INTERFACE

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsif

Research



Cite this article: Payne-Dwyer AL, Syeda AH, Shepherd JW, Frame L, Leake MC. 2022 RecA and RecB: probing complexes of DNA repair proteins with mitomycin C in live *Escherichia coli* with single-molecule sensitivity. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **19**: 20220437. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2022.0437

Received: 13 June 2022 Accepted: 19 July 2022

Subject Category:

Life Sciences-Physics interface

Subject Areas:

biophysics

Keywords:

recombination, repair, DNA damage, mitomycin C, super-resolution microscopy, single-molecule tracking

Author for correspondence:

Mark C. Leake e-mail: mark.leake@york.ac.uk

[†]These authors contributed equally.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.6125220.



RecA and RecB: probing complexes of DNA repair proteins with mitomycin C in live *Escherichia coli* with single-molecule sensitivity

Alex L. Payne-Dwyer^{1,2,†}, Aisha H. Syeda^{1,2,†}, Jack W. Shepherd^{1,2}, Lewis Frame³ and Mark C. Leake^{1,2}

¹Department of Physics, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK ²Department of Biology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK ³School of Natural Sciences, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK

(D) ALP-D, 0000-0001-8802-352X; MCL, 0000-0002-1715-1249

The RecA protein and RecBCD complex are key bacterial components for the maintenance and repair of DNA. RecBCD is a helicase-nuclease that uses homologous recombination to resolve double-stranded DNA breaks. It also facilitates coating of single-stranded DNA with RecA to form RecA filaments, a vital step in the double-stranded break DNA repair pathway. However, questions remain about the mechanistic roles of RecA and RecBCD in live cells. Here, we use millisecond super-resolved fluorescence microscopy to pinpoint the spatial localization of fluorescent reporters of RecA or RecB at physiological levels of expression in individual live Escherichia coli cells. By introducing the DNA cross-linker mitomycin C, we induce DNA damage and quantify the resulting steady state changes in stoichiometry, cellular protein copy number and molecular mobilities of RecA and RecB. We find that both proteins accumulate in molecular hotspots to effect repair, resulting in RecA stoichiometries equivalent to several hundred molecules that assemble largely in dimeric subunits before DNA damage, but form periodic subunits of approximately 3-4 molecules within mature filaments of several thousand molecules. Unexpectedly, we find that the physiologically predominant forms of RecB are not only rapidly diffusing monomers, but slowly diffusing dimers.

1. Introduction

Accurate duplication of the genome is crucial in all organisms, accomplished by a sophisticated molecular machine known as the replisome [1]. An inability to accurately replicate genetic material can lead to cell death and/or cancers [2,3]. Mitomycin C (MMC) is a naturally occurring antibiotic that can be used to controllably disrupt DNA replication, and thus a valuable reagent in studying DNA repair processes. It is used as a chemotherapeutic in treating several cancers [4] and retinopathies [5] and acts by targeting DNA deoxyguanosine (dG) residues [6], forming intrastrand or interstrand cross-links [7]. If unrepaired, these structures can interfere with cellular processes such as transcription and replication, potentially leading to genome instability [8]. An encounter between a mitomycin C-induced cross-link and an approaching replisome may result in replisome disassembly and eventually a double-strand break (DSB) [9]. RecBCD recognizes DSBs in E. coli [10], processing the ends to generate 3'-ended single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) as a landing pad for the principal recombination protein, RecA [10]. Recombination of RecA-ssDNA complexes with the homologous DNA restores the replication fork, on which the replisome can be reloaded. The replisome may resume replication if the blocking adduct is repaired [11]. As a

© 2022 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

complex of individual RecB, RecC and RecD proteins, RecBCD is a versatile helicase-nuclease and underpins two major pathways for homologous DNA recombination, essential for DSB repair [10]. RecBCD activities involve several processes---it recognizes and binds DSBs, begins unwinding both DNA strands, and also degrades both [10]. This activity continues unhindered until it encounters an octameric Chi site that induces a shift in enzyme activity to degrade only the 5'-ended strand [12,13]. This activity shift results in a 3'-ended ssDNA overhang that facilitates RecA loading. A key function of RecA is its ability to form nucleoprotein filaments on exposed ssDNA in response to damage [14]. These filaments can infiltrate an intact duplex and, on finding homology, recombine with the infiltrated duplex [15,16]. The extension of filaments along the cell accelerates this homology search in a nonlinear fashion [17]. Following further processing of the resulting structure, primosome proteins establish an intact replisome thereby enabling replication to resume [18]. Recombination proteins, such as RecBCD, need access to replication-transcription conflict sites and collapsed forks, but if RecBCD is missing then double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) is degraded by exonucleases [19,20], possibly resulting from replisome disassembly. However, how RecA stabilizes blocked forks remains an open question.

The nucleoprotein filaments formed by RecA are both a requisite and a hallmark of the cell-wide SOS response [21–24]. The SOS response is a regulatory shift that promotes cell survival in adverse conditions associated with increased rates of interrupted replication and DNA damage [25]. The SOS response to DNA damage induced by antimicrobials plays a major role in the emergence of persister cells [26] and wider antimicrobial tolerance on a population level [27].

Given these far-reaching implications of RecA and RecB activity as studied comprehensively with mutants [12,24,28–32], it is important to establish the number of molecules present in cells, how they are spatially distributed and organized, and how these are affected by antimicrobials such as MMC. Here, we use millisecond super-resolved slim-field microscopy [33] in live *E. coli* containing genomically encoded fluorescent fusions RecA-mGFP [34] and RecB-sfGFP [35]. Since RecA fusion constructs retain only partial function, our approach makes use of a merodiploid RecA fusion that expresses from one copy of the native gene and one copy of the *recA4155* fusion construct [34]. This strain rescues approximately wild-type sensitivity with mixed assemblies of the two RecA proteins [34].

We use slimfield microscopy to visualize the spatial distribution of RecA and RecB fluorescent proteins in individual cells. From these quantitative images, we identify diffraction-limited local intensity maxima (we denote these as foci-see table 1 for a description of technical slimfield microscopy nomenclature used in this study) to a lateral spatial precision of 40 nm [36]. Slimfield uses ~millisecond sampling that is sufficiently rapid to link the moving foci derived from the same emitter sources over sequential image frames, following appropriate bespoke particle tracking analysis [33,37,38], into tracks. Each of these tracks implies the presence of a particle containing one or more associated molecules; typically more than one prior to photobleaching, so more generally, we term each a molecular assembly. These tracks reveal the detailed diffusion of labelled RecA and RecB assemblies in the cytoplasm of a living cell. By using the single-molecule sensitivity of slimfield

microscopy, we are able to quantify single-molecule photobleaching steps in fluorescence intensity, to identify the characteristic brightness of a single fluorescent protein [33]. Not only does this calibration apply to the fraction of the fluorescence intensity for each tracked assembly, but also to the GFP fluorescence in the whole, or part, of each cell. We use this to determine the number of GFP-labelled molecules within each tracked assembly (the *stoichiometry*), and the total number of fluorescently labelled molecules within each cell (the *cellular protein copy number*), or intracellular segment (the *segment protein copy number*). Those fluorescent molecules which contribute to the copy number above the cell's autofluorescent background but are not detected as foci (typically due to high, uniform emitter density and/or excessive mobility) are denoted the *pool*.

Slimfield has some similarities to single particle tracking photoactivation localization microscopy [39–41]; however, our approach is simpler, requiring only constitutively expressed fluorescent reporters such as GFP, and trades off the condition of observing exclusively single molecules in order to measure the stoichiometry of dynamic assemblies far more accurately. This is a deliberate advantage of our technique over other single-molecule microscopy techniques as previously used to count RecB content in cells moleculeby-molecule [35].

Prior to MMC treatment, only point-like assemblies of RecA or RecB are detectable. RecA presents far brighter fluorescence in a cell than RecB, indicating both a typical stoichiometry and a cellular protein copy number that are 2–3 orders of magnitude greater. On treatment with MMC, we observe an increase in the average cellular protein copy number of RecA, but not of RecB, in each cell, with up to 20% of cells devoid of RecB assemblies. MMC induces the formation of RecA assemblies larger than can be captured in single foci, and we interpret these as RecA nucleoprotein filaments, or bundles of filaments [24,30,42–47], typically associated with the SOS response.

Between cellular states of SOS readiness and MMCinduced response, the stoichiometries of RecA assemblies increase, and the diffusion coefficients of assemblies decrease correspondingly. We also discover surprisingly consistent intervals between the stoichiometries of different assemblies in each condition. We interpret the average number of molecules in the intervals (the *periodicity*) as indicative of an oligometric structural repeat unit that comprises assemblies. The periodicity of RecA assemblies changes from dimetric in character to groups of roughly 3–4 molecules in response to MMC, while the periodicity of RecB assemblies is dimetric, and insensitive to MMC treatment.

Our results shed new light on the relations between structure and function for RecA and RecBCD in mediating repair upon DNA damage.

2. Results

2.1. Abundance of RecA, but not RecB, increases on MMC-induced DNA damage

We first optimized MMC treatment conditions so that they did not cause cellular filamentation in wild-type cells (§4.1; electronic supplementary material, figure S1) but did induce the SOS response [48], since cells would then be definition

metric/object

0	õ	1					
ent							
1(
ir							
cı		e	s				
ly							

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsif J. R. Soc. Interface 19: 20220437

segment	an area of the image defined by a contiguous subset of pixels in a binary mask. This area either corresponds to a whole cell (a <i>cell mask</i>), or more typically a region inside the cell (an <i>intracellular segment</i>) of high fluorescent intensity. The term 'segment' refers to an intracellular segment unless otherwise stated
cell mask	a segment containing the outline of one cell. These are extracted using a machine learning protocol (electronic supplementary material, Methods)
intracellular segment	a segment inside the cell. These are extracted from the set of foci localized in that cell by rendering a super- resolved image, followed by local Otsu thresholding (§4.3.5), with the intention of isolating RecA objects that resemble nucleoprotein filaments or bundles
assembly	a group of labelled molecules physically associated with one another, either directly or indirectly, such that their diffusive movement is strongly correlated, and therefore always detected in the same track
focus (foci)	a spot-like local intensity maximum in a single frame, which corresponds to a localized group of labelled molecules (§4.3.1). Associated properties include centroid location, total intensity, and signal-to-noise ratio
track	a set of foci in adjacent frames that are spatially close enough to form a contiguous trajectory (§4.3.1). Typically associated with a single molecular assembly, or a group of strongly colocalized assemblies
diffusion coefficient	a measure of the random microscopic motion of a specific track based on the increase in the mean square displacement of its intensity centroid over time (§4.3.2)
characteristic single-molecule brightness	the average sum of pixel values in foci associated with a single fluorescent reporter molecule (e.g. mGFP), under a fixed imaging condition (§4.3.3). Equivalent to the modal step size in intensity for tracks in the final stage of photobleaching (electronic supplementary material, figure S1)
stoichiometry	the number of fluorescently labelled molecules in a specific track. This is estimated by extracting the sequence of foci belonging to that track, then extrapolating the sum of pixel values in each focus backwards along that sequence to get an initial track intensity that is independent of photobleaching (§4.3.4). The initial track intensity is then divided by the characteristic single-molecule brightness
periodicity	the population-averaged number of fluorescently labelled molecules in inferred repeat units within tracked objects. Estimated by averaging the consistent intervals between nearest-neighbour peaks in the population-level stoichiometry distribution (§4.3.5)
integrated intensity	the total fluorescence intensity of a segment in pixel counts, normalized by the characteristic single molecule brightness (§§4.3.6 and 4.3.7)
cellular (or segment) protein copy number	the average number of molecules in a cell (or intracellular segment), as estimated from the increase in integrated intensity above negative control, i.e. subtracting the contribution from autofluorescence (§§4.3.6 and 4.3.7)
pool	the intracellular fluorescence which is not detected in tracks
pool stoichiometry	the number of untracked, labelled molecules within an area of the pool equal to the size of one diffraction-limited focus (§4.3.6)

sensitized to MMC if the SOS response is blocked [48]. Filamentation and loss of viability was also minimal for the labelled strains (figure 1), hence we used the same MMC treatment for all strains. Given that SOS induction in these and related strains typically takes less than 20 min [34,48], the kinetics of initial SOS induction due to MMC will likely reach steady state within the 180 min MMC exposure that we used. In the light of the timescale of the initial SOS induction, RecA or RecB dynamics were not in the scope of our study here, but rather the steady state effect of MMC on the distribution and molecular organization of RecA and RecB.

