

Dissimilatory Nitrate Reduction to Ammonium and Responsible Microbes in Japanese Rice Paddy Soil

Yosuke Nojiri^{1†}, Yuka Kaneko^{1†}, Yoichi Azegami¹, Yutaka Shiratori², Nobuhito Ohte³, Keishi Senoo^{1,4}, Shigeto Otsuka^{1,4}, and Kazuo Isobe^{1*}

¹Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan; ²Niigata Agricultural Research Institute, Niigata, Japan; ³Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; and ⁴Collaborative Research Institute for Innovative Microbiology, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

(Received May 18, 2020—Accepted August 14, 2020—Published online October 6, 2020)

Nitrification–denitrification processes in the nitrogen cycle have been extensively examined in rice paddy soils. Nitrate is generally depleted in the reduced soil layer below the thin oxidized layer at the surface, and this may be attributed to high denitrification activity. In the present study, we investigated dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA), which competes with denitrification for nitrate, in order to challenge the conventional view of nitrogen cycling in paddy soils. We performed paddy soil microcosm experiments using ¹⁵N tracer analyses to assess DNRA and denitrification rates and conducted clone library analyses of transcripts of nitrite reductase genes (*nrfA*, *nirS*, and *nirK*) in order to identify the microbial populations carrying out these processes. The results obtained showed that DNRA occurred to a similar extent to denitrification and appeared to be enhanced by a nitrate limitation relative to organic carbon. We also demonstrated that different microbial taxa were responsible for these distinct processes. Based on these results and previous field observations, nitrate produced by nitrification within the surface oxidized layer may be reduced not only to gaseous N₂ via denitrification, but also to NH₄⁺ via DNRA, within the reduced layer. The present results also indicate that DNRA reduces N loss through denitrification and nitrate leaching and provides ammonium to rice roots in rice paddy fields.

Key words: DNRA, denitrification, nrfA, nirK, nirS

Rice is one of the most important agronomic products in the world. The supply of nitrogen (N) to rice roots generally limits production. Various biochemical processes involved in N cycling occur in paddy soils. As initially discovered in the 1930s, nitrification $(NH_4^+ \rightarrow NO_2^- \rightarrow NO_3^-)$ occurs within a thin oxidized soil surface layer, while denitrification (NO₃⁻ $\rightarrow NO_2^- \rightarrow NO \rightarrow N_2O \rightarrow N_2)$ occurs within a reduced soil layer below the oxidized layer (Ishii et al., 2011). Nitrification-denitrification processes form the core of the N cycle, and, thus, have been extensively examined (see reviews by Ishii et al., 2011). The diverse microbes responsible for these processes were identified in the 2000s and 2010s (Ishii et al., 2009; Yoshida et al., 2009; Ishii et al., 2010; Yoshida et al., 2010; Tago et al., 2011; Yoshida et al., 2012; Nishizawa et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2015; Masuda et al., 2017; 2019; Fujimura et al., 2020). Since rice preferably utilizes NH_4^+ over NO_3^- (Sasakawa and Yamamoto, 1978), the sequential processes of nitrification-denitrification that eventually lead to N loss from soil appear to reduce the efficiency of ammonium-based fertilization.

Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA, $NO_3^- \rightarrow NO_2^- \rightarrow NH_4^+$) challenges our current knowledge of

https://doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME20069

the N cycle in paddy soils. DNRA competes with denitrification for NO_3^- and has a similar energy yield. Theoretically, DNRA yields more free energy per molecule of $NO_3^$ reduced than complete denitrification, while denitrification yields more free energy for every electron or carbon oxidized, as shown by the equations below (Strohm *et al.*, 2007; van den Berg *et al.*, 2015).

- $NO_{3}^{-+}5/4 CH_{2}O+H^{+} \rightarrow 1/2 N_{2}+5/4 CO_{2}+7/4 H_{2}O$
- $(\Delta G^{\circ} = -445 \text{ kJ per mol carbon}, -556 \text{ kJ per mol NO}_3)$
- $NO_2^{-}+2 CH_2O+2 H^+ \rightarrow NH_4^{+}+2 CO_2+H_2O$
- $(\Delta G^{\circ} = -311 \text{ kJ per mol carbon}, -623 \text{ kJ per mol NO}_{3})$

Therefore, DNRA may be more favorable than denitrification in NO₃⁻-depleted environments. Laboratory experiments with bacterial isolation or enrichment cultures possessing the capacity for DNRA and denitrification showed that conditions with a NO₃⁻ limitation relative to organic carbon favored DNRA over denitrification (Strohm *et al.*, 2007; Stremińska *et al.*, 2012; van den Berg *et al.*, 2015).

