
	Aureobasidium pullulans KKUY070	M. aeruginosa DRCK1	$5.0 imes 10^4$	1.2×10^{6} **	1 d (3 d)	84% (100%)	NA	[98]
	Lopharia spadicea	M. aeruginosa FACHB-912	798 ± 13 *	NA	39h	100%	NA	[99]
	Phanerochaete chrysosporium	M. aeruginosa	about 1.57×10^7	500 ***	NA	$88.6\pm0.52\%$	NA	[100,101]
	Irpex lacteus T2b		646.25±19.11 *		30h	96.82%		[102]
	Trametes hirsuta T24	- M. garuginoca PCC 7806	705.19±15.45 *	- 	39h	60.19%	- -	[102]
Basidiomycetes	T. versicolor F21a	- Ivi. ueruginosa FCC 7806	701.33±13.50 *	5%	30h	100%	- direct attack	[102.103]
	Bjerkandera adusta T1		656.28±26.78 *	-	39h	98.35%	_	[,]
	Phellinus noxius HN-1	M. aeruginosa NIES 843	656.28 ± 26.78 *	NA	NA	NA	NA	[104]
	Trichaptum abietinum	M. aeruginosa FACHB-918	750 *	NA	2 d	100%	direct attack	[105]
	1302BG	M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	1300 *	NA	36h	100%		[100]

NA means the date is not available, not mentioned, or unclear. An asterisk (*) stands for the Chl *a* concentration, μ g L⁻¹; Two asterisks (**) represent the cell concentrations of anti-cyanobacterial microorganisms, cfu mL⁻¹; Three asterisks (***) represent the dry cell weight concentrations of the anti-cyanobacterial microorganisms, mg L⁻¹.

2.1.2. Anticyanobacterial Fungi

Compared with the studies of anticyanobacteria, the research and application of fungi for eliminating or inhibiting *M. aeruginosa* cells has not received much attention until 2010 [105,106]. Only Ascomycetes and Basidiomycetes have been found to have the anticyanobacterial effects against M. aeruginosa. It has been reported that the fungus Trichaptum abietinum 1302BG can eliminate four cyanobacteria directly including M. aeruginosa FACH-918 and M. aeruginosa PCC 7806 in 48 h [106]. Some other fungi such as Trichoderma citrinoviride [6], Penicillium chrysogenum [97], Aureobasidium pullulans KKUY070 [98], Lopharia spadicea [99], Phanerochaete chrysosporium [100,101], Irpex lacteus T2b [102], Trametes versicolor F21a [107] and Bjerkandera adusta T1 [103] also show good inhibitory activities against M. aeruginosa. It has been stated that T. citrinoviride and A. pullulans have highly specific anticyanobacterial effects towards *Microcystis* spp. while they have an insignificant effects on the green algae or diatoms [6,98]; furthermore, the biodegradation of *M. aeruginosa* cells may be due to the excretion of the lytic enzyme (N- β -acetylglucosaminidas) [98], which can degrade the peptidoglycan from the cyanobacterial cell wall. The extracellular enzymes of cellulase, β -glucosidase, protease, and laccase from *T. versicolor* F21a have also been proven to be responsible for the degradation of *Microcystis* spp. [107,108].

On the contrary, the *M. aeruginosa* cells are damaged in a short time under the treatment of *T. abietinum* 1302BG, *I. lacteus* T2b or *T. hirsuta* T24, and the anticyanobacterial process occurs "cell to cell" through the following steps: (1) the fungus comes into physical contact with the surface of the cyanobacterial cells; (2) cyanobacterial cells are encompassed with mycelia, which destroy the cyanobacterial cell wall and membrane; and (3) the nucleic acids and other substances of cyanobacteria cells are released [17]. Fungi have the natural ability to destroy *Microcystis* cells by secreting anticyanobacterial substances or through "cell to cell" contact. Apart from the growth inhibition and cell lysis of *M. aeruginosa*, some fungi are able to remove microcystins [6,98,106], and the removal mechanism is related to the adsorption/biodegradation of fungus or the inhibition expression of microcystins synthesis gene [15].

2.2. Anticyanobacterial Substances

The metabolic activities of microorganisms are diverse, some of the secretory substances have anticyanobacterial or algicidal activities. However, due to the complexity of separation and purification, only part of the anticyanobacterial substances have been identified [2,10]. On the basis of the relative literatures and types of compounds, the isolated substances can be classified into five major categories: alkaloids, protein/amino acids, fatty acid/cyclic peptides/peptide derivates, enzymes and others (Table 2). The alkaloids are not only secreted by bacteria such as *Aeromonas* sp. [67,69], *Pseudomonas* sp. [66], Bacillus sp. [88,91] and Streptomyces sp. [38,84], but are also produced by the fungus Phellinus sp. [104]. For example, the anticyanobacterial compound isolated from A. guil*louiae* A2 has been identified as 4-hydroxyphenethylamine ($C_8H_{11}NO$), with the EC_{50.72h} of 22.5 ± 1.9 mg L⁻¹ in 72 h [72]; the prodigiosin can be produced by both *S. marcescens* LTH-2 and Hahella sp. KA22, while it shows higher anticyanobacterial effect against M. aeruginosa FACHB 905 (EC_{50,72h} of 0.16 mg L⁻¹) compared to *M. aeruginosa* FACHB-1752 (EC_{50,72h} of 5.87 mg L^{-1}) [31,109], demonstrating the different EC₅₀ of prodigiosin is probably related to the cyanobacteria species. For the cyclic peptides, the hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione (cyclo[Gly-Pro]) can also be secreted by *Stenotrophomonas* sp. [29], *Bacillus* sp. [46] and Shewanella sp. [27], the EC_{50,24h} against M. aeruginosa 9110 is from 5.7 to 5.9 mg L⁻¹.

The diketopiperazine substances produced by bacteria have been recognized as having anticyanobacterial activities for *M. aeruginosa*. The EC_{50,24h} value of cyclo(4-OH-Pro-Leu) (7-hydroxy-3-isobutyl-hexahydro-pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione) and cyclo(Pro-Leu) (hexahydro-3-(2-methylpropyl)-pyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione) isolated from *Chryseobacterium* sp. GLY-1106 against *M. aeruginosa* is 1.26 and 2.70 mg L⁻¹, respectively [41]. Another diketopiperazine 3-benzyl-piperazine-2,5-dione (cyclo[Gly-Phe]) was firstly reported by Guo et al., (2016) [69], who showed that cyclo(Gly-Phe) has weaker anticyanobacterial activ-

ity (EC_{50,24h} of 4.72 mg L⁻¹) compared with cyclo(Pro-Phe) (EC_{50,24h} of 1.85 mg L⁻¹) [88]. Diketopiperazine substances with similar structures often exhibit distinct biological properties. After short-term exposure to *M. aeruginosa*, cyclo(4-OH-Pro-Leu) interrupts the flux of electron transport in the photosynthetic system and cyclo(Pro-Leu) inhibits the antioxidant enzyme activities of *M. aeruginosa* [41], whereas 3-isopropyl-hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione (cyclo[Pro-Val]) causes significant damage to cyanobacterial cell membranes [46].

Previous studies have indicated that amino acids have powerful anticyanobacterial effects against *Microcystis* spp. at concentrations between 0.6 and 5.0 mg L⁻¹ [11,110,111], and the inhibition effect of L-lysine against *Microcystis* sp. is remarkable [110]. Moreover, the eutrophic lake with the dominant species of cyanobacterium *M. aeruginosa* is selectively controlled by lysine [111]. The amino acids and proteins have commonly been identified and reported as the anticyanobacterial substances for *M. aeruginosa*. Two amino acids (L-lysine and L-phenylalanine) are purified from *B. amyloliquefaciens* T1 that have an inhibition effect against *M. aeruginosa* FACHB-905 [94]; the L-valine, which shows a better anticyanobacterial activity than L-lysine, is also isolated from *S. jiujiangensis* JXJ 0074 [37]. It is interesting that the anticyanobacterial efficiency of tryptamine and tryptoline on *M. aeruginosa* FACHB-905 is $80 \pm 1\%$ and $100 \pm 2\%$, respectively, but the growth of *M. aeruginosa* is recovered as tryptamine (tryptoline) and is completely used or degraded by microorganisms [38]. Therefore, the persistence of amino acids should be further considered when they are used for eutrophication control [112].

