Frequency of metabolic abnormalities in urinary stones patients

Iftikhar Ahmad¹, Mudassar Saeed Pansota², Muhammad Tariq³, Shafqat Ali Tabassum⁴

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the frequency of metabolic abnormalities in the serum and urine of patients with urinary stones disease.

Methods: Two hundred patients with either multiple or recurrent urolithiasis diagnosed on ultrasonography and intravenous urography were included in this study. 24 hour urine sample were collected from each patient and sent for PH, specific gravity, Creatinine, uric acid, calcium, phosphate, oxalate, citrate and magnesium. In addition, blood sample of each patient was also sent for serum levels of urea, creatinine, uric acid, phosphate and calcium.

Results: Mean age of patients was 38 ± 7.75 years with male to female ratio of 2:1. The main presenting complaint was lumber pain and 82.5% patients were found to have calcium oxalate stones on chemical analysis. Metabolic abnormalities were found in 90.5% patients, whereas there were no metabolic abnormalities in 19 (9.5%) patients. Forty patients (21.5%) only had one metabolic abnormality and 157 (78.5%) patients had multiple metabolic abnormalities. Hyperoxaluria was the most commonly observed metabolic abnormality and was found in 64.5% patients. Other significant metabolic abnormalities were hypercalciuria, Hypercalcemia, hypocitraturia and hyperuricemia.

Conclusion: This study concludes that frequency of metabolic abnormalities is very high in patients with urolithiasis and hyperoxaluria, hypercalciuria and hypocitraturia are the most important metabolic abnormalities observed in these patients.

KEY WORDS: Urolithiasis, Metabolic evaluation, Hyperoxaluria.

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.296.4007

How to cite this:

Revision Accepted:

Ahmad I, Pansota MS, Tariq M, Tabassum SA. Frequency of metabolic abnormalities in urinary stones patients. Pak J Med Sci 2013;29(6):1363-1366. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.296.4007

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is a common disease throughout the world that affects the general population. It is estimated that approximately 2% of the population

1.	Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad, FCPS Assistant Professor,
2.	Dr. Mudassar Saeed Pansota Post Graduate Resident,
3.	Dr. Muhammad Tariq, FCPS Medical Officer, Prof. Dr. Shafqat Ali Tabassum, FCPS Head.
1-4:	Dept. of Urology and Renal Transplantation, Bahawal Victoria Hospital/ Quaid-e-Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur.
	Correspondence: Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad, FCPS Email: netygeulis749@hotmail.com
*	Received for Publication:June 24, 2013Revision Received:August 15, 2013

August 25, 2013

experience renal stone disease at sometimes in their life with peak incidence in 2nd and 3rd decades of life.¹ There are several types of urinary stones, and they are classified according to chemical composition. Calcium oxalate is the major component of the vast majority of stones.² Several factors, such as age, gender, climate, metabolic abnormalities and heredity, are related to the development of urinary stones.³ Metabolic abnormalities are the most important factors because they can be modified to prevent the risk of urinary stones.⁴

The evaluation of patient with urolithiasis consists of radiographic imaging along with blood and urine testing. Although there is a general agreement that a complete metabolic evaluation is indicated in all patients with stone formation, some studies have shown medical evaluation is not cost effective for patients who have only formed one stone.⁵ Metabolic abnormalities such as hypercalciuria, hyperuricosuria, hypokalemia, hyperuricemia, hypophosphatemia and low urine volume that cause stone disease varies in different population and environmental and genetic factors might result in these differences.⁶ In patients with urinary stones, metabolic evaluation and intervention should be considered to prevent the recurrence of stones. Moreover, calcium stone formers, those who have recurrent stones, those who have multiple stones or bilateral stones at their first presentation and children with a stone history should always have an evaluation as a correctable abnormality is often found in these patients.^{7,8}

The purpose of this study was to determine frequency of metabolic abnormalities in urinary stones patients in local population, so that a management policy could be designed for urinary stones patients to prevent stone recurrence.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at the Department of Urology & Renal Transplantation, Bahawal Victoria Hospital/Quaid-e-Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur from June 2011 to December 2012. After taking permission from ethical review committee, total number of 200 patients with either multiple or recurrent urolithiasis diagnosed on ultrasonography and intravenous urography were included in this study. Patients with single stone, urinary disorders i.e. proteinuria, recurrent urinary tract infection, congenital urinary tract obstruction and bladder outflow obstruction and with any chronic disease i.e. chronic renal failure, chronic liver disease and with history of any chronic drug usage were excluded. Patients with any known metabolic abnormality and hypo- or hyperthyroidism were also excluded from the study.

