Research Article

Heuchera Creme Brulee and Mahogany Medicinal Value under Water Stress and Oligosaccharide (COS) Treatment

Hosam O. Elansary, ^{1,2,3} Amal M. E. Abdel-Hamid,⁴ Eman A. Mahmoud,⁵ Fahed A. Al-Mana,¹ Diaa O. El-Ansary,⁶ and Tarek K. Zin El-Abedin⁷

¹Plant Production Department, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2460, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

²*Floriculture, Ornamental Horticulture and Garden Design Department, Faculty of Agriculture (El-Shatby), Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt*

³Department of Geography, Environmental Management and Energy Studies, University of Johannesburg, APK Campus, 2006, South Africa

⁴Department of Biological and Geological Sciences, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

⁵Department of Food Industries, Damietta University, Damietta, Egypt

⁶Precision Agriculture Laboratory, Department of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture (El-Shatby),

Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

⁷Department of Agricultural Engineering, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Hosam O. Elansary; helansary@ksu.edu.sa

Received 24 October 2018; Accepted 28 January 2019; Published 17 February 2019

Academic Editor: Letizia Angiolella

Copyright © 2019 Hosam O. Elansary et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Food borne pathogens cause serious human illnesses and diseases and their control using natural bioactive compounds becomes essential for the progress of agricultural and food industries. Developing novel tools to enhance the medicinal values of traditional horticultural medicinal crops is one of the promising methods for achieving food borne pathogens control. In this study, oligosaccharide water solutions were applied to *Heuchera* Creme Brulee and Mahogany subjected to a normal irrigation interval (2 days) or to prolonged irrigation intervals (6 days) for 6 weeks. Plant morphological, physiological, and metabolic markers associated with the bioactivity of leaf extracts against selected microbes. Oligosaccharide-treated plants showed significant increases in all morphological parameters during normal and prolonged irrigation intervals as compared to those of the controls. Morphological improvement associated with a significant increase in chlorophyll, carbohydrates, proline, K, Ca, phenols, and free and total ascorbate and antioxidants. Superoxide dismutase, catalase, and ascorbate peroxidase activities were higher, while H₂O₂ accumulated to a lower extent in oligosaccharide-treated plants. These morphological and metabolic changes associated with increased antibacterial and antifungal activities of leaf extracts and their activities were comparable to antibiotics and antifungal agents (minimum inhibitory concentrations values were 0.5 -0.20 mg⁻¹mL for bacteria and 0.08 -0.20 mg⁻¹mL for fungi in Mahogany). The application of oligosaccharide and/or water stress might be of great value for producing natural bioactive compounds for food borne pathogens control.

1. Introduction

Food borne illnesses such as diarrheal and emetic symptoms are of great importance in the agricultural industry including milk processing worldwide [1, 2]. These illnesses are caused by several microbes such as the bacterial including *Bacillus cereus* [3] and *Listeria monocytogenes* [4, 5] and the severity

of the relevant diseases may cause human death. Fungi cause massive agricultural losses and threatens human food storage facilities and produce mycotoxins that cause cancer diseases and neurological disorders. These fungi include *Aspergillus niger* that causes black mold on several horticultural crops and *Aspergillus ochraceus* that contaminate human foods [6–8] and both species developed resistance to antifungal

agents [9]. In the same trend, food borne bacteria developed significant resistance to antibiotics [10] which steamed the search for natural alternatives that have more ability to control food borne pathogens. To reduce the losses in the food industry and to maintain the food security, the use of synthetic food preservatives was introduced to the food industry although these preservatives had severe side effects on the human health on the long run [11]. These conditions oriented the search for natural bioactive compounds that have the capabilities to control food borne pathogens.

Horticultural crops tend to produce secondary metabolites during stress conditions such as water stress. Water stress is one of the major limiting factors for agricultural industry, especially in view of the rapidly increasing world population, global climate change, and the increasing worldwide industrial demand for water [12]. Water stress may have several morphological (e.g., leaf number and leaf area), physiological (e.g., carbohydrate and ion composition), metabolic (e.g., SOD activity and composition), and molecular (e.g., free radical scavenging gene products) effects on plants leading to reduced yields as well as increased accumulation of several compounds. Plant metabolic responses to water stress may include the accumulation of carbohydrates [13], increased synthesis of specific proteins, increased stress related nutrient uptake (e.g., K), and accumulation of specific antioxidants such as the phenolic compounds and others that neutralize reactive oxygen species (ROS) [14–17].

Efforts to develop novel tools to enable horticultural crops to cope with water stress on plants are a growing concern worldwide, such as the use of biochar [18], β -aminobutyric acid [19], trinexapac-ethyl [20], seaweed extracts [21], nanoparticles [22], and oligosaccharide. Oligosaccharide is a biostimulant produced commercially by subjecting chitin to high temperature, followed by deacetylation using alkaline conditions to remove proteins and calcium [23, 24]. Oligosaccharides may be formulated as a solution or as water-soluble powder. They are widely used as plant elicitors of the production of secondary metabolites [25], particularly polyphenols [26]. Oligosaccharides have also strong antimicrobial activities and may stimulate the growth of beneficiary microbes [27]. Additionally, several studies suggest that it may improve crop yield [23] and enhance stress tolerance [28]. However, little is known regarding the mechanism whereby oligosaccharides enhance water stress tolerance and effect secondary metabolites in horticultural crops.

Saxifragaceae includes 30 genera of herbaceous perennials, such as *Heuchera*, which are known to be genetically diverse due to hybridization [29]. *Heuchera* contains about 50 species. One of these is *Heuchera*, which accommodates perennial herbaceous ornamental shade plants widely used in North America, Europe, North Africa, and South Asia [30]. Dozens of colored hybrid cultivars varying in leaf and flower color have been recently introduced in the market. Interestingly, although native people of Europe have used *Heuchera* and other genera of Saxifragaceae as traditional medicinal plants [31] for centuries, the medicinal properties responses of this species to oligosaccharide elicitors under water stress have not been investigated. In the present study, our objective was to explore the possible effects of oligosaccharides on *Heuchera* grown under normal and prolonged irrigation intervals by using morphological, physiological, and metabolic markers. We hypothesized that stress conditions and oligosaccharides treatment may enhance antimicrobial properties of *Heuchera* plants. The information obtained from this study will contribute to our understanding of oligosaccharides and/or water stress action in plant metabolic responses that may help in the discovery and use of natural bioactive compounds control food spoilage microorganisms.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Treatments. Young plants, 10 cm high, of Heuchera cultivars Creme Brulee and Mahogany were obtained from local commercial nurseries on January 7th, 2017 and 2018. Plants were grown in a polyethylenecovered greenhouse located on the Alexandria-Cairo desert road, Egypt. All plants were identified by Hosam Elansary and registered at the Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University, prior to transplanting onto 2.1 L pots containing a mixture of brown peat and perlite (3:1 w/w) supplemented with Crystalon® (20% N: 20% P: 20% K, 2 g/L media). Plants were grown for three weeks under temperatures ranging between 15.1°C and 27.5°C; relative humidity between 58% and 67%; photosynthetically active radiation around 1000 m⁻² at 12.00 pm; and daily watering of 38-50 mL/plant. Plants were divided into two groups, one of which was watered at 2-day intervals (2DWI), while the other was watered at 6-day intervals (6DWI) for 6 weeks. Oligosaccharide (deacetylation > 95%, MW:501.486 g/mol, powder, Aldebeiky Group Co., Cairo, Egypt) water solution was sprayed at concentrations of 50, 200, or 500 ppm until drop off, 2 weeks prior to extending the watering interval; untreated plants were considered as the control treatment. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design. Irrigation intervals were considered as the main plot and oligosaccharides treatments the subplot. Plants were grouped into three blocks/repetitions (n=3) containing 5 replicates per treatment for a total of 40 plants per cultivar per season in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD).

