

# Barriers to treatment-seeking for impairment of vision among elderly persons in a resettlement colony of Delhi: A population-based cross-sectional study

D. Vignesh<sup>1</sup>, Noopur Gupta<sup>2</sup>, Mani Kalaivani<sup>3</sup>, Anil Kumar Goswami<sup>1</sup>, Baridalyne Nongkynrih<sup>1</sup> & Sanjeev Kumar Gupta<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Community Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Dr. R. P. Centre for Ophthalmic Sciences & <sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

Received April 1, 2019

*Background & objectives*: Uncorrected refractive error and cataract are the two most common causes of impairment of vision among elderly persons, and both are treatable. Treatment-seeking in patients is driven by symptom (decreased vision) rather than any anatomical or physiological measurement. The objective of this study was to evaluate the treatment-seeking behavior and barriers to treatment-seeking among elderly persons with impairment of vision in an urban resettlement colony of New Delhi, India.

*Methods*: This community-based, cross-sectional study was conducted among 604 persons aged ≥60 yr selected by the simple random sampling. House-to-house visit was done, and a self-developed pretested semi-structured interview schedule was used to collect socio-demographic information, treatment-seeking behaviour and barriers to treatment-seeking.

*Results*: Majority of participants reported impairment of vision (84%); 16.5 per cent of them did not visit any healthcare facility for their vision problem. Lack of felt need (48.1%) was the most common barrier to visiting healthcare facility. Of the 401 participants who gave a history of being prescribed spectacles, 277 (69%) used spectacles. Discomfort, lack of improvement in vision and lack of felt need were the most common reasons cited for non-usage. Among 300 participants who gave a history of cataract, 61 (20.3%) had not undergone cataract surgery. Lack of felt need was the most common barrier to cataract surgery.

*Interpretation & conclusions*: A substantial proportion of elderly persons in the urban community have impairment of vision. Lack of felt need was the main reason for not visiting healthcare facility. As quality of spectacles was an important reported deterrent to use of spectacles, provision of appropriate refraction services and low-cost, good quality spectacles would be important.

Key words Barriers - cataract - elderly - impairment of vision - spectacles - treatment-seeking

Globally, an estimated 2.2 billion people live with some form of distant or near vision impairment. About 50 per cent of visual impairment is avoidable, namely treatable or preventable. Among the 253 million with distant vision impairment, 36 million are blind, 217 million have visual impairment<sup>1</sup>. Most of the

visually impaired people are aged 50 yr and above<sup>2</sup>. In India, prevalence of visual impairment is estimated to be 2.55 per cent of total population<sup>3</sup>. Treatment-seeking is largely related to the difficulty a person faces in performing various functions and routine activities, rather than the objective measurement of visual acuity. Impairment of vision is related to quality of life, social activities and activities of daily living, independence and economic productivity. In view of the demographic transition and population ageing, the burden of eye diseases can be expected to rise in the near future. Therefore, along with provision of services, barriers to uptake of services have to be understood to promptly investigate and treat impairment of vision, in order to decrease the burden of the same.

Cataract and uncorrected refractive errors are the two most common causes of impairment of vision among elderly persons<sup>4</sup>, and both are treatable. Cataract is a degenerative condition of the lens, which is easily treatable with surgery. Guidelines are available for indications and contraindications of cataract surgery<sup>5</sup>. It is not enough to focus on cataract surgery rate and uptake of cataract surgical services<sup>6,7</sup>. The barriers to treatment-seeking among elderly persons previously diagnosed with cataract are poorly understood in the urban context and also need to be studied<sup>8-10</sup>. Population-based studies conducted in the last two decades in India show that uncorrected refractive errors are the leading cause of visual impairment<sup>11,12</sup>. While uncorrected refractive errors can be corrected using spectacles, several barriers limit the uptake of services.

A variety of reasons, including dependence on caregivers (both financial and otherwise, financial constraints), distant location of healthcare facilities, lack of awareness about the problem and location of healthcare facilities, fear of surgery and lack of felt need affect the treatment-seeking behaviour in the elderly population<sup>13</sup>. However, most of these studies for treatment-seeking behaviour are conducted on persons with visual impairment, rather than those who report impairment of vision. Standard definition of visual impairment is used<sup>14</sup>, which does not include self-reported impairment of vision.

