Check for updates

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Adachi Y, Yoshikawa H, Yokoyama S, Iwasa K (2020) Characteristics of university students supported by counseling services: Analysis of psychological tests and pulse rate variability. PLoS ONE 15(8): e0218357. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357

Editor: Yuka Kotozaki, Iwate Medical University, JAPAN

Received: May 27, 2019

Accepted: August 5, 2020

Published: August 21, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Adachi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All data files are available from the DRYAD database (accession number doi:10.5061/dryad.bzkh18969).

Funding: This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number. 15H03084 (H.Y.)

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Characteristics of university students supported by counseling services: Analysis of psychological tests and pulse rate variability

Yumi Adachi¹, Hiroaki Yoshikawa¹*, Shigeru Yokoyama², Kazuo Iwasa³

1 Health Service Center, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan, 2 Research Center for Child Mental Development, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan, 3 Department of Neurology and Neurobiology of Ageing, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan

* hiroaki@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Objective

Mental health is an essential issue during adolescence. The number of students who use counseling services is increasing in universities. We attempted to confirm the characteristics of the students who access counseling services using both psychological tests and pulse rate variability (PRV) for better support for students' academic success.

Methods

We recruited the participants for this study from the students who had counseling sessions at Kanazawa University (Group S). As a control group, we also recruited students who had no experience in counseling services (Group H). We obtained health information from the database of annual health checkups. Participants received the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS)-III, Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ), Sukemune-Hiew (S-H) Resilience Test, and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-JYZ (STAI). We also studied the 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12v2) for testing Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQOL). As a physiological test, we examined the spectral analyses of pulse rate variability (PRV) by accelerating plethysmography. We performed a linear analysis of PRV for low-frequency power (LF: 0.02–0.15 Hz) and high-frequency power (HF: 0.15–0.50 Hz). We also conducted a non-linear analysis of PRV for the largest Lyapunov exponent (LLE). Additionally, we examined participants' blood for autoantibodies against glutamate decarboxylase (GAD) 65.

Results

A total of 105 students participated in this study. Group S had 37 participants (Male: 26, Female: 11), and Group H had 68 participants (Male: 27, Female 41). There were five males and one female in Group S who had diagnoses of autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and three males in Group S were diagnosed with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) by medical institutes. Additionally, four males and two females in Group S had diagnoses of ASD with ADHD by medical institutes. A male with ASD in Group S had epilepsy. The

students of Group S had characteristics as follows: 1) lower power of Working Memory Index (WMI) despite high Full-Scale Intelligent Quotient (FSIQ), 2) higher ASD traits especially in Male, 3) lower resilience powers, 4) higher anxiety trait, 5) lower Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQOL) in Role/social component in both Male and Female, 6) lower HRQOL in Mental component in Male 7) shifting of autonomic nervous balance toward higher sympathetic activity.

Conclusion

We could confirm the characteristics of students who visited counseling rooms for mental support (Group S). We also found gender differences in specificities of Group S. The educational system is changing rapidly to adjust social requests. These changes make conflict with the features of students of Group S. We should think about appropriate supports for the students who would pioneer the future of humanity.

Introduction

Mental health is an essential issue during adolescence. Patel et al. reported that most mental disorders began during youth (12–24 years of age), although they are often first detected late in life [1]. The deterioration of mental health in adolescence sometimes resulted in suicide attempts. The study of global patterns of mortality in young people by Patton et al. reported that suicide is six % of all deaths in adolescence, and the mortality rate in youth is high in low-income and middle-income countries [2]. Adolescent-specific suicide prevention strategies have been implemented in three principal settings: schools, the community, and the health system [3]. Calear et al. did a systematic review of psychosocial suicide prevention interventions for youth [4]. They reported that the development of universal school-based interventions is promising.

In universities, students can receive various health services from health service facilities inside the universities. The health service facilities have physicians, licensed counselors, and nurses. Licensed counselors provide counseling services, as requested. The number of students seeking counseling services is increasing year by year, and their concerns are getting severe [5, 6]. Besides, a considerable number of students with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) traits, who were not diagnosed with ASD during the high school period, enter universities recently. Their difficulties with socialization have been found to affect their academic success and overall wellbeing [7]. Occasionally, university life reveals students' mild developmental disorders, such as when students live alone apart from their parents. It is often that faculty members brought them to counseling services because of the absence of classes or low-grade point average (GPA). In the counseling rooms of universities, licensed counselors assess students' conditions from a psychological perspective. The most common issues in their complaints are difficulties in university life, which are usually reported by subjective dialogs. If counselers found the necessity of further assessments, they would refer students to physicians, psychiatrists, or medical services outside of universities. If the symptoms or concerns of students were not severe, counselors do not examine them with further psychological tests.

In this study, we attempted to evaluate the mental and physical conditions of students who had counseling sessions by comparing them with students who did not use counseling services. According to our clinical experiences at the health service center, the students who utilized

counseling services have a trait of ASD. However, we did not examine them in detail by psychological tests. Kanai et al. reported the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS)-III profiles of adults with ASD, high-functioning autism, and high-functioning pervasive developmental disorders (PPD) [8]. It suggests that the WAIS-III is useful to evaluate the students who visit counseling rooms. Besides, the students who utilize the counseling rooms frequently complain of embodied symptoms such as hyperhidrosis, insomnia, and irritable bowels. They might be related to the dysregulation of autonomic nerves. Elam-Stock et al. reported that autonomic processing altered in ASD by using non-specific skin conductance response, which was an objective index of sympathetic neural activities [9]. For that reason, We tested autonomic nervous functions by spectral analyses of pulse rate variability (PRV) measured by accelerating plethysmography to evaluate autonomic nervous functions. We also assessed non-linear analyses of the pulse wave (largest Lyapunov exponent, LLE) recorded from the subjects' fingertips that were related to the central nervous system function [10]. From our clinical experiences, the students who visited counseling services had lower resilience, higher anxieties, and a lower sense of the quality of life (QOL). So we assessed them with corresponding psychological tests. As a biological marker for ASD and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), we tested for the presence of auto-antibodies against glutamate decarboxylase (GAD65), which Rout et al. detected in 15% of ASD and 27% of ADHD children [11]. It is one of the neuronal antibodies detected in Stiff-person syndrome [12].

It is useful to determine the characteristics of the students who have distress in the university because we can offer them appropriate supports.

Materials and methods

Study design

This study is part of a randomized, cross-over, placebo-controlled trial (http://www.umin.ac. jp/ctr/index.htm, number UMIN000019101) in a single-center (Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Japan). Participants were recruited from students of Kanazawa University. The study was conducted with Good Clinical Practice. Enrolment started in October 2015, and the last participant finished their observational period in October 2016. This report is a summary of the pre-evaluation of participants before entering the placebo-controlled trial.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and participant consents

The Ethics Committee of Medicine, Kanazawa University approved the study (No. 29–3), and we obtained written informed consent from all subjects enrolled.

Participants

We recruited participants from students of Kanazawa University (https://www.kanazawa-u.ac. jp/e/). We included students of Undergraduate and Graduate Schools of Humanities, Science and Technology and, Medicine, Pharmacy, and Health. Group S consisted of students who had counseling sessions in our counseling rooms. The students came to the counseling rooms by their own will or following the advice of friends or supervisors. Group H consisted of students recruited from classes who had no experience in counseling sessions at the university as well as outside of the university. We checked the medical and psychological records of participants candidates from the data of annual health checkups. Also, we had interview sessions with participants candidates for utilizations of the counseling sessions or psychiatric services outside the university. As exclusion criteria, we did not include students who had severe mental disorders attempting self-injury or suicidal attempt in this study. We are planning a study

of a continuous response variable from independent control and experimental subjects with 2 controls per experimental subject. In a previous study [8], the results within each subject group were normally distributed with a standard deviation of 17. If the true difference in the experimental and control means is 10, we will need to study 35 experimental subjects and 70 control subjects to be able to reject the null hypothesis that the population means of the experimental and control groups are equal with probability (power) 0.8. The Type I error probability associated with this test of this null hypothesis is 0.05.

Assessment

We interviewed candidates and screened their eligibility for this study. We obtained full informed consent using printed materials. We accessed health information on the participants from the database of annual health checkups in the Health Service Center, Kanazawa University. Participants received the WAIS-III, Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ), Sukemune-Hiew (S-H) Resilience Test, and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-JYZ (STAI). We also studied the 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12v2) (iHope International Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) for testing Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQOL).

To evaluate the function of the autonomic nervous system, we investigated the spectral analyses of PRV measured by accelerating plethysmography. We performed a linear analysis of PRV for low-frequency power (LF: 0.02–0.15 Hz) and high-frequency power (HF: 0.15–0.50 Hz). We considered HF as an index of parasympathetic nervous tone and the ratio of LF to HF power (LF/HF) as an index of sympathetic nervous tone. We also performed a non-linear analysis of the data from the pulse wave study and obtained the values of the largest Lyapunov exponent (LLE). To study autoantibodies against GAD65, we drew 5 mL of blood from participants. The sera were separated and then stored at -80°C until antibody testing. The order of the assessments was as follows: 1) SF-12v2, 2) STAI, 3) S-H Resilience Test, 4) AQ, 5) PRV, 6) blood drawing, and 7) WAIS-III. The WAIS-III was performed on a different day from the other tests because of time constraints.