After taking informed consent and relevant history, 24 hour urine sample was collected from each patient and sent for PH, specific gravity, Creatinine, uric acid, calcium, phosphate, oxalate, citrate and

Table-I: Percentage of patients according to age group (n=200).

Age (years) Male			Female		Total	
	No. of patients	% age	No. of patient	% s age	No. of patien	e % ats age
10-20	15	7.5	08	4.0	23	11.5
21-30	22	11.0	13	6.5	35	17.5
31-40	52	26.0	27	13.5	79	39.5
41-50	46	23.0	17	8.5	63	31.5
Total	135	67.5	65	32.5	200	100.0

magnesium. Twenty-four hour urine samples were collected in plastic boxes, which do not react chemically by standard methods, and were stored at 2-8°C. In addition, blood sample of each patient was also sent for serum levels of urea, creatinine, uric acid, phosphate and calcium. The serum levels of metabolic parameters were measured by standard chemical procedures. All patients then had definitive procedure after completion of all workup and stones were sent to pathology laboratory for chemical analysis to know about the stone composition.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 16.0. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for quantitative variables and frequency and percentage was calculated for qualitative variables. Chi square was applied and p value ≤ 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Age range in this study was from 10 to 50 years with mean age of 38 ± 7.75 years. Majority of the patients 79 (39.5%) were between 31 to 40 years of age as shown in Table-I. Out of the 200 patients, 135 (67.5%) were male and 65 (32.5%) were females with ratio of 2:1.

The main presenting complaint was lumber pain on the affected side i.e. in 79.0% patients, followed by hematuria and burning micturation. Majority of the patients i.e. 94.0%, were diagnosed as having renal stone or ureteric stone. Only 38.0% patients

Table-II: Descriptive statistics for different variables (n=200).

Features	No. of patients	%age
Presenting Complaint:		
Lumber pain	158	79.0
• Hematuria	26	13.0
 Burning micturation 	16	8.0
Diagnosis:		
Renal stone	126	63.0
Ureteric stone	42	21.0
Renal + Ureteric stone	20	10.0
 Urinary bladder stone 	12	6.0
Recurrent stone:		
• Yes	76	38.0
• No	124	62.0
Family history of Urolithia	sis:	
• Yes	128	64.0
• No	72	36.0
Stone composition on Stone	e analysis:	
Calcium oxalate	165	82.5
 Calcium phosphate 	05	2.5
• Uric acid	23	11.5
• Struvite	03	1.5
Cystine	04	2.0

Metabolic abnormality	Frequency	%age
Hyperoxaluria (oxalate > 45 mg/d)	129	64.5
Hypercalciuria (> 250 mg/d for women and > 300 mg/d for men)	87	43.5
Hypocitraturia (citrate levels < 320 mg/d)	81	40.5
Hypernatriuria (sodium level > 220 mmol/ day)	59	29.5
Hyperuricosuria (> 600 mg/d in women and > 750 mg/d in men)	43	21.5
Hypomagnesuria (magnesium level < 3 mg/day)	27	13.5
Hyperphosphaturia (phosphate level > 1.3 g/day)	23	11.5
Hypercalcemia (calcium above the normal range i.e. 8.4-10.2 mg/dl):	93	46.5
Hyperuricemia: (normal range 2.5-8 mg/dL for males and 1.5-6.0 mg/dL for females).	59	29.5

Table-III: Frequency of Metabolic abnormalities.

presented with recurrent stones while remaining 62.0% had stone for the first time. Chemical analysis of stones after definitive procedure had shown calcium oxalate stone in 82.5% patients. Descriptive statistics for different variables have been shown in Table-II.

Metabolic abnormalities were found in 181 (90.5%) patients, whereas there were no metabolic abnormalities in 19 (9.5%) patients. Forty patients (21.5%) only had one metabolic abnormality, and 157 (78.5%) patients had multiple metabolic abnormalities. Hyperoxaluria was the most commonly observed metabolic abnormality and was found in 129 (64.5%) patients. Other significant metabolic abnormalities were hypercalciuria, Hypercalcemia, hypocitraturia and hyperuricemia as shown in Table-III.