Submerged anaerobic paddy soils are generally NO₃⁻⁻depleted environments (Nishimura *et al.*, 2004; Itoh *et al.*, 2013), which is in contrast to the continuous presence of dissolved organic carbon (C) in these soils (Xu *et al.*, 2013; Said-Pullicino *et al.*, 2016). This may be due to the strong denitrification activity of these soils (Ishii *et al.*, 2011); however, DNRA may be an alternative process that depletes NO₃⁻⁻ in paddy soils. Denitrification functions as an N loss process. In contrast, DNRA functions as a N retention process by preventing N loss via denitrification and reducing water-leachable NO₃⁻⁻ to NH₄⁺, which is more likely to be absorbed by negatively charged soil particles (Rütting *et al.*, 2,

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: akisobe@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp;

Tel: +81-3-5841-5140; Fax: +81-3-5841-8042.

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Citation: Nojiri, Y., Kaneko, Y., Azegami, Y., Shiratori, Y., Ohte, N., Senoo, K., et al. (2020) Dissimilatory Nitrate Reduction to Ammonium and Responsible Microbes in Japanese Rice Paddy Soil. *Microbes Environ* **35**: ME20069.

2011). DNRA also supplies NH₄⁺ to rice roots in paddy soils.

DNRA has been detected in various soil environments, including forests (Zhang et al., 2018), grasslands (Rütting and Müller, 2008), upland croplands (Putz et al., 2018), and deserts (Yang et al., 2017). However, research on DNRA in rice paddy fields remains limited, in terms of the number of studies conducted and the range of geographic locations examined (USA, China, Australia, and Malaysia) (Buresh and Patrick, 1978; Shan et al., 2016; Pandey et al., 2018; 2019). Furthermore, microbial populations actively carrying out DNRA in paddy fields have not been characterized in detail. In the present study, we initially investigated whether DNRA occurs in Japanese rice paddy soil and then examined the effects of a NO₃⁻ limitation relative to organic carbon on the partitioning of NO₃⁻ reduction between DNRA and denitrification. We then identified the microbial populations carrying out DNRA and denitrification to establish whether these processes were driven by similar or different microbial communities.

Materials and Methods

We collected two soil samples for soil microcosm experiments. Both samples were collected from a paddy field at the Niigata Agricultural Research Institute (37°43'N, 138°87'E) in Niigata Prefecture, the richest rice-producing area in Japan. Rice (Oryza sativa L., cv. Koshihikari) has been cultivated in the field since 2003. The soil is classified as grey lowland soil. We sampled soil at a depth of 0-20 cm, removed the oxidized layer (1 cm from the top), then sieved (<4 mm) and mixed the soil. The biogeochemical conditions of the field were previously described by Itoh et al. (2013). The sample collected on June 11, 2014 was reserved for the first experiment, while that collected on June 12, 2015 was used in the second and third experiments (see below). The concentrations of dissolved organic carbon and NH4+ in the sample collected on June 12, 2015 were 25.9±0.3 µg C (g soil)⁻¹ (n=5), as measured by a TOC meter (TOC-VCPH, Shimadzu), and $1.4\pm0.1 \text{ }\mu\text{g N}$ (g soil)⁻¹ (n=5), as measured by the indophenol spectrophotometric method with a 2 M KCl extract (Isobe et al., 2011b), whereas NO_3^- was not detected. These data were not obtained for the sample collected on June 11, 2014.

Our first objective was to investigate the presence of DNRA in Japanese rice paddy soil. Ten grams of soil and 9.5 mL of water were dispensed into a 50-mL glass vial. The vial was crimp-sealed using a butyl stopper and the headspace was replaced by pure helium three times. The vial was then incubated at 26°C for 48 h, during which soil NO₃⁻ was completely depleted. We then added ¹⁴NH₄¹⁵NO₃ solution enriched with 99% ¹⁵N (SI Science) (1.6 μmol ^{15}N [g soil]⁻¹) and glucose (0 [control, org-C/NO₃⁻=0] or 11.2 μmol C [g soil]⁻¹ [org-C/NO₃⁻²⁷]) using a syringe with a fine needle after the headspace was replaced by pure helium gas. The addition of ¹⁴NH₄⁺ prevents underestimations of DNRA because it inhibits the microbial assimilation of ¹⁵NO₃⁻ and DNRA-generating ¹⁵NH₄⁺. We incubated the vial for 10 min and 4, 8, 12, and 24 h and then measured ${}^{15}NO_{3}^{-}$, ${}^{15}NO_{2}^{-}$, ${}^{15}NH_{4}^{+}$, ${}^{15}N_{2}O$, and ${}^{15}N_{2}$ (n=2 for each incubation time). We initially measured ${}^{15}N_2O$ and $\overline{}^{15}N_2$ in the headspace with gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GCMS) according to the method described by Isobe et al. (2011a). Dissolved ¹⁵N₂O and ¹⁵N₂ were calculated based on the Bunsen coefficient (Tiedje, 1994). We then added 32 mL of 3 M KCl to the vial, shook at 200 rpm for 1 h, and filtered the extract using a glass filter (GF/F). We measured ${}^{15}NO_3^{-}$, ${}^{15}NO_2^{-}$, and ${}^{15}NH_4^{+}$ in the extract using the method described by Isobe et al. (2011b). At the same time, to confirm whether NH₄⁺ oxidation to NO₂⁻ or NO₃⁻occurred, we added ${}^{15}\mathrm{NH_4^{14}NO_3}$ solution instead of ${}^{14}\mathrm{NH_4^{15}NO_3}$ and then measured ¹⁵NO₃⁻, ¹⁵NO₂⁻, and ¹⁵NH₄⁺ in the same manner. We also