2.2. Morphological and Physiological Parameters. Plants were harvested after 6 weeks of stress treatment. At that point, plant height and leaf number were registered. Leaf area was calculated immediately, using a scanner and the AutoCAD program. Total dry weight was determined by drying cleaned plants to constant weight in an oven at 70°C. Total carbohydrates, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and proline were determined in plant leaves at the end of the experiment. Following freeze-drying of samples, they were ground and sieved and then kept at -20°C until further analysis. Total carbohydrates were quantified after Dubios et al. [32] and expressed on a percent basis. One gram of frozen leaves was used to obtain the cell sap, then a dilution (1:100, v/v) was used for the determination of K⁺ and Ca²⁺ concentrations using an inductively coupled plasma spectrophotometer [33]. Proline leaf content was determined in the Department of Plant Production, King Saud University using a spectrophotometer at 520 nm [34, 35].

2.3. Antioxidants, Chlorophyll, Phenols, and Enzyme Activities. Air dried leaves were ground into fine powder; 0.25 g of this from each sample was dissolved into 3 mL methanol (99%) while stirring on a magnetic agitator at low speed, in the dark, for 24 h at room temperature. Methanolic extracts were centrifuged for 5 min, under cooling, at 10,000 RPM (7,000 \times g); the supernatant (~2.7 mL) was dried in a rotary evaporator to produce a semisolid extract which was stored for later antioxidant analysis. Antioxidant activities of all samples were determined in the Department of Plant Produciton, King Saud University using the 2,2'-diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and β -carotene-linoleic acid methods which measure OH⁻ scavenging activities according to Elansary et al. [21]. For the DPPH method, samples were incubated for 30 min, after which absorbance was measured at 517 nm. For the β -carotene-linoleic acid assay, absorbance was measured at 470 nm. The sample concentration required to scavenge 50% of DPPH/ β -carotene-linoleic acid (IC₅₀ in μ g/mL) was determined by plotting the inhibition percentage against extract concentration. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) was used as a positive control and experiments were repeated twice in triplicate. Total phenolic content in methanolic leaf extracts were performed using the Folin-Ciocalteau colorimetric method using gallic acid as the reference and expressing the results as gallic acid equivalents (mg GAE g^{-1} ext.) [36, 37]. Total chlorophyll content was quantified in fresh leaves according to Moran and Porath [38].

Ground-frozen leaves were used to quantify total and free ascorbate after Elansary et al. [21]. Briefly, 0.5 g of ground-frozen leaf tissues were homogenized in 8 mL cooled trichloroacetic acid (TCA, 5%, w/v); next, the mixture was centrifuged for 10 min $(10,000 \times g)$ at 4°C. The supernatant was incubated with a mixture of PBS (200 mM, pH 7.4) and dithiothreitol (DTT, 1.5 mM) for 50 min; excess DTT was removed by adding N-ethylmaleimide (NEM, 200 μ L, 0.5%, w/v). The solution was then mixed with TCA (1 mL, 10%, w/v), o-phosphoric acid (800 µL, 42%, w/v), and 2,2dipyridyl in 70% (v/v) ethanol (800 μ L 65 mM) and iron(III) chloride (400 μ L, 3%, w/v) and incubated for 1 h at 42°C. Absorbance by the mixture was measured at 525 nm. Free ascorbate was determined using the same procedure, except DTT and NEM were replaced with 400 μ L deionized water, while free and total ascorbate contents were determined using standard curves.

Catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities as well as H_2O_2 accumulation were quantified in leaves tissues following Elansary et al. [21].

2.4. Microorganisms and Medicinal Properties. The medicinal properties of methanolic leaf extracts were studied against selected pathogenic bacteria and fungi. The selected bacteria were Listeria monocytogenes (clinical isolate), Bacillus cereus (ATCC 14579), Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538), Micrococcus flavus (ATCC 10240), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853), and Escherichia coli (ATCC 35210). The selected fungi were Aspergillus niger (ATCC 6275), A. ochraceus (ATCC 12066), A. flavus (ATCC 9643), Penicillium ochrochloron (ATCC 48663), and Candida albicans (ATCC 12066). The microdilution method [39] was used to determine the antibacterial and antifungal activities. In the antibacterial assay, the minimum inhibitory bactericidal concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration resulting in growth stop of the bacteria at the binocular level. The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was defined as the lowest concentration resulting in killing 99.5% of the original inoculum. Also, the MBC was determined by serial subcultivation of the bacterial using 0.1-0.2 mg/mL of bacterial solution added to 100 μ L of TSB and incubated for one day. In the antifungal activity assay, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was defined as the lowest concentration inhibiting the fungal growth at the binocular level while the minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) was determined using subcultivations of the fungi (0.1-4.0 mg/mL) and was defined as the concentration killing 99.5% of the original inoculum. Experiments were performed twice and negative controls (5% DMSO) as well as positive controls [antibacterial assay, streptomycin and ampicillin, 0.01-10 mg/mL; antifungal, Fluconazole (FLZ) and ketoconazole (KLZ)] were used. Experiments were repeated twice.

2.5. Statistical Analyses. The data obtained during the two growing seasons in 2017 and 2018 were expressed as means and Least Significant Difference (LSD) was determined using the one way ANOVA test in SPSS (PASW Ver. 21) at $P \le 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Morphological and Physiological Responses to Irrigation Intervals and Oligosaccharide. Increasing watering intervals from 2 to 6 days significantly reduced morphological parameters in both Heuchera cultivars tested, including leaf number, leaf area, plant dry weight, and plant height (Table 1). Interestingly, under the normal irrigation interval (2DWI), the application of the oligosaccharide at 50 and 200 ppm significantly increased leaf number and area, plant dry weight, and plant height in both cultivars treated plants in both seasons, compared to untreated plants. Further, under prolonged irrigation interval (6DWI), there were significant increases in both Creme Brulee and Mahogany in all morphological parameters measure, in plants treated with oligosaccharide at 50 and 200 ppm, compared to oligosaccharide at 500 ppm and control treatment. Prolonged irrigation interval (6DWI) significantly reduced total carbohydrates, K, Ca, and proline contents in plants of both, Creme Brulee and Mahogany, compared to the normal irrigation interval (2DWI) as shown in Table 2. Under 2DWI as well as 6DWI, total carbohydrates, K, Ca, and proline contents increased significantly in the leaves of oligosaccharides -treated plants at 50 and 200 ppm, compared to controls and 500 ppm oligosaccharide treatment, in both growing seasons.

