Nine Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) Class I Alleles are Omnipotent Against 11 Antigens Expressed in Melanoma Tumors

Apostolos P Georgopoulos^{1,2}^D, Lisa M James^{1,2,3} and Matthew Sanders^{1,2}

1The HLA Research Group, Brain Sciences Center, Department of Veterans Affairs Health Care System, Minneapolis, MN, USA. 2Department of Neuroscience, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN, USA. 3Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN, USA.

DOI: 10.1177/11769351241274160 Cancer Informatics Volume 23: 1–11 © The Author(s) 2024 Article reuse guidelines: [sagepub.com/journals-permissions](https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/journals-permissions)

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: Host immunogenetics (Human Leukocyte Antigen, HLA) play a critical role in the human immune response to melanoma, influencing both melanoma prevalence and immunotherapy outcomes. Beneficial outcomes hinge on the successful binding of epitopes of melanoma antigens to HLA Class I molecules for an effective engagement of cytotoxic CD8+ lymphocytes and subsequent elimination of the cancerous cell. This study evaluated the binding affinity and immunogenicity of HLA Class I to melanoma tumor antigens to identify alleles best suited to facilitate elimination of melanoma antigens.

Methods: In this study, we used freely available software tools to determine *in silico* the binding affinity and immunogenicity of 2462 reported HLA Class I alleles to all linear nonamer epitopes of 11 known antigens expressed in melanoma tumors (TRP2, S100, Tyrosinase, TRP1, PMEL(17), MAGE1, MAGE4, CTA, BAGE, GAGE/SSX2, Melan).

Results: We identified the following 9 HLA Class I alleles with very high immunogenicity and binding affinity against all 11 melanoma antigens: A*02:14, B*07:10, B*35:10, B*40:10, B*40:12, B*44:10, C*07:11, and C*07:13, and C*07:14.

Conclusion: These 9 HLA alleles possess the potential to aid in the elimination of melanoma both by themselves and by enhancing the beneficial effect of immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Keywords: Melanoma, neoantigens, human leukocyte antigen (HLA), major histocompatibility complex (MHC), immunogenicity

RECEIVED: March 4, 2024. **ACCEPTED:** July 24, 2024.

TYPE:Original Research

Funding: The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Partial funding for this study was provided by the University of Minnesota (the Kunin Chair in Women's Healthy Brain Aging, the Brain and Genomics Fund, and the American Legion Brain Sciences Chair) and the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. The sponsors had no role in the current study design,

Introduction

Melanoma accounts for a high number of skin cancer related deaths and its incidence has been increasing for decades.1,2 Therapeutic advances such as immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) have improved melanoma survival rates; however, ICI outcomes partially depend on host immunogenetics (Human Leukocyte Antigen, HLA).3 Notably, a recent study documented that the population frequency of HLA alleles associated with favorable ICI outcomes are also negatively associated with the population prevalence of melanoma.⁴ Burgeoning evidence highlighting the influence of HLA on melanoma prevalence, survival, and treatment response suggests a broad influence of HLA on melanoma risk and protection.³⁻⁶

A primary function of HLA involves elimination of foreign antigens including cancer neoantigens.7 HLA Class I molecules bind and export melanoma antigen-derived peptides to the cell surface where they are presented to CD8+ T lymphocytes to signal destruction of tumor cells. This process depends on (a) the good binding of tumor epitopes with

analysis or interpretation, or in the writing of this paper. The contents do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government.

Declaration Of Conflicting Interests: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Apostolos P Georgopoulos, Brain Sciences Center, Minneapolis VAMC, One Veterans Drive, Minneapolis, MN 55417, USA. Email: [omega@](mailto:omega@umn.edu) [umn.edu](mailto:omega@umn.edu)

HLA Class I molecule(s) for the formation of epitope-HLA molecule complex, and (b) the successful engagement by this complex of the T-cell receptor (TCR) for subsequent cytotoxic elimination of the tumor cell. However, due to the highly polymorphic nature of HLA, its molecules vary tremendously with respect to peptide binding capability, with the result that any specific combination of 6 HLA Class I alleles carried by an individual subject may offer only limited coverage of the tumor antigens, $8-16$ particularly in light of immune-escape mechanisms exploited by tumors.17-19 Yet, relatively little is known about binding affinity and immunogenicity of specific HLA alleles with melanoma antigens. Indeed, alleles with high immunogenicity against specific melanoma/cancer antigens would be beneficial in tumor elimination by themselves and by enhancing the effect of immune checkpoint blockade immunotherapy.

