

Repeated Thrombolytic Therapy in Patients with Recurrent Acute Ischemic Stroke

Han Soo Yoo,^a Young Dae Kim,^a Hye Sun Lee,^b Dongbeom Song,^a Tae Jin Song,^a Byung Moon Kim,^c Dong Joon Kim,^c Dong Ik Kim,^c Ji Hoe Heo,^a Hyo Suk Nam^a

Departments of aNeurology, Biostatistics, and Radiology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Background and Purpose Widespread use of thrombolytic treatments, along with improved chances of survival after an initial ischemic stroke, increases the possibility of repeated thrombolysis. There are few reports, however, regarding repeated thrombolysis in patients who have suffered acute ischemic stroke. We explored the number and outcome of patients with repeated thrombolytic therapy in the era of multimodal thrombolytic treatments.

Methods We investigated patients with acute ischemic stroke who had received thrombolytic treatments for a period of 10 years. Number of thrombolysis was determined in each patient. Recanalization was defined as Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction grading $\geq 2a$. Symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation was defined as any increase in the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score that could be attributed to intracerebral hemorrhage. A good outcome was defined as a modified Rankin scale score ≤ 2 .

Results Of the 437 patients who received thrombolytic treatments, only 7 underwent repeated thrombolysis (1.6%). The median age at the time of repeated thrombolytic therapy was 71 years old; 4 of the patients were female. All patients had 1 or more potential sources of cardiac embolism. Recanalization was achieved in all patients, in both the first and the second thrombolysis. No symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage occurred after repeated thrombolytic treatments. Five patients (71.4%) showed good outcomes at 3 months.

Conclusions Repeated thrombolysis for recurrent acute ischemic stroke appears to be safe and feasible. Among patients who experience recurrent acute ischemic stroke, thrombolytic therapy could be considered even if the patient has had previous thrombolytic treatments.

Correspondence: Hyo Suk Nam Department of Neurology Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 120-752. Korea

Tel: +82-2-2228-1617 Fax: +82-2-393-0705 E-mail: hsnam@yuhs.ac

Received: June 28, 2013 Revised: August 20, 2013 Accepted: August 20, 2013

This study was supported by a Korea Science and Engineering Foundation grant funded by the Korea government (2009–0069165), the Korea Healthcare Technology Research and Development Project, Ministry for Health, Welfare, and Family Affairs, Republic of Korea (HI10C2020), and a faculty research grant from Yonsei University College of Medicine for 2011 (6–2011–0095).

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest

Keywords Thrombolysis; Recurrence; Acute ischemic stroke; Outcome

Introduction

The possibility of repeated thrombolysis has increased because of the widespread use of thrombolytic treatments, an improved chance of survival after thrombolytic treatment, and an increase in life expectancy.^{1,2} In myocardial infarction and pulmonary embolism, repeated thrombolytic therapy using intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) has

been reported to be safe and effective.³⁻⁵ However, repeated thrombolytic therapy in patients with acute ischemic stroke is rarely reported, and almost all previous reports were of patients who received intravenous rt-PA.^{2,6-8} Only 1 case report detailed a successful endovascular treatment for recurrent basilar artery occlusions.⁹ Recently, multimodal thrombolytic therapy, which includes intravenous and intra-arterial thrombolytic drugs and mechanical thrombectomy, has been introduced and is fre-

Copyright © 2013 Korean Stroke Society

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



quently used by stroke teams. 10-13 The goals of this study are to 1) identify how frequently repeated thrombolytic treatments are performed since the existence of multimodal thrombolytic treatments, and 2) characterize the safety and outcome of repeated thrombolytic therapy in patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Methods

Patients and enrollment

We drew subjects for this study from the Yonsei Stroke Registry.14 We selected patients with acute ischemic stroke who had received thrombolytic treatments within a 10-year period (from August 2001 to July 2011). Frequency of thrombolysis was determined in each patient, and initial stroke severity was assessed by National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores. Potential cardiac sources of embolism were defined according to the Trial of ORG 10172 in the Acute Stroke Treatment classification. 15 This study was approved by the Severance Hospital Institutional Review Board of Yonsei University Health System (4-2012-0553).