We performed brightfield and slimfield microscopy in each field of view (§4.2). Binary masks for each cell were extracted independently of any fluorescence signal, using a machine learning segmentation protocol on brightfield images. We then applied these masks to fluorescence images to eliminate extracellular background and facilitate statistics on a cell-by-cell level (electronic supplementary material, figure S5, and electronic supplementary material, Methods). Using home-written automated particle tracking and analysis software ADEMScode [49], we identified fluorescent foci from local intensity maxima in each cell and linked these into tracks (§4.3.1). We determined the stoichiometry (the number of molecules present) of each track, from the summed pixel intensity values corresponding to the start of each track before any photobleaching has occurred (§4.3.4), normalized by brightness corresponding to a single molecule of GFP (§4.3.3).

From the localizations of foci during photobleaching, we also reconstructed super-resolved images of fluorescent RecA structures. We extracted binary masks from highly fluorescent regions of interest in these images (denoted *intracellular*



Figure 1. (*a*–*h*) Brightfield and slimfield (mean average of 3 initial frames) of live *E. coli* in 56-salts minimal media, labelled at RecA-mGFP or RecB-sfGFP before and after MMC treatment. Inset (*c*,*d*) is another cell transplanted from the same acquisition outside the cropped field of view at the same scale. Brightness of RecB-GFP slimfield panels (*f*,*h*) scaled 100× versus RecA-mGFP panels (*b*,*d*). Scale bar, 1 μ m. (*i*,*j*) Probability distributions for number of tracks detected per cell. Tracks are identified in post-acquisition analysis (§4.3.1) by first detecting foci as local fluorescent maxima, then linking nearest-neighbour foci in subsequent frames.

segments, or simply *segments* for brevity) using a classical segmentation method (§4.3.7), which enabled statistics on an intracellular segment level (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, figure S3).

Separately, from the cell masks (or intracellular segments) we also calculated the cellular (or segment) protein copy number (§§4.3.6 and 4.3.7); first we summed the pixel values in each cell or segment area and normalized these by the characteristic brightness of a single GFP to obtain the total intensity within that region, expressed in molecules [50]. Taking the difference from an equivalent area of the control strain that does not express GFP then yields the cellular or segment protein copy number corrected for any cellular autofluorescence.

Since the RecA-mGFP strain is merodiploid, both the *recA-mgfp* gene fusion construct and the unlabelled endogenous *recA* gene are expressed simultaneously [34]. However, their expression levels are not necessarily identical, nor equivalently inducible by MMC. From previous estimations of the relative *lexA* suppression rates of the relevant *recA* promoters [51], reasonable expectations are that a majority of the RecA present in the cell will be labelled with mGFP, and that RecA-mGFP is 2- to 3-fold less inducible under the SOS response as endogenous RecA [52]. We estimated the different cellular levels of unlabeled RecA versus RecA-mGFP using western blotting (electronic supplementary material, figure S4), which confirmed that the RecA-mGFP was in excess compared to the endogenous protein before and



Figure 2. Stoichiometry distributions of detected foci of (*a*) RecA-mGFP and (*b*) RecB-sfGFP with (blue) or without MMC treatment (black), shown as kernel density estimates [53]. The statistics used for MMC– (MMC+) conditions include N = 190 (67) whole RecA-mGFP cells containing n = 316 (125) tracks, or whole N = 249 (307) whole RecB cells containing n = 514 (478) tracks within the cell masks. The use of 'probability density' reflects the fact that each distribution is continuous with a total area equal to 1, such that areas under the curve correspond to the probability that the stoichiometry of a given assembly falls within a range. The kernel width (the width for smoothing the discrete stoichiometry of each track) is 0.7 molecules following the known detection sensitivity to single GFP (*a*, inset and *b*, both panels), or 8 molecules for clarity (main panel *a*). Insets are the distributions of intervals between nearest neighbour stoichiometry peaks (solid curves) whose modal position, or periodicity, indicates the number of GFP-labelled molecules in a repeating subunit within molecular assemblies (table 1). Overlaid are heuristic Gaussian fits that minimize a reduced χ^2 metric, with components of equal width and whose centres are fixed at integer multiples to account for the detected optical overlap of an integer number of subunit repeats of tracked foci. The resulting fits comprise three components for RecA-mGFP with MMC treatment (blue, Pearson's $R^2 = 0.979$, 5 degrees of freedom (dof)) and two components for RecA-mGFP without MMC (grey, Pearson's $R^2 = 0.961$, 4 dof). The mode of the peak interval is indicated $\pm 95\%$ confidence interval, alongside the number of contributing peak pairs in the original stoichiometry distribution.

after treatment. Both quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) and western blots indicated that both endogenous RecA and RecA-mGFP are inducible by MMC treatment (§4.4), with the RecA-mGFP indeed about half as inducible (electronic supplementary material, figure S4). Therefore, the total (i.e. labelled plus unlabelled) amount of RecA protein present, whether as stoichiometry, periodicity or protein copy numbers, is higher than that reported for the RecA-mGFP data directly, by an approximate correction factor of 1.3-fold in the presence of MMC. In the absence of MMC the relative amount of RecA-mGFP to RecA is large enough that the correction factor is effectively 1. As these corrections are indicative, we do not apply them in the early stages of the Results, but present them later only where relevant to interpretations (§§2.3 and 3).

We find that in the absence of MMC, RecA-mGFP has an an approximately uniform distribution in the cytoplasm that is occasionally punctuated by bright fluorescent foci that can be linked into tracks (figure 1b). The cellular protein copy number of RecA-mGFP increases from 11400 ± 200 molecules (±s.e.m.) in untreated cells to 19500 ± 300 molecules in MMC treated cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S5A). MMC treatment resulted in the subset of these RecA-mGFP molecules that are localized in tracks (i.e. the mean summed stoichiometry of all tracks detected in the whole cell) approximately doubling from 510 ± 30 to 1080 ± 60 molecules per cell (electronic supplementary material, table S1). We denote the fluorescently detected, but untracked, molecules of RecA as residing in a pool. The pool typically comprises molecules that are sufficiently dim, out-of-focus, and/or rapidly diffusing to evade direct particle-trackingbased detection; here the RecA concentration is exceptionally

high such that the stochastic fluctuations corresponding to motion of discrete foci are partly averaged out. During photobleaching, the density of foci decreases, overlap decreases and tracks become more evident. The proportion of RecAmGFP molecules in tracks is relatively low compared to the pool, but remains representative of the population of assemblies containing RecA-mGFP.

RecB-sfGFP also exhibited fluorescent tracks against a relatively diffuse background, before and after MMC treatment (figure 1f,h). RecB-sfGFP foci were observed more commonly near the poles of the cell regardless of MMC (figure $1g_{,h}$). Since the RecB-sfGFP fluorescence signal is comparatively small, estimates based on cellular protein copy number must account carefully for autofluorescence due to native components other than GFP. We estimate that the contribution of autofluorescence from the summed pixel intensity values from unlabelled MG1655 parental cells grown and imaged under identical conditions. We find that the mean level of RecB-sfGFP fluorescence was almost three times greater than the cellular autofluorescence (electronic supplementary material, figure S5B), therefore there is a comparatively large population of the cellular RecB-sfGFP that evades direct particle-tracking-based detection (cf. slower sampled images from commercial confocal/epifluorescence microscope systems) and thereby comprise a RecB pool.

The cellular protein copy number of RecB-sfGFP does not decrease significantly following MMC-induced DNA damage, comprising 126 ± 11 molecules per cell before treatment and 101 ± 14 molecules following MMC treatment (electronic supplementary material, figure S5B; Brunner– Munzel (BM) test, n = 246, p = 0.0216 | NS, not significant at Bonferroni-adjusted $\alpha = 0.01$). However, the mean



Figure 3. Bundles of RecA-mGFP filaments in MMC treated cells as observed in (a,d) brightfield and (b,e) the initial slimfield fluorescent frame (green) overlaid with all super-resolved single-molecule tracks from the acquisition (ca 40 nm spatial precision, with point localizations from foci visualized as a normalized Gaussian rendering in ThunderSTORM, §4.3.7), revealing filaments with high spatial precision (magenta); note that the contrast for the green slimfield channel is set to half to aid the visibility of the super-resolution rendering. (c,f) Slimfield at full contrast, overlaid with segments derived from each super-resolved bundle by Otsu thresholding and expanding the resulting image masks by the point spread function width of 180 nm, so as to match the diffracted-limited widefield image optical resolution (white overlay); these segments were then used to calculate the segment protein copy number. Scale bar, 2 µm.

number of RecB-sfGFP localized into tracks does decrease with MMC; just 13.6 ± 0.5 molecules per cell in all tracks, decreasing to 9.3 ± 0.3 on MMC treatment (BM test, n = 246, p < 0.001). This is clearly a much smaller absolute number of tracked molecules per cell compared to RecA-mGFP, but a similar proportion of the cellular protein copy numbers (ranging from 6 to 10% in each case). The complementary fractions of the total RecA-mGFP and RecB-sfGFP molecules assigned to their respective pools are thus consistently high (89–95%). In the respective strains, the total concentration of RecB-sfGFP is much lower than that of RecA-mGFP, and this likely indicates the correspondingly more rapid diffusion of RecB-sfGFP species within the pool.

2.2. RecB forms characteristic puncta which are partially lost on MMC exposure

We detected typically 1–3 tracks of RecA-mGFP or RecB-sfGFP in each cell above the local background fluorescence (figure 1*i*,*j*). However, each showed strongly opposing trends in the number of tracks observed upon MMC treatment. While RecA-mGFP showed no significant change in the mean number of tracks on MMC treatment (from 1.66 \pm 0.06 to 1.86 \pm 0.16 tracks per cell, BM test, *n* = 60, *p* = 0.50 |NS), MMC reduced the population average number of RecB-sfGFP tracks significantly, from 2.06 \pm 0.09 to 1.56 \pm 0.06 per cell.

If, however, we set aside the fraction of cells with no detected RecB-sfGFP tracks, the change in the mean number of RecB-sfGFP tracks is marginal, from 2.20 ± 0.07 to 1.98 ± 0.07 tracks (BM test, n = 234, p = 0.006). We see that the cells

which continue to harbour RecB tracks are relatively unchanged by MMC, each containing an average of 12.1 ± 0.3 molecules per cell. The unexpected subset of cells that are devoid of RecB-sfGFP tracks increases from 6% to 21% of the population on MMC treatment. These otherwise resemble the other treated cells; rather than filamenting, they retain $92 \pm$ 3% of the population averaged cell length and retain the same pool level of untracked RecB-sfGFP molecules.

The increase in the fraction of cells lacking RecB-sfGFP foci agrees with a model of random, independent survival of assemblies (figure 1*j*, the MMC+ condition is consistent with Poisson distribution with same mean; Pearson χ^2 test, dof = 6, *n* = 234, *p* = 0.004).

RecA-mGFP foci were approximately two orders of magnitude brighter than those of RecB-sfGFP, corresponding to a greater apparent stoichiometry. A subset of polar assemblies in untreated cells are especially bright (figure 2b); we defined this subset quantitatively by thresholding at

 $2\times$ the mean stoichiometry of all assemblies. The mean stoichiometry of these bright assemblies is itself as high as 760 ± 40 molecules (electronic supplementary material, figure S3A).

