

Review

Vitamin and Mineral Supplementation During Pregnancy on Maternal, Birth, Child Health and Development Outcomes in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Christina Oh¹, Emily C. Keats¹ and Zulfiqar A. Bhutta^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Centre for Global Child Health, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON M5G 0A4, Canada; christina.oh@sickkids.ca (C.O.); emily.keats@sickkids.ca (E.C.K.)
- ² Centre of Excellence in Women and Child's Health, Aga Khan University, Karachi 74800, Pakistan
- * Correspondence: zulfiqar.bhutta@sickkids.ca; Tel.: +1-416-813-7654 (ext. 301774)

Received: 23 December 2019; Accepted: 5 February 2020; Published: 14 February 2020



Abstract: Almost two billion people are deficient in key vitamins and minerals, mostly women and children in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Deficiencies worsen during pregnancy due to increased energy and nutritional demands, causing adverse outcomes in mother and child, but could be mitigated by interventions like micronutrient supplementation. To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review that aimed to compile evidence from both efficacy and effectiveness trials, evaluating different supplementation interventions on maternal, birth, child health, and developmental outcomes. We evaluated randomized controlled trials and quasi-experimental studies published since 1995 in peer-reviewed and grey literature that assessed the effects of calcium, vitamin A, iron, vitamin D, and zinc supplementation compared to placebo/no treatment; iron-folic (IFA) supplementation compared to folic acid only; multiple micronutrient (MMN) supplementation compared to IFA; and lipid-based nutrient supplementation (LNS) compared to MMN supplementation. Seventy-two studies, which collectively involved 314 papers (451,723 women), were included. Meta-analyses showed improvement in several key birth outcomes, such as preterm birth, small-for-gestational age (SGA) and low birthweight with MMN supplementation, compared to IFA. MMN also improved child outcomes, including diarrhea incidence and retinol concentration, which are findings not previously reported. Across all comparisons, micronutrient supplementation had little to no effect on mortality (maternal, neonatal, perinatal, and infant) outcomes, which is consistent with other systematic reviews. IFA supplementation showed notable improvement in maternal anemia and the reduction in low birthweight, whereas LNS supplementation had no apparent effect on outcomes; further research that compares LNS and MMN supplementation could help understand differences with these commodities. For single micronutrient supplementation, improvements were noted in only a few outcomes, mainly pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (calcium), maternal anemia (iron), preterm births (vitamin D), and maternal serum zinc concentration (zinc). These findings highlight that micronutrient-specific supplementation should be tailored to specific groups or needs for maximum benefit. In addition, they further contribute to the ongoing discourse of choosing antenatal MMN over IFA as the standard of care in LMICs.

Keywords: micronutrient supplementation; vitamin supplementation; pregnancy; developing countries



1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Micronutrient deficiencies are a key contributing factor to poor health and suboptimal development outcomes, and they especially affect women and children who reside in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1,2]. Micronutrient deficiencies are defined as insufficient amounts of essential vitamins and minerals, which are obtained from the diet, to meet recommended daily allowances for proper health, growth and development [3]. They often result from diets that chronically lack diversity or proper and sufficient nutrients, and in some cases, from infections and/or chronic disease that inhibit proper nutrient absorption [4]. Considered one of the three tenets of the triple burden of malnutrition, also known as hidden hunger, micronutrient deficiencies affect approximately two billion people globally [5,6]. Left unaddressed, micronutrient deficiencies are of particular concern as they will threaten the survival and well-being of women of reproductive age (WRA) and their infants, and may put subsequent generations of children at risk due to the intergenerational transfer of malnutrition [5,7].

Micronutrient deficiencies are often exacerbated during pregnancy due to increased nutritional requirements and, in LMICs, often appear concurrently (deficiencies in two or more micronutrients) [1,8]. Prenatal iron deficiency is one common example with a high global prevalence of 19.2% (95% confidence interval (CI) 17.1–21.5%), while maternal vitamin A deficiency affects approximately 15.3% (95% CI 6.0–24.6%) of pregnant women [1]. Despite sparse population-level data on deficiencies, these estimates reflect the current state of health of the global maternal population. Repeated pregnancies and short inter-pregnancy levels are also known contributors to poor maternal micronutrient status [2].

Micronutrients are critical for optimal pregnancy outcomes and proper metabolic activities that support tissue growth and functioning in the developing fetus. As such, deficiencies result in a vast array of adverse health outcomes affecting both mother and baby. Anemia, commonly caused by iron deficiency, is associated with increased risks of maternal mortality, perinatal mortality and low birthweight [9–11]. Folate and iodine deficiencies are well known to severely impair fetal development, leading to neural tube defects (NTDs) and an increased risk of mental retardation and cretinism, respectively [12,13]. Insufficient calcium during pregnancy is linked to the development of hypertension, which is a leading cause of maternal mortality, morbidity, fetal growth restriction and preterm birth [14,15]. Similar to calcium, vitamin D deficiency can lead to pre-eclampsia, and subsequently increase the risks of preterm birth, small-for-gestational age (SGA) and perinatal mortality [16–18]. While the effects of maternal zinc deficiency are not well established, it has been suggested that maternal zinc supplementation can reduce preterm birth [19].

Maternal malnutrition not only negatively affects the mother and fetus during the period of pregnancy, but also manifests through intergenerational effects. It can significantly alter the short-term and long-term health and development outcomes in offspring, including growth, neurodevelopment and cognition, and cardio-metabolic, pulmonary and immune functions [20]. Poor nutritional status in mothers shortchanges a newborn's chance to reach their fullest potential in growth and development in the short term and establishes a trajectory for chronic illness and other diseases in adolescence and adulthood. Poor fetal and infant health due to maternal malnutrition are associated with stunting that can be sustained into adulthood, chronic diseases relating to nutrition, lower educational attainment, reduced income, and even decreased birthweight in the subsequent generation [21].

1.2. Current Strategies and Interventions

Several strategies exist globally to address micronutrient malnutrition in women and children. Common strategies include diet diversification, biofortification of staple crops, large-scale, targeted, and home fortification [22]. Micronutrient supplementation is another common strategy, often used for short-term, preventive purposes targeting specific at-risk population groups [4]. Micronutrients are ingested in the forms of tablets or other vehicles (e.g., syrup, drops, capsules, powder, or food matrices)

and bioconverted to their active form. Thus, supplementation is a recommended part of routine antenatal care to overcome complications associated with micronutrient deficiencies during pregnancy, and to support maternal health and fetal development. This review will focus on micronutrient supplementation interventions during pregnancy.

Currently, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends daily iron and folic acid (IFA) supplementation with 30–60 mg of elemental iron and 400 µg folic acid [23]. In populations where anemia prevalence is less than 20%, or where side effects from daily supplementation are severe, the WHO recommends intermittent (once weekly) supplementation with 120 mg of elemental iron and 2800 µg folic acid instead [23]. The WHO also recommends daily calcium supplementation (1.5–2.9 g oral elemental calcium) in populations with low dietary calcium intake and daily or weekly vitamin A supplementation (up to 10,000 IU or 25,000 IU, respectively) where vitamin A deficiency is a severe public health problem [23]. Zinc supplementation is only recommended where rigorous research supports its provision, and vitamin D supplementation is not recommended for pregnant women to improve maternal and perinatal outcomes [23]. The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine provide recommended dietary allowances (RDA) for micronutrients and vitamins during pregnancy [24] (see Table 1), which may slightly differ from recommendations by the WHO.

To address the issue of multiple, concurrent micronutrient deficiencies, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations University, and the WHO developed a multiple-micronutrient (MMN) tablet, called UNIMMAP. The MMN tablet provides the daily recommended intakes of vitamins A (800 µg), B1 (1.4 mg), B2 (1.4 mg), B6 (1.9 mg), B12 (2.6 mg), C (70 mg), D (200 IU) and E (10 mg), and niacin (18 mg), folic acid (400 µg), copper (2 mg), selenium (65 µg), and iodine (150 µg) with 30 mg of iron and 15 mg of zinc for pregnant women [25]. Comparing to the RDA for micronutrients and vitamins during pregnancy by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (Table 1), the UNIMMAP formulation is very similar with identical amounts for vitamins B1, B2, B3, B6 and B12, and slightly higher amounts of vitamin A, iron, zinc, copper, and selenium. The UNIMMAP formulation contains lower amounts, compared to the RDAs, for vitamins C, D, and E, and folic acid and iodine [24]. Similar tablets for supplementation have been developed on a case-by-case basis, and typically provide at least three micronutrients.

More recently, lipid-based nutrient supplements (LNS) have been used to address the adverse effects of micronutrient deficiencies in mothers. LNS supplements typically contain the same vitamins and minerals found in MMN supplements, with the added components of protein, essential fatty acids, and energy in the form of fats (such as vegetable fat, peanut/groundnut paste, milk powder and sugar) [26]. Table 1 highlights the RDA for protein, carbohydrates and fats for pregnant women of various age ranges [24]. Traditionally, lipid-based products, like Plumpy'nut, have also been used to treat severe acute malnutrition; however, they have since been adapted to be used also as a preventive therapy for undernutrition [26]. It is important to note that supplementation with MMN is not recommended by the WHO for pregnant women to improve maternal and perinatal outcomes. The WHO has indicated that more research needs to be conducted to determine which micronutrients improve maternal and perinatal outcomes. [23]. Further, the WHO has not yet issued any guidance for LNS [23].

Primary studies and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that some antenatal micronutrient supplementation interventions are efficacious in improving congenital/birth outcomes, including reduced risks of NTDs, cretinism, premature rupture of membranes (PROM), low birthweight and preterm birth [9,12,18,19,27–30]. It is important to consider that different supplements may require different timing of initiation and durations of exposure to produce clinically meaningful results. Folic acid supplementation is recommended as early as possible, ideally before conception [23], while daily iron supplementation at mid-gestation has been shown to be effective in improving health and development outcomes [31].

Vitamins, Micronutrients	Unit	Institute of Medicine Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) for Pregnancy						
and macromutilents		14–18 Years	19–30 Years	31–50 Years				
Vitamin A (retinol)	μg	750	770	770				
Vitamin B1 (thiamin)	mg	1.4	1.4	1.4				
Vitamin B2 (riboflavin)	mg	1.4	1.4	1.4				
Vitamin B3 (niacin)	mg	18	18	18				
Vitamin B5 (pantothenic acid)	mg	6	6	6				
Vitamin B6 (pyridoxine)	mg	1.9	1.9	1.9				
Vitamin B7 (biotin)	μg	30	30	30				
Vitamin B9 (folate)	μg	600	600	600				
Vitamin B12 (cobalamine)	μg	2.6	2.6	2.6				
Vitamin C (ascorbate)	mg	80	85	85				
Vitamin D (cholecalciferol)	IŬ	15	15	15				
Vitamin E (tocopherol acetate)	mg	15	15	15				
Vitamin K (phytomenadione)	μg	75	90	90				
Choline	mg	450	450	450				
Calcium	mg	1300	1000	1000				
Chromium	g	29	30	30				
Copper	μg	1000	1000	1000				
Fluoride	mg	3	3	3				
Iodine	μg	220	220	220				
Iron	mg	27	27	27				
Magnesium	mg	400	350	360				
Phosphorus	mg	1250	700	700				
Selenium	μg	60	60	60				
Zinc	mg	12	11	11				
Potassium	mg	2600	2900	2900				
Sodium	mg	1500	1500	1500				
Chloride	g	2.3	2.3	2.3				
Carbohydrate	g	175	175	175				
Fat	g	Not determined	Not determined	Not determined				
Linoleic Acid	g	13	13	13				
α-Linoleic Acid	g	1.4	1.4	1.4				
Protein	g	71	71	71				

Table 1. Daily Recommended Dietary Allowance for Vitamins, Micronutrients and Macronutrients for Pregnancy.

*Note: Adequate intakes are in *italics*.

1.3. Why it is Important to Do this Review

Several existing systematic reviews—many of which include trials conducted in LMICs—have examined the impact of single and multiple micronutrient supplementation interventions in pregnancy [18,19,31–43]. However, among the reported results, significant heterogeneity exists; this has not yet been explained by subgroup analysis. Further, for several micronutrient supplementation interventions, such as folic acid supplementation for maternal health outcomes and calcium supplementation for pregnancy and infant outcomes, there are inconclusive results that necessitate further investigation. Recently, concerns have been raised regarding the safety of iron supplementation in women with high hemoglobin concentrations, and the potentially negative long-term consequences that unabsorbed iron may have on child morbidity [44,45]. Moreover, many of the systematic reviews are several years old, highlighting the need for current and updated evidence, including newly completed trial data. This is especially important given the constantly changing nutrition landscape globally and the growing triple burden of obesity, malnutrition and micronutrient supplementation interventions in a real world setting.

The objective of this systematic review is to understand which antenatal supplementation interventions are effective at improving key maternal and child health, nutrition and mortality outcomes in LMICs, including data from large programme evaluations, as well as, smaller studies. Another aim is to conduct subgroup analyses to answer questions regarding potential differences in outcomes based on maternal/child and intervention characteristics.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Literature Search

We used the PICO methodology [46] to formulate our search strategy (see Supplementary Materials, Table S1) based on medical subject headings (MeSH) and key words. Both grey literature and databases were searched. Databases included CAB Abstracts, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, Embase, International Initiative for Impact Evaluations, LILACS, Medline, Popline, Web Science and WHO library database. Non-indexed, grey literature searches of organizational websites were also conducted to locate relevant studies. The date of the final search was 31 October 2019.

2.2. Study Selection and Data Abstraction

Studies for title and abstract screening and full-text screening were managed using Covidence, a streamlined, web-based software platform for systematic review processes. Titles and abstracts were screened independently. If eligibility could not be determined by screening the title alone, then the abstract was also screened. Full-text screening was conducted in duplicate, according to the same inclusion/exclusion criteria. Any disagreement was resolved by a third reviewer. Study eligibility criteria are summarized in Table 2.