	Anticyanobacterial Substances	Strain Name	Target Cyanobacterium	Initial Cyanobacterial Cell Density (cells mL ⁻¹)	EC_{50} (mg L ⁻¹)	References
	Harmane (1-methyl-β-carboline)	Pseudomonas sp. K44-1	M. aeruginosa NIES 299	NA	NA	[66]
			M. aeruginosa TH1		0.048 ± 0.004 (24 h)	
	modiciosin	S. marcescens LTH-2	M. aeruginosa TH2	$3.0 imes10^6$	0.089 ± 0.011 (24 h)	[76,109]
	$(C_{20}H_{25}N_3O)$		M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	-	0.25 (24 h)/0.16 (72 h)	
		Hahella sp. KA22 M. aeruginosa FACHB-1752		NA	5.87 (72 h)	[31]
	-	S. marcescens BWL1001	M. aeruginosa	NA	NA	[30]
	2-(3, 4-dihydroxy2-methoxyphenyl)-1, 3-benzodioxole-5-carbaldehyde	Phellinus noxius HN-1	M. aeruginosa NIES 843	656.28 ± 26.78 *	20.6 (72 h)	[104]
	3, 4-dihydroxybenzalacetone(C ₁₀ H ₁₀ O ₃)		0		5.1 (72 h)	
Alkaloids	Bacilysin (L-alanyl-[2,3-epoxycyclohexanone-4]-L-alanine)	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42	M. aeruginosa NIES 843	$1.0 imes10^6$	4.13 (96h)	[91]
	tryptamine $(C_{10}H_{12}N_2)$	Ctrantamuses aurocidious IVI 0080	NA	NA	3.00 ± 0.09 (72 h)	[28]
	Tryptoline $(C_{11}H_{12}N_2)$	Streptomytes eurocuteus JAJ-0089	NA	na Na	2.54 ± 0.05 (72 h)	[30]
	3-methylindole	Aeromonas sp. GLY-2107	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes 10^7$	1.10 (24 h)	[69]
	indole-3-carboxaldehyde	Bacillus sp. S51107	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes10^6$	6.55 (24 h)	[88]
	2'-deoxyadenosine (C ₁₀ H ₁₃ N ₅ O ₃)	Streptomyces jiujiangensis [X] 0074	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	5.0×10^{6}	6.42 (72 h)	[84]
	adenosine		0		53.75 (72 h)	
	2, 3-indolinedione	Shewanella sp. Lzh-2	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes 10^7$	12.5	[27]
	4-hydroxyphenethylamine (C ₈ H ₁₁ NO)	Acinetobacter guillouiae A2	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$\sim 1.0 imes 10^{6}$	22.5 ± 1.9 (72 h)	[72]
	cyclo(Gly-Pro)	Stenotrophomonas sp. F6	M. aeruginosa 9110	NA	5.9 (24 h)	[29]
	cyclo(Pro-Phe)	Bacillus sp. S51107	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes10^6$	1.85 (24 h)	[88]
	cyclo(4-OH-Pro-Leu) (C ₁₁ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₃)			4.0 4.07	1.26 (24 h)	[41]
	cyclo(Pro-Leu) (C ₁₁ H ₁₈ N ₂ O ₂)	Chryseobacterium sp. GLY-1106	M. aerugmosa 9110	$1.0 \times 10^{\prime}$	2.70 (24 h)	[41]
Fatty acid/Cyclic peptides/peptide	Cyclo(Gly-Pro)	D 11 I I 5	14 . 0110	7	5.7 (24 h)	
derivates	Cyclo(Pro-Val)	Bacillus sp. Lzh-5	M. aeruginosa 9110	1.0 imes 10'	19.4 (24 h)	[46]
	cyclo(Gly-Pro)	Shewanella sp. Lzh-2	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes10^7$	5.7 (24 h)	[27]
	cyclo(Gly-Phe)	Aeromonas sp. GLY-2107	M. aeruginosa 9110	$1.0 imes 10^7$	4.72 (24 h)	[69]
	trans-3-indoleacrylic acid				NA	
	DL-pipecolic acid	Rhodococcus sp. p52	M. aeruginosa	$7.3 imes10^6$	NA	[113]
	L-pyroglutamic acid				NA	

Table 2. Anticyanobacterial substances and their EC₅₀ on *M. aeruginosa*.

Table 2. Cont.

	Anticyanobacterial Substances	Strain Name	Target Cyanobacterium	Initial Cyanobacterial Cell Density (cells mL ⁻¹)	EC ₅₀ (mg L ⁻¹)	References
	fusaricidins	Paenibacillus polymyxa E681	M. aeruginosa KW	$2.37\pm0.15\times\!10^7$	NA	[3]
		Raoultella planticola				[=0]
Protein/Amino acids	protein	Aeromonas sp.	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	NA	NA	[70]
	L-lysine and L-phenylalanine	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens T1	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$1.0 imes 10^6$	NA	[94]
	L-valine	Streptomyces jiujiangensis JXJ 0074	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$5.0 imes 10^6$	NA	[37]
			M. aeruginosa NIES 112			
	L-lysine	Streptomyces phaeofaciens S-9	M. aeruginosa NIES 298	– NA	NA	[114]
_	lysine	Aeromonas sp. FM	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	NA	NA	[115]
	enzyme	Streptomyces neyagawaensis	M. aeruginosa NIES 298	NA	NA	[80]
Enzymes	L-amino acid oxidase	Aquimarina spongiae	M. aeruginosa MTY01	NA	NA	[77]
	microcystinase A	Sphingopyxis sp. C1	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$3.75 imes10^6$	NA	[55]
			M. aeruginosa PCC 7806	_	NA	
	active flocculating substance	Halobacillus sp. H9	M. aeruginosa TAIHU98	$-$ 2.0 \times 10 ⁷		[26]
	clavulanate	Aeromonas sp. FM	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	NA	NA	[115]
	biosurfactant	Bacillus subtilis C1	M. aeruginosa	1000 *	NA	[86]
Others —	lumichrome	Aeromonas veronii A134	M. aeruginosa MGK	NA	NA	[116]
	triterpenoid saponin (C ₄₂ H ₇₀ O ₁₃₎	Streptomyces sp. L74	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	1×10^{6}	NA	[33]
	hydroquinone	Stenotrophomonas sp. F6	M. aeruginosa 9110	NA	0.96 (24 h)	[29]
	nanaomycin A methyl ester	Streptomyces hebeiensis YIM 001 ^T	M. aeruginosa FACHB-905	$\sim 1.0 imes 10^6$	2.97 (72 h)	[117]

NA means the date is not available, not mentioned or unclear; An asterisk (*) stands for the Chl *a* concentration, $\mu g L^{-1}$.

3. Anticyanobacterial Modes and Mechanisms

3.1. Anticyanobacterial Modes

In general, the anticyanobacterial modes by microorganisms are divided into direct attack (bacterial and cyanobacterial cell contact) and indirect attack (the release of anticyanobacterial substances) (Figure 1) [10,32,72,118]. To date, although anticyanobacteria can directly kill several different kinds of cyanobacteria, only few has been reported. A wide range of cyanobacteria including *M. aeruginosa*, *M. wesenbergii*, *M. viridis*, *Anabaena flos-aquae*, *Oscillatoria tenuis*, *Nostoc punctiforme* and *Spirulina maxima* are lysed by *B. cereus* DC22 with the direct attack mode, as well as chlorophyceae (*Chlorella ellipsoidea* and *Selenastrum capricornutum*) [89]. In addition to *B. cereus*, other anticyanobacteria that destroy *M. aeruginosa* with direct attack have also been reported. For example, the anticyanobacterial modes of *Aeromonas bestiarum* HYD0802-MK36 [20], *Chryseobacterium* sp. [40], *Streptomyces globisporus* G9 [83], *Alcaligenes denitrificans* [59], and *Shigella* sp. H3 [60] on *M. aeruginosa* are regarded as direct attack, and a number of cyst-like cells are formed in cyanobacteria during the direct attack [10]. It is speculated that the cyanobacterial cell walls are partially destroyed at the contact point with the anticyanobacteria, and the formation of cyst-like cells is a potential defense system against anticyanobacteria [2,10].



Figure 1. Anticyanobacterial modes of microorganisms against M. aeruginosa.

The indirect attack mode has been observed in the numerous metabolites from most of the reported anticyanobacterial microorganisms, and the anticyanobacterial characteristics of these bacteria seem to be unique to M. aeruginosa. Up to now, the genus Acinetobacter [22,72,119] and Exiguobacterium [44,45,96], which firstly attach to M. aeruginosa and then cause serious damage to the cyanobacterial cell structure and morphology, are recognized as degrading *M. aeruginosa* by producing anticyanobacterial substances. Nevertheless, some anticyanobacteria can inhibit or kill green alga and cyanobacteria with an indirect attack simultaneously. For instance, B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 can efficiently eliminate M. aeruginosa, Anabaena sp., A. flos-aquae and Nostoc sp. by secreting bacilysin [91]. In line with this genus, *B. amyloliquefaciens* T1 produces amino acids to inhibit the growth of four Microcystis spp., but not of Anabaena flos-aquae or Chlorella pyrenoidosa [49,94]; S. amritsarensis HG-16 kills A. flos-aquae, Phormidium sp. and five Microcystis spp. by secreting active substances, but has a small inhibitory effect on C. vulgaris and a promoting effect on Oscillatoria sp. [5]. Along with this, the anticyanobacterial modes of Aquimarina salinaria on green algae and cyanobacterium, which is a direct attack on C. vulgaris 211-31 and an indirect attack on *M. aeruginosa* MTY01, is quite different [39]. Furthermore, a recent study firstly demonstrated that Paucibacter aquatile DH15 inhibits M. aeruginosa by both direct and indirect attacks [61], which would be interesting and could shed further light on the anticyanobacterial modes by microorganisms.