This research was conducted to study the treatment-seeking behaviour and related barriers among elderly persons who reported impairment of vision in a resettlement colony of New Delhi, India. The objectives of this study were to estimate the proportion of persons not utilizing healthcare services

for impairment of vision, and barriers to utilize them; proportion of persons not using spectacles despite being advised, and barriers to using spectacles; and proportion of persons who had not undergone cataract surgery after being advised, and barriers to undergo cataract surgery in them.

## **Material & Methods**

This was a community-based, cross-sectional study carried out among persons aged 60 yr and above living in Dakshinpuri Extension, an urban resettlement colony in New Delhi, India. The study was conducted by the Centre for Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi, India. The study was approved by the Institute Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from the participants. Those who required eye care services were referred to the nearest healthcare facility. Demographic information on all individuals was available in a Health Management Information System (HMIS), which is updated regularly. This source was used to provide the sampling frame. There were 2715 elderly persons in the study area. Data were collected during May and June 2017.

Marmamula *et al*<sup>12,13</sup> in their studies showed that 32 per cent of elderly persons did not utilize eye care services. Alpha error of 0.05 was considered and four per cent absolute error was taken. Non-response of 10 per cent, and death and migration rate of 7.5 per cent were considered for estimating final sample size. Final sample size was calculated as 604 elderly persons.

The participants were selected by simple random sampling from an urban resettlement colony in Dakshinpuri Extension from the HMIS data. Eligibility criteria included persons aged  $\geq 60$  yr residing in the study area for more than six months. Those with subnormal mental status were excluded from the study.

*Procedure*: House-to-house visits were done to contact the participants. Of the 604 participants selected, 555 (91.9%) were interviewed by the interviewer using a self-developed pre-tested semi-structured interview schedule which contained 25 items. The questionnaire was pre-tested in the hospital and primary eye care clinics involving individuals aged 60 yr and older. Socio-demographic information and data on selected self-reported chronic conditions were collected. The selected self-reported chronic conditions included diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic respiratory diseases and joint pains. Participants were asked whether they had any impairment of vision, followed by whether they had sought treatment from any healthcare service provider for this difficulty. From those who did not seek any treatment, reasons were elicited using semi-structured interview schedule.

Subsequently, all participants were asked whether they were ever prescribed spectacles. In those who were prescribed, spectacle usage was asked. Among those who did not use spectacles inspite of being prescribed, reasons for not using them were recorded. All participants were asked whether they were ever diagnosed. If they were diagnosed with cataract, and had not undergone cataract surgery, reasons for not undergoing were recorded.

*Outcome variables*: Non-utilization of healthcare services despite having impairment of vision was taken as outcome. Other outcomes were non-usage of spectacles in spite of being prescribed spectacles, and not undergoing cataract surgery in those who were previously diagnosed with cataract.

Statistical analysis: Data were entered in Microsoft Excel version 2013 and analyzed in Stata version 12 (College station, Texas, USA)<sup>15</sup>. Data are presented as mean [standard deviation (SD)] and number (%). Outcome variables are reported as proportions (95% CI). The Chi-square test was used to test the differences in the outcome variables with gender. To see gender preferences for visiting healthcare services, significance in difference of proportions between two genders was tested using N-1 Chi-square test<sup>16</sup> Multivariable logistic regression was used to find any association between the socio-demographic variables and non-utilization of healthcare facility. Adjusted odds ratios (ORs) were reported with the corresponding 95 per cent confidence intervals (CIs) and *P* values.

