

Journal of Surgical Case Reports, 2021;1, 1-4

doi: 10.1093/jscr/rjaa543 Case Series

CASE SERIES

Re-assessing the role of the fecalith in acute appendicitis in adults: case report, case series and literature review

Nguyen Tran¹, Valerie-Sue Emuakhagbon², Bradford T. Baker³ and Sergio Huerta^{1,2,*}

¹University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, TX, USA , ²Department of Surgery, VA North Texas Health Care System, Dallas, Texas, USA and ³Department of Pathology, VA North Texas Health Care System, Dallas, TX, USA

*Correspondence address. Department of Surgery, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, VA North Texas Health Care System, 4500 S. Lancaster Road (112), Dallas, Texas 75216, USA. Tel: (214) 857-1800; Fax: (214) 857-1891; E-mail: Sergio.Huerta@UTSouthwestern.edu

Abstract

Appendicitis in adults is thought to occur because of luminal obstruction from a fecalith. We present a unique case of a patient who had her entire appendiceal lumen occupied by a fecalith (5.0 cm long) but had no appendicitis. We reviewed the records of 257 veterans who underwent surgical intervention at our institution for the management of acute appendicitis. Fecaliths occurred in 15.6% of patients. At laparotomy, 20.6% had a perforated appendix; pathology showed fecaliths in 20.8% of specimens. A review of the literature inclusive of 25 series showed fecaliths in 33.3% of patients with a normal appendix, 23.5% of patients with acute appendicitis and 24.9% with perforated appendicitis. These data show that appendicitis is not a common cause of fecalith obstruction in adults.

INTRODUCTION

Conventional teaching in the pathophysiology of acute appendicitis in adults dictates luminal obstruction from a fecalith (or less likely from foreign bodies, parasites, and tumors), which leads to stasis, bacterial overgrowth, inflammation, ischemia and perforation [1]. However, this classic concept, first proposed by Fitz and Mattestock [2], has been questioned by investigators demonstrating a wide range of fecaliths in patients with appendicitis (1.4–67.0%) [3].

Further, intraoperative management of appendiceal pressure in patient with appendicitis revealed that the inflammatory process might be the culprit of luminal obstruction rather than obstruction leading to inflammation [3]. Thus, there is a competing theory that obstruction is the result of inflammation and not the other way around [4].

The classical teaching of a fecalith leading to appendicitis has been challenged by several investigators [4–6], but it has not been systematically analyzed. Current strategies in the management of acute appendicitis include non-operative management (NOM), but fecaliths have been shown to be a predictor of failure to NOM [7]. Thus, a re-assessment of its role in acute appendicitis is timely.

In the present report, we present a unique patient with a very large fecalith occupying the entirety of the appendiceal lumen; we reviewed all appendectomies in our institution for the past

Received: October 16, 2020. Accepted: November 24, 2020

Published by Oxford University Press and JSCR Publishing Ltd. © The Author(s) 2021.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1: CT of the abdomen and pelvis. Serial images (A-D) from cephalad to caudal show a large fecalith (arrows).

12 years to assess the role of fecaliths in appendicitis. We then reviewed the literature.

CASE REPORT

A 50-year-old veteran woman presented to the emergency department (ED) with a 1-day history of abdominal pain, nausea and multiple episodes of vomiting. Her pain was intermittent, severe and poorly localized to the right lower quadrant. She had had similar episodes necessitating ED visits in the past several months, and pain medication had been prescribed, which improved her symptoms. Her past medical history is significant for inflammatory bowel disease, gastroesophageal reflux disease, post-traumatic stress disorder and fibromyalgia. She is a current smoker (4–5 cigarettes a day) but denies alcohol consumption or intravenous drug use. Her medications include ondansetron 8 mg by mouth three times a day, sertraline 50 mg by mouth twice a day and tramadol 50 mg per mouth as needed for pain.

On physical examination, her temperature was 97.9°F, her heart rate was 70 beats per minute, blood pressure 148/88 mmHg, respiration rate of 20 breaths per minute, her body mass index was 28.6 kg/m². She was alert and oriented, but in clear distress from abdominal discomfort, rocking back and forth in bed and moaning from this pain. Her heart and lungs were clear. Her abdominal examination demonstrated no abdominal distension and no rigidity. She was tender to palpation on the right lower quadrant.