3.2. Anticyanobacterial Mechanisms

Currently, the anticyanobacterial mechanisms of microorganisms against *M. aeruginosa* are mainly dependeent on the attack modes, and these mechanisms are revealed with the changes in the photosynthesis system, antioxidant enzymes system, gene expression and QS system (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Anticyanobacterial mechanisms of microorganisms against M. aeruginosa.

3.2.1. Effects of Anticyanobacterial Microorganisms on Photosynthesis

Photosynthesis, which converts solar energy into chemical energy through the photosynthesis system (PS) II and PS I, is the principal mode of energy metabolism in cyanobacteria [120]. Anticyanobacterial microorganisms can significantly affect the photosynthesis of *M. aeruginosa* cells in several ways, including decreasing the chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) contents and photosynthetic pigments [56], and the disruption of the electron transport pathway in PS [23,93]. Chl a is one of the important components of cyanobacterial pigments. It is markedly decreased in M. aeruginosa under the exposure of anticyanobacteria such as P. aeruginosa [18,63], Streptomyces sp. [33,36], Exiguobacterium sp. [44,45], and so on. For the photosynthetic pigments, phycocyanobilin (PC), allophycocyanin (APC) and phycoerythrin (PE) are major indicators of cyanobacterial photosynthetic efficiency and are essential apparatus for light harvesting [61], and the addition of anticyanobacterium results in a significant decrease in the PC, APC and PE by disrupting the synthesis of an photosynthetic pigments [56]. In addition, the expressions of *pcA* and *apcA* genes for PC and APC synthesis in *M. aeruginosa* are down-regulated by *Paucibacter aquatile* DH15, which shows an inhibition effect on active chlorophyll [61]. It has been noted that the Chl a decrease is closely related to the reduction in photosynthetic pigments, and the cyanobacterial membrane is sensitive and easily damaged by anticyanobacterium [56].

The variations of cyanobacterial energy kinetics have also been evaluated by Chl fluorescence parameters, such as the maximum photochemical quantum yield of PS II (Fv/Fm), the effective quantum yield (Φ e), and the maximum electron transport rate (ETRmax) [41,95]. With the addition of fermentation filtrate (5%, v/v) of *Paenibacillus* sp. SJ-73, the Fv/Fm values of *M. aeruginosa* PCC7806 and *M. aeruginosa* TH1701 dramatically decline from 0.52 and 0.29 to 0 [95]; similarly, it is only 0.08 (14.3% of the initial value) for *M. aeruginosa* FACHB-905 after being treated for 24 h by the fermentation filtrate (5%, v/v) of *Raoultella* sp. S1 [23]. Besides, the Φ e and ETRmax of *M. aeruginosa* 9110 following the treatment of *Chryseobacterium* sp. GLY-1106 decrease gradually with time [41]; the ETRmax values of *M. aeruginosa* are also depressed significantly under the stress of *Raoultella* sp.

S1 [23] and *Bacillus* sp. B50 [93]. The decreases in Fv/Fm, Φ e and ETRmax demonstrate that the photosynthetic system is seriously damaged and the electron transport chain is blocked, resulting in the inhibition of cyanobacterial cell photosynthesis [55]. In consequence, the possible mechanism underlying the photosynthetic reduction could be due to the reduction in Fv/Fm, Φ e and ETRmax in *M. aeruginosa*.

3.2.2. Effects of Anticyanobacterial Microorganisms on Antioxidant Enzymes System

The oxidative damage of the cyanobacterial cells can occur under different environmental stress conditions, and it will results in an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS), which includes the superoxide anion radical, hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals [51,61]; while excess ROS often leads to oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation, and DNA damage [56,121]. The enzymatic antioxidants (such as catalase (CAT), superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), and so on) and non-enzymatic antioxidants (such as ascorbic acid (AsA) and glutathione (GSH)) are responsible for removing the overproduction of ROS [2,31,41]. For instance, *Streptomyces eurocidicus* JXJ-0089 inhibits the growth of cyanobacterial cells in various ways, including promoting ROS production (e.g., $O_2 \bullet^-$), inhibiting the antioxidant synthesis, removing chlorophyll and destroying cell walls [38].

The ROS of cyanobacteria increases excessively by either the direct attack or indirect attack of anticyanobacterial microorganisms. The $O_2 \bullet^-$ content in *M. aeruginosa* cells is induced largely by 4 μ g mL⁻¹ 3, 4-dihydroxybenzalacetone (DBL) secreted from *Phellinus noxius* HN-1 and increased from 0.360 ± 0.001 to $0.400 \pm 0.001 \ \mu g \ g^{-3}$ [104]. The ROS level of M. aeruginosa NIES 843 treated with Bacillus sp. AF-1 (cell-free filtrate) was lower than that of the control at the first 48 h but much higher at 72 h, indicating that some evasive mechanisms were taken to prevent the ROS accumulation in cyanobacterial cells at the initial stage [51]. Similar variations of ROS have been observed in *M. aeruginosa* KW after being treated with Paucibacter aquatile DH15, and the malondialdehyde (MDA) content and SOD activity related to remove ROS also increased at first and then decreased [61]; The MDA content, CAT and POD activity of M. aeruginosa FACHB-905 also increased quickly when fermentation liquid (5%, v/v) of P. aeruginosa [18] and P. chrysosporium was added quickly [101]; moreover, the responses of M. aeruginosa FACHB-905 cells to Streptomyces sp. KY-34 and Streptomyces sp. HJC-D1 following a similar pattern with the increases of CAT, SOD and POD, and the MDA further increased during the incubation time [56,121]. Although the antioxidants increased immediately to relieve the damage caused by anticyanobacteria, the cyanobacterial cell membrane may have decompose due to the accumulation of MDA [18,67,121].

For the non-enzymatic antioxidants, the variation of GSH is opposite to that of the antioxidase activity. The *Bacillus licheniformis* Sp34 induces more GSH production in *M. aeruginosa* at first to clear ROS, but the GSH content is much lower at 20 h (compared with the control) [47]. Such a phenomenon is also obtained in the anticyanobacterial process of *Raoultella* sp. S1 [23]. The prodigiosin from *Hahella* sp. KA22 also leads to the variation of GSH content, while the GSH content decreases slightly after exposure for 36 h [31]. These results demonstrate that the ROS levels and MDA contents decrease under prolonged exposure to anticyanobacteria [31,33,65]; in addition, the non-enzymatic antioxidants also play a critical role in protecting the cyanobacterial cells from oxidative damage under anticyanobacterial stress [23].

3.2.3. Effects of Anticyanobacterial Microorganisms on Gene Expression

The relative transcriptional level of some critical genes in cyanobacteria can be dramatically changed by anticyanobacterial microorganisms and substances, including genes related to the synthesis of photosystem reaction center proteins (*PsaA*, *psaB*, *psbA1* and *psbD1*) [47,57], peptidoglycan synthesis (*glmS*), membrane proteins (*ftsH*), antioxidase (*prx*) [100], heat-shock proteins (*grpE*) [100], fatty acids (*fabZ*) [100], cyanotoxin microcystins (*mcyA*, *mcyB*, *mcyC* and *mcyD*) [83,97], the functions of cell division (*ftsZ*) [93], CO₂ fixation (*rbcL*) [61], and DNA repair (*ftsH* and *recA*) [2,5]. Researchers have reported that the transcription expressions of genes *ftsZ*, *psbA1*, and *glmS* are decreased by DBL that is isolated from *P. noxius* HN-1 [104] and bacilysin that secreted from *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 [91]. The expressions of gene *ftsZ* and *psbA* are also significantly inhibited by *Bacillus* sp. B50 [93], and the transcriptions of photosynthesis-related genes (*psaB* and *psbD1*) and CO₂ fixation gene (*rbcL*) are inhibited by *B. licheniformis* Sp34 [47], indicating that the metabolisms of *M. aeruginosa* are destroyed. Other studies on transcriptomic analysis have demonstrated that the principal subunits of the reaction center (*PsaA* and *PsaB*) and other subunits (*PsaC*, *PsaE*, *PsaD*, *PsaF* and *PsaL*) are significantly down-regulated by *B. laterosporus* Bl-zj [57]. It is similar in the case of *S. globisporus* G9, *S. amritsarensis* and *Raoultella* sp. S1, which suppresses the expression of *psbA1*, *psbD1* or *rbcL* [5,23,83]. The reduction in photosynthesis-related gene transcripts might result in an interruption in the electron transport chain and may finally affect the CO₂ fixation process [61].

Gene such as *mcyB* that are involved in microcystins synthesis are also inhibited by *Penicillium* spp. [97], the white-rot fungi *P. chrysosporium* [100,101] and *P. noxius* HN-1 [104]; moreover, both directly attack the anticyanobacterium (*S. globisporus* G9) [83] and indirectly attack anticyanobacteria (including *S. amritsarensis* HG-16 and *Bacillus* sp. AF-1) could inhibit microcystins synthesis [5,51]. However, the inhibiting ability of *Bacillus* sp. AF-1 has not been confirmed with microcystins measurements [5].