## Results

Of the randomly selected 604 elderly participants, 27 refused to participate and 22 could not be contacted despite three visits, including one on a weekend. Among those who refused, there were eight men and 19 women. Among those who could not be contacted, 17 were men and five were women. Finally, 555 participants were interviewed. The response rate was 91.9 per cent. The proportion (95% CI) of elderly persons with impairment of vision was 84 (81, 87) per cent. The mean age of participants was  $67.9 \pm 6.1$  yr. The mean age for men was  $67.7 \pm 5.9$  yr and for women, it was  $67.9 \pm 6.3$  yr. Socio-demographic characteristics



**Fig. 1.** Barriers to visiting any healthcare facility by participants (n=77). (Multiple responses possible).

are reported in Table I. Among the participants, one person was never married (single), who was combined with widow/widower for further analyses. Majority had difficulty in both near vision and far vision (n=402, 86.3%). Among the rest, 49 had only near vision difficulty (10.5%) and 15 had only distant vision difficulty (3.2%).

There were 466 participants (212 men, 254 women) who had impairment of vision. Among them, 77 (35 men, 42 women) participants (16.5%, 95% CI: 13-20) had not visited any health facility. The remaining177 (83.5%) men and 212 (83.5%) women visited healthcare facility. In those with impairment of vision, 248 (48.3%) participants visited private facility, while 181 (38.8%) visited government facility. Healthcare facility visited was not significantly different for both gender for private (P=0.11) and government health facility (P=0.79). Visits to multiple health facilities by single participants were possible.

The most common reason cited for not visiting any healthcare facility was lack of felt need (48.1%) followed by time constraints (20.8%) (Fig. 1). Other reasons for not visiting healthcare facility included economic constraints, no one to accompany, waiting for winter, *etc.* None of the socio-demographic variables, including presence of comorbid systemic diseases were significantly associated with not visiting healthcare facilities among participants impairment of vision (Table II). Of the 555 participants, 401 were prescribed spectacles, and of these, 277 (69%) were using them. Among those using spectacles, three-fourths of participants felt that their vision improved after wearing spectacles (Table III).

Table I. Distribution of study participants by socio-demographic characteristics (n=555)							
Variables	Number	Persons with impairment of vision (n=466), n (%)					
Age-categories (yr)							
60-64	167	132 (79.0)					
65-69	188	156 (83.0)					
70-74	103	87 (84.5)					
75 and more	97	91 (93.8)					
Gender							
Men	262	212 (80.9)					
Women	293	254 (86.7)					
Marital status							
Single (never married)	1	1 (100)					
Married	320	261 (81.6)					
Widow/widower	234	204 (87.2)					
Living status							
Living alone	33	29 (87.9)					
Living with spouse only	131	107 (81.7)					
Living with spouse and children	178	145 (81.5)					
Living with only children	201	177 (88.1)					
Living with other family members	12	8 (66.7)					
Number of family members							
1-3	202	166 (82.2)					
4-6	210	177 (84.3)					
7 or more	143	123 (86.0)					
Type of family							
Nuclear family	195	160 (82.1)					
Extended family	360	306 (85.0)					
Educational status							
Illiterate	294	254 (86.4)					
Upto 5 <sup>th</sup> standard	134	112 (83.6)					
6 <sup>th</sup> to 10 <sup>th</sup> standard	73	57 (78.1)					
Above 10 <sup>th</sup> standard	54	43 (79.6)					
Economic dependence							
Independent	192	152 (79.2)					
Partially dependent	261	226 (86.6)					
Dependent	102	88 (86.3)					
Working status							
Not working	311	268 (86.2)					
Working	244	198 (81.1)					
Number of selected self-reported chronic illness							
None	106	87 (82.1)					
One	182	142 (78.0)					
Two	174	153 (87.3)					
Three or more	93	84 (90.3)					