prepared soil microcosms for the gene transcription survey. RNA was extracted from a 2-g soil sample and purified, and cDNA was synthesized with RNA solution as previously described (Wei *et al.*, 2015). We used soil samples before the addition of ¹⁴NH₄¹⁵NO₃ and incubated the vials for 8 and 12 h. The gene transcripts of pentaheme nitrite reductase (NrfA), which catalyzes the last step of DNRA (NO₂⁻ \rightarrow NH₄⁺), were amplified using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with the primers nrfAF2aw and nrfAR1 (Welsh *et al.*, 2014). These primers and PCR conditions are listed in Table S1. The composition of the reaction mixture and the details of the procedure are described in Isobe *et al.* (2012).

Our second objective was to examine the effects of the NO₃⁻ limitation on DNRA. We followed the same procedure as the first experiment, except for the org-C/NO₃⁻ of ¹⁴NH₄¹⁵NO₃, glucose solutions, and incubation times. Org-C/NO₃⁻ (mol/mol) ranged from 0, 1, 3, 5, and 7 to 9 by changing the concentration of added glucose (from 0, 1.6, 4.8, 8.0, and 11.2, to 14.4 µmol ¹³C [g soil]⁻¹), while maintaining that of ¹⁴NH₄¹⁵NO₃ (1.6 µmol ¹⁵N [g soil]⁻¹). We then incubated the soil microcosms for 12 h and measured ¹⁵NO₃⁻, ¹⁵NO₂⁻, ¹⁵NH₄⁺, ¹⁵N₂O, and ¹⁵N₂ (*n*=2 for each org-C/NO₃⁻) as in the first experiment. We also investigated whether NH₄⁺ oxidation to NO₂⁻ or NO₃⁻ occurred by adding the ¹⁵NH₄⁺¹⁴NO₃ solution and measuring changes in ¹⁵NO₃⁻ and ¹⁵NO₂⁻. The DNRA rate was calculated from ¹⁵NH₄⁺ production during 10 min and 12 h, whereas the denitrification rate was calculated from the production of ¹⁵N of N₂ and N₂O during 10 min and 12 h.

Our final objective was to identify the microbial populations involved in DNRA and denitrification. We constructed clone libraries of the gene transcripts for DNRA and denitrification. RNA was extracted from the soils of org-C/NO₃=3 (see below) used in the second experiment. The nrfA gene transcripts were amplified by PCR using the primers nrfAF2aw and nrfAR1. The gene transcripts of copper-containing nitrite reductase (NirK) and cytochrome cd1-containing nitrite reductase (NirS), which catalyze the step of denitrification ($NO_2^{-} > NO$), were also amplified using the following primers: nirKC1F and nirKC1R (nirK Cluster I), nirKC2F and nirKC2R (nirK Cluster II), and nirSC1F and nirSC1R (nirS Cluster I). Denitrifiers may be phylogenetically grouped based on their nirK and nirS sequences into several clusters. We previously demonstrated that denitrifiers with nirK Cluster I and Cluster II and nirS Cluster I were dominant in the soils tested (Wei et al., 2015; Fujimura et al. 2020), and, thus, targeted these genes. The primers and PCR conditions used are described in Table S1. The composition of the reaction mixture and the details of the procedure were previously described by Wei et al. (2015). Cloning of the PCR amplicons and sequencing were performed as described previously (Isobe et al., 2012). After removing the primer-annealing regions, nucleotide sequences were translated into amino acid sequences using EMBOSS (Rice et al., 2000). We developed a reference database of nrfA, nirK, or nirS amino acid sequences by curating the nrfA, nirK, and nirS databases downloaded on February 20, 2020 from the FunGene database (Fish et al., 2013). We used the BLASTP function of the BLAST+ tool (Camacho et al., 2009) for the homology search using the following threshold values: E value <0.001 and percent identity >60% for the taxonomic assignment. We then constructed the phylogenetic trees of clone sequences with the reference sequences. These sequences were aligned using Clustal W version 2.0, and maximum likelihood trees were constructed using a bootstrap analysis (100 replicates) performed in MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018). We also investigated the presence of the *nrfA*, *nirK*, and *nirS* genes within the complete genome of the assigned genus using the BLASTP search based on the genome sequences deposited in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) GENOME database (Kanehisa et al., 2017).