In this study we used an in silico approach²⁰⁻²³ to characterize binding affinity and immunogenicity of 2462 HLA alleles to 11 known melanoma antigens, 24 and successfully identified

Table 1. The 11 cancer/melanoma-related antigens. The listing is from Rodríguez.¹⁹ [CTA] denotes that the antigen is a member of the Cancer Testis Antigen family of antigens also expressed in other tumors.²⁰

alleles with high immunogenicity and binding affinity against those antigens.

Materials and Methods

Melanoma/cancer antigens

Eleven cancer antigens (Table 1) were used based on their known occurrence in melanoma tumors²⁴; of those 6 are specific to melanoma and 5 are expressed in melanoma as well as in other tumors²⁰ (labeled [CTA] in Table 1). The amino acid (AA) sequences of the 11 melanoma antigens used were retrieved from the Uniprot Database25 and are given in the Appendix.

In silico determination of immunogenicity of antigen nonamers with HLA Class I alleles

We determined the immunogenicity between 2462 reported HLA Class I alleles²⁶ and 11 melanoma antigens (Table 1) using the INeo-Epp method²² for T-cell receptor (TCR) epitope prediction using theINeo-Epp web tool,20 downloaded in April 2023, and run locally on a CentOS 6.7 server. For that purpose, we split a given melanoma antigen to all possible linear 9-mer (nonamer) AA residue epitopes using a sliding window approach, as detailed previously²⁷ (Figure 1) and submitted each epitope to the application together with a specific HLA allele. More specifically, we paired all epitopes with all alleles and obtained for each pair its percentile rank, a measure of binding affinity of the epitope-HLA allele complex; smaller percentile rank indicates higher binding affinity. The application gave as an outcome a TCR predictive score, \bar{w} , for pairs with high binding affinities (percentile rank<2); scores>0.4 indicated positive immunogenicity and were analyzed further (Table 2). We computed the following as a comprehensive measure of

immunogenicity for quantitative analyses. Let *K* be the number of nonamers that showed positive immunogenicity (score > 0.4); then, *K* weighted by their average score \bar{w} , would serve as a good estimate of the overall effectiveness of a given allele to induce immunogenicity for a given protein:

Total immunogenicity score: TIMG = $\overline{w}K$ (1)

Finally, TIMG scores were summed for each allele, across all allele-nonamer combinations, and the fractional ranks of these sums were computed for each allele and antigen. For each antigen, the allele with the top-ranked sum (ie, 100%) was the most potent against the particular antigen.

In silico determination of predicted binding affinity of antigen nonamers with HLA Class I alleles

The determination of nonamer immunogenicity above was obtained using the INeo-Epp tool which employs a variety of T-cell immunogenicity-related measures, in addition to the predicted binding affinity of the antigen to the peptide motif of the HLA Class I molecule. As such, the INeo-Epp tool provides a wide coverage of antigen immunogenicity. However, we also determined the predicted binding affinity for the best INeo-Epp outcomes using a different tool, namely the Immune

Table 2. Number of alleles with allele-antigen immunogenicities above the thresholds mentioned in the text.

Table 3. Alleles with highest immunogenicities (among the 2462 alleles tested) for the different cancer/melanoma antigens.

Epitope Database (IEDB) NetMHCpan (ver. 4.1) tool.^{21,23} For each nonamer-HLA molecule tested, this tool gives, as an output, the percentile rank of binding affinity of the HLA molecule and the epitope among predicted binding affinities of the same HLA molecule to a large number of different peptides of the same AA length; the smaller the percentile rank, the better the binding affinity. Now, given a protein of *N* amino acid length and a nonamer, there are *N-9* binding affinity predictions, that is, *N-9* percentile ranks. Of these predictions, for each melanoma antigen and HLA molecule tested, we retained the lowest percentile rank (LPR) as the best possible binding affinity of the protein-HLA molecule pair.