Studies were eligible if they included data collected in or after 1995 on vitamin and mineral supplementation during pregnancy in healthy mothers of any age and parity in LMICs, which were defined based on the World Bank Group income classification at the time of the search. The year 1995 was used as a cut off to capture the best and most recent evidence on antenatal supplementation. There were no language restrictions. Studies were excluded if the maternal population was considered unhealthy (e.g., chronic and genetic diseases, metabolic and nutritional disorders). Though our aim was to include healthy pregnant women, we recognize that the prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies is high in LMICs, and that it is likely that women have one or more micronutrient deficiencies at baseline; women were not excluded on this basis. Eligible study designs included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and quasi-experimental designs (including, natural experiments, controlled before/after studies, regression discontinuity designs and interrupted time series studies). Reviews were excluded, but reference lists were hand-searched for relevant studies. All data was extracted in duplicate, using a standardized, previously piloted data abstraction form that comprised of a general study information sheet and a quantitative outcome sheet.

Inclusion Criteria

- Low- or middle-income country (LMIC) setting
- Pregnant mothers of any age and parity, who are healthy. Though the aim is to include healthy pregnant woman, the likelihood of the women having one or more micronutrient deficiencies at baseline is common; however, women will not be excluded on this basis
- Types of interventions
 - Single micronutrient supplementation (calcium, vitamin D, iodine, folic acid, iron, vitamin A, zinc, vitamin B12) compared to placebo
 - Iron folic acid (IFA) supplementation compared to folic acid (FA) alone or placebo
 - Vitamin D plus calcium supplementation compared to placebo
 - O Multiple micronutrient (MMN) supplementation compared to IFA supplementation or placebo
 - O Lipid-based nutrient (LNS) supplementation compared to MMN supplementation
- Relevant study designs
 - O Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with a control arm
 - O Quasi-experimental designs including:
 - Natural experiments
 - Controlled before/after studies
 - Regression discontinuity designs
 - Interrupted time series designs
- Date collection in 1995 or later

Exclusion Criteria

- Unhealthy population (e.g., populations with chronic or genetic diseases, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), tuberculosis (TB) or metabolic disorders)
- Irrelevant study designs: reviews and observational study designs (i.e., cross-sectional studies)

2.3. Quality Assessment

Quality assessment of individual studies was conducted in duplicate. Individual studies were critically appraised using the Cochrane Risk of Bias (ROB) tool for RCTs and the Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care (EPOC) guidelines for controlled before/after studies and interrupted time series studies. We considered the following domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, similar baseline outcome measurements, similar baseline characteristics, incomplete outcome data, knowledge of the allocated interventions adequately prevented during study, protection against contamination, selective outcome reporting and other risk of bias (e.g., bias in measurement). Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion and with a third reviewer.

2.4. Data Synthesis

Statistical analysis was conducted using Review Manager 5.3. A random effects meta-analysis was used to mitigate heterogeneity within included studies of a given synthesis. The generic

inverse-variance approach was used for both dichotomous and continuous outcomes to adjust study weights according to the variance of the effect estimate. The GRADE tool was used to assess the quality of the evidence for the primary outcomes for which meta-analysis was conducted. The outcomes assessed with GRADE were maternal mortality, maternal anemia, low birthweight and perinatal mortality. Meta-analyses were conducted only where there were data for, at minimum, three studies per outcome of interest.

Subgroup analyses for primary outcomes, where ≥three studies per subgroup of interest were available, were conducted for the following variables: maternal age, geographical region (based on the WHO regions), duration of intervention, dose of the intervention for iron and iron-folic acid supplementation, the formulation for multiple micronutrient supplements, sex of infant, and baseline nutritional status in mothers (anemia status, nutritional status based on body mass index, low vs. normal stature).

An exploratory post-hoc analysis was also conducted for both primary and secondary outcomes provided available data, comparing studies that strictly gave the single micronutrient supplement and those that provided additional micronutrients (e.g., iron-folic acid) with the single micronutrient in question, or for MMN vs. IFA, MMN supplements that contained >4 components and those that contained three or four micronutrients. A post-hoc sensitivity analysis was also conducted based on studies that reported a conflict of interest. The methodology for this review is described in detail in the published protocol [47].

3. Results

From a database search of 27,987 citations and from 670 citations identified through hand-searching and a grey literature search, 1246 full-text articles and 432 full-text articles, respectively, were screened. Of these, a total of 72 unique studies, with 314 associated papers, were identified for inclusion based on our pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria (Figure 1). Eight studies were included in the review, but did not contribute data to the meta-analyses for reasons including incomplete data and no reported outcomes of interest [48–55]. Subgroup analyses were conducted for the following comparisons: zinc vs. placebo, iron vs. placebo, and MMN vs. IFA supplementation. Post-hoc subgroup analyses were conducted for all outcomes, across all comparisons when ≥three studies per subgroup of interest were available.

The majority of studies were conducted in four regions: East Asia and Pacific (nstudies = 12; [50,53,56–65]), Middle-East and North Africa (n = 13; [54,66–77]), sub-Saharan Africa (n = 18; [51,78–94]) and South Asia (n = 19; [49,95–112]). Seven studies were conducted in Latin America and the Caribbean [48,113–118], and only one trial was conducted in Europe and Central Asia (Turkey; [52]). Two trials were multi-country studies [55,119], conducted in countries representative of multiple geographical regions. A summary of study characteristics can be found in the Supplementary Materials, Table S2.

Overall, the majority of included studies were deemed high quality. The risk of bias was generally low, with at least 75% of the judgements assessed as low risk for four domains (blinding of participants, personnel and outcome assessment, selective reporting and other biases), and at least 50% of the judgements as low risk for three domains of the quality assessment (random sequence generation, allocation concealment and incomplete outcome data).First com

The 64 studies included in data analyses enrolled 439,649 women of varying gestational age at baseline, ranging from early pregnancy (<13 weeks gestation) to <37 weeks gestation. Most participants were enrolled at mid-gestation at baseline (approximately 20 weeks gestational age). Inclusion criteria most studies were similar, requiring pregnant women who were healthy, without anemia or any other known chronic or systemic medical condition (e.g., cardiovascular diseases, tuberculosis, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)) or heightened risk of pregnancy complications, such as a history of gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia or eclampsia. Baseline characteristics of participants assigned to the intervention and control groups were overall comparable for the majority of studies, with

the exception of five studies. In two studies, the control or placebo groups included participants that differed from those in the intervention group, in terms of land ownership and socio-economic status [93,98]. In the study by Friis et al., there were more primigravidae participants in the control group compared to the intervention group [83]. In the Roberfroid et al. study, the serum hemoglobin level of participants in the intervention group was lower than those in the control group at baseline [90]. Lastly, in the study by Ramakrishnan et al., in the intervention group, there was a greater proportion of single mothers, and participants with a low mean BMI in comparison to the control group [118]. Across all studies, supplements were given orally in the form of tablets, drops, syrup or powder and were given to participants from the time of enrollment until the end of pregnancy, the most common endpoint of the intervention.



Figure 1. Study flow diagram.

3.1. Vitamin A Supplementation Versus Placebo

Nine studies assessed vitamin A supplementation compared to placebo or no treatment [53,60,63,79,86,92,94,110,111]. Of these, one study was excluded from meta-analysis because it reported no poolable outcomes, but was narratively synthesized [53] (see Supplementary Materials, Table S3). Thus, eight studies were included in the meta-analyses [60,63,79,86,92,94,110,111]. Four of these studies were conducted in the sub-Saharan Africa—of which, two were in Ghana [79,86], one in Malawi [92] and one in Tanzania [94]. From East Asia and the Pacific, two studies were conducted in Indonesia [60,63], and from South Asia, one study took place in Nepal [111], and the other in Bangladesh [110]. Three studies provided supplementation from enrollment till the end of pregnancy [60,92,111], whereas, two studies provided supplements from enrollment until 6 weeks postpartum [79] and 12 weeks postpartum [110], respectively, and one study did not indicate the intervention endpoint [86].

Vitamin A supplementation, compared to placebo, showed no impact on maternal mortality (average risk ratio (RR) 0.90, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 0.68 to 1.18; studies = 3; GRADE: low-quality evidence), nor any effect on the risk of stillbirths (average RR 1.01, 95% CI 0.96 to 1.07; studies = 3) or maternal hemoglobin concentration (average MD 0.51 g/L, 95% CI -2.42 to 3.43; studies = 5).

Supplementation may have improved maternal serum retinol concentration (average MD 0.13 umol/L, 95% CI -0.03 to 0.30; studies = 6) (Figure 2).

	Vit	amin /	A	PI	acebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Kirkwood 2010	1.12	0.56	223	1.18	0.52	217	18.5%	-0.06 [-0.16, 0.04]	
Muslimatun 2001	0.97	0.25	71	0.79	0.51	53	17.1%	0.18 [0.03, 0.33]	
Semba 2001 (1)	0.86	0.24	63	0.78	0.22	52	18.9%	0.08 [-0.00, 0.16]	
Tanumihardjo 2002	0.72	0.25	7	0.89	0.32	7	12.0%	-0.17 [-0.47, 0.13]	
West 1999	1.53	0.41	31	1.15	0.57	36	14.1%	0.38 [0.14, 0.62]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
West 2011	1.46	0.41	457	1.13	0.4	437	19.4%	0.33 [0.28, 0.38]	
Total (95% CI)			852			802	100.0%	0.13 [-0.03, 0.30]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.04; Cł	ni² = 64	1.42, df	= 5 (P =	0.000	101); I ^z :	= 92%	2	
Test for overall effect.	Z=1.57	(P = 0)	.12)						-1 -U.5 U U.5 1

Footnotes

(1) Assumed same sample sizes for intervention and control group as reported for serum hemoglobin

Figure 2. Forest plot for comparison vitamin A supplementation versus placebo/no vitamin A baseline to post-intervention for maternal serum/plasma retinol concentration (umol/L).

No subgroup analysis for primary outcomes was possible for this comparison due to an insufficient number of studies per subgroup of interest.

3.2. Zinc Supplementation Versus Placebo

Thirteen studies evaluated zinc supplementation compared to placebo in women during pregnancy [53,56,66,74,91,94,95,98,99,103,114,115,117]. Prawirohartono et al. was not included in meta-analysis because it reported no poolable outcomes, but was narratively synthesized [53] (see Supplementary Materials, Table S3). Of these, twelve were included in the meta-analyses [56,66,74,91,94,95,98,99,103,114,115,117]. Four studies were conducted in South Asia, with two of these conducted in Bangladesh [95,103], one in Pakistan [99], and one in Nepal [98]. Three studies were conducted in Latin America and the Caribbean, specifically two in Peru [115,117] and on in Chile [114]. From the Middle East and North Africa region, two studies were conducted in Iran [66,74], and two studies were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa, one study each in Ghana [91] and in Tanzania [94]. One study was conducted in Indonesia, in East Asia and the Pacific [56].

The majority of the studies (n = 8) provided zinc supplementation until the end of pregnancy [56,66,74,91,94,98,99,103], while two studies provided zinc until one month postpartum [115,117], and one study until 6 months postpartum [95]. One study did not explicitly state the endpoint of the intervention [114].

Zinc supplementation, compared to placebo, showed no impact on the risk of having a low birthweight baby (average RR 1.08, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.25; studies = 10; GRADE: moderate-quality evidence). A subgroup analysis was conducted for the outcome of low birthweight based on geographical region; no significant differences were observed (P for subgroup differences = 0.39). Further, zinc supplementation showed little to no effect on reducing the risk of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (average RR 1.01, 95% CI 0.53 to 1.93; studies = 3), preterm birth (average RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.80 to 1.17; studies = 11) and infants considered SGA (average RR 1.05, 9% CI 0.97 to 1.13; studies = 3). In post-hoc analysis, no differences were observed between studies that provided zinc with additional micronutrients and studies that strictly provided zinc.

However, zinc supplementation may have improved maternal serum/plasma zinc concentrations, although the lower limit of the confidence interval just crossed the line of no effect (average MD 0.43 umol/L, 95% CI -0.04 to 0.89; studies = 5) (Figure 3). In a post-hoc analysis, studies that provided strictly zinc showed a greater improvement in maternal serum/plasma zinc concentration (average MD 0.86 umol/L, 95% CI 0.67 to 1.05; studies = 2) than studies that provided additional micronutrients (average MD 0.01 umol/L, 95% CI -0.70 to 0.72; studies = 3).



Figure 3. Forest plot for comparison zinc supplementation versus placebo/no zinc from baseline to post-intervention for maternal serum/plasma zinc concentration (umol/L).

3.3. Iron Supplementation Versus Placebo

Thirteen studies examined the effects of iron supplementation during pregnancy on maternal and infant health, compared to placebo [52,59,63–65,70,72,76,77,81,87,89,98]. In the meta-analyses, twelve studies were included [59,63–65,70,72,76,77,81,87,89,98]. Korkmaz et al. was not included because it did not report any outcomes of interest for meta-analysis; however, it was narratively synthesized [52] (see Supplementary Materials, Table S3).

Four studies were conducted in the East Asia and Pacific region—of which, three were conducted in China [59,64,65], and one in Indonesia [63]. Four studies were conducted in the Middle East and North Africa, specifically in Iran [70,72,76,77], and three studies were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa in Tanzania [81], the Gambia [87], and Niger [89]. One study was conducted in Nepal in the South Asia region [98]. The majority of studies provided supplements that contained 60 mg of elemental iron [63–65,70,81,87], while two studies gave 50 mg of iron [76,77], and three studies gave supplements with 30 mg of iron [59,72,98]. One study gave much higher amounts of elemental iron to women during their pregnancy; 100 mg [89]. Of the twelve studies, five provided folic acid supplementation as a co-intervention [59,76,81,87,98]. In terms of duration of intervention, the majority of studies (studies = 10) provided iron supplements until the end of pregnancy [59,64,65,70,72,76,81,87,89,98], while two studies gave supplementation until 6 weeks [77] and 8 weeks [63] postpartum, respectively.

