

Impact of nicotine dependence on self-efficacy and readiness to quit among tobacco consumers in rural areas

Vishwanath V Jalli¹, Sreepradha C¹, Shweta Halemani², Animesh Mutha³, Rituraj Sharma³, Himanshu Joshi⁴, Kumar Gaurav Chhabra^{5,6}

¹Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Government Dental College and Research Institute, Vims, Ballari, Karnataka, India, ²Department of Periodontics, Government Dental College and Research Institute, Vims, Ballari, Karnataka, India, ³Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics, Pacific Dental College and Research Centre, Debari, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India ⁴Prosthodontics, Crown Bridge and Implantology, Daswani Dental College, Kota, Rajasthan, India, ⁵Department of Public Health Dentistry, Nims Dental College and Hospital, Nims University, Rajasthan, Jaipur, India, ⁶Adjunct Faculty, Research and Developmental Cell, Datta Meghe Institute of Higher Education and Research, Sawangi, Wardha, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

Aim and Objectives: The aim of the present study was to determine the impact or effect of nicotine dependence on self-efficacy and readiness to quit. Materials and Method: The current study was performed using a cross-sectional descriptive questionnaire design among tobacco users visiting primary health care facilities in the rural Jaipur district. Jaipur district is divided into four directions: east, west, north, and south. From each direction, two PHCs were selected randomly based on suitable accessibility to patients. Sample size of study is 465. Out of 465 tobacco consumers, 238 were consuming a smoked form of tobacco, and 227 study participants were consuming a smokeless form of tobacco. **Results:** It was observed that the majority of study participants (145 (31%)) need smoke/smokeless tobacco within 5 minutes of waking up. With regards to internal stimuli, the majority of study participants (179 (38%)) and (203 (44%)) were not very sure that they would refrain from smoking when they were nervous and depressed. It was determined that quitting tobacco products was not at all important for 159 (34%) study participants. In regards to confidence in tobacco product quitting, only 79 (16%) of tobacco consumers were extremely confident. **Conclusion:** It was concluded that nicotine dependence impacts both self-efficacy and readiness to quit. It was determined that the higher the nicotine dependence, the less self-efficacy and the less would be the readiness to quit.

Keywords: Dependency, nicotine, self-efficacy, tobacco

Introduction

Smoking increases adult mortality, especially in low- and middle-income countries where the burden of tobacco-related illness and death is highest. 9.5% of all fatalities in India are caused by tobacco use, which results in more than 1 million adult deaths each year.^[1]

Address for correspondence: Dr. Vishwanath V Jalli, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Government Dental College and Research Institute, Vims, Ballari, Karnataka, India. E-mail: vvjalli1982@gmail.com

Received: 02-10-2023 **Accepted:** 27-12-2023 **Revised:** 13-12-2023 **Published:** 04-04-2024

Acce	ss this article online
Quick Response Code:	Website: http://journals.lww.com/JFMPC
	DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_1624_23

There is no safe level of cigarette exposure; using tobacco products is harmful in any amount. Cigarette smoking is the most common way to consume tobacco in the world. Bidis and kreteks, heated tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, pipe tobacco, cigars, cigarillos, and waterpipe tobacco are some other tobacco products.^[2]

A dependency on nicotine is referred to as nicotine dependence.^[3] Nicotine dependency is a long-term, recurrent condition characterized by an obsessional need to use the substance despite negative social repercussions, a lack of control over drug use, and the onset of withdrawal symptoms.^[4] Another

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Jalli VV, Sreepradha C, Halemani S, Mutha A, Sharma R, Joshi H, *et al.* Impact of nicotine dependence on self-efficacy and readiness to quit among tobacco consumers in rural areas. J Family Med Prim Care 2024;13:1079-84.

aspect of drug dependence is tolerance. As a person continues to use nicotine, a reliance on it grows over time.^[5]