WAIS-III. The WAIS-III was developed to evaluate the Intelligent Quotient (IQ) in 1997. The version of WAIS-III used in this experiment was the Japanese version purchased from Nihon Bunka Kagakusha (Tokyo, Japan). The original version is published by Pearson (USA). Licensed psychologists performed the test. WAIS-III could obtain the Full-Scale Intelligence Quotient (FSIQ). FSIQ divided into Verbal IQ (VIQ) and Performance IQ (PIQ). VIQ contains Verbal Comprehension Index (VCI) and Working Memory Index (WMI). PIQ contains the Perceptual Organization Index (POI) and Processing Speed Index (PSI). The VCI includes the following tests: Vocabulary, Similarities, and Information. The WMI includes Arithmetic, Digit Span, and Letter-Number Sequencing. The POI includes Picture Completion, Block Design, and Matrix Reasoning. The PSI includes Digit Symbol-Coding and Symbol Search. Picture Arrangement, Comprehension, and Object Assembly are not used in the calculation of the Indexes.

AQ. The definition of AQ used in this experiment was based on the Japanese version of the original by Baron-Cohen *et al.* [13], which was revised in 2016. AQ has five subcategories: 1) Social skill (for example: "I prefer to do things with others rather than on my own." -reversal item); 2) Attention switching (for example: "I prefer to do things the same way over and over again"); 3) Attention to detail (for example: "I often notice small sounds when others do not."); 4) Communication (for example: "Other people frequently tell me that what I've said is impolite, even though I think it is polite."); 5) Imagination (for example: "When I'm reading a story, I can easily imagine what the characters might look like." -reversal item). The maximum score for each subcategory is 10. The total score is out of 50, and ASD is suspected when the score is equal to or more than 33.

S-H Resilience test. S-H Resilience Test was developed and validated by Sato and Sukemune [14] to evaluate the power of resilience in adults. We purchased printed test sheets from Takei Scientific Instruments Co., Ltd. (Niigata, Japan).

STAI. STAI was initially developed by Spielberger *et al.* [15], and the Japanese version (STAI-JYZ) was made by Hidano *et al.* (Jitsumu Kyoiku Shuppan, 2000). We purchased printed test sheets from Jitsumu Kyoiku Shuppan (Tokyo, Japan).

SF-12v2 Health Survey. To evaluate health-related quality of life (HRQOL), we used the SF-12v2 Health Survey (iHope International Co. Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). We evaluated lower measures of SF-12v2, which were composed of Physical Functioning (PF), Role Physical (RP), Bodily Pain (BP), General Health (GH), Vitality (VT), Social Functioning (SF), Role Emotional (RE), and Mental Health (MH). Then we transformed the scores from these summaries into Physical component summary (PCS), Mental component summary (MCS), and Role/Social component summary (RCS) scores [16].

Analyses of PRV. We performed spectral analyses of PRV measured by acceleration plethysmography. We collected data with an Android[™] tablet installed with Alys[™] (Chaos Technology Research Laboratory, Otsu, Japan) at a stable temperature and under quiet conditions. Participants sat on chairs and were asked to relax for five minutes before recording. We used fingertip sensors to record heart rates. If the recorded waves were too small to analyze correctly, we used sensors attached to the subjects' earlobes. We recorded the data with the participant in a sitting position for three minutes. The collected data was calculated using Lyspect[™] (Chaos Technology Research Laboratory, Otsu, Japan) installed in a PC with Windows 10. For spectral analyses, we used a fast Fourier transform (FFT). We set a low-frequency (LF) range from 0.04 to 0.15 Hz, and a high-frequency (HF) range from 0.15 to 0.40 Hz. The densities of the power spectrum were calculated from LF and HF, respectively, and the power ratio of LF/HF was obtained, which was estimated for the sympathetic activities. As an index of parasympathetic activities, we used the power spectrum of HF. We also performed a non-linear analysis of the pulse wave results and obtained LLE from the same data for the power spectrum.

Anti- GAD65 antibody. To measure anti-GAD65 antibody levels in serum, we used GADAb ELISA kits (Cosmic Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Participants' sera were tested using the instructions provided by the vendor.

Statistical methods

For statistical analyses, we tested the data for normal distribution by the Shapiro-Wilk test. In the categories with a normal distribution, we analyzed data for equality of variance by two-tailed F test. For the data of equal variance, we utilized the Student t-test, For the data of non-equal variance, we used Welch's t-test. In the data with non-normal distribution, we utilized Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test (WMW). The findings were not accounted for multiple comparisons. We utilized JMP 14.3.0 (SAS Institute, Japan, Tokyo, Japan) for statistical analysis. We chose the acceptance level of significance as p<0.05.

Results

Participant disposition

A total of 105 participants were enrolled in this study. The number of Group S was 37, and that of Group H was 68 (Table 1). The actual number of students who visited our counseling room was 279 from April 2016 to March 2017 [17] and was 388 from April 2017 to March 2018 [18]. The total number of students at Kanazawa University of the fiscal year 2016 was 10488 (Undergraduate; 7895, Graduate and others; 2593). We obtained participants'

Male		S (N = 26)	H (N = 27)		р
	Age [median (IQR)]	22 (21–24) (min: 18, max: 30)	20 (19–21) (min: 18, max: 27)	S>H	0.0003 ^a
	Height (cm), (mean ± SD)	172.9 ± 5.9	170.7 ± 5.7		0.1656 ^b
	BW (kg) [median (IQR)]	62 (61-73)	57 (56–62)	S>H	0.0069 ^a
	BMI [median (IQR)]	21.5 (19.2–24.0)	20.3 (19.5–21.3)		0.0781^{a}
	Year Classes				
	Undergraduate 1		1		
	2		2		
	3		7		
	4	:	4		
	Graduate (Master) 1		2		
	2		1		
	Graduate (Doctor) 1		1	1	
	2	(0	1	
	3	(0	1	
		(0		
	Clinical Diagnosis by the psychiatric service outside the university	ASD: 5 (1 with Epilepsy), ADHD: 3, ASD+ADHD; 4, Depression; 3, Bipolar disorder; 1	none		
	Suspected ASD by Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ) score (≧33)	8 (30.8%)	2 (7.4%)		
	Family Background	Japanese: ASD (mother); 1, ADHD (father & sister); 1, Depression (mother); 1, Depression (mother & sister); 1	Japanese:		
	Months using the counseling services [median (min-max)]	4 (1-35)	none		
Female		S (N = 11)	H (N = 41)		р
	Age [median (IQR)]	23 (20–27) (min: 18, max: 28)	20 (19–21) (min: 18, max: 27)	S>H	0.0021 ^a
	Height (cm), (mean ± SD)	160.3 ± 5.7	160.5 ± 5.1		0.8932 ^a
	BW (kg) [median (IQR)]	53 (48–57)	52 (47-55)		0.4723 ^a
	BMI [median (IQR)]	20 (19–24)	20 (18-22)		0.4529 ^a
	Year Classes				
	Undergraduate 1		1		
	2		8		
	3		1	1	
	4		0		
	Graduate (Master) 1	(6	1	
	2		3	1	
	Graduate (Doctor) 1		2	1	
	2		1	1	
	3	(1	1	
			0	1	
			0	1	
	Clinical Diagnosis by the psychiatric service outside the university	ASD: 1, ASD+ADHD: 2, Bipolar disorder; 2	none		
	Suspected ASD by Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ) score (≧33)	1 (9.1%)	1 (2.4%)		
	Family Background	Japanese:	Japanese:		

Table 1. Clinical information of participants.

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Male		S (N = 26)	H (N = 27)	р
	Months using the counseling services	5 (1-39)	none	
	[median (min-max)]			

^aWMW

^bt-test.

ASD: Autism spectrum disorder, ADHD: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t001

information on age and health information from the database of annual health checkups in April. As a result, age was higher in Group S than Group H in both Male and Female. Bodyweight (BW) was higher in Group S than Group H in Male. There were five males and one female who had a diagnosis of ASD, and three males and no female with ADHD by psychiatric services outside the university in Group S. Additionally, four males and two females had diagnoses of ASD with ADHD by psychiatric services outside the university in Group S. A male with ASD in Group S had epilepsy. In Male of Group S, three had a diagnosis of depression, one with bipolar disorder by psychiatric services outside the university. In Female of Group S, two had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder by psychiatric services outside the university. The race of participants was all Japanese. There was some familial background of mental disorders in Male of Group S.

WAIS-III

The WAIS-III showed significant differences in WMI of Total. Group S had lower values of WMI compared to Group H (Table 2). However, the value itself of WMI from Group S was within a normal range.

In the Verbal and Performance tasks, the value of Similarities was significantly higher in Group S than in Group H for Male (Table 3). Symbol Search was significantly lower in Group S than in Group H for Male. In Female, Picture Arrangement was higher in Group S than in Group H. As Total, Similarities was significantly higher in Group S than in Group H. Letter-Number Sequencing, and Symbol Search are significantly lower in Group S than in Group H.

