## A mechanism for different receptors coupled to the same G protein to generate different responses mediated by different second messengers

Don-On Daniel Mak

Physiology Department, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

The Journal of General Physiology

To respond to changes in the extracellular environment and maintain effective intercellular communication, a signal transduction system of astonishing complexity has evolved in eukaryotic cells. A cell can express a menagerie of literally thousands of different types of cell surface receptors, with each type binding its own set of agonist(s) with high specificity, and up to subnanomolar affinity. However, despite the diversity of the receptors, stimulation of receptors by extracellular agonists is transduced through only a relatively small number of second messenger systems (Downes and Macphee, 1990; Hartl and Wolfe, 1990; McKnight, 1991; Berridge, 1993; Vaandrager and de Jonge, 1996; Guse, 1999; Santella, 2005) to elicit stimulus-specific cellular responses. Thus, the fascinating question remains of how different cell surface receptors coupled to the same G protein, when stimulated by their individual agonists, can generate different kinds of responses mediated by different second messengers (Delmas and Brown, 2002; Zaika et al., 2011). Localizing intracellular signaling machinery into distinct compartmentalized microdomains is one mechanism to achieve differential regulation of cellular responses (Delmas and Brown, 2002; Bornfeldt, 2006; Zaccolo et al., 2006). A paper from the Hille laboratory in this issue of the Journal elucidates, with remarkably thorough and meticulous experimental work, another such mechanism: one that combines quantitative difference in cell surface receptor abundance with different sensitivity of various cellular responses to activation.

With this paper (see Dickson et al. in this issue), the Hille laboratory ventured into investigating the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP<sub>3</sub>)-cytoplasmic free Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Ca<sup>2+</sup><sub>i</sub>) branch of the phospholipase C (PLC)-mediated signaling cascade (see Fig. 1) (Rhee, 2001) in their long-standing effort (Suh and Hille, 2002, 2006, 2007; Suh et al., 2004, 2006; Horowitz et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2009; Falkenburger et al., 2010a,b) to study receptor modulation of the KCNQ2/3 potassium channel that generates the M current (Shapiro et al., 2000), which plays a critical role in the regulation of neuronal excitability (Hamilton et al., 1997). Intracellular signals related

to IP<sub>3</sub> production by PLC activity resulting from maximal activation of a purinergic or a muscarinic G<sub>q</sub> proteincoupled receptor (G<sub>q</sub>PCR) (Smrcka et al., 1991; Rhee, 2001) in cultured tsA201 cells were quantified and compared. Rise in cytoplasmic free  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration ( $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ ) caused by IP3 activation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER)localized IP<sub>3</sub> receptor (IP<sub>3</sub>R) channels (Berridge and Irvine, 1989) was followed using Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging with Fura-4F dye, whereas the depletion of inositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP<sub>2</sub>) due to hydrolysis by PLC was followed either by directly monitoring PIP<sub>2</sub> level using Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) (van der Wal et al., 2001), or by measuring the decrease in KCNQ2/3  $K^+$ current resulting from PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion using patch-clamp electrophysiology in perforated-patch configuration. The purinergic G<sub>a</sub>PCR investigated was an endogenous receptor determined to be the P2Y<sub>2</sub>R (Abbracchio et al., 2006) by its activation by uridine 5'-trisphosphate (UTP) to generate IP<sub>3</sub> without increasing cytoplasmic [cAMP] (Nikolaev et al., 2004). This identification was further confirmed pharmacologically by the suppression of UTP-evoked [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub>i</sub> rise with P2Y<sub>2</sub>R-specific antagonist suramin; and by changing the amplitude of UTP-evoked  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  rise through manipulation of P2Y<sub>9</sub>R abundance, especially the elimination of UTP-evoked  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise with siRNA knockdown of P2Y2R expression. Recombinant M1 muscarinic receptor (M1R) was transiently transfected into the tsA201 cells, which do not express endogenous muscarinic G<sub>a</sub>PCR, with expression level several orders of magnitude higher than that of the endogenous P2Y<sub>2</sub>R (Falkenburger et al., 2010a).