Tobacco products, including cigarettes, are addictive. Most smokers smoke frequently because they are nicotine addicts. Furthermore, nicotine addiction makes it challenging for most smokers to stop smoking cigarettes. The first few years of cigarette smoking, or for the majority of individuals, youth or early adulthood, are when nicotine addiction begins to take hold. The majority of smokers start while they are young or in adolescence: 71% of those who have ever smoked daily started doing so by the age of 18, while 89% of daily smokers tried their first cigarette by or at that age. A child's likelihood of becoming a regular smoker (one who smokes once a month or more regularly) or a daily smoker increases with the sooner in life they use cigarettes. For instance, 46% of teens who start smoking in their eleventh grade go on to become regular adult smokers, while 67% of youngsters who start smoking in the sixth grade do the same.^[6]

Tobacco consumption and nicotine dependence in rural areas in India are affected by various factors. Various factors such as low literacy rate, very little knowledge about tobacco de-addiction centers, and disproportionate use of tobacco may lead to high nicotine dependence.^[3] Some other and not-so-common researched factors are self-efficacy and readiness to quit.

Self-efficacy is a significant psychological characteristic that has been linked to nicotine dependency. Smokers' self-efficacy can be seen as a key component in the conversion to healthy behavior since they believe they have control over their smoking behavior.^[7,8] In a study by Hanqiao Ma *et al.*,^[9] it was hypothesized that, on the one hand, the use of negative coping styles could increase nicotine dependence and, on the other hand, that they can decrease the self-efficacy of quitting smoking and, consequently, increase nicotine dependence.

The ability to stop is another element that demonstrates a connection between nicotine dependency. Numerous studies have shown that nicotine dependency was linked to teenage readiness and capacity to stop smoking.^[10]

Besides all research, a large research gap exists, and very few studies in India have been conducted to assess the impact of nicotine dependence on self-efficacy and readiness to quit among tobacco consumers especially in rural areas. Therefore, the current study's goal was to ascertain how nicotine dependency affected preparedness and self-efficacy for quitting.

Materials and Methods

The current study was performed using a cross-sectional descriptive questionnaire design on tobacco consumers coming to primary health centers in rural areas of the Jaipur district. The study was conducted from January to July 2023. The necessary permission to carry out the study was obtained from the CMHO

office. The participants were also informed about the purpose of the study, and written informed consent was obtained from them before the study was implemented. Ethical Clearance was obtained before the start of the study from the Institutional Review board.

The primary health centers (PHCs) are primarily in charge of offering both curative and preventive healthcare in rural areas. In addition to standard in-patient and out-patient treatments, this also involves the provision of reproductive and child health services, such as prenatal care and vaccination. Because one PHC is planned to serve 20,000-30,000 people, a greater population can access PHCs.[11] Jaipur district is situated in the eastern part of Rajasthan. There are about 81 PHCs in the Jaipur district.^[12] Out of this, 21 PHCs are situated in rural areas of Jaipur district; Jaipur district is divided into four directions that is east, west, north, and south; from each direction, two PHCs were selected randomly based on suitable accessibility to patients. Written permission was obtained from the chief medical officers of all eight PHCs, and those given permission were included in the study. Tobacco consumers consuming at least one unit of both smoked and smokeless form of tobacco per day were part of the study. The present study was conducted among 465 tobacco consumers from eight PHCs. The sample size was calculated using the formula N = $Z^{2}_{1-\alpha/2}p$ (1 - p)/d². N = Sample size, $1-\alpha$ = confidence level, $Z1-\alpha/2$ = Represent the number of standard errors from the mean $(z1-\alpha/2)$ is the function of confidence level). Out of 465 tobacco consumers, 238 were consuming a smoke form of tobacco, and 227 study participants were consuming a smokeless form of tobacco

Before the main survey, a pilot survey was carried out among 10% of study participants to assess the reliability and validity of the questionnaire. By utilizing Test-Retest and the values of measured Kappa (k) =0.81, weighted Kappa (kw) =0.86, the reliability of the questionnaire was assessed. By using Cronbach's alpha (α), the internal consistency of questionnaires was evaluated, and a value of α =0.91 was determined.