AQ score

The AQ scores in Male and Female showed significant differences (Table 4). In Male, AQ total, and subcategories AQ1 (Social skill), AQ2 (Attention switching), AQ4 (Communication) were significantly higher in Group S. The results suggest that Male in Group S tended ASD. However, Female did not have a significant tendency for ASD. In Total, AQ total, and subcategories AQ1 (Social skill), AQ2 (Attention switching), AQ4 (Communication), AQ5 (Imagination) were significantly higher in Group S than Group H. The number of participants who were suspected of having ASD based on their AQ score (\geq 33) was nine (24.3%) in Group S and three (4.4%) in Group H.

The results of the S-H Resilience test

The results of the S-H Resilience Test are summarized in <u>Table 5</u>. S-H Resilience Scores were significantly lower in Total, Male, and Female of Group S than Group H. It means that Group S had less resilience than Group H.

		Group S	Group H		р
Male		n = 26	n = 27		
FSIQ	(mean ± SD)	115.4 ± 11.7	117.3 ± 6.8		0.4774 ^b
VIQ	(mean ± SD)	120.3 ± 11.5	122.7 ± 8.2		0.3981 ^b
PIQ	(mean ± SD)	106.2 ± 16.2	106.3 ± 8.2		0.9852 ^b
VCI	(mean ± SD)	120.9 ± 12.2	117.8 ± 7.8		0.2652 ^b
POI	(mean ± SD)	105.2 ± 16.4	107.7 ± 10.0		0.5020 ^b
WMI	(mean ± SD)	108.6 ± 11.1	114.3 ± 13.5		0.1014 ^b
PSI	[median (IQR)]	104 (94–114)	107 (100–113)		0.2247 ^a
Female		n = 11	n = 41		
FSIQ	(mean ± SD)	116.4 ± 9.7	117.8 ± 9.2		0.6517 ^b
VIQ	(mean ± SD)	119.4 ± 9.0	120.3 ± 9.0		0.7401 ^b
PIQ	(mean ± SD)	108.9 ± 15.3	110.7 ± 10.7		0.6584 ^b
VCI	(mean ± SD)	121.8 ± 6.9	117.3 ± 10.3		0.1799 ^b
POI	(mean ± SD)	110.5 ± 13.4	108.3 ± 12.9		0.6298 ^b
WMI	(mean ± SD)	100.6 ± 14.2	110.1 ± 10.1		0.0599 ^c
PSI	(mean ± SD)	105.7 ± 18.4	109.8 ± 13.3		0.4072 ^b
Total		n = 37	N = 68		
FSIQ	(mean ± SD)	115.7 ± 11.1	117.6 ± 8.3		0.3193 ^b
VIQ	(mean ± SD)	120.1 ± 10.0	121.3 ± 8.7		0.5244 ^b
PIQ	(mean ± SD)	107.0 ± 15.8	108.9 ± 9.9		0.4478 ^b
VCI	(mean ± SD)	121.2 ± 10.8	117.5 ± 9.3		0.0704 ^b
POI	(mean ± SD)	106.8 ± 15.6	108.1 ± 11.7		0.6296 ^b
WMI	(mean ± SD)	106.2 ± 12.5	111.8 ± 11.7	S <h< td=""><td>0.0308^c</td></h<>	0.0308 ^c
PSI	[median (IQR)]	102 (94–115)	107 (102–118)		0.0633 ^a

Table 2. WAIS-III IQ and index.

^aWMW

^bt-test

^cWelch's t-test.

FSIQ: Full-Scale IQ, VIQ; Verbal IQ, PIQ; Performance IQ, VCI; Verbal Comprehension Index, POI; Perceptual Organization Index, WMI; Working Memory Index, PSI; Processing Speed Index.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t002

The results of the STAI

We summarized the results of the STAI in <u>Table 6</u>. The State Anxiety Scores of Male and Total were significantly higher in Group S than in Group H, but Female did not. The Trait Anxiety Scores of Male, Female, and Total were significantly higher in Group S than Group H.

SF12v2 Health Survey

We summarized the results of the SF12v2 Health Survey in <u>Table 7</u>. The Male, Female, and Total of Group S had significantly lower RCS scores than Group H. In Male, Group S had significantly lower MCS besides RCS than Group H. The results indicate Group S had lower HRQOL of Role/Social component. Additionally, males of Group S had lower HRQOL of Mental component. These results reflect the difficulties of Group S in college life.

Analyses of PRV

Linear and non-linear analyses of the pulse wave results were obtained by accelerating plethysmography. We collected the powers of LF and HF by spectral analysis of PRV. The ratio of LF/