Whereas maximal UTP (100  $\mu$ M) stimulation of the endogenous P2Y<sub>2</sub>R and maximal oxotremorine-M (Oxo-M; 10  $\mu$ M) stimulation of overexpressed M<sub>1</sub>R generated similar [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub>i</sub> increases, maximal UTP stimulation of the endogenous P2Y<sub>2</sub>R failed to cause any detectable suppression of the KCNQ2/3 current, even though maximal Oxo-M stimulation of overexpressed M<sub>1</sub>R suppressed KCNQ2/3 current significantly. Inability of the

Correspondence to Don-On Daniel Mak: dmak@mail.med.upenn.edu

<sup>© 2013</sup> Mak This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial– Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/).

maximally activated endogenous  $P2Y_2R$  to reduce  $PIP_2$ abundance appreciably while maximally activated overexpressed M<sub>1</sub>R depleted PIP<sub>2</sub> substantially was also confirmed by FRET measurement.

Given the huge difference in the abundance of the endogenous  $P2Y_2R$  and the transiently transfected  $M_1R$ , it is reasonable to surmise that the observed inability of the endogenous P2Y2R to affect PIP2 levels even in the presence of a saturating level of UTP is caused by its low expression level, whereas the overexpressed recombinant M<sub>1</sub>R, when stimulated, has no problem depleting the PIP<sub>2</sub>, thereby reducing the KCNQ2/3 current measurably. In that case, the comparable  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  rises elicited by both maximally activated endogenous P2Y2R and overexpressed M1R suggest that Ca2+ release from ER through the IP<sub>3</sub>R is much more sensitive to activation by  $G_{0}PCR$  than PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion and KCNQ2/3 current reduction, so the number of ligand-bound G<sub>a</sub>PCRs required to stimulate Ca<sup>2+</sup> release is significantly smaller than that required to deplete PIP<sub>2</sub> and suppress KCNQ2/3 current. Therefore, even P2Y<sub>2</sub>R expressed at low endogenous levels is sufficient to generate a maximal IP<sub>3</sub>Rmediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> response. In other words, the receptor reserve (spare receptors) for Ca<sup>2+</sup> release is much larger than that for PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion.

To validate this hypothesis, the Hille laboratory modified the receptor density and agonist concentration independently. When tsA201 cells were transiently transfected with recombinant P2Y<sub>2</sub>R to boost the density of P2Y<sub>2</sub>R by ~100-fold, saturating UTP was able to generate PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion and suppression of KCNQ2/3 current, at levels comparable to those caused by maximal levels of Oxo-M. This demonstrated that the inability of UTP stimulation of endogenous P2Y<sub>2</sub>R to affect PIP<sub>2</sub> level and KCNQ2/3 current is caused by the low quantity of P2Y<sub>2</sub>R present. The application of Oxo-M at a concentration (1 nM) shown to be too low to elicit observable PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion or KCNQ2/3 current suppression (Jensen et al., 2009) was nevertheless sufficient to cause substantial rise in  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ , demonstrating that IP<sub>3</sub>R-mediated  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  rise is more sensitive to G<sub>q</sub>PCR activation than PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion and KCNQ2/3 current suppression. Collectively, these observations indicate that the different responses generated by equally maximally stimulated P2Y<sub>2</sub>R and M<sub>1</sub>R are caused by the combination of differences in the densities of the two receptors and in receptor reserves for the responses (IP<sub>3</sub>R-mediated  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  rise vs. PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion and KCNQ2/3 current suppression), and not a result of intrinsic, qualitative differences in the P2Y<sub>2</sub>R- and M<sub>1</sub>R-mediated stimulation.

To investigate at which point in the G<sub>a</sub> signaling pathway the exquisite sensitivity of the IP<sub>3</sub>R-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> release arises, IP<sub>3</sub> production was monitored with a FRET reporter, LIBRAvIII, based on the ligand-binding domain of IP<sub>3</sub>R (Tanimura et al., 2009). Calibration of the LIBRAVIII probe by dialyzing different [IP<sub>3</sub>] into tsA201 cells via a patch pipette indicated that the probe is not sensitive to  $[IP_3] < 1 \mu M$  and is mostly saturated at  $[IP_3] > 10 \,\mu\text{M}$ . An Oxo-M concentration (0.1  $\mu\text{M}$ ) sufficient to stimulate a maximal rise in [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub>i</sub> was also able to elicit a maximal change in LIBRAvIII FRET signal, suggesting that saturation of IP<sub>3</sub>R-mediated  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise is largely caused by saturation of production of IP<sub>3</sub>. This also indicates that saturating G<sub>q</sub>PCR stimulation can raise cytoplasmic [IP<sub>3</sub>] to  $\sim 10 \mu$ M. However, the low level (1 nM) of Oxo-M sufficient to generate a robust though submaximal  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  rise failed to change the LIBRAVIII FRET signal detectably. Thus, the sensitivity of the IP<sub>3</sub>R-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> signal does not arise from IP<sub>3</sub> production.