3.2. General Antioxidants, Phenolics, and Chlorophylls. Extension of irrigation interval from 2 to 6 days caused a significant increase in DPPH free radical scavenging activity in both *Heuchera* cultivars (Table 3). The DPPH (IC_{50}) of Creme Brulee plants decreased in the first season (2017), which indicates an increase in scavenging activity; a similar

Water interval	Oligosaccharides treatment (ppm)		Leaf number (leaf plant ⁻¹)	Leaf area (cn	n ² plant ⁻¹)	Plant dry weigh	ıt (g plant ⁻¹)	Plant heigl	nt (cm)
			2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
2DWI	0	Creme Brulee	$15.6\pm0.2\mathrm{b}^{*}$	$15.2 \pm 0.1b$	$651.2 \pm 15.1b$	$648.2 \pm 11.1b$	$11.2 \pm 0.1b$	$11.2 \pm 0.2b$	$29.1 \pm 0.1b$	$28.8 \pm 0.2b$
	50		$16.1 \pm 0.1 ab$	$16.1 \pm 0.2a$	690.1±13.1a	686.3 ± 14.5a	$12.3 \pm 0.1a$	$12.1 \pm 0.2a$	$33.2 \pm 0.1a$	$30.8 \pm 0.3a$
	200		$17.1 \pm 0.4a$	$17.2 \pm 0.1a$	$703.1 \pm 14.3a$	699.2 ± 15.1a	$12.4 \pm 0.1a$	$12.2 \pm 0.2a$	$32.4 \pm 0.2a$	$30.7 \pm 0.2a$
	500		$15.6 \pm 0.1b$	$15.3 \pm 0.2b$	639.2 ± 11.1b	$650.5 \pm 22.3b$	$11.3 \pm 0.1b$	$11.2 \pm 0.2b$	$30.1 \pm 0.1b$	$29.4 \pm 0.1b$
6DWI	0		$7.0 \pm 0.1d$	$7.1 \pm 0.2 d$	$303.1 \pm 10.3 d$	$311.1 \pm 13.1d$	$5.5 \pm 0.1 d$	$5.6 \pm 0.1d$	$17.3 \pm 0.3 d$	$16.8 \pm 0.1d$
	50		8.6 ± 0.0 cd	8.5 ± 0.3 cd	$350.9 \pm 15.1c$	$358.1 \pm 11.2c$	$6.3 \pm 0.1c$	$6.2 \pm 0.1c$	$19.4 \pm 0.1c$	$18.8 \pm 0.1c$
	200		$9.0 \pm 0.1c$	$9.1 \pm 0.2c$	$361.3 \pm 14.1c$	$351.6 \pm 17.5c$	$6.1 \pm 0.2c$	$6.2 \pm 0.1c$	$19.5 \pm 0.1c$	$19.0 \pm 0.1c$
	500		7.3 ± 0.0d	$7.2 \pm 0.1 d$	$311.2 \pm 13.1d$	$306.1 \pm 14.1d$	$5.3 \pm 0.1 d$	$5.3 \pm 0.1 d$	17.4 ± 0.2 cd	$17.2 \pm 0.1d$
2DWI	0	Mahogany	$13.2 \pm 0.1b$	$12.8 \pm 0.1b$	516.1 ± 22.1b	$512.5 \pm 10.1b$	$10.8 \pm 0.3b$	$10.7 \pm 0.1b$	$30.8 \pm 0.3b$	$31.1 \pm 0.4b$
	50		$14.4 \pm 0.1a$	$14.2 \pm 0.2a$	$563.1 \pm 20.1a$	567.5 ± 11.2a	$11.6 \pm 0.1a$	11.7 ± 0.2a	$33.3 \pm 0.1a$	$32.9 \pm 0.3a$
	200		$14.7 \pm 0.3a$	$14.2 \pm 0.3a$	$573.1 \pm 10.3a$	578.1±12.1a	$11.6 \pm 0.1a$	$11.7 \pm 0.1a$	$34.2 \pm 0.4a$	$33.2 \pm 0.3a$
	500		$13.2 \pm 0.1b$	$13.1 \pm 0.1b$	$510.3 \pm 11.3b$	$502.1 \pm 16.7b$	$10.8 \pm 0.1b$	$10.8 \pm 0.2b$	$31.4 \pm 0.1b$	$30.7 \pm 0.1b$
6DWI	0		$6.1 \pm 0.2e$	$6.2 \pm 0.2e$	$220.1 \pm 12.1d$	$215.3 \pm 15.2d$	$5.4 \pm 0.1d$	$5.5 \pm 0.1 d$	$18.1 \pm 0.1d$	$18.3 \pm 0.3 d$
	50		$7.4 \pm 0.1d$	$7.1 \pm 0.1 d$	$261.1 \pm 13.1c$	268.3 ± 11.3c	$6.3 \pm 0.1c$	$6.2 \pm 0.1c$	$21.2 \pm 0.3c$	$20.7 \pm 0.1c$
	200		$8.1 \pm 0.1c$	$8.2 \pm 0.2c$	$271.3 \pm 10.1c$	277.3 ± 11.1c	$6.3 \pm 0.1c$	$6.3 \pm 0.1c$	$20.5 \pm 0.2c$	$20.9 \pm 0.1c$
	500		$6.2 \pm 0.1e$	$6.1 \pm 0.1e$	$210.2 \pm 11.5d$	$221.5 \pm 15.1d$	$5.5 \pm 0.1 d$	$5.4 \pm 0.1d$	$18.3 \pm 0.1d$	$18.7 \pm 0.3 d$

utio	
nitia	
nt ir	
me	
reat	
oft	
eeks	
ix w	
ter s	
rs af	
tiva	
cul	
ıera	
nch	
H_{ℓ}	
two	
tin	
ight	
t he	
lani	
d pu	
ıt, aı	
reigh	
lry w	
nt d	
, pla	
area	
eaf	
er,]	
quu	
fm	
lea	
t on	
uen	
eatr	
es tr	
aride	
Icch	
gose	
l oli	sd).
tanc	+
ficit	eans
r de	3 me
/ate	d as
of w	esse
ect	xpre
: Eff	ire e
LE]:	les a
~	-