Male verbal n= 26 n= 27 n Verbal n n n n Verbal no no no no Similaritie (molan (QB)) 14 (13-16) 13 (11-14) 8.5H 0.0847" Comprehension (neam ± 5D) 12.3 ± 22 13 ± 23 0.1249" Comprehension (neam ± 6D) 13 (11-14) 15 (11-15) 0.2200" Digit Span (nedian (QR)) 15 (12-16) 15 (13-17) 0.339" Letter Number Sequencing (mean ± 5D) 0.2 ± 2.4 10 ± 2.2 0.3348" Performance n n 0.4852" 0.4848" Performance n 1.1 ± 2.4 0.4852" 0.4983" Pature Completion (mean ± 5D) 10.8 ± 4.0 11.5 ± 2.5 0.4013" 0.4983" Matrix Resoning (mean ± 5D) 10.8 ± 4.0 11.5 ± 2.5 0.4013" 0.4983" Performance n 11.8 ± 7.5 0.4013" 0.4997" Matrix Resoning (mean ± 5D) 10.6 ± 3.0 11.5 ± 3.5 0.4332" M			Group S	Group H		р
Verbala percentary (reduin (IQR)) 16 (13-18) 14 (13-16) 14 (13-16) 15 (1-14) 5 > H 0.006* Similaritie (median (IQR)) 12 (13-12) 13 (1-14) 5 > H 0.1204* Comprehension (median (IQR)) 13 (10-14) 13 (01-13) 0.2203* Arithmeck (median (IQR)) 13 (10-14) 13 (10-13) 0.9200* Digit'spin (median (IQR)) 13 (10-14) 13 (10-13) 0.9200* Digit'spin (median (IQR)) 13 (10-14) 15 (13-17) 0.330* Performance Inter Number Sequencing (mean + SD) 10.2 + 2.4 10.9 + 2.2 0.4385* Bickle Nesign (mean + SD) 11.9 ± 2.9 11.6 ± 2.5 0.4013* Digit Symbol Coding (median (IQR)) 11 (12-13) 11.1 ± 2.2 0.4385* Objet Asembly (mean ± SD) 10.1 ± 2.9 11.6 ± 2.5 0.4335* Female In 12 ± 2.1 11.1 ± 1.2 0.4335* Verbal In 2.1 ± 3.1 11.7 ± 2.3 S 0.4335* Female In 14 ± 1.5 11.6 ± 2.5 0.4335* Verbal	Male		n = 26	n = 27		
Vacabuay (median (10,R)] 16 (13-18) 14 (13-17) ∞ ∞ Similarities (median (10,R)] 14 (13-16) 13 (11-14) \sim ∞ Comprehension (median (10,R)] 13 (10-14) 14 (10-16) ∞ ∞ Comprehension (median (10,R)] 13 (10-14) 13 (11-13) ∞ ∞ Letter-Number Sequencing (mean ± SD) 10 ± 2.1 10 ± 2.2 ∞ ∞ Performance ω ω ω ω ω Petrue Arrangement (mean ± SD) 10 ± 2.4 ω ω ω ω Bock Design (mean ± SD) 10 ± 2.4 ω		Verbal				
Sindartise (median (10,R)) 14 (10-16) 13 (1-14) S-N4 0.0064' Information (mean +SD) 12 3 + 22 13 3 + 23 0 0.1204' Arithmetic (median (10,R)) 13 (10-14) 13 (11-13) 0.9200' Digit Spin (modian (10,R)) 15 (12-16) 15 (13-17) 0.0330' Petrorance - - 0.3384' Petror Completion (mean +SD) 10 2 ± 2 4 10 9 ± 2 2 0.4852'' Block besigt (mean ± SD) 10 2 ± 1 ± 3 1 12 ± 2 3 0.4852'' Block besigt (mean ± SD) 11 0 ± 2 9 11 5 ± 2 3 0.4983'' Digit Symbol Coding (median (10,R)) 11 1 0 ± 1 2 2 11 0 ± 2 4 0.4033'' Digit Symbol Coding (median (10,R)) 11 0 ± 2 9 11 ± 3 1 0.432'' Digit Symbol Coding (median (10,R)) 10 0 ± 4 2 91 ± 3 5 0.4332'' Digit Symbol Coding (median (10,R)) 10 1 ± 4 2 0.4392'' 0.4392'' Digit Symbol Coding (median (10,R)) 15 (1 4 - 15) 14 (1 5 - 15) 0.4392'' Digit Symbol Coding (median (10,R)) 15 (1 4 - 15) 14 (1 5 - 1		Vocabulary [median (IQR)]	16 (13-18)	14 (13–17)		0.8647^{a}
Information (mean \pm SD) 12.3 \pm 2.2 13.4 \pm 2.3 0.120 ^d Comprehension (median (QR)) 12 (10-16) 14 (10-16) 0.220 ^d Arithmetic (median (QR)) 13 (10-14) 13 (11-13) 0.320 ^d Inter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 10.2 \pm 2.4 10.9 \pm 2.2 0.3048 ^d Performance Inter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 0.5 \pm 3.8 10.1 \pm 2.4 0.4852 ^d Peture Completion (mean \pm SD) 12.1 \pm 3.1 12.2 \pm 3.0 0.4782 ^d Block besign (mean \pm SD) 12.1 \pm 3.1 12.2 \pm 3.0 0.4932 ^d Matrix Resoning (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 4.0 11.5 \pm 2.3 0.4013 ^d Digit Symbol Coding (median (0QR) 11.6 \pm 2.4 0.4013 ^d Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 4.2 9.1 \pm 5.5 0.4332 ^d Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 4.2 9.1 \pm 5.5 0.4332 ^d Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 4.2 0.432 ^d 0.432 ^d Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 15.4 (1-17) 14.15 \pm 1.6 0.432 ^d Female Information (mean \pm		Similarities [median (IQR)]	14 (13–16)	13 (11–14)	S>H	0.0064 ^a
Comprehension [median (IQR)] 12 (10–14) 14 (10–16) 0.203 ³ Arithmetic [median (IQR)] 15 (12–16) 15 (13–17) 0.3330 ³ Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (12–16) 15 (13–17) 0.3330 ³ Deformance 109 ± 2.2 0.048 ³ Performance 109 ± 2.4 109 ± 2.4 0.488 ³² Picture Completion (mean + 5D) 0.8 ± 4.0 11.5 ± 2.3 0.438 ³² Block Design (mean + 5D) 10.8 ± 4.0 11.5 ± 2.3 0.438 ³² Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 11.0 ± 2.9 11.6 ± 2.5 0.401 ³⁵ Matrix Reasoning (mean + 5D) 10.1 ± 3.1 11.7 ± 2.3 S < H		Information (mean ± SD)	12.3 ± 2.2	13.3 ± 2.3		0.1204 ^b
Arthmetic [median (QR)] 13 (10–14) 13 (11–13) 0.9209' Digit Spin (median (QR)] 15 (12–16) 15 (13–17) 0.03304' Letter-Number Sequencing (mein \pm SD) 10.2 4.2.4 10.9 ± 2.2 0.9408' Performance - - - - Picture Arrangement (mean \pm SD) 9.5 \pm 3.8 10.1 ± 2.4 0.4852'' Ficure Completion (mean \pm SD) 10.8 \pm 4.0 11.5 \pm 2.3 0.0732'' Matrix Reasoning (mean \pm SD) 10.1 ± 3.1 11.2 \pm 3.3 0.0330'' Digit Symbol Coding [median (QR)] 11.6 \pm 1.4 9 (1–11) 0.0376'' Symbol Search (mean \pm SD) 10.1 \pm 3.1 11.7 \pm 2.3 S 0.0378'' Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.0 \pm 4.2 9.15 a.5 0.0438'' Verbal n = 11 n = 41 2 0.3783'' Female n = 11 n = 41 0.0395'' Verbal 10.0 \pm 2.3 10.0 \pm 2.4 0.0384'' Simularities (median (QR)] 15 (14–15) 14 (13–15) 0.1350'' Informati		Comprehension [median (IQR)]	12 (10–14)	14 (10–16)		0.2203 ^a
Digit Span (median (QR)) 15 (12-16) 15 (13-17) 0.0330 ² Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 10 ± 2.2.4 10 ± 2.2.2 10.04.8 ² Performance 10 ± 2.4 10.9 ± 2.2.4 0.0485 ² Picture Arrangement (mean \pm SD) 10.8 ± 4.0 11.5 ± 2.3 0.478 ² Block Design (mean \pm SD) 11.0 ± 2.9 11.6 ± 2.5 0.013 ¹⁰ Digit Symbol Cooling Inecian (QR) 11.0 ± 2.9 11.6 ± 2.5 0.040 ³ Objet Swmbol Cooling Inecian (QR) 10.1 ± 3.1 11.7 ± 2.3 S:(H 0.0337 ³ Objet Swmbol Cooling Inecian (QR) 10.1 ± 3.1 11.7 ± 2.3 S:(H 0.0337 ³ Verbal n = 11 n = 4 0.432 ¹⁰ 0.432 ¹⁰ Vocabulary (median (QR) 15 (14-17) 14 (13-16) 0.2058 ¹⁰ Similarities (median (QR) 15 (14-17) 14 (13-15) 0.1320 ¹⁰ Comprehension (nean ± 5D) 10.5 ± 2.8 11.5 ± 2.4 0.0659 ² Comprehension (nean ± 5D) 15 (14-17) 0.0337 ¹⁰ 0.819 ¹⁰ Letter Number Sequencing (mean ± 5D) 15 (14-17)		Arithmetic [median (IQR)]	13 (10–14)	13 (11–13)		0.9200 ^a
Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 10.2 ± 2.4 10.9 ± 2.2 0.3048 ^b Performance Image: Constraint of the sequencing the sequencing of the sequencing of the sequencin		Digit Span [median (IQR)]	15 (12–16)	15 (13–17)		0.3330 ^a
Performance Fint Init 24 Init 24 Init 24 Init 24 Picture Completion (man \pm SD) 9.5 ± 3.6 10.1 ± 2.3 0.4782 ^b Block Design (men \pm SD) 12.1 \pm 3.1 12.2 \pm 3.0 0.9340 ^b Matrix Reasoning (men \pm SD) 11.0 \pm 2.5 0.4013 ^b Digit Symbol Coding (median (QR)) 11.0 \pm 2.5 0.4013 ^b Object Assembly (men \pm SD) 10.1 \pm 3.1 17.7 \pm 3.5 $<$ 4.03332 ^b Female n = 11 n = 41 0.4332 ^b Vacabulary (median (QR)) 15 (14-17) 14 (13-16) 0.2058 ^a Similarities (median (QR)) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0954 ^b Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0954 ^b Information (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.0854 ^b Digit Span (median (QR)) 15 (14-15) 15 (14-17) 0.8119 ^b Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.0539 ^b Performance 10 12.2 \pm 2.5 0.0539 ^b Perf		Letter-Number Sequencing (mean ± SD)	10.2 ± 2.4	10.9 ± 2.2		0.3048 ^b
Picture Arangement (mean \pm SD) 9.5 \pm 3.8 10.1 \pm 2.4 0.435 ^b Picture Completion (mean \pm SD) 10.8 \pm 4.0 11.5 \pm 2.3 0.4782 ^b Matrix Reasoning (mean \pm SD) 11.0 \pm 2.9 16.5 \pm 2.5 0.4013 ^b Digit Symhol Coding [median (IQR)] 11.0 \pm 2.9 16.5 \pm 2.5 0.4013 ^b Symbol Search (mean \pm SD) 10.1 \pm 3.1 17.7 \pm 3.5 0.432 ^b Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.0 \pm 4.2 9.1 \pm 3.5 0.4332 ^b Female n=11 n=41 0.432 ^b Verbal n=11 n=41 0.2058 ^c Symbol Search (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0950 ^b Information (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0950 ^b Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.0849 ^c Picture Arangement (median (QR)] 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.6 \pm 2.2 0.6592 ^b Digit Span (median (QR)] 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.6 \pm 2.2 0.6592 ^b Petrormance n n 1.6 \pm 2.4 0.6592 ^b <		Performance				