**Figure 1.** A schematic diagram of the IP<sub>3</sub> cytoplasmic Ca<sup>2+</sup> branch of the PLC-mediated G<sub>q</sub>PCR signaling pathway studied in Dickson et al. (2013) (modified from Fig. 5 A in Falkenburger et al., 2013). Elements highlighted in green were monitored in experiments described in Dickson et al. (2013), and those in gray are not directly described in Dickson et al. (2013).

This leaves the sensitivity of the IP<sub>3</sub>R Ca<sup>2+</sup> release channel to IP<sub>3</sub> stimulation as the main source of the large receptor reserve for  $G_qPCR$ -mediated  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  rise. This is not surprising as high sensitivity and positive cooperativity in IP<sub>3</sub> activation of IP<sub>3</sub>R channel have been observed in electrophysiological studies of InsP<sub>3</sub>R channels (Foskett et al., 2007). Furthermore, with saturating [IP<sub>3</sub>], positive cooperativity in Ca<sup>2+</sup><sub>i</sub> activation of IP<sub>3</sub>R channels and positive feedback activation of IP<sub>3</sub>R by Ca<sup>2+</sup> released through the channels can generate robust Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals that arise more rapidly and peak sooner than the IP<sub>3</sub> signals, as observed when Fura-4 fluorescence signals and LIBRAVIII FRET signals were compared (Dickson et al., 2013).

The large receptor reserve for  $IP_3R$ -mediated  $Ca^{2+}$  signal was confirmed by the insensitivity of the  $Ca^{2+}$  signal to reduction in  $IP_3$  production caused by severe but not total depletion of  $PIP_2$  by prolonged activation of over-expressed  $PIP_2$  5-phosphatase localized to the plasma membrane (Suh et al., 2006) or of voltage-sensitive phosphatase (Falkenburger et al., 2010a).

This paper is complemented by a companion (see Falkenburger et al. in this issue), which focuses on the other signals-diacylglycerol (DAG) production and protein kinase C (PKC) activation-that originate from PLC activation by  $G_{\alpha}$ PCR. Although a detailed discussion of that companion paper is beyond the scope of this commentary, a brief summary of its contents is provided here. The high sensitivity of PKC to DAG activation was shown to be the chief reason why the endogenous P2Y<sub>2</sub>R expressed at low levels in tsA201 cells was able to activate PKC maximally, just like the overexpressed recombinant M<sub>1</sub>R, even though P2Y<sub>2</sub>R generated substantially less DAG than M<sub>1</sub>R. That paper also presents evidences to show that KCNQ2/3 current is mainly regulated by PIP<sub>2</sub> levels and not significantly affected by Ca<sup>2+</sup>-calmodulin or AKAP/PKC signaling, and that PLC can hydrolyze phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI(4)P) into DAG and inositol 1,4-bisphosphate. Experimental observations presented in these back-to-back papers (Dickson et al., 2013; Falkenburger et al., 2013) were incorporated into a dynamic model developed in Falkenburger et al. (2010b). The resulting extended model presented in Falkenburger et al. (2013) takes into consideration many relevant elements involved in the PLC-mediated intracellular signaling cascade, and was able to generate numerical simulations that agree quantitatively with many experimental results and account for other results qualitatively. This comprehensive model provides valuable insights into the complex interactions of the various elements in the PLC-mediated signals and reveals new features in the signaling cascade, like the existence of bound PIP<sub>2</sub> that dissociates the production of DAG and InsP<sub>3</sub> from PIP<sub>2</sub> depletion, and hydrolysis of PI(4)P by PLC that dissociates DAG production from InsP<sub>3</sub> production.