Thrombolytic therapy

The detailed protocol for thrombolytic treatment has been previously reported. 16-19 Thrombolytic treatment was performed using intravenous rt-PA (Actilyse, Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany), intra-arterial urokinase (Urokinase, Yuhan, Seoul, Korea), or intra-arterial mechanical devices (microwire, Agility 10, Cordis, Miami, Fla., USA; Penumbra, Alameda, CA, USA; Solitaire, ev3 Inc, Irvine, CA, USA). Inclusion and exclusion criteria for thrombolytic treatments were based on previous trials.^{20,21} Patients who could be treated within 3 hours after the onset of symptoms received intravenous rt-PA (0.9 mg/kg with 10% bolus injection, followed by continuous infusion of the remainder over 60 minutes). After intravenous rt-PA infusion, further treatment with intra-arterial urokinase or mechanical thrombectomy was permitted for patients who showed an unsatisfactory clinical response (improvement on the NIHSS score < 50%). 19,22 Patients who could be treated within 3-6 hours after symptom onset were considered for intra-arterial urokinase (up to 1 million units) or mechanical thrombectomy. Abciximab was also allowed in patients with reocclusion.¹⁶

Assessment of outcomes

Recanalization was evaluated at 24 ± 4 hours after thrombolysis using magnetic resonance angiography or computed tomography angiography. The Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) grading system (Grade 0: no perfusion; Grade 1: penetration with minimal perfusion; Grade 2a: partial filling 2/3 of the entire vascular territory; Grade 2b: complete filling, but the filling is slower than normal; Grade 3: complete perfusion) was used to assess the success or failure of recanalization.²³ Successful recanalization was defined as TICI ≥ 2a. Hemorrhagic transformations were assessed by computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging at 24 ± 4 hours after thrombolysis, or whenever clinical deterioration was suspected. Symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation was defined as any increase in the NIHSS score that could be attributed to intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) on brain imaging studies.²⁰ Independent neurologists determined functional outcomes at 3 months with the modified Rankin scale (mRS). A good outcome was defined as an mRS score ≤ 2 .

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows. The Pearson chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to compare frequencies. For continuous variables, data distributions were examined for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Provided that the data did not deviate from normal distribution, the mean and standard deviation were calculated and parametric tests (independent sample ttests) were applied. For data that were not normally distributed, we report descriptive statistics as the median and interquartile range; these were compared using non-parametric Mann-Whitney U tests. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Characteristics of patients with repeated thrombolysis

During the 10-year study period, a total of 437 patients with acute ischemic stroke underwent thrombolytic therapy. Of these, a total of 7 patients (1.6%) received thrombolytic therapy twice in chronologically separate events. Table 1 summarizes the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with repeated thrombolysis. The median age for patients who received repeated thrombolytic therapy was 71 years old, and 4 patients were female. The median time interval between the first and second thrombolytic treatment was 16 months (ranging from 6 days to 76 months). The median time from the onset of symptoms to thrombolytic therapy was 120 hours for the first thrombolytic treatment, and 125 hours for the repeated treatment. Initial median NIHSS scores were 12 for the first treatment and 15 for the repeated treatment. The occluded cerebral arteries were the middle cerebral arteries in 6 patients and the internal carotid artery in 1 patient. In the second attack, all of the patients experienced the occlusion in the same cerebral



Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients who received repeated thrombolytic treatment

