



Exploring treatment options for biliary tract cancers: moving beyond the era of gemcitabine and platinum doublet

Jung Sun Kim[^], Hong Jae Chon[^]

Department of Medical Oncology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seongnam, Republic of Korea

Correspondence to: Hong Jae Chon, MD, PhD. Professor, Department of Medical Oncology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, 59 Yatap-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 13496, Korea. Email: minidoctor@cha.ac.kr.

Comment on: Zhang W, Sun Y, Jiang Z, *et al.* Nab-paclitaxel plus tegafur gimeracil oteracil potassium capsule (S-1) as first-line treatment for advanced biliary tract adenocarcinoma: a phase 2 clinical trial. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 2023;12:37-44.

Keywords: Biliary tract cancer; cholangiocarcinoma; gallbladder cancer

Submitted Mar 06, 2024. Accepted for publication Apr 15, 2024. Published online May 20, 2024.

doi: 10.21037/hbsn-24-140

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-24-140>

Biliary tract cancers (BTCs) refer to invasive adenocarcinomas arising from the bile duct (intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma) and gallbladder (gallbladder cancer). Patients with BTCs typically present at an advanced stage due to a lack of early detection methods and non-specific symptoms; systemic chemotherapy is the cornerstone of treatment for these advanced BTCs. Gemcitabine plus cisplatin (GC) has been the standard treatment since the pivotal ABC-02 randomized phase 3 trial conducted in 2010 (1). Moving beyond the era of GC, several triplet combination regimens have been proposed in recent years (*Table 1*). The combination of nab-paclitaxel (nab-P) and GC showed promising survival outcomes in a phase 2 trial (2), although it eventually failed to meet the primary endpoint of overall survival (OS) in a randomized phase 3 trial (3). Around the same time, TOPAZ-1 (5) demonstrated that the programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) inhibitor durvalumab combined with GC significantly improved the median OS [11.5 *vs.* 12.8 months; hazard ratio (HR), 0.80; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.66–0.97; P=0.021] and median progression-free survival (PFS) (5.7 *vs.* 7.2 months; HR, 0.75; 95% CI: 0.63–0.89; P=0.001), with an objective response rate (ORR) of 26.7%. Moreover, KEYNOTE-966 (6) found that the addition of programmed death-1 (PD-1) inhibitor pembrolizumab also improved median OS

(10.9 *vs.* 12.7 months; HR, 0.83; 95% CI: 0.72–0.95; P=0.0034), whereas the median PFS only showed numerical improvements (5.6 *vs.* 6.5 months; HR, 0.86; 95% CI: 0.75–1.00; P=0.023), with an ORR of 29%. Consequently, the combination of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors and GC has become the new standard of care for first-line treatment of patients with BTCs, establishing the new era of triplet combinations.

Recently, Zhang *et al.* demonstrated the efficacy of nab-P plus tegafur gimeracil oteracil potassium capsule (S-1) as a first-line treatment for advanced BTCs (7). The doublet nab-P and S-1 is a novel combination that excludes gemcitabine and platinum, which are traditionally the most active agents used against BTCs. S-1 emerged from a Japanese randomized phase 3 trial (FUGA-BT) (8) that revealed the non-inferiority of gemcitabine plus S-1 to GC for treating advanced BTCs in the first-line setting. As for nab-P, it is combined with gemcitabine in the standard frontline regimen for pancreatic cancer, and this combination has been shown to have favorable outcomes in patients with cholangiocarcinoma (9).

In this single-arm phase 2 trial by Zhang *et al.*, the primary endpoint was ORR, with the goal of improving ORR from 26% to 40% compared with the conventional GC regimen (1). While this novel combination offered a new treatment option for cisplatin-ineligible patients, the ORR was found to be 27.5%, and therefore the primary

[^] ORCID: Jung Sun Kim, 0000-0003-1132-5217; Hong Jae Chon, 0000-0002-6979-5812.

Table 1 Recent phase II/III trials for first-line systemic chemotherapy in advanced BTCs

