#### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

Influence of particle size and extraction solvent on antioxidant properties of extracts of tea, ginger, and tea-ginger blend

## Solomon A. Makanjuola<sup>1,2</sup> 🕩

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>BloomMak Scientific Services, Gbagada, Lagos, Nigeria

#### Correspondence

Solomon Akinremi Makanjuola, Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. Email: makakins2001@yahoo.com

## Abstract

The influence of particle size and extraction solvent on the antioxidant properties of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of tea (Camellia sinensis), ginger (Zingiber officinale), and tea-ginger (2:1) blend was investigated. The powder sizes studied were 0.425, 0.710, and 1.180 mm. Extracts were analyzed for DPPH radical scavenging activity, ABTS radical scavenging activity, total phenol content (TPC), iron chelating activity, total flavonoid content, and peroxide scavenging activity. The powder with the lowest particle size of 0.425 mm tends to produce aqueous extracts of tea, ginger, and tea-ginger with highest antioxidant content. At this lowest particle size all the antioxidant properties assayed were maximized. The TPC of aqueous extracts obtained from the 0.425 mm tea, ginger, and tea-ginger powders were  $685.44 \pm 175$ ,  $283.58 \pm 19$ , and 483.02  $\pm$  176 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The TPC of aqueous extracts obtained from the 0.710 mm tea, ginger, and tea-ginger powders were  $679.06 \pm 169$ , 208.94  $\pm 147$ , and 400.10  $\pm 130$  mg GAE L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However, for the aqueous ethanolic and ethanolic extracts, the particle size that maximized the antioxidant extraction varied depending on the antioxidant property that was being assayed. The study suggests that particle size influences the extraction of antioxidants. Also, the optimum powder size that would maximize antioxidant extraction is dependent on the solvent used and the antioxidant property being measured.

#### KEYWORDS

antioxidants, ginger, particle size, solvent extraction, tea

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Tea (*Camellia sinensis*) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) are plants with health benefits. The research on the influence of tea on human health has been driven by the growing need to provide naturally healthy diets that include plant-derived polyphenols (Karori, Wachira, Wanyoko, & Ngure, 2007). The consumption of tea flavonoid has been linked to lower incidences of chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease and cancer (Rusak, Komes, Likic', Horz`ic', & Kovac, 2008). Aqueous solvents of black tea extracts have also been shown to possess antibacterial activity against some foodborne pathogens (Turkmen,

Velioglu, Sari, & Polat, 2007). Ginger is also known to possess antiinflammatory, antinausea, anticarcinogenic, and antioxidant effects (Bode & Dong, 2011). The combination of tea and ginger has also been reported to possess synergistic antioxidant effect (Makanjuola, Enujiugha, Omoba, & Sanni, 2015a).

Parameters that have high influence on the amount and composition of antioxidants in extracts include the extraction solvent, temperature, extraction time (duration), solvent-to-solid ratio, and storage conditions (Michiels, Kevers, Pincemail, Defraigne, & Jacques Dommes, 2012). Extraction of antioxidants from tea, ginger, and their blends have been reported to be affected by

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$  2017 The Authors. Food Science & Nutrition published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

U FY\_Food Science & Nutrition

extraction temperature, powder concentration, extraction time, pH, solvent type, and solvent concentration (Makanjuola, Enujiugha, & Omoba, 2016; Makanjuola, Enujiugha, Omoba, & Sanni, 2015b,c; Makanjuola et al., 2015a; Perva-Uzunalic et al., 2006; Savić et al., 2013; Turkmen et al., 2007; Vuong, Golding, Stathopoulos, Nguyen, & Roach, 2011).

Available studies on the effect of particle size on tea antioxidant extraction were performed using aqueous extraction. However it would also be useful to study the effect of particle size on the ethanolic extraction of tea-as this can present some advantages with respect to preparation of tea nutraceuticals—as the ethanolic solvent could enhance the extraction of some polyphenols that cannot be extracted by aqueous extraction. Castiglioni, Damiani, Astolfi, and Carloni (2015) also studied the total phenol content, total flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity of whole and ground tea leaves when extracted with water-and they reported that these properties were higher for the milled tea. Some studies available on ginger particle size had to do with its effect on extraction of ginger oil (Chen, Chung, Wang, & Huang, 2011)--and the influence of superfine grinding on physical-chemical properties of the powder (Zhao, Yang, Gai, & Yang, 2009). This present study looked at the influence of particle size and extraction solvent on antioxidant contents of black tea, ginger, and tea-ginger extracts. The solvents used for extraction were water, ethanol, and aqueous ethanol.

#### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 | Powder preparation

Tea leaves were harvested from Obudu Mountain in Cross River State and the ginger rhizomes were obtained from Kaduna State, both in Nigeria. Tea leaves were processed into black tea by allowing them to wilt, oxidize, and dry in the sun, and the dried tea leaves were then ground. The ginger rhizomes were peeled, sun-dried, and ground. The powders were passed through sieves of the following sizes: 0. 425, 0.750, and 1.18 mm, and the powder retained on the sieves were used for further analysis. Three powder sizes were used for the tea extraction (Table 1). Two powder sizes were used for the ginger and teaginger (blend) extraction (Table 1) because powder with particle size

**TABLE 1** Powder samples and solvents used to evaluate particlesize effect on antioxidant extraction

| Powder sample             | Powder size<br>(mm)    | Extraction solvents                               |
|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Теа                       | 0.425, 0.710,<br>1.180 | Water, absolute ethanol,<br>aqueous ethanol (v/v) |
| Ginger                    | 0.425, 0.710           | Water, absolute ethanol,<br>aqueous ethanol (v/v) |
| Tea-ginger blend<br>(2:1) | 0.425, 0.710           | Water, absolute ethanol                           |

0.425 mm powder size (0.425 ≥ x < 0.710), 0.710 mm powder size (0.710 ≥ x < 1.180), 1.180 mm powder size (≥1.180).

greater than 1.18 mm was not obtained for ginger during the sieve analysis.

#### 2.2 | Powder extraction

The tea, ginger, and tea–ginger (2:1) powders were extracted under multiresponse optimized conditions determined in the laboratory. These optimization conditions were determined using the desirability concept. The multiresponse optimization was set to maximize the following antioxidant properties: DPPH radical scavenging activity (DPPH), ABTS radical scavenging activity (ABTS), TPC, iron chelating activity (ICA), total flavonoid content (TFC), and peroxide scavenging activity (PSA). Some of these optimization results have been reported in Makanjuola et al. (2015b,c, 2016). These extraction (multiresponse optimization) conditions used for the different powders are shown in Table 2.

The tea and ginger powders were extracted as described in Makanjuola et al. (2015b). Extraction was done in a conical flask placed on temperature-controlled magnetic stirrer (UC 152; Bibby Scientific, Staffordshire, UK). The flask was covered with aluminum foil to prevent light penetration. To guarantee the accuracy of the extraction temperature, a temperature controller (SCT 1, Bibby Scientific, Staffordshire, UK) was placed inside the conical flask and connected to the temperature-controlled magnetic stirrer. When the required extraction temperature was reached, the required weight of powder was introduced into the conical flask.

## 2.3 | Antioxidant analysis

Extracts were analyzed for DPPH radical scavenging activity, ABTS radical scavenging activity, total phenol content, iron chelating activity, total flavonoid content, and peroxide scavenging activity.

#### 2.4 | ABTS radical scavenging activity

ABTS was assayed using the method of Miliauskas, Venskutonis, and van Beek (2004), as described in Spradling (2008). ABTS stock solution was prepared by mixing 44.8 mg of ABTS, 8.12 mg potassium persulfate, and 20 ml of distilled water. The solution was stored in the dark for 12 hr. The ABTS working solution was also prepared by mixing 5 ml of the ABTS stock solution with 145 ml of phosphate buffer solution. One hundred microliter of each extract or standard was added to 2,900  $\mu$ l of the ABTS working solution and kept for 15 min before reading spectrophotometrically at 734 nm against a blank solution. Trolox was used as standard and result was expressed as milligram Trolox equivalent per liter (mg TE L<sup>-1</sup>).

## 2.5 | DPPH radical scavenging activity

DPPH was assayed using the method of Sompong, Siebenhandl-Ehn, Linsberger-Martina, and Berghofer (2011). The reaction mixture consisted of 1.5 ml DPPH working solution and 300  $\mu$ l extract. The mixture was shaken and left to stand for 40 min in the dark at room temperature. Absorbance was read at 515 nm relative to a control.