On treating with MMC, the RecA-mGFP mean stoichiometry almost doubled from 310 ± 8 to 580 ± 30 molecules per focus, reflecting further local accumulation of RecAmGFP protein (figure 2*a*). We find that most RecA-mGFP molecules comprise an untracked, diffusive pool, in which there are approximately 30 RecA-mGFP molecules in an area corresponding to that of a typical diffraction-limited focus (which we denote as the *pool stoichiometry*, table 1).

The fact that the relative increase in pool stoichiometry with MMC treatment to approximately 50 RecA molecules (electronic supplementary material, figure S5C) is smaller than the fractional increase in the amount of RecA in tracks (figure 2) indicates that the MMC-driven upregulation of RecA disproportionately affects tracked assemblies. As such, either (i) new assemblies are formed which contain much more RecA than those before MMC treatment, or (ii) those assemblies that already contain local concentrations of RecA accumulate more RecA. Under our treatment protocol, these changes do not deplete the reservoir of RecA in the cytoplasm. This observation of localized accumulation of RecA-mGFP is consistent with prior reports of long nucleoprotein filament formation on single-stranded DNA [34]. The increased number of RecA tracks we observe upon MMC treatment may therefore indicate greater occurrence of processed ssDNA.

The RecB-sfGFP mean stoichiometry decreases very slightly from 6.6 ± 0.1 to 6.1 ± 0.2 molecules per focus (BM, n = 478, $p < 10^{-6}$) (figure 2*b*). A mean of approximately 6 RecB-sfGFP molecules in each case can be explained if the assembly contains 3 identical subunits whose periodicity is 2 molecules (figure 2*b* inset). A pool stoichiometry of approximately 1 molecule of RecB-sfGFP (electronic supplementary material, figure S5B,D) suggests that the untracked RecB-sfGFP are likely to be monomers irrespective of MMC treatment (BM test, n = 243, p = 0.27 | NS). We find that the untracked pool of RecB-sfGFP comprises $90 \pm 1\%$ of the total RecB-sfGFP molecules in the cell.

2.3. RecA reorganizes into filaments with 3–4-mer subunits in response to MMC

RecA-mGFP and RecB-sfGFP stoichiometry distributions show clear and reproducible peaks (figure 2a,b). One explanation is that each detected fluorescent focus has a diffracted-limited width of approximately 250 nm that may potentially contain more than one 'subunit' of RecA-mGFP or RecB-sfGFP, bound sufficiently to co-track, such that the measured focus stoichiometry may appear as an integer multiple of that subunit, manifest as periodic peaks on the focus stoichiometry distribution. The expected difference between pairs of values on the stoichiometry distribution is thus either zero or an integer multiple of the periodicity within measurement error. The magnitude of the most likely nonzero pairwise difference value corresponds to the periodicity, with less likely values corresponding to harmonic peaks. Our approach uses a modal estimate of the nearest-neighbour peak intervals (§4.3.5), and therefore produces a continuous, heuristic estimate for the periodicity. We then compare this periodicity metric to realistic models with integer numbers of molecules. RecA-mGFP tracks have a periodicity of 2.2 \pm 0.3 molecules before addition of MMC (figure 2a inset). This is clearly most consistent with a dimeric subunit of RecA in structures before MMC treatment. After MMC treatment, the most likely interval value is 3.1 ± 0.5 RecA-mGFP molecules, and estimating the additional unlabelled RecA content indicates a likely overall periodicity range of 3-4 RecA molecules (see Discussion and electronic supplementary material, figure S4).

In MMC-treated cultures we observe strikingly bright, elongated structures (electronic supplementary material, figure 3B–E). These resemble parallel or intertwined RecA-

mGFP nucleoprotein filaments, which we denote as *bundles* following similar observations by others [21,28,34,42,54]. The bundles were identified in a pointillistic manner by overlaying the tracked foci with our measured localization precision of 40 nm. Though it is unclear whether this segmentation is able to distinguish individual filaments or bundles of RecA from one another, the segments reproduce the contiguous morphology of the bright structures at a diffraction-limited optical resolution (figure $3c_{.f}$).

Though single contiguous segments are evident along the full length of some cells (figure 3*c*), the mean number of segments is 1.8 ± 0.4 per cell (electronic supplementary material, figure S3B). We occasionally observed several small segments per cell, in quantitative agreement (electronic supplementary material, figure S3B) with our expectation that segments occur at random under a Poisson distribution, albeit conditioned on the presence of at least one segment persistently occurring per cell. Assuming that DNA damage also occurs randomly but under unconditional Poisson statistics, the number of unaffected cells can only be small when there is significantly more than one segment-inducing damage site per cell at any time. It is not clear how many DSBs per cell cycle an E. coli culture can sustain without loss of viability, but repeated stalling and collapse of the replisome is common, and cells with single chronic DSBs are known to replicate almost normally within the confines of an elevated SOS response [55]. Under the relatively mild MMC treatment conditions of our study, we observe a comparatively small fraction of the available RecA-mGFP in bundle-associated segments (here the sum of the segment protein copy numbers is <40% of the cell protein copy number, in contrast to 70% [34]). These observations favour an explanation that much of the RecA in elongated MMCinduced structures is bound to a relatively large number of ssDNA nicks as well as a small number of DSBs per cell at any one time.

The high estimated amount of RecA (figure 2), and the substantial super-resolved breadth of these objects (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, figure S3D) above the approximately 40 nm width of individual filaments [17] suggest that these are bundles comprised of either multiple RecA filaments, and/or multiple windings thereof. We calculate the segment protein copy number within each of these segments in a similar manner to each whole cell. We find the segment protein copy number is 2800 ± 200 RecA-mGFP molecules (electronic supplementary material, figure S3A). That means each segment typically includes about three times as much RecA in total than the brightest polar assemblies detected in untreated cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S3A). Greater than 95% of these segments contain a track whose stoichiometry exceeds twice the mean stoichiometry of untreated cells. The RecA structures observed after MMC treatment cannot therefore be produced solely from the large RecA assemblies prior to MMC treatment, but most likely recruit additional RecA from the cytoplasmic pool. We cannot measure the ratio of RecA to available ssDNA directly; however, the high measured amount of RecA provides some indication that it occurs in high enough excess to form RecA-rich bundles rather than simple nucleoprotein filaments. The binding site density on each helical filament containing ssDNA was found in previous studies to be 1.5 nm per RecA in the presence of ATP [56,57]. As the individual filaments are known to be

undersaturated with RecA under physiological conditions [58], one would expect a longer filament per molecule. By contrast, we find that each bundle-associated segment typically measures $900 \pm 400 \,\mu\text{m}$ (mean \pm s.d.) in length, $140 \pm$ 40 µm wide (electronic supplementary material, figure S3C-E) and no greater than approximately 0.4 µm deep (based on depth of focus constraints), but contains a quantity of RecA we estimate sufficient to produce greater than 7 µm total length of individual helical filament based on known structures [59]. The longest segments have a more efficient packing density of RecA-mGFP (electronic supplementary material, figure S3F) which approaches the binding site saturation limit of 1.5 nm per molecule. This link between length and efficiency could result from the functional alignment and elongation of the filament along the cell axis, meaning fewer re-entrant windings of any bundles, and exposure of vacant binding sites to free RecA in cytoplasm.

By contrast, the brightest RecA assemblies in untreated cells occur in isolation, and are never elongated but reside within diffraction-limited foci (figure 1*b*). Defining these as containing RecA exceeding twice the mean labelled stoichiometry, these occur in $10 \pm 3\%$ of untreated cells and have a typical content of 800 ± 100 RecA molecules (electronic supplementary material, figure S3). This relatively high density is equivalent to greater than 2 µm of filament packing inside a sphere <0.4 µm in diameter. While these assemblies resemble RecA storage bodies, as suggested previously [21], the *recA4155* R28A mutation has been shown to inhibit the formation of true DNA-independent storage bodies [24]. Despite the presence of wild-type RecA, it is likely that our observations before MMC treatment indicate DNA-bound RecA bodies that are not filamentous.

The diffusive dynamics of RecA assemblies are also indicative of their state of condensation into filaments. Returning to the tracked foci of RecA-mGFP, we noticed that the mean diffusion coefficient decreases sharply from $0.17 \pm 0.02 \,\mu\text{m}^2 \,\text{s}^{-1}$ to $0.07 \pm 0.01 \,\mu\text{m}^2 \,\text{s}^{-1}$ following MMC treatment (figure 4a). This initially low diffusivity, and the further drop in diffusivity, likely reflect the proportion of RecA condensed onto ssDNA. MMC induces formation of filaments and these are relatively static on the ca 10 s timescale of the slimfield acquisition. By contrast, we find that the mean diffusion coefficient of tracked RecB is not significantly affected by MMC treatment (figure 4b), with untreated and treated values of $0.82 \pm 0.03 \,\mu\text{m}^2\,\text{s}^{-1}$ and $0.79 \pm 0.03 \,\mu\text{m}^2 \,\text{s}^{-1}$ respectively (BM test, n = 478, p =0.48 | NS). The diffusivity of RecB-sfGFP in tracks is still lower than expected for a single molecule freely diffusing in bacterial cytoplasm of approximately $10 \,\mu\text{m}^2 \,\text{s}^{-1}$, based on simplistic assumptions of hydrodynamic diameter of approximately 10 nm, and contrasts with the large amount of pool RecB-sfGFP that diffuse too quickly to be tracked. This observation hints at the tracked subset of RecB forming larger complexes with other partners not detected here, such as RecC and RecD.

3. Discussion

We used slimfield to investigate the stoichiometry and spatial location of fluorescently tagged RecA and RecB proteins in live *E. coli* upon treatment with the DNA cross-linking and alkylating agent MMC. RecA and RecB are repair proteins

whose involvement in MMC-specific damage repair pathways, as part of the SOS response or otherwise, is unclear. We probed the steady-state effect of MMC on RecA and RecB at the minimum inhibitory concentration, which is relevant to sub-lethal antimicrobial exposure. Our results show that the sensitivity and dynamic range of slimfield is sufficient to quantify counts, either by stepwise photobleaching of multi-molecular complexes or by direct detection of single molecules using millisecond sampling.

RecA assembly formation is not solely correlated with induced DNA damage. Before treatment with MMC, we find that a portion of RecA appears in foci at an average incidence of approximately 2 foci per cell. In 10% of cells, at least one of these foci is especially bright, circular and localized to one of the cell poles. A previous study reports that a minority of cells (4-9%) exhibit spontaneous RecA foci near the poles prior to DSB induction [34]. It has been suggested that wild-type RecA foci at the cell membrane might act as nucleation points for later filament formation across DSBs [60], or that these are storage bodies outside the nucleoid [21]. However, the RecA-mGFP strain used here (and in [34]) is a recA4155 (R28A) genotype which abolishes DNA-independent aggregation of RecA [52,61]. In this strain, we cannot eliminate the possibilities that wild-type RecA forms native storage bodies that are undetected due to exclusion of RecA-GFP, or indeed visible storage structures which do recruit the mutant RecA-mGFP (RecA4155), which would account for the resemblance of detected foci to previous observations of these bodies outside the nucleoid [21,34,61]. In the case where RecA-mGFP cannot participate in storage bodies and can only aggregate in the presence of DNA, there is an alternative explanation for the subset of RecAmGFP foci we observe, distinct from membrane anchors and storage bodies. These foci do not appear to require RecB for spontaneous assembly [34] indicating that they are independent of DSBs and instead assembled at incidental sites of ssDNA. The foci lie consistently at the periphery of the cell, which indicates they are not likely to be associated with ssDNA within replication forks. These occasionally bright foci may instead simply reflect stochastic ssDNA nicks in a small proportion of cells of an otherwise healthy culture.