When compared to placebo or no treatment, iron supplementation reduced the risk of maternal anemia by 47% (average RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.43 to 0.65; studies = 6; GRADE: moderate-quality evidence) (Figure 4), and reduced the risk of having a low birthweight baby by 12% (average RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.78 to 0.99; studies = 4; GRADE: high-quality evidence) (Figure 5). However, findings showed no effect of iron on the risk of perinatal mortality (average RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.71 to 1.08; studies = 4; GRADE: high-quality evidence).

	Iron	ron Placebo		Iron		Placebo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl		
6.1.1 Iron vs. Placebo	o (additio	nal mic	ronutrie	nts)					
Christian 2003	38	136	89	138	14.4%	0.43 [0.32, 0.58]	_		
Etheredge 2015	144	481	248	501	18.1%	0.60 [0.51, 0.71]	_ 		
Liu 2013	327	5913	452	5896	18.7%	0.72 [0.63, 0.83]	_ _		
Zeng 2008	87	278	133	218	17.0%	0.51 [0.42, 0.63]	-		
Zhao 2015	109	1179	201	800	16.7%	0.37 [0.30, 0.46]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		7987		7553	84.8%	0.52 [0.41, 0.66]			
Total events	705		1123						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.07; Ch	i² = 32.	07, df = 4	(P < 0.	00001); F	² = 88%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.27 ((P < 0.0	00001)						
0.4.0.01-1-11-1									
6.1.2 Strictly Iron vs.	Placebo								
Preziosi 1997	41	99	69	98	15.2%	0.59 [0.45, 0.77]			
Subtotal (95% CI)		99		98	15.2%	0.59 [0.45, 0.77]			
Total events	41		69						
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable								
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.89 ((P < 0.0	001)						
Total (05% CI)		9006		7654	100.0%	0.53 [0.43.0.65]			
Total (95% CI)		6060		1051	100.0%	0.55 [0.45, 0.05]			
l otal events	746		1192						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.06; Ch	i² = 32.1	11, df = 5	(P < 0.	00001); F	*= 84%	05 07 1 15 2		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.96 ((P < 0.0	00001)				Favours Iron Favours Placebo		
Test for subgroup diff	erences:	Chi ² = I	0.44, df=	1 (P =	0.51), I ² =	0%			

Figure 4. Forest plot for comparison iron supplementation versus placebo/no iron from baseline to post-intervention on the risk of maternal anemia.



Figure 5. Forest plot for comparison iron supplementation versus placebo/no iron from baseline to post-intervention on the risk of low birthweight infants.

For maternal anemia, a post-hoc analysis was conducted between studies that gave strictly iron supplements versus placebo and studies that provided iron supplements with other additional micronutrients, typically vitamin A or folic acid; no significant differences between subgroups were observed (P for subgroup differences = 0.51) (Figure 4). Further, subgroup analyses were conducted for maternal anemia according to geographical region and the dose of iron in the intervention supplement. There were no significant differences between groups for both subgroup variables (geographical region: P for subgroup differences = 0.49 and dose of iron: P for subgroup differences = 0.69).

Among the secondary outcomes, iron supplementation improved maternal hemoglobin concentration (average MD 7.80 g/L, 95% CI 4.08 to 11.52; studies = 11) and maternal serum/plasma ferritin concentrations (average MD 25.30 ug/L, 95% CI 9.74 to 40.87; studies = 9). In a post-hoc analysis, no differences were observed for maternal hemoglobin concentration between studies that provided strictly iron and studies that gave additional micronutrients; however, there were significant differences for maternal serum/plasma ferritin concentrations. Studies that gave iron with additional micronutrients showed a greater effect on ferritin concentration (average MD 32.87 ug/L, 95% CI 15.39 to 50.34; studies = 6) than studies that gave only iron (average MD 7.09 ug/L, 95% CI 4.45 to 9.72; studies = 3). Further, iron supplementation reduced rates of iron deficiency when compared to placebo (average RR 0.54, 95% CI 0.40 to 0.74; studies = 4). This effect increased in studies that strictly gave iron (average RR 0.34, 95% CI 0.23 to 0.51; studies = 2) compared to studies that provided additional supplements with the iron (average RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.54 to 0.83; studies = 2).

Iron supplementation appeared to have little effect on maternal transferrin receptor concentration (average MD -0.16 mg/L, 95% CI -0.96 to 0.65; studies = 3), and on reducing the risk of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (average RR 1.55, 95% CI 0.91 to 2.63; studies= 3), neonatal mortality (average RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.31), infant mortality (average RR 1.10, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.45; studies = 3),

preterm births (average RR 0.94, 95% CI 0.63 to 1.41; studies = 6), and SGA infants (average RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.24; studies = 4).

3.4. Vitamin D Supplementation Versus Placebo

Eleven studies were included in the meta-analyses for vitamin D supplementation compared to placebo or no vitamin D [67,69,71,73,75,100,101,105–107,112]. More than half of the studies (studies = 6) were conducted in South Asia—of which, two were in Bangladesh [105,106], two in Pakistan [100,101], and two in India [107,112]. The other half of the studies (studies = 5) were conducted in the Middle East and North Africa region—all of which were in Iran [67,69,71,73,75]. Six studies provided vitamin D supplementation until the end of pregnancy [71,73,75,100,101,106], while three studies specifically stated that supplementation was provided for eight weeks [69], nine weeks [67], and 10 weeks [105] from the time of enrollment, respectively.

Findings showed that vitamin D supplementation may have reduced the risk of preterm births by 36% (average RR 0.64, 95% CI 0.40 to 1.04; studies = 7), though the upper limit of the confidence interval just crossed the line of no effect (Figure 6). It was noted that studies that strictly gave vitamin D showed a greater reduction in preterm birth risk (average RR 0.33, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.62; studies = 2), compared to studies that provided additional supplements such as iron and folic acid (average RR 0.94, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.36; studies = 5) (Figure 6).





Vitamin D supplementation made no difference on the risk of infants born SGA (average RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.51 to 1.53; studies = 3), the risk of having a Caesarean section as a mode of delivery (average RR 1.05, 95% CI 0.94 to 1.18; studies = 5), or maternal serum/plasma calcium concentrations (average MD -0.06 mg/dL, 95% CI -0.21 to 0.09; studies = 5), but did significantly increase the vitamin D concentrations in pregnant mothers (average MD 44.70 umol/L, 95% CI 21.94 to 67.45; studies = 9).

No subgroup analysis was conducted for this comparison as no primary outcomes were reported for this comparison.

3.5. Calcium Supplementation Versus Placebo

Five studies assessed calcium supplementation in pregnant women [51,102,113,116,119]—of which, four were included in the meta-analysis [102,113,116,119]. Two of these studies were conducted in Latin America and the Caribbean [113,116], and one study took place in South Asia [102]. The fourth study was a multi-country study that was conducted in Peru, South Africa, Vietnam, and

India [119]. Jarjou et al. was excluded on the basis that no common outcomes of interest were reported and could not be pooled with other studies [51]; however, the paper was narratively synthesized (see Supplementary Materials, Table S3).

Calcium supplementation, compared to placebo, did not impact the risk of having a low birthweight baby (average RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.04; studies = 3; GRADE: high-quality evidence), stillbirths (average RR 0.87, 95% CI 0.70 to 1.07; studies = 4), preterm births (average RR 0.84, 95% CI 0.65 to 1.08; studies = 4), or Caesarean section as a mode of delivery (average RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.15; studies = 3).

However, calcium supplementation may have improved the risk of maternal pre-eclampsia/eclampsia, although the upper limit of the confidence interval just crossed the line of no effect (average RR 0.45, 95% CI 0.19 to 1.06; studies = 4) (Figure 7). In a post-hoc analysis, studies that provided only calcium to mothers showed a greater reduction in the risk of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia (average RR 0.30, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.52; studies = 3) compared to studies that provided additional micronutrients (average RR 0.92, 95% CI 0.75 to 1.13; studies = 1) (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Forest plot for comparison calcium supplementation versus no calcium/placebo on the risk of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia in mothers during pregnancy.

No subgroup analysis for primary outcomes was conducted for this comparison due to an insufficient number of studies per subgroup of interest.

3.6. Iron and Folic Acid Versus Folic Acid Supplementation

Seven studies were included in the meta-analyses for iron and folic acid (IFA) supplementation versus folic acid (FA) supplementation or placebo [59,64,65,76,81,87,98]. Of these, three studies were conducted in the East Asia Pacific region, specifically in China [59,64,65], two studies were from sub-Saharan Africa [81,87], one from South Asia in Nepal [98], and one in Iran, the Middle East and North Africa region [76]. Across all studies, similar formulations of IFA and FA were utilized, with the majority of studies providing 50 or 60 mg of elemental iron and one study providing 30 mg of elemental iron [59]. Folic acid was typically provided in amounts of 400 μ g, with two studies providing 5000 μ g [81,87] and another 1000 μ g of folic acid [76].

IFA supplementation compared to FA, across five studies [59,64,65,81,98], showed a 48% reduction in the risk of maternal anemia in the third trimester of pregnancy (average RR 0.52, 95% CI 0.41 to 0.66; studies = 5; GRADE: moderate-quality evidence) (Figure 8). For the risk of low birthweight babies, a 12% reduction was noted across four studies (average RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.78 to 0.99; studies = 4; GRADE: high-quality evidence) (Figure 9). However, IFA did not reduce the risk of perinatal mortality (average RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.71 to 1.08; studies = 4; GRADE: moderate-quality evidence).



Footnotes

*Adjusted for multiple births and cluster randomisation in general estimating equation linear models
 Adjusted for baseline iron measures, duration of supplementation, and gestational age at birth

Figure 8. Forest plot of comparison Iron-Folic Acid (IFA) versus Folic Acid (FA) supplementation/placebo, from baseline to post-intervention on the risk of maternal anemia.

	IFA		FA			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Christian 2003	182	529	218	523	55.2%	0.83 [0.71, 0.96]	_
Etheredge 2015	45	672	51	691	8.9%	0.91 [0.62, 1.34]	
Liu 2013	129	5922	125	5905	22.5%	1.03 [0.81, 1.31]	
Zeng 2008	66	1470	82	1545	13.3%	0.85 [0.62, 1.16]	
Total (95% CI)		8593		8664	100.0%	0.88 [0.78, 0.99]	
Total events	422		476				
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	: 0.00; Chi	* = 2.3	9, df = 3 (P = 0.5	0); I ² = 0%	6	
Test for overall effect:	Z= 2.22 (P = 0.0)3)				0.7 0.85 T 1.2 1.5 Favours IFA Favours FA

Figure 9. Forest plot of comparison IFA versus FA supplementation/placebo, from baseline to post-intervention on the risk of low birthweight infants.

Notable secondary outcomes that showed significant increases with IFA supplementation were maternal hemoglobin concentration (average mean difference (MD) 6.95 g/L, 95% CI 2.80 to 11.1; studies = 7) and maternal serum/plasma ferritin concentration (average MD 15.87 ug/L, 95% CI 2.96 to 28.79; studies = 5). However, IFA supplementation did not show significant differences for the following outcomes: maternal serum/plasma transferrin receptor concentration (average MD -0.16 mg/L, 95% CI -0.96 to 0.65; studies = 3), neonatal mortality (average RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.55 to 1.31; studies = 3), preterm births (average RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.64 to 1.44; studies = 5), SGA infants (average RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.23; studies = 4), and infant mortality (average RR 1.10, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.45; studies = 3).

No subgroup analysis for primary outcomes was conducted for this comparison due to an insufficient number of studies per subgroup of interest.

3.7. Multiple Micronutrient Supplementation Versus Iron Folic Acid Supplementation

Thirty-four studies were identified and included the in meta-analyses MMN for supplementation compared to iron with or without folic acid supplementation [56-62,64,66,68,74,78,80,82,83,85,88,90-93,96-99,104-106,108,109,115,117-119]. Ten of these studies were conducted in the sub-Saharan Africa region-of which, two were conducted in Ghana [80,91], two in Malawi [78,92], and one each in Burkina Faso [90], Gambia [88], Guinea-Bissau [85], Tanzania [82], Zimbabwe [83], and Niger [93]. From the East Asia and Pacific region, four studies were conducted in Indonesia [56,60–62], two in Vietnam [57,58], and two in China [59,64]. Eight studies were from the South Asia region—of which, five were from Bangladesh [97,105,106,108,109], two were conducted in Nepal [98,104], and two in Pakistan [96,99]. Within Latin America and the Caribbean, two studies were conducted in Peru [115,117], and one in Mexico [118]. Three studies were conducted in Iran, in the Middle East and North Africa [66,68,74].

Of these, 11 studies used the UNIMMAP formulation, developed by UNICEF, WHO and the United Nations University (See Table 1) [58,59,61,62,64,85,90,93,96,104,108]. The UNIMMAP supplement contains 800 retinol equivalents of Vitamin A, 200 IU Vitamin D, 10 mg of Vitamin E, 70 mg of Vitamin C, 1.4 mg of thiamin, 1.4 mg of riboflavin, 18 mg of niacin, 1.9 mg of pyridoxine, 2.6 mg of cobalamin, 400 µg of folic acid, 30 mg of iron, 15 mg of zinc, 2 mg of copper, 65 mg of selenium and 150 µg of iodine.