DISCUSSION

Advances in minimally invasive techniques have dramatically changed surgical management of stone disease in the last trwo decades. Urolithiasis can develop as a result of metabolic disorders, anatomical malformations of the urinary tract, infection, and environmental and nutritional factors.² Therefore, not only genetic and environmental factors, but also metabolic ones are implicated in the pathogenesis of stone formation.⁹Metabolic evaluation of recurrent stone formers not only identify the abnormality but also helps in determining the drug choices and dosages.⁶

The mean age of patients in this study was $38 \pm$ 7.75 years which was a little lower than Kirac M et al⁴ and Majalan NN et al¹⁰ studies who had found mean age of 42 and 43 years respectively. Urolithiasis is a disease that is known to predominantly affect males. In recent years, however, the incidence of urolithiasis in women has been increasing. A study by Scales et al¹¹ found that the male:female ratio of urolithiasis cases diminished from 1.7 to 1.3 during the last 20 years in the USA. In this study, we found

a male predominance (i.e., the male:female with ratio of 2:1) which is very much comparable to studies of Parvin M et al⁶ and Kirac M et al⁴ who had also found predominance of male patients with urolithiasis.

In our study, the main presenting complaint was lumber pain i.e. in 79.0% patients. Elfadil GA et al7 had also found flank pain as the chief presenting complaint in his study i.e. in 67% patients. The results of our study have shown a strong genetic predisposition to urinary stone disease as 64.0% patients had family history of urolithiasis. This genetic factor is also supported by studies of Kirac M et al⁴ and Majalan NN et al¹⁰ who had found a positive family history in 67.0% and 53.1% patients respectively. On the other hand, Elfadil GA et al7 had found this in only 20% of their patients. We had also found 38.0% patients with recurrent urinary stones and the major stone component was calcium oxalate in our study which was also found by Elfadil GA et al.⁷ But in a study by Androulakakis et al¹², the main components of urinary stones in Europe, in decreasing order, are struvite, calcium phosphate and calcium oxalate.

In our study, metabolic abnormalities were found in 90.5% patients, whereas there was no metabolic abnormality in only 9.5% patients which is very much comparable to many previous studies.^{4,6,8,10} In a study by Amaro et al⁸, 62.2% of patients had multiple metabolic abnormalities; however, the patients did not have recurrent calcium oxalate stones. Therefore, it can be presumed that multiple metabolic abnormalities are more common in patients with recurrent calcium oxalate stones. Kirac M et al¹⁰ in his study had found multiple metabolic abnormalities in 71.3% patients while in our study, 78.5% patients had multiple metabolic abnormalities and only 21.5% had one metabolic abnormality. Hyperoxaluria was the most commonly observed metabolic abnormality in this study and was found in 64.5% patients. Interestingly, the rate of

hyperoxaluria is not consistent between studies that have evaluated metabolic abnormalities i.e. Kirac M et al⁴ reported a 64.4% prevalence of hyperoxaluria, whereas Amaro et al⁸ found a 21% prevalence. Parvin M et al⁶ have also reported higher mean urinary excretion of oxalate in stone formers compared with the individuals who are not stone formers. Although hyperoxaluria was the most common risk factors in many previous studies but it was the second most common risk factor in the study by Hess and associates,¹³ and also not very common in the Thai stone formers.¹⁴

Other significant metabolic abnormalities as observed in our study were hypercalciuria and hypocitraturia. Hypercalciuria was found in 43.5% patients in this study while Majalan NN et al¹⁰ and Kirac M et al⁴ had found its prevalence as 27% and 32.8% respectively. Citrate is a natural inhibitor of stone formation, and its absence in urine causes an increase in the risk of stone formation. Numerous studies have shown that the prevalence of hypocitraturia is between 19% and 63%.^{46,10,14,15} In the present study, the hypocitraturia was found in 40.5% patients.