Picture Completion (mean \pm SD)10.8 \pm 4.011.5 \pm 2.30.4782b^{3}Block Design (mean \pm SD)11.0 \pm 2.311.6 \pm 2.50.4013^{5}Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11 (8-14)9 (1-11)0.076ch^{4}Symbol Search (mean \pm SD)10.1 \pm 3.111.7 \pm 2.3S <h< td="">0.03937^{5}Object Assembly (mean \pm SD)10.0 \pm 4.29.1 \pm 3.50.43325^{5}Femalen = 11n = 411Verbaln = 11n = 411Verbaln = 11n = 410.056ch^{5}Similarities (median (IQR)]15 (14-17)14 (13-16)0.2058^{5}Comprehension (mean \pm SD)10.6 \pm 2.812.0 \pm 2.40.0980p^{5}Comprehension (mean \pm SD)10.6 \pm 1.312.4 \pm 2.40.04849^{5}Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD)12.0 \pm 2.811.5 \pm 2.40.0580p^{5}Digit Span (median (IQR)]15 (14-18)15 (14-17)0.8119^{7}Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD)12.2 \pm 2.811.5 \pm 2.40.0539b^{5}PerformancePicture Arrangement [median (IQR)]11.2 (11-14)11 (B-13)S>H0.0337^{4}Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)]11.9 \pm 3.812.2 \pm 2.50.4750b^{5}Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11.0 \pm 3.110.4 \pm 3.00.5652^{5}Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11.7 \pm 3.011.6 \pm 3.10.4552^{5}Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11.7 \pm 3.00.5652^{5}<!--</td--><td></td><td>Picture Arrangement (mean ± SD)</td><td>9.5 ± 3.8</td><td>10.1 ± 2.4</td><td></td><td>0.4852^b</td></h<>		Picture Arrangement (mean ± SD)	9.5 ± 3.8	10.1 ± 2.4		0.4852 ^b
Block Design (mean \pm SD) 12.1 \pm 3.1 12.2 \pm 3.0 0.9340 ^b Matrix Reasoning (mean \pm SD) 11.0 \pm 2.9 11.6 \pm 2.5 0.4013 ^b Digit Symbol Coding (median (1QR)) 11.0 \pm 2.9 11.6 \pm 2.5 0.4013 ^b Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.1 \pm 3.1 11.7 \pm 2.3 S <h< td=""> 0.0393^c Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.0 \pm 4.2 9.1 \pm 3.5 0.4332^b Female n = 11 n = 41 1 Verbal n = 11 n = 41 0.2058^d Simularities (median (1QR)) 14 (14-15) 14 (13-15) 0.1350^d Information (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0950^b Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.0849^c Digit Span (median (1QR)) 15 (14-17) 0.8119^d 0.337^d Information (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.0 \pm 2.2 0.0339^b Performance n n n n Picture Arrangement [median (1QR)] 12 (11-14) 11 (6-13) 0.5493^b</h<>		Picture Completion (mean ± SD)	10.8 ± 4.0	11.5 ± 2.3		0.4782 ^b
Matrix Reasoning (mean \pm SD) 11.0 \pm 2.9 11.6 \pm 2.5 0.4013 ^b Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 11 (8 \pm 14) 9 (1 \pm 11) 0.0766 ⁴ Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.1 \pm 3.1 11.7 \pm 2.3 S<-H		Block Design (mean ± SD)	12.1 ± 3.1	12.2 ± 3.0		0.9340 ^b
Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 11 (8-14) 9 (1-11) 0.0766* Symbol Search (mean \pm SD) 10.1 \pm 3.1 11.7 \pm 2.3 S <h< td=""> 0.0995* Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.0 \pm 4.2 9.1 \pm 3.5 0.4332^b Female n = 11 n = 41 1 Verbal 15 (14-17) 14 (13-16) 0.2058⁴ Similarities (median (IQR)] 14 (14-15) 14 (13-15) 0.1350⁴ Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.06849⁴ Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.06849⁴ Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.5 \pm 2.4 0.6039^b Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (14-18) 15 (14-17) 0.8119⁴ Letter Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 1.9 \pm 2.8 11.0 \pm 2.2 0.0339^b Performance </h<>		Matrix Reasoning (mean ± SD)	11.0 ± 2.9	11.6 ± 2.5		0.4013 ^b
Symbol Search (mean \pm SD) 10.1 \pm 3.1 11.7 \pm 2.3 S <h< th=""> 0.0393⁺ Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.0 \pm 4.2 9.1 \pm 3.5 0.4332^b Female n = 11 n = 41 1 Vocabulary (median (UQR)] 15 (14-17) 14 (13-16) 0.2058^s Similarities (median (IQR)] 14 (14-15) 14 (13-15) 0.1350⁴ Information (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0950⁶ Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.0849^c Arithmetic (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.5 \pm 2.4 0.0592^b Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.0 \pm 2.2 0.059^b Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.0 \pm 2.2 0.059^b Performance </h<>		Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]	11 (8-14)	9 (1-11)		0.0766 ^a
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Symbol Search (mean ± SD)	10.1 ± 3.1	11.7 ± 2.3	S <h< td=""><td>0.0393^c</td></h<>	0.0393 ^c
Penale n = 11 n = 41 n = 41 Verbal n n n n Vocabulary [median (IQR)] 15 (14-17) 14 (13-16) 0.2058* Similarities [median (IQR)] 14 (14-15) 14 (13-16) 0.3360* Information (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0950* Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.5 \pm 2.4 0.6849* Arithmetic (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.5 \pm 2.4 0.6869* Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (14-18) 15 (14-17) 0.8119* Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 12 (11-14) 11 (8-13) S>H 0.0337* Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 12 (11-14) 11 (8-13) S>H 0.0337* Picture Completion (mean \pm SD) 11.9 \pm 3.8 12.6 \pm 3.0 0.653* 0.4750* Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 11 (7-12) 11 (0-13) 0.6652* 0.4750* Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 11 (7-12) 11 (0-13) 0.4542* 0.4542* Object Assembly (mean \pm SD)		Object Assembly (mean ± SD)	10.0 ± 4.2	9.1 ± 3.5		0.4332 ^b
Verbal New Problem Proceeding (Proceeding (Pr	Female		n = 11	n = 41		
Vocabulary [median (IQR)] 15 (14-17) 14 (13-16) 0.2058* Similarities [median (IQR)] 14 (14-15) 14 (13-15) 0.1350* Information (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0950* Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.0849* Arithmetic (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.5 \pm 2.4 0.6849* Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 9.4 \pm 2.8 11.0 \pm 2.2 0.0359* Performance - - - Pricture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 12 (11-14) 11 (8-13) S>H 0.0337* Block Design (mean \pm SD) 11.5 \pm 3.9 12.2 \pm 2.5 0.4750* Block Design (mean \pm SD) 11.5 \pm 3.9 12.2 \pm 2.5 0.4750* Block Design (mean \pm SD) 11.7 \pm 3.8 12.6 \pm 3.0 0.5652* Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 11 (7-12) 11 (9-13) 0.4652* Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 11 (7-12) 11 (9-13) 0.4542* Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.7 \pm 3.0 11.6 \pm 3.1 0.45		Verbal				
Similarities [median (IQR)] 14 (14-15) 14 (13-15) 0.1350 ⁴ Information (mean \pm SD) 10.5 \pm 2.8 12.0 \pm 2.4 0.0950 ^b Comprehension (mean \pm SD) 10.6 \pm 3.0 12.4 \pm 2.4 0.0849 ^c Arithmetic (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.5 \pm 2.4 0.6849 ^c Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (14-18) 15 (14-17) 0.8119 ^a Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 9.4 \pm 2.8 11.0 \pm 2.2 0.0539 ^b Performance Picture Completion (mean \pm SD) 11.5 \pm 3.9 12.2 \pm 2.5 0.4750 ^b Block Design (mean \pm SD) 11.9 \pm 3.8 12.6 \pm 3.0 0.5692 ^b Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 12 (10-14) 12 (10-13) 0.6652 ^a Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 11.0 \pm 3.1 10.4 \pm 3.0 0.5804 ^b Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 11.0 \pm 3.1 10.4 \pm 3.0 0.5804 ^b Cobject Assembly (mean \pm SD) 11.0 \pm 3.1 10.4 \pm 3.0 0.5804 ^b Total n = 37 n = 68		Vocabulary [median (IQR)]	15 (14–17)	14 (13–16)		0.2058 ^a
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Similarities [median (IQR)]	14 (14–15)	14 (13–15)		0.1350 ^a
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Information (mean ± SD)	10.5 ± 2.8	12.0 ± 2.4		0.0950 ^b
Arithmetic (mean \pm SD) 12.0 \pm 2.8 11.5 \pm 2.4 0.5692 ^b Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (14-18) 15 (14-17) 0.8119 ^a Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD) 9.4 \pm 2.8 11.0 \pm 2.2 0.0539 ^b Performance 0.0337 ^a Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 12 (11-14) 11 (8-13) S>H 0.0337 ^a Picture Completion (mean \pm SD) 11.5 \pm 3.9 12.2 \pm 2.5 0.4750 ^b Block Design (mean \pm SD) 11.9 \pm 3.8 12.6 \pm 3.0 0.5662 ^a Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)] 12 (10-14) 12 (10-13) 0.6652 ^a Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 10.7 \pm 3.0 11.6 \pm 3.1 0.4542 ^b Object Assembly (mean \pm SD) 11.0 \pm 3.1 10.4 \pm 3.0 0.5804 ^b Total n = 37 n = 68 Verbal n 13 (10-15) 13 (12-14) S>H 0.0804 ^a Information (mean \pm SD) 11.8 \pm 2.5 12.5 \pm 2.5 0.1595 ^b Cotabulary [median (IQR)] 14 (13-15)		Comprehension (mean ± SD)	10.6 ± 3.0	12.4 ± 2.4		0.0849 ^c
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Arithmetic (mean ± SD)	12.0 ± 2.8	11.5 ± 2.4		0.5692 ^b