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The nucleotide sequence data reported are available in the DDBJ Sequenced Read Archive under the accession numbers LC532390– LC532446 for *nrfA*, LC532447–LC532534 for *nirK*, and LC532535–LC532580 for *nirS*.

Results and Discussion

DNRA activity in paddy soil

The results obtained from our first experiment confirmed that DNRA occurred in rice paddy soils at a similar rate to denitrification (Fig. 1A). NO₃⁻ was eventually reduced to NH_4^+ and N_2 in soils amended with glucose. The reduction to NO₂⁻ was also observed after 4-12 h, whereas the concentration of N₂O remained low around the detection limit. NO₃⁻ reduction proceeded even without the addition of glucose and mostly led to N_2 production. The extent of NH_4^+ production via DNRA with glucose during 12 h was similar to that of N_2 production via denitrification, whereas NH_4^+ production via DNRA was minimal without glucose. We did not detect the oxidation of NH_4^+ to NO_2^- or NO_3^- in soil microcosms amended with ¹⁵NH₄NO₃ and glucose or with ¹⁵NH₄NO₃ alone. nrfA was strongly expressed in soils amended with glucose after 8 h when ¹⁵NH₄⁺ was produced at the highest rate (Fig. 1B). Conversely, nrfA expression was less evident before NH_4^+ production started or when it ended (after 12 h). nrfA expression was also less evident in soils without glucose. These results indicate that DNRA occurred in rice paddy soils and was driven by *nrfA*-possessing microbial populations.

Effects of the nitrate limitation relative to organic carbon on DNRA and denitrification

The results from our second experiment showed that the ratio of organic carbon to NO₃⁻ (org-C/NO₃⁻) affected the partitioning of NO₃⁻ reduction processes; higher org-C/NO₃⁻ enhanced DNRA over denitrification (Fig. 2A and B). NO₃reduction and NH₄⁺ and N₂ production progressed as org-C/NO₃⁻ increased. NO₂⁻ and N₂O concentrations were the highest at org-C/NO₂⁻ of 3. The ratio of DNRA to denitrification also became higher as org-C/NO₃⁻ increased from 10% with C-glucose/NO3- of zero, to 50% with Cglucose/NO₃⁻ of 9. We did not detect NH_4^+ oxidation to NO₂⁻ or NO₃⁻ in soil microcosms amended with ¹⁵NH₄NO₃ and glucose or with ¹⁵NH₄NO₃ alone These results indicate that DNRA is more likely to occur under NO₂⁻ limiting (relative to org-C) environments. The ratios of DNRA to denitrification differed between the first and second experiments: the ratios of soil samples with $org-C/NO_2^{-}$ of 0 and 9 were larger and smaller in the second experiment, respectively. Although the reason for this result currently remains unclear, it may depend on the sampling period (see above).



Fig. 1. (A) Changes in ¹⁵N contents of NO_3^- , NO_2^- , NH_4^+ , N_2O , and N_2 in the soil microcosm during a 0–24-h incubation with the addition of $NH_4^{15}NO_3$ alone (left); and $NH_4^{15}NO_3$ and glucose (right). The symbol represents the average, with the bar representing the range (*n*=2). (B) Detection of the *nrfA* transcript from soil by PCR. Purified RNA extracted from soil before the addition of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ (Lane A), 8 h after the addition of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ alone (Lane B) and ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ and glucose (Lane C); and 12 h after the addition of ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ alone (Lane D) and ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ and glucose (Lane E). The purified genome extracted from *Escherichia coli* was used as a positive control (Lane F). Both sides show DNA size markers (Lanes M). *E. coli* generates an approximately 270-bp amplicon of *nrfA*.



Fig. 2. (A) Changes in ¹⁵N contents of NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, NH₄⁺, N₂O, and N₂ in the soil microcosm after a 12-hours incubation across different ratios of C-glucose and NH₄¹⁵NO₃ added (org-C/NO₃⁻=0, 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9). (B) Relationship between org-C/NO₃⁻ and the ratio of DNRA to denitrification. The symbol represents the average, with the bar representing the range (n=2).