Table II. Association of not visiting healthcare facilities with socio-demographic variables among participants (n=466)							
Variables	Numbers	Not visiting healthcare facility (n=77), n (%)	Crude OR* (95% CI)	Р	Adjusted OR** (95% CI)	Р	
Age categories (yr)							
60-64	132	23 (17.4)		Refe	rence		
65-69	156	25 (16.0)	0.90 (0.49-1.68)	0.75	0.94 (0.50-1.77)	0.82	
70-74	87	10 (11.5)	0.61 (0.28-1.37)	0.23	0.63 (0.27-1.42)	0.27	
75 and more	91	19 (20.9)	1.25 (0.63-2.46)	0.51	1.39 (0.69-2.80)	0.36	
Gender							
Men	212	35 (16.5)		Refe	rence		
Women	254	42 (16.5)	1.00 (0.61-1.64)	0.99	-	-	
Marital status							
Married	261	44 (13.8)		Refe	rence		
Widow/widower	205	33 (14.0)	0.95 (0.58-1.56)	0.84	-	-	
Living status							
With spouse only	107	21 (19.6)		Refe	rence		
With spouse and children	145	21 (14.5)	0.69 (0.36-1.34)	0.28	-	-	
Only with children	185	29 (15.7)	0.76 (0.41-1.40)	0.39	-	-	
Living alone	29	6 (20.7)	1.06 (0.39-2.95)	0.90	-	-	
Type of family							
Nuclear	160	29 (18.1)		Refe	rence		
Extended	306	48 (15.7)	0.84 (0.50-1.39)	0.50	-	-	
Number of family members							
1-3	166	30 (18.1)		Refe	rence		
4-6	177	32 (18.1)	1.00 (0.58-1.73)	0.99	1.00 (0.57-1.78)	0.98	
7 or more	123	15 (12.2)	0.63 (0.32-1.23)	0.17	0.66 (0.33-1.31)	0.23	
Educational status							
Above 10 <sup>th</sup> standard	43	6 (13.9)		Refe	rence		
6 <sup>th</sup> to 10 <sup>th</sup> standard	57	8 (14.0)	1.00 (0.54-3.42)	0.51	-	-	
Up to 5 <sup>th</sup> standard	112	17 (15.2)	1.10 (0.40-3.01)	0.85	-	-	
Illiterate	254	46 (18.1)	1.36 (0.32-3.15)	0.99	-	-	
Economic dependence							
Independent	152	26 (17.1)	Reference				
Partially dependent	226	30 (13.3)	0.74 (0.42-1.31)	0.30	0.74 (0.41-1.35)	0.32	
Dependent	88	21 (23.9)	1.52 (0.79-2.90)	0.20	1.52 (0.79-2.95)	0.21	
Working status							
Working	198	31 (15.6)		Refe	rence		
Not working	268	46 (17.2)	1.11 (0.68-1.83)	0.66	-	-	
Number of selected self-reported chronic conditions							
None	87	18 (20.7)		Refe	rence		
One	142	21 (14.8)	0.66 (0.33-1.33)	0.25	0.68 (0.34-1.39)	0.29	
Two	153	23 (15.0)	0.67 (0.34-1.34)	0.26	0.71 (0.35-1.42)	0.34	
Three or more	84	15 (17.8)	0.83 (0.39-1.78)	0.63	0.84 (0.38-1.83)	0.66	
OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. *Crude OR calculated by bivariable logistic regression model; **Variables with $P \le 0.25$ were put in multivariable regression model. However, none of the variables were significant.							

Table III. Details of spectacles usage and cataract surgery among participants (n=555)					
Factor	Men (n=262), n (%)	Women (n=293), n (%)			
Status of spectacle usage					
Prescribed spectacles	179 (68.3)	222 (77.8)*			
Using spectacles routinely	133 (74.3)	144 (64.9)*			
Self-improvement in vision	106 (79.7)	106 (74.1)			
Utilization of cataract surgical services <sup>†</sup>					
Self-reported cataract	129 (49.2)	171 (58.4)*			
Underwent cataract surgery	105 (82.4)	134 (78.4)			
Satisfied with surgery	78 (74.3)	98 (73.1)			
*D<0.05 commend to more \$20 more that hildered action at history demonstration of some one Dest (1 did not as for					

\*P<0.05 compared to men. \*28 persons had bilateral cataract, but underwent cataract surgery in only one eye. Rest 61 did not go for cataract surgery. Percentages are successive, with denominator being the number of persons in previous row, in the same column



**Fig. 2.** Barriers to undergoing cataract surgery (n=89) (Multiple responses possible).

The most common reasons cited for not wearing spectacles were spectacles not comfortable, followed by no improvement seen on wearing spectacles, need not felt financial reasons and others. Other reasons included ashamed of spectacles, waiting for shopkeeper to make spectacles, waiting for cataract surgery before making spectacles, do not want to develop habit of wearing spectacles, redness of eyes and unaware of eye problem.