Letter-Number Sequencing (mean \pm SD)9.4 \pm 2.811.0 \pm 2.20.0539 ^b PerformanceIII.0 \pm 2.20.0539 ^b Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)]12 (11-14)11 (8-13)S>H0.0337 ^a Picture Completion (mean \pm SD)11.5 \pm 3.912.2 \pm 2.50.4750 ^b Block Design (mean \pm SD)11.9 \pm 3.812.6 \pm 3.00.5493 ^b Matrix Reasoning [median (IQR)]12 (10-14)12 (10-13)0.6652 ^a Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11 (7-12)11 (9-13)0.1497 ^a Symbol Search (mean \pm SD)10.7 \pm 3.011.6 \pm 3.10.4542 ^b Object Assembly (mean \pm SD)11.0 \pm 3.110.4 \pm 3.00.5804 ^b Totaln = 37n = 68III.0 \pm 3.10.4289 ^a VerbalVerbal0.2289 ^a 0.0503 ^a Similarities [median (IQR)]16 (13-18)14 (13-16)0.2289 ^a Similarities [median (IQR)]11 (9-14)13 (10-15)0.0503 ^a Comprehension [median (IQR)]11 (9-14)13 (10-15)0.0503 ^a Arithmetic [median (IQR)]13 (10-14)12 (10-13)0.3909 ^a Digit Span [median (IQR)]15 (14-16)15 (13-17)0.2762 ^a Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)]10 (8-11)11 (10-13)S <h< td="">0.036^aPerformanceIIIIII (8-14)10 (8-12)0.6320^aPicture Completion [median (IQR)]10 (9-15)12 (10-13)0.2239^aBlock Design (meat \pm SD)12 1 \pm 3.312.4 \pm 3.00.5723^b</h<>		Digit Span [median (IQR)]	15 (14–18)	15 (14–17)		0.8119 ^a
PerformancePerformancePerformancePicture Arrangement [median (IQR)]12 (11-14)11 (8-13)S>H0.03373Picture Completion (mean \pm SD)11.5 \pm 3.912.2 \pm 2.50.47503Block Design (mean \pm SD)11.9 \pm 3.812.6 \pm 3.00.54933Matrix Reasoning [median (IQR)]12 (10-14)12 (10-13)0.66523Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11 (7-12)11 (9-13)0.14973Symbol Search (mean \pm SD)10.7 \pm 3.011.6 \pm 3.10.45423Object Assembly (mean \pm SD)11.0 \pm 3.110.4 \pm 3.00.58043Totaln = 37n = 681Verbaln = 37n = 681Vocabulary [median (IQR)]16 (13-18)14 (13-16)0.22893Similarities [median (IQR)]14 (13-15)13 (12-14)S>H0.08043Information (mean \pm SD)11.8 \pm 2.512.5 \pm 2.50.15953Comprehension [median (IQR)]11 (9-14)13 (10-15)0.05033Arithmetic [median (IQR)]15 (14-16)15 (13-17)0.27623Digit Span [median (IQR)]15 (14-16)15 (13-17)0.27623Digit Span [median (IQR)]10 (8-11)11 (10-13)S <h< td="">0.03666Performance000.632030.63203Picture Completion [median (IQR)]11 (8-14)10 (8-12)0.63203Picture Completion [median (IQR)]11 (8-14)10 (8-12)0.63204Picture Completion [median (IQR)]11 (2-15)12 (10-13)0.22393<td></td><td>Letter-Number Sequencing (mean ± SD)</td><td>9.4 ± 2.8</td><td>11.0 ± 2.2</td><td></td><td>0.0539^b</td></h<>		Letter-Number Sequencing (mean ± SD)	9.4 ± 2.8	11.0 ± 2.2		0.0539 ^b
Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)]12 (11-14)11 (8-13)S>H0.0337 ⁴ Picture Completion (mean \pm SD)11.5 \pm 3.912.2 \pm 2.50.4750 ^b Block Design (mean \pm SD)11.9 \pm 3.812.6 \pm 3.00.5493 ^b Matrix Reasoning [median (IQR)]12 (10-14)12 (10-13)0.6652 ^a Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11 (7-12)11 (9-13)0.1497 ^a Symbol Search (mean \pm SD)10.7 \pm 3.011.6 \pm 3.10.4542 ^b Object Assembly (mean \pm SD)10.7 \pm 3.011.6 \pm 3.10.4542 ^b Totaln = 37n = 681Verbaln = 47n = 681Vocabulary [median (IQR)]16 (13-18)14 (13-16)0.2289 ^a Similarities [median (IQR)]11.8 \pm 2.512.5 \pm 2.50.1595 ^b Comprehension [median (IQR)]11 (9-14)13 (10-15)0.3009 ^a Jarthmetic [median (IQR)]13 (10-14)12 (10-13)0.3909 ^a Digit Span [median (IQR)]15 (14-16)15 (13-17)0.2762 ^a Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)]10 (8-11)11 (0-13)S <h< td="">0.0364^aPerformanceImperiation [median (IQR)]10 (8-11)11 (0-13)0.2309^aPicture Completion [median (IQR)]10 (9-15)12 (10-13)0.2239^aBlock Design (mean \pm SD)12.1 \pm 3.312.4 \pm 3.00.5723^b</h<>		Performance				
Picture Completion (mean \pm SD)11.5 \pm 3.912.2 \pm 2.50.4750bBlock Design (mean \pm SD)11.9 \pm 3.812.6 \pm 3.00.5493bMatrix Reasoning [median (IQR)]12 (10-14)12 (10-13)0.6652aDigit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]11 (7-12)11 (9-13)0.1497aSymbol Search (mean \pm SD)10.7 \pm 3.011.6 \pm 3.10.4542bObject Assembly (mean \pm SD)11.0 \pm 3.110.4 \pm 3.00.5804bTotaln = 37n = 68VerbalNetwork0.2289aSimilarities [median (IQR)]16 (13-18)14 (13-16)0.2289aSimilarities [median (IQR)]11 (4 (13-15)13 (12-14)S>H0.0084aInformation (mean \pm SD)11.8 \pm 2.512.5 \pm 2.50.1595bComprehension [median (IQR)]11 (9-14)13 (10-15)0.0503aArithmetic [median (IQR)]15 (14-16)15 (13-17)0.2762aDigit Span [median (IQR)]15 (14-16)15 (13-17)0.2762aPerformance		Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)]	12 (11–14)	11 (8-13)	S>H	0.0337 ^a
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Picture Completion (mean ± SD)	11.5 ± 3.9	12.2 ± 2.5		0.4750 ^b
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Block Design (mean ± SD)	11.9 ± 3.8	12.6 ± 3.0		0.5493 ^b
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Matrix Reasoning [median (IQR)]	12 (10-14)	12 (10-13)		0.6652 ^a
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]	11 (7–12)	11 (9–13)		0.1497 ^a
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Symbol Search (mean ± SD)	10.7 ± 3.0	11.6 ± 3.1		0.4542 ^b
Totaln = 37n = 68Image: constraint of the system of the		Object Assembly (mean ± SD)	11.0 ± 3.1	10.4 ± 3.0		0.5804 ^b
Verbal Image: Marcine and the analytic analytic and the analytic and the analytic and	Total		n = 37	n = 68		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Verbal				
Similarities [median (IQR)] $14 (13-15)$ $13 (12-14)$ $S>H$ 0.0084^a Information (mean ± SD) 11.8 ± 2.5 12.5 ± 2.5 0.1595^b Comprehension [median (IQR)] $11 (9-14)$ $13 (10-15)$ 0.0503^a Arithmetic [median (IQR)] $13 (10-14)$ $12 (10-13)$ 0.3909^a Digit Span [median (IQR)] $15 (14-16)$ $15 (13-17)$ 0.2762^a Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)] $10 (8-11)$ $11 (10-13)$ $S0.0366^aPerformanceVocabulary [median (IQR)]16 (13–18)14 (13–16)0.2289a$		Vocabulary [median (IQR)]	16 (13–18)	14 (13–16)		0.2289 ^a
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Similarities [median (IQR)]	14 (13–15)	13 (12–14)	S>H	0.0084 ^a
Comprehension [median (IQR)] 11 (9–14) 13 (10–15) 0.0503 ^a Arithmetic [median (IQR)] 13 (10–14) 12 (10–13) 0.3909 ^a Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (14–16) 15 (13–17) 0.2762 ^a Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)] 10 (8–11) 11 (10–13) S <h< td=""> 0.0366^a Performance Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 11 (8–14) 10 (8–12) 0.6320^a Picture Completion [median (IQR)] 10 (9–15) 12 (10–13) 0.2239^a Block Design (mean ± SD) 12.1 ± 3.3 12.4 ± 3.0 0.5723^b</h<>		Information (mean ± SD)	11.8 ± 2.5	12.5 ± 2.5		0.1595 ^b
Arithmetic [median (IQR)] 13 (10–14) 12 (10–13) 0.3909 ^a Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (14–16) 15 (13–17) 0.2762 ^a Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)] 10 (8–11) 11 (10–13) S <h< td=""> 0.0366^a Performance Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 11 (8–14) 10 (8–12) 0.6320^a Picture Completion [median (IQR)] 10 (9–15) 12 (10–13) 0.2239^a Block Design (mean ± SD) 12.1 ± 3.3 12.4 ± 3.0 0.5723^b</h<>		Comprehension [median (IQR)]	11 (9–14)	13 (10–15)		0.0503 ^a
Digit Span [median (IQR)] 15 (14–16) 15 (13–17) 0.2762 ^a Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)] 10 (8–11) 11 (10–13) S <h< td=""> 0.0366^a Performance Image: Completion [median (IQR)] 11 (8–14) 10 (8–12) 0.6320^a Picture Completion [median (IQR)] 10 (9–15) 12 (10–13) 0.2239^a Block Design (mean ± SD) 12.1 ± 3.3 12.4 ± 3.0 0.5723^b</h<>		Arithmetic [median (IQR)]	13 (10–14)	12 (10–13)		0.3909 ^a
Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)] 10 (8-11) 11 (10-13) S <h< th=""> 0.0366^a Performance Image: Completion [median (IQR)] 11 (8-14) 10 (8-12) 0.6320^a Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 11 (9-15) 12 (10-13) 0.2239^a Block Design (mean ± SD) 12.1 ± 3.3 12.4 ± 3.0 0.5723^b</h<>		Digit Span [median (IQR)]	15 (14–16)	15 (13–17)		0.2762 ^a
Performance Image: Constraint of the straint of the stra		Letter-Number Sequencing [median (IQR)]	10 (8-11)	11 (10–13)	S <h< td=""><td>0.0366^a</td></h<>	0.0366 ^a
Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)] 11 (8-14) 10 (8-12) 0.6320 ^a Picture Completion [median (IQR)] 10 (9-15) 12 (10-13) 0.2239 ^a Block Design (mean ± SD) 12.1 ± 3.3 12.4 ± 3.0 0.5723 ^b		Performance				
Picture Completion [median (IQR)] 10 (9-15) 12 (10-13) 0.2239 ^a Block Design (mean ± SD) 12.1 ± 3.3 12.4 ± 3.0 0.5723 ^b		Picture Arrangement [median (IQR)]	11 (8-14)	10 (8-12)		0.6320 ^a
Block Design (mean ± SD) 12.1 ± 3.3 12.4 ± 3.0 0.5723 ^b		Picture Completion [median (IQR)]	10 (9–15)	12 (10-13)		0.2239 ^a
		Block Design (mean ± SD)	12.1 ± 3.3	12.4 ± 3.0		0.5723 ^b