Together, these two papers (Dickson et al., 2013; Falkenburger et al., 2013) represent a worthy addition to the literature on the PLC-mediated signal transduction pathway. Multiple techniques using a broad range of probes were applied to investigate various processes involved in the signaling pathway. The model derived from these and previous experimental efforts from the Hille laboratory and other investigators is, of course, very much a work in progress and will no doubt be further expanded and improved as future studies provide more data to account for. This is especially true for the novel addition describing the IP<sub>3</sub>R-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals, from which many relevant processes have been omitted (as pointed out by the authors), including the buffering of cytoplasmic Ca<sup>2+</sup> by Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding proteins and organelles, depletion of ER Ca<sup>2+</sup> store, and the resulting store-operated Ca<sup>2+</sup> entry, Ca<sup>2+</sup> release by ryanodine receptors, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> removal by plasma membrane Ca<sup>2+</sup> pumps. Furthermore, whereas using the relatively simple De Young-Keizer model (De Young and Keizer, 1992) to evaluate the Ca<sup>2+</sup> released by IP<sub>3</sub>R channels under various [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub>i</sub> and [IP<sub>3</sub>] may be sufficient to simulate Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals in a whole-cell context, only one set of functional affinities for Ca2+i activation and Ca2+i inhibition (K<sub>Ca</sub> and k<sub>Ca</sub>) of the IP<sub>3</sub>R channel was considered in the model, even though a broad range of values has been reported in the literature for different IP<sub>3</sub>R isoforms from different cell types investigated by different methods (Foskett et al., 2007). Nevertheless, the remarkable ability of the model to numerically reproduce a multitude of experimental observations with reasonable resemblance suggests that the modeling effort is proceeding in the right direction, and I look forward to seeing future installments of this investigative effort.

Edward N. Pugh Jr. served as editor.

## REFERENCES

- Abbracchio, M.P., G. Burnstock, J.M. Boeynaems, E.A. Barnard, J.L. Boyer, C. Kennedy, G.E. Knight, M. Fumagalli, C. Gachet, K.A. Jacobson, and G.A. Weisman. 2006. International Union of Pharmacology LVIII: update on the P2Y G protein-coupled nucleotide receptors: from molecular mechanisms and pathophysiology to therapy. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 58:281–341. http://dx.doi .org/10.1124/pr.58.3.3
- Berridge, M.J. 1993. Inositol trisphosphate and calcium signalling. Nature. 361:315–325. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/361315a0
- Berridge, M.J., and R.F. Irvine. 1989. Inositol phosphates and cell signalling. *Nature*. 341:197–205. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/341197a0
- Bornfeldt, K.E. 2006. A single second messenger: several possible cellular responses depending on distinct subcellular pools. *Circ. Res.* 99:790–792. http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000247760 .34779.f5
- De Young, G.W., and J. Keizer. 1992. A single-pool inositol 1,4,5trisphosphate-receptor-based model for agonist-stimulated oscillations in Ca2+ concentration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 89:9895–9899. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.20.9895
- Delmas, P., and D.A. Brown. 2002. Junctional signaling microdomains: bridging the gap between the neuronal cell surface and

Ca2+ stores. *Neuron*. 36:787–790. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0896-6273(02)01097-8

- Dickson, E.R., B.H. Falkenburger, and B. Hille. 2013. Quantitative properties and receptor reserve of the IP<sub>3</sub> and calcium branch of G<sub>q</sub>-coupled receptor signaling. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 141:521–535.
- Downes, C.P., and C.H. Macphee. 1990. myo-inositol metabolites as cellular signals. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 193:1–18. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1990.tb19297.x
- Falkenburger, B.H., J.B. Jensen, and B. Hille. 2010a. Kinetics of M<sub>1</sub> muscarinic receptor and G protein signaling to phospholipase C in living cells. J. Gen. Physiol. 135:81–97. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/ jgp.200910344
- Falkenburger, B.H., J.B. Jensen, and B. Hille. 2010b. Kinetics of PIP<sub>2</sub> metabolism and KCNQ2/3 channel regulation studied with a voltage-sensitive phosphatase in living cells. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 135:99–114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200910345
- Falkenburger, B.H., E.R. Dickson, and B. Hille. 2013. Quantitative properties and receptor reserve of the DAG and PKC branch of G<sub>α</sub>-coupled receptor signaling. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 141:537–555.
- Foskett, J.K., C. White, K.H. Cheung, and D.-O.D. Mak. 2007. Inositol trisphosphate receptor Ca2+ release channels. *Physiol. Rev.* 87:593–658. http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00035.2006
- Guse, A.H. 1999. Cyclic ADP-ribose: a novel Ca2+-mobilising second messenger. *Cell. Signal.* 11:309–316. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0898-6568(99)00004-2
- Hamilton, S.E., M.D. Loose, M. Qi, A.I. Levey, B. Hille, G.S. McKnight, R.L. Idzerda, and N.M. Nathanson. 1997. Disruption of the m1 receptor gene ablates muscarinic receptor-dependent M current regulation and seizure activity in mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 94:13311–13316. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94 .24.13311
- Hartl, W.H., and R.R. Wolfe. 1990. The phospholipid/arachidonic acid second messenger system: its possible role in physiology and pathophysiology of metabolism. *JPEN J. Parenter. Enteral Nutr.* 14:416–427. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0148607190014004416
- Horowitz, L.F., W. Hirdes, B.C. Suh, D.W. Hilgemann, K. Mackie, and B. Hille. 2005. Phospholipase C in living cells: Activation, inhibition, Ca<sup>2+</sup> requirement, and regulation of M current. J. Gen. Physiol. 126:243–262. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200509309
- Jensen, J.B., J.S. Lyssand, C. Hague, and B. Hille. 2009. Fluorescence changes reveal kinetic steps of muscarinic receptor-mediated modulation of phosphoinositides and Kv7.2/7.3 K<sup>+</sup> channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 133:347–359. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp .200810075
- McKnight, G.S. 1991. Cyclic AMP second messenger systems. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 3:213–217. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0955-0674 (91)90141-K
- Nikolaev, V.O., M. Bünemann, L. Hein, A. Hannawacker, and M.J. Lohse. 2004. Novel single chain cAMP sensors for receptorinduced signal propagation. J. Biol. Chem. 279:37215–37218. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C400302200