	·	<u> </u>					
	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7
Sex	Female	Male	Female	Female	Male	Male	Female
First thrombolysis							
Age	50	70	73	74	56	67	75
Onset to treatment (min)	420	150	70	120	316	70	100
Risk factors							
Hypertension	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)
Diabetes mellitus	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)
Hypercholesterolemia	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(+)
Smoking	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
Initial NIHSS score	8	16	12	7	15	6	14
Occluded artery	Right ICA	Left MCA	Left MCA	Left MCA	Right MCA	Right MCA	Left MCA
Treatment modalities							
IV rt-PA (mg)	None	None	54	40	None	60	53.6
IA UK (Unit)	None	300,000	100,000	None	260,000	None	None
Mechanical	Solitaire	Microwire	Microwire	None	None	None	None
Outcomes							
Recanalization (TICl ≥ 2a)	3	2b	2b	3	2b	3	2b
Symptomatic ICH	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
mRS at 3 months	0	4	0	0	1	0	4
Cardiac sources of embolism	A-fib	A-fib	PFO	A-fib	A-fib	A-fib	A-fib, MS LA
	LAA thrombi	LA thrombi		MVP	LAA thrombi	LA swirling	swirling
Second thrombolysis							
Time interval between first and second treatment	6 days	6 months	3 months	16 months	76 months	47 months	37 months
Onset to treatment (min)	72	169	55	125	300	67	150
Initial NIHSS score	18	13	12	22	15	19	15
Occluded artery	Left ICA	Right MCA	Left MCA	Left MCA	Right MCA	Left MCA	Right MCA
Treatment modalities							
IV rt-PA (mg)	None	66	52.2	41.4	None	68	63
IA UK (Unit)	None	700,000	None	None	500,000	240,000	None
Mechanical	Solitaire	Microwire	None	Solitaire	None	Microwire	Solitaire
Outcome							
Recanalization (TICl ≥ 2a)	3	2b	2b	3	2a	2b	2b
Symptomatic ICH	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
mRS at 3 months	0	4	0	0	1	0	4

A-fib, atrial fibrillation; IA UK, intra-arterial urokinase; ICA, internal carotid artery; ICH, intracranial hemorrhage; IV rt-PA, intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator; LAA, left atrial appendage; LA, left atrium; MCA, middle cerebral artery; MS, mitral stenosis; MVP, mitral valve stenosis; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; TICI, Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction.

arteries as the first ischemic strokes. However, the right and left sides of the particular arteries were reversed for 4 patients in the second attack. In the first thrombolytic treatment, the treatment modalities were intravenous rt-PA in 4 patients, intra-arterial urokinase in 3 patients, and mechanical treatments in 3 patients. Multimodal treatments were performed in 2 patients. In the second thrombolytic treatment, 5 patients were treated with intravenous rt-PA, 3 with intra-arterial urokinase, and 5 with mechanical treatments. Four patients were treated using multimodal treatments. All patients who received repeated thrombolytic treatments had 1 or more potential sources of cardiac embolism. After the first thrombolysis, recanalization was achieved in all patients (TICI 3 in 2 patients and 2b in 4 patients) with no symptomatic ICHs. Five (71.4%) out of 7 patients showed good

outcome after 3 months (mRS 0 in 4 patients, mRS 1 in 1, and mRS 4 in 2). Similar to the first thrombolysis, after the second thrombolysis, recanalization was achieved in all patients and no symptomatic ICH occurred. All patients recovered to the baseline mRS score for the first thrombolytic treatment.

Comparison between single and repeated thrombolysis

To examine safety and outcome of patients with repeated thrombolysis, the data of 430 patients who were given a single thrombolytic treatment were compared. Age, sex, initial stroke severity, door to admission time, initial systolic blood pressure and glucose level, and risk factors including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hypercholesterolemia, and smoking, were not

Table 2. Comparison between patients with a repeated treatment and patients with single thrombolytic therapy