3.2.4. Regulating the Anticyanobacterial Activity by QS System

QS system is the regulator control system for microorganisms that sense the cell density of their own species and make themselves to coordinate gene expression and physiological accommodation on a community scale [122,123]. It is a cell-to-cell communication that relies on the signal molecules [124], and the accumulated QS signals can bind to the cognate receptors and regulate biological activities and cellular functions [69,125]. Previous studies have shown that microbial behaviors such as the secondary metabolites, cell motility and antibiotic resistance are all influenced by QS [122,123]; in addition, QS signals that contribute to the interactions between planktonic microalgae and bacteria are summarized as the N-acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) [69], the 2-alkyl-4-quinolones (AQs) [123], long-chain fatty acids and fatty acid methyl esters (autoinducer-2, AI-2) and dihydroxypentanedione furanone derivates [12]. It is agreed that most of the anticyanobacterial activities by Gram-negative bacteria (such as Pseudomonas sp., Acinetobacter sp., etc.) are the consequence of bacterial-cyanobacterial QS rather than bacterium-cyanobacteria interactions [12,124]. Some species of Serratia sp. [109] and Hahella sp. [31] can produce prodigiosin to inhibit *M. aeruginosa*, and the prodigiosin production is regulated by *LuxI* and LuxR, which are the crucial genes of AHLs [126]. The QS signal molecule (C4-HSL), which belongs to the classic AHL-based LuxIR-type QS system of Gram-negative bacteria, is responsible for the synthetic process of the anticyanobacterial compound (3-methylindole) from Aeromonas sp. GLY-2107 [69]. During the anticyanobacterial process, the QS systems of Gram-negative bacteria produce AHLs signaling molecules, which are synthesized by the basic regulatory protein of LuxI [69,88,126].

In contrast, a wide range of the Gram-positive anticyanobacteria (such as *Streptomyces* sp., *Bacillus* sp., etc.) generally use AI-2 as the signal molecules in QS systems [125]. The anticyanobacterium *S. xiamenensis* Lzh-2 exhibits QS behavior, and the *LuxS* gene is crucial for the AI-2 type QS system; obviously, the anticyanobacterial activity of *S. xiamenensis* Lzh-2 is regulated through the *LuxS*/AI-2 QS system by inducing the production of anticyanobacterial compounds 2, 3-indolinedione and cyclo(Gly-Pro) [126]. The AI-2 type QS behavior is present in *Bacillus* sp. [127]. Genomic analysis of *B. subtilis* JA has indicated the existence of the *LuxS* gene that regulates the pheromone biosynthesis, and the high-molecular-weight anticyanobacterial compounds (>3 kDa) produced by *Bacillus* sp. S51107 have been proven to be primarily regulated by the *NprR-NprX*-type (AI-2) QS system [88]. As a consequence, the AI-2 QS system has been considered as a possible strategy to regulate the behavior of the anticyanobacterial effects of Gram-positive bacteria. Although

QS behavior has been reported in recent years, there is still an improved understanding of the interaction between cyanobacteria and anticyanobacterial microorganisms.

4. Application and Prospective

4.1. Application of Anticyanobacterial Microorganisms

In consideration of the drawbacks of physical and chemical methods, the biological control of HCBs is of great importance for the aquatic ecological environment. In particular, the application of anticyanobacterial microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) or their anticyanobacterial substances is regarded as the most suitable approach due to the economical and environment-friendly performance. It is well known that it is difficult for microorganisms to exist persistently in the aquatic environment [128]. To overcome this limitation, microbial immobilized technology using different porous matrices for enhancing the cyanobacterial removal efficiency has been attempted. For example, a biological treatment system equipped with coconut packing carriers has been established to enrich anticyanobacteria. The results indicate that the average anticyanobacterial efficiency of $87.69 \pm 2.44\%$ is obtained and 13 genera anticyanobacteria, which account for 10.17% of the total bacteria, are responsible for the removal of HCBs [129]. As the *Brevundimonas* sp. AA06 is immobilized using polyvinyl alcohol-sodium alginate beads and *B. methylotrophicus* ZJU is immobilized with Fe₃O4 nanoparticles, the inhibition effects are much better than freely suspended cells [24,50]; meanwhile, the extracellular polymeric substances produced by P. aeruginosa ZJU1 are made as bioflocculants, and the removal efficiency of *M. aeruginosa* reached $100 \pm 0.07\%$ in 5 min at the dosage of 2.75 g/L bioflocculant [130]. These strategies demonstrating the "indirect attack" of microorganisms could be immobilized by multi-functional systems and their anticyanobacterial products could be further enriched. Taking full account of the uncertainties of using anticyanobacterial microorganisms to control/eliminate HCBs in natural waters, the "direct attack" microorganisms may be as ineffective as "indirect attack" microorganisms in actual applications.

In situ eutrophication controls have also been carried out in other researche. It was found that the Chl *a* removal efficiency reached 99.2% when the anticyanobacterium *B. cereus* N-1 was immobilized with a floating carrier for natural eutrophication water [48]; the wild cyanobacteria from a shallow eutrophic pond were significantly controlled by adding solid *B. amyloliquefaciens* T1 agent at the concentration of 0.5 mg L⁻¹ (or above) [49]. Taking the recycling utilization of the industrial waste product into account, approximately 80.0% of the *M. aeruginosa* and 48.1% of the microcystin-LR were removed by the biosorbent, which originated from the *Escherichia coli* biomass [131]. Apart from the persistent existence of microorganisms, anticyanobacterial effects are concerned with environmental conditions and nutrient concentrations [132]. As the previous study indicates, the yeast *Candida utilis* F87, which converts the nitrogen and phosphorus into microbial protein, can inhibit the growth of *M. aeruginosa* by nutrient competition [133]. Therefore, the issue of nutrient competition in cyanobacterial control using microorganisms is a crucial consideration. Based on the current collection of literature, the anticyanobacterial microorganisms have a potential application for HCBs control in the natural environment.

4.2. Summary and Prospective

Interactions between cyanobacteria and microorganisms are considered to be an integral part of the geochemical cycle. However, with the spatial and temporal heterogeneity, these interactions can be modulated in various ways, and highly efficient anticyanobacterial strategies in the eutrophic environment can be obtained from microorganisms. Plentiful studies have reported on ecological interactions between anticyanobacteria and cyanobacterium *M. aeruginosa*, which are focused on the anticyanobacterial microorganisms, substances, modes and mechanisms. Although the anticyanobacterial approach by microorganisms seems to be safe and effective, it is still appreciated that there are limitations and challenges in field applications. A drawback of this approach is that anticyanobacterial microorganisms must be chosen carefully to secrete specific anticyanobacterial compounds and the dosage of the microorganism inoculum or microbial agent is of great importance. On the other hand, the abiotic and biotic factors of the natural environment may have a remarkable influence on the distribution of cyanobacteria and the cyanobacterial response to anticyanobacterial substances.