Our findings show that the RecA-mGFP copy number increases upon treatment with MMC. We observed a modest increase in the number of tracks, but whose stoichiometry per focus is almost twice those of untreated cultures. This observation of spatially localized RecA is consistent with significant assembly formation ultimately leading to formation of long nucleoprotein filaments on ssDNA as nucleated from polar locations [34]. These filaments are known to accumulate into bundles as posited by Story et al. [42]. We observed filamentous bundles in MMC treated cultures, possibly due to increased availability of processed ssDNA from DNA damage sites. RecA-assisted homologous recombination and RecA* disassembly occur on a timespan between 15 min [17] and 2 h [34]. We detect a large increase in RecA stoichiometry (figure 2) and cellular protein copy number (electronic supplementary material, figure S5A) and decrease in diffusivity (figure 4) even after 3 h treatment, indicating that RecA bundles continue to form in response to constantly accumulating DNA damage.

Our observation of about 2 intracellular segments per cell (electronic supplementary material, figure S3B) is consistent



Figure 4. Distributions of instantaneous microscopic diffusion coefficient for tracks of (*a*) RecA-mGFP and (*b*) RecB-sfGFP obtained from slimfield. Kernel density estimates were generated with a kernel width of 0.008 μ m² s⁻¹ corresponding to the lower bound uncertainty in diffusion coefficient, estimated as the localization precision/(timestep)². Statistics are as shown for figure 2.

with approximately 2 MMC-induced RecA bundles each extending along opposite halves of a cell (figure *3f*) at any one time in the steady state. This observation may indicate the presence of a DSB with nearly bridged loci. However, according to the schemes in previous work [17,34], the development and breakdown of filaments [17] and bundles [34] take typically less than 20 min, while for bundles only, recombination is the rate-limiting step, taking up to 90 min [34]. It follows that labelled bundles associated with DSBs would be expected to be bridged for most of their visible lifetime. It is therefore possible that either (i) multiple DSBs are present and the segments correspond to different simultaneously bridged DSBs, or (ii) one bridged DSB is present alongside other defects which support RecA filament binding, such as ssDNA nicks.

Intracellular segments were typically aligned along the cell axis (figure 3b,e) in agreement with the observations of filaments and bundles by other authors [17,34]. While some degree of alignment is expected for all segments much longer than the cell diameter $(0.78 \pm 0.05 \,\mu\text{m})$, we note that more than half of the detected segments are shorter than this (electronic supplementary material, figure S3E), which may suggest an alignment mechanism that is not solely due to segement length. Moreover, segments appeared to follow the central axis of the cell, rather than the cell outline (figure 3b,e), which suggests they fall mostly within the nucleoid rather than residing at the cell membrane, in keeping with the known DNA repair function of the filaments. Filament extension along the cell axis is not predicated on the presence of sister homology [34] but inherently reduces the dimensionality of the search for any homology to one across the cross-section of the cell, independent of cell length or DNA content [17]. Thus, extension vastly accelerates the search time [17]. However, the cause of the extension is unclear. It may reflect simple polymeric elongation under

spatial confinement inside the cell, but extension is entropically unfavourable for a flexible polymer. Stiffening and/or thickening of filaments into bundles [34] would therefore faciliate extension. The bundle model in [34] suggested a thickened central backbone flanked by thin filament ends. The bundles observed in our study appear to be thickened with a typical cross-sectional full-width half-maximum of 140 ± 40 nm (electronic supplementary material, figure S3C) in agreement with previous observation, 160 ± 30 nm [34]. Rather than a monolithic central section, our observations resemble beads on a chain, or a sequence of thick and narrow sections (figure 3b,e). We find the median width increases rapidly with segment length (electronic supplementary material, figure S3D), which in this binary framework suggests the bulk of the increase in bundle length is taken up by the thickened portions and that the thin sections are relatively short. Yet, individual approximately 40 nm-wide filaments without thickened portions have also been observed previously to extend dynamically on the scale of minutes or less along the length of the cell [17]. We speculate that this suggests an active process of pole-to-pole translocation of thin filament ends (for example, as proposed in [59]), to facilitate the reduced search time.

The observable periodicity of RecA structures could indicate a difference in their macromolecular organization in response to MMC treatment. We observe a change in the periodicity of RecA stoichiometry from approximately 2 molecules in foci in untreated cells, to an approximately 3-4-mer within spatially extended filaments following treatment with MMC, after accounting for the unlabelled RecA content per cell with a correction factor of 1.3 ± 0.1 (§2.3 and electronic supplementary material, figure S4). Previous in vitro and in vivo studies indicate that RecA undergoes linear polymerization in a head-to-tail fashion, with dimeric nucleation points on ssDNA mediated by SSB [62] consistent with our finding of dimeric periodicity prior to treatment. These also provide evidence for stable trimeric, tetrameric, hexameric and the filamentous forms when ssDNA is present [63], consistent with our findings post-treatment. Our snapshot observation of filament stoichiometry cannot shed light directly on models of dynamic nucleation or stepwise growth, as explored in [64-66]. Rather, it explores molecular details of the characteristic protein subunits within the mature filament at steady state. The helical geometry of the filament, with a pitch of 6 RecA molecules per turn, implies that each group of 6 RecA forms a split-ring structure related to the intact hexameric ring of DNA helicases, but distorted axially such that rings each complete a single helical turn around ssDNA [67]. Such ring-shaped hexamers have been identified in vitro for both the wild-type RecA protein, and the RecA (R28A) mutant [61] that is fused with GFP in our experiment. Even if isolated oligomers were somehow unstable in vivo, a polymeric filament could conceivably still result from a small, periodic barrier to polymerization corresponding to this split-ring distortion. This points to the hypothesis that the fundamental building block of RecA filaments is a factor of 6, if not a hexamer. However, our stoichiometry analysis suggests variability in the total size of assemblies, with our periodicity results indicating a range of 3-4 molecules per subunit. This could reflect trimers which form half-turns in the filament, or perhaps tetramers as an intermediate between preexisting dimers and hexameric rings. Although these data cannot directly establish whether

independent oligomers of wild-type RecA occur *in vivo* either on DNA or in the cytosol, it is conceivable that assembly and rearrangement of RecA subunits on DNA could generate the canonical ATP-inactive and ATP-active DNA-binding filaments [68,69]. In light of a recent study highlighting the role of RecN in RecA filament formation and activity [59], it is interesting to pose whether RecA assemblies with the dimeric subunit may be devoid of RecN and are ATP-inactive, and if these might then change to a higher oligomeric form upon DNA damage via the involvement of RecN and its associated ATP activity.

Our measurements confirm that RecA has a very high concentration in the cytosol of live cells. We observe that untreated cultures comprise approximately 11 000 molecules of RecA-mGFP per cell, which increases to 20000 RecAmGFP molecules in cells treated with MMC. Of the latter, $28 \pm 7\%$ resides in filamentous bundles large enough to be resolved in millisecond widefield fluorescence images. Applying the approximate merodiploid correction factors that we estimated of 1.0 ± 0.1 and 1.3 ± 0.1 respectively (§2.3) and electronic supplementary material, figure S4), the total copy number is approximately 11400 ± 200 RecA molecules in untreated cells, increasing to $25\,300 \pm 400$ molecules in treated cells. Though less than the 4- to 5-fold transcriptional increase suggested by qPCR (electronic supplementary material, figure S4), the more than two-fold increase of total RecA with MMC resembles the increase detected in western blots (electronic supplementary material, figure S4). While the RecA copy number we estimate in untreated cells exceeds the ca 5000 molecules reported previously by Lesterlin et al. [34], our more direct estimations are of similar order and correlate with previous work indicating 2900-10400 molecules, with the high end of this range obtained from cells in EZ rich medium using a ribosome profiling method [70]. Approximately 15000 RecA molecules per cell in rich medium were reported previously, using semi-quantitative immunoblotting [71]; the same study found that the RecA copy number increased to 100 000 molecules upon MMC treatment. Large discrepancies between studies in the increase in RecA due to MMC treatment are not only due to treatment dose [72] but also arise from differences in recA genotype, culture media and growth conditions, as noted by others [21]. In particular, our study uses a minimally inhibitory treatment with MMC (electronic supplementary material, figure S1).

While the RecA-mGFP protein is not identical to native RecA in its enzymatic activity [32,43,52], the merodiploid strain used in our study also includes a full complement of native RecA from a single allele. This wild-type protein is expected to mitigate the partial loss of sensitivity in RecAmGFP in any given mixed assembly, as shown on a cellular level by the similar SOS response profile [34] and lack of filamentation under treatment with MMC (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, figure S1). The recA wild-type allele is expressed under control of the native operator, while the recA-mGFP allele is expressed under the recAo1403 operator. In the absence of treatment with MMC, this operator is known to result in an increased transcription rate of the recA-gfp allele relative to the wild-type recA gene under its native promoter by a factor of 2–3, while both alleles are upregulated to the same level under induction of SOS [52]. Using quantitative western blotting we estimate that prior to MMC treatment, RecA-mGFP is actually present at several tenfold more than the unlabelled protein (electronic supplementary

material, figure S4), and that in the presence of MMC the ratio of RecA-mGFP to RecA is lower, at approximately 3-4 to 1. From these ratios, we derived our approximate correction factors of 1.0 or 1.3-fold for the total amount of RecA protein, in the absence or presence of MMC respectively. While RecA-mGFP is known to label RecA assemblies [34], it cannot form DNA-independent assemblies by itself [52], and it is therefore reasonable to conclude that all labelled sites here represent occupied DNA on which wild-type RecA and RecA-GFP are interchangeable. Even if the binding partition of wild-type RecA were higher, for example reflecting the relative sensitivity (electronic supplementary material, figure S4A) [52], the high relative concentration of RecA-mGFP (electronic supplementary material, figure S4B) would conceivably result in the majority of RecA sites on DNA being occupied by RecA-mGFP. Lesterlin et al. showed that RecA immunostaining of filaments (agnostic as to GFP labelling) correlates with the fluorescent distribution of RecA-GFP [34], proving that dark filaments exclusively of wild-type RecA cannot be present. Though this result could potentially be interpreted in that the structure is entirely RecA-GFP and that the highly sensitive wild-type RecA is excluded, this wild-type RecA would have to somehow rescue DNA repair function in the cytoplasm rather than in filaments, which has no known basis. We therefore assume the presence of hybrid filaments. In any case, the effect of excluding wild-type RecA from filaments would simply mitigate our periodicity correction factor toward unity, and narrow our estimate of the periodicity within filaments toward a value of 3 RecA molecules.

Unlike RecA-mGFP, we detected only modest quantities of RecB-sfGFP in untreated cells grown in minimal medium: 13.6 ± 0.5 molecules in tracks, and 126 ± 11 molecules in total per cell based on integrated GFP fluorescence corrected for cellular autofluorescence. Several previous reports also indicate that RecB is very scarce-typically less than 20 molecules per cell [35,73]. One of these studies estimated that there are just 4.9 ± 0.3 RecB molecules per cell using a HaloTag fusion allele labeled with HTL-TMR, and 4.5 ± 0.4 molecules per cell using magnetic activated cell sorting of the same RecBsfGFP strain that we use here, albeit in M9 medium and restricted to nascent cells for which the average copy number is approximately halved [35]. An earlier mass spectrometry study used intensity based absolute quantification to estimate 9-20 RecB molecules per cell across different stages of growth in M9 minimal media [73]. Ribosome profiling estimated the RecB copy number to be 33-93 molecules per cell in different growth media [70]. However, these techniques are either ex vivo or necessitate significantly perturbed intracellular crowding that may conceivably result in potentially non-physiological molecular assemblies.