Table 3. WAIS-III tasks.

(Continued)

	Group S	Group H		р
Matrix Reasoning [median (IQR)]	12 (10–13)	12 (10–13)		0.8066 ^a
Digit Symbol Coding [median (IQR)]	11 (8–13)	11 (8–13)		0.9622 ^a
Symbol Search [median (IQR)]	10 (8–12)	12 (9–13)	S <h< td=""><td>0.0333^{a}</td></h<>	0.0333^{a}
Object Assembly (mean ± SD)	10.3 ± 3.9	9.9 ± 3.2		0.6125 ^b

Table 3. (Continued)

^aWMW

^bt-test ^cWelch's t-test.

weich sit-test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t003

HF is estimated as sympathetic nervous tone, and HF is representative of activities of the parasympathetic nerve. The LF/HF was significantly higher in the Total of Group S than that of Group H. The HF was lower in Group S, but there was no significant difference. These findings mean that the activity of the autonomic nerve of Group S shifted toward the hyper sympathetic status (Table 8). In the analyses by genders, there was no difference between Group S and Group H. We considered it is because the data of pulse wave is variable. Non-linear analysis of PRV brought us the LLE of the attractor, which is constructed for the time series data from pulse waves. There was no significant difference in LLE, and LLE (SD) between Group S

Table 4. AQ scores of participants.

		Group S	Group H		р
Male		n = 26	n = 27		
	AQ total (mean ± SD)	27.3 ± 7.7	21.2 ± 7.7	S>H	0.0056 ^c
	AQ 1 (mean ± SD)	6.5 ± 2.5	4.3 ± 2.6	S>H	0.0026 ^c
	AQ 2 (mean ± SD)	6.2 ± 1.8	4.8 ± 2.1	S>H	0.0109 ^c
	AQ 3 [median (IQR)]	5 (2-7)	4 (3-6)		0.7878 ^a
	AQ 4 (mean ± SD)	5.2 ± 2.7	3.6 ± 2.2	S>H	0.0217 ^c
	AQ 5 (mean ± SD)	5.0 ± 2.2	4.0 ± 2.3		0.1237 ^b
Female		n = 11	n = 41		
	AQ total (mean±SD)	24.0 ± 7.2	20.2 ± 6.3		0.0897 ^b
	AQ 1 [median (IQR)]	8 (3-8)	4 (2-7)		0.1064 ^a
	AQ 2 (mean±SD)	5.4 ± 1.6	4.6 ± 1.8		0.1905 ^b
	AQ 3(mean±SD)	4.5 ± 2.3	4.5 ± 2.2		0.9646 ^b
	AQ 4 [median (IQR)]	5 (2-6)	3 (2-5)		0.2809 ^a
	AQ 5 [median (IQR)]	3 (2-6)	3 (1-5)		0.3568 ^a
Total		n = 37	n = 68		
	AQ total (mean±SD)	26.3 ± 7.6	20.6 ± 6.9	S>H	0.0003 ^c
	AQ 1 [median (IQR)]	7 (5-8)	4 (2-6)	S>H	0.0004 ^a
	AQ 2 [median (IQR)]	6 (5-7)	4 (3-6)	S>H	0.0015 ^a
	AQ 3 [median (IQR)]	5 (2-7)	5 (3-6)		0.7351 ^a
	AQ 4 [median (IQR)]	5 (3-7)	4 (2-5)	S>H	0.0104 ^a
	AQ 5 [median (IQR)]	4 (3-6)	3 (2-5)	S>H	0.0127 ^a

^aWMW

^bt-test

^cWelch's t-test.

AQ-1; Social skill, AQ-2; Attention switching, AQ-3; Attention to detail, AQ-4; Communication, AQ-5; Imagination.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t004

	Group S	Group H		р
Male	n = 26	n = 27		
(mean ± SD)	86.8 ± 19.2	100.9 ± 14.3	S <h< td=""><td>0.0042^b</td></h<>	0.0042 ^b
Female	n = 11	n = 41		
(mean ± SD)	91.3 ± 10.1	103.9 ± 12.3	S <h< td=""><td>0.0025^b</td></h<>	0.0025 ^b
Total	n = 37	n = 68		
[median (IQR)]	88 (75–101)	103 (97–110)	S <h< td=""><td><0.0001^a</td></h<>	<0.0001 ^a

Table 5. S-H Resilience test.

^aWMW

^bWelch's t-test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t005

and Group H. The coefficient of variations of R-R intervals (CVRR %) were not different between Group S and Group H. The values of heart rate (HR) were not different between Group S and Group H.

Anti-GAD65 antibody

We tested the level of anti-GAD65 antibody using the participants' sera. The cutoff value settled at 5.0 U/mL. There were only three participants who had positive results, two from Group S (9.0 U/mL, 7.7 U/mL) and one from Group H (10.2 U/mL). The two participants with a positive result for anti-GAD65 antibody from Group S had a diagnosis of ADH. There was no overall difference in anti-GAD65 antibody titers between Group S and Group H (Table 9).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to clarify the commonalities between students who utilize the counseling services of the university, especially interested in ASD features. The average age of Group S was significantly higher than Group H for both Male and Female (Table 1). BW was significantly higher in Male of Group S than those of Group H. The higher BW may be attributable to two participants who had a considerable BW. As far as accompanying conditions, 12/26 (46.2%) of males in Group S and 3/11 (27.3%) of females in Group S had a diagnosis of neurodevelopmental disorders by psychiatrists of medical services outside of the university.

		Group S	Group H		р	
Male		n = 26	n = 27			
	State Anxiety Score [median (IQR)]	43 (37–52)	38 (33-42)	S>H	0.0237 ^a	
	Trait Anxiety Score (mean ± SD)	53.6 ± 10.0	44.9 ± 10.5	S>H	0.0033 ^c	
Female		n = 11	n = 41			
	State Anxiety Score (mean ± SD)	42.0 ± 9.0	40.2 ± 8.8		0.5463 ^b	
	Trait Anxiety Score (mean ± SD)	57.2 ± 11.9	47.0 ± 9.8	S>H	0.0212 ^c	
Total		n = 37	n = 68			
	State Anxiety Score [median (IQR)]	42 (37–50)	39 (34–44)	S>H	0.0311 ^a	
	Trait Anxiety Score (mean ± SD)	54.7 ± 10.6	46.2 ± 10.1	S>H	0.0002 ^c	

Table 6. STAI.

^aWMW

^bt-test

^cWelch's t-test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t006

		Group S	Group H		p	
Male		n = 26	n = 27			
	PCS (mean ± SD)	58.3 ± 8.3	56.8 ± 4.6		0.4039 ^b	
	MCS [median (IQR)]	50 (41-54)	53 (49–58)	S <h< td=""><td>0.0434^a</td><td></td></h<>	0.0434 ^a	
	RCS (mean ± SD)	38.3 ± 12.6	45.5 ± 10.7	S <h< td=""><td>0.0289^c</td><td></td></h<>	0.0289 ^c	
Female		n = 11	n = 41			
	PCS [median (IQR)]	62 (58–65)	59 (55-63)		0.1717 ^a	
	MCS (mean ± SD)	48.7 ± 8.0	50.0 ± 8.1		0.6370 ^b	
	RCS (mean ± SD)	32.7 ± 9.0	43.0 ± 10.9	S <h< td=""><td>0.0045^c</td><td></td></h<>	0.0045 ^c	
Total		n = 37	n = 68			
	PCS [median (IQR)]	59 (53-65)	58 (54-62)		0.4055 ^a	
	MCS [median (IQR)]	50 (43-54)	52 (47–56)		0.1149 ^a	
	RCS (mean ± SD)	36.6 ± 11.8	44.0 ± 10.8	S <h< td=""><td>0.0024^c</td><td></td></h<>	0.0024 ^c	

Table 7. SF12v2 Health Survey.