Serology analysis showed a white blood cell count of 7.7 K/µL (normal = 4–11 K/µL), Hemoglobin of 12.7 g/dL (normal = 11.5–15.3), hematocrit of 38.5% (normal = 34–45%) and platelets of 334 K/µL (normal = 140–400 K/µL). Electrolytes were all normal, and creatinine was 0.91 mg/dL (normal = 0.50–1.10 mg/dL). Lactic acid levels were 2.1 mmol/L (normal = 0.5–2.2 mmol/L). Her modified Alvarado score was four.

Computed tomography (CT) imaging showed an appendix with an appendicolith with mild dilation (9 mm) and no peri-appendiceal inflammatory changes (Fig. 1). Compared to a CT scan obtained in 2013, this study showed an appendicolith with mild dilation.

Given these findings, she was taken to the operating room for a laparoscopic appendectomy. Exploratory laparoscopy demonstrated no evidence of acute or chronic appendicitis. Pathological examination of the appendix demonstrated an appendix entirely occupied by a fecalith and no evidence of appendicitis (Fig. 2).

CASE SERIES

Of 257 patients who were operated on for acute appendicitis at the VA North Texas Health Care System from 2005 to 2017 only 40 had fecaliths (15.6%) [Renteria and Huerta, 2018]. Of 257 patients who underwent an appendectomy initially for acute appendicitis, 38 (14.8%) had gangrenous appendicitis. Of patients with gangrenous appendicitis seven (18.4%) had an appendicolith. Fifty-three (20.6%) had a perforated appendix despite carrying an initial diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Of patients with perforated appendicitis, 11 (20.8%) had a fecalith.

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Between 1939 and 2019 a total of 25 series, inclusive of 94,592 patients, were reviewed. The average age of patients was reported in 11 studies (38.2 years old \pm 13.3 standard deviation [SD]). The overall fecalith in appendiceal specimens was 20.7% (range 1.4–67.0%). Fecaliths occurred with a frequency of 20.3% (range 5.3–100%) in 11 reports documenting a normal appendix. Fifteen studies reported an average of 23.5% (range 1.5–65.1%) fecaliths in patients with acute appendicitis. In patients with perforated appendicitis, fecaliths were reported in 24.9% (range 10.2–39.1%) of 10 reported series (Table 1).



Figure 2: Appendiceal specimen received by pathology (A). There is a 5.0 × 0.8-cm tan/dark green fecalith filled within the lumen of the appendix (B).

- 11 4	-		C C 1.												<i>c</i>			
Table 1	Frea	nencv	of fecali	ths in	the ar	mendix	overall	a normal	anr	endix	acute a	nnenc	11CITIS	and	nertorated	anr	endiciti	ς.
TUDIC I		acticy	or recuir		uic up	penain,	over an,	, a nomina	up L	citain,	acate a	ppciic	LICICID.	ana	perioracea	· upp	CITAICICI	-

Year	Number of patients	Average age	Overall fecalith (%)	Fecalith in normal appendix (%)	Fecalith in acute appendicitis (%)	Fecalith in perforated appendicitis (%)	Reference (PMID)
1939	372	NR	67.0	NR	NR	NR	Bowers et al. [12]
1943	91	NR	33.0	NR	NR	NR	Steinert et al. [13]
1963	71000	NR	44.3	NR	NR	NR	Collins et al. [14]
1965	240	NR	33.0	NR	NR	NR	Shaw et al. [15]
1979	55	NR	NR	40.0	NR	NR	Burkitt et al. [16]
1981	276	NR	16.7	13.0	45.7	39.1	Butler et al. [17]
1981	3003	NR	3.6	5.3	6.3	NR	Chang et al. [18]
1985	13	25	23.0	NR	NR	NR	Jones et al. [9]
1985	63	23	52.0	NR	NR	NR	Jones et al. [9]
1990	101	NR	NR	26.7	NR	NR	Andreou et al. [19]
1990	3124	NR	3.2	NR	NR	NR	Andreou et al. [19]
1990	405	NR	6.3	NR	NR	NR	Babekir et al. [20]
2006	200	NR	54.0	NR	26.0	28.0	Herscu et al. [21]
2006	2660	NR	3.7	6.3	3.5	NR	Marudanayagam et al. [22]
2008	427	24.4	1.4	NR	NR	NR	Sgourakis et al. [23]
2010	518	30.9	1.5	NR	1.5	NR	Makaju et al. [24]
2012	723	29.3	36.1	44.8	7.3	11.5	Engin et al. [25]
2012	4670	NR	3.6	60.5	39.5	NR	Chandrasegaram et al. [26]
2013	722	NR	13.7	31.6	12.0	27.5	Singh et al. [4]
2014	1357	32	13.7	34.6	65.1	10.2	Ramdass et al. [27]
2014	339	40.8	23.3	NR	63.2	36.7	Kim et al. [28]
2015	150	NR	13.3	NR	11.8	17.5	Iqbal et al. [29]
2017	225	69.4	5.8	NR	10.4	NR	Khan et al. [5]
2017	111	38	NR	100.0	NR	NR	Khan et al. [5]
2018	257	45.4	15.6	NR	NR	20.6	Renteria et al. [8]
2019	1007	38.4	11.1	NR	8.9	21.7	Westfall et al. [30]
2019	445	54.5	NR	NR	18.0	36.0	Kulvatunyou et al. [31]
2019	2038	44.9	8.0	6.8	33.0	NR	Moskowitz et al. [32]
Total	94592	$38.2\pm13.3^{\text{a}}$	20.3	33.6	23.5	24.9	· · ·