Repeated thrombolytic therapy (n=7)	Single thrombolytic therapy (n=430)	<i>P</i> -value
71 (62-75)	67.5 (60-75)	0.601
3 (42.9)	270 (62.8)	0.433
15 (12-19)	15 (10-20)	0.802
125 (67-169)	105.5 (53-140)	0.629
7 (100)	258 (59.6)	0.045
4 (57.1)	297 (69.1)	0.682
3 (42.9)	116 (27.0)	0.396
1 (14.3)	58 (13.5)	1.000
0 (0.0)	90 (20.9)	0.353
6 (85.7)	201 (46.7)	0.056
150 (123-154)	150 (133-170)	0.300
127 (109-141)	125 (108-158)	0.998
1 (14.3)	180 (41.9)	0.634
1 (14.3)	85 (19.8)	0.337
1 (14.3)	11 (2.6)	0.405
5 (71.4)	165 (38.4)	0.027
4 (57.1)	154 (35.8)	0.451
7 (100.0)	312 (72.6)	0.197
0 (0.0)	56 (13.0)	0.603
5 (71.4)	221 (51.4)	0.451
	thrombolytic therapy (n=7) 71 (62-75) 3 (42.9) 15 (12-19) 125 (67-169) 7 (100) 4 (57.1) 3 (42.9) 1 (14.3) 0 (0.0) 6 (85.7) 150 (123-154) 127 (109-141) 1 (14.3) 1 (14.3) 1 (14.3) 5 (71.4) 4 (57.1) 7 (100.0) 0 (0.0)	thrombolytic therapy (n=7) (n=430) 71 (62-75) 67.5 (60-75) 3 (42.9) 270 (62.8) 15 (12-19) 15 (10-20) 125 (67-169) 105.5 (53-140) 7 (100) 258 (59.6) 4 (57.1) 297 (69.1) 3 (42.9) 116 (27.0) 1 (14.3) 58 (13.5) 0 (0.0) 90 (20.9) 6 (85.7) 201 (46.7) 150 (123-154) 150 (133-170) 127 (109-141) 125 (108-158) 1 (14.3) 85 (19.8) 1 (14.3) 85 (19.8) 1 (14.3) 11 (2.6) 5 (71.4) 165 (38.4) 4 (57.1) 154 (35.8) 7 (100.0) 312 (72.6) 0 (0.0) 56 (13.0)

Values are given as median with IQR or number with percentage.

IA UK, intra-arterial urokinase; ICH, intracranial hemorrhage; IV rt-PA, intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator; mRS, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, the National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; PCSE, potent cardiac source of embolism; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TICI, Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction.

different between patients with single and repeated treatments. The frequency of potential sources of cardiac embolism, however, was different (100% in the repeated thrombolytic treatment vs. 59.6% in the single treatment, P = 0.045). Among the thrombolytic modalities, mechanical thrombolysis was more commonly performed in patients with repeated treatments (71.4% vs. 38.4%, P = 0.027). Outcome variables, including recanalization, symptomatic ICH, and good outcome were similar (Table 2).

Discussion

This study demonstrates that repeated thrombolytic treatments for recurrent ischemic strokes is safe and feasible. In 10 years, only 1.6% of all thrombolyzed patients underwent repeated thrombolysis. All repeatedly treated patients had 1 or more sources of cardiac embolism and achieved recanalization without symptomatic ICH in the first thrombolytic treatment. On repeated thrombolysis, these patients were recanalized again without symptomatic ICH, and all recovered to the baseline neurological state of the first thrombolytic treatment. Compared to the patients who had a single treatment, the rate of mechanical thrombectomy was twice as high in patients with repeated treatments. The outcome of patients with repeated thrombolysis was similar that of patients with only one thrombolysis.

In acute myocardial infarction or pulmonary embolism, repeated thrombolysis has been reported to be safe and feasible.³⁻⁵ In the case of acute ischemic stroke, however, its effectiveness is largely unknown. The major risk of thrombolytic treatment in ischemic stroke is ICH.²⁴ Because the brain-blood barrier is disrupted in previously infarcted tissue, repeated thrombolytic treatments may pose a greater possibility for ICH. Few investigators have reported multiple administrations of thrombolytic drugs for recurrent ischemic strokes.^{2,6-8} A case series of repeated thrombolytic therapy from a German stroke database showed that 9 (1.8%) out of 496 thrombolyzed patients were repeatedly treated with intravenous rt-PA for chronologically separate acute ischemic strokes over a 4-year period.² In that study, the median time span between the first and second thrombolysis was 10 months (range, 3 to 48), 67% of patients had favorable outcomes, and no symptomatic ICH occurred. These rates of repeated treatments and outcomes are similar to the current study.