More than half the participants had been previously diagnosed with cataract, and among these, four-fifths had undergone surgery in at least one eye. Most of the participants (73.8%) were satisfied with the operation (Table III). Lack of felt need was most common barrier for undergoing cataract surgery (Fig. 2).

### Discussion

In our study setting, elderly persons require someone to accompany them to healthcare facilities. This is reflected in our study also. The old age group, less family members and participants living with only their spouse were more likely not to visit healthcare facility. It was observed that a high proportion of illiterate elderly persons did not visit healthcare facilities. Lack of felt need was a major barrier. Felt need is a subjective phenomenon. People with impairment of vision may still be able to perform their day-to-day activities, and functions based on vision, such as, navigating inside and outside home, identifying people from far and near, walking up and down the stairs, locking and unlocking doors, searching small objects, *etc.* Lack of perceived need was also the most common cause in earlier studies<sup>13,17</sup> from Andhra Pradesh. Another study conducted by Marmanula *et al*<sup>18</sup> in Prakasam district also found old age and no felt need as important barriers to eye-care service utilization. However, these studies were conducted predominantly in rural areas.

Discomfort and lack of improvement in vision on using spectacles were the main barriers for not using spectacles. Adequate correction by refraction and good quality of spectacles may help in overcoming these barriers. Lack of felt need and financial reasons were also important barriers. Similar results were found by a study by Senjam et al11 from Delhi, wherein common reasons for not using spectacles included lack of felt need (31.5%), unable to afford (16.2%), uncomfortable to wear (16.2%) among others. The proportion of lack of felt need was higher in this study because the age group was  $\geq 40$  yr, and because the denominator was number of persons with improvement in pin-hole visual acuity rather than impairment of vision. This may be because patients with refractive error would first accommodate with symptoms before seeking treatment; and seek treatment only when the symptoms become more severe<sup>19,20</sup>.

Patil *et al*<sup>21</sup> from Sindhudurg, Maharashtra, reported barriers to cataract surgery in individuals

to be non-affordability (22.1%), unaware of cataract (20.0%) and no felt need (13.2%). Thoufeeq *et al*<sup>22</sup> from Maldives found that the barriers to cataract surgery uptake were lack of felt need (29.7%), deference of treatment by health providers (33.3%) and fear of surgery (12.3%) among others. Lack of felt need rather than unaffordability was the main barrier in our study because many different government and non-governmental organizations were involved in providing cataract surgery at low cost in our study.

This was a community-based study with high response rate (91.9%). Hence, the results may be generalizable to urban resettlement colonies. Since it was a cross-sectional study, causality could not be interpreted for not utilizing healthcare services. Furthermore, qualitative research methods would have added more insight about the barriers but are more resource-intensive and time-consuming.

Majority of participants with impairment of vision visited some healthcare facility. Among those who did not avail any service, lack of felt need was the most common reason. Benefits of resolving the impairment of vision may be highlighted to them to address this barrier. None of the socio-demographic variables were significantly associated with not visiting health facility. During health education programmes in the community, benefits of improvement in vision by use of spectacles and cataract surgery need to be highlighted. Prescription of spectacles by competent staff, and availability of affordable, good quality spectacles for elderly persons need to be ensured. Qualitative research methods may be used in further studies on this subject.

Financial support & sponsorship: None.

Conflicts of Interest: None.

### References

- 1. World Health Organization. *Blindness and vision impairment*. Available from: *https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/blindness-and-visual-impairment*, accessed on October 14, 2021.
- Bourne RR, Flaxman SR, Braithwaite T, Cicinelli MV, Das A, Jonas JB, *et al.* Magnitude, temporal trends, and projections of the global prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017; 5: e888-97.
- National Programme for Prevention of Blindness & Visual Impairment, Directorate General of Health Services. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi. National blindness and visual impairment survey India 2015-2019.

Available from: https://npcbvi.gov.in/writereaddata/mainlinkfile/ file341.pdf, accessed on February 19, 2021.