| Study | Trial setting | Prior systemic treatment | Primary endpoint | BTC subtypes | Study population | ORR (%) | DCR (%) | PFS (m), median | OS (m), median | Trial number |
|--|----------------------------------|---|------------------|---|--|---------|---------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| ABC-02 (1) (<i>NEJM</i> 2010) | Phase 3 (n=410) | Treatment-naïve | OS | CCA (57.8%); GBC (36.9%); AoV cancer (5.3%) | Gem monotherapy (n=206) | 15.50 | 71.80 | 5.0 | 8.1 | NCT00262769 |
| | | | | | Gem/Cis (n=204) | 26.10 | 81.40 | 8.0 | 11.7 | |
| | | | | | | | | P<0.001 | P<0.001 | |
| Shroff RT <i>et al.</i> (2) (<i>JAMA Oncol</i> 2019) | Phase 2, single-arm (n=60) | Treatment-naïve: locally advance, 22%; metastatic, 78% | PFS | iCCA (63%); eCCA (15%); GBC (22%) | Gem/Cis/Nab-P (n=60) | 45 | 84 | 11.8 | 19.2 | NCT02392637 |
| SWOG 1815 (3) (<i>J Clin Oncol</i> 2023) | Phase 3 (n=441) | Treatment-naïve: locally advance, 27%; metastatic, 73% | OS | iCCA (67%); eCCA (17%); GBC (16%) | Gem/Cis (n=147) | 22 | 69 | 6.4 | 12.7 | NCT03768414 |
| | | | | | Gem/Cis/Nab-P (n=294) | 31 | 77 | 8.2 | 14.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | P=0.47 | P=0.58 | |
| Oh DY <i>et al.</i> (4) (<i>Lancet</i> <i>Gastroenterol</i> <i>Hepatol</i> 2022) | Phase 2 (n=124) | Treatment-naïve | ORR | iCCA (53%); eCCA (11%); GBC (24%); AoV cancer (11%) | Gem/Cis → Gem/ Cis/Durva/Trem (n=30) | 50 | 97 | 12.8 | 15.0 | NCT03046862 |
| | | | | | Gem/Cis/Durva (n=47) | 72 | 100 | 11.8 | 20.2 | |
| | | | | | Gem/Cis/Durva/ Trem (n=47) | 70 | 98 | 12.3 | 18.7 | |
| TOPAZ-1 (5) (<i>NEJM Evid</i> 2022) | Phase 3 (n=685) | Treatment-naïve: locally advance, 11.1%; metastatic, 88.9% | OS | iCCA (55.7%); eCCA (19.4%); GBC (24.9%) | Gem/Cis (n=344) | 18.70 | 82.60 | 5.7 | 11.5 | NCT03875235 |
| | | | | | Gem/Cis/Durva (n=341) | 26.70 | 85.30 | 7.2 | 12.8 | |
| | | | | | | | | P=0.001 | P=0.021 | |
| KEYNOTE-966 (6) (<i>Lancet</i> 2023) | Phase 3 (n=1,069) | Treatment-naïve | OS | iCCA (60%); eCCA (18%); GBC (22%) | Gem/Cis (n=536) | 29 | 76 | 5.6 | 10.9 | NCT04003636 |
| | | | | | Gem/Cis/Pembro (n=533) | 29 | 75 | 6.5 | 12.7 | |
| | | | | | | | | P=0.023 | P=0.0034 | |
| Zhang W, <i>et al.</i> (7) (<i>HBSN</i> 2023) | Phase 2, single-arm (n=54) | Treatment-naïve | ORR | iCCA (64.8%); eCCA (11.1%); GBC (24.1%) | Nab-P/S-1 (n=54) | 27.50 | 70.60 | 6.0 | 13.2 | NCT03830606 |

BTCs, biliary tract cancers; n, number; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; ORR, objective response rate; CCA, cholangiocarcinoma; GBC, gallbladder cancer; AoV, ampulla of Vater; iCCA, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; eCCA, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; Gem, gemcitabine; Cis, cisplatin; Nab-P, nano albumin bound-paclitaxel; Durva, durvalumab; Trem, tremelimumab; Pembro, pembrolizumab; DCR, disease control rate; m, months; S-1, tegafur gimeracil oteracil potassium capsule.

endpoint was not met. The median PFS was 6.0 months (95% CI: 4.2–7.7), and the median OS was 13.2 months (95% CI: 10.3–16.0). When considering primary sites, median PFS was 4.5 months (95% CI: 2.1–6.8) in gallbladder cancer and 6.0 months (95% CI: 3.9–8.0) in cholangiocarcinoma. Thus, the survival outcomes with this combination of nab-P and S-1 were comparable with the traditional GC doublet combination; however, BTC treatment is now shifting to triple combination therapies. Moreover, given that the

promising phase 2 results of GC plus nab-P (2) and GC plus durvalumab (4) tended to be diminished in the larger and more heterogeneous population of phase 3 trials (3,5), the survival outcomes of nab-P and S-1 demonstrated in this phase 2 trial are unlikely to meet the expectations of frontline treatments in the era of triple combinations. To establish a new frontline standard of care, median OS needs to exceed 13–14 months and ORR needs to be greater than 30–40% to surpass the survival outcomes of the current

triplet regimen, based on the results of representative BTC trials (Table 1). In addition, the opportunity for long-term survival that immune checkpoint inhibitors can offer to a fraction of patients cannot be discounted.