#### TABLE 2 Extraction conditions

| Treatment  | Temperature (°C) | Concentration (g 100 ml <sup>-1</sup> ) | Time (min) |
|--|------------------|---|------------|
| Aqueous ginger extraction                        | 96.00            | 2.10                                    | 90.00      |
| Aqueous tea extraction                           | 54.00            | 2.10                                    | 88.00      |
| Aqueous ethanolic (50% $v/v$ ) ginger extraction | 30.00            | 1.90                                    | 91.00      |
| Aqueous ethanolic (50% $v/v$ ) tea extraction    | 30.00            | 1.75                                    | 5.00       |
| Absolute ethanolic ginger extraction             | 44.00            | 2.10                                    | 47.00      |
| Absolute ethanolic tea extraction                | 66.00            | 0.80                                    | 90.00      |
| Aqueous tea-ginger 2:1 extraction                | 96.00            | 1.68                                    | 90.00      |
| Ethanolic tea-ginger 2:1 extraction              | 50.00            | 2.10                                    | 5.00       |

### 2.6 | Iron chelating activity

Iron chelating activity was evaluated as described in Ozena, Demirtas, and Aksit (2011). The samples were added to a solution of 2 mmol  $L^{-1}$  FeCl<sub>2</sub> (0.05 ml). The reaction was initiated by the addition of 5 mmol  $L^{-1}$  ferrozine (0.2 ml) and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance was read at 562 nm.

## 2.7 | Peroxide scavenging activity

Peroxide scavenging activity was assayed using the method of Smirnoff and Cumbes (1989) as explained in Ozena et al. (2011). Peroxide radicals were produced by mixing of  $H_2O_2$  and FeSO<sub>4</sub>. The reaction mixture consisted of 1 ml FeSO<sub>4</sub> (1.5 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>), 0.7 ml  $H_2O_2$  (6 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>), 0.3 ml sodium salicylate (20 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>), and appropriate volume of extracts. This mixture was stored for 1 hr at room temperature. Absorbance of the hydroxylated salicylate complex was measured at 562 nm.

#### 2.8 | Total flavonoid content

Total flavonoid content was determined as described by Prommuaka, De-Eknamkulb, and Shotipruka (2008). A 0.5 ml of catechin solutions or the extracted samples was added to 1.5 ml of 95% ethanol (v/v), 0.1 ml of 10% aluminum chloride (AlCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) (m/v), 0.1 ml of 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> of potassium acetate, and 2.8 ml of distilled water. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min and the absorbance of the mixture was measured against a blank using a spectrophotometer at 415 nm. Catechin was used as standard and result was expressed as milligram catechin equivalent per liter (mg CE L<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 2.9 | Total phenol content

Total phenol content was determined as described by Waterhouse (2003), using the method of Slinkard and Singleton (1977). A 50  $\mu$ l sample of the calibration solution, blank, or extract, was added to 1.58 ml water, and 100  $\mu$ l of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. After 8 min, 300  $\mu$ l of sodium carbonate solution was added. The solutions were left at room temperature for 1 hr and absorbance of each solution was determined at 765 nm against a blank. Gallic acid was used as

standard and result was expressed as milligram catechin equivalent per liter (mg GAE  $L^{-1}$ ).

## 2.10 | Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using analysis of variance and Fisher's least significant difference using XLSTAT Pro, 2013 (Addinsoft, Paris, France).

### 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Antioxidant properties of aqueous extracts

Tea particle size of 0.425 mm produced extract with highest total phenol content and DPPH radical scavenging activity (Table 3). The particle sizes 0.425 mm and 0.710 mm produced extracts with the highest total flavonoid content (12,711.11 and 12,002.78 mg CE  $L^{-1}$ , respectively), highest ABTS radical scavenging activity (0.903 and 0.890 mg TE L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), and highest peroxide scavenging activity (61.80% and 60.82%, respectively). No significant differences (p < .05) were observed between the particle sizes (0.425 mm and 0.710 mm) for total flavonoid content, ABTS radical scavenging activity, peroxide scavenging activity, and DPPH radical scavenging activity (Table 3) for ginger powder extraction. The total flavonoid content for the 0.425 mm and 0.710 mm ginger powder extracts were 2,083.05 and 2,655.56 mg CE L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The DPPH radical scavenging activity for the 0.425 and 0.710 mm ginger powder extracts were 95.98% and 96.64%, respectively. The powder size 0.425 mm had the highest iron chelating activity (84.48%) when compared with powder size of 0.710 mm, which had an iron chelating activity of 74.53% (Table 3). The ginger powder with 0.710 mm particle size had a lower total phenol content of 208.94 GAE L<sup>-1</sup> when compared the ginger powder with particle size of 0.425 mm, which had a total phenol content of 283.58 GAE L<sup>-1</sup>. No significant differences (p < .05) were observed between the particle sizes (0.425 mm and 0.710 mm) for total flavonoid content, peroxide scavenging activity, iron chelating activity, and DPPH radical scavenging activity of tea-ginger extract (Table 3). The total flavonoid content, peroxide scavenging activity, iron chelating activity, and DPPH radical scavenging activity of the 0.425 mm tea-ginger powder were 8,975.00 mg CE L<sup>-1</sup>, 74.84%, 87.13%, and II FV\_Food Science & Nutrition \_\_\_\_