Results

We identified 2462 reported HLA Class I alleles (Table 2) that possessed high binding affinity to nonamers of 11 known melanoma antigens and yielded HLA-antigen complexes with high immunogenicity (ie, good prediction of engaging cytotoxic CD8+ TCR). We then ranked the alleles according to their immunogenicity strength and identified those with the highest immunogenicity for each melanoma antigen, a total of 9 alleles shown in Table 3. (The results for all alleles are given in Table S1 in Supplemental Material). Remarkably, these 11 alleles were also potent against all other melanoma antigens (Table 4), thus conferring very high protection across the board. The specific nonamers and their highly ranked immunogenicities are shown in Table 5. Finally, Table 6 shows the predicted best binding affinities obtained for the antigen-allele combinations (Table 5) using the NetMHCpan tool. It can be seen that all alleles had excellent predicted binding affinities: all LPR values were ≤ 1 , a common threshold for a good binding affinity. Thus both INeo-Epp and NetMHCpan prediction tools gave very congruent results.

Discussion

Here we identified in silico 9 HLA Class I alleles with the highest immunogenicity (out of 2462 tested) against 11 melanoma antigens. These alleles, and others with lower but still high immunogenicities against the tested antigens (See Table 1 in Supplemental Material), are excellent candidates as therapeutic anti-melanoma agents, acting both by themselves (inducing the lysis of cancerous cells via engagement of cytotoxic CD8+ T-cells) and by aiding ICI, the success of which has been found to depend on the HLA Class I genetic makeup of the patient.3

Since HLA is the most highly polymorphic region of the human genome and a particular individual carries only 6 Class I HLA alleles, the likelihood that a given individual may possess a HLA allele that can bind melanoma antigens with sufficient immunogenicity to be substantially effective in tumor cell elimination is limited. Thus, the outcome of ICI would be substantially potentiated if such HLA Class I molecules were present in the tumor cell. Indeed, we have recently proposed²⁸ that this could be achieved by inducing the biosynthesis of desired HLA Class I molecules in the tumor cells by injecting in the tumor site the suitably LNP-mRNA (i.e. mRNA packaged with lipid nanoparticles, LNP) of those Class I molecules, ("Direct HLA therapy, dHLA"28). In the specific case of melanoma, the treatment would involve the introduction in the tumor site of the LNP-mRNA of the 9 omnipotent alleles in Table 3 using recently improved methods of administration.29 An additional benefit could be derived indirectly from the well-known immunogenicity of the HLA molecules themselves, that is, the production of antibodies against them leading, for example, to the rejection of a transplanted organ. In the present application, such an effect would actually be beneficial, since it will enhance the elimination of the tumor, which would be rejected as a

ANTIGEN	$A*02:14$	B*07:10	$B*35:11$	$B*40:10$	B*40:12	$B*44:10$	$C*07:11$	$C*07:13$	$C*07:14$	MEAN
TRP2	99.63	99.76	98.62	98.74	98.38	98.09	100	99.96	99.92	99.23
S ₁₀₀	98.95	59.56	98.67	99.94	100	99.83	70.79	83.20	98.50	89.94
Tyrosinase	99.68	98.42	99.03	98.25	98.17	98.13	99.35	100.0	99.96	99.00
TRP1	99.55	98.86	100	98.33	98.29	98.01	99.84	99.80	99.88	99.17
PMEL(17)	100	99.59	99.23	98.96	98.70	98.21	98.54	98.78	98.66	98.96
MAGE1	100	98.78	99.43	99.07	98.94	98.66	99.51	99.59	99.47	99.27
MAGE4	99.96	98.94	99.19	100	99.92	99.43	98.74	98.78	98.82	99.31
CTA	99.42	100	99.87	98.71	98.62	98.18	98.93	98.89	98.98	99.07
BAGE	98.91	98.39	97.63	99.86	99.91	100	97.77	99.10	99.20	98.97
GAGE. SSX2	98.81	99.59	99.26	99.71	99.63	99.43	99.96	99.92	100	99.59
Melan	98.88	98.66	99.91	99.87	100	99.48	99.96	99.70	99.74	99.58

Table 4. Fractional ranks of average immunogenicity of alleles in Table 1 across all nonamers. Maximum (best) is 100.

Values in bold indicate the highest predicted affinities for a given antigen (row) across the 9 HLA alleles (columns).

Table 5. Nonamers of best allele for each melanoma antigen. Begin and End denote the beginning and end of the nonamer within the antigen AA sequence (Table S3).