Three studies used an adapted UNIMMAP formulation whereby the same combination, but different dosages, of vitamins and minerals were included in the supplement [57,88,109]. The remaining 20 studies used non-UNIMMAP formulations for their MMN supplement, comprised of as few as three micronutrients and vitamins and some of which included other components such as pantothenic acid, vitamin K and manganese [56,60,66,68,74,78,80,82,83,91,92,97–99,105,106,115,117–119).

Compared to iron supplementation with or without folic acid, MMN supplementation demonstrated no impact on maternal mortality (average RR 1.04, 95% CI 0.71 to 1.51; studies = 7; GRADE: moderate-quality evidence); perinatal mortality (average RR 1.00, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.11; studies = 16; GRADE: high-quality evidence); maternal anemia (average RR 1.02; 95% CI 0.95 to 1.10; studies = 16; GRADE: high-quality evidence); and iron deficiency anemia (average RR 1.12, 95% CI 0.62 to 2.02; studies = 4; GRADE: very low-quality evidence). However, MMN supplementation showed a 15% reduction in the risk of delivering a low birthweight baby (average RR 0.85, 95% CI 0.77 to 0.93; studies = 28 studies; GRADE: high-quality evidence) when compared to iron with or without folic acid (Figure 10). A post-hoc analysis revealed a greater reduction in the risk of LBW (average RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.88; studies = 19) in studies whose MMN formulation contained > 4 micronutrients, compared to studies whose MMN supplement contained only 3 or 4 components (average RR 1.01, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.11; studies = 9) (Figure 10). For a description of the subgroup analyses for these primary outcomes, see Section 3.7.2 Subgroup Analyses for MMN vs. IFA supplementation.

			MMN	Fe+/- FA		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Total	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl			
2.4.1 >4 micronutrient	s									
Ashorn 2015	0.2738	0.2266	379	385	2.8%	1.31 [0.84, 2.05]				
Bhutta 2009	-0.1985	0.1426	574	615	4.6%	0.82 [0.62, 1.08]				
Dewey 2009	-0.3603	0.2181	318	305	2.9%	0.70 [0.45, 1.07]				
Fawzi 2007	-0.1985	0.0807	3923	3915	6.6%	0.82 [0.70, 0.96]	+			
Friis 2004	-0.3011	0.2537	564	542	2.4%	0.74 [0.45, 1.22]				
Hanieh 2013	-0.0631	0.3918	381	399	1.2%	0.94 [0.44, 2.02]				
Huy 2009	-0.982	0.2489	527	517	2.4%	0.37 [0.23, 0.61]				
Kæstel 2005	-0.1315	0.1921	367	385	3.4%	0.88 [0.60, 1.28]				
Liu 2013	-1.054	0.1282	5913	5922	5.0%	0.35 [0.27, 0.45]	+			
Moore 2012	-0.2341	0.3242	164	146	1.6%	0.79 [0.42, 1.49]				
Osrin 2005	-0.2866	0.1167	529	523	5.4%	0.75 [0.60, 0.94]	+			
Ramakrishnan 2003	-0.0458	0.2577	323	322	2.3%	0.96 [0.58, 1.58]	-+-			
Roberfroid 2008	-0.0629	0.1462	526	526	4.5%	0.94 [0.71, 1.25]	+			
SUMMIT 2008	-0.1508	0.0836	5695	5406	6.5%	0.86 [0.73, 1.01]	-			
Sunawang 2009	-0.1682	0.3016	384	341	1.8%	0.85 [0.47, 1.53]				
Tofail 2008	-0.0992	0.0655	363	361	7.1%	0.91 [0.80, 1.03]	-			
West 2014	-0.1278	0.0177	10642	10530	8.1%	0.88 [0.85, 0.91]	•			
Zagré 2007	-0.1562	0.1491	1328	1222	4.4%	0.86 [0.64, 1.15]	-+			
Zeng 2008	-0.1034	0.2013	1406	1470	3.2%	0.90 [0.61, 1.34]	-			
Subtotal (95% CI)			34306	33832	76.2%	0.79 [0.71, 0.88]	•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	1.03; Chi ² = 70.40	, df = 18 (P < 0.00	0001); I ^z = 3	74%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 4.19 (P < 0.000	01)								
2.4.2 < or equal to 4 mi	icronutrients									
Aminisani 2009	-2 5536	1 4599	87	88	0.1%	0.08/0.00/1.361				
Caulfield 1999	-0.0397	0.3368	488	469	1.5%	0.96 [0.50, 1.86]				
Christian 2003	0.0001	0.0000	588	556	64%		+			
Diikhuizen 2001	0.4595	0.5854	42	38	0.6%	1 58 [0 50 4 99]				
Hafeez 2005	0.3102	0.3756	121	121	1.3%	1.36 [0.65, 2.85]				
Roth 2018 (MDIG)	0.3667	0.2077	148	149	31%	1 44 [0 96 2 17]				
Saaka 2009	-0.1662	0.2974	272	271	1.9%	0.85 [0.47, 1.52]				
Sorouri 2016	-0.1036	0.3223	263	265	1.6%					
Villar 2006	-0.0211	0.0579	3930	3938	7.3%	0.98 [0.87, 1.10]	+			
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.0211	0.001.0	5939	5895	23.8%	1.01 [0.92, 1.11]	•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	100 ⁻ Chi ² = 8.10	df = 8 (P =	= 0.42)	l² = 1%		. / .				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.26 (P = 0.79)		0.12/1							
T-4-1 (05%) OB			400.45	00767	100.00	0.0510.77.0.00				
Total (95% CI)			40245	39727	100.0%	0.85 [0.77, 0.93]				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	1.03; Chi ² = 89.88	df = 27 (P < 0.00	JUU1); I* = 1	/U%		0.005 0.1 1 10 200			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.63 (P = 0.000	J3)					Favours MMN Favours Fe +/- FA			
Test for subgroup differ	Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 11.51, df = 1 (P = 0.0007), l ² = 91.3%									

Figure 10. Forest plot of comparison MMN versus IFA supplementation, from baseline to post-intervention, on the risk of low birthweight infants.

MMN supplementation showed a 9% reduction in the risk of stillbirths (average RR 0.91, 95% CI 0.86 to 0.98; studies = 22) (Figure 11.) and a 7% reduction in the risk of SGA infants (average RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.88 to 0.98; studies = 19) (Figure 12). For SGA infants, a post-hoc analysis showed a greater reductive effect among studies that used MMN supplements with > 4 micronutrients (average RR 0.90, 95% CI 0.85 to 0.96; studies = 16) compared to studies whose supplements had 3 or 4 components (average RR 1.07, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.16; studies = 3) (Figure 12).

			MMN	Fe+/- FA		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Total	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
2.15.1 >4 micronutrien	ts								
Ashorn 2015	-0.9491	0.8333	433	434	0.2%	0.39 [0.08, 1.98]			
Bhutta 2009	0.1398	0.2113	372	416	2.6%	1.15 [0.76, 1.74]			
Dewey 2009	-0.2655	0.4982	354	349	0.5%	0.77 [0.29, 2.04]			
Fawzi 2007	-0.1744	0.1154	4188	4179	8.8%	0.84 [0.67, 1.05]			
Friis 2004	0.6849	0.8628	357	358	0.2%	1.98 [0.37, 10.76]			
Hanieh 2013	-0.6675	0.8631	319	334	0.2%	0.51 [0.09, 2.78]			
Kæstel 2005	-0.4513	0.2869	544	547	1.4%	0.64 [0.36, 1.12]			
Liu 2013	-0.0726	0.268	5942	5954	1.6%	0.93 [0.55, 1.57]			
Osrin 2005	-0.1875	0.3446	571	568	1.0%	0.83 [0.42, 1.63]			
Ramakrishnan 2003	0.217	0.6663	329	327	0.3%	1.24 [0.34, 4.59]			
Roberfroid 2008	0.8046	0.4212	632	628	0.7%	2.24 [0.98, 5.10]			
SUMMIT 2008	-0.1053	0.09302	12182	11934	13.5%	0.90 [0.75, 1.08]			
Sunawang 2009	-0.0941	0.5286	334	304	0.4%	0.91 [0.32, 2.56]			
Tofail 2008	-0.0807	0.2576	1218	1244	1.8%	0.92 [0.56, 1.53]			
West 2014	-0.1165	0.0481	13063	12920	50.4%	0.89 [0.81, 0.98]	•		
Zagré 2007	0.1635	0.215	1268	1151	2.5%	1.18 [0.77, 1.79]			
Zeng 2008	0.297	0.2166	1178	1189	2.5%	1.35 [0.88, 2.06]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			43284	42836	88.3%	0.91 [0.85, 0.98]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.00; Chi² = 15.46,	, df = 16 (F	= 0.49);	I² = 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.53 (P = 0.01)								
2.15.2 < or equal to 4 n	nicronutrients								
Aminisani 2009	0.0112	0.9888	89	90	0.1%	1 01 0 15 7 02			
Christian 2003	0.3646	0.2513	756	660	1.8%	1.44 [0.88, 2.36]			
Roth 2013 (AVIDD)	0.0136	1.4046	80	80	0.1%	1.01 [0.06, 15,90]			
Roth 2018 (MDIG)	-0.1374	0.51	260	259	0.4%	0.87 (0.32, 2.37)			
Villar 2006	-0.1523	0.1122	4181	4197	9.3%	0.86 [0.69, 1.07]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			5366	5286	11.7%	0.93 [0.77, 1.14]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.00; Chi ² = 3.56, (df = 4 (P =	0.47); P	= 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.68 (P = 0.50)								
Total (95% CI)			48650	48122	100.0%	0.91 [0.86, 0.98]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.00; Chi ² = 19.07,	df = 21 (F	= 0.58);	I² = 0%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.61 (P = 0.009)) .					U.U5 U.Z 1 5 Eavoure MMN Eavoure Ea +/ EA	20	
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 0.05, df = 1 (P = 0.82), I ² = 0% Favours MMIN Favours Fe +/- FA									

Figure 11. Forest plot of comparison MMN versus IFA supplementation, from baseline to post-intervention, on the risk of stillbirths.

MMN supplementation probably improved the reduction in preterm births although the upper limit of the CI just crossed the line of no effect (average RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.01; studies = 29). Interestingly, in a post-hoc analysis, studies that provided MMN containing only 3 or 4 micronutrients showed a slightly greater reduction in the risk of preterm births (average RR 0.93, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.02; studies = 11) compared to studies that gave MMN with >4 micronutrients (average RR 0.97, 95% CI 0.91 to 1.03; studies = 18), although for both subgroups, the upper limits of their confidence intervals just crossed the line of no effect.

			MMN	Fe+/- FA		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	log[Risk Ratio]	SE	Total	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl				
2.18.1 >4 micronutrients											
Ashorn 2015	-0.0139	0.1696	379	385	2.3%	0.99 [0.71, 1.38]					
Bhutta 2009	-0.0305	0.1112	372	456	4.7%	0.97 [0.78, 1.21]					
Dewey 2009	-0.1381	0.1133	317	304	4.5%	0.87 [0.70, 1.09]					
Fawzi 2007	-0.2614	0.0634	4079	4058	9.9%	0.77 [0.68, 0.87]	_ - _				
Friis 2004	-0.2163	0.2355	325	334	1.3%	0.81 [0.51, 1.28]					
Kæstel 2005	-0.2763	0.2549	279	291	1.1%	0.76 [0.46, 1.25]					
Moore 2012	-0.1163	0.1597	164	146	2.6%	0.89 [0.65, 1.22]					
Osrin 2005	-0.2634	0.1945	480	483	1.8%	0.77 [0.52, 1.13]					
Ramakrishnan 2003	-0.1831	0.2497	296	283	1.1%	0.83 [0.51, 1.36]					
Roberfroid 2008	-0.1051	0.0772	518	512	7.9%	0.90 [0.77, 1.05]					
SUMMIT 2008	-0.0408	0.0621	6070	5828	10.1%	0.96 [0.85, 1.08]					
Sunawang 2009	-0.1299	0.178	577	563	2.1%	0.88 [0.62, 1.24]					
Tofail 2008	-0.1	0.1409	901	910	3.2%	0.90 [0.69, 1.19]					
West 2014	-0.0202	0.0105	8836	8782	20.8%	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]	-				
Zagré 2007	-0.1998	0.1473	866	781	2.9%	0.82 [0.61, 1.09]					
Zeng 2008	-0.1122	0.0913	1082	1131	6.3%	0.89 [0.75, 1.07]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			25541	25247	82.5%	0.90 [0.85, 0.96]	◆				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = ().00; Chi ² = 22.33	df = 15 (P = 0.10); I² = 33%							
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.46 (P = 0.000)5)									
2.18.2 < or equal to 4 r	nicronutrients										
Christian 2003	0.0583	0.0453	587	528	13.4%	1.06 [0.97, 1.16]	+ - -				
Roth 2018 (MDIG)	0.1815	0.134	260	259	3.5%	1.20 [0.92, 1.56]					
Saaka 2009	-0.255	0.3459	272	271	0.6%	0.77 [0.39, 1.53]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			1119	1058	17.5%	1.07 [0.98, 1.16]	◆				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = ().00; Chi² = 1.63, (df = 2 (P =	= 0.44);	I²=0%							
Test for overall effect: 2	= 1.55 (P = 0.12)										
Total (95% CI)			26660	26305	100.0%	0.93 [0.88, 0.98]	\blacklozenge				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = ().00; Chi ² = 29.14	df = 18 (P = 0.05	5); I^z = 38%		-					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.65 (P = 0.008	3)					U.O U.7 1 1.5 Z				
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² = 10.49, df = 1 (P = 0.001), i ² = 90.5% Favours MMN Favours te +FA											

Figure 12. Forest plot of comparison MMN versus IFA supplementation, from baseline to post-intervention, on the risk of small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants.