| <b>TABLE 3</b> Influence of particle size on antioxidant properties of aqueous e | extracts |
|--|----------|
|--|----------|

| Particle<br>size (mm) | Total flavonoid<br>content (mg CE L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total phenol content<br>(mg GAE L <sup>−1</sup> ) | ABTS (mg TE L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Peroxide scaveng-<br>ing activity (%) | Iron chelating<br>activity (%) | DPPH (%)       |
|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Теа                   |   |   |                               |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | 12,711.11 ± 3,980 a <sup>1</sup>                    | 685.44 ± 175 a                                    | 0.903 ± 0.032 a               | 61.80 ± 10 a                          | 85.82 ± 6 a                    | 97.53 ± 0.70 a |
| 0.710                 | 12,002.78 ± 4,335 ab                                | 679.06 ± 169 b                                    | 0.890 ± 0.042 a               | 60.82 ± 4 a                           | 84.03 ± 8 b                    | 96.25 ± 2 b    |
| 1.180                 | 9,641.67 ± 2,456 b                                  | 458.68 ± 88 c                                     | 0.755 ± 0.22 b                | 55.18 ± 11 b                          | 86.29 ± 3 a                    | 96.67 ± 2 b    |
| Aqueous ging          | er  |   |                               |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | 2,083.05 ± 1,428 a <sup>1</sup>                     | 283.58 ± 19 a                                     | 0.932 ± 0.035 a               | 69.32 ± 4 a                           | 84.48 ± 16 a                   | 95.98 ± 2 a    |
| 0.710                 | 2,655.56 ± 1,627 a                                  | 208.94 ± 147 b                                    | 0.940 ± 0.041 a               | 68.36 ± 10 a                          | 74.53 ± 9 b                    | 96.64 ± 2 a    |
| Aqueous tea-          | ginger  |   |                               |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | $8,975.00 \pm 2,361 a^1$                            | 483.02 ± 176 a                                    | 0.922 ± 0.033 a               | 74.84 ± 0.033 a                       | 87.13 ± 10 a                   | 97.84 ± 1 a    |
| 0.710                 | 7,954.17 ± 539 a                                    | 400. 10 ± 130 b                                   | 0.900 ± 0.025 b               | 71.09 ± 8 a                           | 85.61 ± 4 a                    | 97.59 ± 0.88 a |

<sup>1</sup>Mean  $\pm$  *SD* values in the same column and row heading followed by the same alphabet are not significantly different (*p* > .05) LSD. Data presented as mean of triplicate measurement.

97.84%, respectively. The total flavonoid content, peroxide scavenging activity, iron chelating activity, and DPPH radical scavenging activity of the 0.710 mm tea-ginger powder were 7,954.17 mg CE L<sup>-1</sup>, 71.09%, 85.61%, and 97.59%, respectively (Table 3). Total phenol content and ABTS radical scavenging activity was higher for the 0.425 mm tea-ginger powder than the 0.710 mm tea-ginger powder. The total phenol content and ABTS radical scavenging activity of the 0.425 mm tea-ginger powder were 483.02 mg GAE L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.922 mg TE L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The total phenol content and ABTS radical scavenging activity of the 0.710 mm tea-ginger powder were 400.10 mg GAE L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.900 TE L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

## 3.2 | Antioxidant properties of absolute ethanolic extracts

The ethanolic extract of 0.425 mm tea powder had lower total flavonoid content and lower total phenol content when compared to the ethanolic extract of 0.710 mm tea powder (Table 4). The ethanolic

extract of 1.180 mm tea powder had significantly lower (p < .05) total phenol content and ABTS radical scavenging activity when compared to the ethanolic extracts of the 0.425 mm and 0.710 mm tea powders. The 1.180 mm tea powder ethanolic extract had the highest iron chelating activity of 83.00%, while the 0.710 mm tea powder ethanolic extract had the lowest iron chelating activity of 72.99%. No significant difference was observed in the DPPH radical scavenging activity of all the tea powder extracts. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of the ethanolic tea powder extracts were 97.27%, 96.75%, and 97.42% for the 0.425 mm, 0.710 mm, and 1.180 mm powders, respectively. For the ethanolic ginger extraction, the 0.710 mm ginger powder extract had higher total phenol content and iron chelating activity (281 GAE L<sup>-1</sup> and 84.63%, respectively) than the 0.425 mm ginger powder (260.88 GAE L<sup>-1</sup> and 75.39%, respectively). No significant differences (p > .05) were found between the two ginger powder extracts in their total flavonoid content, ABTS radical scavenging activity, peroxide scavenging activity, and DPPH radical scavenging activity (Table 4). Also in the ethanolic tea-ginger extraction, no significant differences