TABLE 2: Effect are means (± sc	of irrigation intervals 1).	and oligosaccharid	es treatment on to	otal carbohydrate	, K, Ca, and prol	ine content in th	e leaves oftwo <i>He</i>	<i>uchera</i> cultivars i	n two successive	seasons. Values
Water interval	Oligosaccharides treatment (ppm)		Total carbohy DW	drates (%)	K (mg g ⁻	¹ DW)	Ca (mg g [_]	¹ DW)	Proline (mg	g ⁻¹ DW)
			2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
2DWI	0	Creme Brulee	$13.45 \pm 0.1b^{*}$	$13.37 \pm 0.1b$	$19.7 \pm 0.1d$	$19.5 \pm 0.5 d$	$3.76 \pm 0.05b$	$3.63 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{b}$	$1.35 \pm 0.05c$	$1.32 \pm 0.01c$
	50		$14.33 \pm 0.1a$	14.22 ± 0.1ab	$24.8 \pm 0.1b$	$23.9 \pm 0.1b$	$4.12 \pm 0.0a$	4.11 ± 0.2a	$1.44 \pm 0.03b$	1.41 ± 0.00 cb
	200		$14.53 \pm 0.2a$	$14.67 \pm 0.1a$	$25.8 \pm 0.2b$	$24.9 \pm 0.1b$	$4.15 \pm 0.09a$	$4.09 \pm 0.03a$	$1.47 \pm 0.01b$	$1.44 \pm 0.03b$
	500		$13.53 \pm 0.1b$	$13.49 \pm 0.1b$	$19.9 \pm 0.0d$	$19.4 \pm 0.1d$	$3.85 \pm 0.01b$	$3.79 \pm 0.05b$	$1.37 \pm 0.01c$	$1.35 \pm 0.02c$
6DWI	0		$12.19 \pm 0.2c$	$12.05 \pm 0.1c$	$21.5 \pm 0.1b$	$21.3 \pm 0.3c$	$3.63 \pm 0.06b$	$3.65 \pm 0.06b$	$1.48 \pm 0.02b$	$1.46 \pm 0.01ab$
	50		12.89 ± 0.1 cb	$12.51 \pm 0.1c$	$27.3 \pm 0.3a$	$26.8 \pm 0.1a$	$4.05 \pm 0.03a$	$3.97 \pm 0.01a$	$1.56 \pm 0.03a$	$1.53 \pm 0.03a$
	200		12.87 ± 0.1 cb	$12.98 \pm 0.1bc$	$27.9 \pm 0.1a$	$27.7 \pm 0.1a$	$4.19 \pm 0.07a$	$4.11 \pm 0.04a$	$1.58 \pm 0.02a$	$1.55 \pm 0.02a$
	500		$12.32 \pm 0.2c$	$12.31 \pm 0.1c$	$22.2 \pm 0.2c$	$21.8 \pm 0.2c$	$3.78 \pm 0.06b$	$3.70 \pm 0.05b$	$1.49 \pm 0.03ab$	$1.47 \pm 0.01ab$
2DWI	0	Mahogany	$15.32 \pm 0.1b^{a}$	$15.05 \pm 0.1b$	$21.3 \pm 0.1c$	$21.7 \pm 0.3c$	$3.61 \pm 0.04b$	$3.67 \pm 0.08b$	$1.42 \pm 0.02c$	$1.38 \pm 0.01c$
	50		15.99 ± 0.1ab	$15.91 \pm 0.0a$	$26.4 \pm 0.1b$	$26.1 \pm 0.1b$	$3.97 \pm 0.03a$	$3.94 \pm 0.05a$	$1.55 \pm 0.04b$	$1.46 \pm 0.01b$
	200		$16.30 \pm 0.1a$	$16.13 \pm 0.3a$	$26.8 \pm 0.1b$	$26.6 \pm 0.3b$	$4.06 \pm 0.06a$	$4.01 \pm 0.06a$	$1.58 \pm 0.08b$	$1.49 \pm 0.04b$
	500		$15.51 \pm 0.1b$	$15.27 \pm 0.3b$	$23.3 \pm 0.3d$	$22.6 \pm 0.1c$	$3.73 \pm 0.04b$	$3.75 \pm 0.03b$	$1.47 \pm 0.01c$	$1.41 \pm 0.01c$
6DWI	0		$14.41 \pm 0.2c$	$14.12 \pm 0.3c$	$25.5 \pm 0.1b$	$24.7 \pm 0.1b$	$3.58 \pm 0.02b$	$3.68 \pm 0.02b$	$1.56 \pm 0.03b$	$1.51 \pm 0.04b$
	50		$15.45 \pm 0.1b$	$15.13 \pm 0.1b$	$29.9 \pm 0.1a$	$28.9 \pm 0.3a$	$3.93 \pm 0.01a$	$3.95 \pm 0.01a$	$1.70 \pm 0.01a$	$1.69 \pm 0.02a$
	200		$15.55 \pm 0.1b$	$15.25 \pm 0.5b$	$30.3 \pm 0.1a$	$29.7 \pm 0.2a$	$3.93 \pm 0.01a$	$3.96 \pm 0.04a$	$1.74 \pm 0.03a$	$1.71 \pm 0.02a$
	500		$14.37 \pm 0.1c$	$14.24 \pm 0.3c$	$26.4 \pm 0.1a$	$25.8 \pm 0.3b$	$3.63 \pm 0.05b$	$3.67 \pm 0.02b$	$1.59 \pm 0.02b$	$1.54 \pm 0.01b$
* Means followed	l by different letters with	in columns are signifi	cantly different, bas	ed on LSD test (P =	≤ 0.05).					

uccessive seasons. Value	
euchera cultivars in two	
ent in the leaves oftwo H	
K, Ca, and proline cont	
t on total carbohydrate,	
osaccharides treatment	
tion intervals and oligo	
BLE 2: Effect of irriga	: means (± sd).

TABLE 3:	Antioxidant activity in	leaf methanolic ex	tracts, total phene	olic and total chl	orophyll content	of two Heuchero	1 cultivars. Values	s are means of tri	iplicate determina	ations ±sd.
Water interval	Oligosaccharides treatment (ppm)		DPPH free scavenging (IC50, µg	radical activity (ml ⁻¹)	β-Carotene-li assa (IC ₅₀ , μg	inoleic acid y (ml ⁻¹)	Total phenoli (mg GAI	c content E g ⁻¹)	Total chloroph (mg g ⁻¹	yll content DW)
			2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
2DWI	0	Creme Brulee	$10.3 \pm 0.01a^{*}$	$11.1 \pm 0.07a$	$11.2 \pm 0.01a$	$11.5 \pm 0.01a$	$10.4 \pm 0.1 c$	$9.7 \pm 0.1 c$	$0.65 \pm 0.04b$	$0.63 \pm 0.01 \text{bc}$
	50		$9.3 \pm 0.06b$	$9.9 \pm 0.01b$	$10.3 \pm 0.03b$	$10.4 \pm 0.02b$	$10.9 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{b}$	$10.4 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{b}$	$0.69 \pm 0.02a$	$0.67 \pm 0.03a$
	200		$9.1 \pm 0.05 b$	$9.9 \pm 0.02b$	$10.3 \pm 0.03 b$	$10.7 \pm 0.03b$	$10.8 \pm 0.0 \text{ b}$	10.5 ± 0.2 b	$0.70 \pm 0.02a$	$0.68 \pm 0.01a$
	500		$9.6 \pm 0.03a$	$10.4 \pm 0.03a$	$11.2 \pm 0.02a$	$11.5 \pm 0.04a$	$10.4 \pm 0.2 c$	$10.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{c}$	$0.68 \pm 0.01ab$	$0.65 \pm 0.02ab$
6DWI	0		$8.2 \pm 0.04c$	$8.9 \pm 0.05c$	$9.3 \pm 0.01c$	$10.1 \pm 0.03c$	$10.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ b}$	$10.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$	$0.61 \pm 0.02c$	$0.60 \pm 0.03c$
	50		$6.3 \pm 0.02 d$	$6.8 \pm 0.00d$	$7.4 \pm 0.02 d$	$8.2 \pm 0.02d$	$11.6 \pm 0.3 a$	11.2 ± 0.2 a	$0.65 \pm 0.01b$	$0.64 \pm 0.02b$
	200		$6.2 \pm 0.01 d$	$6.8 \pm 0.01 d$	$7.2 \pm 0.03 d$	$8.2 \pm 0.02d$	$11.6 \pm 0.1 a$	11.3 ± 0.2 a	$0.65 \pm 0.01b$	$0.65 \pm 0.03 ab$
	500		$7.8 \pm 0.03c$	$8.3 \pm 0.01c$	$9.2 \pm 0.04c$	$9.9 \pm 0.01c$	$11.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$	$10.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ b}$	$0.62 \pm 0.02c$	$0.61 \pm 0.01c$
2DWI	0	Mahogany	$8.9 \pm 0.4a$	$9.6 \pm 0.1a$	$10.1 \pm 0.3a$	$10.7 \pm 0.2a$	12.3 ± 0.2 c	$11.7 \pm 0.3 c$	$0.71 \pm 0.01b$	$0.70 \pm 0.02b$
	50		$7.4 \pm 0.02b$	$7.9 \pm 0.01b$	$8.5 \pm 0.01b$	$9.4 \pm 0.03 b$	$12.7 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{b}$	$12.4 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{b}$	$0.75 \pm 0.02a$	$0.74 \pm 0.01a$
	200		$7.1 \pm 0.01b$	$7.8 \pm 0.04b$	$8.4 \pm 0.00b$	$9.3 \pm 0.03 b$	$12.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ b}$	$12.5 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{b}$	$0.76 \pm 0.01a$	$0.75 \pm 0.01a$
	500		$8.2 \pm 0.02a$	$8.8 \pm 0.03a$	$9.5 \pm 0.03a$	$10.4 \pm 0.02a$	$12.2 \pm 0.1 c$	$12.1 \pm 0.3 bc$	$0.72 \pm 0.01b$	$0.70 \pm 0.02b$
6DWI	0		$7.1 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{b}$	$7.7 \pm 0.02b$	$8.4 \pm 0.01b$	$8.9 \pm 0.03 b$	$13.1 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{b}$	$12.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ b}$	$0.66 \pm 0.02c$	$0.63 \pm 0.01c$
	50		$5.4 \pm 0.01c$	$5.7 \pm 0.02c$	$6.6 \pm 0.03c$	$7.2 \pm 0.03c$	13.8 ± 0.2 a	13.2 ± 0.0 a	$0.70 \pm 0.01c$	$0.68 \pm 0.03c$
	200		$4.8 \pm 0.03c$	$5.5 \pm 0.07c$	$6.2 \pm 0.02c$	$7.1 \pm 0.02c$	13.9 ± 0.3 a	$13.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ a}$	$0.71 \pm 0.01a$	$0.68 \pm 0.02a$
	500		$6.8 \pm 0.01b$	$7.4 \pm 0.03b$	$7.9 \pm 0.03 b$	$8.2 \pm 0.01b$	$13.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ ab}$	$12.5 \pm 0.1b$	$0.68 \pm 0.02b$	$0.64 \pm 0.03b$
11 U V * *	1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1		1. 1.00		í con					