Comparing the number of RecB in tracks in our present study with the number of RecB in distinct foci per cell reported previously, we find a similar albeit slightly higher estimate, possibly because our approach is based on fluorescent fusions with a high labelling efficiency in unsynchronized cultures, as opposed to selecting nascent cells. However, our measurement of RecB copy number exceeds previous estimates. The large remainder in summed pixel fluorescence intensity may represent two possible contributions. The first is from RecB that diffuses faster than slimfield can track. The highest diffusion coefficients of tracked RecB assemblies approach $3 \,\mu\text{m}^2 \,\text{s}^{-1}$ (95% quantiles, figure 4). We estimate the limit of

measurement as approximately 5 µm² s⁻¹, though it is conceivable that free monomeric RecB-sfGFP could exceed this, given that it has been estimated to reach diffusion coefficients equivalent to approximately 8 μ m² s⁻¹ in *E. coli* cytosol [74,75]. A second possible source is an increase in net autofluorescence relative to the parental strain when the real RecB are labelled; it is unlikely that could account for the discrepancy, since this would require a 3-fold increase in autofluorescence based on our measurements, and such a drastic increase lacks precedence (for example, upon treatment with MMC at a high level sufficient to induce widespread RecA filamentation, our estimation suggests only an increase in autofluorescence of no more than 20%). Furthermore, the measured rate of photobleaching of the diffuse RecB-sfGFP signal matches that of RecB-sfGFP tracks and is roughly half the rate of the autofluorescent parental cells (electronic supplementary material, figure S6, table S2). The implication is that untracked RecB-sfGFP is the major contributor to mean cellular fluorescence, which is then a more accurate reflection of total copy of RecB than simply the number of molecules in tracks.

The cellular protein copy number of RecB does not change significantly with MMC (electronic supplementary material, table S1, figure S5B,D), suggesting that there may only be a modest regulatory response to DNA damage. Although MMC is known to induce the SOS response and cell cycle arrest [4,48], recB expression is itself not induced directly as part of the SOS response. RecB-sfGFP foci increase neither in number (figure 1*j*) nor in stoichiometry (figure 2*b*), which compares with earlier observations that treatment with MMC under similar concentrations to those used in our study does not significantly change RecB expression [22]. In fact, the number of observed tracks per cell dropped considerably after MMC treatment, due to a sharp increase in the proportion of cells in which RecB assemblies were absent, from 6% to 21%. This reduction in RecB assemblies was at odds with our expectation that MMC would eventually increase the recruitment of RecB in response to damage, if not increase the cellular production of RecB. MMC treatment is known to increase the occurrence of DSBs and thereby drive demand for DSB processing [4] that is typically mediated by RecB. Yet, rather than initiating cellular upregulation of RecB, treatment with MMC acts to partially deplete localized assemblies. Given that DSBs are likely to occur in the majority of cells under our MMC treatment, as indicated by the ubiquitous induction of RecA filaments (figure 3), the fate of RecB assemblies cannot simply reflect the presence or absence of DSBs. The increase in the fraction of cells lacking RecB-sfGFP tracks is consistent with random, independent survival or breakdown of assemblies (figure 1j). This result may indicate a situation where pre-existing RecB (hetero)complexes at foci are occasionally disassembled while interacting with sites of MMC-induced DNA damage, such as DSBs. This instability of (presumably heteromeric) RecB assemblies might result from successfully bridged pairs of RecA filaments; however, we did not detect any correlated loss of pairs of RecB foci, as might be expected for recombination events. Notably, the number of tracked foci detected per cell is approximately 2 for both RecA-mGFP and RecB-sfGFP. Future colocalization studies of RecA and RecB assemblies may offer more direct insight into the functional interaction and turnover of these repair proteins in regards to whether the average of 2 be related to the number of replication

sites, or perhaps simply reflects a small average number of severe DNA damage sites per cell.

Independent of MMC treatment, we observed a dimeric periodicity for RecB-sfGFP. This suggests that RecBCD heterotrimers occur in pairs in vivo. Indeed, earlier in vitro studies identified the occurrence of (RecBCD)₂ complexes, possibly held together by the nuclease domains of the two RecBCD monomers [12]. However, the authors concluded that the monomeric form is functional while the dimeric form is nonfunctional [13]. Furthermore, crystallization of the RecBCD complex for structural studies contained two RecBCD-DNA complexes in the asymmetric unit [76]. Our observations cannot determine covalent interactions directly between RecB molecules, but their cotracking is very strongly correlated. We can infer two details: first, that the dimeric form of the complex, (RecBCD)₂, occurs in live cells, and second, that previous in vitro observations of dimers are carried over from their physiological state. Our findings suggest a hypothesis that assemblies with multiple pairs of RecB have a greater activity on DSBs than isolated RecB in the pool. Making the distinction between monomeric RecBCD in tracks and monomeric RecB in the untracked pool suggests that RecB monomers in the pool could potentially act as a reservoir. One may consider the alternative situation, where the monomeric pool are the functional RecB elements and the assemblies are reservoirs that disassemble in response to damage, but this makes less sense, since those monomers would already be in excess. A mean stoichiometry of approximately 6 molecules indicates that RecB foci may occur as colocalized assemblies that comprise roughly three pairs of RecBCD heterotrimers (figure 5). It would be interesting to estimate the stoichiometries of RecC and RecD in future studies to understand their association in processing DSBs in greater detail.

While MMC-induced damage constitutes a range of chemical moieties [77], the canonical mechanism of MMC toxicity is of interstrand cross-links at dG sites [6,7]. The specific repair of interstrand cross-links (implied in figure 5) can involve several repair pathways, primarily nucleotide excision repair (NER), which converts the cross-links into dsDNA breaks [78]. Although NER enzymes such as UvrD typically degrade RecA filaments, NER is involved in the cleavage of damaged replication forks into suitable substrates for downstream processing, including RecA-mediated recombination [79]. Repair of the fork is then completed, for example by PriA-, Rep- and PriC-dependent pathways [11,18,80] on sets of ssDNA and a dsDNA end (figure 5). The observation of a greater increase in RecA-mGFP copy numbers and foci compared to RecBsfGFP could indicate a significant proportion of single-strand breaks and single-strand gaps at sites of cross-links. While two previous studies reported that NER action on cross-links also produces ssDNA nicks [78,81], we do not know if this applies strictly to MMC-induced NER, as our present work does not pertain to genes that process ss-gaps. Future analysis of proteins that process ssDNA breaks may potentially shed light on the relative occurrence of the two types of breaks by MMC and their relation to repair of replication forks.

While others have shown that *recB* deletion abolishes UVinduced filaments of RecA [34], we do not know the effect of *recB* deletion and MMC treatment on RecA dynamics. To avoid RecA interference in 'normal' ssDNA processes such as replication, the cell maintains strict control over filament nucleation, based on RecA and associated cofactor concentrations. It is therefore likely that the observed filamentation



Figure 5. (Caption opposite.)

upon treatment with MMC is dependent on RecBCD, indirectly pointing towards increased occurrences of DSBs in these cells. Alternatively, if RecA nucleation is independent of RecBCD, one might anticipate little change in RecA dynamics upon *recB* deletion. However, further analysis of MMC-dependent RecA stoichiometry and copy number in a strain devoid of RecBCD activity—and with a controlled RecFOR pathway [19]—is needed to differentiate between these models.

In conclusion, RecA occurs as assemblies located near poles of wild-type cells in a dimeric periodicity consistent with nucleation models. Upon mild treatment with MMC, RecA is upregulated at least two-fold, and assembles into long filamentous bundles on newly generated ssDNA in effectively all cells Figure 5. (Opposite.) A model of DNA damage caused by treatment with MMC and subsequent repair at the replication site by RecA and RecBCD. (a) Intact replication fork; occasional binding of multiple RecA dimers to DNA away from the fork as well as RecA dimers as DNA-free storage bodies in the cytoplasm; (b) exposure to MMC and induction of an interstrand cross-link that acts as a barrier to an approaching replication fork; (c) replisome dissociates if unable to overcome barrier; dissociated fork is recognized by branched DNA specific endonucleases (filled triangle) that can eventually cause DSBs leading to replication fork collapse; replication fork collapse allows access to repair enzymes to recognize the lesion; (d) a newly generated DSB is recognized by RecBCD and processed to generate a 3' single strand end; (e) RecA dimers identify the newly generated ssDNA and assemble in groups of 3-4-mers into RecA* filaments; RecA is shown as a short stretch for illustrative purposes but may extend for many thousands of molecules over several hundreds of nanometres of ssDNA, and these filaments may be twisted and/or grouped into bundles. (f) Strand exchange followed by processing of the DSB, then recombination sufficiently upstream of the lesion and subsequent (q) reloading of the replisome. This process allows sufficient time for the repair enzymes to repair the lesion on the template strand, so that replication may resume. For a detailed overview of the possible pathways to fork restoration, refer to [11].

without exhausting the cytoplasmic reservoir. These mature bundles have a much lower diffusivity, reflecting their aggregation of a few thousand molecules each, with a structural periodicity in the range of 3-4 RecA molecules. The bundles are typically wider than single filaments, but both forms are known to facilitate homology search for homologous basepairing with an intact duplex. Generation of ssDNA is known to occur at a DSB induced by processing of disassembled forks upon recognition by RecBCD. We observed RecB as a moderately diffusive set of three associated dimers at two locations in the cell, providing further evidence that RecBCD predominantly occurs as pairs of heterotrimers inside the cell at either end of DSBs. Our work implies the existence of a separate, significant reservoir of highly diffusive RecB monomers. Neither of these forms of RecB are upregulated upon MMC exposure, nor do they change their mobility. Accordingly, RecB is not a part of the SOS regulon. Instead, MMC-induced DNA damage impacts the formation-or induces a higher turnover-of these periodic RecB assemblies potentially associated with further DSB repair.

4. Material and methods

4.1. Strains, culture and MMC protocol

Three strains of *E. coli* were used in this study without alteration: **Control:**

MG1655

RecA-mGFP [34]:

MG1655 rpsL (Str^R,lac+) ygaD1::kan recAo1403 recA4155,4136gfp901, fhuB::recAwt-cm

RecB-sfGFP = MEK706 [35]:

MG1655 recB::sfGFP

The RecA-mGFP strain used here is the same as in [34]. It is a merodiploid, natively promoted derivative of the SS3085 strain [52,82,83]. It expresses both (i) the wild-type unlabelled RecA protein from a single, ectopic wild-type *recA* allele, under a wild-type operator, and (ii) a labelled mutant protein at the native *recA* site with a mutant operator *recAo1403*, which has a higher transcription rate than wild type [52]. The labelled *recA* is a *recA4155* (R28A) mutant, which complements the wild-type

recombination function in vitro [34,61] but has important differences in self-assembly. Unlike wild-type RecA, the recA4155 forms of RecA cannot alone form assemblies independently of DNA as proven by comparisons in vitro [61] and competitive binding studies in vivo [52]. The gfp-901 label is the same as mut2 (A206T) which corresponds to a monomeric GFP (mGFP) [84]. The notation recA4136 refers to the insertion of a linker, as well as the gfp-901 gene, between the penultimate and ultimate stop codons of recA. The fusion with mGFP impairs the recombinant sensitivity of the labelled RecA protein; were the strain to include only the fused allele, it would be fully as SOS inducible as wild-type MG1655, but only approximately two-thirds as UV resistant, and would be compromised up to ca 10-fold for recombination activity [21,52]. Induction of the SOS response would also take 30 min, or roughly twice as long as wild-type (the R28A mutation prevents this from being an additional 2× slower) [52]. The merodiploid strain rescues both these functions and their kinetics: functional RecA filaments labelled with 70% of the total available mutant fusion protein form within just 15 min of DNA damage and reach steady state within 90 min, similar to wild-type MG1655 [34].

The RecB-sfGFP fusion was constructed in [35] by wild-type *recB* replacement under plasmid-mediated recombination. N-terminal fusions were shown in [35] to be functional using growth curves and tests of DNA repair, in contrast to C-terminal fusions which may disrupt RecBCD complexation [35].

E. coli strains were grown overnight in 56-salts minimal media at 30°C to mid-log phase in an Innova 44 shaker incubator (New Brunswick). The mid-log phase cultures were concentrated to approximately 100 cells ml⁻¹ ($OD_{600} \sim 0.3$) and split into two equal fractions. Aliquots were adjusted to either nil (MMC–) or the minimum wt inhibitory concentration of 0.5 µg ml⁻¹ MMC (MMC+) (electronic supplementary material, figure S6) [48] and incubated at 30°C for a further 3 h (electronic supplementary material, figure S6). Cells were harvested for microscopy on 1% w/v agarose pads suffused with the same liquid media and imaged within 1 h.