Current guidelines recommend that a stroke in the prior 3 months is considered an exclusionary criterion for intravenous rt-PA.²⁴ This recommendation, however, is derived from clinical trials for acute myocardial infarction, and the information on acute ischemic stroke is limited.²⁵ Repeated use of rt-PA after 1 day theoretically will not lead to toxic plasma levels because the half-life of rt-PA is 6 min, and more than 80% of rt-PA is eliminated via urine within 18 h after administration. 26,27 There are only 4 case reports of repeated thrombolytic therapy within 3 months, where the time interval between the first and second thrombolysis was 24 to 90 hours. 7-9,28 Three of those patients received intravenous rt-PA, and the remaining patient underwent intra-arterial chemical and mechanical thrombolysis. All patients showed good outcomes without symptomatic ICH. In our data, 1 patient received the second thrombolysis within 6 days (patient 1). Cardioembolic occlusion occurred on the right internal carotid artery in the first stroke, and recurrent occlusion on the left internal carotid artery in the second stroke. Both thrombi were completely removed using the Solitaire devices, and no chemical thrombolytic agents were used. This patient fully recovered. Therefore, further study of patients with prior stroke in the previous 3 months is needed and may increase the number of eligible patients.

Immunologic reactions following repeated thrombolysis are another concern. In patients with myocardial infarction, repeat-



ed administration of streptokinase is not recommended because of its antigenicity.²⁹ Although rt-PA and urokinase are endogenous proteins and are considered non-antigenic, several studies have reported the development of antibodies against rt-PA and allergic reactions after rt-PA administrations.³⁰⁻³² Furthermore, activation of fibrinolysis may facilitate anaphylactoid reactions.³³ Previous reports did not show any immunological reactions in patients who were given repeated treatments.² Although we did not check titers of antibodies, our 5 patients who received repeated treatments with rt-PA or urokinase did not show any symptoms or signs of an immunological reaction.

It is noteworthy that all patients who received repeated thrombolytic treatments had 1 or more sources of cardiac embolism, most of which were atrial fibrillation. Recurrent ischemic stroke after intravenous rt-PA in patients with sources of cardiac embolism is common. Higher recurrence of ischemic stroke in patients with cardiac embolic sources may be connected to the increased likelihood of receiving repeated thrombolysis for those patients. Currently, clinical trials of new anticoagulants yield favorable results and have been adopted for patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. Recent guidelines for thrombolytic treatments also allow intravenous rt-PA use in patients who are currently taking a direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors with normal coagulation laboratory tests. Further study is needed to investigate proper treatments for recurrent stroke in patients with new anticoagulants.

Several limitations exist in this study. First, although our data were collected from consecutive patients of a single stroke team over 10 years, the number of patients with repeated thrombolytic treatment were small. Considering the similar frequency of repeated thrombolysis in a different stroke database,² it can be assumed that repeated thrombolysis is rarely performed. Second, our data do not enable us to draw conclusions about the optimal thrombolytic modality in repeated cases. In this study, mechanical thrombectomy in repeated treatment was twice as likely to be performed. Thrombolytic modality in each case was decided according to predefined protocol; however, conditions including age, stroke severity, occluded cerebral arteries, underlying medical conditions, and medication may influence the selection of thrombolytic modality for repeated events. The advancement of mechanical devices for acute ischemic stroke may allow for more safe and favorable outcomes in repeated thrombolytic treatments. 10,12 Third, we examined the safety and outcome of patients with repeated thrombolysis through comparison to those with single thrombolytic treatment. Caution must be taken in interpreting these results. Because the number of cases was small, the number of severe strokes was not large, and the thrombolysis modalities were heterogeneous, the negative

results from this comparison cannot be regarded as evidence for the safety and feasibility of repeated thrombolytic treatments. Further prospective study is warranted.