3.7.1. Child Health and Developmental Outcomes

In terms of child health and development outcomes, antenatal MMN supplementation showed a 16% reduction in the risk of diarrhea among children ages 6 months to under-five, when compared to IFA (average RR 0.84; 95% CI 0.76 to 0.92; studies = 4) (Figure 13). Further, MMN supplementation showed a slight improvement in child serum/plasma retinol concentration (average MD 0.06 umol/L, 95% CI 0.02 to 0.09; studies = 3). However, MMN supplementation showed no effect on hemoglobin concentration, zinc serum/plasma concentration or anemia in children. In terms of nutritional status indicators, MMN supplementation did not improve the risk of child wasting (average RR 1.02, 95% CI 0.88 to 1.18; studies = 5), stunting (average RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.07; studies = 7) and child underweight status (average RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.84 to 1.07; studies = 4).





MMN supplementation, compared to IFA, was the only comparison in this review to include studies that reported child development outcomes. Four child development scores were meta-analyzed: executive function, general intelligence, verbal comprehension and language, and motor function.

These scores were conducted in children aged 6 months to early adolescence (10–14 years). Of the four development outcomes, MMN supplementation seemed to improve only executive function (standard mean difference (MD) 0.09, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.17; studies = 3) but showed little to no effect on the other scores: general intelligence (standard mean difference (MD) 0.00, 95% CI –0.06 to 0.07; studies = 8), verbal comprehension and language (standard MD 0.02, 95% CI –0.13 to 0.16; studies = 4), and motor function (standard MD –0.02, 95% CI –0.17 to 0.13; studies = 7).

3.7.2. Subgroup Analyses for MMN vs. IFA Supplementation

Subgroup analyses were conducted for studies comparing MMN to IFA supplementation for maternal mortality, maternal anemia and perinatal mortality, in terms of MMN formulation (UNIMMAP vs. adapted UNIMMAP vs. non-UNIMMAP formulation), geographical region, duration of intervention and dose of iron (mg). No significant differences were identified between groups for all of the subgroup variables.

The outcome of low birthweight showed significant differences between groups based on MMN formulation. In the subgroup of women who took the UNIMMAP formulation (average RR 0.74, 95% CI 0.61 to 0.90; studies = 11), compared to participants that used an adapted formulation (average RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.85 to 0.91; studies = 3) or non-UNIMMAP formulation (average RR 0.92, 95% CI 0.81 to 1.05; studies = 12), there was a greater reduction in the risk of having a low birthweight baby (P for subgroup differences = 0.18) (Figure 14).



Figure 14. Forest plot of MMN versus IFA supplementation, a subgroup analysis of the risk of low birthweight infants by multiple micronutrient formulation (UNIMMAP versus adapted-UNIMMAP versus non-UNNIMAP).

As well, a greater reduction in the risk of low birthweight babies was evident amongst participants of studies conducted in the Western Pacific region when compared to studies conducted in other geographical regions (average RR 0.46, 95% CI 0.38 to 0.56; P for subgroup differences <0.00001). When considering the dose of iron provided in the intervention, studies that utilized an iron dose <60 mg showed a greater reduction in the risk of low birthweight (average RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.69 to 0.89; studies = 18) compared to those that used 60 mg of elemental iron in their MMN supplements (average RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.83 to 1.12; P for subgroup differences = 0.05).

3.8. Lipid-Based Nutrient Supplementation (LNS) Versus MMN Supplementation

Four RCTs assessed LNS supplementation, compared to MMN supplementation in pregnant women [78,80,84,88]. All studies were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa, specifically Malawi [78], Ghana [80], Burkina Faso [84], and Gambia [88]. In two studies, women were provided LNS supplementation until the end of pregnancy [84,88], whereas, in the other two studies, mothers were given supplements for up to 6 months postpartum [78,80].

Of the primary outcomes, LNS supplementation showed no effect on the risk of having a low birthweight baby (average RR 0.92, 95% CI 0.75 to 1.13; studies = 4; GRADE: moderate-quality evidence) and did not impact perinatal mortality (average RR 1.01, 95% CI 0.65 to 1.65; studies = 3; GRADE: low-quality evidence). Among the secondary outcomes examined, LNS supplementation showed no effect on the risk of miscarriage (average RR 1.12, 95% CI 0.69 to 1.80; studies = 3), neonatal mortality (average RR 0.81, 95% CI 0.45 to 1.45; studies = 3) and preterm birth (average RR 1.15, 95% CI 0.93 to 1.42; studies = 4). LNS also did not show an effect on the risk of SGA infants, although the upper limits of the CI just crossed one (average RR 0.96; 95% CI 0.86 to 1.07) (Figure 15).



Figure 15. Forest plot for comparison LNS supplementation versus MMN from baseline to post-intervention for the risk of small-for-gestational age infants.

3.9. Sensitivity Analysis

Studies that were assessed with a high risk of bias in at least one domain or studies that were assessed as unclear risk in two or more domains were removed from the meta-analyses in a sensitivity analysis based on the risk of bias assessments. With the removal of one study, there was a 75% reduction in the risk of stillbirths (average RR 0.25, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.78; studies = 2). This is compared to the original effect estimate which showed no effect of LNS, compared to MMN, on stillbirths (average RR 0.47, 95% CI 0.12 to 1.81; studies = 3). For the rest of the comparisons, there were no significant changes to any of the outcomes.

A post-hoc sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate if any outcomes were affected by studies that declared a conflict of interest. In this review, five studies reported a conflict of interest (64, 78, 80, 104, 109); exclusion of these studies did not significantly affect any outcome.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this review was to evaluate multiple forms of micronutrient and vitamin supplementation during pregnancy on a multitude of outcomes, including maternal, fetal, and child outcomes. These included outcomes of morbidity, mortality, nutritional and biochemical status in both mother and child, and longitudinal child health and developmental outcomes, such as general intelligence index scores. This is the first systematic review that has attempted to compile evidence from efficacy and effectiveness studies evaluating the effects of micronutrient and vitamin supplementation on such a wide breadth of outcomes, conducted from 1995 onwards across all LMICs, in a singular report. With that, it must be noted that certain comparisons were not included in meta-analyses, although narratively synthesized, due to an insufficient number of eligible studies for meta-analysis. These separated comparisons include iodine, folic acid alone, vitamin B12 and vitamin D plus calcium compared to placebo or no micronutrient or vitamin. Still, the overall findings of this review suggest that supplementation improves key maternal, fetal, and child outcomes, and support the use of some of these strategies during pregnancy in low- and middle-income settings.

Notable improvements were observed with MMN supplementation, compared to IFA, for outcomes, such as stillbirths, SGA, and low birthweight babies. These improvements are corroborated by findings of other systematic reviews evaluating the use of MMN supplementation [32–37,42]. In terms of child health outcomes, MMN supplementation showed improvement in child serum/plasma retinol concentration and in the reduction of diarrhea incidence amongst children, which are new findings not previously reported elsewhere. MMN versus IFA supplementation was the only comparison in this review that, provided the number of studies, could meta-analyze child development outcomes, including general intelligence, verbal comprehension and language, motor function and executive function. The only development outcome that showed improvement with MMN supplementation was executive function, although far fewer studies contributed to this analysis compared to the other development outcomes. There was significant diversity in the tests and scores used to measure development, age of participants and time of assessment, lending to difficulty in pooling results effectively.

Post-hoc and subgroup analyses indicated a greater effect of MMN supplementation on low birthweight in studies that utilized a supplement that contained more than four micronutrient components compared to studies that utilized a supplement with three components, and UNIMMAP formulation compared to adapted UNIMMAP and non-UNIMMAP formulations, respectively. Reviews by Ramakrishnan et al. (2012) and Bhutta et al. (2012) compared supplementation of pregnant women with five or more micronutrients to supplementation with three or fewer micronutrients, and both reviews noted a stronger reduction in the risks of low birthweight and SGA infants in the former group [32,37]. These findings should underscore the consideration of MMN as the preferred standard of care (versus IFA) to address certain important maternal and infant outcomes.

Across all comparisons, micronutrient and vitamin supplementation had little to no effect on mortality outcomes (maternal, neonatal, perinatal, and infant mortality), which is consistent with other systematic reviews [19,31,32,34,35,37–41]. However, these findings differ from those reported in the meta-analysis of six RCTs upon which the WHO based their 2016 antenatal care guidelines [23]. In these guidelines, the WHO did not universally recommend the use of MMN supplementation due to an observed increased risk of neonatal mortality in the meta-analysis. A recent review by Sudfeld et al. re-ran the WHO meta-analysis (studies = 6) with the inclusion of additional and newer studies [42], and noted no increased risk of neonatal mortality with MMN supplementation (studies = 17). Similarly, an updated Cochrane review on MMN supplementation in pregnancy reported no negative impact of this intervention on neonatal mortality[35]. These findings further contribute to the increasing body of high-quality evidence in support of MMN supplementation [42].

For other comparisons, improvements were noted in only a few outcomes, indicating that micronutrient-specific supplementation could be used to address specific outcomes where they are highly prevalent in a population. IFA and iron supplementation both improved maternal anemia and low birthweight, which are similar findings to those reported in another recent review [31]. LNS supplementation, compared to MMN, demonstrated a possible slight reduction in the risk of SGA infants, but had no effect on preterm birth, stillbirths, and low birthweight infants; which are corroborated by findings in a systematic review by Das et al. [38]. However, it is important to note

21 of 30

in this review, this intervention included only four studies, suggesting the need for further research comparing LNS to MMN supplementation and its effects on maternal and infant health. Further, the Cochrane review did report observed differences for low birthweight and SGA infants when comparing LNS to IFA supplementation [38], which was a comparison not included in this review. Although it is recognized that IFA is typically the standard of care, and/or may be given as a co-intervention when comparing LNS to placebo or no treatment, it was noted that eligible studies for this comparison reported dissimilar amounts of iron and folic acid between the LNS and IFA supplements. For the reason that this would not be a true comparison between LNS and placebo or no treatment, these studies were not meta-analyzed.

Other similarities and differences were observed between this review and other systematic reviews for certain outcomes following maternal supplementation with zinc, vitamin A, vitamin D, and calcium [19,39,41,120]. Compared to a recent review by Ota et al. (2015) which showed reduction in low birthweight babies and preterm births with zinc supplementation, this review showed minimal to no effect on the same outcomes [19]. However, the lack of any effect of zinc supplementation on the reduction of SGA infants was comparable across both reviews. Similar to a recent Cochrane review by McCauley et al. (2015) on vitamin A supplementation, very few studies were found to assess vitamin A compared to placebo [40]. This review observed no improvements in maternal mortality or in stillbirths with vitamin A supplementation in pregnant mothers, which is corroborated by the recent Cochrane review [40].

In terms of vitamin D supplementation, a possible reduction in the risk of preterm birth was observed, while other recent reviews showed little to minimal effect [39,120]. Further, Roth et al. (2017) showed a significant reduction in the risk of SGA infants, while this review showed no significant effect; the recent Cochrane review update by Palacios et al. (2019) did not evaluate this outcome [39,120]. Lastly, similarities were noted between this review and a recent Cochrane review by Hofmeyr et al. (2018) which examined calcium supplementation and possibly improved rates of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia among mothers [41]. This review indicated little to no improvement in low birthweight as a result of calcium supplementation compared to placebo; however, a recent systematic review found a 15% reduction in the risk of low birthweight infants [41].

The discrepancies described above point to differences in review design, wherein many other reviews included studies from high-income settings and also included participants that were unhealthy, had known micronutrient deficiencies, or a previous history of gestational hypertension; altogether, these overt differences in study populations are likely to modify the effects of the supplementation interventions examined [19,39,41,120]. These differences also highlight a limitation of this review in the exclusion of certain populations, such as pregnant women with anemia, micronutrient deficiencies, high risk pregnancy disorders or chronic illness. It is acknowledged that these conditions are highly prevalent in maternal populations living in LMICs. Moving forward, an important consideration for future research is to disaggregate results by maternal nutritional status at baseline. A recent review by Smith et al. reported a larger effect of MMN supplementation on birth outcomes in women with anemia, compared to non-anemic women [43]. Given the review's eligibility criteria, there were insufficient studies to disaggregate data by such factors, and specific groups that may benefit most from certain forms of supplementation could not be identified.

Similarly, the ability to disaggregate results by other variables, such as maternal age, sex of infant, duration of intervention and dose of intervention was limited in this review given the lack of data availability. As such, this review was limited in conducting subgroup analyses for a few comparisons (zinc vs. placebo, iron vs. placebo and MMN vs. IFA), and for only a few primary outcomes. Importantly, this review cannot comment on recommended optimal doses of micronutrient supplementation and duration of supplementation. The majority of studies in this review recruited already pregnant women whose supplementation programme began within the first or second trimester; however, no study specifically recruited and supplemented women in the preconception period. This is a notable limitation given existing evidence supporting the importance of preconception health in

mothers to reduce maternal, infant and child morbidity and mortality [121–123]. It is possible that the lack of effect of micronutrient and vitamin supplementation on mortality outcomes and remaining uncertainty surrounding the effects of supplementation on preterm births, miscarriage and congenital anomalies may be a result of supplementation implemented too late. This would have great implication in low- and middle-income settings where many women have poor nutritional status and health prior to conception.

Post-hoc exploratory analyses for both primary and secondary outcomes were conducted, and compared studies that provided strictly the micronutrient in question to studies that provided additional micronutrients, typically iron-folic acid and vitamin A. The aim of these analyses was to disaggregate possible differences in the true effects of the micronutrient itself from possible modifier effects from other micronutrients. Post-hoc analyses were conducted for zinc, iron, vitamin D and calcium supplementations compared to placebo, and did demonstrate differences in the true effects of the micronutrient itself. Maternal zinc serum/plasma concentration, maternal iron deficiency and pre-eclampsia/eclampsia showed greater improvements in studies that provided strictly zinc, iron and calcium respectively, compared to studies that provided additional micronutrients. For MMN vs. IFA, post-hoc analyses compared studies who provided MMN supplements containing >4 micronutrients to studies whose supplements contained only 3 or 4 components. Generally, the supplementation with >4 micronutrients performed better in terms of improving maternal and child health outcomes, such as low birthweight, stillbirths and SGA.

