DOI: 10.1111/jgs.17925

Aggregating 23 years of data on medical aid in dying in the United States

INTRODUCTION

An estimated 74 million people in the United States live in a jurisdiction that allows Medical Aid in Dying (MAID), and another 87 million reside in 14 states where MAID is on the legislative agenda.¹ As interest in MAID increases, there is a corresponding need for empirical research. Most research on patients pursuing MAID in the United States has focused exclusively on data from the Pacific Northwest (Oregon and Washington), which is not representative of the entire United States. Although one study showed that individuals with higher income and education access MAID more frequently,² no studies have described the demographic and clinical characteristics of individuals pursuing MAID in all

TABLE 1 Frequencies and percentages of individuals who died by MAID and individuals who received a MAID prescription

Characteristics	Category	MAID deaths ^a	Percentage of deaths	MAID Rx ^b	Percentage of Rx
Sex	Male	1035	53.10%	2367	49.40%
	Female	914	46.90%	2252	47.00%
	Missing	0	0.00%	172	3.60%
Race/ethnicity	Non-Hispanic white	1864	95.60%	4244	88.60%
	Non-white	85	4.40%	351	7.30%
	Missing	0	0.00%	196	4.10%
Age	18–34	155	8.00%	194	4.00%
	35–44				
	45–54				
	55–64	341	17.50%	519	10.80%
	65–74	598	30.70%		
	75–84	538	27.60%	2074	43.30%
	85+	316	16.20%		
	Missing	1	0.10%	2004	41.80%
Marital status	Single	157	8.10%	219	4.60%
	Married	876	44.90%	1294	27.00%
	Other	872	44.70%	1216	25.40%
	Missing	44	2.30%	2062	43.00%
Underlying medical condition	Cancer	1442	74.00%	3322	69.30%
	Neurological disease	212	10.90%	522	10.90%
	Other	295	15.10%	809	16.90%
	Missing	0	0.00%	138	2.90%
Insurance status	Public insurance	1732	88.90%	2980	62.20%
	Private insurance				
	Uninsured	217	11.10%	569	11.90%
	Other/Unknown				
	Missing	0	0.00%	1242	25.90%
Family informed	Yes	1717	88.10%	2910	60.70%
	No	188	9.60%	184	3.80%
	Unknown/other			282	5.90%
	Missing	44	2.30%	1415	29.50%
Medication	Sedative	1311	67.30%	1593	33.20%
	Cardiotonic, opioid, sedative	572	29.30%	1534	32.00%
	Other	22	1.10%	520	10.90%
	Unknown	0	0.00%	195	4.10%
	Missing	44	2.30%	949	19.80%
Hospice enrollment	Hospice/Palliative care ^c	1699	87.20%	3022	63.10%
.	Not enrolled	172	8.80%	318	6.60%
	Unknown	34	1.70%	189	3.90%
	Missing	44	2.30%	1262	26.30%
Location of Ingestion	Home	1758	90.20%	NR	NR
	Other	147	7.50%	NR	NR
		4.4	2 30%	NR	NR

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Characteristics	Category	MAID deaths ^a	Percentage of deaths	MAID Rx ^b	Percentage of Rx
Educational attainment	No HS	511	26.20%	1133	23.60%
	High school/GED				
	Some college	392	20.10%	3429	71.60%
	Associate's				
	Bachelor's	874	44.80%		
	Master's				
	Doctorate/Professional	142	7.30%		
	Unknown	30	1.50%	34	0.70%
	Missing	0	0.00%	195	4.10%

^aDeaths by MAID include data from Oregon, Washington DC, and Hawaii.

^bMAID prescriptions include data from Washington state, California, Colorado, Vermont, New Jersey, and Maine. NR: not reported.

^cHospice and palliative care, while different services, were presented as a combined category in California's data, thus they were combined to present national trends.

jurisdictions that publicly report MAID data. We aggregated data from all nine United States jurisdictions with MAID laws and publicly available records from 1998 to 2020.

METHODS

This study qualified for institutional review board exemption. Nine jurisdictions with legal MAID have published reports. Two investigators reviewed all reports and developed a data abstraction guide. Descriptive statistics were reported. Rates of death by MAID were created using CDC WONDER data.

RESULTS

Over 23 years, 5329 patients died by MAID, while 8451 received a prescription. More men than women died by MAID (53.1% vs. 46.9%), and non-Hispanic white individuals (95.6%) died by MAID more than other racial and ethnic groups. The median age of MAID death was 74. Most (72.2%) had at least some college education and most (74%) had a cancer diagnosis. Most prescription recipients (88.6%) were non-Hispanic white; 43.3% were 65 or older. Nearly three-quarters (71.6%) of prescription recipients had at least some college education, and cancer was the most common diagnosis (69.3%) (Table 1). As MAID laws mature, use of MAID increases within the states (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

Individuals who die under MAID tend to be older, white, educated, and diagnosed with cancer across all jurisdictions where MAID is legal, extending recently published findings from Oregon and Washington.² It is unclear whether these apparent differences result from patient preferences or systemic bias; it is plausible that MAID laws, regulations, and clinical processes have been established that unintentionally make it more difficult for patients with less education, from minority backgrounds, or from non-cancer diagnoses to participate.

