

The effect of soft tissue manipulation and rest on knee extensor muscles fatigue: Do torque parameters and induced perception following muscle fatigue have enough reliability?

Cobra Ghasemi¹, Ali Amiri¹, Javad Sarrafzadeh¹, Hasan Jafari², Mehdi Dadgou¹

¹Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ²Department of Health Psychology, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

ABSTRACT

Background: Muscle fatigue affects the precision of the subjects' performance and limits the range of physical and sports activities. There is limited scientific evidence to support the use of soft tissue manipulation for enhancing muscle performance and its recovery. The aim of this study is to compare the effects of soft tissue manipulation and rest on the knee extensor muscle fatigue after maximal isokinetic contractions. **Methods:** Fifteen healthy females 20–30 years of age were selected for this research. This study implemented a semi-experimental test–retest measurement method. The subjects then either rested or received soft tissue manipulation on the knee extensors for a duration of 15 min. After intervention (soft tissue manipulation or rest), the parameters were evaluated for the third time. **Results:** The stability of the average of peak torque (APT), average power (AP), and visual analog scale (VAS) before performing fatigue protocol was 85%, 83%, and 31.9%, respectively. The stability after fatigue was 43%, 50%, and 93%, respectively. After maximal fatigue and a decrease in torque output to below 50% maximal torque, 15 min of soft tissue manipulation could change the APT after fatigue from a mean of 58.3 (nm) to 91.5 (nm), the AP from 39.4 to 63.6 (nm/s), and the VAS, from 90.0 to 12 (mm). But 15 min of rest could change the APT from 52.5 to 68.1 (nm), the AP from 37.6 to 48 (nm/s), and the VAS from 90.0 to 27.3 (mm). **Conclusion:** The study showed that soft tissue manipulation was more effective than rest as a strategy to return muscles to a normal state and caused more relief in perceived fatigue.

Keywords: Isokinetic, muscle fatigue, soft tissue manipulation, temporal stability, torque

Introduction

Muscular fatigue is one of problems which athletes face repeatedly while participating in competitive sports or performing therapeutic exercise.^[1,2] When an athlete reaches a certain level beyond his or her physiologic limits, he or she experiences the peril of fatigue. After muscle fatigue, the function of the metabolic and neuromuscular

systems for sustained activity was reduced and muscle contraction cannot be maintained for a long time.^[3,4] Undoubtedly, fatigue affects the precision of the subjects' performance.^[5] The manifestation of fatigue not only causes a decrease in the mental and physical performance of the athlete^[6] but also causes sports injuries and physical and mental disorders.^[7] Furthermore, fatigue limits the range of physical and sports activities. But there is controversy over whether or not fatigue which occurs during sports or therapeutic exercise is beneficial, and permissible levels of fatigue are always being researched and discussed.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Ali Amiri,
Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Iran
University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail: amiri.a@iums.ac.ir

Received: 28-09-2019

Revised: 15-12-2019

Accepted: 27-12-2019

Published: 28-02-2020

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.jfmpc.com

DOI:
10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_838_19

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Ghasemi C, Amiri A, Sarrafzadeh J, Jafari H, Dadgou M. The effect of soft tissue manipulation and rest on knee extensor muscles fatigue: Do torque parameters and induced perception following muscle fatigue have enough reliability? J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:950-6.

Various approaches to improve muscular performance or slowing down of the signals of muscular fatigue have been studied, including electrotherapy, cryotherapy, heat, and soft tissue manipulation, which is one of the most common methods of fatigue management.^[8] In recent years, soft tissue manipulation has found many indications in sports competitions: to assist the athlete in preparing for competition, to assist before back-to-back matches, to promote recovery to a previous state after competition, and to assist when encountering special problems.^[9]

In spite of the fact that many studies have shown the effectiveness of soft tissue manipulation, there is still a lot of controversy. For instance, some researchers believe that soft tissue manipulation can improve some physiologic indexes but does not have any effects on muscle performance after fatigue.^[10-13]

On the contrary, some researches have presented evidence that confirms the positive effects of soft tissue manipulation on muscle performance after fatigue.^[14-16]

Based on the surveys carried out in 2000–2001, the most common injuries are to the ankle, knee, and leg.^[17] Among muscles, the quadriceps is active in almost all physical activities, for example, running, walking, and hitting; also they are influential in decreasing the ground reaction force while walking. Hence, its repeated contractions in various activities may cause fatigue.