Hypernatriuria was found in 29.5% of the patients in this study. Therefore, urinary sodium should also be evaluated along with calcium, oxalate and citrate in patients with recurrent calcium oxalate stones. In recurrent stone patients, urinary sodium has hypocitraturic and calciuric effect.⁴ In a study by Brockis et al¹⁶, hyperuricosuria and hyperuricemia were the most common metabolic changes, and these conditions were more commonly observed in males. In a study by Kirac M et al⁴ the prevalence of hyperuricosuria was 14.7%. Similarly, we have also found hyperuricosuria in 21.5% patients and hyperuricemia in 29.5% patients which showed that hyperuricosuria and hyperuricemia are also common metabolic abnormalities in urolithiasis.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that frequency of metabolic abnormalities is very high in patients with urolithiasis and hyperoxaluria, hypercalciuria and hypocitraturia are the most important metabolic abnormalities observed in these patients. Therefore, we recommend that metabolic evaluation of every urinary stone former should be done especially in calcium stone formers, those who have recurrent stones, multiple stones or bilateral stones at their first presentation and in children. It will not only help us in recognizing urolithiasis risk factors, but also for selection of proper medical and dietary therapies to prevent recurrent stone formation.

- 1. Ishaq M, Sabir M, Laghari MB, Akhund IA. Serum and urinary inorganic phosphate in stone formers and non stone formers at Peshawar. Professional Med J. 2011;18(2):243-245.
- Parmar MS. Kidney stones. Br Med J. 2010;328(7453):1420-1424.
- Basiri A, Shakhssalim N, Khoshdel AR, Ghahestani SM, Basiri H. The demographic profile of urolithiasis in Iran: a nationwide epidemiologic study. Int Urol Nephrol. 2010;42:119-126.
- Kirac M, Kupeli B, Karaoglan U, Bozkirli I. Metabolic evaluation in patients with recurrent calcium oxalate stones. Turkish J Urol. 2011;37(3):246-251.
- Johri N, Cooper B, Robertson W, Choong S, Rickards D, Unwin R. An update and practical guide to renal stone management. Nephron Clinic Practice. 2010;116(3):159–171.
- Parvin M, Shakhssalem N, Basiri A, Miladipour AH, Golestan B, Torbati PM, et al. The most important metabolic risk factors in recurrent urinary stone formers. Urol J. 2011;8(2):99-106.
- Elfadil GA, Ibrahim ME, Ahmed SAM. Metabolic Constituents of Urinary Stone Composition in Sudanese Children. Egypt Acad J biolog Sci. 2010;2(2):21-25.
- Amaro CR, Golberg J, Agostinho AD, Damasio P, Kawano PR, Fugita OE, et al. Metabolic investigation of patients with staghorn calculus: it is necessary? Int Braz J Urol. 2009;35:658-663.
- 9. Shekarriz B, Stoller ML. Metabolic evaluation of stone disease. Brazil J Urol. 2001;27(1):10-18.
- Majalan NN, Baghianimoghadam B, Amiri N, Moosavi SM. Metabolic abnormalities in patients with recurrent stone formation in a hot territory. Bratisl Lek Listy. 2010;111(2):79-82.
- Scales CD Jr, Curtis LH, Norris RD, Springhart WP, Sur RL, Schulman KA, et al. Changing gender prevalence of stone disease. J Urol. 2007;177:979-982.
- Androulakakis PA, Michael V, Polychronopoulou S, Aghioutantis C. Paediatric urolithiasis in Greece. Br J Urol. 1991;67(2):206-209.
- Hess B, Hasler-Strub U, Ackermann D, Jaeger P. Metabolic evaluation of patients with recurrent idiopathic calcium nephrolithiasis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1997;12:1362-1368.
- Stitchantrakul W, Kochakarn W, Ruangraksa C, Domrongkitchaiporn S. Urinary risk factors for recurrent calcium stone formation in Thai stone formers. J Med Assoc Thai. 2007;90:688-698.
- 15. Netelenbos JC, Zwijnenburg PJ, ter Wee PM. Risk factors determining active urinary stone formation in patients with urolithiasis. Clin Nephrol. 2005;63:188-192.
- Brockis JG, Levitt J, Cruthers SM. The effects of vegetable and animal protein diets on calcium, urate and oxalate excretion. Br J Urol. 1982;54:590-593.

Authors's Contribution:

IA and MSP: Conception and Design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting and critical revision, final approval of the version to be published. **MT:** Acquisition of data, drafting and final approval of the manuscript. **SAT:** Conception, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript.

1366 Pak J Med Sci 2013 Vol. 29 No. 6 www.pjms.com.pk