Navigating MAID policies and finding MAID providers may be particularly challenging for individuals with limited resources and high symptom burdens towards the end of life. Most MAID requesters must pay for MAID prescriptions out-of-pocket, as Medicare and other federal health insurance programs do not cover aid in dying costs. These out-of-pocket costs have risen dramatically, and some drugs are off-patent but lack generic formulations.³

Individuals with cancer are over-represented among MAID utilizers. In the United States, cancer accounted for 17.8% of all deaths in 2020,⁴ yet 74% of MAID deaths have a diagnosis of cancer. Disparities in hospice use between cancer and non-cancer populations are well documented.⁵ If hospice and palliative care facilitate MAID access, then patients suffering from other life-limiting illnesses will continue to have challenges accessing MAID, especially given that MAID, like hospice, requires physician certification of limited life expectancy. Non-cancer diagnoses, such as heart failure and dementia, are difficult to accurately prognosticate compared to cancer diagnoses,⁶⁻⁸ which contributes to the lack of education and communication surrounding end-oflife options, including MAID. Additionally, treatments for cancer, such as surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy, can be terribly burdensome compared to treatments for non-cancer diagnoses, which may lead patients with cancer and their doctors to be more likely to consider palliative care, hospice,

FIGURE 1 Rates of MAID prescription and MAID death per 1000 deaths, by state and year



and MAID. Given the recurrent call for hospice and palliative care to be better integrated into non-cancer settings,⁹ so too should conversations about MAID in order to ensure equal access for patients with all diagnoses.

In conclusion, aggregating data reported from nine jurisdictions over a 23-year period revealed critically important information on who is utilizing MAID throughout the United States, suggesting potential educational and racial and ethnic disparities. More research is needed to elucidate if these differences are resultant of patient preference or systemic bias in how laws have been written, interpreted, and enacted. As more states plan to adopt MAID legislation, data harmonization will help to elucidate how these policies are being implemented and accessed.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Elissa Kozlov contributed to the study concept and design, interpretation of data, and preparation of the manuscript. Molly Nowels contributed to the study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis, interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript. Michael Gusmano contributed to the study concept and design, interpretation of data, and preparation of manuscript. Hamza Habib contributed to the interpretation of data and preparation of manuscript. Paul Duberstein contributed to the study concept, and design, interpretation of data and preparation of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I attest that I have listed everyone who contributed significantly to the work.

FUNDING INFORMATION

National Institute on Aging, Grant/Award Number: K76AG068508-01A1

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Elissa Kozlov is supported by the National Institute on Aging (K76AG068508).

SPONSOR'S ROLE

There was no sponsor for this study.

Elissa Kozlov PhD¹ Molly Nowels MS¹ Michael Gusmano PhD^{2,3} Muhammed Habib MD⁴ Paul Duberstein PhD¹

¹Department of Health Behavior, Society and Policy, Rutgers School of Public Health, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA ²Department of Community and Population Health; Center for Ethics, College of Health, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, ³Research Department, The Hastings Center, Garrison, New York, USA ⁴Department of Medicine, Division of Oncology, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersy, USA

Correspondence

Elissa Kozlov, Rutgers School of Public Health, 683 Hoes Ln W. Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA. Email: elissa.kozlov@rutgers.edu

ORCID

Elissa Kozlov D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1027-2352 Molly Nowels D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3422-0012 Muhammed Habib D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1353-4437

TWITTER

Elissa Kozlov 💟 @EKozlovPhD

REFERENCES

1. Death with Dignity. In Your State. 2022. Accessed April 5, 2022. https://deathwithdignity.org/states/

- Al Rabadi L, LeBlanc M, Bucy T, et al. Trends in medical aid in dying in Oregon and Washington. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(8): e198648. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.8648
- Shankaran V, LaFrance RJ, Ramsey SD. Drug Price inflation and the cost of assisted death for terminally ill patients—death with indignity. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017;3(1):15-16. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.3842
- Murphy SL, Kochanek KD, Xu J, Arias E. Mortality in the United States, 2020. NCHS Data Brief. 2021;427:1-8.
- Cagle JG, Lee J, Ornstein KA, Guralnik JM. Hospice utilization in the United States: a prospective cohort study comparing cancer and noncancer deaths. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2020;68(4):783-793. doi:10.1111/jgs.16294
- Lunney JR, Lynn J, Foley DJ, Lipson S, Guralnik JM. Patterns of functional decline at the end of life. *JAMA*. 2003;289(18):2387-2392. doi:10.1001/jama.289.18.2387
- Cagle JG, Bunting M, Kelemen A, Lee J, Terry D, Harris R. Psychosocial needs and interventions for heart failure patients and families receiving palliative care support: a systematic review. *Heart Fail Rev.* 2017;22(5):565-580. doi:10.1007/s10741-017-9596-5
- Garland EL, Bruce A, Stajduhar K. Exposing barriers to end-of-life communication in heart failure: an integrative review. *Can J Cardiovasc Nurs J Can En Soins Infirm Cardio-Vasc.* 2013;23(1):12-18.
- Committee on Approaching Death: Addressing Key End of Life Issues, Institute of Medicine. Dying in America: Improving Quality and Honoring Individual Preferences Near the End of Life. National Academies Press (US); 2015. Accessed March 21, 2022. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK285681/