Table 5. (Continued)

è

Table 5. (Continued)

 (Continued)

Table 5. (Continued)

 (Continued)

rable σ . (Continued)										
ANTIGEN INDEX	UNIPROT	ANTIGEN	NONAMER INDEX	NONAMER	BEGIN	END	BEST ALLELE			
8	P78358	CTA	$\mathbf{1}$	ARGPESRLL	80	89	B07:10			
8	P78358	CTA	\overline{c}	LAMPFATPM	92	101	B07:10			
8	P78358	CTA	3	MPFATPMEA	94	103	B07:10			
8	P78358	CTA	$\overline{4}$	FATPMEAEL	96	105	B07:10			
$\,8\,$	P78358	CTA	5	SLAQDAPPL	108	117	B07:10			
$\,8\,$	P78358	CTA	$\,6\,$	AQDAPPLPV	110	119	B07:10			
8	P78358	CTA	$\overline{7}$	APPLPVPGV	113	122	B07:10			
8	P78358	CTA	8	PPLPVPGVL	114	123	B07:10			
8	P78358	CTA	9	AADHRQLQL	139	148	B07:10			
9	Q13072	BAGE	$\mathbf{1}$	AQLLQARLM	12	21	B44:10			
9	Q13072	BAGE	2	KEESPVVSW	21	30	B44:10			
9	Q13072	BAGE	3	LEPEDGTAL	31	40	B44:10			
9	Q13072	BAGE	4	PEDGTALCF	33	42	B44:10			
10	Q16385	GAGE, SSX	$\mathbf{1}$	MTFGRLQGI	$\mathbf{1}$	10	C07:14			
10	Q16385	GAGE, SSX	$\sqrt{2}$	DAFARRPTV	5	14	C07:14			
10	Q16385	GAGE, SSX	3	RRPTVGAQI	9	18	C07:14			
10	Q16385	GAGE, SSX	4	FKATLPPFM	63	72	C07:14			
11	Q16655	MELAN	$\mathbf{1}$	AEEAAGIGI	24	33	B40:12			
11	Q16655	MELAN	2	EEAAGIGIL	25	34	B40:12			
11	Q16655	MELAN	3	RRNGYRALM	50	59	B40:12			

Table 5. (Continued)

foreign organ. This treatment could be applied to all forms of solid tumors for which tumor antigens and suitably potent HLA Class I alleles against them have been identified, a feasible objective, as demonstrated in the present study. In this context, it is noteworthy that 5 of the 11 antigens tested, namely the antigens in the Cancer Testis Antigen (CTA) family (Table 3) are also expressed in other tumors^{24,30-32} (e.g. lung carcinoma,³⁰ head and neck squamous cell carcinoma³¹) and, therefore, administration of the 9 alleles discovered here (Tables 4 and 6) would be beneficial to such tumors, in addition to melanoma.

Finally, it is important to distinguish the proposed therapy here from cancer vaccine therapies currently being developed and applied.33-36 In a cancer vaccine, cancer (neo)antigens are suitably administered to induce the production of antibodies

against them and thus reduction/elimination of the tumor, engaging antigen presenting cells (APC), the HLA Class II system, CD4+ lymphocytes, B cells, etc. In contrast, in our proposed dHLA application,²⁸ the mRNA of specific HLA Class I molecules, found to bind with high affinity to cancer antigen fragments (nonamers), are injected directly into the tumor, where the protein of the HLA molecule is synthesized, binds to antigen nonamers, is transported to the cell surface, and engages the cytotoxic CD8+ lymphocytes, killing the cancerous cell. Thus this method does not involve the production of antibodies but depends on the ability of cytotoxic lymphocytes to kill the cancer cell, an ability that, when reduced or absent, can be restored by ICI, hence the prospect of dHLA therapy as a promising aid in ICI success, in addition to its

Table 6. Predicted best binding affinities (LPR values) using the NetChan tool. The lower the LPR, the higher the predicted affinity.

Values in bold indicate the highest predicted affinities for a given antigen (row) across the 9 HLA alleles (columns).

expected effectiveness in early stages of cancer when immune blockade is weak or absent. Given the cost and toxicity of current cancer immunotherapies,37-39 dHLA therapy28 would be a good addition: the cost should be low (just making the LNPmRNA of the 9 HLA molecules) and adverse effects minimal, if any, given the local, intratumoral injection of natural molecules already present in humans. In a way, dHLA cancer therapy opens a new avenue in response to the "need for innovation" in cancer immunotherapy requested recently.40

Limitations

The main limitation is that these effective alleles are to be injected to tumors locally, and not systemically. Thus, they are not suitable for diffuse tumors, multiple metastases, or inaccessible sites.