Due to the aforementioned facts, more awareness about fatigue and way of regain to normal muscle strength for improving performance and prevention of injury is important. In this study, we aimed to focus on two enquiries. First, a survey on temporal stability to evaluate the parameters of fatigue, including muscle force and individual perceptions of fatigue, which are measured by torque and visual analog scale (VAS) parameters, respectively. In the next stage, the effects of rest and soft tissue manipulation have been surveyed as two common methods for treating muscle fatigue within torque and VAS parameters.

Methods

The experimental subject

This study implemented a semi-experimental test–retest measurement method on 15 healthy females between 20 and 30 years of age, who do some non-professional light sporting activities at least once a week (which mainly include lower limb muscles). Among the subjects, the ones who had already declared their physical fitness and were qualified participated in the trial. Subjects filled forms about background information, such as personal characteristics, and affirmed their health from the point of view of neurology, internal medicine, vascular, and orthopedic (especially the injury of dominant knee) with the assistance of examiners. After physical examination, we ascertained that these subjects did not suffer from shortened hamstrings in the dominant lower limb, or from genu valgum, genu varum, or genu recurvatum in the dominant knee. None of them has taken medicine affecting the central and peripheral

nervous systems and none of them had any dependency or addiction to cigarettes, drugs, or alcohol. The body mass index of these cases was between 18 and 22. During the research, and 24 h before the first test session, they did not do any heavy sporting activity.^[18] For this test, only the quadriceps of the dominant limb were tested.

Therapeutic interventions

Either soft tissue manipulation or rest was applied during the first 15-min recovery period.

Subjects were asked to lie supine and receive soft tissue manipulation for 15 min: from groin to superior aspect of the patella, including 2 min of stroking; 3 min of effleurage; petrissage, that is, ringing and rolling, each for 2 min; compression for 2 min; and, finally, effleurage for 3 min.

Personal perception of fatigue

The rate of fatigue was evaluated through the use of VAS. For this task, the subjects were asked to mark the rate of quadriceps fatigue on a line between 0 and 100,^[16,19] where 0 indicated not having any fatigue and 100 the greatest conceivable fatigue. The VAS test in both main sessions was evaluated before and after fatigue and after soft tissue manipulation or rest. Repeatedly, test-takers referred equally to take the same trials and this trial was again recorded.

In this research, the VAS was used to evaluate the amount of perceived fatigue. This scale is calculated quickly, and it is convenient and easy for test-takers to understand. Its validity and reliability have also been widely reported for evaluating fatigue.^[20,21]

Isokinetic survey of fatigue

To warm up at the beginning of each session, resistance-free cycling at an average speed between 60 and 70 revolutions per minutes (rpm) for 2 min was performed.

For measurements of average of peak torque (APT) and average power (AP) of quadriceps, a Biodex System 3 Isokinetic dynamometer was used [Figure 1].

The measurements were taken for a range of motion between 10° and 90° knee flexion, and back and forth movement, including maximal isokinetic contraction efforts of knee extension (quadriceps), followed by maximal isokinetic contraction efforts of knee flexion (hamstring) to let the limb regain its starting state (90° of flexion). At the velocity of 60°/s, three maximal successive concentric isokinetic strength tests of the quadriceps and hamstring were done to measure the APT and AP.

Isokinetic tests in both the main sessions before and after fatigue and after soft tissue manipulation or rest were evaluated. Repeatedly, test-takers referred equally to take the trials and this



Figure 1: Positioning a subject on Biodex System 3 for doing the trial

trial was again recorded. During the test, the volunteers were encouraged verbally. Hip-joint position for all individuals was adjusted to 25° of flexion. The average maximal torque from the software of the dynamometer and AP per second were collected with a Newton meter.