0	
ц	
0	
÷	
a	
Ξ.	
B	
E	
5	
÷	
le	
.0	
e	
at	
ü	
÷	
Ц Д	
.E	
₽	
f	
0	
Ś	
q	
g	
je	
E	
1	
ž	
а	
S	
بە	
a	
5	
٢.	
Ś	
II	
19	
.H	
Τ	
Ľ	
C	
7	
rı	
\tilde{o}	
Ч	
10	
12	
Ιe	
Ľ,	
0	
×	
P.	
<u> </u>	
F	
<u> </u>	
5	
5	
÷.	
Ę	
0	
0	
Ħ	
\rightarrow	
4	
hd	
hqo	
roph	
loroph	
hloroph	
chloroph	
l chloroph	
al chloroph:	
otal chloroph	
total chloroph	
l total chloroph	
nd total chloroph	
and total chloroph	
and total chloroph	
ic and total chloroph	
dic and total chloroph	
volic and total chloroph	
nolic and total chloroph	
enolic and total chloroph	
henolic and total chloroph	
phenolic and total chloroph	
d phenolic and total chloroph	
tal phenolic and total chloroph	
otal phenolic and total chloroph	
total phenolic and total chloroph	
s, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
cts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
acts, total phenolic and total chloroph.	
tracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
xtracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
c extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
lic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
olic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
nolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
anolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
hanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ethanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
nethanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
f methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
af methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph:	
leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ı leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
7 in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ty in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
/ity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ivity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
tivity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
t activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
nt activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
dant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
idant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
vxidant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ioxidant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
ntioxidant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
utioxidant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
Antioxidant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
b: Antioxidant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	
3: Antioxidant activity in leaf methanolic extracts, total phenolic and total chloroph	

* Means followed by different letters within columns are significantly different based on LSD test ($P \le 0.05$).

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

pattern was observed in the second season. Furthermore, there was a significant increase in scavenging activity of leaf extracts following water stress conditions, as revealed by the β -Carotene-linoleic acid assay. *Heuchera* plants (Creme Brulee and Mahogany) growing under normal irrigation conditions (2DWI) as well as prolonged irrigation (6DWI) showed a significant increase in scavenging activity by leaf extracts following application of oligosaccharides at 50 and 200 ppm, compared to controls and 500 ppm oligosaccharide treatment in both the 2017 and 2018 years. Creme Brulee plants treated with 200 ppm oligosaccharide showed increased DPPH (IC₅₀) free radical scavenging activity in plants subjected to 2 and 6 days irrigation intervals in the 2017 season.

Similarly, there was a significant increase in total phenolic content in plants of both cultivars tested, upon widening of the irrigation interval, in the two growing seasons under study (Table 3). Interestingly, oligosaccharide treatments boosted phenolic content, particularly in plants of both cultivars treated with 50 and 200 ppm. In 2017, Creme Brulee leaf extracts showed an increase in phenolic content in plants subjected to 2DWI and 6DWI, respectively. Similarly, the same year Mahogany leaf extracts showed an increase in phenolic content in plants subjected to 2DWI and 6DWI, respectively. Total phenolic content increased significantly in plants treated with 50 and 200 ppm oligosaccharide, compared to the control and 500 ppm oligosaccharide treatments. Total chlorophyll content in Creme Brulee and Mahogany was significantly reduced in control plants subjected to 6DWI. In contrast, application of oligosaccharide showed significant increase in chlorophyll content of treated plants at 50 and 200 ppm, compared to control and 500 ppm oligosaccharide, under both watering intervals, in both cultivars and in the two growth seasons evaluated. In summary, antioxidant activity and phenolic and chlorophyll contents were higher in Mahogany than in Creme Brulee, in the two seasons under study.

3.3. Enzymatic and Nonenzymatic Antioxidants. Major antioxidant SOD, CAT, and APX enzyme activities showed significant increases in Creme Brulee and Mahogany plants subjected to oligosaccharide treatments at 50 and 200 ppm, compared to oligosaccharides at 500 ppm and control treatments under normal and prolonged irrigation intervals (Figure 1). In both cultivars, application of oligosaccharide at 200 ppm resulted in the highest SOD, CAT, and APX enzyme activities recorded both under 2DWI and 6DWI and in both seasons studied. Mahogany plants showed slightly higher values of SOD, CAT, and APX enzymes activities compared to Creme Brulee.

Free and total ascorbate (nonenzymatic antioxidants) showed a significant increase in oligosaccharides-treated plants at 50 and 200 ppm compared to oligosaccharide at 500 ppm and control treatments under normal and prolonged irrigation intervals (Figure 2). Concomitantly, there were significant reductions in H_2O_2 content in oligosaccharides-treated plants at 50 and 200 ppm, compared to the 500 ppm dose as well as the control treatment, in both cultivars and in both seasons (Figure 2).



FIGURE 1: SOD, CAT, and APX activities in *Heuchera* subjected to prolonged irrigation intervals and different oligosaccharides (OL) concentrations.

3.4. Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities. Heuchera Creme Brulee leaf extracts showed antibacterial activities against screened bacteria as shown in Table 4. The highest antibacterial activities were found in plants subjected to prolonged irrigation intervals and 200/500 ppm oligosaccharide. In Mahogany plants, there were higher antibacterial activities of leaf extracts against the same collection of bacteria. The highest antibacterial activities were against *B. cereus* and *M. flavus* in plants treated with prolonged irrigation intervals and 500 ppm oligosaccharide. Both cultivars leaf extracts showed comparable antibacterial activities to antibiotics under stress and oligosaccharides treatments.