Conclusions

The 9 HLA alleles identified here to possess high affinity and immunogenicity against 11 common cancer antigens are specific, key molecules for use in direct HLA cancer therapy with expected favorable application to melanoma and other solid tumors, and minimal (if any) adverse effects. Their evaluation in preclinical assessments and clinical trials regarding tumor reduction/elimination remains to be determined with respect to effects of themselves alone and/or administered in combination with ICI.

Acknowledgements

None.

Availability of Data and Materials

All data used for analysis are freely available from public databases, as stated in the Materials and Methods Section of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

APG conceived the proposal and analyzed the data. MS performed the INeo-Epp and NetChan analyses. APG and LMJ wrote the paper. APG, LMJ and MS reviewed and approved the paper.

Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate

This article simply and solely analyzed publicly available data and does not contain any studies with human participants or animal experiments performed by any of the authors. Hence, ethical approval is not applicable to this study.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

ORCID iD

Apostolos P Georgopoulos D [https://orcid.org/0000-0003-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4412-725X) [4412-725X](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4412-725X)

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- 1. National Cancer Institute. Melanoma of the skin-cancer stat facts. Accessed January 12, 2023. <https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/melan.html>
- 2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2021;71:209-249.
- 3. Chowell D, Morris LG, Grigg CM, et al. Patient HLA class I genotype influences cancer response to checkpoint blockade immunotherapy. *Science*. 2017;359:582-587.
- James LM, Georgopoulos AP. Protective and susceptibility effects of human leukocyte antigen on melanoma prevalence and their implications for predicting checkpoint blockade immunotherapy outcomes. *J Immunol Sci*. 2022;6:25-35.
- 5. Mendez R, Aptsiauri N, Del Campo A, et al. HLA and melanoma: multiple alterations in HLA class I and II expression in human melanoma cell lines from ESTDAB cell bank. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2009;58:1507-1515.
- 6. Dhall A, Patiyal S, Kaur H, et al. Computing skin cutaneous melanoma outcome from the HLA-alleles and clinical characteristics. *Front Genet*. 2020;11:221.
- Zhang Z, Lu M, Qin Y, et al. Neoantigen: a new breakthrough in tumor immunotherapy. *Front Immunol*. 2021;12:672356.
- 8. Hov JR, Kosmoliaptsis V, Traherne JA, et al. Electrostatic modifications of the human leukocyte antigen-DR P9 peptide-binding pocket and susceptibility to primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology*. 2011;53:1967-1976.
- Davenport MP, Quinn CL, Chicz RM, et al. Naturally processed peptides from two disease-resistance-associated HLA-DR13 alleles show related sequence motifs and the effects of the dimorphism at position 86 of the HLA-DR beta chain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1995;92:6567-6571.
- 10. Charonis S, James LM, Georgopoulos AP. In silico assessment of binding affinities of three dementia-protective human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alleles to nine human herpes virus antigens. *Curr Res Transl Med*. 2020;68:211-216.
- 11. Charonis S, Tsilibary EP, Georgopoulos A. SARS-CoV-2 virus and human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class II: investigation in silico of binding affinities for COVID-19 protection and vaccine development. *J Immunol Sci*. 2020;4:12-23.
- 12. Charonis SA, Tsilibary E-P, Georgopoulos AP. In silico investigation of binding affinities between human leukocyte antigen class I molecules and SARS-CoV-2 virus spike and ORF1ab proteins. *Explor Immunol*. 2021;1:16-26.
- 13. Charonis SA, James LM, Georgopoulos AP. SARS-CoV-2 in silico binding affinity to human leukocyte antigen (HLA) Class II molecules predicts vaccine effectiveness across variants of concern (VOC). *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:8074.
- 14. Dhanda SK, Karosiene E, Edwards L, et al. Predicting HLA CD4 immunogenicity in human populations. *Front Immunol*. 2018;9:1369.
- 15. Lucas DP, Leffell MS, Zachary AA. Differences in immunogenicity of HLA antigens and the impact of cross-reactivity on the humoral response. *Transplantation*. 2015;99:77-85.