Fatigue protocol

Among various methods of evaluating and creating fatigue, voluntary contractions are invariably counted as the first choice; also, the application of maximal voluntary contractions is a gold standard for quantifying fatigue.^[22] Maximal contractions were implemented to cause fatigue. In cases where fatigue followed maximal voluntary contraction, we are able to predict the extent and time of occurrence, but if the contractions were submaximal, determining fatigue manifestation was difficult.^[23]

In this research, we focused on the changes in APT and AP to evaluate fatigue. The changes in maximal dynamic torque are one of the best noninvasive methods for measuring muscle injury and recovery *in vivo*.^[24]

In this research, to perform the test, a velocity of 60°/s was applied because applying low velocity is the most useful way for a repeatable dynamic fatigue protocol.^[25]

The choice of a fatigue protocol involving repeated contraction until there is a drop below 50% of primary maximal torque output provided accessibility to feedback while executing the fatigue protocol, and this protocol has been shown to be a repeatable and standard criterion.^[1,26] The used fatigue protocol was the maximal isokinetic concentric contraction of knee extension, that is, for the quadriceps, and submaximal isokinetic concentric contraction of knee flexion, that is, the hamstring, at a velocity of 60°/s. To perform the fatigue protocol, the subjects were asked to perform successive maximal quadriceps contractions until three consecutive quadriceps' torque outputs dropped below 50% of maximal torque output. Constant monitoring and

verbal encouragement by the examiner caused the subjects to try their hardest. "Start" and "stop" was announced by the examiner. After 60 s rest, during which the subject remained on the seat, the examiner again announced the "start," and, as before, successive quadriceps' contractions continued until there was another decrease in the torque output below 50% maximal torque for the second and third time. There were 60-s rests between each of the three sets.

The stability of test-retest

Reliability means the extent to which the scores of a measuring device are error-free; in other words, in the case of the absence of change in the mentioned concepts in the measuring process, how much the scores of a measurement bear stability.^[27] It also means the extent of the temporal correlation among acquired scores from one measuring method during repeated measurements.^[28]

Each of the volunteers was evaluated in three different sessions. The first session was 3 days before the main test, to familiarize the volunteers with the isokinetic tests. For the main test, each of the volunteers was evaluated twice, with a 1-week interval between evaluations. In one of these referrals, the subjects received either some soft tissue manipulation after fatigue or they rested, randomly. Isokinetic and VAS tests in both main sessions before and after fatigue and after soft tissue manipulation or rest were evaluated.

Results

To determine the reliability of the test, an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was implemented to analyze the data gained from the main test. Before fatigue, the stability of APT, AP, and VAS was consistently 0.85, 0.83, and 0.31, respectively. After the implementation of this protocol, APT was 0.43, AP was 0.50, and VAS was 0.93. Hence, the gained results demonstrate that the stability of APT and AP before fatigue protocol was greater than the stability of APT and AP after implementing this protocol. But the effect on perceived fatigue was the other way around, that is, VAS stability after fatigue was considerably higher than before the fatigue protocol [Table 1, Figure 1].

If the reliability is between 50 and 69, it is average; between 70 and 89 is high; and more than 90 is considered very high reliability.^[28]

APT, AP, and VAS parameters before fatigue and between soft tissue manipulation and rest sessions did not show any significant difference; in the other words, subjects were at the same level of physical fitness and general conditioning. After fatigue, these variables did not show any significant difference between the two sessions, which shows a similar execution of the fatigue protocol in both the rest and soft tissue manipulation sessions. It was also expected that the fatigue protocol could cause a decrease in torque and power factors and VAS after fatigue was more than before fatigue; this hypothesis has been proven, according

Table 1: Mean, standard deviation, and ICC for torque and perceived fatigue scales

	Session 1 (soft tissue manipulation), mean±SD	Session 2 (rest), mean±SD	ICC	95% Confidence interval		P
				Lower bound	Upper bound	
APT (N.M)						
Before fatigue	83.37±25.82	77.54±22.36	0.85	0.60	0.94	0.00
After fatigue	58.36±14.89	52.58±9.69	0.43	-0.02	0.76	0.03
AP (NM.S)						
Before fatigue	57.95±17.61	53.52±14.31	0.83	0.54	0.94	0.00
After fatigue	39.40±12.13	37.69±9.85	0.50	0.00	0.80	0.02
VAS (MM)						
Before fatigue	19.33±11.62	15.33±12.45	0.31	-0.19	0.70	0.11
After fatigue	90.06±10.09	90.06±0.88	0.93	0.81	0.97	0.00

ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient; APT: average of peak torque; AP: average power; VAS: visual analog scale