The antifungal activities of *Heuchera* cultivars leaf extracts were investigated as shown in Table 5. Creme Brulee showed antifungal activities as well as Mahogany. In both

	/				- / 0	0	3	
Water	Oligosaccharides		Escherichia	Staphylococcus	Bacillus	Micrococcus	Pseudomonas	Listeria
interval	treatment (ppm)		coli	aureus	cereus	flavus	aeruginosa	monocyto- genes
2DWI	0	Creme Brulee	0.23 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.01
			0.45 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.03	0.21 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01
	200		0.21 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.02	0.9 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.02
			0.42 ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.01
	500		0.19 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.03	0.8 ± 0.02	0.9 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.01
			0.40 ± 0.01	0.30 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.35 ± 0.01
6DWI	0		0.20 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.06	0.9 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.02
			0.40 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.01
	200		0.18 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.7 ± 0.03	0.9 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.02
			0.39 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01
	500		0.17 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.04	0.6 ± 0.04	0.8 ± 0.01	0.9 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.04
			0.38 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.00	0.18 ± 0.01	0.30 ± 0.01
2DWI	0	Mahogany	0.20 ± 0.4	0.12 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.3	0.10 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.03
			0.40 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01
	200		0.18 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.04	0.8 ± 0.00	0.9 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.02
			0.38 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.01
	500		0.17 ± 0.01	8.10 ± 0.03	0.7 ± 0.03	0.8 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.03
			0.36 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.01	0.30 ± 0.01
6DWI	0		0.18 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.02	0.8 ± 0.01	0.8 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.02
			0.38 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.01
	200		0.16 ± 0.03	0.9 ± 0.07	0.7 ± 0.02	0.7 ± 0.02	0.9 ± 0.03	0.15 ± 0.01
			0.35 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.01	0.30 ± 0.01
	500		0.15 ± 0.01	0.7 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.03	0.6 ± 0.01	0.8 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.01
			0.33 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.01
	Streptomycin		0.9 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.005	0.07 ± 0.00	0.16 ± 0.01
			0.42 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.005	0.14 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01
	Ampicillin		0.24 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.005	0.10 ± 0.002	0.14 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01
			0.44 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.005	0.16 ± 0.005	0.22 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.01

TABLE 4: Minimum inhibitory (MIC) and bactericidal concentration (MBC) of *Heuchera* Creme Brulee and Mahogany leaf extracts (mg⁻¹mL) for the 2018 growing season.

		A -+11	A chrowillare	A chancellare	Penicillium	- F :F
		Asperguus	asperguus	asperguus		Canalaa
conarides		niger	ochraceus	flavus	ochrochloron	albicans
nt (ppm)		MIC	MIC	MIC	MIC	MIC
		MFC	MFC	MFC	MFC	MFC
0	Creme Brulee	0.20 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.02	0.25 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.02
		0.42 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.03	0.27 ± 0.01	0.53 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.01
00		0.20 ± 0.03	0.19 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01
		0.41 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.01	0.50 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01
00		0.19 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.02	0.21 ± 0.03	0.11 ± 0.02
		0.40 ± 0.01	0.35 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.48 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01
0		0.18 ± 0.05	0.18 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01
		0.39 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.01	0.26 ± 0.01	0.49 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01
00		0.16 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.01
		0.35 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.01	0.45 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01
00		0.15 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	0.9 ± 0.02
		0.33 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.43 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.01
0	Mahogany	0.17 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.3	0.12 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.11 ± 0.02
		0.33 ± 0.01	0.36 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.01	0.44 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.01
00		0.16 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.00	0.20 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.02
		0.31 ± 0.01	0.34 ± 0.01	0.26 ± 0.01	0.41 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01
00		0.15 ± 0.01	8.14 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.03	0.19 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.01
		0.30 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.01
0		0.16 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.01
		0.32 ± 0.01	0.32 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01
00		0.14 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.02	0.9 ± 0.03
		0.30 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.38 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.01
00		0.12 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.03	0.9 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.01	0.8 ± 0.02
		0.25 ± 0.01	0.25 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	0.35 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.01
ΓZ		0.15 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.10 ± 0.01
		0.28 ± 0.03	0.33 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.03	0.33 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01
TZ		0.10 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.01	0.20 ± 0.01
		0.20 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	0.42 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01
		0 0 0 Z Z	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

120 а b 100 c Free ascorbate (μ mol g-1 DW) a àb ab b b ab 80 h 60 40 20 0 2DWI Brulee 6DWI 2DWI Brulee 6DWI Mahogany Mahogany OL 200 ppm Control OL 500 ppm OL 50 ppm 300 250 а b a b a a b ċ Total ascorbate (μ mol g-1 DW) b a ŀ 200 150 100 50 0 2DWI Brulee 6DWI 2DWI Brulee 6DWI Mahogany Mahogany OL 200 ppm Control OL 50 ppm OL 500 ppm 0.35 ь ь ^{ab} 0.3 H2O2 content (μ mol g-1 DW) ab b ab b 0.25 ł 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 0 2DWI Brulee 6DWI 2DWI Brulee 6DWI Mahogany Mahogany OL 200 ppm Control OL 50 ppm OL 500 ppm

FIGURE 2: Free and total ascorbate and H_2O_2 content in *Heuchera* plants subjected to prolonged irrigation intervals and different oligosaccharides (OL) concentrations.

cultivars, prolonged irrigation and oligosaccharide treatments (500 and 200ppm) showed the highest antifungal activities. The antifungal activities of Mahogany leaf extracts were higher than Creme Brulee and were comparable to antibiotics.

4. Discussion

A significant reduction in morphological parameters, such as plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, and plant dry weight, due to extension of the irrigation interval which is in agreement with previous studies [20, 40–42]. These morphological changes associated with major physiological alterations, such as changes in carbohydrate, K, Ca, proline, chlorophylls, and antioxidants contents [15, 21, 42]. Oligosaccharide sprays at

specific doses enhanced the growth of the two *Heuchera* cultivars tested here during normal and extended irrigation intervals, as reflected by increased vegetative growth. Similar observations have been described before for oligosaccharide treatments on dry matter and essential oil yield in *Thymus daenensis* Celak [28]. In that study, the authors suggested that the increase in dry matter and in the essential oil yield under mild stress might be attributed to increased proline content and to lipid peroxidation.

Accumulation of carbohydrates might be an important indicator of stress tolerance in plants by means of osmotic adjustment and scavenging of ROS [43, 44]. Additionally, the accumulation of proline balances vacuolar ion osmotic pressure [20, 40] and maintains water influx [45]. Proline accumulation increased under an extended irrigation interval in the present study, an original contribution of the study reported herein is that we report the increase in leaf proline content at normal irrigation interval, something not previously reported, using low doses of 50 and 200 ppm oligosaccharide. The accumulation of K and Ca ions in plant leaves is a well-known mechanism of osmotic adjustment during stress conditions, such as drought and salinity. This accumulation of K and Ca is associated with carbohydrate accumulation in stressed plants, which enhances plant performance during stress and improves cell turgor pressure [21, 40]. Interestingly, K and Ca accumulation in plant during stress conditions enhance photosynthetic rate, leading to increased chlorophyll content (drought resistance mechanism) as well as carbohydrate accumulation, such as documented herein, which helped in improving plant performance during stress. The application of oligosaccharide at low rate significantly increased leaf K and Ca content and helped in attaining osmotic adjustment during water stress. Such accumulation of K and Ca in plants might be associated with antifungal activities [46-48].

Excess ROS, e.g., H₂O₂, O₂, and OH⁻, are produced in plants under water stress conditions, due to imbalance between production and utilization of electrons. This condition may cause damage and even cell death [49], if ROS are not effectively removed. An antioxidant defense mechanism in plants consists of enzymatic and nonenzymatic tools that intervene to maintain the intracellular redox balance under conditions of stress. Nonenzymatic tools include secondary metabolites, such as total and free ascorbate, as well as phenols and their derivatives (e.g., flavanones and anthocyanins) [21, 50, 51]. Enzymatic tools include many enzymes, among which, the most common are SOD, CAT, and APX, which control H₂O₂ production in plants [44, 50]. Further, these compounds including ascorbate (derivative of ascorbic acid) have well-known antibacterial and antifungal activities as found in this study [52–55]. In the current study we found strong antibacterial and antifungal activities in plants with accumulated ascorbate as in plants subjected to prolonged and oligosaccharide treatments.