- 16. Dendrou CA, Petersen J, Rossjohn J, Fugger L. HLA variation and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2018;18:325-339.
- 17. Hazini A, Fisher K, Seymour L. Deregulation of HLA-I in cancer and its central importance for immunotherapy. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2021;9:e002899.
- 18. Wang C, Xiong C, Hsu YC, Wang X, Chen L. Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and cancer immunotherapy: HLA-dependent and -independent adoptive immunotherapies. *Ann Blood*. 2020;5:14-70.
- 19. Rodríguez JA. HLA-mediated tumor escape mechanisms that may impair immunotherapy clinical outcomes via T-cell activation. *Oncol Lett*. 2017;14:4415-4427.
- 20. Wang G, Wan H, Jian X, et al. INeo-Epp: a novel T-cell HLA Class-I immunogenicity prediction method. Accessed September 21, 2022. [http://www.biosta](http://www.biostatistics.online/ineo-epp/neoantigen.php)[tistics.online/ineo-epp/neoantigen.php](http://www.biostatistics.online/ineo-epp/neoantigen.php)
- 21. Immune Epitope Database and Tools. IEDB Analysis Resource. Accessed April 11, 2024. <http://tools.iedb.org/mhci/>
- 22. Wang G, Wan H, Jian X, et al. INeo-Epp: a novel T-cell HLA class-I immunogenicity or neoantigenic epitope prediction method based on sequence-related amino acid features. *Bio*m*ed Res Int*. 2020;2020:5798356. doi: 10.1155/2020/5798356.
- 23. Reynisson B, Alvarez B, Paul S, Peters B, Nielsen M.NetMHCpan-4.1 and NetMHCIIpan-4.0: improved predictions of MHC antigen presentation by concurrent motif deconvolution and integration of MS MHC eluted ligand data. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2020;48:W449-W454.
- 24. Pitcovski J, Shahar E, Aizenshtein E, Gorodetsky R. Melanoma antigens and related immunological markers. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2017;115:36-49.
- 25. The UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2017;45:D158-D169.
- 26. Gonzalez-Galarza FF, McCabe A, Santos EJ, et al. Allele frequency net database. Accessed September 12, 2022. <https://www.allelefrequencies.net/>
- 27. Georgopoulos AP, James LM, Charonis SA, Sanders M. Melanoma and human leukocyte antigen (HLA): Immunogenicity of 69 HLA Class I alleles with 11 antigens expressed in melanoma tumors. *Cancer Inform*. 2023;22:1172604. doi:10.1177/11769351231172604.
- 28. Georgopoulos AP, James LM. Direct administration of human leucocyte antigen (dHLA) molecules into tumour sites: proposal for a new immunotherapy for cancer. *BJC Reports*. 2023;1:17.
- 29. Muñoz NM, Williams M, Dixon K, et al. Influence of injection technique, drug formulation and tumor microenvironment on intratumoral immunotherapy delivery and efficacy. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2021;9:e001800. doi:10.1136/jitc-2020-001800.
- 30. Gjerstorff MF, Pøhl M, Olsen KE, Ditzel HJ. Analysis of GAGE, NY-ESO-1 and SP17 cancer/testis antigen expression in early stage non-small cell lung carcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. 2013;13:466.
- 31. Piotti KC, Scognamiglio T, Chiu R, Chen YT. Expression of cancer/testis (CT) antigens in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: evaluation as markers of squamous dysplasia. *Pathol Res Pract*. 2013;209:721-726.
- 32. Caballero OL, Chen Y. Cancer/testis (CT) antigens: potential targets for immunotherapy. *Cancer Sci*. 2009;100:2014-2021.
- 33. Saxena M, van der Burg SH, Melief CJM, Bhardwaj N. Therapeutic cancer vaccines. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2021;21:360-378.
- 34. Igarashi Y, Sasada T. Cancer vaccines: toward the next breakthrough in cancer immunotherapy. *J Immunol Res*. 2020;2020:13.
- 35. Liu X, Huang P, Yang R, Deng H. mRNA cancer vaccines: construction and boosting strategies. *ACS Nano*. 2023;17:19550-19580.
- 36. Morse MA, Gwin WR, Mitchell DA. Vaccine therapies for cancer: then and now. *Target Oncol*. 2021;16:121-152.
- 37. Kennedy LB, Salama AKS. A review of cancer immunotherapy toxicity. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2020;70:86-104.
- Chhabra N, Kennedy J. A review of cancer immunotherapy toxicity: immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Med Toxicol*. 2021;17:411-424.
- 39. Chhabra N, Kennedy J. A review of cancer immunotherapy toxicity ii: adoptive cellular therapies, kinase inhibitors, monoclonal antibodies, and oncolytic viruses. *J Med Toxicol*. 2022;18:43-55.
- 40. Johnson PC, Gainor JF, Sullivan RJ, Longo DL, Chabner B. Immune checkpoint inhibitors — the need for innovation. *New Engl J Med*. 2023;388:1529-1532.