TABLE 4 Influence of particle size on antioxidant properties of absolute ethanolic extracts

| Particle<br>size (mm) | Total flavonoid content (mg CE L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total phenol content<br>(mg GAE L <sup>-1</sup> ) | ABTS<br>(mg TE L <sup>−1</sup> ) | Peroxide scaveng-<br>ing activity (%) | Iron chelating<br>activity (%) | DPPH (%)       |
|-----------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Теа                   |  |   |                                  |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | 10,183.33 ± 1,593 b                              | 453.26 ± 0.83b                                    | 0.938 ± 0.02 a                   | 50.55 ± 4.46 ab                       | 77.72 ± 1.16 b                 | 97.27 ± 0.81 a |
| 0.710                 | 16,641.66 ± 3,843 a                              | 466.12 ± 1.83 a                                   | 0.838 ± 0.02 b                   | 56.90 ± 2.96 a                        | 72.99 ± 0.32 c                 | 96.75 ± 0.83 a |
| 1.180                 | 12,225.00 ± 2,707 ab                             | 370.17 ± 4.32 c                                   | 0.464 ± 0.05 c                   | 42.37 ± 5.05 b                        | 83.00 ± 1.68 a                 | 97.42 ± 0.19 a |
| Ginger                |  |   |                                  |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | 3,850.00 ± 433 a                                 | 260.88 ± 9.19 b                                   | 0.908 ± 0.02 a                   | 72.77 ± 2.94 a                        | 75.39 ± 1.00 b                 | 95.64 ± 0.87 a |
| 0.710                 | 3,350.00 ± 573 a                                 | 281.71 ± 5.85 a                                   | 0.923 ± 0.02 a                   | 79.49 ± 9.00 a                        | 84.63 ± 1.42 a                 | 97.47 ± 1.41 a |
| Tea-ginger            |  |   |                                  |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | 8,850.00 ± 573 a                                 | 322.31 ± 3.47 a                                   | 0.951 ± 0.02 a                   | 83.76 ± 3.81 a                        | 91.53 ± 0.67 a                 | 98.72 ± 0.50 a |
| 0.710                 | 8,183.33 ± 711 a                                 | 281.24 ± 3.52 b                                   | 0.883 ± 0.02 b                   | 77.66 ± 3.53 a                        | 89.48 ± 0.63 b                 | 98.35 ± 0.31 a |

Mean  $\pm$  SD values in the same column and row heading followed by the same alphabet are not significantly different (p > .05) LSD. Data presented as mean of triplicate measurement.

TABLE 5 Influence of particle size on antioxidant properties of aqueous ethanolic extracts

| Particle<br>size (mm) | Total flavonoid<br>content (mg CE L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total phenol content<br>(mg GAE L <sup>-1</sup> ) | ABTS (mg TE L <sup>-1</sup> ) | Peroxide scaveng-<br>ing activity (%) | Iron chelating<br>activity (%) | DPPH (%)       |
|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Теа                   |   |   |                               |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | 12,308.33 ± 5,160 a                                 | 823.38 ± 6.49 b                                   | 0.874 ± 0.02 b                | 62.79 ± 2.78 a                        | 90.78 ± 0.40 a                 | 98.19 ± 1.05 a |
| 0.710                 | 8,475.00 ± 2,103 a                                  | 849.81 ± 3.12 a                                   | 0.907 ± 0.01 a                | 63.03 ± 2.75 a                        | 88.86 ± 0.66 b                 | 97.84 ± 0.44 a |
| 1.180                 | 8,016.67 ± 439 a                                    | 564.69 ± 7.76 c                                   | 0.876 ± 0.01 ab               | 55.64 ± 0.63 b                        | 86.91 ± 0.84 c                 | 98.19 ± 1.51 a |
| Ginger                |   |   |                               |                                       |                                |                |
| 0.425                 | 1,058.33 ± 315 a                                    | 301.95 ± 3.38 b                                   | 0.926 ± 0.05 a                | 64.49 ± 2.07 a                        | 92.96 ± 1.15 a                 | 98.13 ± 0.50 a |
| 0.710                 | 891.67 ± 315 a                                      | 330.64 ± 6.56 a                                   | 0.926 ± 0.06 a                | 58.55 ± 0.63 b                        | 94.67 ± 0.91 a                 | 98.42 ± 0.52 a |