Gene	Phylum/Class	Genus	Proportion (%)
<i>nrfA</i> (57 clones)	Deltaproteobacteria	Anaeromyxobacter	22.8
	Deltaproteobacteria	Geobacter	21.1
	Chloroflexi	unidentified	15.8
	Deltaproteobacteria	unidentified	8.8
	Chlorobi	unidentified	7.0
	Chloroflexi	Caldilinea	5.3
	Planctomycetes	unidentified	5.3
	Others (<5%)		14.0
<i>nirK</i> Cluster I (43 clones)	Alphaproteobacteria	Bradyrhizobium	69.7
	Alphaproteobacteria	Afipia	25.5
	Others (<5%)		4.6
<i>nirK</i> Cluster II (45 clones)	Gammaproteobacteria	Rhodanobacter	15.5
	Betaproteobacteria	Ralstonia	8.8
	Verrucomicrobia	Chthoniobacter	6.6
	Alphaproteobacteria	Mesorhizobium	6.6
	Others (<5%) or unidentified		64.4
nirS Cluster I (46 clones)	Gammaproteobacteria	Thiothrix	28.2
	Betaproteobacteria	Burkholderiaceae genus	13.0
	Gammaproteobacteria	Rhodanobacter	10.8
	Gammaproteobacteria	Marinobacter	8.6
	Betaproteobacteria	Pseudogulbenkiania	8.6
	Betaproteobacteria	Acidovorax	6.5
	Betaproteobacteria	Dechloromonas	6.5
	Betaproteobacteria	Gulbenkiania	6.5
	Others (<5%)		10.8

Table 1. Taxonomic candidates of the DNRA population based on *nrfA* transcripts and the denitrifying population based on *nirK* and *nirS* transcripts in the soil microcosm in a 12-hours incubation with org-C/NO₃⁻ of 3

Microbial populations carrying out DNRA and denitrification

Since DNRA and denitrification both occurred simultaneously and actively in soils with org- C/NO_3^- of 3, we analyzed the microbial populations responsible for these processes based on the sequences of *nrfA* transcripts for DNRA and those of *nirK* and *nirS* transcripts for denitrification. We obtained 57, 43, 45, and 46 clones for *nrfA*, *nirK* in Cluster I, *nirK* in Cluster II, and *nirS* in Cluster I, respectively. The taxonomic composition of DNRA and denitrifying microbes appeared to differ (Table 1 and S2). Many (43.9%) of the *nrfA* sequences showed the highest similarity to those from the genera *Anaeromyxobacter* and *Geobacter* (class Deltaproteobacteria), while many others showed the highest similarity to those from the taxa of which genuslevel taxonomy is unknown. In contrast, nirK sequences in Cluster I showed the highest similarity to those from the genera Bradyrhizobium and Afipia in the Bradyrhizobiaceae family (class Alphaproteobacteria). nirK sequences in Cluster II showed the highest similarity to those from the genera Rhodanobacter (class Gammaproteobacteria) and Ralstonia (class Betaproteobacteria). nirS sequences showed the highest similarity with the genera Thiothrix, Rhodanobacter, and Marinobacter (class Gammaproteobacteria) and the genus Burkholderiaceae (class Betaproteobacteria). Although the majority of *nrfA*, *nirS*, and *nirK* sequences were assigned to the reference sequences with high similarity, they did not form distinct phylogenetic clades with these reference sequences with a bootstrap support of >80%, except for the clones assigned to the genus Geobacter or Pseudogulbenkiania (Fig. S1), which may have been due to the lack of nrfA, nirS, and nirK reference sequences within the database preventing accurate taxonomic assignments. Conversely, complete genome sequences within the assigned genus with nrfA, such as Geobacter and Anaeromyxobacter, did not possess nirS or nirK, whereas those with *nirK* or *nirS* did not possess *nrfA* (Table S3). These results suggest that the populations driving DNRA and denitrification differ, with potentially little overlap.

Implications for DNRA and denitrification in on-site paddy soil

The results obtained herein and previous field observations in the same location suggest that DNRA is a substantial N cycling process in on-site paddy soil under practical management conditions. A previous field observation study reported that soil NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ were continuously undetectable under anoxic field conditions (Itoh et al., 2013), suggesting that NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ produced by nitrification in the oxic layer are rapidly consumed by some pathway. Another field observation study that performed a metatranscriptome analysis detected nrfA transcripts in greater abundance in the reduced layer than in the surface, and many of these sequences were similar to those of Anaeromyxobacter and Geobacter (Masuda et al., 2017), suggesting that paddy soil in the reduced layer has the potential for DNRA largely by Anaeromyxobacter and Geobacter. By conducting controlled laboratory incubation experiments with ¹⁵N tracer and gene transcript-clone library analyses, we herein demonstrated that DNRA occurred in rice paddy soils at a similar rate to denitrification in NO₃limiting (relative to organic carbon) anaerobic paddy soils and that Anaeromyxobacter-like and Geobacter-like nrfA expression enhanced DNRA activity. Based on these results, the paddy field may form more closed N-cycling than the nitrification-denitrification centric understanding; that is, NO₃⁻ produced by nitrification at the surface oxidized layer is reduced not only to gaseous N2 via denitrification, but also to NH₄⁺ via DNRA, below the oxidized layer.