A closed-ended interview schedule was created for the current study to assess participants' readiness to stop, self-efficacy, and reliance on nicotine. There are four components to it. The first section contains information about the patient's demographics, including their age, which was broken down into two groups ranging from 25 to 45 years old, or 25 to 35 years and 36 to 45 years. Gender was divided into male and female; socioeconomic status was measured by the revised BG Prasad socioeconomic status scale (updated for January 2021), which divides socioeconomic status into five categories that are upper class, upper middle class, middle class, lower middle class, and lower class on the basis of per capita monthly income.^[13] The second part consists of nicotine dependence, which was measured by the Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND).^[14] In the present study, FTND was modified to assess nicotine dependence for both smoke and smokeless tobacco consumers. The questionnaire consists of eight items. The overall score for the FTND ranges from 0 to 14, and it was divided into a three-point scale that is 10-14 points = minimally dependent = 3; 6-9 points = moderately dependent = 2; 0-5 points = highly dependent = 1. The third part consists of 12-item questionnaires (SEQ-12) to measure self-efficacy.^[15] The questionnaire for readiness to quit was a 2-item questionnaire.^[16] The responses to these questions range from 1 to 10; the higher the score, the more positive the response. It constitutes the fourth part of the questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

The data are analyzed using SPSS version 21.0 after being entered into Microsoft Excel 2014. Descriptive statistics is used to determine demographic details, nicotine dependence, self-efficacy, and readiness to quit. Regression analysis is used to determine the impact of nicotine dependence on self-efficacy and readiness to quit.

Results

Table 1 shows the demographic details of study participants. It was observed that most study participants (271 (58%)) were 25-35 years old. Most of them were males (354 (76%)). Socioeconomic status of the tobacco consumers of most of the study participants (108 (23%)) was upper middle class.

Table 2 shows nicotine dependence among study participants. It was observed that the majority of study participants (145 (31%)) need smoke/smokeless tobacco within 5 minutes of waking up. About 149 (32%) of tobacco consumers sometimes swallow tobacco juice intentionally. 256 (55%) of tobacco consumers consume smoking/smokeless tobacco if they are ill and are in bed most of the day.

Table 3 shows self-efficacy of study participants. With regards to internal stimuli, the majority of study participants $\{179 (38\%)\}$ and $\{203 (44\%)\}$ were not very sure that they would refrain from

Table 1: Demographic de participants (<i>n</i> :	
Demographic variable	n (%)
Age	
25-35 years	271 (58%)
36-45 years	194 (42%)
Total	465 (100)
Gender	
Male	354 (76%)
Female	111 (24%)
Total	465 (100)
Socioeconomic Status	
Upper Class	102 (22%)
Upper Middle Class	108 (23%)
Middle Class	92 (20)
Lower Middle Class	89 (19%)
Lower Class	74 (16%)
Total	465 (100)

smoking when they were nervous and depressed. As compared to this, 138 (30%) of study participants {138 (30%)} were more or less sure about refraining from smoking when they thought about a difficult problem. In respect to external stimuli, drinking with friends {209 (45%)} and with smokers {210 (45%)} are the two most common stimuli for which tobacco consumers do not refrain from smoking.