The following factors need to be addressed for the combination of nab-P and S-1 to be recognized as a new standard treatment for BTCs. First, as the authors also noted, assessing the efficacy of a PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor in combination with the current doublet combination is an interesting avenue for future research. As nab-P does not require pretreatment with corticosteroids, the combination of nab-P and a PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor has been studied in other solid tumors such as breast cancer (10) and non-small cell lung cancer (11), with promising efficacy and favorable safety. Thus, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors are anticipated to have additive or synergistic effects in advanced BTCs. Second, the continuation of nab-P dosing was tolerable in a previous study (9); therefore, uncapping the number of nab-P doses may be considered (a maximum of 6 were allowed in the Zhang *et al.* study), which may ultimately enhance the synergistic effects of nab-P and S-1. Third, considering the comparability of nab-P plus S-1 with GC and the toxicities of platinum, further studies including patients who are expected to achieve more favorable outcomes from the nab-P plus S-1 combination than GC, especially those who are not candidates for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, would be intriguing.

In summary, this study by Zhang *et al.* should be commended for establishing a platinum-free regimen that was comparable with GC, thereby allowing patients who are cisplatin-ineligible to receive treatment for BTCs. However, to supplant the standard treatment for BTC, which has recently been advancing, improving treatment efficacy (e.g., via the addition of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors) is necessary.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by grants from the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (NRF-2023R1A2C2004339 to H.J.C.).

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Hepatobiliary Surgery and Nutrition*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://hbsn.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/hbsn-24-140/coif>). H.J.C. has consulting or advisory roles at Eisai, Roche, Bayer, ONO, MSD, BMS, Celgene, Sanofi, Servier, AstraZeneca, SillaJen, Menarini, and GreenCross Cell and has received research grants from Roche, Dong-A ST, and Boryung Pharmaceuticals and consulting fee from Eisai, Roche, Bayer, Servier, AstraZeneca. The other author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Valle J, Wasan H, Palmer DH, et al. Cisplatin plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine for biliary tract cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:1273-81.
2. Shroff RT, Javle MM, Xiao L, et al. Gemcitabine, Cisplatin, and nab-Paclitaxel for the Treatment of Advanced Biliary Tract Cancers: A Phase 2 Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2019;5:824-30.
3. Shroff RT, Guthrie KA, Scott AJ, et al. SWOG 1815: A phase III randomized trial of gemcitabine, cisplatin, and nab-paclitaxel versus gemcitabine and cisplatin in newly diagnosed, advanced biliary tract cancers. *J Clin Oncol* 2023;41:LBA490.
4. Oh DY, Lee KH, Lee DW, et al. Gemcitabine and cisplatin plus durvalumab with or without tremelimumab in chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced biliary tract cancer: an open-label, single-centre, phase 2 study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022;7:522-32.
5. Oh DY, Ruth He A, Qin S, et al. Durvalumab plus Gemcitabine and Cisplatin in Advanced Biliary Tract

- Cancer. *NEJM Evid* 2022;1:EVIDoa2200015.
6. Kelley RK, Ueno M, Yoo C, et al. Pembrolizumab in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin compared with gemcitabine and cisplatin alone for patients with advanced biliary tract cancer (KEYNOTE-966): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2023;401:1853-65.
 7. Zhang W, Sun Y, Jiang Z, et al. Nab-paclitaxel plus tegafur gimeracil oteracil potassium capsule (S-1) as first-line treatment for advanced biliary tract adenocarcinoma: a phase 2 clinical trial. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* 2023;12:37-44.
 8. Morizane C, Okusaka T, Mizusawa J, et al. Combination gemcitabine plus S-1 versus gemcitabine plus cisplatin for advanced/recurrent biliary tract cancer: the FUGA-BT (JCOG1113) randomized phase III clinical trial. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30:1950-8.
 9. Sahai V, Catalano PJ, Zalupski MM, et al. Nab-Paclitaxel and Gemcitabine as First-line Treatment of Advanced or Metastatic Cholangiocarcinoma: A Phase 2 Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2018;4:1707-12.
 10. Emens LA, Adams S, Barrios CH, et al. First-line atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel for unresectable, locally advanced, or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer: IMpassion130 final overall survival analysis. *Ann Oncol* 2021;32:983-93.
 11. Jotte R, Cappuzzo F, Vynnychenko I, et al. Atezolizumab in Combination With Carboplatin and Nab-Paclitaxel in Advanced Squamous NSCLC (IMpower131): Results From a Randomized Phase III Trial. *J Thorac Oncol* 2020;15:1351-60.

Cite this article as: Kim JS, Chon HJ. Exploring treatment options for biliary tract cancers: moving beyond the era of gemcitabine and platinum doublet. *HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr* 2024;13(3):562-565. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-24-140