4.2. Slimfield

A custom-built slimfield microscope was used for single colour, single-molecule-sensitive imaging with a bespoke GFP/mCherry emission channel splitter as described previously [11,37]. The GFP channel was recorded, while the mCherry channel was used only as a negative control. The setup included a high-magnification objective (NA 1.49 Apo TIRF 100× oil immersion, Nikon) and the detector was a Prime95B sCMOS camera (Photometrics) operating in 12-bit gain at 180 Hz and 3 ms exposure/frame, for a total magnification of 53 nm pixel⁻¹. The samples were illuminated either in brightfield, or for slimfield fluorescence in camera-triggered frames by a collimated 488 nm wavelength continuous wave OPSL laser (Coherent, Obis LS) in Gaussian TEM₀₀ mode at a power density of 5 kW cm⁻². The number of frames per acquisition was 2000 for RecA and 300 for RecB strains.

4.3. Quantitative tracking and protein copy number analysis

4.3.1. Identification of slimfield foci and assignment into tracks Slimfield image sequences were processed by custom ADEMscode software in MATLAB (Mathworks) [33,80,85–87]. This pipeline identified foci from local maxima in pixel values within individual frames. An iterative Gaussian mask algorithm was used to detect the centroids of foci, using a circular region of interest of radius 5 pixels within a sliding window of 17 pixels. The intensity of each focus was calculated as the sum of the circular region corrected for the average background in the surrounding annular region. The prospective foci were accepted if their intensity was greater than $0.4 \times$ the standard deviation in the background region. The nearest neighbouring foci in adjacent frames within 8 pixels of each other were assigned to the same track, with a minimum of 4 foci per track. The typical track duration was limited by diffusion and/or photobleaching to a mean of greater than 13 foci per track over approximately 75 ms real time, or approximately 40 ms cumulative exposure (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

4.3.2. Diffusion coefficient

The centroids of the foci within each track, as generated from the ADEMScode tracking analysis above, were used to calculate displacements over the length of each track in chronological sequence. From these, the mean square displacements (MSDs) of each track were calculated by averaging the square of the displacements across equal lag times, corresponding to all possible intervals between frames up to the length of the track. For each track, the MSDs at the four lowest lag times were linearly interpolated (with a constraint on the fit of passing through a specified intercept on the lag time axis, equal to the square of the measured localization precision of 40 nm divided by the frame interval of 5.7 ms). The initial slope of this fit (and corresponding error) was then divided by a factor of 4 according to the two-dimensional diffusion equation [88] to yield a diffusion coefficient (and error) for that track.

4.3.3. Characteristic single-molecule brightness

The intensity of each focus was estimated by integrating the local pixel values with a local sliding window background subtraction. After photobleaching sufficiently to show single photoactive GFP molecules, the characteristic single-molecule brightness of a single GFP molecule was estimated from the modal brightness of these foci. These were confirmed to be broadly consistent with estimates of the signal per GFP in each dataset were determined from the monomeric intervals in total number of counts due to stepwise photobleaching, as identified by a Chung-Kennedy edge-preserving filter (15 ms window, 50% weighting; electronic supplementary material, figure S7) [89,90]. This integrated intensity is characteristic for each fluorescent protein under fixed imaging conditions, although mGFP and sfGFP were found to be indistinguishable in this respect, and hereafter referred to collectively as GFP. To ensure consistent counts per single-molecule probe, analysis was restricted to the uniformly illuminated area lying within half of the $1/e^2$ beamwaist of the excitation laser in the sample plane. The integrated intensity of GFP in vivo was found to be within 14% and 9% respective errors in RecA and RecB (88 ± 18 and 177 ± 16 pixel grey values per GFP for the respective gain modes). The combined equivalent is 88 ± 7 photoelectrons per GFP per frame, which is precise enough to unequivocally identify groups or steps of up to 12 GFP molecules.

4.3.4. Stoichiometry

Each track is associated with an assembly that contains a certain number of molecules, or stoichiometry, at the initial point of acquisition. To estimate this stoichiometry for a given track, the intensities of the constituent foci were linearly extrapolated using the first 4 data points in the track back to the time point of initial laser exposure. This initial intensity of this fit was divided by the characteristic single-molecule brightness signal associated with one fluorescent protein under a fixed excitationdetection protocol. The result is a stoichometry expressed as a number of molecules. The standard error associated with a stoichiometry value of 1 molecule is approximately 0.7 molecules. To avoid undercounting bias due to photobleaching, only tracks in the first 10 frames after laser exposure were considered for stoichiometry estimates.

4.3.5. Periodicity

The distributions of track stoichiometry may show periodic peaks, whose smallest reproducible interval can be interpreted as a physical repeat unit or *periodicity* within assemblies. To calculate periodicity, first the stoichiometries of all tracks within each acquisition were represented as a kernel density distribution. The kernel width used was the empirical standard deviation on the characteristic single molecule brightness of 0.7 molecules [41]. Peaks in this distribution were detected using the MATLAB findpeaks function, and the intervals between nearest neighbour peaks were calculated. These sets of nearest neighbour intervals for each acquisition were then aggregated across the relevant population of cells. A second kernel density estimate was calculated over the intervals for a population, with a kernel width of 0.7 molecules multiplied by the square root of the mean stoichiometry, divided by the square root of the number of interpolated intervals. The fundamental value of this interval distribution (corresponding to the center of the leftmost peak in figure 2 insets) was refined by fitting the curve with a sum of Gaussian terms centerd at multiples of the fundamental value. To accommodate the uncertainty in the single molecule characteristic brightness, the fundamental value of the fit was not constrained to an exact integer value but represents a heuristic model for the periodicity. The number of terms in the fit was set to minimize the reduced χ^2 metric in the fit. This modal value was reported with 95% confidence interval as the periodicity of assemblies in each population. This method of estimating periodicity was verified as independent of the mean stoichiometry using simulated data drawn from noisy Poisson-distributed multiples of an oligomeric ground truth (artificial input value). This analysis reproduced the expectation that the minimum number of tracks required for sufficient peak sampling, and therefore the limit of periodicity detection, scales with the square root of the mean stoichiometry.

4.3.6. Cellular protein copy numbers and pool stoichiometry

The cellular protein copy numbers as reported in §§2.1 and 3 and electronic supplementary material, table S1, figure S5, correspond to whole cell masks, as identified using the manual annotated machine learning segmentation output from brightfield images (electronic supplementary material, figure S2, and Methods). Integrated intensities of cells (uncorrected cellular protein copy numbers) and pool stoichiometries, were determined not from tracked foci, but directly from the raw image sequences using the CoPro package in ADEMscode software following [37] with the characteristic single-molecule brightness of GFP (as described in §4.3.2), the cell masks, and the camera's dark pixel bias as input. The procedure effectively adds up all of the pixel values within the mask in question in an initial frame, and accounts for the convolution of the three-dimensional cell volume with the widefield point spread function, followed by projection onto a two-dimensional image. To obtain the cellular protein copy number in the labelled strains, and account for the contribution of autofluorescence, we calculated the difference in mean integrated intensity per segment between the labelled and parent strains under the corresponding MMC± condition, adjusted by the ratio of mean segment area. The pool stoichiometry in each cell is a measure of its untracked molecular concentration. It is calculated in CoPro as the cell's integrated intensity, less the mean integrated intensity of the parental cells, less the total stoichiometry of tracked foci in the cell, divided by the area of the cell mask relative to the area within one diffraction limited focus.

4.3.7. Super-resolved images and segment protein copy numbers of RecA-mGFP

The segment protein copy numbers as reported in §2.3 and electronic supplementary material, figure S3, were calculated with ImageJ, using as input the segments corresponding to bundles or foci, instead of whole cells. These segments were obtained starting from the coordinates of localized, tracked foci in slimfield analysis, from the latter stages of photobleaching below a threshold stoichiometry of 2 molecules; these were imported into ThunderSTORM software [91]. The Visualization module to build a pointillistic super-resolved image at 40 nm lateral spatial precision (as shown in figure 3b,e) at 5× upscaling (11 nm pixel size), which was then smoothed with a Gaussian filter of 4 pixels in width, and automatically Otsu thresholded to generate a super-resolved binary mask. The masks were then expanded by a distance equal to the widefield resolution of 17 pixels (approx. 180 nm) to match the features in the slimfield images. The integrated intensities were extracted, as in [92], from the sum of fluorescent pixel counts in the slimfield images (Analyze Particles > Multi-Measure function in ImageJ) less the area multiplied by the camera pixel dark value. To yield segment protein copy numbers (electronic supplementary material, figure S3A), the resulting integrated intensities were corrected for the relative autofluorescence, by subtracting the integrated intensity of parental cells adjusted by by the ratio of mean segment area. The Multi-Measure output also included the Feret diameter of each segment which was used as an estimate of its end-to-end length (figure 3d-f).

4.3.8. Photobleaching rates

Photobleaching rates were estimated by fitting the decrease in background-subtracted cellular protein copy number or mean track stoichiometry over the exposure time using MATLAB *cftool*. The fit consisted of a monoexponential decay to the first 10 frames with variable initial intensity and decay constant, but with a baseline fixed to the average intensity after 50 frames. Fits were then refined to include only data within the initial 1/e decay time (electronic supplementary material, table S2). RecA-mGFP and RecB-sfGFP photobleach decay times were consistently dissimilar at 13 ± 2 and 6 ± 1 frames respectively; sfGFP is typically several-fold less photostable than comparable enhanced GFPs under high-intensity illumination [93].

4.3.9. Statistical tests

We performed multiple statistical comparisons on each set of tracked data (typically approx. 5: number of tracks, stoichiometry, periodicity, diffusivity, copy number), which we account for using the standard Bonferroni correction; the significance level is adjusted downwards by a factor of the number of comparisons, $\alpha = 0.05/5 = 0.01$).

4.4. Gene expression assays

4.4.1. Quantitative PCR

Treated and untreated cultures were grown as in §4.1. Total RNA was then isolated using Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit (New England Biolabs). cDNA was synthesized from 350 ng of total RNA from each sample using Superscript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions using random hexamer primer (ThermoScientific).

The cDNA was then subjected to qPCR using Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher) in a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System (primers details in table 2). The *recA* primer pair amplified *recA* cDNA in the wild-type and both *recA* and *recA-GFP* mRNA in the labeled strain. *recA-GFP* alone in the labeled strain was amplified using *GFP* primer pair. 16 s rRNA was used as a housekeeping control.

Data obtained were analysed using the standard curve method [51]. Standard curves were generated from serial dilutions of PCR products with known concentrations derived from genomic DNA. Fold increase in mRNA levels was

Table 2. Primers used for qPCR to quantify mRNA of *recA*, *recA-gfp* and housekeeping gene *rrsA*.

primer	sequence 5'—3'	complementary region
oAS216	GCAGGCACTGGAAATCTGTG	recA (forward)
oAS217	GCCGATTTCGCCTTCGATTTC	<i>recA</i> (reverse)
oAS220	CTACAAGACACGTGCTGAAGTC	GFP (forward)
oAS221	AGTTGTATTCCAATTTGTGTCCAAGAATG	GFP (reverse)
oAS23	GTAGAATTCCAGGTGTAGCGGTG	16 s rRNA (forward)
oAS24	CATCGTTTACGGCGTGGACTACCAG	16 s rRNA (reverse)

calculated by dividing the values obtained for treated mRNA with the untreated. Results are shown in electronic supplementary material, figure S4A.