Conclusion

Our study investigated the safety and outcome of patients with repeated thrombolysis in the era of multimodal thrombolytic treatments. Although it is rarely performed, repeated thrombolysis appears to be safe and feasible for patients with recurrent acute ischemic stroke. Repeated thrombolytic therapy could be considered, even if patients have had previous history of thrombolytic treatments.

References

- Nam HS, Kim HC, Kim YD, Lee HS, Kim J, Lee DH, et al. Long-term mortality in patients with stroke of undetermined etiology. Stroke 2012;43:2948-2956.
- Sauer R, Huttner HB, Breuer L, Engelhorn T, Schellinger PD, Schwab S, et al. Repeated thrombolysis for chronologically separated ischemic strokes: a case series. Stroke 2010;41:1829-1832.
- 3. Banerjee P, Clark AL, Norell MS. Repeat thrombolysis for acute myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol* 2005;102:515-519.
- 4. Barbash GI, Birnbaum Y, Bogaerts K, Hudson M, Lesaffre E, Fu Y, et al. Treatment of reinfarction after thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: an analysis of outcome and treatment choices in the global utilization of streptokinase and tissue plasminogen activator for occluded coronary arteries (GUSTO I) and assessment of the safety of a new thrombolytic (ASSENT 2) studies. Circulation 2001;103:954-960.
- Meneveau N, Seronde MF, Blonde MC, Legalery P, Didier-Petit K, Briand F, et al. Management of unsuccessful thrombolysis in acute massive pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 2006;129: 1043-1050.
- Arsava EM, Topcuoglu MA. De-novo thrombolysis for recurrent stroke in a patient with prior history of thrombolysis. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2010;21:605-607.
- Topakian R, Gruber F, Fellner FA, Haring HP, Aichner FT. Thrombolysis beyond the guidelines: two treatments in one subject within 90 hours based on a modified magnetic resonance imaging brain clock concept. Stroke 2005;36:e162-164.
- 8. Brigo F, Bovi T, Tomelleri G, Bovi P, Moretto G. Repeated systemic thrombolysis after early recurrent stroke: always hazardous? Can J Neurol Sci 2012;39:114-116.
- Chiti A, Pizzanelli C, Terni E, Gialdini G, Cosottini M, Puglioli M, et al. A case of recurrent basilar artery occlusion successful-



- ly treated with repeated intravascular procedures. Neurologist 2011;17:95-97.
- 10. Saver JL, Jahan R, Levy EI, Jovin TG, Baxter B, Nogueira RG, et al. Solitaire flow restoration device versus the Merci Retriever in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (SWIFT): a randomised, parallel-group, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2012;380: 1241-1249.
- 11. Yoon W, Park MS, Cho KH. Low-dose intra-arterial urokinase and aggressive mechanical clot disruption for acute ischemic stroke after failure of intravenous thrombolysis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2010;31:161-164.
- 12. Broderick JP, Palesch YY, Demchuk AM, Yeatts SD, Khatri P, Hill MD, et al. Endovascular therapy after intravenous t-PA versus t-PA alone for stroke. N Engl J Med 2013;368:893-903.
- 13. Noser EA, Shaltoni HM, Hall CE, Alexandrov AV, Garami Z, Cacayorin ED, et al. Aggressive mechanical clot disruption: a safe adjunct to thrombolytic therapy in acute stroke? Stroke 2005;36:292-296.
- 14. Lee BI, Nam HS, Heo JH, Kim DI. Yonsei Stroke Registry. Analysis of 1,000 patients with acute cerebral infarctions. Cerebrovasc Dis 2001;12:145-151.
- 15. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Davalos A, Ford GA, Grond M, Hacke W, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase for acute ischaemic stroke in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke-Monitoring Study (SITS-MOST): an observational study. Lancet 2007;369:275-282.
- 16. Heo JH, Lee KY, Kim SH, Kim DI. Immediate reocclusion following a successful thrombolysis in acute stroke: a pilot study. Neurology 2003;60:1684-1687.
- 17. Lee KY, Heo JH, Lee SI, Yoon PH. Rescue treatment with abciximab in acute ischemic stroke. Neurology 2001;56:1585-1587.
- 18. Lee KY, Kim DI, Kim SH, Lee SI, Chung HW, Shim YW, et al. Sequential combination of intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator and intra-arterial urokinase in acute ischemic stroke. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2004;25:1470-1475.
- 19. Nam HS, Lee KY, Han SW, Kim SH, Lee JY, Ahn SH, et al. Prediction of long-term outcome by percent improvement after the first day of thrombolytic treatment in stroke patients. J Neurol Sci 2009;281:69-73.
- 20. The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group. Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group. N Engl J Med 1995;333:1581-1587.
- 21. Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, Brozman M, Davalos A, Guidetti D, et al. Thrombolysis with Alteplase 3 to 4.5 Hours after Acute Ischemic Stroke. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1317-