Nicotine dependence n (%)	Tobacco
	consumers
Q1. How soon after you wake up do you take smoke/	
smokeless tobacco?	
Within 5 min	145 (31%)
6–30 min	75 (16%)
31–60 min	123 (26%)
After 60 min	122 (27%)
Total	465 (100)
Q2. How often do you intentionally swallow tobacco juice?	100 (100)
Always	24 (5%)
Sometimes	149 (32%)
Never	54 (12%)
Smoke tobacco consumers	238 (51%)
Total	465 (100)
Q3. Do you find it difficult to refrain from taking	405 (100)
smoking/smokeless tobacco in places where it is	
forbidden (e.g., in church, at the library, or in cinema)?	
Yes	312 (67%)
No	153 (33%)
Total	465 (100)
Q4. Which smoking/smokeless tobacco would you hate	405 (100)
to give up most?	
The first one in the morning	301 (65%)
Any other	164 (35%)
Total	, ,
	465 (100)
Q5. How many cigarettes/day do you smoke?	90 (170/)
31 or more	80 (17%)
21-30	46 (10%)
11–20	87 (19%)
10 or less	25 (5%)
Smokeless tobacco consumers	227 (49%)
Total	465 (100)
Q6. How many cans/pouches per week do you use?	
>3	56 (12%)
2–3	123 (26%)
1	48 (11%)
Smoke tobacco consumers	238 (51%)
Total	465 (100)
Q7. Do you take smoking/smokeless tobacco frequently during the first hours after awakening than during the rest of the day?	
Yes	314 (68%)
No	151 (32%)
Total	465 (100)
Q8. Do you take smoking/smokeless tobacco if you are	
so ill that you are in bed most of the day?	054 /550 ()
Yes	256 (55%)
No	209 (45%)
Total	465 (100)

Discussion

Table 4 shows the readiness to quit among tobacco consumers. It was determined that quitting tobacco products was not at all important for 159 (34%) study participants. In regards to confidence in tobacco product quitting, only 79 (16%) of tobacco consumers were extremely confident. In contrast, about 178 (38%) of the study participants were not at all confident of quitting tobacco.

Table 5 shows that nicotine dependence of the majority of study participants {203 (44%)} were highly dependent. Self-efficacy of 243 (52%) of the study participants was low, and low readiness to quit was prevalent among 298 (64%) tobacco consumers. Only 21 (5%) of study participants were highly ready to quit.

Table 6 shows the impact of tobacco addiction on self-efficacy and readiness to quit. It was observed that self-efficacy was significantly higher ($P = 0.01^*$) among minimally dependent tobacco consumers. While significantly ($P = 0.00^*$) lower scores of readiness to quit were observed among highly dependent tobacco consumers. While among minimally dependent tobacco consumers, significantly ($P = 0.00^*$) higher scores of readiness to quit were observed. The goal of the current study was to ascertain how nicotine dependency affected self-efficacy and readiness to quit in rural areas of the Jaipur district. The majority of the participants in this cross-sectional study were males between the ages of 25 and 35, and the majority of tobacco users were from the upper middle class. Research by Gaur *et al.*^[17] found that men aged 36 to 47 made up the bulk of study participants. This might be a result of the higher incidence of tobacco use among men in rural regions.^[18]

Regarding nicotine dependency, the majority of the study participants in this study need cigarettes within five minutes of waking up, and they occasionally purposefully consume the juice from tobacco products. Even when they are unwell and confined to beds, the majority of them would still prefer to smoke. In contrast, the majority of the research participants in a study by Gaur *et al.*^[17] needed to smoke between 31 and 60 minutes after awakening. The individuals in this research occasionally ingest tobacco juice; however, the majority abstain due to illness or being bedridden. This could be because the participants in the