Difficulties are associated with evaluating the extent to which DNRA and denitrification occur in on-site paddy soil because both are difficult to measure without the addition of a substrate ($^{15}NO_3^{-}$). The contribution of DNRA to the

depletion of NO₃⁻ may be larger in an anaerobic environment with a large influx of readily degradable organic matter, but with a small influx of NO₃, leading to high org-C/NO₃⁻. An anaerobic environment around the rhizosphere, in which a large amount of root secretions is supplied (Kuzyakov and Blagodatskaya, 2015), may be one such environment. The soil redox potential may also affect the partitioning of NO3⁻ reduction between DNRA and denitrification. However, a consensus has not yet been reached on the soil redox conditions under which DNRA and denitrification occur. While some studies demonstrated that DNRA occurs in more reduced soils/sediments than denitrification (Buresh and Patrick, 1978, 1981), others showed that DNRA was relatively insensitive to redox conditions (Pett-Ridge et al., 2006) and even occurred in aerobic-like environments (Morley and Baggs, 2010; Yang et al., 2017). Further studies are needed to identify the hotspots and hot moments of DNRA in on-site paddy soils (Kuzyakov and Blagodatskaya, 2015).

Rice paddy soil appears to have great potential for DNRA. Although research on DNRA in rice fields remains limited, DNRA has accounted for a significant proportion of NO₃⁻ reduction in the paddy soils tested to date (Pandey et al., 2018; 2019), with some exceptions (Shan et al., 2016). The DNRA potentials of paddy soils $(0.8-9.8 \ \mu g \ N \ [g \ soil]^{-1}$ d⁻¹ from our second experiment, 0.42–3.20 µg N [g soil]⁻¹ d⁻¹ [Pandey et al., 2018; 2019], 0.2–8.9 μg N [g soil]⁻¹ d⁻¹ [Buresh and Patrick, 1978], and 0.01–0.19 µg N [g soil]⁻¹ d⁻¹ [Shan et al., 2016]) are often larger than those of croplands, grasslands, and forests (<1.0 µg N [g soil]⁻¹ d⁻¹ [Rütting et al., 2011; Putz et al., 2018], except for 2.89 µg N [g soil]⁻¹ d⁻¹ in a humid tropical forest [Pett-Ridge et al., 2006]), although these potentials may largely depend on the experimental settings, such as the concentrations of substrates added. Moreover, Anaeromyxobacter and Geobacter, the main candidates responsible for DNRA, are widely predominant in Japanese paddy soils (Masuda et al., 2017). In addition, laboratory measurements of the potential for denitrification may overestimate denitrification and underestimate DNRA because only NO₃⁻ is added as a substrate in many cases (Tiedje et al., 1989), which may enhance denitrification over DNRA. Even with the addition of organic carbon, the addition of NO₃⁻ relieves the NO₃⁻ limiting condition, which is also applicable to the present study. Recent studies suggested that high N fertilization suppresses DNRA, but enhances denitrification (Peng et al., 2016; Pandey et al., 2018; Putz et al., 2018), possibly due to an increase in NO₃⁻ availability relative to organic carbon in soils. Rice paddy fields may exhibit the more efficient use of N fertilizers than upland crop fields (Okumura, 2002; Zhou et al., 2011), and strong DNRA activity in paddy soils may be the reason for this efficacy. The efficient enhancement of DNRA provides an opportunity to achieve the lowfertilization management of rice paddy fields.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (24780055 and 17H01464).