We observed a significant increase in leaves phenolic composition following water stress conditions, which became higher in oligosaccharides-treated plants. This increase in total phenolic content in leaves was reflected in an increase in antioxidant activity, as determined by the DPPH and Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

linoleic acid assays. Additionally, oligosaccharide doses of 50 and 200 ppm caused a significant increase in phenolic content in leaves, compared to control plants; these results are consistent with those reported by [26], who reported higher polyphenols in plants of Origanum vulgare subjected to oligosaccharide treatment. Phenols play an important role in removing ROS in stressed plants and largely affect the antioxidant estimations of DPPH and linoleic acid assays, which mainly measure OH⁻ free radical. The higher antibacterial and antifungal activities found in both cultivars leaf extracts in plants subjected to prolonged irrigation intervals and oligosaccharide treatments are associated with the accumulation of phenols in treated plants. The accumulation of phenols has important inhibiting effects on the growing of bacteria and fungi [56, 57]. It was also clear that Creme Brulee and Mahogany differed from one another with respect to morphological (e.g., plant height), physiological parameters (e.g., phenolic composition and antioxidant), and biochemical activities (antibacterial and antifungal activities). Such variation between cultivars has been documented for other species in response to stress and genetics [58, 59].

5. Conclusion

This is the first report on enhancing Heuchera plants medicinal values by subjecting the plants to water stress and oligosaccharide treatments. Morphological, physiological, and antimicrobial parameters were studied to document the interaction between stress tolerance and oligosaccharide applications in Heuchera plants subjected to water stress and oligosaccharide treatments. The study revealed that oligosaccharide foliar application effectively ameliorated water stress deleterious effects on plant growth, enhanced the phytochemical composition, and improved the medicinal values of treated plants. These findings suggest that water stress accompanied by oligosaccharide sprays might be a valuable tool in improving the medicinal value in horticultural crops and the future development of novel tools to control food borne pathogens and respective microbial diseases.

Data Availability

All data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Hosam O. Elansary, Eman A. Mahmoud, and Amal M. E. Abdel-Hamid mainly designed the study and performed experiments. Fahed A. Al-Mana, Diaa O. Elansary, and Tarek K. Ali Zin El-Abedin were responsible mainly for funding acquisition, data analyses, and final presentation. All the authors participated in the analyses, writing, revising, and approving the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding this work through Research Group no. RG-1440-12.

References

- J. L. Schoeni and A. C. Lee Wong, "Bacillus cereus food poisoning and its toxins," *Journal of Food Protection*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 636–648, 2005.
- [2] T. Li, D. Zhang, T. N. Oo et al., "Investigation on the antibacterial and anti-T3SS Activity of traditional myanmar medicinal plants," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2018, Article ID 2812908, 13 pages, 2018.
- [3] W. Van Schaik, M. H. Zwietering, W. M. De Vos, and T. Abee, "Deletion of the sigB gene in Bacillus cereus ATCC 14579 leads to hydrogen peroxide hyperresistance," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 6427–6430, 2005.
- [4] J. M. Farber and P. I. Peterkin, "Listeria monocytogenes, a foodborne pathogen," *Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 476– 511, 1991.
- [5] V. Toledo, H. C. Den Bakker, J. C. Hormazábal et al., "Genomic diversity of listeria monocytogenes isolated from clinical and non-clinical samples in Chile," *Gene*, vol. 9, no. 8, p. 396, 2018.
- [6] E. M. Mateo, F. M. Valle-Algarra, R. Mateo-Castro, and M. Jiménez, "Impact of non-selective fungicides on the growth and production of ochratoxin a by aspergillus ochraceus and A. carbonarius in barley-based medium," *Food Additives and Contaminants Part A Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure and Risk Assessment*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 86–97, 2011.
- [7] U. B. Kakde and H. U. Kakde, "Incidence of post-harvest disease and airborne fungal spores in a vegetable market," *Acta Botanica Croatica*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 147–157, 2012.
- [8] N. Gemeda, A. Tadele, H. Lemma et al., "Development, characterization, and evaluation of novel broad-spectrum antimicrobial topical formulations from," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2018, Article ID 9812093, 16 pages, 2018.
- [9] J. W. M. Van Der Linden, A. Warris, and P. E. Verweij, "Aspergillus species intrinsically resistant to antifungal agents," *Medical Mycology*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. S82–S89, 2011.
- [10] M. Caniça, V. Manageiro, H. Abriouel, J. Moran-Giladde, and C. M. A. P. Franz, "Antibiotic resistance in foodborne bacteria," *Trends in Food Science & Technology.*
- [11] J. R. Beddington, M. Asaduzzaman, M. E. Clark et al., "The role for scientists in tackling food insecurity and climate change," *Agriculture & Food Security*, vol. 2012, pp. 1–10, 2012.
- [12] G. Ondrasek, "Water scarcity and water stress in agriculture," in *Physiological Mechanisms and Adaptation Strategies in Plants under Changing Environment*, P. Ahmad and M. R. Wani, Eds., pp. 74-75, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2014.
- [13] B. Pallas, A. Clément-Vidal, M.-C. Rebolledo, J.-C. Soulié, and D. Luquet, "Using plant growth modeling to analyze C sourcesink relations under drought: Inter- and intraspecific comparison," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 4, p. 437, 2013.
- [14] S. Hussain, F. Khan, W. Cao, L. Wu, and M. Geng, "Seed priming alters the production and detoxification of reactive oxygen intermediates in rice seedlings grown under sub-optimal temperature and nutrient supply," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 7, p. 439, 2016.

- [15] M. A. El-Esawi, H. O. Elansary, N. A. El-Shanhorey, A. M. Abdel-Hamid, H. M. Ali, and M. S. Elshikh, "Salicylic acid-regulated antioxidant mechanisms and gene expression enhance rosemary performance under saline conditions," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 8, p. 716, 2017.
- [16] O. Tebboub, R. Cotugno, F. Oke-Altuntas et al., "Antioxidant potential of herbal preparations and components from *Galactites elegans* (All.) Nyman ex Soldano," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2018, Article ID 9294358, 7 pages, 2018.
- [17] A. Muñiz, E. Garcia, D. Gonzalez, and L. Zuñiga, "antioxidant activity and in vitro antiglycation of the fruit of Spondias purpurea," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2018, Article ID 5613704, 7 pages, 2018.
- [18] C. J. Atkinson, J. D. Fitzgerald, and N. A. Hipps, "Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review," *Plant and Soil*, vol. 337, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 2010.
- [19] A. Sós-Hegedűs, Z. Juhász, P. Poór et al., "Soil drench treatment with β-aminobutyric acid increases drought tolerance of potato," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 9, no. 12, p. e114297, 2014.
- [20] H. O. Elansary and M. Z. M. Salem, "Morphological and physiological responses and drought resistance enhancement of ornamental shrubs by trinexapac-ethyl application," *Scientia Horticulturae*, vol. 189, pp. 1–11, 2015.
- [21] H. O. Elansary, K. Yessoufou, A. M. E. Abdel-Hamid, M. A. El-Esawi, H. Ali, and M. S. Elshikh, "Seaweed extracts enhance salam turfgrass performance during prolonged irrigation intervals and saline shock," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 8, p. 830, 2017.
- [22] R. Saxena, R. S. Tomar, and M. Kumar, "Exploring nanobiotechnology to mitigate abiotic stress in crop plants," *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 974–980, 2015.
- [23] R. Sharp, "A review of the applications of chitin and its derivatives in agriculture to modify plant-microbial interactions and improve crop yields," *Journal of Agronomy*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 757– 793, 2013.
- [24] R. Pichyangkura and S. Chadchawan, "Biostimulant activity of chitosan in horticulture," *Scientia Horticulturae*, vol. 196, pp. 49–65, 2015.
- [25] S. Karuppusamy, "A review on trends in production of secondary metabolites from higher plants by in vitro tissue, organ and cell cultures," *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, vol. 3, no. 13, pp. 1222–1239, 2009.
- [26] H. Yin, X. C. Fretté, L. P. Christensen, and K. Grevsen, "Chitosan oligosaccharides promote the content of polyphenols in Greek oregano (Origanum vulgare ssp. hirtum)," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 136–143, 2012.
- [27] M. Á. Ramírez, A. T. Rodriguez, L. Alfonso, and C. Peniche, "Chitin and its derivatives as biopolymers with potential agricultural applications," *Biotecnología Aplicada*, vol. 27, pp. 270– 276, 2010.
- [28] Z. Emami Bistgani, S. A. Siadat, A. Bakhshandeh, A. Ghasemi Pirbalouti, and M. Hashemi, "Interactive effects of drought stress and chitosan application on physiological characteristics and essential oil yield of Thymus daenensis Celak," *Crop Journal*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 407–415, 2017.
- [29] R. A. Folk, J. R. Mandel, and J. V. F. Reudenstein, "Ancestral gene flow and parallel organellar genome capture result in extreme phylogenomic discord in a lineage of angiosperms," *Systematic Biology*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 320–337, 2017.