Besides the target specificity, the complicating factors in realistic eutrophic environment research are the complexity of consortia with multiple species and the unsustainability of anticyanobacteria. It is delightful to see that the studies for HCBs control in situ have contributed to a better understanding of the role of anticyanobacterial microorganisms, especially the multiple regulations for microcystins. Further investigations should be focused on the simultaneous removal of nitrogen, phosphorus and microcystins by mixed microbial community, and the understanding of the cell-to-cell communication and the defense mechanisms of QS systems. Besides, more insights are needed for the specific genes encoding photosystem synthesis, peptidoglycan synthesis, membrane proteins, cyanotoxin microcystins, DNA repair and so on.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.K., L.M. and J.L.; investigation, Y.W., S.M. and X.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.K., Y.W. and L.M.; writing—review and editing, J.L., S.M. and X.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was financially supported by grants from the Open Research Fund Program of State Key Laboratory of Eco-hydraulics in Northwest Arid Region, Xi'an University of Technology (No. 2021KFKT-8), the Key Laboratory of Water Pollution Control and Environmental Safety of Zhejiang Province (No. 2018ZJSHKF06) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK20150165).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Harke, M.J.; Steffen, M.M.; Gobler, C.J.; Otten, T.G.; Wilhelm, S.; Wood, S.A.; Paerl, H.W. A review of the global ecology, genomics, and biogeography of the toxic cyanobacterium, *Microcystis* spp. *Harmful Algae* **2016**, *54*, 4–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, C.; Hou, X.; Wu, D.; Chang, W.; Zhang, X.; Dai, X.; Du, H.; Zhang, X.; Igarashi, Y.; Luo, F. The characteristics and algicidal mechanisms of cyanobactericidal bacteria, a review. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2020, 36, 188. [CrossRef]
- 3. Ko, S.-R.; Lee, Y.-K.; Srivastava, A.; Park, S.-H.; Ahn, C.-Y.; Oh, H.-M. The Selective Inhibitory Activity of a Fusaricidin Derivative on a Bloom-Forming Cyanobacterium, *Microcystis* sp. J. *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *29*, 59–65. [CrossRef]
- 4. Han, S.-I.; Kim, S.; Choi, K.Y.; Lee, C.; Park, Y.; Choi, Y.-E. Control of a toxic cyanobacterial bloom species, *Microcystis aeruginosa*, using the peptide HPA3NT3-A2. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *26*, 32255–32265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Yu, Y.; Zeng, Y.; Li, J.; Yang, C.; Zhang, X.; Luo, F.; Dai, X. An algicidal *Streptomyces amritsarensis* strain against *Microcystis aeruginosa* strongly inhibits microcystin synthesis simultaneously. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, 650, 34–43. [CrossRef]
- Mohamed, Z.A.; Hashem, M.; Alamri, S.A. Growth inhibition of the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* and degradation of its microcystin toxins by the fungus *Trichoderma citrinoviride*. *Toxicon* 2014, *86*, 51–58. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Goslan, E.H.; Seigle, C.; Purcell, D.; Henderson, R.; Parsons, S.A.; Jefferson, B.; Judd, S.J. Carbonaceous and nitrogenous disinfection by-product formation from algal organic matter. *Chemosphere* 2016, 170, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 8. Xin, H.; Yang, S.; Tang, Y.; Wu, M.; Deng, Y.; Xu, B.; Gao, N. Mechanisms and performance of calcium peroxide-enhanced Fe(ii) coagulation for treatment of *Microcystis aeruginosa*-laden water. *Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol.* **2020**, *6*, 1272–1285. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Z.; Li, J.; Chen, M.; Koh, K.Y.; Du, Z.; Gin, K.Y.-H.; He, Y.; Ong, C.N.; Chen, J.P. *Microcystis aeruginosa* removal by peroxides of hydrogen peroxide, peroxymonosulfate and peroxydisulfate without additional activators. *Water Res.* 2021, 201, 117263. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wang, M.; Chen, S.; Zhou, W.; Yuan, W.; Wang, D. Algal cell lysis by bacteria: A review and comparison to conventional methods. *Algal Res.* **2020**, *46*, 101794. [CrossRef]
- Matthijs, H.C.P.; Jančula, D.; Visser, P.M.; Maršálek, B. Existing and emerging cyanocidal compounds: New perspectives for cyanobacterial bloom mitigation. *Aquat. Ecol.* 2016, 50, 443–460. [CrossRef]
- 12. Demuez, M.; González-Fernández, C.; Ballesteros, M. Algicidal microorganisms and secreted algicides: New tools to induce microalgal cell disruption. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2015, *33*, 1615–1625. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sun, R.; Sun, P.; Zhang, J.; Esquivel-Elizondo, S.; Wu, Y. Microorganisms-based methods for harmful algal blooms control: A review. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2018, 248, 12–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Benegas, G.R.S.; Bernal, S.P.F.; de Oliveira, V.M.; Passarini, M.R.Z. Antimicrobial activity against *Microcystis aeruginosa* and degradation of microcystin-LR by bacteria isolated from Antarctica. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, 28, 52381–52391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Li, Y.; Wu, X.; Jiang, X.; Liu, L.; Wang, H. Algicidal activity of *Aspergillus niger* induced by calcium ion as signal molecule on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Algal Res.* **2021**, *60*, 102536. [CrossRef]
- 16. Meyer, N.; Bigalke, A.; Kaulfuß, A.; Pohnert, G. Strategies and ecological roles of algicidal bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2017, 41, 880–899. [CrossRef]
- 17. Mohamed, Z.A.; Hashem, M.; Alamri, S.; Campos, A.; Vasconcelos, V. Fungal biodegradation and removal of cyanobacteria and microcystins: Potential applications and research needs. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2021**, *28*, 37041–37050. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, S.; Yin, H.; Tang, S.; Peng, H.; Yin, D.; Yang, Y.; Liu, Z.; Dang, Z. Physiological responses of *Microcystis aeruginosa* against the algicidal bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2016, 127, 214–221. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhang, H.; Yu, Z.; Huang, Q.; Xiao, X.; Wang, X.; Zhang, F.; Wang, X.; Liu, Y.; Hu, C. Isolation, identification and characterization of phytoplankton-lytic bacterium CH-22 against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Limnologica* **2011**, *41*, 70–77. [CrossRef]
- Park, B.S.; Park, C.-S.; Shin, Y.; Yoon, S.; Han, M.-S.; Kang, Y.-H. Different Algicidal Modes of the Two Bacteria Aeromonas bestiarum HYD0802-MK36 and Pseudomonas syringae KACC10292^T against Harmful Cyanobacteria Microcystis aeruginosa. Toxins 2022, 14, 128. [CrossRef]
- Das Nishu, S.; Kang, Y.; Han, I.; Jung, T.Y.; Lee, T.K. Nutritional status regulates algicidal activity of *Aeromonas* sp. L23 against cyanobacteria and green algae. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0213370. [CrossRef]
- 22. Li, H.; Ai, H.; Kang, L.; Sun, X.; He, Q. Simultaneous *Microcystis* Algicidal and Microcystin Degrading Capability by a Single *Acinetobacter* Bacterial Strain. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 11903–11911. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, D.; Kang, X.; Chu, L.; Wang, Y.; Song, X.; Zhao, X.; Cao, X. Algicidal mechanism of *Raoultella ornithinolytica* against *Microcystis aeruginosa*: Antioxidant response, photosynthetic system damage and microcystin degradation. *Environ. Pollut.* 2021, 287, 117644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Huang, J.; Fan, Q.; Wei, J.; Wang, F.; Jia, Z.; Xiang, W.; Liang, W. Inhibition of *Microcystis aeruginosa* using *Brevundimonas* sp. AA06 immobilized in polyvinyl alcohol-sodium alginate beads. *Desalination Water Treat.* 2018, 111, 192–200. [CrossRef]
- 25. Mu, R.; He, Y.; Liu, S.; Wang, X.; Fan, Z. The Algicidal Characteristics of One Algae-Lysing FDT5 Bacterium on *Microcystis* aeruginosa. Geomicrobiol. J. 2009, 26, 516–521. [CrossRef]
- 26. Zhang, D.; Ye, Q.; Zhang, F.; Shao, X.; Fan, Y.; Zhu, X.; Li, Y.; Yao, L.; Tian, Y.; Zheng, T.; et al. Flocculating properties and potential of *Halobacillus* sp. strain H9 for the mitigation of *Microcystis aeruginosa* blooms. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *218*, 138–146. [CrossRef]
- Li, Z.; Lin, S.; Liu, X.; Tan, J.; Pan, J.; Yang, H. A freshwater bacterial strain, *Shewanella* sp. Lzh-2, isolated from Lake Taihu and its two algicidal active substances, hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-1,4-dione and 2, 3-indolinedione. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2014, *98*, 4737–4748. [CrossRef]
- Sun, P.; Esquivel-Elizondo, S.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, Y. Glucose triggers the cytotoxicity of *Citrobacter* sp. R1 against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2017, 603-604, 18–25. [CrossRef]
- Lin, S.; Geng, M.; Liu, X.; Tan, J.; Yang, H. On the control of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and *Synechococccus* species using an algicidal bacterium, *Stenotrophomonas* F6, and its algicidal compounds cyclo-(Gly-Pro) and hydroquinone. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 2015, 28, 345–355. [CrossRef]
- Liu, W.; Yang, J.; Tian, Y.; Zhou, X.; Wang, S.; Zhu, J.; Sun, D.; Liu, C. An in situ extractive fermentation strategy for enhancing prodigiosin production from *Serratia marcescens* BWL1001 and its application to inhibiting the growth of *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Biochem. Eng. J.* 2020, 166, 107836. [CrossRef]
- Yang, K.; Chen, Q.; Zhang, D.; Zhang, H.; Lei, X.; Chen, Z.; Li, Y.; Hong, Y.; Ma, X.; Zheng, W.; et al. The algicidal mechanism of prodigiosin from *Hahella* sp. KA22 against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 7750. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Kong, Y.; Wang, Q.; Chen, Y.; Xu, X.; Zhu, L.; Yao, H.; Pan, H. Anticyanobacterial process and action mechanism of *Streptomyces* sp. HJC-D1 on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy* **2020**, *39*, e13392. [CrossRef]
- 33. Luo, J.; Wang, Y.; Tang, S.; Liang, J.; Lin, W.; Luo, L. Isolation and Identification of Algicidal Compound from *Streptomyces* and Algicidal Mechanism to *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e76444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, Y.-K.; Ahn, C.-Y.; Kim, H.-S.; Oh, H.-M. Cyanobactericidal effect of *Rhodococcus* sp. isolated from eutrophic lake on *Microcystis* sp. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2010, 32, 1673–1678. [CrossRef]
- 35. Chen, H.; Fu, L.; Luo, L.; Lu, J.; White, W.L.; Hu, Z. Induction and Resuscitation of the Viable but Nonculturable State in a Cyanobacteria-Lysing Bacterium Isolated from Cyanobacterial Bloom. *Microb. Ecol.* **2011**, *63*, 64–73. [CrossRef]
- 36. Hua, X.-H.; Li, J.-H.; Li, J.-J.; Zhang, L.-H.; Cui, Y. Selective inhibition of the cyanobacterium, *Microcystis*, by a *Streptomyces* sp. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **2009**, *31*, 1531–1535. [CrossRef]
- 37. Zhang, B.-H.; Chen, W.; Li, H.-Q.; Yang, J.-Y.; Zha, D.-M.; Duan, Y.-Q.; Hozzein, N.W.; Xiao, M.; Gao, R.; Li, W.-J. L-valine, an antialgal amino acid from *Streptomyces jiujiangensis* JXJ 0074T. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2016**, 100, 4627–4636. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, B.-H.; Ding, Z.-G.; Li, H.-Q.; Mou, X.-Z.; Zhang, Y.-Q.; Yang, J.-Y.; Zhou, E.-M.; Li, W.-J. Algicidal Activity of Streptomyces eurocidicus JXJ-0089 Metabolites and Their Effects on Microcystis Physiology. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2016, 82, 5132–5143. [CrossRef]