References

- Buresh, R.J., and Patrick, W.H. (1978) Nitrate reduction to ammonium in anaerobic soil. Soil Sci Soc Am J 42: 913–918.
- Buresh, R.J., and Patrick, W.H. (1981) Nitrate reduction to ammonium and organic nitrogen in an estuarine sediment. *Soil Biol Biochem* 13: 279–283.
- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., *et al.* (2009) BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10: 1–9.
- Fish, J.A., Chai, B., Wang, Q., Sun, Y., Brown, C.T., Tiedje, J.M., et al. (2013) FunGene: The functional gene pipeline and repository. Front Microbiol 4: 291.
- Fujimura, R., Azegami, Y., Wei, W., Kakuta, H., Shiratori, Y., Ohte, N., et al. (2020) Distinct community composition of previously uncharacterized denitrifying bacteria and fungi across different landuse types. *Microbes Environ* 35: ME19064.
- Ishii, S., Yamamoto, M., Kikuchi, M., Oshima, K., Hattori, M., Otsuka, S., et al. (2009) Microbial populations responsive to denitrificationinducing conditions in rice paddy soil, as revealed by comparative 16S rRNA gene analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol 75: 7070–7078.
- Ishii, S., Yamamoto, M., Tago, K., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K. (2010) Microbial populations in various paddy soils respond differently to denitrification-inducing conditions, albeit background bacterial populations are similar. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 56: 220–224.
- Ishii, S., Ikeda, S., Minamisawa, K., and Senoo, K. (2011) Nitrogen cycling in rice paddy environments: past achievements and future challenges. *Microbes Environ* 26: 282–292.
- Isobe, K., Koba, K., Ueda, S., Senoo, K., Harayama, S., and Suwa, Y. (2011a) A simple and rapid GC/MS method for the simultaneous determination of gaseous metabolites. *J Microbiol Methods* 84: 46– 51.
- Isobe, K., Suwa, Y., Ikutani, J., Kuroiwa, M., Makita, T., Takebayashi, Y., et al. (2011b) Analytical techniques for quantifying 15N/14N of nitrate, nitrite, total dissolved nitrogen and ammonium in environmental samples using a gas chromatograph equipped with a quadrupole mass spectrometer. *Microbes Environ* 26: 46–53.
- Isobe, K., Koba, K., Suwa, Y., Ikutani, J., Fang, Y., Yoh, M., et al. (2012) High abundance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in acidified subtropical forest soils in southern China after long-term N deposition. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 80: 193–203.
- Itoh, H., Ishii, S., Shiratori, Y., Oshima, K., Otsuka, S., Hattori, M., et al. (2013) Seasonal transition of active bacterial and archaeal communities in relation to water management in paddy soils. *Microbes Environ* 28: 370–380.
- Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., Sato, Y., and Morishima, K. (2017) KEGG: New perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45: D353–D361.
- Kumar, S., Štecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018) MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* 35: 1547–1549.
- Kuzyakov, Y., and Blagodatskaya, E. (2015) Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept & review. *Soil Biol Biochem* 83: 184–199.
- Masuda, Y., Itoh, H., Shiratori, Y., Isobe, K., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K. (2017) Predominant but previously-overlooked prokaryotic drivers of reductive nitrogen transformation in paddy soils, revealed by metatranscriptomics. *Microbes Environ* **32**: 180–183.
- Masuda, Y., Matsumoto, T., Isobe, K., and Senoo, K. (2019) Denitrification in paddy soil as a cooperative process of different nitrogen oxide reducers, revealed by metatranscriptomic analysis of denitrification-induced soil microcosm. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 65: 342– 345.
- Morley, N., and Baggs, E.M. (2010) Carbon and oxygen controls on N_2O and N_2 production during nitrate reduction. *Soil Biol Biochem* **42**: 1864–1871.
- Nishimura, S., Sawamoto, T., Akiyama, H., Sudo, S., and Yagi, K. (2004) Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a paddy field with Japanese conventional water management and fertilizer application. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* **18**: GB2017.
- Nishizawa, T., Uei, Y., Tago, K., Isobe, K., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K. (2013) Taxonomic composition of denitrifying bacterial isolates is different among three rice paddy field soils in Japan. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* **59**: 305–310.