Mean  $\pm$  SD values in the same column and row heading followed by the same alphabet are not significantly different (p > .05) LSD. Data presented as mean of triplicate measurement.

were observed between the two powder sizes in their total flavonoid content, ABTS radical scavenging activity, peroxide scavenging activity, ity, and DPPH radical scavenging activity. However, the 0.425 mm tea–ginger powder had higher total phenol content and iron chelating activity (322.31 GAE L<sup>-1</sup> and 91.53%, respectively) than the 0.710 mm tea–ginger powder (281.24 GAE L<sup>-1</sup> and 89.48%, respectively).

# 3.3 | Antioxidant properties of aqueous ethanolic extracts

The aqueous ethanolic extract of the 0.710 mm tea powder had the highest total phenol content when compared with the 0.425 mm and 1.180 mm tea powder (Table 5). The 0.425 mm tea powder had the highest iron chelating activity (90.78%) when compared to the 0.710 mm and 1.18 mm tea powders (88.86% and 86.91%, respectively). The 0.425 mm and 0.710 mm tea powders both had higher peroxide scavenging activity than the 1.180 mm tea powder. No significant differences (p > .05) were observed in the total flavonoid contents and the DPPH radical scavenging activities of the aqueous ethanolic extracts for the three tea powder sizes (Table 5). The aqueous ethanolic extract of the 0.710 mm ginger powder had higher total phenol content than the aqueous ethanolic extract of the 0.425 mm ginger powder (Table 5). No significant differences were observed in all the other antioxidant properties that were determined for the 0.425 mm and 0.710 mm aqueous ethanolic ginger powder extracts.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

The present study indicated that particle size has an influence on the antioxidant content of tea, ginger and tea–ginger extracts. For the aqueous extraction, the tea, ginger and tea–ginger powders with the lower particle sizes tend to produce extracts with higher antioxidant properties. At the lowest particle size of 0.425 mm, all the antioxidant properties investigated were maximized for the aqueous extraction of tea, ginger, and tea–ginger powders (Table 6). Size reduction of plant substrates before extraction maximizes the surface area, which in turn

enhances the mass transfer of active principle from plant material to the solvent (Handa, 2008). Wang and Helliwell (2001) have reported that content of myricetin, quercetin, and kaempferol in ground tea samples was 36% higher than that in unground ones (whole leaf). Green tea with particle sizes of 0.25-1 mm have also been reported to yield higher cat-

co & Nutrition

Unlike the aqueous extraction, not a single particle size was able to maximize all the antioxidant properties for the aqueous ethanolic and ethanolic extractions (Table 6). It was observed that the particle size that maximized the antioxidant extractions varied between the different powder sizes—depending on the antioxidant property that was being measured. For example, during the aqueous ethanolic extraction of tea powder, the 0.425 mm powder was only able to maximize four of the six (66.67%) antioxidant properties assayed (Table 6). The 0.710 mm powder and 1.180 mm powder were only able to maximize five (83.33%) and two (33.33%) of the six antioxidant properties assayed, respectively.

echins than tea with particle sizes >1 mm (Vuong et al., 2011).

**TABLE 6** Percentage of assayed antioxidant properties

 maximized by the different particle sizes

| Powder     | Aqueous<br>extraction | Ethanolic<br>extraction | Aqueous ethanolic extraction |
|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Теа        |                       |                         |                              |
| 0.425 mm   | 100.00% <sup>a</sup>  | 50.00%                  | 66.67%                       |
| 0.710 mm   | 50.00%                | 66.67%                  | 83.33%                       |
| 1.180 mm   | 16.67%                | 50.00%                  | 33.33%                       |
| Ginger     |                       |                         |                              |
| 0.425 mm   | 100.00%               | 66.67%                  | 83.33%                       |
| 0.710 mm   | 66.67%                | 100.00%                 | 83.33%                       |
| Tea-ginger |                       |                         |                              |
| 0.425 mm   | 100.00%               | 100.00%                 | -                            |
| 0.710 mm   | 66.67%                | 50.00%                  | -                            |

<sup>a</sup>Percentage score was calculated from the number of times the extract from a particle size produced highest antioxidant values—for a particular solvent extraction. Hence a score of 100% means that aqueous extracts obtained from the 0.425 mm tea powder scored highest on all the six antioxidant assays when compared to the other aqueous tea extracts from the 0.710 mm and 1.180 mm powder. These observations brought to fore the following points:

- (1) The optimum particle size (size that maximizes antioxidant property) is solvent dependent.
- (2) The optimum particle size is also dependent on the antioxidant properties being measured.
- (3) The lowest particle size may not always give the highest antioxidant property.