- Chen, W.-M.; Sheu, F.-S.; Sheu, S.-Y. Aquimarina salinaria sp. nov., a novel algicidal bacterium isolated from a saltpan. Arch. Microbiol. 2011, 194, 103–112. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, C.; Massey, I.Y.; Liu, Y.; Huang, F.; Gao, R.; Ding, M.; Xiang, L.; He, C.; Wei, J.; Li, Y.; et al. Identification and characterization of a novel indigenous algicidal bacterium *Chryseobacterium* species against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. J. Toxicol. Environ. Heal. Part A 2019, 82, 845–853. [CrossRef]
- Guo, X.; Liu, X.; Pan, J.; Yang, H. Synergistic algicidal effect and mechanism of two diketopiperazines produced by *Chryseobacterium* sp. strain GLY-1106 on the harmful bloom-forming *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 14720. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Furusawa, G.; Iwamoto, K. Removal of *Microcystis aeruginosa* cells using the dead cells of a marine filamentous bacterium, *Aureispira* sp. CCB-QB1. *PeerJ* 2022, 10, e12867. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Y.; Hongyi, W.; Komatsu, M.; Ishibashi, K.; Jinsan, L.; Ito, T.; Yoshikawa, T.; Maeda, H. Isolation and characterization of bacterial isolates algicidal against a harmful bloom-forming cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Biocontrol Sci.* 2012, 17, 107–114. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Li, Y.; Liu, L.; Xu, Y.; Li, P.; Zhang, K.; Jiang, X.; Zheng, T.; Wang, H. Stress of algicidal substances from a bacterium *Exiguobacterium* sp. h10 on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2016**, *64*, 57–65. [CrossRef]
- 45. Zhang, S.; Fan, C.; Xia, Y.; Li, M.; Wang, Y.; Cui, X.; Xiao, W. Characterization of a novel bacteriophage specific to *Exiguobacterium indicum* isolated from a plateau eutrophic lake. *J. Basic Microbiol.* **2018**, *59*, 206–214. [CrossRef]
- Li, Z.; Geng, M.; Yang, H. Algicidal activity of *Bacillus* sp. Lzh-5 and its algicidal compounds against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2014, 99, 981–990. [CrossRef]
- 47. Liu, J.; Yang, C.; Chi, Y.; Wu, D.; Dai, X.; Zhang, X.; Igarashi, Y.; Luo, F. Algicidal characterization and mechanism of Bacillus licheniformis Sp34 against Microcystis aeruginosa in Dianchi Lake. *J. Basic Microbiol.* **2019**, *59*, 1112–1124. [CrossRef]
- Lee, C.; Jeon, M.S.; Vo, T.-T.; Park, C.; Choi, J.-S.; Kwon, J.; Roh, S.W.; Choi, Y.-E. Establishment of a new strategy against *Microcystis* bloom using newly isolated lytic and toxin-degrading bacteria. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 2018, 30, 1795–1806. [CrossRef]
- 49. Yu, J.; Kong, Y.; Gao, S.; Miao, L.; Zou, P.; Xu, B.; Zeng, C.; Zhang, X. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* T1 as a potential control agent for cyanobacteria. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 2014, 27, 1213–1221. [CrossRef]
- 50. Sun, P.; Hui, C.; Wang, S.; Khan, R.A.; Zhang, Q.; Zhao, Y.-H. Enhancement of algicidal properties of immobilized *Bacillus methylotrophicus* ZJU by coating with magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles and wheat bran. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2015**, *301*, 65–73. [CrossRef]
- 51. Xuan, H.; Dai, X.; Li, J.; Zhang, X.; Yang, C.; Luo, F. A *Bacillus* sp. strain with antagonistic activity against *Fusarium graminearum* kills *Microcystis aeruginosa* selectively. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *583*, 214–221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, W.; Kim, M.; Hong, M.; Park, W. Killing effect of deinoxanthins on cyanobloom-forming *Microcystis aeruginosa*: Eco-friendly production and specific activity of deinoxanthins. *Environ. Res.* 2021, 200, 111455. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Y.; Zhu, H.; Lei, X.; Zhang, H.; Cai, G.; Chen, Z.; Fu, L.; Xu, H.; Zheng, T. The death mechanism of the harmful algal bloom species *Alexandrium tamarense* induced by algicidal bacterium *Deinococcus* sp. Y35. *Front. Microbiol.* 2015, *6*, 992. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, L.; Huo, M.; Sun, C.; Cui, X.; Zhou, D.; Crittenden, J.C.; Yang, W. Bioresources inner-recycling between bioflocculation of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and its reutilization as a substrate for bioflocculant production. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 43784. [CrossRef]
- 55. Liu, H.; Guo, X.; Liu, L.; Yan, M.; Li, J.; Hou, S.; Wan, J.; Feng, L. Simultaneous Microcystin Degradation and *Microcystis aeruginosa* Inhibition with the Single Enzyme Microcystinase A. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54*, 8811–8820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kong, Y.; Zou, P.; Yang, Q.; Xu, X.; Miao, L.; Zhu, L. Physiological responses of *Microcystis aeruginosa* under the stress of antialgal actinomycetes. J. Hazard. Mater. 2013, 262, 274–280. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Chen, D.; Zhang, N.; Li, F.; Luo, X.; Li, Q.; Li, C.; Huang, X. Transcriptional Analysis of *Microcystis aeruginosa* Co-Cultured with Algicidal Bacteria *Brevibacillus laterosporus*. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 8615. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pal, M.; Purohit, H.J.; Qureshi, A. Genomic insight for algicidal activity in Rhizobium strain AQ_MP. Arch. Microbiol. 2021, 203, 5193–5203. [CrossRef]
- 59. Pathmalal, M.M.; Zenichiro, K.; Shin-ichi, N. Algicidal effect of the bacterium *Alcaligenes denitrificans* on *Microcystis* spp. Aquatic. *Microb. Ecol.* **2000**, *22*, 111–117.
- 60. Xue, G.; Wang, X.; Xu, C.; Song, B.; Chen, H. Removal of harmful algae by *Shigella* sp. H3 and *Alcaligenes* sp. H5: Algicidal pathways and characteristics. *Environ. Technol.* **2021**. [CrossRef]
- 61. Van Le, V.; Ko, S.-R.; Kang, M.; Lee, S.-A.; Oh, H.-M.; Ahn, C.-Y. Algicide capacity of *Paucibacter aquatile* DH15 on *Microcystis aeruginosa* by attachment and non-attachment effects. *Environ. Pollut.* **2022**, 302, 119079. [CrossRef]
- 62. Crettaz-Minaglia, M.; Fallico, M.; Aranda, O.; Juarez, I.; Pezzoni, M.; Costa, C. Effect of temperature on microcystin-LR removal and lysis activity on *Microcystis aeruginosa*(cyanobacteria) by an indigenous bacterium belonging to the genus *Achromobacter*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2020**, *27*, 44427–44439. [CrossRef]
- 63. Wang, X.; Xie, M.; Wu, W.; Shi, L.; Luo, L.; Li, P. Differential sensitivity of colonial and unicellular *Microcystis* strains to an algicidal bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Plankton Res.* **2013**, *35*, 1172–1176. [CrossRef]
- 64. Kang, Y.-H.; Park, C.-S.; Han, M.-S. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 a useful bacterium capable of lysing *Microcystis aeruginosa* cells and degrading microcystins. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2012**, 24, 1517–1525. [CrossRef]