Appendix

Amino acid sequences of the 11 melanoma antigens analyzed (Table 1).

MSPLWWGFLLSCLGCKILPGAQGQFPRVCMTVD-SLVNKECCPRLGAESANVCGSQQGRGQ CTEVRADTRPWSGPYILRNQDDRELWPRKFFHRTCKCT-

GNFAGYNCGDCKFGWTGPNCER

KKPPVIRQNIHSLSPQEREQFLGALDLAKKRVHPDYVIT-TQHWVGLLGPNGTQPQFANCS

VYDFFVWLHYYSVRDTLLGGFFPWLKVYYYRFVIGL-RVWQWEVISCKLIKRATTRQP

MSELEKAMVALIDVFHQYSGREGDKHKLKKSELKELIN-NELSHFLEEIKEQEVVDKVMET LDNDGDGECDFQEFMAFVAMVTTACHEFFEHE

MLLAVLYCLLWSFQTSAGHFPRACVSSKNLMEKECCP-PWSGDRSPCGQLSGRGSCQNILL

SNAPLGPQFPFTGVDDRESWPSVFYNRTCQCSGNFMGF-NCGNCKFGFWGPNCTERRLLVR

RNIFDLSAPEKDKFFAYLTLAKHTISSDYVIPIGTYGQM-KNGSTPMFNDINIYDLFVWMH

YYVSMDALLGGSEIWRDIDFAHEAPAFLPWHRLFLLR-WEQEIQKLTGDENFTIPYWDWRD

AEKCDICTDEYMGGQHPTNPNLLSPASFFSSWQIVCSR-LEEYNSHQSLCNGTPEGPLRRN

PGNHDKSRTPRLPSSADVEFCLSLTQYESGSMDKAANFS-FRNTLEGFASPLTGIADASQS

SMHNALHIYMNGTMSQVQGSANDPIFLLHHAFVD-SIFEQWLRRHRPLQEVYPEANAPIGH

NRESYMVPFIPLYRNGDFFISSKDLGYDYSYLQDSDPDS-FQDYIKSYLEQASRIWSWLLG

AAMVGAVLTALLAGLVSLLCRHKRKQLPEEKQPLLME-KEDYHSLYQSHL

MSAPKLLSLGCIFFPLLLFQQARAQFPRQCATVE-ALRSGMCCPDLSPVSGPGTDRCGSSS

GRGRCEAVTADSRPHSPQYPHDGRDDREVWPLRFFNRT-CHCNGNFSGHNCGTCRPGWRGA

ACDQRVLIVRRNLLDLSKEEKNHFVRALDMAKRTTH-PLFVIATRRSEEILGPDGNTPQFE

NISIYNYFVWTHYYSVKKTFLGVGQESFGEVDFSHEG-PAFLTWHRYHLLRLEKDMQEMLQ

EPSFSLPYWNFATGKNVCDICTDDLMGSRSNFD-STLISPNSVFSQWRVVCDSLEDYDTLG

TLCNSTEDGPIRRNPAGNVARPMVQRLPEPQDVAQCLEV-GLFDTPPFYSNSTNSFRNTVE

GYSDPTGKYDPAVRSLHNLAHLFLNGTGGQTHLSPND-PIFVLLHTFTDAVFDEWLRRYNA

DISTFPLENAPIGHNRQYNMVPFWPPVTNTEMFVTAP-DNLGYTYEIQWPSREFSVPEIIA

IAVVGALLLVALIFGTASYLIRARRSMDEANQPLLTDQYQ-CYAEEYEKLQNPNQSVV

MDLVLKRCLLHLAVIGALLAVGATKVPRNQDWLGVS-RQLRTKAWNRQLYPEWTEAQRLDC

WRGGQVSLKVSNDGPTLIGANASFSIALNFPGSQKVLP-DGQVIWVNNTIINGSQVWGGQP

VYPQETDDACIFPDGGPCPSGSWSQKRSFVYVWKTW-GQYWQVLGGPVSGLSIGTGRAMLG