The following are some situations in which central that you co	tain people mig uld refrain from	-		ndicate whethe	r you are sure	Total <i>n</i> (%)
	Not at all sure <i>n</i> (%)	Not very sure <i>n</i> (%)	More or less sure <i>n</i> (%)	Fairly sure n (%)	Absolutely sure <i>n</i> (%)	
Internal stimuli						
When I feel nervous	134 (29%)	179 (38%)	56 (12%)	51 (11%)	45 (10%)	465 (100)
When I feel depressed	168 (36%)	203 (44%)	49 (11%)	34 (7%)	11 (2%)	465 (100)
When I am angry	99 (21%)	78 (17%)	139 (30%)	82 (18%)	67 (14%)	465 (100)
When I feel very anxious	126 (27%)	101 (22%)	101 (22%)	77 (17%)	60 (12%)	465 (100)
When I want to think about a difficult problem	57 (12%)	89 (19%)	138 (30%)	102 (22%)	79 (17%)	465 (100)
When I feel the urge to smoke	178 (38%)	136 (29%)	71 (15%)	48 (10%)	32 (8%)	465 (100)
External stimuli						
When having a drink with friends	209 (45%)	156 (34%)	47 (10%)	22 (5%)	31 (6%)	465 (100)
When celebrating something	165 (35%)	137 (29%)	87 (19%)	56 (12%)	20 (5%)	465 (100)
When drinking beer, wine or other spirits	171 (37%)	142 (30%)	75 (16%)	63 (13%)	14 (3%)	465 (100)
When I am with smokers	210 (45%)	201 (43%)	23 (5%)	19 (4%)	12 (3%)	465 (100)
After a meal	78 (17%)	107 (23%)	176 (38%)	83 (18%)	21 (4%)	465 (100)
When having coffee or tea	34 (7%)	56 (12%)	213 (46%)	95 (20%)	67 (15%)	465 (100)

Table 4: Readiness to quit among study participants (n=465)

Importance:

How important would you say it is for you to quit using tobacco products, including e-cigarettes and vaping devices? On a scale from 0-10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, where would you say you are?

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
Not at all	l important r	n (%)	Somewl	nat importa	nt n (%)	Very impor	rtant n (%)	Extrem	ely importa	nt <i>n</i> (%)	
159 (34%))			132 (28%)		89 (2	20%)		85 (18%)		465 (100%)
Confidenc	ce:										

And how confident would you say you are that if you decided to quit using tobacco products including e-cigarettes and vaping devices, you could do it? On the same scale from 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all confident and 10 is extremely confident, where would you say you are?

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
Not at all	confident n	(%)	Somew	hat confider	nt <i>n</i> (%)	Very confi	dent <i>n</i> (%)	Extrem	ely confide	nt <i>n</i> (%)	
178 (38%)				118 (25%)		100 (21%)		79 (16%)		465 (100%)

Table 5: Nicotine dependence, se	
to quit among study	
	n (%)
Nicotine dependence	
Minimally dependent	79 (17%)
Moderately dependent	183 (39%)
Highly dependent	203 (44%)
Total	465 (100)
Self-efficacy	
High self-efficacy	28 (6%)
Medium self-efficacy	194 (42%)
Low Self-efficacy	243 (52%)
Total	465 (100)
Readiness to quit	
High	21 (5%)
Medium	146 (31%)
Low	298 (64%)
Total	465 (100)

current study were members of the general population from rural regions who had less knowledge of poor tobacco habits than medical professionals.

In the current investigation, patients with low cigarette dependency scores had considerably high self-efficacy ratings. The same outcomes were found in the study by Gaur *et al.*^[17] The same findings were shown in a research by Shinkre R *et al.*,^[19] which found a statistically significant correlation between respondents' high levels of nicotine dependency and low self-efficacy.

The closeness to smokers and the impulse to smoke, followed by depressive feelings, were shown to be the most frequent causes of smoking in the current study. In contrast to this, the most frequent cause for quitting in research by Prokhorov AV *et al.*^[20] was the impulse to smoke, followed by "irritability or anger."

In the current study, smokers who were heavily dependent on tobacco showed substantially lower levels of ready-to-quit ($P = 0.00^*$). Similar findings were found in research by Lin H *et al.*,^[21] Deolia SG *et al.*^[22] and Chhabra C *et al.*^[23] which found a higher connection between the low-dependence group's behavior and quitting intention.

The current study has limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results, as the fact that it was performed in rural settings may restrict its applicability to populations living in urban or suburban areas. Findings from rural settings may not apply to a broader population, which limits the external validity of the study. Then there could be Selection Bias like if the study relies on self-reporting or convenience sampling, it may not accurately represent all tobacco consumers in rural areas, potentially leading to a biased sample. The measurement of self-efficacy can be challenging. Self-efficacy is often assessed through self-report questionnaires, which may not capture the full range of factors influencing an individual's confidence in quitting tobacco.