- Okumura, T. (2002) Rice production in unfertilized paddy field: mechanism of grain production as estimated from nitrogen economy. *Plant Prod Sci* **5**: 83–88.
- Pandey, A., Suter, H., He, J.Z., Hu, H.W., and Chen, D. (2018) Nitrogen addition decreases dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium in rice paddies. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 84: 1–14.
- Pandey, A., Suter, H., He, J.Z., Hu, H.W., and Chen, D. (2019) Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium dominates nitrate reduction in long-term low nitrogen fertilized rice paddies. *Soil Biol Biochem* 131: 149–156.
- Peng, X., Ji, Q., Angell, J.H., Kearns, P.J., Yang, H.J., Bowen, J.L., et al. (2016) Long-term fertilization alters the relative importance of nitrate reduction pathways in salt marsh sediments. J Geophys Res Biogeosciences 121: 2082–2095.
- Pett-Ridge, J., Silver, W.L., and Firestone, M.K. (2006) Redox fluctuations frame microbial community impacts on N-cycling rates in a humid tropical forest soil. *Biogeochemistry* 81: 95–110.
- Putz, M., Schleusner, P., Rütting, T., and Hallin, S. (2018) Relative abundance of denitrifying and DNRA bacteria and their activity determine nitrogen retention or loss in agricultural soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 123: 97–104.
- Rice, P., Longden, I., and Bleasby, A. (2000) EMBOSS: The European molecular biology open software suite. *Trends Genet* 16: 276–277.
- Rütting, T., and Müller, C. (2008) Process-specific analysis of nitrite dynamics in a permanent grassland soil by using a Monte Carlo sampling technique. *Eur J Soil Sci* 59: 208–215.
- Rütting, T., Boeckx, P., Müller, C., and Klemedtsson, L. (2011) Assessment of the importance of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium for the terrestrial nitrogen cycle. *Biogeosciences* 8: 1779–1791.
- Said-Pullicino, D., Miniotti, E.F., Sodano, M., Bertora, C., Lerda, C., Chiaradia, E.A., *et al.* (2016) Linking dissolved organic carbon cycling to organic carbon fluxes in rice paddies under different water management practices. *Plant Soil* **401**: 273–290.
- Sasakawa, H., and Yamamoto, Y. (1978) Comparison of the uptake of nitrate and ammonium by rice seedlings. *Plant Physiol* 62: 665–669.
- Shan, J., Zhao, X., Sheng, R., Xia, Y., Ti, C., Quan, X., et al. (2016) Dissimilatory nitrate reduction processes in typical Chinese paddy soils: rates, relative Contributions, and influencing factors. *Environ Sci Technol* 50: 9972–9980.
- Stremińska, M.A., Felgate, H., Rowley, G., Richardson, D.J., and Baggs, E.M. (2012) Nitrous oxide production in soil isolates of nitrateammonifying bacteria. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **4**: 66–71.
- Strohm, T.O., Griffin, B., Zumft, W.G., and Schink, B. (2007) Growth yields in bacterial denitrification and nitrate ammonification. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73: 1420–1424.
- Tago, K., Ishii, S., Nishizawa, T., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K. (2011) Phylogenetic and functional diversity of denitrifying bacteria isolated from various rice paddy and rice-soybean rotation fields. *Microbes Environ* 26: 30–35.
- Tiedje, J.M., Simkins, S., and Groffman, P.M. (1989) Perspectives on measurement of denitrification in the field including recommended protocols for acetylene based methods. *Plant Soil* 115: 261–284.
- Tiedje, J.M. (1994) Denitrifiers. In Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Microbiological and Biochemical Properties. Bottomley, P.S., Angle, J.S., and Weaver, R.W. (eds). Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America, pp. 245–267.
- van den Berg, E.M., van Dongen, U., Abbas, B., and van Loosdrecht, M.C. (2015) Enrichment of DNRA bacteria in a continuous culture. *ISME J* 9: 1–9.
- Wei, W., Isobe, K., Nishizawa, T., Zhu, L., Shiratori, Y., Ohte, N., et al. (2015) Higher diversity and abundance of denitrifying microorganisms in environments than considered previously. *ISME J* 9: 1954–1965.
- Welsh, A., Chee-Sanford, J.C., Connor, L.M., Löffler, F.E., and Sanford, R.A. (2014) Refined NrfA phylogeny improves PCR-based nrfA gene detection. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 80: 2110–2119.
- Xu, J., Yang, S., Peng, S., Wei, Q., and Gao, X. (2013) Solubility and leaching risks of organic carbon in paddy soils as affected by irrigation managements. *Sci World J* 2013: 546750.
- Yang, W.H., Ryals, R.A., Cusack, D.F., and Silver, W.L. (2017) Crossbiome assessment of gross soil nitrogen cycling in California ecosystems. Soil Biol Biochem 107: 144–155.

- Yoshida, M., Ishii, S., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K. (2009) Temporal shifts in diversity and quantity of nirS and nirK in a rice paddy field soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* **41**: 2044–2051.
- Yoshida, M., Ishii, S., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K. (2010) nirK-harboring denitrifiers are more responsive to denitrification-inducing conditions in rice paddy soil than nirS-harboring bacteria. *Microbes Environ* 25: 45–48.
- Yoshida, M., Ishii, S., Fujii, D., Otsuka, S., and Senoo, K. (2012) Identification of active denitrifiers in rice paddy soil by DNA- and RNA-based analyses. *Microbes Environ* 27: 456–461.
- Zhang, Y., Ding, H., Zheng, X., Cai, Z., Misselbrook, T., Carswell, A., et al. (2018) Soil N transformation mechanisms can effectively conserve N in soil under saturated conditions compared to unsaturated conditions in subtropical China. *Biol Fertil Soils* 54: 495–507.
- Zhou, J., Wang, C., Zhang, H., Dong, F., Zheng, X., Gale, W., et al. (2011) Effect of water saving management practices and nitrogen fertilizer rate on crop yield and water use efficiency in a winter wheat-summer maize cropping system. *Field Crops Res* 122: 157– 163.