- Flaxman SR, Bourne RR, Resnikoff S, Ackland P, Braithwaite T, Cicinelli MV, *et al.* Global causes of blindness and distance vision impairment 1990-2020: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2017; 5 : e1221-34.
- VISION 2020: The Right to Sight India. Guidelines for the Management of Cataract in India. Available from: https://www. sightsaversindia.in/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/16480\_ Cataract\_Manual\_VISION2020.pdf, accessed on February 23, 2019.
- Bharath B, Krishnaiah S, Imtiaz A, Ramani RV. Prevalence and determinants of cataract surgical coverage in India: Findings from a population-based study. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2017; 4: 320-7.
- Murthy GV, Jain B, Shamanna B, Subramanyam D. Improving cataract services in the Indian context. *Community Eye Health* 2014; 27: 4-5.
- Chandrashekhar TS, Bhat HV, Pai RP, Nair SK. Coverage, utilization and barriers to cataract surgical services in rural South India: Results from a population-based study. *Public Health* 2007; *121*: 130-6.
- Khanna RC, Kim S, Giridhar P, Mettla AL, Marmamula S, Rao GN. Barriers to uptake of referral services from secondary care to tertiary care and its associated factors in L V Prasad Eye Institute network in Southern India: A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2018; 8 : e020687.
- Kumar SG, Mondal A, Vishwakarma P, Kundu S, Lalrindiki R, Kurian E. Factors limiting the Northeast Indian elderly population from seeking cataract surgical treatment: Evidence from Kolasib district, Mizoram, India. *Indian* J Ophthalmol 2018; 66 : 969-74.
- Senjam SS, Vashist P, Gupta N, Malhotra S, Misra V, Bhardwaj A, *et al.* Prevalence of visual impairment due to uncorrected refractive error: Results from Delhi-rapid assessment of visual impairment study. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2016; 64: 387-90.
- Marmamula S, Narsaiah S, Shekhar K, Khanna RC, Rao GN. Visual impairment in the South Indian state of Andhra Pradesh: Andhra Pradesh - Rapid assessment of visual impairment (AP-RAVI) project. *PLoS One* 2013; 8 : e70120.
- Marmamula S, Khanna RC, Shekhar K, Rao GN. A population-based cross-sectional study of barriers to uptake of eye care services in South India: The rapid assessment of visual impairment (RAVI) project. *BMJ Open* 2014; *4* : e005125.
- ICD-10 Version: 2016. Available from: http://apps.who.int/ classifications/icd10/browse/2016/en#/H53-H54, accessed on August 18, 2018.
- 15. StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 12*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP; 2011.
- Busing FMTA, Weaver B, Dubois S. 2 × 2 Tables: a note on Campbell's recommendation. *Statistics in Med* 2016; 35: 1354-8.
- Kovai V, Krishnaiah S, Shamanna BR, Thomas R, Rao GN. Barriers to accessing eye care services among visually impaired populations in rural Andhra Pradesh, South India. *Indian* J Ophthalmol 2007; 55 : 365-71.

- Marmamula S, Narsaiah S, Shekhar K, Khanna RC. Visual impairment among weaving communities in Prakasam district in South India. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e55924.
- Dandona R, Dandona L, Naduvilath TJ, McCarty CA, Rao GN. Utilisation of eyecare services in an urban population in southern India: The Andhra Pradesh eye disease study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2000; *84* : 22-7.
- 20. Gupta SK, Murthy GV. Where do persons with blindness caused by cataracts in rural areas of India seek treatment and why? *Arch Ophthalmol* 1995; *113* : 1337-40.
- Patil S, Gogate P, Vora S, Ainapure S, Hingane RN, Kulkarni AN, *et al.* Prevalence, causes of blindness, visual impairment and cataract surgical services in Sindhudurg district on the western coastal strip of India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2014; *62* : 240-5.
- 22. Thoufeeq U, Das T, Limburg H, Maitra M, Panda L, Sil A, *et al.* First rapid assessment of avoidable blindness survey in the Maldives: Prevalence and causes of blindness and cataract surgery. *Asia Pac J Ophthalmol* 2018; 7:316-20.

For correspondence: Dr Sanjeev Kumar Gupta, Centre for Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi 110 029, India e-mail: sgupta\_91@yahoo.co.in