- 65. Chen, Q.; Wang, L.; Qi, Y.; Ma, C. Imaging mass spectrometry of interspecies metabolic exchange revealed the allelopathic interaction between *Microcystis aeruginosa* and its antagonist. *Chemosphere* **2020**, 259, 127430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Kodani, S.; Imoto, A.; Mitsutani, A.; Murakami, M. Isolation and identification of the antialgal compound, harmane (1-methyl-βcarboline), produced by the algicidal bacterium, *Pseudomonas* sp. K44-1. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **2002**, *14*, 109–114. [CrossRef]
- 67. Zhang, X.; Song, T.; Ma, H.; Li, L. Physiological response of *Microcystis aeruginosa* to the extracellular substances from an *Aeromonas* sp. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 103662–103667. [CrossRef]
- 68. Liu, Y.-M.; Wang, M.-H.; Jia, R.-B.; Li, L. Removal of cyanobacteria by an *Aeromonas* sp. *Desalination Water Treat.* **2012**, *47*, 205–210. [CrossRef]
- Guo, X.; Liu, X.; Wu, L.; Pan, J.; Yang, H. The algicidal activity of *Aeromonas* sp. strain GLY-2107 against bloom-forming *Microcystis aeruginosa* is regulated by *N*-acyl homoserine lactone-mediated quorum sensing. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2016, *18*, 3867–3883. [CrossRef]
- Yang, J.; Qiao, K.; Lv, J.; Liu, Q.; Nan, F.; Xie, S.; Feng, J. Isolation and Identification of Two Algae-Lysing Bacteria against Microcystis aeruginosa. Water 2020, 12, 2485. [CrossRef]
- Su, J.F.; Ma, M.; Wei, L.; Ma, F.; Lu, J.S.; Shao, S.C. Algicidal and denitrification characterization of *Acinetobacter* sp. J25 against *Microcystis aeruginosa* and microbial community in eutrophic landscape water. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2016, 107, 233–239. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yi, Y.-L.; Yu, X.-B.; Zhang, C.; Wang, G.-X. Growth inhibition and microcystin degradation effects of *Acinetobacter guillouiae* A2 on *Microcystis aeruginosa. Res. Microbiol.* 2015, 166, 93–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Su, J.F.; Shao, S.C.; Ma, F.; Lu, J.S.; Zhang, K. Bacteriological control by *Raoultella* sp. R11 on growth and toxins production of *Microcystis aeruginosa. Chem. Eng. J.* 2016, 293, 139–150. [CrossRef]
- 74. Liu, Z.Z.; Zhu, J.P.; Li, M.; Xue, Q.Q.; Zeng, Y.; Wang, Z.P. Effects of freshwater bacterial siderophore on *Microcystis* and *Anabaena*. *Biol. Control* **2014**, *78*, 42–48. [CrossRef]
- 75. Liao, C.; Liu, X. High-Cell-Density Cultivation and Algicidal Activity Assays of a Novel Algicidal Bacterium to Control Algal Bloom Caused by Water Eutrophication. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2014**, 225, s11270–s12014. [CrossRef]
- 76. Yang, F.; Wei, H.Y.; Li, Y.H.; Li, X.B.; Yin, L.H.; Pu, Y.P. Isolation and characterization of an algicidal bacterium indigenous to lake Taihu with a red pigment able to lyse *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* **2013**, *26*, 148–154. [CrossRef]
- 77. Chen, W.M.; Sheu, F.S.; Sheu, S.Y. Novel l-amino acid oxidase with algicidal activity against toxic cyanobacterium *Microcystis* aeruginosa synthesized by a bacterium *Aquimarina* sp. *Enzym. Microb. Technol.* **2011**, *49*, 372–379. [CrossRef]
- Hong, G.Y.; Wang, J.; Zhang, J. Isolation and identification of an algicidal bacterium against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* 2015, 55, 139–144. [CrossRef]
- 79. Wang, J.; Luo, L.; Chen, Y.; He, Q.; Zhan, L.; Zhao, X. Spectra characteristic and algicidal mechanism of *Chryseobacterium* sp. S7 on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Spectrosc. Spectr. Anal. **2019**, 39, 1817–1822.
- 80. Choi, H.-J.; Kim, B.-H.; Kim, J.-D.; Han, M.-S. *Streptomyces neyagawaensis* as a control for the hazardous biomass of *Microcystis aeruginosa* (Cyanobacteria) in eutrophic freshwaters. *Biol. Control* 2005, 33, 335–343. [CrossRef]
- 81. Phankhajon, K.; Somdee, A.; Somdee, T. Algicidal activity of an actinomycete strain, *Streptomyces rameus*, against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *74*, 1398–1408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Somdee, T.; Sumalai, N.; Somdee, A. A novel actinomycete *Streptomyces aurantiogriseus* with algicidal activity against the toxic cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. J. Appl. Phycol. 2013, 25, 1587–1594. [CrossRef]
- Zeng, Y.; Wang, J.; Yang, C.; Ding, M.; Hamilton, P.B.; Zhang, X.; Yang, C.; Zhnag, L.; Dai, X. A Streptomyces globisporus strain kills Microcystis aeruginosa via cell-to-cell contact. Sci. Total Environ. 2021, 769, 144489. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Zhang, B.-H.; Chen, W.; Li, H.-Q.; Zhou, E.-M.; Hu, W.-Y.; Duan, Y.-Q.; Mohamad, O.A.; Gao, R.; Li, W.-J. An antialgal compound produced by *Streptomyces jiujiangensis* JXJ 0074T. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *99*, 7673–7683. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Yu, X.; Cai, G.; Wang, H.; Hu, Z.; Zheng, W.; Lei, X.; Zhu, X.; Chen, Y.; Chen, Q.; Din, H.; et al. Fast-growing algicidal *Streptomyces* sp. U3 and its potential in harmful algal bloom controls. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2017**, *341*, 138–149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahn, C.-Y.; Joung, S.-H.; Jeon, J.-W.; Kim, H.-S.; Yoon, B.-D.; Oh, H.-M. Selective control of cyanobacteria by surfactin-containing culture broth of *Bacillus subtilis* C1. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 2003, 25, 1137–1142. [CrossRef]
- 87. Mu, R.-M.; Fan, Z.-Q.; Pei, H.-Y.; Yuan, X.-L.; Liu, S.-X.; Wang, X.-R. Isolation and algae-lysing characteristics of the algicidal bacterium B5. *J. Environ. Sci.* 2007, *19*, 1336–1340. [CrossRef]
- Wu, L.; Guo, X.; Liu, X.; Yang, H. NprR-NprX Quorum-Sensing System Regulates the Algicidal Activity of *Bacillus* sp. Strain S51107 against Bloom-Forming Cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. Front. Microbiol. 2017, 8, 1968. [CrossRef]
- 89. Shunyu, S.; Yongding, L.; Yinwu, S.; Genbao, L.; Dunhai, L. Lysis of *Aphanizomenon flos-aquae* (Cyanobacterium) by a bacterium *Bacillus cereus. Biol. Control* **2006**, *39*, 345–351. [CrossRef]
- 90. Gumbo, J.; Cloete, T.; van Zyl, G.; Sommerville, J. The viability assessment of *Microcystis aeruginosa* cells after co-culturing with *Bacillus mycoides* B16 using flow cytometry. *Phys. Chem. Earth, Parts A/B/C* 2014, 72–75, 24–33. [CrossRef]
- 91. Wu, L.; Wu, H.; Chen, L.; Xie, S.; Zang, H.; Borriss, R.; Gao, X. Bacilysin from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 Has Specific Bactericidal Activity against Harmful Algal Bloom Species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2014**, *80*, 7512–7520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shao, J.; He, Y.; Chen, A.; Peng, L.; Luo, S.; Wu, G.; Zou, H.; Li, R. Interactive effects of algicidal efficiency of *Bacillus* sp. B50 and bacterial community on susceptibility of *Microcystis aeruginosa* with different growth rates. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation* 2015, 97, 1–6. [CrossRef]