4.4.2. Western blots

Six samples of normalized *E. coli* cell cultures were prepared as above (§4.1) in 1 ml aliquots at OD₆₀₀ approximately 0.2. The cells were isolated using centrifugation at 10 000g for 2 min in a microfuge to prepare them for SDS-PAGE/immuno-detection. The cell pellets were resuspended in 75 µl of SDS loading buffer and boiled for 5 min at 95°C before application of 15 µl onto a 4–20% gradient gel. The gel was subsequently transferred to nitrocellulose and the membrane was placed in blocking solution (PBS-T, 5% (w/v) non-fat milk) for 3 h. Primary antibody (anti-RecA) was incubated at 1/500 overnight in blocking solution before the membrane was washed (4×5 min) in blocking solution. Secondary antibody (goat anti rabbit-HRP) was

incubated at 1/2000 dilution for 4 h in blocking solution before the membrane was again washed $(4 \times 5 \text{ min})$ in blocking solution. A final wash in PBS was performed before development using ECL and image acquisition (iBRIGHT). Results are shown in electronic supplementary material, figure S4B.

Data accessibility. The raw imaging data are available from https://doi.org/ 10.5281/zenodo.6639101; the MATLAB tracking analysis code can be found at https://github.com/alex-paynedwyer/single-molecule-toolsalpd. The U-Net image segmentation architecture originated from code obtained from the NEUBIAS Academy workshop (https:// github.com/Neubias-WG5).

The data are provided in electronic supplementary material [94]. Authors' contributions. A.L.P.-D.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, software, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; A.H.S.: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; J.W.S.: formal analysis, investigation, methodology, software, validation, writing—review and editing; L.F.: formal analysis, investigation, methodology, software, validation, writing—review and editing; M.C.L.: conceptualization, data curation, funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, writing—review and editing.

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein.

Conflict of interest declaration. The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

Funding. This research was funded by BBSRC (grant nos. BB/P000746/1 and BB/N006453/1) and EPSRC (grant no. EP/T002166/1).

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Dr Christian Lesterlin for the gift of the RecA-mGFP strain and Prof. Meriem El Karoui for the RecB-sfGFP strain. We thank the Biosciences Technology Facility for technical assistance with gene expression assays.

References

- Reyes-Lamothe R, Sherratt DJ, Leake MC. 2010 Stoichiometry and architecture of active DNA replication machinery in *Escherichia coli. Science* **328**, 498–501. (doi:10.1126/science.1185757)
- Surova O, Zhivotovsky B. 2013 Various modes of cell death induced by DNA damage. *Oncogene* 32, 3789–3797. (doi:10.1038/onc.2012.556)
- Lambert S, Carr AM. 2013 Impediments to replication fork movement: stabilisation, reactivation and genome instability. *Chromosoma* **122**, 33–45. (doi:10.1007/s00412-013-0398-9)
- Tomasz M. 1995 Mitomycin C: small, fast and deadly (but very selective). *Chem. Biol.* 2, 575–579. (doi:10.1016/1074-5521(95)90120-5)
- Arranz-Marquez E, Katsanos A, Kozobolis VP, Konstas AGP, Teus MA. 2019 A Critical overview of the biological effects of mitomycin C application on the cornea following refractive surgery. *Adv. Ther.* 36, 786–797. (doi:10.1007/s12325-019-00905-w)
- Weng M-W, Zheng Y, Jasti VP, Champeil E, Tomasz M, Wang Y, Basu AK, Tang M-S. 2010 Repair of mitomycin C mono-and interstrand cross-linked DNA adducts by UvrABC: a new model. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, 6976–6984. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkq576)
- Bizanek R, McGuinness BF, Nakanishi K, Tomasz M, McGuinness BF, Nakanishi K. 1992 Isolation and structure of an intrastrand cross-link adduct of

mitomycin C and DNA. *Biochemistry* **31**, 3084–3091. (doi:10.1021/bi00127a008)

- Dronkert MLG, Kanaar R. 2001 Repair of DNA interstrand cross-links. *Mutat. Res.* 486, 217–247. (doi:10.1016/s0921-8777(01)00092-1)
- Legerski RJ. 2010 Repair of DNA interstrand cross-links during S phase of the mammalian cell cycle. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 51, 540–551. (doi:10.1002/em.20566)
- Smith GR. 2012 How RecBCD enzyme and Chi promote DNA break repair and recombination: a molecular biologist's view. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 76, 217–228. (doi:10.1128/mmbr.05026-11)
- Syeda AH, Hawkins M, McGlynn P. 2014 Recombination and replication. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 6, 1–14. (doi:10.1101/cshperspect. a016550)
- Amundsen SK, Smith GR. 2019 The RecB helicasenuclease tether mediates Chi hotspot control of RecBCD enzyme. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, 197–209. (doi:10.1093/nar/gky1132)
- Taylor AF, Smith GR. 1995 Monomeric RecBCD enzyme binds and unwinds DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 24 451–24 458. (doi:10.1074/jbc.270.41. 24451)
- Howard-Flanders P, West SC, Stasiak A. 1984 Role of RecA protein spiral filaments in genetic recombination. *Nature* 309, 215–220. (doi:10.1038/309215a0)

- Kowalczykowski SC, Eggleston AK. 1994 Homologous pairing and DNA strand-exchange proteins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 63, 991–1043. (doi:10.1146/annurev. bi.63.070194.005015)
- Mazin AV, Kowalczykowski SC. 1999 A novel property of the RecA nucleoprotein filament: activation of double-stranded DNA for strand exchange in trans. *Genes Dev.* 13, 2005–2016. (doi:10.1101/qad.13.15.2005)
- Wiktor J, Gynnå AH, Leroy P, Larsson J, Coceano G, Testa I, Elf J. 2021 RecA finds homologous DNA by reduced dimensionality search. *Nature* 597, 426–429. (doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03877-6)
- Windgassen TA, Wessel SR, Bhattacharyya B, Keck JL. 2018 Mechanisms of bacterial DNA replication restart. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, 504–519. (doi:10. 1093/nar/gkx1203)
- Dimude JU, Midgley-Smith SL, Rudolph CJ. 2018 Replication-transcription conflicts trigger extensive DNA degradation in *Escherichia coli* cells lacking RecBCD. *DNA Repair* (*Amst*). **70**, 37–48. (doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2018. 08.002)
- Repar J, BriŠki N, Buljubašić M, Zahradka K, Zahradka D. 2013 Exonuclease VII is involved in 'reckless' DNA degradation in UV-irradiated Escherichia coli. Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ.

Mutagen. **750**, 96–104. (doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox. 2012.10.005)

- Ghodke H *et al.* 2019 Spatial and temporal organization of RecA in the *Escherichia coli* DNAdamage response. *Elife* 8, e42761. (doi:10.7554/ eLife.42761)
- Khil PP, Camerini-Otero RD. 2002 Over 1000 genes are involved in the DNA damage response of *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 44, 89–105. (doi:10. 1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02878.x)
- Adikesavan AK, Katsonis P, Marciano DC, Lua R, Herman C, Lichtarge O. 2011 Separation of recombination and SOS response in *Escherichia coli* RecA suggests LexA interaction sites. *PLoS Genet.* 7, e1002244. (doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002244)
- Gruenig MC, Renzette N, Long E, Chitteni-Pattu S, Inman RB, Cox MM, Sandler SJ. 2008 RecAmediated SOS induction requires an extended filament conformation but no ATP hydrolysis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 69, 1165–1179. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06341.x)
- Butala M *et al.* 2011 Interconversion between bound and free conformations of LexA orchestrates the bacterial SOS response. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 6546–6557. (doi:10.1093/nar/qkr265)
- Podlesek Z, Žgur Bertok D. 2020 The DNA damage inducible SOS response is a key player in the generation of bacterial persister cells and population wide tolerance. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 1785. (doi:10. 3389/fmicb.2020.01785)
- Memar MY, Yekani M, Celenza G, Poortahmasebi V, Naghili B, Bellio P, Baghi HB. 2020 The central role of the SOS DNA repair system in antibiotics resistance: a new target for a new infectious treatment strategy. *Life Sci.* 262, 118562. (doi:10. 1016/j.lfs.2020.118562)
- Rehrauer WM, Kowalczykowski SC. 1996 The DNA binding site(s) of the *Escherichia coli* RecA protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 11 996–12 002. (doi:10.1074/ jbc.271.20.11996)
- Handa N, Amitani I, Gumlaw N, Sandler SJ, Kowalczykowski SC. 2009 Single molecule analysis of a red fluorescent RecA protein reveals a defect in nucleoprotein filament nucleation that relates to its reduced biological functions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 18 664–18 673. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M109. 004895)
- Ivančić-Baće I, Vlašić I, Salaj-Šmic E, Brčić-Kostić K. 2006 Genetic evidence for the requirement of RecA loading activity in SOS induction after UV irradiation in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 188, 5024–5032. (doi:10.1128/JB.00130-06)
- Anderson DG, Kowalczykowski SC. 1998 Reconstitution of an SOS response pathway: derepression of transcription in response to DNA breaks. *Cell* **95**, 975–979. (doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81721-3)
- Adams D *et al.* 2007 Selection of RecA+ recombinant cosmids: an easy method for making RecA strains temporarily Rec+, permitting P1mediated transduction in a RecA background and transduction of a RecA mutation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 8, 88–94. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.194407)

- Plank M, Wadhams GH, Leake MC. 2009 Millisecond timescale slimfield imaging and automated quantification of single fluorescent protein molecules for use in probing complex biological processes. *Integr. Biol.* 1, 602–612. (doi:10.1039/ b907837a)
- Lesterlin C, Ball G, Schermelleh L, Sherratt DJ. 2014 RecA bundles mediate homology pairing between distant sisters during DNA break repair. *Nature* 506, 249–253. (doi:10.1038/nature12868)
- Lepore A, Taylor H, Landgraf D, Okumus B, Jaramillo-Riveri S, Mclaren L, Bakshi S, Paulsson J, El Karoui M. 2019 Quantification of very lowabundant proteins in bacteria using the HaloTag and epi-fluorescence microscopy. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 7902. (doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44278-0)
- Lenn T, Leake MC. 2012 Experimental approaches for addressing fundamental biological questions in living, functioning cells with single molecule precision. *Open Biol.* 2, 120090. (doi:10.1098/rsob. 120090)
- Wollman AJM, Leake MC. 2015 Millisecond singlemolecule localization microscopy combined with convolution analysis and automated image segmentation to determine protein concentrations in complexly structured, functional cells, one cell at a time. *Faraday Discuss*. **184**, 401–424. (doi:10. 1039/C5FD00077G)
- Wollman AJM *et al.* 2022 Critical roles for EGFR and EGFR-HER2 clusters in EGF binding of SW620 human carcinoma cells. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 19, 20220088. (doi:10.1098/rsif.2022.0088)
- Manley S, Gillette JM, Patterson GH, Shroff H, Hess HF, Betzig E, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2008 Highdensity mapping of single-molecule trajectories with photoactivated localization microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 5, 155–157. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.1176)
- Stracy M, Kapanidis AN. 2017 Single-molecule and super-resolution imaging of transcription in living bacteria. *Methods* **120**, 103–114. (doi:10.1016/j. ymeth.2017.04.001)
- Jin X *et al.* 2021 Membraneless organelles formed by liquid-liquid phase separation increase bacterial fitness. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabh2929. (doi:10.1126/sciadv. abh2929)
- Story RM, Weber IT, Steitz TA. 1992 The structure of the *E. coli* recA protein monomer and polymer. *Nature* 355, 318–325. (doi:10.1038/ 355318a0)
- Long JE, Renzette N, Centore RC, Sandler SJ. 2008 Differential requirements of two recA mutants for constitutive SOS expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *PLoS ONE* 3, e4100. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0004100)
- Lee AM, Singleton SF. 2006 Intersubunit electrostatic complementarity in the RecA nucleoprotein filament regulates nucleotide substrate specificity and conformational activation. *Biochemistry* 45, 4514–4529. (doi:10.1021/ bi052296x)
- Wang Y, Bortner C, Griffith J. 1993 RecA binding to bulge-containing and mismatch-containing DNAs: certain single-base mismatches provide strong

signals for RecA binding equal to multiple base bulges. *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 17 571–17 577. (doi:10. 1016/S0021-9258(19)85371-1)