Although it is a known fact that a reduction in particle size could always lead to increased extraction efficiency, however, a critical particle size is reached such that any further reduction in the particle size-could lead to no further increase-or a reduction-in extraction efficiency. This point is also justified by the study of Brewer, Kubola, Siriamornpun, Herald, and Shi (2014), where the influence of particle size on antioxidant properties (diphenylpicrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity, ferric reducing/antioxidant power [FRAP] assay, oxygen radical absorbance capacity [ORAC]) and total antioxidant capacity) of unmilled whole bran (coarse treatment) were compared to whole bran milled to medium and fine treatments from the same wheat bran. It was observed that the coarse treatment exhibited significantly higher antioxidant properties compared to the fine treatment; except for the ORAC value, in which the coarse extract was significantly lower. Zhang et al. (2016) reported that no significant difference was found in the total phenol content of water extract of superfine black tea powder (13.67 µm) and coarse tea powders (228.67, 161.00, 140.67, 79.07  $\mu\text{m}\text{)}\text{.}$  According to Vuong et al. (2011), the extraction of catechins may be impaired when brewing very small particle sizes because these small particles may settle to the bottom and, like sand, form sediments at the bottom of the extraction container, which could reduce the flow-through of water and, therefore, the tea would not effectively interact with the water. It should also be noted that a very small powder particle size may become slimy during extraction and create difficulty during filtration.

## 5 | CONCLUSION

Particle size has been shown to play a role in the extraction of antioxidants from tea, ginger, and their blend. While all the antioxidant properties of the aqueous extract tend to increase as the particle size is reduced from 1.180 to 0.425 mm, it was observed that for the ethanolic and aqueous ethanolic extracts, the particle size that maximizes the antioxidant extraction varied—depending on the antioxidant property been assayed. The study suggests that though a reduction in particle size could enhance the extraction of antioxidants from tea and ginger; a critical particle size is reached whereby a further reduction in particle size could impair the extraction of antioxidants.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research did not obtain any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Conflict of interest does not exist in this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Bode, A. M., & Dong, Z. (2011). The amazing and mighty ginger. In I. F. F. Benzie & S. Wachtel-Galor (Eds.), *Herbal medicine: Biomolecular and clinical aspects* (2nd edn, pp. 131–156). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press/ Taylor & Francis, chapter 7. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/books/NBK92775/.
- Brewer, L. R., Kubola, J., Siriamornpun, S., Herald, T. J., & Shi, Y. C. (2014). Wheat bran particle size influence on phytochemical extractability and antioxidant properties. *Food Chemistry*, 152, 483–490.
- Castiglioni, S., Damiani, E., Astolfi, P., & Carloni, P. (2015). Influence of steeping conditions (time, temperature, and particle size) on antioxidant properties and sensory attributes of some white and green teas. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 66, 491–497.
- Chen, H. C., Chung, C. C., Wang, H. Y., & Huang, T. C. (2011). Application of Taguchi method to optimize extracted ginger oil in different drying conditions. *IPCBEE International Conference on Food Engineering and Biotechnology*, 9, 310–316.
- Handa, S. S. (2008). An overview of extraction techniques for medicinal and aromatic plants. In S. S. Handa, S. P. S. Khanuja, G. Longo, & D. D. Rakesh (Eds.), *Extraction technologies for medicinal and aromatic plants* (pp. 21–54). Trieste: ICS-UNIDO.
- Karori, S. M., Wachira, F. N., Wanyoko, J. K., & Ngure, R. M. (2007). Antioxidant capacity of different types of tea products. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *6*, 2287–2296.
- Makanjuola, S. A., Enujiugha, V. N., & Omoba, O. S. (2016). Multiresponse optimization and prediction of antioxidant properties of aqueous ginger extract. Preventive Nutrition and Food Science, 21(4), 355–360. https://doi.org/10.3746/pnf.2016.21.4.355
- Makanjuola, S. A., Enujiugha, V. N., Omoba, O. S., & Sanni, D. M. (2015a). Combination of antioxidants from different sources could offer synergistic benefits: A case study of tea and ginger blend. *Natural Product Communications*, 10, 1829–1832.
- Makanjuola, S. A., Enujiugha, V. N., Omoba, O. S., & Sanni, D. M. (2015b). Optimization and prediction of antioxidant properties of a tea-ginger extract. *Food Science and Nutrition*, 3, 443–452.
- Makanjuola, S. A., Enujiugha, V. N., Omoba, O. S., & Sanni, D. M. (2015c). Application of RSM and multivariate statistics in predicting antioxidant property of ethanolic extracts of tea-ginger blend. *European Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 6, 200–211.
- Michiels, J. A., Kevers, C., Pincemail, J., Defraigne, J. O., & Jacques Dommes, J. (2012). Extraction conditions can greatly influence antioxidant capacity assays in plant food matrices. *Food Chemistry*, 130(4), 986–993.
- Miliauskas, G., Venskutonis, P. R., & van Beek, T. A. (2004). Screening of radical scavenging activity of some medicinal and aromatic plant extracts. *Food Chemistry*, 85(2), 231–237.
- Ozena, T., Demirtas, I., & Aksit, H. (2011). Determination of antioxidant activities of various extracts and essential oil compositions of *Thymus* praecox subsp. skorpilii var, Skorpilii. Food Chemistry, 124, 58–64.
- Perva-Uzunalic, A., Skerget, M., Knez, Z., Weinreich, B., Otto, F., & Gruner, S. (2006). Efficiency of active ingredients from green tea (*Camellia sinensis*): Extraction efficiency of major catechins and caffeine. *Food Chemistry*, 96, 597–605.
- Prommuaka, C., De-Eknamkulb, W., & Shotipruka, A. (2008). Extraction of flavonoids and carotenoids from Thai silk waste and antioxidant activity of extracts. Separation and Purification Technology, 62, 444–448.
- Rusak, G., Komes, D., Likic', S., Horz`ic', D., & Kovac, M. (2008). Phenolic content and antioxidative capacity of green and white tea extracts depending on extraction conditions and the solvent used. *Food Chemistry*, 110(4), 852–858.