- Rhee, S.G. 2001. Regulation of phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 70:281–312. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1146/annurev.biochem.70.1.281
- Santella, L. 2005. NAADP: a new second messenger comes of age. Mol. Interv. 5:70–72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1124/mi.5.2.3
- Shapiro, M.S., J.P. Roche, E.J. Kaftan, H. Cruzblanca, K. Mackie, and B. Hille. 2000. Reconstitution of muscarinic modulation of the KCNQ2/KCNQ3 K<sup>+</sup> channels that underlie the neuronal M current. J. Neurosci. 20:1710–1721.
- Smrcka, A.V., J.R. Hepler, K.O. Brown, and P.C. Sternweis. 1991. Regulation of polyphosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C activity by purified Gq. *Science*. 251:804–807. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1126/science.1846707
- Suh, B.C., and B. Hille. 2002. Recovery from muscarinic modulation of M current channels requires phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate synthesis. *Neuron*. 35:507–520. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0896-6273(02)00790-0
- Suh, B.C., and B. Hille. 2006. Does diacylglycerol regulate KCNQ channels? *Pflugers Arch*. 453:293–301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00424-006-0092-3
- Suh, B.C., and B. Hille. 2007. Regulation of KCNQ channels by manipulation of phosphoinositides. J. Physiol. 582:911–916. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.132647
- Suh, B.C., L.F. Horowitz, W. Hirdes, K. Mackie, and B. Hille. 2004. Regulation of KCNQ2/KCNQ3 current by G protein cycling: The kinetics of receptor-mediated signaling by G<sub>q</sub>. J. Gen. Physiol. 123:663–683. http://dx.doi.org/10.1085/jgp.200409029
- Suh, B.C., T. Inoue, T. Meyer, and B. Hille. 2006. Rapid chemically induced changes of PtdIns(4,5)P2 gate KCNQ ion channels. *Science*. 314:1454–1457. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1131163
- Tanimura, A., T. Morita, A. Nezu, A. Shitara, N. Hashimoto, and Y. Tojyo. 2009. Use of fluorescence resonance energy transfer-based biosensors for the quantitative analysis of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate dynamics in calcium oscillations. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284:8910– 8917. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M805865200
- Vaandrager, A.B., and H.R. de Jonge. 1996. Signalling by cGMPdependent protein kinases. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 157:23–30. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00227877
- van der Wal, J., R. Habets, P. Várnai, T. Balla, and K. Jalink. 2001. Monitoring agonist-induced phospholipase C activation in live cells by fluorescence resonance energy transfer. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276: 15337–15344. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M007194200
- Zaccolo, M., G. Di Benedetto, V. Lissandron, L. Mancuso, A. Terrin, and I. Zamparo. 2006. Restricted diffusion of a freely diffusible second messenger: mechanisms underlying compartmentalized cAMP signalling. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 34:510–511. http://dx.doi .org/10.1042/BST0340510
- Zaika, O., J. Zhang, and M.S. Shapiro. 2011. Combined phosphoinositide and Ca2+ signals mediating receptor specificity toward neuronal Ca2+ channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286:830–841. http://dx .doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.166033