THTMEVTVYHRRGSRSYVPLAHSSSAFTITDQVPFSVS-VSQLRALDGGNKHFLRNQPLTF

ALQLHDPSGYLAEADLSYTWDFGDSSGTLISRALVVTH-TYLEPGPVTAQVVLQAAIPLTS

CGSSPVPGTTDGHRPTAEAPNTTAGQVPTTEVVGTTP-GQAPTAEPSGTTSVQVPTTEVIS

TAPVQMP TAESTGMTPEKVPVSEVMGTTLAEMST-PEATGMTPAEVSIVVLSGTTAAQVTT

TEWVETTARELPIPEPEGPDASSIMSTESITGSLGPLLDG-TATLRLVKRQVPLDCVLYRY

GSFSVTLDIVQGIESAEILQAVPSGEGDAFELTVSCQG-GLPKEACMEISSPGCQPPAQRL

CQPVLPSPACQLVLHQILKGGSGTYCLNVSLADTNSLAV-VSTQLIMPGQEAGLGQVPLIV

GILLVLMAVVLASLIYRRRLMKQDFSVPQLPHSSSHWLRL-PRIFCSCPIGENSPLLSGQQ

 V

MSLEQRSLHCKPEEALEAQQEALGLVCVQAATSSSSPLV-LGTLEEVPTAGSTDPPQSPQG

ASAFPTTINFTRQRQPSEGSSSREEEGPSTSCILESL-FRAVITKKVADLVGFLLLKYRAR

EPVTKAEMLESVIKNYKHCFPEIFGKASESLQLVFGID-VKEADPTGHSYVLVTCLGLSYD

GLLGDNQIMPKTGFLIIVLVMIAMEGGHAPEEEIWEELS-VMEVYDGREHSAYGEPRKLLT QDLVQEKYLEYRQVPDSDPARYEFLWGPRALAETSYVKV-LEYVIKVSARVRFFFPSLREA **ALREEEEGV**

MSSEQKSQHCKPEEGVEAQEEALGLVGAQAPTTE-EQEAAVSSSSPLVPGTLEEVPAAESA

GPPQSPQGASALPTTISFTCWRQPNEGSSSQEEEGPSTSP-DAESLFREALSNKVDELAHF

LLRKYRAKELVTKAEMLERVIKNYKRCFPVIFGKASESLK-MIFGIDVKEVDPASNTYTLV

TCLGLSYDGLLGNNQIFPKTGLLIIVLGTIAMEGDSAS-EEEIWEELGVMGVYDGREHTVY

GEPRKLLTQDWVQENYLEYRQVPGSNPARYEFLWGPRA-LAETSYVKVLEHVVRVNARVRI

AYPSLREAALLEEEEGV

MQAEGRGTGGSTGDADGPGGPGIPDGPGGNAGGP-GEAGATGGRGPRGAGAARASGPGGGA PRGPHGGAASGLNGCCRCGARGPESRLLEFYLAMP-FATPMEAELARRSLAQDAPPLPVPG VLLKEFTVSGNILTIRLTAADHRQLQLSISSCLQQLSLLM-WITQCFLPVFLAQPPSGQRR

MAARAVFLALSAQLLQARLMKEESPVVSWRLEPEDG-TALCFIF

MNGDDAFARRPTVGAQIPEKIQKAFDDIAKYFSKEEWEK-MKASEKIFYVYMKRKYEAMTK

LGFKATLPPFMCNKRAEDFQGNDLDNDPNRGNQVER-PQMTFGRLQGISPKIMPKKPAEEG

NDSEEVPEASGPQNDGKELCPPGKPTTSEKIHERSGP-KRGEHAWTHRLRERKQLVIYEEI

SDPEEDDE

MPREDAHFIYGYPKKGHGHSYTTAEEAAGIGILTVILGV-LLLIGCWYCRRRNGYRALMDK

SLHVGTQCALTRRCPQEGFDHRDSKVSLQEKNCEPVVP-NAPPAYEKLSAEQSPPPYSP