- Savić, I. M., Nikolić, V. D., Savić, I. M., Nikolić, L. B., Stanković, M. Z., & Moder, K. (2013). Optimization of total flavonoid compound extraction from *Camellia sinensis* using the artificial neural network and response surface methodology. *Hemijska Industrija*, 67(2), 249-259.
- Slinkard, K., & Singleton, V. L. (1977). Total Phenol Analysis: Automation and comparison with manual methods. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 28, 49–55.
- Smirnoff, N., & Cumbes, Q. J. (1989). Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of compatible solutes. *Phytochemistry*, 28, 1057–1060.
- Sompong, R., Siebenhandl-Ehn, S., Linsberger-Martina, G., & Berghofer, E. (2011). Physicochemical and antioxidative properties of red and black rice varieties from Thailand, China and Sri Lanka. *Food Chemistry*, 124, 132–140.
- Spradling, V. B. (2008). Phenolics in red wine pomace and their potential application in animal and human health. M.Sc Thesis, Department of Food Science, University of Missouri.
- Turkmen, N., Velioglu, Y. S., Sari, F., & Polat, G. (2007). Effect of extraction conditions on measured total polyphenol contents and antioxidant and antibacterial activities of black tea. *Molecules*, 12, 484–496.
- Vuong, Q. V., Golding, J. B., Stathopoulos, C. E., Nguyen, M. H., & Roach, P. D. (2011). Optimising conditions for the extraction of catechins

from green tea using hot water. Journal of Separation Science, 34, 3099-3106.

- Wang, H., & Helliwell, K. (2001). Determination of flavonols in green and black tea leaves and green tea infusions by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Food Research International*, 34(2), 223–227.
- Waterhouse, A. L. (2003). Determination of total phenolics. *Current Protocols in Food Analytical Chemistry*, 11.1, 11.1.1–11.1.8.
- Zhang, Y., Xiao, W., Ji, G., Chen, X., Han, L., & Gao, C. (2016). Effects on physicochemical properties of black tea by mechanical superfine and general grinding. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering*, 32(11), 295–301.
- Zhao, X. Y., Yang, Z. B., Gai, G. S., & Yang, Y. F. (2009). Effect of superfine grinding on properties of ginger powder. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 2, 217–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2008.08.024

How to cite this article: Makanjuola SA. Influence of particle size and extraction solvent on antioxidant properties of extracts of tea, ginger, and tea–ginger blend. *Food Sci Nutr*. 2017;5:1179–1185. https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.509