Another limitation of this review is the inability to examine data and comment on the pregnant adolescent population. Globally, 16 million girls ages 16 to 19 years, and 2.5 million girls under age 16, give birth every year, mainly in LMICs [124]. Special attention must be given to this demographic, as pregnant adolescents undergo significant physical and physiological changes due to puberty, which is further compounded by the nutritional demands and requirements of pregnancy. Unfortunately, many of these adolescent girls begin their pregnancy already malnourished, micronutrient-deficient and/or stunted. Poor health status at the start of pregnancy sets up both mother and future child to face poor delivery, birth and health outcomes. As such, finding a strategy to prevent or mitigate these deficiencies in adolescents is of utmost importance. Unfortunately, none of the included studies disaggregated data by age group; although they included adolescent mothers. This will be an important consideration for future updates and de novo research.

Similarly, birth outcomes were typically not disaggregated by sex, which limited this review from conducting subgroup analyses by sex of the infant. This is also an important consideration for future research, as previous evidence suggests differences between female and male infants for certain mortality and morbidity outcomes [33,109,125,126]. Further, a recent review by Smith et al., authors noted a 15% greater reduction in mortality in female infants compared to their male counterparts [43]. Studies postulate that these observed differences are a result of differences in birth size; with males being typically larger in the womb [109,127]. It is recommended that future updates and research consider sex disaggregation of key fetal and infant outcomes, including preterm birth, low birthweight, neonatal and infant mortality, stillbirths and SGA. It is important to note, however, that sex differences in infant outcomes should not be used as evidence to support or encourage selective supplementation of mothers during pregnancy. Rather, evidence regarding sex differences should be used to better support infant and child care and consider how these differences at infancy might affect long-term growth and development in the child.

Despite the numerous antenatal and maternal health programmes in LMICs, and the substantial number of studies included in this review, only two included studies were effectiveness trials [58,83] that met our criteria related to study design (RCT or quasi-experimental design). Recent evidence evaluating health and nutrition programmes to reduce stunting in LMICs noted that all programme evaluations were cross-sectional in design, with no true control and intervention areas or groups, limiting the causality of findings [128]. In this systematic review by Hossain et al. (2017) the importance of the use of both nutrition-specific interventions (e.g., micronutrient supplementation) and

nutrition-sensitive interventions (e.g., maternal mental health and social safety nets) to reduce stunting was evident, inciting support for more robust designs for effectiveness studies and programmes [128]. As evaluation of micronutrient and vitamin supplementation continues, these types of studies and rigorous evaluations should be considered and included in future review updates.

5. Conclusions

Micronutrient and vitamin supplementation is a key intervention to promote maternal and child nutrition, health and well-being, and implementation should be continued, especially during pregnancy amongst mothers living in LMICs regardless of maternal nutritional status. Specifically, this comprehensive review indicates that MMN supplementation should be considered as the preferred option for standard prenatal care, compared to IFA, especially for outcomes such as stillbirths, SGA and low birthweight infants. Single micronutrient and vitamin supplementation also show improvements for specific outcomes, such as calcium on the risk of pre-eclampsia/eclampsia, and vitamin A on serum/plasma retinol concentration in mothers. LNS supplementation, compared to MMN, involved few studies in this review, highlighting the need for further research to better understand differences between the two types of supplementation.

Overall, the benefits of micronutrient and vitamin supplementation during pregnancy have been well corroborated by this review, along with many other systematic reviews. Given this, future research should consider conducting more detailed analyses to identify optimal dosages, supplement formulations, and duration of implementation of different micronutrient and vitamin supplements. These may also be tailored to specific groups of mothers, based on maternal nutritional status at baseline. Specific attention and consideration must be made to the pregnant adolescent population given their unique health and development needs, especially those living in low- and middle-income countries. While few studies reported longitudinal health outcomes, future research may also want to evaluate the effects of maternal micronutrient and vitamin supplementation during pregnancy on early childhood development and long-term health in under-five and school-aged children. Future research and implementation in these areas, while continuing our efforts in maternal, fetal and infant health, will not only support better child and adolescent health, but also future maternal health as these children and adolescents grow into the next generation of mothers.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/12/2/491/s1, Table S1: Search Strategy; Table S2: Summary of Study Characteristics, Table S3: Descriptive Summary of Additional Studies (not included in meta-analyses), Figure S1: Additional Forest Plots.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.C.K. and Z.A.B.; methodology, E.C.K.; formal analysis, C.O. and E.C.K.; data curation, C.O.; writing—original draft preparation, C.O. and E.C.K.; writing—review and editing, C.O. and E.C.K.; supervision, E.C.K. and Z.A.B.; funding acquisition, Z.A.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (grant number OPP1137750).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Black, R.E.; Victora, C.G.; Walker, S.P.; Bhutta, Z.A.; Christian, P.; de Onis, M.; Ezzati, M.; Grantham-McGregor, S.; Katz, J.; Martorell, R.; et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* **2013**, *382*, 427–451. [CrossRef]
- Darnton-Hill, I.; Mkparu, U.C. Micronutrients in pregnancy in low- and middle-income countries. *Nutrients* 2015, 7, 1744–1768. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. WHO. Vitamin and Mineral Requirements in Human Nutrition. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Human Vitamin and Mineral Requirements; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2004.
- Bailey, R.L.; West, K.P., Jr.; Black, R.E. The epidemiology of global micronutrient deficiencies. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* 2015, 66 (Suppl. 2), 22–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 5. FAO; IFAD; WHO; WFP; UNICEF. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2019. Safeguarding against Economic Slowdowns and Downturns; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2019.
- Fanzo, J.; Hawkes, C.; Udomkesmalee, E.; Afshin, A.; Allemandi, L.; Assery, O.; Baker, P.; Battersby, J.; Bhutta, Z.; Chen, K.; et al. 2018 Global Nutrition Report: Shining a light to spur action on nutrition. *Dev. Initiat.* 2018.
- 7. UNICEF. The State of the World's Children 2019. Children, Food and Nutrition: Growing Well in a Changing World; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA, 2019.
- 8. Allen, L.H.; Peerson, J.M. Maternal Micronutrient Supplementation Study Group. Impact of multiple micronutrient versus iron-folic acid supplements on maternal anemia and micronutrient status in pregnancy. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **2009**, *30*, S527–S532. [CrossRef]
- 9. Haider, B.A.; Olofin, I.; Wang, M.; Spiegelman, D.; Ezzati, M.; Fawzi, W.W.; Nutrition Impact Model Study Group. Anaemia, prenatal iron use, and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* **2013**, *346*, f3443. [CrossRef]
- Allen, L.H. Biological Mechanisms That Might Underlie Iron's Effects on Fetal Growth and Preterm Birth. J. Nutr. 2001, 131, 581S–589S. [CrossRef]
- 11. Christian, P. Micronutrients, birth weight, and survival. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 2010, 30, 83–104. [CrossRef]
- De-Regil, L.M.; Pena-Rosas, J.P.; Fernandez-Gaxiola, A.C.; Rayco-Solon, P. Effects and safety of periconceptional oral folate supplementation for preventing birth defects. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2015. [CrossRef]
- 13. Dunn, J.T. Iodine supplementation and the prevention of cretinism. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1993**, *678*, 158–168. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ortega, R.M.; Martinez, R.M.; Lopez-Sobaler, A.M.; Andres, P.; Quintas, M.E. Influence of calcium intake on gestational hypertension. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* **1999**, *43*, 37–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Bucher, H.C.; Cook, R.J.; Guyatt, G.H.; Lang, J.D.; Cook, D.J.; Hatala, R.; Hunt, D.L. Effects of dietary calcium supplementation on blood pressure. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JAMA* **1996**, 275, 1016–1022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Dror, D.K. Vitamin D status during pregnancy: Maternal, fetal, and postnatal outcomes. *Curr. Opin. Obs. Gynecol.* **2011**, 23, 422–426. [CrossRef]
- 17. MacKay, A.P.; Berg, C.J.; Atrash, H.K. Pregnancy-related mortality from preeclampsia and eclampsia. *Obs. Gynecol.* **2001**, *97*, 533–538. [CrossRef]
- 18. De-Regil, L.M.; Palacios, C.; Lombardo, L.K.; Pena-Rosas, J.P. Vitamin D supplementation for women during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2016**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Ota, E.; Mori, R.; Middleton, P.; Tobe-Gai, R.; Mahomed, K.; Miyazaki, C.; Bhutta, Z.A. Zinc supplementation for improving pregnancy and infant outcome. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2015**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Gernand, A.D.; Schulze, K.J.; Stewart, C.P.; West, K.P., Jr.; Christian, P. Micronutrient deficiencies in pregnancy worldwide: Health effects and prevention. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol* **2016**, *12*, 274–289. [CrossRef]
- 21. Victora, C.G.; Adair, L.; Fall, C.; Hallal, P.C.; Martorell, R.; Richter, L.; Sachdev, H.S.; Maternal; Child Undernutrition Study Group. Maternal and child undernutrition: Consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet* **2008**, *371*, 340–357. [CrossRef]
- 22. Bhutta, Z.A.; Ahmed, T.; Black, R.E.; Cousens, S.; Dewey, K.; Giugliani, E.; Haider, B.A.; Kirkwood, B.; Morris, S.S.; Sachdev, H.P.S.; et al. What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. *Lancet* **2008**, *371*, 417–440. [CrossRef]
- 23. WHO. WHO Recommendations on Antenatal Care for a Positive Pregnancy Experience; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
- 24. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes tables and application. Available online: http://nationalacademies.org/hmd/Activities/Nutrition/SummaryDRIs/DRI-Tables.aspx (accessed on 20 December 2019).
- 25. UNICEF; WHO; UNU. Composition of a Multi-Micronutrient Supplement to Be Used in Pilot Programmes among Pregnant Women in Developing Countries; Report of a United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations University (UNU) Workshop; UNICEF: New York, NY, USA, 1999.

- Arimond, M.; Zeilani, M.; Jungjohann, S.; Brown, K.H.; Ashorn, P.; Allen, L.H.; Dewey, K.G. Considerations in developing lipid-based nutrient supplements for prevention of undernutrition: Experience from the International Lipid-Based Nutrient Supplements (iLiNS) Project. *Matern. Child Nutr.* 2015, *11* (Suppl. 4), 31–61. [CrossRef]
- 27. Lassi, Z.S.; Salam, R.A.; Haider, B.A.; Bhutta, Z.A. Folic acid supplementation during pregnancy for maternal health and pregnancy outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2013**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Rumbold, A.; Ota, E.; Nagata, C.; Shahrook, S.; Crowther, C.A. Vitamin C supplementation in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2015**. [CrossRef]
- 29. Bougma, K.; Aboud, F.E.; Harding, K.B.; Marquis, G.S. Iodine and mental development of children 5 years old and under: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* **2013**, *5*, 1384–1416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, S.J.; Anderson, A.J.; Gibson, R.A.; Makrides, M. Effect of iodine supplementation in pregnancy on child development and other clinical outcomes: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2013, *98*, 1241–1254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Pena-Rosas, J.P.; De-Regil, L.M.; Garcia-Casal, M.N.; Dowswell, T. Daily oral iron supplementation during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2015**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Bhutta, Z.A.; Imdad, A.; Ramakrishnan, U.; Martorell, R. Is it time to replace iron folate supplements in pregnancy with multiple micronutrients? *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* **2012**, *26* (Suppl. 1), 27–35. [CrossRef]
- 33. Christian, P.; Osrin, D.; Manandhar, D.S.; Khatry, S.K.; Anthony, M.D.L.; West, K.P., Jr. Antenatal micronutrient supplements in Nepal. *Lancet* 2005, *366*, 711–712. [CrossRef]
- 34. Kawai, K.; Spiegelman, D.; Shankar, A.H.; Fawzi, W.W. Maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation and pregnancy outcomes in developing countries: Meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Bull. World Health Organ.* **2011**, *89*, 402–411. [CrossRef]
- 35. Keats, E.C.; Haider, B.A.; Tam, E.; Bhutta, Z.A. Multiple-micronutrient supplementation for women during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2019**, *3*, CD004905. [CrossRef]
- Margetts, B.M.; Fall, C.H.; Ronsmans, C.; Allen, L.H.; Fisher, D.J.; Maternal Micronutrient Supplementation Study Group. Multiple micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy in low-income countries: Review of methods and characteristics of studies included in the meta-analyses. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 2009, 30, S517–S526. [CrossRef]
- Ramakrishnan, U.; Grant, F.K.; Goldenberg, T.; Bui, V.; Imdad, A.; Bhutta, Z.A. Effect of multiple micronutrient supplementation on pregnancy and infant outcomes: A systematic review. *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* 2012, 26 (Suppl. 1), 153–167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Das, J.K.; Hoodbhoy, Z.; Salam, R.A.; Bhutta, A.Z.; Valenzuela-Rubio, N.G.; Weise Prinzo, Z.; Bhutta, Z.A. Lipid-based nutrient supplements for maternal, birth, and infant developmental outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2018**, *8*, CD012610. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Palacios, C.; Kostiuk, L.K.; Pena-Rosas, J.P. Vitamin D supplementation for women during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2019, 7, CD008873. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. McCauley, M.E.; van den Broek, N.; Dou, L.; Othman, M. Vitamin A supplementation during pregnancy for maternal and newborn outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2015**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Hofmeyr, G.J.; Lawrie, T.A.; Atallah, A.N.; Torloni, M.R. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy for preventing hypertensive disorders and related problems. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2018**, *10*, CD001059. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Sudfeld, C.R.; Smith, E.R. New Evidence Should Inform WHO Guidelines on Multiple Micronutrient Supplementation in Pregnancy. *J. Nutr.* **2019**, *149*, 359–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Smith, E.R.; Shankar, A.H.; Wu, L.S.; Aboud, S.; Adu-Afarwuah, S.; Ali, H.; Agustina, R.; Arifeen, S.; Ashorn, P.; Bhutta, Z.A.; et al. Modifiers of the effect of maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation on stillbirth, birth outcomes, and infant mortality: A meta-analysis of individual patient data from 17 randomised trials in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet Glob. Health* 2017, *5*, e1090–e1100. [CrossRef]
- 44. Mwangi, M.N.; Prentice, A.M.; Verhoef, H. Safety and benefits of antenatal oral iron supplementation in low-income countries: A review. *Br. J. Haematol.* **2017**, *177*, 884–895. [CrossRef]
- Paganini, D.; Uyoga, M.A.; Zimmermann, M.B. Iron Fortification of Foods for Infants and Children in Low-Income Countries: Effects on the Gut Microbiome, Gut Inflammation, and Diarrhea. *Nutrients* 2016, *8*, 494. [CrossRef]