Figure 2: Mean, Standard Deviation, and Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) for torque and perceived fatigue scales

to Table 2 and Figure 3. This indicates that inducing fatigue can cause a significant difference torque and power factors in relation to before fatigue protocol. For analysis of data from APT and AP of knee concentric extension and perceived fatigue, which were evaluated at different stages of the soft tissue manipulation and rest sessions and in an intersession comparison, a paired *t*-test was applied. The comparison of results showed that in both sessions, parameters such as APT, AP, and VAS after interventions (soft tissue manipulation and rest) had a significant difference ($P = 0.000$) compared with before interventions. This means that both soft tissue manipulation and rest could change these parameters proportionate to proportionate of before applying interventions, for example, perceived fatigue after 15 min of soft tissue manipulation reduced from 90.06 to 12 mm, and after 15 min of rest they decreased from 90.06 to 27.3 mm [Table 2]. Furthermore, a comparison between these parameters before and after the intervention shows that in any session in which soft tissue manipulation was applied as an intervention, the APT and AP after intervention regressed to the beginning conditions, but for the VAS there was a significant difference between subjects after having soft tissue manipulation as an intervention. On the other hand, in a session in which rest was applied, there was a significant difference between APT and VAS after the intervention and at the beginning. In other words, rest could not return these parameters to the preliminary state, but could cause a better recovery in AP [Table 2]. Finally, in a




Figure 3: The comparison of the APT, AP, and VAS of concentric knee extensors in different stages of evaluation in each session

comparison between two interventions, that is, rest and soft tissue manipulation, it was shown that there was a significant difference for the three factors of torque, power, and perceived fatigue between soft tissue manipulation and rest sessions, which means that soft tissue manipulation is more effective than rest for reducing perceived fatigue. Meanwhile, the effect of soft tissue manipulation in regaining strength and power in quadriceps is more than that of rest ($P = 0.000$) [Table 3, Figure 4].

Discussion

In this research, the isokinetic parameters and VAS values were measured three times for each session: once before applying the fatigue protocol, once after applying the fatigue protocol, and finally after an intervention. The measurements before fatigue were meant for evaluating the reliability and stability of the VAS and the isokinetic parameters, but the measurements after the fatigue protocol were meant for evaluating the reliability and stability of VAS and the isokinetic parameters after the fatigue protocol.

Furthermore, in this study we had 1-week interval between two sessions; in other words, we tried to have a survey on the temporal stability of the isokinetic parameters and VAS both before and after the fatigue protocol. The results showed that before fatigue, the temporal stability of the isokinetic device was high, which shows that the recorded torque and power for both

Table 2: Comparison of APT, AP, and VAS of concentric knee extensors in different stages of evaluation in each session

	Mean±SD			P		
	Before fatigue	After fatigue	After intervention	(BF-AF)	(AF-AI)	(BF-AI)
APT						
Soft tissue manipulation	83.37±25.82	58.36±14.89	91.53±32.64	0.00	0.00	0.17
Rest	77.54±22.36	52.58±9.69	68.10±26.76	0.00	0.01	0.02
AP						
Soft tissue manipulation	57.95±17.61	39.40±12.13	63.62±23.87	0.00	0.00	0.16
Rest	53.52±14.31	37.69±9.85	48±17.20	0.00	0.00	0.08
VAS						
Soft tissue manipulation	19.33±11.62	90.06±10.09	12±13.20	0.00	0.00	0.01
Rest	15.33±12.45	90.06±0.88	27.33±15.79	0.00	0.00	0.00

APT: average of peak torque; AP: average power; VAS: visual analog scale; BF: before fatigue; AF: after fatigue; AI: after intervention

Table 3: Comparison of APT, AP, and VAS of concentric knee extensors in different stages of evaluation between soft tissue manipulation and rest

	Mean±SD		P
	Soft tissue manipulation	Rest	
APT			
Before fatigue	83.37±25.82	77.54±22.36	0.08
After fatigue	58.36±14.89	52.58±9.69	0.78
After intervention	91.53±32.64	68.10±26.76	0.00
AP			
Before fatigue	57.95±17.61	53.52±14.31	0.06
After fatigue	39.40±12.13	37.69±9.85	0.76
After intervention	63.62±23.87	48±17.20	0.00
VAS			
Before fatigue	19.33±11.62	15.33±12.45	0.28
After fatigue	90.06±10.09	90.06±0.88	1.0
After intervention	12±13.20	27.33±15.79	0.00