- Shao, J.; Jiang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Peng, L.; Luo, S.; Gu, J.; Li, R. Interactions between algicidal bacteria and the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*: Lytic characteristics and physiological responses in the cyanobacteria. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2013, 11, 469–476. [CrossRef]
- Xu, B.; Miao, L.; Yu, J.; Ji, L.; Lu, H.; Yang, J.; Gao, S.; Kong, Y. Isolation and identification of amino acids secreted by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* T1 with anti-cyanobacterial effect against cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Desalination Water Treat*. 2021, 231, 329–339. [CrossRef]
- 95. Wang, S.; Yang, S.; Zuo, J.; Hu, C.; Song, L.; Gan, N.; Chen, P. Simultaneous Removal of the Freshwater Bloom-Forming Cyanobacterium *Microcystis* and Cyanotoxin Microcystins via Combined Use of Algicidal Bacterial Filtrate and the Microcystin-Degrading Enzymatic Agent, MlrA. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 1594. [CrossRef]
- 96. Tian, C.; Liu, X.; Tan, J.; Lin, S.; Li, D.; Yang, H. Isolation, identification and characterization of an algicidal bacterium from Lake Taihu and preliminary studies on its algicidal compounds. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *24*, 1823–1831. [CrossRef]
- 97. Han, S.; Zhou, Q.; Lilje, O.; Xu, W.; Zhu, Y.; van Ogtrop, F.F. Inhibition mechanism of *Penicillium chrysogenum* on *Microcystis* aeruginosa in aquaculture water. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 299, 126829. [CrossRef]
- Mohamed, Z.A.; Alamri, S.; Hashem, M.; Mostafa, Y. Growth inhibition of *Microcystis aeruginosa* and adsorption of microcystin toxin by the yeast *Aureobasidium pullulans*, with no effect on microalgae. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2020, 27, 38038–38046. [CrossRef]
- 99. Wang, Q.; Su, M.; Zhu, W.; Li, X.; Jia, Y.; Guo, P.; Chen, Z.; Jiang, W.; Tian, X. Growth inhibition of *Microcystis aeruginosa* by white-rot fungus *Lopharia spadicea*. *Water Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *62*, 317–323. [CrossRef]
- Zeng, G.; Gao, P.; Wang, J.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, M.; Sun, D. Algicidal Molecular Mechanism and Toxicological Degradation of *Microcystis aeruginosa* by White-Rot Fungi. *Toxins* 2020, 12, 406. [CrossRef]
- Zeng, G.; Zhang, M.; Gao, P.; Wang, J.; Sun, D. Algicidal Efficiency and Genotoxic Effects of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* against *Microcystis aeruginosa. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 4029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Han, G.; Feng, X.; Jia, Y.; Wang, C.; He, X.; Zhou, Q.; Tian, X. Isolation and evaluation of terrestrial fungi with algicidal ability from Zijin Mountain, Nanjing, China. J. Microbiol. 2011, 49, 562–567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Han, G.; Ma, H.; Ren, S.; Gao, X.; He, X.; Zhu, S.; Deng, R.; Zhang, S. Insights into the mechanism of cyanobacteria removal by the algicidal fungi *Bjerkandera adusta* and *Trametes versicolor*. *Microbiol*. *Open* **2020**, *9*, e1042. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jin, P.; Wang, H.; Liu, W.; Zhang, S.; Lin, C.; Zheng, F.; Miao, W. Bactericidal metabolites from *Phellinus noxius* HN-1 against Microcystis aeruginosa. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 3132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Jia, Y.; Wang, Q.; Chen, Z.; Jiang, W.; Zhang, P.; Tian, X. Inhibition of phytoplankton species by co-culture with a fungus. *Ecol. Eng.* **2010**, *36*, 1389–1391. [CrossRef]
- 106. Jia, Y.; Han, G.; Wang, C.; Guo, P.; Jiang, W.; Li, X.; Tian, X. The efficacy and mechanisms of fungal suppression of freshwater harmful algal bloom species. J. Hazard. Mater. 2010, 183, 176–181. [CrossRef]
- 107. Du, J.; Pu, G.; Shao, C.; Cheng, S.; Cai, J.; Zhou, L.; Jia, Y.; Tian, X. Potential of extracellular enzymes from *Trametes versicolor* F21a in *Microcystis* spp. degradation. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2014, 48, 138–144. [CrossRef]
- 108. Dai, W.; Chen, X.; Wang, X.; Xu, Z.; Gao, X.; Jiang, C.; Deng, R.; Han, G. The Algicidal Fungus *Trametes versicolor* F21a Eliminating Blue Algae via Genes Encoding Degradation Enzymes and Metabolic Pathways Revealed by Transcriptomic Analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 826. [CrossRef]
- 109. Wei, J.; Xie, X.; Huang, F.; Xiang, L.; Wang, Y.; Han, T.; Massey, I.Y.; Liang, G.; Pu, Y.; Yang, F. Simultaneous *Microcystis* algicidal and microcystin synthesis inhibition by a red pigment prodigiosin. *Environ. Pollut.* **2019**, 256, 113444. [CrossRef]
- 110. Annett, H.; Kunimitsu, K.M.W.M. Selective control of *Microcystis* using an amino acid-a laboratory assay. J. Appl. Phycol. 2002, 14, 85–89.
- 111. Kaya, K.; Liu, Y.-D.; Shen, Y.-W.; Xiao, B.-D.; Sano, T. Selective control of toxic *Microcystis* water blooms using lysine and malonic acid: An enclosure experiment. *Environ. Toxicol.* 2005, 20, 170–178. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Tian, L.; Chen, M.; Ren, C.; Wang, Y.; Li, L. Anticyanobacterial effect of l-lysine on *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 21606–21612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Wang, M.-H. Algicidal Activity of a Dibenzofuran-Degrader Rhodococcus sp. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2013, 23, 260–266. [CrossRef]
- 114. Yamamoto, Y.; Kouchiwa, T.; Hodoki, Y.; Hotta, K.; Uchida, H.; Harada, K.-I. Distribution and identification of actinomycetes lysing cyanobacteria in a eutrophic lake. *J. Appl. Phycol.* **1998**, *10*, 391–397. [CrossRef]
- 115. Liu, Y.-M. Inhibition of *Microcystis aeruginosa* by the Extracellular Substances from an *Aeromonas* sp. J. *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2013**, 23, 1304–1307. [CrossRef]
- Weiss, G.; Kovalerchick, D.; Lieman-Hurwitz, J.; Murik, O.; De Philippis, R.; Carmeli, S.; Sukenik, A.; Kaplan, A. Increased algicidal activity of *Aeromonas veronii* in response to *Microcystis aeruginosa*: Interspecies crosstalk and secondary metabolites synergism. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2019, 21, 1140–1150. [CrossRef]
- 117. Feng, Y.; Chang, X.; Zhao, L.; Li, X.; Li, W.; Jiang, Y. Nanaomycin A methyl ester, an actinomycete metabolite: Algicidal activity and the physiological response of *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Ecol. Eng.* **2013**, *53*, 306–312. [CrossRef]
- Gerphagnon, M.; Macarthur, D.; Latour, D.; Gachon, C.; Van Ogtrop, F.; Gleason, F.H.; Sime-Ngando, T. Microbial players involved in the decline of filamentous and colonial cyanobacterial blooms with a focus on fungal parasitism. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2015, 17, 2573–2587. [CrossRef]
- 119. Su, J.F.; Shao, S.C.; Huang, T.L.; Ma, F.; Lu, J.S.; Zhang, K. Algicidal effects and denitrification activities of *Acinetobacter* sp. J25 against *Microcystis aeruginosa*. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2016, 4, 1002–1007. [CrossRef]

- Chen, Y.-D.; Zhu, Y.; Xin, J.-P.; Zhao, C.; Tian, R.-N. Succinic acid inhibits photosynthesis of *Microcystis aeruginosa* via damaging PSII oxygen-evolving complex and reaction center. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, 28, 58470–58479. [CrossRef]
- 121. Kong, Y.; Xu, X.; Zhu, L. Cyanobactericidal Effect of *Streptomyces* sp. HJC-D1 on *Microcystis auruginosa*. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e57654. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Zhai, C.; Zhang, P.; Shen, F.; Zhou, C.; Liu, C. Does *Microcystis aeruginosa* have quorum sensing? *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2012**, 336, 38–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reading, N.C.; Sperandio, V. Quorum sensing: The many languages of bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2006, 254, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Zhang, Y.; Zheng, L.; Wang, S.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, X.; Han, B.; Hu, T. Quorum Sensing Bacteria in the Phycosphere of HAB Microalgae and Their Ecological Functions Related to Cross-Kingdom Interactions. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 19, 163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Dow, L. How Do Quorum-Sensing Signals Mediate Algae–Bacteria Interactions? Microorganisms 2021, 9, 1391. [CrossRef]
- 126. Liu, J.; Liu, K.; Zhao, Z.; Wang, Z.; Wang, F.; Xin, Y.; Qu, J.; Song, F.; Li, Z. The LuxS/AI-2 Quorum-Sensing System Regulates the Algicidal Activity of *Shewanella xiamenensis* Lzh-2. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *12*. [CrossRef]
- 127. Zhang, S.-J.; Du, X.-P.; Zhu, J.-M.; Meng, C.-X.; Zhou, J.; Zuo, P. The complete genome sequence of the algicidal bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* strain JA and the use of quorum sensing to evaluate its antialgal ability. *Biotechnol. Rep.* **2020**, *25*, e00421. [CrossRef]
- 128. Dziallas, C.; Grossart, H.-P. Temperature and biotic factors influence bacterial communities associated with the cyanobacterium *Microcystis* sp. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2011**, *13*, 1632–1641. [CrossRef]
- 129. He, L.; Lin, Z.; Wang, Y.; He, X.; Zhou, J.; Guan, M.; Zhou, J. Facilitating harmful algae removal in fresh water via joint effects of multi-species algicidal bacteria. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *403*, 123662. [CrossRef]
- 130. Sun, P.; Lin, H.; Wang, G.; Lu, L.-L.; Zhao, Y.-H. Preparation of a new-style composite containing a key bioflocculant produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ZJU1 and its flocculating effect on harmful algal blooms. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2014**, 284, 215–221. [CrossRef]
- Kim, H.S.; Park, Y.H.; Kim, S.; Choi, Y.-E. Application of a polyethylenimine-modified polyacrylonitrile-biomass waste composite fiber sorbent for the removal of a harmful cyanobacterial species from an aqueous solution. *Environ. Res.* 2020, 190, 109997. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Paerl, H.W.; Gardner, W.S.; Havens, K.E.; Joyner, A.R.; McCarthy, M.J.; Newell, S.; Qin, B.; Scott, J.T. Mitigating cyanobacterial harmful algal blooms in aquatic ecosystems impacted by climate change and anthropogenic nutrients. *Harmful Algae* 2016, 54, 213–222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Kong, Y.; Xu, X.; Zhu, L.; Miao, L. Control of the Harmful Alga *Microcystis aeruginosa* and Absorption of Nitrogen and Phosphorus by *Candida utilis*. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *169*, 88–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]