- 46. Higgins, J.P.T.; Thomas, J.; Chandler, J.; Cumpston, M.; Li, T.; Page, M.J.; Welch, V.A. (Eds.) *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.0 (Updated July 2019)*; Cochrane, 2019; Available online: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook (accessed on 20 December 2019).
- 47. Keats, E.C.; Imad, A.; Bhutta, Z. Protocol: Effects of vitamin and mineral supplementation during pregnancy on maternal, birth, child health and development outcomes in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Campbell Syst. Rev.* **2018**, *14*, 1–33. [CrossRef]
- 48. Diogenes, M.E.; Bezerra, F.F.; Rezende, E.P.; Taveira, M.F.; Pinhal, I.; Donangelo, C.M. Effect of calcium plus vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy in Brazilian adolescent mothers: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2013**, *98*, 82–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Duggan, C.; Srinivasan, K.; Thomas, T.; Samuel, T.; Rajendran, R.; Muthayya, S.; Finkelstein, J.L.; Lukose, A.; Fawzi, W.; Allen, L.H.; et al. Vitamin B-12 supplementation during pregnancy and early lactation increases maternal, breast milk, and infant measures of vitamin B-12 status. *J. Nutr.* 2014, 144, 758–764. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gowachirapant, S.; Jaiswal, N.; Melse-Boonstra, A.; Galetti, V.; Stinca, S.; Mackenzie, I.; Thomas, S.; Thomas, T.; Winichagoon, P.; Srinivasan, K.; et al. Effect of iodine supplementation in pregnant women on child neurodevelopment: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2017, 5, 853–863. [CrossRef]
- 51. Jarjou, L.M.; Prentice, A.; Sawo, Y.; Laskey, M.A.; Bennett, J.; Goldberg, G.R.; Cole, T.J. Randomized, placebo-controlled, calcium supplementation study in pregnant Gambian women: Effects on breast-milk calcium concentrations and infant birth weight, growth, and bone mineral accretion in the first year of life. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *83*, 657–666. [CrossRef]
- 52. Korkmaz, V.; Ozkaya, E.; Seven, B.Y.; Duzguner, S.; Karsli, M.F.; Kucukozkan, T. Comparison of oxidative stress in pregnancies with and without first trimester iron supplement: A randomized double-blind controlled trial. *J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med.* **2014**, *27*, 1535–1538. [CrossRef]
- Prawirohartono, E.P.; Nystrom, L.; Ivarsson, A.; Stenlund, H.; Lind, T. The impact of prenatal vitamin A and zinc supplementation on growth of children up to 2 years of age in rural Java, Indonesia. *Public Health Nutr.* 2011, 14, 2197–2206. [CrossRef]
- 54. Taherian, A.A.; Taherian, A.; Shirvani, A. Prevention of pre-eclampsia with low-dose aspirin or calcium supplementation. *Arch. Iran. Med.* **2002**, *5*, 151–156.
- 55. Hambidge, K.M.; Westcott, J.E.; Garces, A.; Figueroa, L.; Goudar, S.S.; Dhaded, S.M.; Pasha, O.; Ali, S.A.; Tshefu, A.; Lokangaka, A.; et al. A multicountry randomized controlled trial of comprehensive maternal nutrition supplementation initiated before conception: The Women First trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2019, 109, 457–469. [CrossRef]
- 56. Dijkhuizen, M.A.; Wieringa, F.T.; West, C.E.; Muherdiyantiningsih; Muhilal. Concurrent micronutrient deficiencies in lactating mothers and their infants in Indonesia. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2001**, *73*, 786–791.
- 57. Hanieh, S.; Ha, T.T.; Simpson, J.A.; Casey, G.J.; Khuong, N.C.; Thoang, D.D.; Thuy, T.T.; Pasricha, S.R.; Tran, T.D.; Tuan, T.; et al. The effect of intermittent antenatal iron supplementation on maternal and infant outcomes in rural Viet Nam: A cluster randomised trial. *PLoS Med.* **2013**, *10*, e1001470. [CrossRef]
- 58. Huy, N.D.; Le Hop, T.; Shrimpton, R.; Hoa, C.V. An effectiveness trial of multiple micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy in Vietnam: Impact on birthweight and on stunting in children at around 2 years of age. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **2009**, *30*, S506–S516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Liu, J.M.; Mei, Z.; Ye, R.; Serdula, M.K.; Ren, A.; Cogswell, M.E. Micronutrient supplementation and pregnancy outcomes: Double-blind randomized controlled trial in China. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **2013**, 173, 276–282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Muslimatun, S.; Schmidt, M.K.; Schultink, W.; West, C.E.; Hautvast, J.A.; Gross, R.; Muhilal. Weekly supplementation with iron and vitamin A during pregnancy increases hemoglobin concentration but decreases serum ferritin concentration in Indonesian pregnant women. *J. Nutr.* **2001**, *131*, 85–90. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Supplementation with Multiple Micronutrients Intervention Trial Study Group. Effect of maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation on fetal loss and infant death in Indonesia: A double-blind cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* **2008**, *371*, 215–227. [CrossRef]

- 62. Sunawang; Utomo, B.; Hidayat, A.; Kusharisupeni; Subarkah. Preventing low birthweight through maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation: A cluster-randomized, controlled trial in Indramayu, West Java. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **2009**, *30*, S488–S495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Tanumihardjo, S.A. Vitamin A and iron status are improved by vitamin A and iron supplementation in pregnant Indonesian women. *J. Nutr.* **2002**, *132*, 1909–1912. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Zeng, L.; Dibley, M.J.; Cheng, Y.; Dang, S.; Chang, S.; Kong, L.; Yan, H. Impact of micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy on birth weight, duration of gestation, and perinatal mortality in rural western China: Double blind cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* **2008**, *337*, a2001. [CrossRef]
- 65. Zhao, G.; Xu, G.; Zhou, M.; Jiang, Y.; Richards, B.; Clark, K.M.; Kaciroti, N.; Georgieff, M.K.; Zhang, Z.; Tardif, T.; et al. Prenatal Iron Supplementation Reduces Maternal Anemia, Iron Deficiency, and Iron Deficiency Anemia in a Randomized Clinical Trial in Rural China, but Iron Deficiency Remains Widespread in Mothers and Neonates. *J. Nutr.* **2015**, *145*, 1916–1923. [CrossRef]
- 66. Aminisani, N.; Ehdaivand, F.; Shamshirgaran, S.M.; Mohajery, M.; Pourfarzi, F.; Ahari, S. Zinc supplementation during pregnancy: A randomized controlled trial. *Iran. J. Pharmacol. Therapeutics.* **2009**, *8*, 67–71.
- 67. Asemi, Z.; Samimi, M.; Tabassi, Z.; Shakeri, H.; Esmaillzadeh, A. Vitamin D supplementation affects serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, insulin resistance, and biomarkers of oxidative stress in pregnant women. *J. Nutr.* **2013**, *143*, 1432–1438. [CrossRef]
- 68. Asemi, Z.; Samimi, M.; Siavashani, M.A.; Mazloomi, M.; Tabassi, Z.; Karamali, M.; Jamilian, M.; Esmaillzadeh, A. Calcium-Vitamin D Co-supplementation Affects Metabolic Profiles, but not Pregnancy Outcomes, in Healthy Pregnant Women. *Int. J. Prev. Med.* **2016**, *7*, 49. [CrossRef]
- 69. Mohammad-Alizadeh-Charandabi, S.; Mirghafourvand, M.; Mansouri, A.; Najafi, M.; Khodabande, F. The Effect of Vitamin D and Calcium plus Vitamin D during Pregnancy on Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J. Caring Sci.* **2015**, *4*, 35–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Falahi, E.; Akbari, S.; Ebrahimzade, F.; Gargari, B.P. Impact of prophylactic iron supplementation in healthy pregnant women on maternal iron status and birth outcome. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **2011**, *32*, 213–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Naghshineh, E.; Sheikhaliyan, S. Effect of vitamin D supplementation in the reduce risk of preeclampsia in nulliparous women. *Adv. Biomed. Res.* **2016**, *5*, 7. [CrossRef]
- 72. Ouladsahebmadarek, E.; Sayyah-Melli, M.; Taghavi, S.; Abbasalizadeh, S.; Seyedhejazie, M. The effect of supplemental iron elimination on pregnancy outcome. *Pak. J. Med. Sci* **2011**, *27*, 641–645.
- Sabet, Z.; Ghazi, A.A.; Tohidi, M.; Oladi, B. Vitamin D Supplementation in Pregnant Iranian Women: Effects on Maternal and Neonatal Vitamin D and Parathyroid Hormone Status. *Acta Endocrinol.-Buch.* 2012, *8*, 59–66. [CrossRef]
- 74. Zahiri Sorouri, Z.; Sadeghi, H.; Pourmarzi, D. The effect of zinc supplementation on pregnancy outcome: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Matern. Fetal Neonatal Med.* **2016**, *29*, 2194–2198. [CrossRef]
- 75. Vaziri, F.; Nasiri, S.; Tavana, Z.; Dabbaghmanesh, M.H.; Sharif, F.; Jafari, P. A randomized controlled trial of vitamin D supplementation on perinatal depression: In Iranian pregnant mothers. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **2016**, *16*, 239. [CrossRef]
- 76. Ziaei, S.; Norrozi, M.; Faghihzadeh, S.; Jafarbegloo, E. A randomised placebo-controlled trial to determine the effect of iron supplementation on pregnancy outcome in pregnant women with haemoglobin > or = 13.2 g/dl. *BJOG* 2007, 114, 684–688. [CrossRef]
- 77. Ziaei, S.; Mehrnia, M.; Faghihzadeh, S. Iron status markers in nonanemic pregnant women with and without iron supplementation. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obs.* **2008**, *100*, 130–132. [CrossRef]
- 78. Ashorn, P.; Alho, L.; Ashorn, U.; Cheung, Y.B.; Dewey, K.G.; Gondwe, A.; Harjunmaa, U.; Lartey, A.; Phiri, N.; Phiri, T.E.; et al. Supplementation of Maternal Diets during Pregnancy and for 6 Months Postpartum and Infant Diets Thereafter with Small-Quantity Lipid-Based Nutrient Supplements Does Not Promote Child Growth by 18 Months of Age in Rural Malawi: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J. Nutr.* 2015, 145, 1345–1353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Cox, S.E.; Arthur, P.; Kirkwood, B.R.; Yeboah-Antwi, K.; Riley, E.M. Vitamin A supplementation increases ratios of proinflammatory to anti-inflammatory cytokine responses in pregnancy and lactation. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **2006**, *144*, 392–400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 80. Adu-Afarwuah, S.; Lartey, A.; Okronipa, H.; Ashorn, P.; Zeilani, M.; Peerson, J.M.; Arimond, M.; Vosti, S.; Dewey, K.G. Lipid-based nutrient supplement increases the birth size of infants of primiparous women in Ghana. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2015**, *101*, 835–846. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Etheredge, A.J.; Premji, Z.; Gunaratna, N.S.; Abioye, A.I.; Aboud, S.; Duggan, C.; Mongi, R.; Meloney, L.; Spiegelman, D.; Roberts, D.; et al. Iron Supplementation in Iron-Replete and Nonanemic Pregnant Women in Tanzania: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2015, 169, 947–955. [CrossRef]
- 82. Fawzi, W.W.; Msamanga, G.I.; Urassa, W.; Hertzmark, E.; Petraro, P.; Willett, W.C.; Spiegelman, D. Vitamins and perinatal outcomes among HIV-negative women in Tanzania. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2007**, *356*, 1423–1431. [CrossRef]
- 83. Friis, H.; Gomo, E.; Nyazema, N.; Ndhlovu, P.; Krarup, H.; Kaestel, P.; Michaelsen, K.F. Effect of multimicronutrient supplementation on gestational length and birth size: A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind effectiveness trial in Zimbabwe. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2004**, *80*, 178–184. [CrossRef]
- 84. Huybregts, L.; Roberfroid, D.; Lanou, H.; Menten, J.; Meda, N.; Van Camp, J.; Kolsteren, P. Prenatal food supplementation fortified with multiple micronutrients increases birth length: A randomized controlled trial in rural Burkina Faso. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2009**, *90*, 1593–1600. [CrossRef]
- 85. Kaestel, P.; Michaelsen, K.F.; Aaby, P.; Friis, H. Effects of prenatal multimicronutrient supplements on birth weight and perinatal mortality: A randomised, controlled trial in Guinea-Bissau. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2005**, *59*, 1081–1089. [CrossRef]
- 86. Kirkwood, B.R.; Hurt, L.; Amenga-Etego, S.; Tawiah, C.; Zandoh, C.; Danso, S.; Hurt, C.; Edmond, K.; Hill, Z.; Ten Asbroek, G.; et al. Effect of vitamin A supplementation in women of reproductive age on maternal survival in Ghana (ObaapaVitA): A cluster-randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010, 375, 1640–1649. [CrossRef]
- 87. Menendez, C.; Todd, J.; Alonso, P.L.; Francis, N.; Lulat, S.; Ceesay, S.; M'Boge, B.; Greenwood, B.M. The effects of iron supplementation during pregnancy, given by traditional birth attendants, on the prevalence of anaemia and malaria. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1994**, *88*, 590–593. [CrossRef]
- Johnson, W.; Darboe, M.K.; Sosseh, F.; Nshe, P.; Prentice, A.M.; Moore, S.E. Association of prenatal lipid-based nutritional supplementation with fetal growth in rural Gambia. *Matern. Child Nutr.* 2017, 13, e12367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Preziosi, P.; Prual, A.; Galan, P.; Daouda, H.; Boureima, H.; Hercberg, S. Effect of iron supplementation on the iron status of pregnant women: Consequences for newborns. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1997, *66*, 1178–1182. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 90. Roberfroid, D.; Huybregts, L.; Lanou, H.; Henry, M.C.; Meda, N.; Menten, J.; Kolsteren, P.; Group, M.S. Effects of maternal multiple micronutrient supplementation on fetal growth: A double-blind randomized controlled trial in rural Burkina Faso. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2008**, *88*, 1330–1340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 91. Saaka, M.; Oosthuizen, J.; Beatty, S. Effect of joint iron and zinc supplementation on malarial infection and anaemia. *East. Afr. J. Public Health* **2009**, *6*, 55–62. [CrossRef]
- 92. Semba, R.D.; Kumwenda, N.; Taha, T.E.; Mtimavalye, L.; Broadhead, R.; Garrett, E.; Miotti, P.G.; Chiphangwi, J.D. Impact of vitamin A supplementation on anaemia and plasma erythropoietin concentrations in pregnant women: A controlled clinical trial. *Eur. J. Haematol.* **2001**, *66*, 389–395. [CrossRef]
- 93. Zagre, N.M.; Desplats, G.; Adou, P.; Mamadoultaibou, A.; Aguayo, V.M. Prenatal multiple micronutrient supplementation has greater impact on birthweight than supplementation with iron and folic acid: A cluster-randomized, double-blind, controlled programmatic study in rural Niger. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 2007, 28, 317–327. [CrossRef]
- 94. Darling, A.M.; Mugusi, F.M.; Etheredge, A.J.; Gunaratna, N.S.; Abioye, A.I.; Aboud, S.; Duggan, C.; Mongi, R.; Spiegelman, D.; Roberts, D.; et al. Vitamin A and Zinc Supplementation Among Pregnant Women to Prevent Placental Malaria: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial in Tanzania. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2017, 96, 826–834. [CrossRef]
- 95. Ahmad, S.M.; Hossain, M.B.; Monirujjaman, M.; Islam, S.; Huda, M.N.; Kabir, Y.; Raqib, R.; Lonnerdal, B.L. Maternal zinc supplementation improves hepatitis B antibody responses in infants but decreases plasma zinc level. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2016, 55, 1823–1829. [CrossRef]
- 96. Bhutta, Z.A.; Rizvi, A.; Raza, F.; Hotwani, S.; Zaidi, S.; Moazzam Hossain, S.; Soofi, S.; Bhutta, S. A comparative evaluation of multiple micronutrient and iron-folic acid supplementation during pregnancy in Pakistan: Impact on pregnancy outcomes. *Food Nutr. Bull.* **2009**, *30*, S496–S505. [CrossRef]