 $^{\rm a}\mbox{Average}$ age \pm SD. NR, not reported.

DISCUSSION

A fecalith (also known as stercolith, coprolith, appendicolith or concretion) is a fecal concretion or pellet occupying the appendiceal lumen and is thought to be the result of a low fiber diet that accompanies a Western society lifestyle [11]. Popularized by early findings of giant surgeons [2] and propagated by animal studies showing appendicitis after appendiceal obstruction in rabbits [12], current surgical textbooks support the role of a fecalith as a major cause of appendicitis in adults [1].

The present analysis was stimulated by an unusual case in which a patient presented with a history of abdominal pain and had a large fecalith within the entire lumen of the appendix but did not cause appendicitis. A review of 257 veteran patients who had undergone an appendectomy for acute appendicitis at our institution, only 15.6% had fecaliths on the pathological specimen. A review of the literature showed that less than a quarter of patients presenting with appendicitis demonstrated a fecalith either radiographically, in the operating room, or on final pathology examination (Table 1).

The present case negates the role of the fecalith in the infectious process of appendicitis, even if it is very large. Our case series consists of veteran patients presenting with acute appendicitis and shows that fecaliths are found rarely in this patient population. The literature review demonstrated the following findings: (i) There is a wide range in the incidence of fecaliths leading to appendicitis; (ii) more cases of a normal appendix

have been documented that have incidental fecaliths within the lumen compared to the frequency of a fecalith causing appendicitis; (iii) A temporal variation in the incidence of fecaliths as a cause of acute appendicitis has been proposed by Sigh *et al*. that divides this era prior to the 1970s and after that to current studies [4] and (iv) overall, there is an association between fecaliths and a risk of perforated appendicitis.

A fecalith is, therefore, an infrequent cause of appendicitis as suggested by textbooks. Other causes of appendicitis have been proposed and reviewed by Norman J Carr [13] and include appendiceal ulceration leading to infection, hygiene (enteric infection as a result of exposure of environmental factors), diet (appendicitis is less common in developing countries), ischemia, trauma, genetics, foreign bodies and type I hypersensitivity, and increased contractability of the appendix [13].

Thus, the current literature argues against a fecalith leading to acute appendicitis, but when present it is more likely to lead to perforation. This should be considered when assessing a patient for NOM of early appendicitis.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None of the authors have any conflicts of interest to disclose.