- [30] W. S. Judd, C. S. Campbell, E. A. Kellogg, P. F. Stevens, and M. J. Donoghue, *Plant Systematics: A Phylogenetic Approach*, Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, USA, 2nd edition, 2002.
- [31] D. E. Soltis, "Saxifragaceae," in *The Families and Genera of Vas*cular Plants, K. Kubitzki, Ed., vol. 9, p. 422, 2007.
- [32] M. Dubois, K. A. Gilles, J. K. Hamilton, P. A. Rebers, and F. Smith, "Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances," *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 350– 356, 1956.
- [33] Y. Jiang and B. Huang, "Osmotic adjustment and root growth associated with drought preconditioning-enhanced heat tolerance in Kentucky bluegrass," *Crop Science*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 1168–1173, 2001.
- [34] W. A. Torello and L. A. Rice, "Effects of NaCl stress on proline and cation accumulation in salt sensitive and tolerant turfgrasses," *Plant and Soil*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 241–247, 1986.
- [35] L. S. Bates, R. P. Waldren, and I. D. Teare, "Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies," *Plant and Soil*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 205–207, 1973.
- [36] M. A. Amerine and C. S. Ough, "Phenolic compounds," in *Methods for Analysis of Musts and Wines*, pp. 196–219, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1988.
- [37] V. L. Singleton and J. A. Rossi, "Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungistic acid reagents," *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, vol. 16, pp. 144–158, 1965.
- [38] R. Moran and D. Porath, "Chlorophyll determination in intact tissues using N,N-dimethylformamide," *Plant Physiology*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 478-479, 1980.
- [39] H. O. Elansary, A. Szopa, P. Kubica et al., "Bioactivities of traditional medicinal plants in Alexandria," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2018, Article ID 1463579, 13 pages, 2018.
- [40] F. Ali, A. Bano, and A. Fazal, "Recent methods of drought stress tolerance in plants," *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 363–375, 2017.
- [41] C. G. Gonçalves, A. C. da Silva Junior, M. R. R. Pereira, E. C. Gasparino, and D. Martins, "Morphological modifications in soybean in response to soil water management," *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 105–117, 2017.
- [42] M. Caser, C. Lovisolo, and V. Scariot, "The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology and ornamental quality of potted Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' plants. New insights to increase water use efficiency in plant production," *Plant Growth Regulation*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 361–373, 2017.
- [43] Y.-G. Yin, Y. Kobayashi, A. Sanuki et al., "Salinity induces carbohydrate accumulation and sugar-regulated starch biosynthetic genes in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L. cv. 'Micro-Tom') fruits in an ABA-and osmotic stress-independent manner," *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 563–574, 2010.
- [44] B. Gupta and B. Huang, "Mechanism of salinity tolerance in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization," *International Journal of Genomics*, vol. 2014, Article ID 701596, 18 pages, 2014.
- [45] M. A. Hoque, M. N. A. Banu, E. Okuma et al., "Exogenous proline and glycinebetaine increase NaCl-induced ascorbateglutathione cycle enzyme activities, and proline improves salt tolerance more than glycinebetaine in tobacco Bright Yellow-2 suspension-cultured cells," *Journal of Plant Physiology*, vol. 164, no. 11, pp. 1457–1468, 2007.
- [46] F.-F. Liu, L. Pu, Q.-Q. Zheng et al., "Calcium signaling mediates antifungal activity of triazole drugs in the Aspergilli," *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, vol. 81, pp. 182–190, 2014.

- [47] H. Jabnoun-Khiareddine, R. Abdallah, R. El-Mohamedy et al., "Comparative efficacy of potassium salts against soil-borne and air-borne fungi and their ability to suppress tomato wilt and fruit rots," *Journal of Microbiology and Biochemical Technology*, vol. 8, pp. 045–055, 2016.
- [48] W. Lee and D. G. Lee, "Potential role of potassium and chloride channels in regulation of silymarin-induced apoptosis in Candida albicans," *IUBMB Life*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 197–206, 2018.
- [49] C. M. Cruz, "Drought stress and reactive oxygen species: production, scavenging and signaling," *Plant Signaling and Behavior*, vol. 3, pp. 156–165, 2008.
- [50] Y. Fang and L. Xiong, "General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants," *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, vol. 72, no. 4, pp. 673–689, 2015.
- [51] H. AbdElgawad, G. Zinta, M. M. Hegab, R. Pandey, H. Asard, and W. Abuelsoud, "High salinity induces different oxidative stress and antioxidant responses in maize seedlings organs," *Frontiers in Plant Science*, vol. 7, p. 276, 2016.
- [52] A. Belicová, J. Dobias, L. Ebringer, and J. Krajčovič, "Effect of ascorbic acid on the antimicrobial activity of selected antibiotics and synthetic chemotherapeutical agents in the in vitro conditions," Česká a Slovenská Farmacie, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 134–138, 2000.
- [53] M. Tajkarimi and S. A. Ibrahim, "Antimicrobial activity of ascorbic acid alone or in combination with lactic acid on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in laboratory medium and carrot juice," *Food Control*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 801–804, 2011.
- [54] F. Van Hauwenhuyse, A. Fiori, P. Van Dijck, F. Van Hauwenhuyse, A. Fiori, and P. Van Dijck, "Ascorbic acid inhibition of candida albicans Hsp90-mediated morphogenesis occurs via the transcriptional regulator Upc2," *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1278–1289, 2014.
- [55] P. Avci, F. Freire, A. Banvolgyi, E. Mylonakis, N. M. Wikonkal, and M. R. Hamblin, "Sodium ascorbate kills Candida albicans in vitro via iron-catalyzed Fenton reaction: Importance of oxygenation and metabolism," *Future Microbiology*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1535–1547, 2016.
- [56] A. Rao, Y. Zhang, S. Muend, and R. Rao, "Mechanism of antifungal activity of terpenoid phenols resembles calcium stress and inhibition of the TOR pathway," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 5062–5069, 2010.
- [57] R. P. Pizzolitto, C. L. Barberis, J. S. Dambolena et al., "Inhibitor effect of natural phenolic compounds on Aspergillus parasiticus growth," *Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 2015, Article ID 547925, 7 pages, 2015.
- [58] H. O. Elansary and E. A. Mahmoud, "Basil cultivar identification using chemotyping still favored over genotyping using core barcodes and possible resources of antioxidants," *Journal* of Essential Oil Research, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 82–87, 2015.
- [59] H. O. Elansary and K. Yessoufou, "In vitro antioxidant, antifungal and antibacterial activities of five international Calibrachoa cultivars," *Natural Product Research (Formerly Natural Product Letters)*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 1339–1342, 2016.