	Table	6: Imp	act of Nicotine ad	ldictic	on on self-efficacy	and r	Table 6: Impact of Nicotine addiction on self-efficacy and readiness to quit among study participants	nong s	tudy participants			
Tobacco addiction			Self-efficacy						Readiness to quit	iit		
	High	Ρ	Medium	Ρ	Low	Ρ	High	Р	Medium	Ρ	Low	Ρ
	$\operatorname{Exp}(B)$ 95% CI		Exp (B) 95% CI		Exp (B) 95% CI		Exp (B) 95% CI		Exp (B) 95% CI		$\operatorname{Exp}(B)$ 95% CI	
Minimally dependent	1.411 (0.815-2.758)	0.01*	0.964 (0.391-3.123)	0.88	0.459 (0.377-7.831)	0.23	1.411 (0.815-2.758) 0.01* 0.964 (0.391-3.123) 0.88 0.459 (0.377-7.831) 0.23 5.449 (1.894-7.455)	0.00*	0.984 (0.219-7.485)	0.11	0.00* 0.984 (0.219-7.485) 0.11 0.194 (6.721-58.841) 0.21	0.21
Moderately dependent	Aoderately dependent 2.123 (1.684-3.411) 0.02* 0.144 (0.385-2.517)	0.02*	0.144 (0.385-2.517)	0.47	0.47 0.179 $(0.332-1.563)$ 0.09	0.09	3.556 (2.214-5.245)	0.03*	1.955 (0.149-6.322) 0.56 0.831 (0.331-2.455)	0.56	0.831 (0.331-2.455)	0.29
Highly dependent	0.621(0.344-1.598)	0.98	0.621 (0.344-1.598) 0.98 0.725 (0.444-1.889)	0.12	0.514(0.401-2.448)	0.00*	0.12 0.514 (0.401-2.448) 0.00* 9.411 (2.919-11.618) 0.94 1.338 (1.241-4.195) 0.02* 0.564 (0.163-4.317)	0.94	1.338 (1.241-4.195)	0.02*	0.564(0.163 - 4.317)	0.00*

P<0.05*

Conclusion

From above, it was concluded that, nicotine dependence impacts both self-efficacy and readiness to quit. It was determined that higher the nicotine dependence less will be self-efficacy and less will be the readiness to quit. Proximity to smokers and urge to smoke are the most important reasons that decrease the self-efficacy of a tobacco consumer, therefore decrease the readiness to quit.

More and more studies are needed in this field to be conducted and especially longitudinal studies to assess the impact of time on nicotine dependence and other factors.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Rai B, Bramhankar M. Tobacco use among Indian states: Key findings from the latest demographic health survey 2019-2020. Tob Prev Cessat 2021;7:19.
- 2. Tobacco. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/ fact-sheets/detail/tobacco. [Last accessed on 2023 Jul 18].
- 3. D'Souza MS, Markou A. Neuronal mechanisms underlying development of nicotine dependence: Implications for novel smoking-cessation treatments. Addict Sci Clin Pract 2011;6:4–16.
- 4. Falcone M, Lee B, Lerman C, Blendy JA. Translational research on nicotine dependence. Curr Top Behav Neurosci 2016;28:121-50.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The health consequences of smoking: Nicotine addiction: A report of the Surgeon General (PDF). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Center for Health Promotion and Education, Office on Smoking and Health. DHHS Publication No. (CDC) 88-8406. 1988.
- 6. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Preventing Nicotine Addiction in Children and Youths; Lynch BS, Bonnie RJ, editors. Growing up Tobacco Free: Preventing Nicotine Addiction in Children and Youths. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 1994. 2, THE NATURE OF NICOTINE ADDICTION. Available from: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK236759/. [Last accessed on 2023 Jul 18].
- 7. Bian YF. Belief in ability affects behavior -- An experiment on learning effectiveness by Bandura *et al.* Zhongxiaxue Xinli Jiankang Jiaoyu 2014:30-1.
- 8. Wang ZL, Jiang FY. Social-cognitive factors in changing health-related behavior: A review on health action process approach. Med Philosophy 2006;27:16-8.
- 9. Ma H, Li X, Zhang M, Liu H, Jin Q, Qiao K, *et al.* Relationships among smoking abstinence self-efficacy, trait coping style

and nicotine dependence of smokers in Beijing. Tob Induc Dis 2020;18:72. doi: 10.18332/tid/125401.