FUNDING

None.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cameron JL, Cameron AM Current Surgial Therapy. 10th edn. Philadelphia: Mosby, 2011:219.
- 2. Fitz RH. Perforating inflammation of the vermiform appendix. Am J Med Sci 1886;92:321–46.
- Arnbjornsson E, Bengmark S. Obstruction of the appendix lumen in relation to pathogenesis of acute appendicitis. Acta Chir Scand 1983;149:789–91.
- 4. Singh JP, Mariadason JG. Role of the faecolith in modern-day appendicitis. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2013;**95**:48–51.
- Khan MS, Chaudhry MBH, Shahzad N, Tariq M, Memon WA, Alvi AR. Risk of appendicitis in patients with incidentally discovered appendicoliths. J Surg Res 2018;221:84–87.
- Maenza RL, Smith L, Wolfson AB. The myth of the fecalith. Am J Emerg Med 1996;14:394–97.
- Shindoh J, Niwa H, Kawai K et al. Predictive factors for negative outcomes in initial non-operative management of suspected appendicitis. J Gastrointest Surg 2010;14:309–14.
- Renteria O, Shahid Z, Huerta S. Outcomes of appendectomy in elderly veteran patients. Surgery 2018;164:460–65.
- 9. Jones BA, Demetriades D, Segal I, Burkitt DP. The prevalence of appendiceal fecaliths in patients with and without appendicitis. A comparative study from Canada and South Africa. *Ann Surg* 1985;**202**:80–82.
- Pieper R, Kager L, Tidefeldt U. Obstruction of appendix vermiformis causing acute appendicitis. An experimental study in the rabbit. Acta Chir Scand 1982;148:63–72.
- 11. Carr NJ. The pathology of acute appendicitis. Ann Diagn Pathol 2000;4:46–58.
- Bowers WF. Appendicitis with especial reference to pathogenesis, bacteriology and healing. Arch Surg 1939;39:362–22.

- Steinert R, Hareide I, Christiansen T. Roentgenologic examination of acute appendicitis. Acta Radiol 1943;24: 13–37.
- Collins DC. 71,000 human appendix specimens. A final report, summarizing forty Years' study. Am J Proctol 1963; 14:265–81.
- Shaw RE. Appendix calculi and acute appendicitis. Br J Surg 1965;52:451–59.
- Burkitt DP, Moolgaokar AS, Tovey FI. Aetiology of appendicitis. Br Med J 1979;1:620.
- Butler C. Surgical pathology of acute appendicitis. Hum Pathol 1981;12:870–78.
- Chang AR. An analysis of the pathology of 3003 appendices. Aust N Z J Surg 1981;51:169–78.
- Andreou P, Blain S, Du Boulay CE. A histopathological study of the appendix at autopsy and after surgical resection. *Histopathology* 1990;17:427–31.
- 20. Babekir AR, Devi N. Analysis of the pathology of 405 appendices. East Afr Med J 1990;67:599–602.
- Herscu G, Kong A, Russell D et al. Retrocecal appendix location and perforation at presentation. Am Surg 2006;72: 890–93.
- Marudanayagam R, Williams GT, Rees BI. Review of the pathological results of 2660 appendicectomy specimens. J Gastroenterol 2006;41:745–49.
- 23. Sgourakis G, Sotiropoulos GC, Molmenti EP et al. Are acute exacerbations of chronic inflammatory appendicitis triggered by coprostasis and/or coproliths? World J Gastroenterol 2008;**14**:3179–82.
- Makaju R, Mohammad A, Shakya A. Acute appendicitis: analysis of 518 histopathologically diagnosed cases at the Kathmandu University Hospital, Nepal. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2010;8:227–30.
- 25. Engin O, Muratli A, Ucar AD, Tekin V, Calik B, Tosun A. The importance of fecaliths in the aetiology of acute appendicitis. *Chirurgia (Bucur)* 2012;**107**:756–60.
- Chandrasegaram MD, Rothwell LA, An EI, Miller RJ. Pathologies of the appendix: a 10-year review of 4670 appendicectomy specimens. ANZ J Surg 2012;82:844–47.
- Ramdass MJ, Young Sing Q, Milne D, Mooteeram J, Barrow S. Association between the appendix and the fecalith in adults. Can J Surg 2015;58:10–14.
- Kim MS, Park HW, Park JY et al. Differentiation of early perforated from nonperforated appendicitis: MDCT findings, MDCT diagnostic performance, and clinical outcome. Abdom Imaging 2014;39:459–66.
- 29. Iqbal Z, Uddin MT, Gulsharif F, Ahmad ZS, Jan Y, Azisulah F. Determination of frequency of fecolith in perforated appendicitis. *Ophthalmology Update* 2015;**13**:308–10.
- Westfall KM, Charles AG. Risk of perforation in the era of nonemergent management for acute appendicitis. Am Surg 2019;85:1209–12.
- Kulvatunyou N, Zimmerman SA, Joseph B et al. Risk factors for perforated appendicitis in the acute care surgery eraminimizing the Patient's delayed presentation factor. J Surg Res 2019;238:113–18.
- Moskowitz E, Khan AD, Cribari C, Schroeppel TJ. Size matters: Computed tomographic measurements of the appendix in emergency department scans. Am J Surg 2019;218:271–74.