- 1329.
- 22. Nam HS, Kim EY, Kim SH, Kim YD, Kim J, Lee HS, et al. Prediction of thrombus resolution after intravenous thrombolysis assessed by CT-based thrombus imaging. Thromb Haemost 2012;107:786-794.
- 23. Higashida RT, Furlan AJ, Roberts H, Tomsick T, Connors B, Barr J, et al. Trial design and reporting standards for intra-arterial cerebral thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke. Stroke 2003; 34:e109-137.
- 24. Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP, Jr., Bruno A, Connors JJ, Demaerschalk BM, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2013;44:870-947.
- 25. De Keyser J, Gdovinova Z, Uyttenboogaart M, Vroomen PC, Luijckx GJ. Intravenous alteplase for stroke: beyond the guidelines and in particular clinical situations. Stroke 2007;38:2612-2618.
- 26. Acheampong P, Ford GA. Pharmacokinetics of alteplase in the treatment of ischaemic stroke. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2012;8:271-281.
- 27. Bivard A, Lin L, Parsonsb MW. Review of stroke thrombolytics. Journal of Stroke 2013;15:90-98.
- 28. Cappellari M, Tomelleri G, Carletti M, Bovi P, Moretto G. Intravenous thrombolysis on early recurrent cardioembolic stroke: 'Dr Jekyll' or 'Mr Hyde'? Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2012; 23:78-81.
- 29. White HD, Cross DB, Williams BF, Norris RM. Safety and efficacy of repeat thrombolytic treatment after acute myocardial infarction. Br Heart J 1990;64:177-181.
- 30. Hill MD, Barber PA, Takahashi J, Demchuk AM, Feasby TE, Buchan AM. Anaphylactoid reactions and angioedema during alteplase treatment of acute ischemic stroke. CMAJ 2000;162: 1281-1284.
- 31. Reed BR, Chen AB, Tanswell P, Prince WS, Wert RM, Jr., Glaesle-Schwarz L, et al. Low incidence of antibodies to recombinant human tissue-type plasminogen activator in treated patients. Thromb Haemost 1990;64:276-280.
- 32. Cugno M, Cicardi M, Colucci M, Bisiani G, Merlini PA, Spinola A, et al. Non neutralizing antibodies to tissue type plasminogen activator in the serum of acute myocardial infarction patients treated with the recombinant protein. Thromb Haemost 1996;76:234-238.
- 33. Pechlaner C, Knapp E, Wiedermann CJ. Hypersensitivity reactions associated with recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator and urokinase. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2001;12:491-494.
- 34. Awadh M, MacDougall N, Santosh C, Teasdale E, Baird T,



- Muir KW. Early recurrent ischemic stroke complicating intravenous thrombolysis for stroke: incidence and association with atrial fibrillation. Stroke 2010;41:1990-1995.
- 35. Yalcin-Cakmakli G, Akpinar E, Topcuoglu MA, Dalkara T. Right internal carotid artery occlusion during intravenous thrombolysis for left middle cerebral artery occlusion. J Stroke Cerebrovasc
- Dis 2009;18:74-77.
- 36. Furie KL, Goldstein LB, Albers GW, Khatri P, Neyens R, Turakhia MP, et al. Oral antithrombotic agents for the prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a science advisory for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2012;43:3442-3453.