APT: average of peak torque; AP: average power; VAS: visual analog scale




Figure 4: The comparison of the APT, AP, and VAS of concentric knee extensors in different stages of evaluation between soft tissue manipulation and rest

the two repetitions in each session and over time (1 week) is a reliable scale. Thus, isokinetic dynamometer is appropriate and reliable for evaluating athletes' performance.^[25] After fatigue, the stability of torque measurements and isokinetic extensor power was also reliable, but the level of this reliability scored from average to good, and it decreased in comparison to before

fatigue. This decrease in stability may be related to the amount of maximal exertion of the individual differs because of local fatigue. In other words, the individual is unable to perceive her maximal muscle power and probably has not applied her maximal effort for contraction. The fatigue protocol itself in different sessions (1 week apart) may have a different influence in causing fatigue, which can be due to a difference in the physical, spiritual, and hormonal state of the individual in the two different sessions, or in how much the fatigue protocol is standard or valid regardless of the measurement method. Despite the identical application of the protocol by the test-taker, the amount of fatigue is not equal. We can deduce from three mentioned assumptions that the isokinetic device or two scales, that is, torque and power, after fatigue over a long term (1 week) is a parameter of less reliability (average to good) for measuring fatigue. For this reason, the stability after fatigue declined. This finding, in comparison to the reliability before fatigue, shows that an isokinetic survey on torque and power itself is reliable, and its decrease is because of individual differences or a weakness in protocol that causes unequal fatigue within a certain time period.

As VAS is a subjective understanding and belief about fatigue, it showed a different result from the isokinetic measurement. Before fatigue, the stability of this scale was low and was not even significant. In other words, before performing the fatigue protocol, the individual had no previous experience of the levels of current local fatigue and could not have conceived of this fatigue, but the stability of this scale after fatigue was higher than before fatigue, which is probably because the individual had better conception of fatigue after experiencing it. This is why familiarization with evaluating the VAS before applying local fatigue is of greatest significance. Therefore, it can be concluded that VAS is suitable itself for pre-fatigue assessment as a reliable and stable tool, but it is an invaluable and stable criterion to evaluate after fatigue.

As it was mentioned in the results, all tests in both sessions were reliable; in other words, the conditions of individuals and tests were equal in the first and second weeks, and our fatigue protocol was also able to create an identical sort of fatigue during both sessions. The difference between groups shows that 15 min of

soft tissue manipulation or rest both had a positive effect on recovery, but in the short term, soft tissue manipulation was much more effective than rest.

Mal-Soon and Yun-Hee (2019) performed a survey on 21 healthy subjects and stated that soft tissue manipulation can improve muscle strength and proprioception by influencing the superficial layer of the gastrocnemius.^[2] In a study by Razeghi and Nouri (2015), 54 healthy athletes performed a fatiguing exercise and subsequently were randomly divided into one of the three groups of cryotherapy, soft tissue manipulation, and control that did not receive any interventions.^[1] The schedule of the soft tissue manipulation group consisted of received soft tissue manipulation on the front of the thigh from the groin to the top of the patella for 15 min. In this survey, APT, AP, and total work (TW) were measured with an isokinetic dynamometer before and after the test and the results were compared. In the control group, muscle strength showed a significant decline, but in the cryotherapy and soft tissue manipulation groups, it increased.^[1]

Kargarfard *et al.* (2015) did a study on the effect of soft tissue manipulation on physiological restoration and physical performance on 30 experienced male bodybuilders after exercise protocol. They stated that in comparison to rest, soft tissue manipulation can increase the number of knee extensions and can improve the muscle function.^[29]

However, Hemings *et al.* (2000) did a study on eight amateur boxers in two sessions. Boxers first boxed for five 2-min rounds. The researchers reported that soft tissue manipulation had no effect on the repetition of functions in that sport and could not prevent a decrease in force in boxing repetitions. However, soft tissue manipulation could significantly improve the perceived recovery.^[15] Also, Urio Bender *et al.* (2019) showed that soft tissue manipulation therapy slightly decreased pain intensity after habitual running, but had no effect on fatigue.^[10]

Roberstone *et al.* (2004) did a survey on nine healthy male athletes and did not find any difference in maximal power and mean power between soft tissue manipulation and passive rest.^[30]