- Choudhury, N.; Aimone, A.; Hyder, S.M.; Zlotkin, S.H. Relative efficacy of micronutrient powders versus iron-folic acid tablets in controlling anemia in women in the second trimester of pregnancy. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 2012, 33, 142–149. [CrossRef]
- Christian, P.; West, K.P.; Khatry, S.K.; Leclerq, S.C.; Pradhan, E.K.; Katz, J.; Shrestha, S.R.; Sommer, A. Effects of maternal micronutrient supplementation on fetal loss and infant mortality: A cluster-randomized trial in Nepal. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2003, 78, 1194–1202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hafeez, A.; Mehmood, G.; Mazhar, F. Oral zinc supplementation in pregnant women and its effect on birth weight: A randomised controlled trial. *Arch. Dis. Child. Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2005, *90*, F170–F171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 100. Hossain, N.; Kanani, F.; Khanani, R.; Ayaz, S.; Pal, L. O317 Effect of Maternal Supplementation with Vitamin D during Pregnancy on Neonatal Serum Vitamin D Levels and Anthropometric Measurements. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 2012, 119, S372. [CrossRef]
- 101. Khan, F.R.; Ahmad, T.; Hussain, R.; Bhutta, Z.A. A randomized controlled trial of oral vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy to improve maternal periodontal health and birth weight. *J. Int. Oral Health* **2016**, *8*, 657. [CrossRef]
- 102. Kumar, A.; Devi, S.G.; Batra, S.; Singh, C.; Shukla, D.K. Calcium supplementation for the prevention of pre-eclampsia. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obs.* **2009**, *104*, 32–36. [CrossRef]
- 103. Osendarp, S.J.; van Raaij, J.M.; Arifeen, S.E.; Wahed, M.; Baqui, A.H.; Fuchs, G.J. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of the effect of zinc supplementation during pregnancy on pregnancy outcome in Bangladeshi urban poor. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2000**, *71*, 114–119. [CrossRef]
- 104. Osrin, D.; Vaidya, A.; Shrestha, Y.; Baniya, R.B.; Manandhar, D.S.; Adhikari, R.K.; Filteau, S.; Tomkins, A.; Costello, A.M. Effects of antenatal multiple micronutrient supplementation on birthweight and gestational duration in Nepal: Double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005, 365, 955–962. [CrossRef]
- 105. Roth, D.E.; Perumal, N.; Al Mahmud, A.; Baqui, A.H. Maternal vitamin D3 supplementation during the third trimester of pregnancy: Effects on infant growth in a longitudinal follow-up study in Bangladesh. *J. Pediatr.* 2013, 163, 1605–1611. [CrossRef]
- 106. Roth, D.E.; Morris, S.K.; Zlotkin, S.; Gernand, A.D.; Ahmed, T.; Shanta, S.S.; Papp, E.; Korsiak, J.; Shi, J.; Islam, M.M.; et al. Vitamin D Supplementation in Pregnancy and Lactation and Infant Growth. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2018, 379, 535–546. [CrossRef]
- 107. Sablok, A.; Batra, A.; Thariani, K.; Batra, A.; Bharti, R.; Aggarwal, A.R.; Kabi, B.C.; Chellani, H. Supplementation of vitamin D in pregnancy and its correlation with feto-maternal outcome. *Clin. Endocrinol.* (*Oxf.*) **2015**, *83*, 536–541. [CrossRef]
- 108. Tofail, F.; Persson, L.A.; El Arifeen, S.; Hamadani, J.D.; Mehrin, F.; Ridout, D.; Ekstrom, E.C.; Huda, S.N.; Grantham-McGregor, S.M. Effects of prenatal food and micronutrient supplementation on infant development: A randomized trial from the Maternal and Infant Nutrition Interventions, Matlab (MINIMat) study. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2008, *87*, 704–711. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. West, K.P.; Shamim, A.A.; Mehra, S.; Labrique, A.B.; Ali, H.; Shaikh, S.; Klemm, R.D.W.; Wu, L.S.F.; Mitra, M.; Haque, R.; et al. Effect of Maternal Multiple Micronutrient vs Iron–Folic Acid Supplementation on Infant Mortality and Adverse Birth Outcomes in Rural Bangladesh. *JAMA* 2014, 312, 2649. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 110. West, K.P., Jr.; Christian, P.; Labrique, A.B.; Rashid, M.; Shamim, A.A.; Klemm, R.D.; Massie, A.B.; Mehra, S.; Schulze, K.J.; Ali, H.; et al. Effects of vitamin A or beta carotene supplementation on pregnancy-related mortality and infant mortality in rural Bangladesh: A cluster randomized trial. *JAMA* 2011, 305, 1986–1995. [CrossRef]
- 111. West, K.P., Jr.; Katz, J.; Khatry, S.K.; LeClerq, S.C.; Pradhan, E.K.; Shrestha, S.R.; Connor, P.B.; Dali, S.M.; Christian, P.; Pokhrel, R.P.; et al. Double blind, cluster randomised trial of low dose supplementation with vitamin A or beta-carotene on mortality related to pregnancy in Nepal: The nnips-2 study group. *BMJ* **1999**, 318, 570–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Sahu, M.; Das, V.; Aggarwal, A.; Rawat, V.; Saxena, P.; Bhatia, V. Vitamin D replacement in pregnant women in rural north India: A pilot study. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2009**, *63*, 1157–1159. [CrossRef]
- 113. Belizan, J.M.; Villar, J.; Bergel, E.; del Pino, A.; Di Fulvio, S.; Galliano, S.V.; Kattan, C. Long-term effect of calcium supplementation during pregnancy on the blood pressure of offspring: Follow up of a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* **1997**, *315*, 281–285. [CrossRef]

- 114. Castillo-Duran, C.; Marin, V.B.; Alcazar, L.S.; Iturralde, H.; Ruz, M.O. Controlled trial of zinc supplementation in Chilean pregnant adolescents. *Nutr. Res.* **2001**, *21*, 715–724. [CrossRef]
- 115. Caulfield, L.E.; Zavaleta, N.; Figueroa, A. Adding zinc to prenatal iron and folate supplements improves maternal and neonatal zinc status in a Peruvian population. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1999**, *69*, 1257–1263. [CrossRef]
- 116. Lopezjaramillo, P.; Delgado, F.; Jacome, P.; Teran, E.; Ruano, C.; Rivera, J. Calcium supplementation and the risk of preeclampsia in ecuadorian pregnant teenagers. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **1997**, *90*, 162–167. [CrossRef]
- 117. Merialdi, M.; Caulfield, L.E.; Zavaleta, N.; Figueroa, A.; Dominici, F.; Dipietro, J.A. Randomized controlled trial of prenatal zinc supplementation and the development of fetal heart rate. *Am. J. Obs. Gynecol.* 2004, 190, 1106–1112. [CrossRef]
- 118. Ramakrishnan, U.; Gonzalez-Cossio, T.; Neufeld, L.M.; Rivera, J.; Martorell, R. Multiple micronutrient supplementation during pregnancy does not lead to greater infant birth size than does iron-only supplementation: A randomized controlled trial in a semirural community in Mexico. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2003**, *77*, 720–725. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Villar, J.; Abdel-Aleem, H.; Merialdi, M.; Mathai, M.; Ali, M.M.; Zavaleta, N.; Purwar, M.; Hofmeyr, J.; Nguyen, T.N.; Campodonico, L.; et al. World Health Organization randomized trial of calcium supplementation among low calcium intake pregnant women. *Am. J. Obs. Gynecol.* 2006, 194, 639–649. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Roth, D.E.; Leung, M.; Mesfin, E.; Qamar, H.; Watterworth, J.; Papp, E. Vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy: State of the evidence from a systematic review of randomised trials. *BMJ* 2017, 359, j5237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Lassi, Z.S.; Dean, S.V.; Mallick, D.; Bhutta, Z.A. Preconception care: Delivery strategies and packages for care. *Reprod. Health* **2014**, *11* (Suppl. 3), S7. [CrossRef]
- 122. Dean, S.V.; Lassi, Z.S.; Imam, A.M.; Bhutta, Z.A. Preconception care: Nutritional risks and interventions. *Reprod. Health* **2014**, *11* (Suppl. 3), S3. [CrossRef]
- 123. Mason, E.; Chandra-Mouli, V.; Baltag, V.; Christiansen, C.; Lassi, Z.S.; Bhutta, Z.A. Preconception care: Advancing from 'important to do and can be done' to 'is being done and is making a difference'. *Reprod. Health* **2014**, *1*, S3–S8. [CrossRef]
- 124. Blum, R.W.; Gates, W.H. *Girlhood Not Motherhood. Preventing Adolescent Pregnancy*; United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA): New York, NY, USA, 2015.
- 125. Zhao, D.; Zou, L.; Lei, X.; Zhang, Y. Gender Differences in Infant Mortality and Neonatal Morbidity in Mixed-Gender Twins. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 8736. [CrossRef]
- 126. Stevenson, D.K.; Verter, J.; Fanaroff, A.A.; Oh, W.; Ehrenkranz, R.A.; Shankaran, S.; Donovan, E.F.; Wright, L.L.; Lemons, J.A.; Tyson, J.E.; et al. Sex differences in outcomes of very low birthweight infants: The newborn male disadvantage. *Arch. Dis. Child.* 2000, *83*, F182–F185. [CrossRef]
- 127. Lee, A.C.; Darmstadt, G.L.; Khatry, S.K.; LeClerq, S.C.; Shrestha, S.R.; Christian, P. Maternal-fetal disproportion and birth asphyxia in rural Sarlahi, Nepal. *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.* **2009**, *163*, 616–623. [CrossRef]
- 128. Hossain, M.; Choudhury, N.; Adib Binte Abdullah, K.; Mondal, P.; Jackson, A.A.; Walson, J.; Ahmed, T. Evidence-based approaches to childhood stunting in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. *Arch. Dis. Child.* **2017**, *102*, 903–909. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).