- Schlacher K, Cox MM, Woodgate R, Goodman MF. 2006 RecA acts in trans to allow replication of damaged DNA by DNA polymerase V. *Nature* 442, 883–887. (doi:10.1038/nature05042)
- McGrew DA, Knight KL. 2003 Molecular design and functional organization of the RecA protein. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 38, 385–432. (doi:10.1080/ 10409230390242489)
- Dapa T, Fleurier S, Bredeche MF, Matic I. 2017 The SOS and RpoS regulons contribute to bacterial cell robustness to genotoxic stress by synergistically regulating DNA polymerase pol II. *Genetics* 206, 1349–1360. (doi:10.1534/genetics.116.199471)
- Miller H, Zhou Z, Wollman AJM, Leake MC. 2015 Superresolution imaging of single DNA molecules using stochastic photoblinking of minor groove and intercalating dyes. *Methods* 88, 81–88. (doi:10. 1016/j.ymeth.2015.01.010)
- Leake MC, Chandler JH, Wadhams GH, Bai F, Berry RM, Armitage JP. 2006 Stoichiometry and turnover in single, functioning membrane protein complexes. *Nature* 443, 355–358. (doi:10.1038/nature05135)
- Peterson KR, Wertman KF, Mount DW, Marinus MG. 1985 Viability of *Escherichia coli* K-12 DNA adenine methylase (dam) mutants requires increased expression of specific genes in the SOS regulon. *MGG Mol. Gen. Genet.* **201**, 14–19. (doi:10.1007/ BF00397979)
- Renzette N, Gumlaw N, Nordman JT, Krieger M, Yeh SP, Long E, Centore R, Boonsombat R, Sandler SJ. 2005 Localization of RecA in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using RecA-GFP. *Mol. Microbiol.* 57, 1074–1085. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04755.x)
- Leake MC. 2014 Analytical tools for single-molecule fluorescence imaging in cellulo. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 16, 12 635–12 647. (doi:10.1039/ c4cp00219a)
- Shi W-X, Larson RG. 2007 RecA-ssDNA filaments supercoil in the presence of single-stranded DNAbinding protein. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 357, 755–760. (doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.04.014)
- Darmon E, Eykelenboom JK, Lopez-Vernaza MA, White MA, Leach DRF. 2014 Repair on the go: *E. coli* maintains a high proliferation rate while repairing a chronic DNA double-strand break. *PLoS ONE* 9, e110784. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110784)
- Ellouze C, Takahashi M, Wittung P, Mortensen K, Schnarr M, Norden B, Nordén B, Norden B. 1995 Evidence for elongation of the helical pitch of the RecA filament upon ATP and ADP binding using small-angle neutron-scattering. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 233, 579–583. (doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1995.579_ 2.x)
- Yu X, Jacobs SA, West SC, Ogawa T, Egelman EH. 2001 Domain structure and dynamics in the helical filaments formed by RecA and Rad51 on DNA. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 8419–8424. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.111005398)
- 58. Zhao B *et al.* 2017 ATPase activity tightly regulates RecA nucleofilaments to promote homologous

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsif J. R. Soc. Interface 19: 20220437

17

recombination. *Cell Discov.* **3**, 16053. (doi:10.1038/ celldisc.2016.53)

- Chimthanawala A, Parmar J, Kumar S, Iyer KS, Rao M, Badrinarayanan A. 2021 SMC protein RecN drives translocation and remodelling of RecA filament for homology search. *BiorXiv*, 2021.08.16.456443. (doi:10.1101/2021.08.16.456443)
- Rajendram M *et al.* 2015 Anionic phospholipids stabilize RecA filament bundles in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Cell* **60**, 374–384. (doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015. 09.009)
- Eldin S, Forget AL, Lindenmuth DM, Logan KM, Knight KL. 2000 Mutations in the N-terminal region of RecA that disrupt the stability of free protein oligomers but not RecA-DNA complexes. *J. Mol. Biol.* 299, 91–101. (doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.3721)
- Roy R, Kozlov AG, Lohman TM, Ha T. 2009 SSB protein diffusion on single-stranded DNA stimulates RecA filament formation. *Nature* 461, 1092–1097. (doi:10.1038/nature08442)
- Norden B, Takahashi M, Takahashi M, Nordén B. 1994 Structure of RecA-DNA complex and mechanism of DNA strand exchange reaction in homologous recombination. *Adv. Biophys.* **30**, 1–35. (doi:10.1016/0065-227X(94)90009-4)
- Galletto R, Amitani I, Baskin RJ, Kowalczykowski SC.
 2006 Direct observation of individual RecA filaments assembling on single DNA molecules. *Nature* 443, 875–878. (doi:10.1038/nature05197)
- Hernández-Tamayo R, Steube N, Heimerl T, Hochberg G, Graumann PL. 2022 ATPase activity of B. subtilis RecA affects the dynamic formation of RecA filaments at DNA double strand breaks. *BiorXiv*, 2022.02. 15.480544. (doi:10.1101/2022.02.15.480544)
- Danilowicz C, Vietorisz E, Godoy-Carter V, Prévost C, Prentiss M. 2021 Influences of ssDNA-RecA filament length on the fidelity of homologous recombination. *J. Mol. Biol.* **433**, 167143. (doi:10. 1016/J.JMB.2021.167143)
- Yu X, Egelman EH. 1997 The RecA hexamer is a structural homologue of ring helicases. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 4, 101–104. (doi:10.1038/nsb0297-101)
- Yu X, VanLoock MS, Yang S, Reese JT, Egelman EH. 2004 What is the structure of the RecA-DNA filament? *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 5, 73–79. (doi:10. 2174/1389203043486883)
- Chen Z, Yang H, Pavletich NP. 2008 Mechanism of homologous recombination from the RecA-ssDNA/ dsDNA structures. *Nature* 453, 489–494. (doi:10. 1038/nature06971)
- Li GW, Burkhardt D, Gross C, Weissman JS. 2014 Quantifying absolute protein synthesis rates reveals principles underlying allocation of cellular resources. *Cell* 157, 624–635. (doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.02.033)
- Stohl EA, Brockman JP, Burkle KL, Morimatsu K, Kowalczykowski SC, Seifert HS. 2003 *Escherichia coli* RecX inhibits RecA recombinase and coprotease

activities *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 2278–2285. (doi:10.1074/jbc.M210496200)

- Giacomoni PU. 1982 Induction by mitomycin C of recA protein synthesis in bacteria and spheroplasts. J. Biol. Chem. 257, 14 932–14 936. (doi:10.1016/ s0021-9258(18)33373-8)
- Soufi B, Krug K, Harst A, Macek B. 2015 Characterization of the *E. coli* proteome and its modifications during growth and ethanol stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 103. (doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00103)
- Elowitz MB, Surette MG, Wolf PE, Stock JB, Leibler
 S. 1999 Protein mobility in the cytoplasm of Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **181**, 197–203. (doi:10. 1128/jb.181.1.197-203.1999)
- Nenninger A, Mastroianni G, Mullineaux CW. 2010 Size dependence of protein diffusion in the cytoplasm of *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 4535–4540. (doi:10.1128/JB.00284-10)
- Singleton MR, Dillingham MS, Gaudier M, Kowalczykowski SC, Wigley DB. 2004 Crystal structure of RecBCD enzyme reveals a machine for processing DNA breaks. *Nature* 432, 187–193. (doi:10.1038/nature02988)
- Burby PE, Simmons LA. 2019 A bacterial DNA repair pathway specific to a natural antibiotic. *Mol. Microbiol.* 111, 338–353. (doi:10.1111/mmi.14158)
- Peng X, Ghosh AK, Van Houten B, Greenberg MM. 2010 Nucleotide excision repair of a DNA interstrand cross-link produces single- and double-strand breaks. *Biochemistry* 49, 11–19. (doi:10.1021/ bi901603h)
- Wood RD. 2010 Mammalian nucleotide excision repair proteins and interstrand crosslink repair. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 51, 520–526. (doi:10.1002/ em.20569)
- Syeda AH, Wollman AJM, Hargreaves AL, Howard JAL, Brüning J-G, McGlynn P, Leake MC. 2019 Single-molecule live cell imaging of Rep reveals the dynamic interplay between an accessory replicative helicase and the replisome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, 6287–6298. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkz298)
- Verhoeven EEAA, Van Kesteren M, Moolenaar GF, Visse R, Goosen N, Van Kesteren M, Moolenaar GF, Visse R, Goosen N. 2000 Catalytic sites for 3' and 5' incision of *Escherichia coli* nucleotide excision repair are both located in UvrC. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 5120–5123. (doi:10.1074/jbc.275.7.5120)
- Massoni SC, Leeson MC, Long JE, Gemme K, Mui A, Sandler SJ. 2012 Factors limiting SOS expression in log-phase cells of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **194**, 5325–5333. (doi:10.1128/JB.00674-12)
- Reuter A, Hilpert C, Dedieu-Berne A, Lematre S, Gueguen E, Launay G, Bigot S, Lesterlin C. 2021 Targeted-antibacterial-plasmids (TAPs) combining conjugation and CRISPR/Cas systems achieve strainspecific antibacterial activity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, 3584–3598. (doi:10.1093/nar/gkab126)

- Renzette N, Sandler SJ. 2008 Requirements for ATP binding and hydrolysis in RecA function in Escherichia coli. *Mol. Microbiol.* 67, 1347–1359. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06130.x)
- Elorente-Garcia I *et al.* 2014 Single-molecule *in vivo* imaging of bacterial respiratory complexes indicates delocalized oxidative phosphorylation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.* **1837**, 811–824. (doi:10. 1016/j.bbabio.2014.01.020)
- Dresser L, Hunter P, Yendybayeva F, Hargreaves AL, Howard JAL, Evans GJO, Leake MC, Quinn SD. 2021 Amyloid-β oligomerization monitored by singlemolecule stepwise photobleaching. *Methods* **193**, 80–95. (doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2020.06.007)
- Shepherd JW, Payne-Dwyer AL, Lee J-E, Syeda A, Leake MC. 2021 Combining single-molecule superresolved localization microscopy with fluorescence polarization imaging to study cellular processes. *JPhys Photonics* 3, 034010. (doi:10.1088/2515-7647/ ac015d)
- Robson A, Burrage K, Leake MC. 2013 Inferring diffusion in single live cells at the single-molecule level. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120029. (doi:10. 1098/rstb.2012.0029)
- Leake MC, Wilson D, Bullard B, Simmons RM, Bubb MR. 2003 The elasticity of single kettin molecules using a two-bead laser-tweezers assay. *FEBS Lett.* 535, 55–60. (doi:10.1016/S0014-5793(02)03857-7)
- Leake MC, Wilson D, Gautel M, Simmons RM. 2004 The elasticity of single titin molecules using a twobead optical tweezers assay. *Biophys. J.* 87, 1112–1135. (doi:10.1529/biophysj.103.033571)
- Ovesný M, Křížek P, Borkovec J, Švindrych Z, Hagen GM. 2014 ThunderSTORM: a comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM and STORM data analysis and super-resolution imaging. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2389–2390. (doi:10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu202)
- Payne-Dwyer AL, Leake MC. 2022 Single-molecular quantification of flowering control proteins within nuclear condensates in live whole Arabidopsis root. In *Chromosome architecture* (ed. MC Leake). Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 2476, pp. 311–328. New York, NY: Humana. (doi:10.1007/ 978-1-0716-2221-6_21)
- Pédelacq JD, Cabantous S, Tran T, Terwilliger TC, Waldo GS. 2006 Engineering and characterization of a superfolder green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 79–88. (doi:10.1038/ nbt1172)
- Payne-Dwyer AL, Syeda AH, Shepherd JW, Frame L, Leake MC. 2022 Data from: RecA and RecB: probing complexes of DNA repair proteins with mitomycin C in live *Escherichia coli* with single-molecule sensitivity. Figshare. (doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.c. 6125220)