This study rejects the conclusion of research carried out by Roberston *et al.* (2004) and Bender *et al.* (2019) in which they mentioned that soft tissue manipulation is not preferable to rest in fatigue recovery and performance.^[10,30] The contradiction between the conclusions of this research and the aforementioned research may stem from a difference in the methodology of the research. The isokinetic dynamometer was not used in any of those studies to make and evaluate fatigue, and the method of their evaluation was the repetition of sports activity. Furthermore, Bender *et al.* (2019) suggest that contradiction between the studies about effect of soft tissue manipulation on fatigue is related to the level of fatigue.^[10] They believe that participants tolerated higher fatigue level in studies that show good effective of soft tissue manipulation therapy compared with Bender *et al.* study.^[10]

This research shows that the fatigue protocol can increase the level of perceived fatigue, and after 15 min of soft tissue manipulation or rest, the level of perceived fatigue decreases. The achieved results differed for soft tissue manipulation and rest, and soft tissue manipulation caused less perceived fatigue than rest. Hence, soft tissue manipulation as a strategy for regaining the original state is more effective than rest and can ameliorate perceived fatigue more, despite the fact that both soft tissue manipulation and rest did not restore the VAS to its original state, that is, before the fatigue protocol. In other words, VAS showed a significant difference from the beginning of participation to after the intervention. We can justify that since each individual's perception of fatigue is different, and the perception of fatigue levels may not be regained after 15 min of soft tissue manipulation or rest. The VAS value is utterly subjective and, unlike objective parameters, cannot return to the original state quickly; that is, objective parameters may return to their original state after 15 min of soft tissue manipulation but the individual's perception of fatigue may not be completely restored and needs more time to evaluate. On the other hand, the other reason of positive effect of fatigue arises from greater localized blood circulation after soft tissue manipulation therapy that is created either by mechanical effect or temperature increase.^[14]

Athletes believe that soft tissue manipulation has a positive effect on their activities/performance and decreases the duration of regaining a normal state after fatigue, which makes better performance in the next competition. Many sport therapists and physiotherapists have also used soft tissue manipulation widely in treating athletes.^[31] Analysis of research findings shows a difference between the effects of soft tissue manipulation and rest in relieving fatigue and regaining performance. In other words, soft tissue manipulation could improve performance after maximal fatigue compared with the rest. Thus, soft tissue manipulation is recommended to relieve fatigue and increase movement function during sports and rest intervals.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Razeghi M, Nouri H. Comparison of the effects of massage and cryotherapy on the knee extensor muscles fatigue and isokinetic parameters in soccer players. *J Rehabil*