- 10. Horn K, Fernandes A, Dino G, Massey CJ, Kalsekar I. Adolescent nicotine dependence and smoking cessation outcomes. Addict Behav 2003;28:769–76.
- International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), 2014. District Level Household and Facility Survey (DLHS-4), 2012-13: India. Rajasthan: Mumbai: IIPS; 2014. Retrieved from https://nrhm-mis.nic.in > Facility Survey Report-AHS States > Rajasthan. [Last accessed on 2019 Aug 15].
- 12. People archives of rural India (PARI). Rural health statistics (2020-21). Available from: https://ruralindiaonline.org/en/library/resource/rural-health-statistics-2020-21/. [Last accessed on 2023 Jul 12].
- 13. Majumder S. Socioeconomic status scales: Revised Kuppuswamy, BG Prasad, and Udai Pareekh's Scale updated for 2021. J Family Med Prim Care 2021;10:3964-7.
- 14. Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerstrom KO. The Fagerstrom test for nicotine dependence: A revision of the Fagerstrom tolerance questionnaire. Br J Addict 1991;86:1119-27.
- 15. Spek V, Lemmens F, Chatrou M, van Kempen S, Pouwer F, Pop V. Development of a smoking abstinence selfefficacy questionnaire. Int J Behav Med 2013;20:4449.
- 16. American Lung Association. Tobacco Cessation Quick Reference Guide. Available from: https://www.lung.org/ getmedia/c494a113-3cf0-4159-b556-834ee669293a/ using-scaling-to-assess-readiness-to-quit_quick-referenceguide. [Last accessed on 2023 Jul 12].
- 17. Gaur T, Rao DS, Jadhav AA, Dagur LS, Dhaka H, Pathak P, *et al.* Nicotine dependence, perceived stress, and self-efficacy among primary health care professionals during the times of Covid-19 pandemic A cross-sectional descriptive study. J Family Med Prim Care 2021;10:4124-30.
- 18. Chockalingam K, Vedhachalam C, Rangasamy S, Sekar G, Adinarayanan S, Swaminathan S, *et al.* Prevalence of tobacco use in urban, semi urban and rural areas in and around Chennai City, India. PLoS One 2013;8:e76005.
- 19. Shinkre R, Srivastava BK, Eshwar VK, Bharadwaj A, Sujithra S. Effect of self-esteem & self-efficacy on levels of nicotine dependence among current smokers in Bangalore-A cross-sectional study. Global Journal for Research Analysis 2022;11:172-3.
- 20. Prokhorov AV, Hudmon KS, de Moor CA, Kelder SH, Conroy JL, Ordway N. Nicotine dependence, withdrawal symptoms, and adolescents' readiness to quit smoking. Nicotine Tob Res 2001;3:151-5.
- 21. Lin H, Chen M, Yun Q, Zhang L, Chang C. Tobacco dependence affects determinants related to quitting intention and behaviour. Sci Rep 2021;11:20202.
- 22. Deolia SG, Khare MV, Arora RP, Chikhale RN, Korde RD, Reche AM. Assessment of the oral health seeking behavior of patients with premalignant lesions. J Family Med Prim Care 2020;9:141-6.
- 23. Chhabra C, Chhabra KG, Bishnoi S, Singh J, Sahu V, Lohra A, *et al.* Exploring the predictors of quitting tobacco usage among patients attending a private dental institution-A survey from Jodhpur, India. Oral Health Dent Manag 2014;13:815-20.