- Sci Res 2015;2:1-7.
2. Shin MS, Sung YH. Effects of massage on muscular strength and proprioception after exercise-induced muscle damage. *J Strength Cond Res* 2015;29:2255-60.
 3. Sonnery-Cottet B, Saithna A, Quelard B, Daggett M, Borade A, Ouanezar H, *et al.* Arthrogenic muscle inhibition after ACL reconstruction: A scoping review of the efficacy of interventions. *Br J Sports Med* 2019;53:289-98.
 4. Callahan DM, Umberger BR, Kent JA. Mechanisms of *in vivo* muscle fatigue in humans: Investigating age-related fatigue resistance with a computational model. *J Physiol* 2016;594:3407-21.
 5. Akinoglu B, Kocahan T, Özkan T. The relationship between peripheral muscle strength and respiratory function and respiratory muscle strength in athletes. *J Exerc Rehabil* 2019;15:44-9.
 6. Coutinho D, Goncalves B, Wong DP, Travassos B, Coutts AJ, Sampaio J. Exploring the effects of mental and muscular fatigue in soccer players' performance. *Hum Mov Sci* 2018;58:287-96.
 7. Goodall S, Charlton K, Howatson G, Thomas K. Neuromuscular fatigability during repeated-sprint exercise in male athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2015;47:528-36.
 8. Vanin AA, Verhagen E, Barboza SD, Costa LOP, Leal-Junior ECP. Photobiomodulation therapy for the improvement of muscular performance and reduction of muscular fatigue associated with exercise in healthy people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Laser Med Sci* 2018;33:181-214.
 9. Andersen LL, Jay K, Andersen CH, Jakobsen MD, Sundstrup E, Topp R, *et al.* Acute effects of massage or active exercise in relieving muscle soreness: Randomized controlled trial. *J Strength Cond Res* 2013;27:3352-9.
 10. Bender PU, Luz CMd, Feldkircher JM, Nunes GS. Massage therapy slightly decreased pain intensity after habitual running, but had no effect on fatigue, mood or physical performance: A randomised trial. *J Physiother* 2019;65:75-80.
 11. Weerapong P, Hume PA, Kolt GS. The mechanisms of massage and effects on performance, muscle recovery and injury prevention. *Sports Med* 2005;35:235-56.
 12. Hunter AM, Watt JM, Watt V, Galloway SDR. Effect of lower limb massage on electromyography and force production of the knee extensors. *Br J Sports Med* 2006;40:114.
 13. Baptista RR, Scheeren EM, Macintosh BR, Vaz MA. Low-frequency fatigue at maximal and submaximal muscle contractions. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2009;42:380-5.
 14. Nunes GS, Bender PU, de Menezes FS, Yamashitafuji I, Vargas VZ, Wageck B. Massage therapy decreases pain and perceived fatigue after long-distance Ironman triathlon: A randomised trial *J Physiother* 2016;62:83-7.
 15. Hemmings B, Smith M, Graydon J, Dyson R. Effects of massage on physiological restoration, perceived recovery, and repeated sports performance. *Br J Sports Med* 2000;34:109-15.
 16. Mancinelli CA, Davis DS, Aboulhosn L, Brady M, Eisenhofer J, Foutty S. The effects of massage on delayed onset muscle soreness and physical performance in female collegiate athletes. *Phys Ther Sport* 2006;7:5-13.
 17. Murphy DF, Connolly DAJ, Beynnon BD. Risk factors for lower extremity injury: A review of the literature. *Br J Sports Med* 2003;37:13.
 18. Hunter AM, Watt JM, Watt V, Galloway SD. Effect of lower limb massage on electromyography and force production of the knee extensors. *Br J Sports Med* 2006;40:114-8.
 19. Tanaka TH, Leisman G, Mori H, Nishijo K. The effect of massage on localized lumbar muscle fatigue. *BMC Complement Altern Med* 2002;2:9.
 20. Al-Eisa ES, Alghadir AH, Gabr SA. Correlation between vitamin D levels and muscle fatigue risk factors based on physical activity in healthy older adults. *Clin Interv Aging* 2016;11:513-22.
 21. Abboud J, Nougrou F, Lardon A, Dugas C, Descarreaux M. Influence of lumbar muscle fatigue on trunk adaptations during sudden external perturbations. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2016;10:576.
 22. Vollestad NK. Measurement of human muscle fatigue. *J Neurosci Methods* 1997;74:219-27.
 23. Taylor JL, Butler JE, Gandevia SC. Changes in muscle afferents, motoneurons and motor drive during muscle fatigue. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2000;83:106-15.
 24. Warren GL, Lowe DA, Armstrong RB. Measurement tools used in the study of eccentric contraction-induced injury. *Sports Med* 1999;27:43-59.
 25. Almekinders LC, Oman J. Isokinetic muscle testing: Is it clinically useful? *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 1994;2:221-5.
 26. Ochsendorf DT, Mattacola CG, Arnold BL. Effect of orthotics on postural sway after fatigue of the plantar flexors and dorsiflexors. *J Athl Train* 2000;35:26-30.
 27. Finch E, Brooks D, Stratford P, Mayo N. Physical rehabilitation outcome measures: A guide to enhanced clinical decision making. *Physiother Can* 2003;55:53-4.
 28. Carter R, Lubinsky J. *Rehabilitation Research: Principles and Applications*. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2015. (ISBN 978-1-437-70840-0).
 29. Kargarfard M, Lam ETC, Shariat A, Shaw BS, Tamrin SBM. Efficacy of massage on muscle soreness, perceived recovery, physiological restoration and physical performance in male bodybuilders. *J Sports Sci* 2016;34:959-65.
 30. Robertson A, Watt JM, Galloway SDR. Effects of leg massage on recovery from high intensity cycling exercise. *Br J Sports Med* 2004;38:173.
 31. Bervoets DC, Luijsterburg PA, Alessie JJ, Buijs MJ, Verhagen AP. Massage therapy has short-term benefits for people with common musculoskeletal disorders compared to no treatment: A systematic review. *J Physiother* 2015;61:106-16.