^aWMW

^bt-test

^cWelch's t-test.

PCS; Physical component summary, MCS; Mental component summary, RCS; Role/Social component summary.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t007

WAIS-III revealed several characteristics of Group S. Group S (Total) had significantly lower scores of WMI than Group H (Total). (Table 2). However, the values of WMI in Group S were within the normal range. Regarding the WAIS-III Tasks, the score of Similarities was significantly higher in Group S than Group H in Male. The score of Symbol Search was significantly lower in Group S than Group H in Male. In Female, Picture Arrangement was significantly higher in Group S than Group H. As a result, Male and Female had different characteristics in WAIS-III results. These results indicate the inferiority of WMI of Group S. Working memory is a function whereby the person stores useful information in their mind for a short period, which typically decreases with age [19]. It is related to the functional connectivity of large scale brain networks [20]. From these findings, we have to consider the specificities of Group S to provide better maneuvers for the mental health of university students. Recently, the educational system of Japanese universities is changing drastically; for example, the introduction of classes taught by the English language, quarterly terms, requirement of skills in information and communication technology (ICT), and active learning strategies. Besides, studying abroad for several school terms is recommended. These changes require much higher abilities for students than ever.

The AQ score was significantly higher in the Male of Group S than that of Group H (Table 4). Therefore, The Male of Group S tends toward ASD. Regarding the results of the S-H Resilience test, Group S had significantly lower scores in both Male and Female (Table 5). Resilience links to academic success [21, 22]. As far as STAI, the Trait Anxiety Score was significantly higher in both Male and Female of Group S (Table 6). The high Trait Anxiety scores suggest that students in Group S were in a stable anxiety state.

The SF12v2 revealed that RCS was significantly lower in Group S than Group H in both Male and Female. The low scores in RCS mean that these students faced challenges pursuing necessary communication in campus life. Additionally, Male in Group S had significantly lower scores in MCS than Male in Group H. It means that Male of Group S had difficulties in mental health. The PCS in Group S in both Male and Female was not different from that of

Table 8. Analyses of PRV, CVRR, and HR.

	Group S	Group H		р
Male	n = 26	n = 27		
LF [median (IQR)]	10 (5-16)	7 (5–12)		0.4933 ^a
HF [median (IQR)]	4 (2-9)	5 (3-9)		0.7964 ^a
LF/HF [median (IQR)]	2 (1-3)	1 (1-3)		0.0998 ^a
LLE (mean ± SD)	4.9 ± 2.1	5.4 ± 1.8		0.3216 ^b
LLE (SD) [median (IQR)]	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)		0.3595 ^a
HR (mean ± SD)	75.1 ± 12.2	74.4 ± 8.9		0.8176 ^b
CVRR [median (IQR)]	6 (4-9)	6 (5–7)		0.9787 ^a
Female	n = 11	n = 39		
LF [median (IQR)]	8 (5-10)	8 (6-13)		0.6064 ^a
HF [median (IQR)]	8 (4-12)	9 (4–13)		0.5901 ^a
LF/HF [median (IQR)]	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)		0.9254 ^a
LLE (mean ± SD)	4.9 ± 2.1	4.8 ± 1.2		0.9357 ^b
LLE (SD) (mean ± SD)	1.2 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.4		0.5363 ^b
HR (mean ± SD)	74.0 ± 9.6	73.9 ± 8.9		0.9938 ^b
CVRR [median (IQR)]	6 (5-8)	6 (5–7)		0.7430 ^a
Total	n = 37	n = 66		
LF [median (IQR)]	10 (5-13)	8 (5-13)		0.8339 ^a
HF [median (IQR)]	5 (3-9)	7 (4–10)		0.2468 ^a
LF/HF [median (IQR)]	2 (1-3)	1 (1-2)	S>H	0.0382^{a}
LLE [median (IQR)]	4 (4-6)	5 (4-6)		0.3808 ^a
LLE (SD) [median (IQR)]	1 (1-2)	1 (1-1)		0.7545 ^a
HR (mean ± SD)	74.7 ± 11.3	74.1 ± 8.8		0.7611 ^b
CVRR [median (IQR)]	6 (5-9)	6 (5–7)		0.7966 ^a

^aWMW

^bt-test.

LF; low frequency, FH; high frequency, LF/HF; low frequency/high frequency, LLE; largest Lyapunov exponent, LLE (SD); largest Lyapunov exponent (standard deviation), CVRR; coefficient of variation of R-R intervals.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t008

Group H. The participants were all in their twenties, and their physical status was in good condition. It may be the reason why no difference in PCS between Group S and Group H.

Spectrum analysis of PRV is useful for studying human brain functions. Previously, we indicated that patients with Lewy body dementia (DLB) had significantly lower LF/HF values compared to patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) [23]. We also showed paradoxical

Table 9. Anti-GAD65 antibody.

Anti-GAD65 antibody	Group S	Group H	
(U/mL)	median (IQR)	median (IQR)	p
	n = 22	n = 22	
Male	1.6 (0.2–2.2)	1.2 (0.4–2.3)	0.6886 ^a
	n = 8	n = 31	
Female	1.4 (0.1–3.6)	1.7 (0.2–3.2)	0.9029 ^a
	n = 30	n = 53	
Total	1.7 (0.2–2.3)	1.4 (0.3-3.0)	0.9815 ^a

WMW.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218357.t009

parasympathetic nervous activities of migraine patients by the orthostatic load [24]. Spectrum analysis of PRV indicated that those subjects who scored highly in LF/H in Group S inclined to hyper sympathetic nervous status. HF, which was an indicator of parasympathetic activity, tended to be lower in Group S but not significantly different, even when we recorded the PRV in calm conditions after five minutes of rest. Our findings may support the dysfunction of parasympathetic activities. Porges et al. proposed the polyvagal theory [25, 26]. They suggested that patients with ASD had a malfunction of parasympathetic nervous activity, and it resulted in difficulties in communications. We need further study to connect our findings with the polyvagal theory. Some intervention to adjust the autonomic nerve toward parasympathetic may improve the problems faced by the subjects in Group S. Non-linear analysis of accelerated plethysmography (LLE) showed no difference between Group S and Group H. LLE is a useful indicator of mental health [10]. A low level of LLE indicates that the subject is unable to adapt to external problems, which is characteristic of dementia and depression sufferers. A continuous high level of LLE implies external adaptability. Recently, researchers began to think about brain function in the model of the functional brain network [27]. The functional brain network related to the state of rest was defined as the default mode network (DMN) [28, 29]. It is involved in the large scale brain network both during rest and cognitive tasks [30]. We suspect LLE may represent the brain activity and may have a relationship with DMN. The functional brain network is also related to working memory [31, 32]. Our results for WAIS-III indicate that Group S had significantly lower scores in WMI compared with Group H (Table 2). The presence of working memory deficits in high-functioning adolescents with ASD is disputed [33]. Chien et al. suggested the existence of deficient visuospatial working memory and corresponding neural correlates within the DMN in adolescents with ASD [34]. These specificities make academic life difficult for students of Group S and evoke mental distress.

Regarding the autoantibody against GAD65, we could not confirm the significance reported by Rout *et al.* [11]. Recently, there have been reports of an association between ASD and anti-glu-tamate NMDA receptor antibodies [35, 36]. The etiology of ASD is multi-factorial [37]. In further studies, we need to examine other autoantibodies against molecules related to brain function.

We admit that the limitation of our study is the shortness of sample size. The initial study plan did not expect the differences by gender. In the process of analysis, we noticed the meaningful differences by gender. Additionally, we could see several significant trends in the data, but statistically not different. To elucidate the characteristics by gender, we should perform the study on a larger scale. It requires us a study plan in a multicenter. We also admit that our analysis is limited because the data of pulse wave analysis is so variable. The pulse wave is an embodied mind; therefore, it fluctuates vigorously. We have to develop better methods to analyze the pulse wave, which represents the human mind.

In conclusion, we could confirm the specific characteristics of university students who visited counseling services. They are as follows: 1) lower power of WMI despite high FSIQ, 2) higher ASD traits especially in Male, 3) lower resilience powers, 4) higher anxiety trait, 5) lower QOL in Role/social component in both Male and Female, 6) lower HRQOL in Mental component in Male 7) shifting of autonomic nervous balance toward higher sympathetic activity. The educational system is changing rapidly to adjust social requests. These changes make conflict with the characteristics of students of Group S. We should think about appropriate supports for the students who would pioneer the future of humanity.

Acknowledgments

We thank Tagami Y., Ikeda M., Tokunaga M., Miura T. and Ogasawara T. in Kanazawa University Health Service Center for their support for this study. We also thank Dr. Yamada M.

and members of the Department of Neurology and Neurobiology of Ageing, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, for their support of our research activities.

JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15H03084 supported this study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Data curation: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Formal analysis: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa, Shigeru Yokoyama.

Funding acquisition: Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Investigation: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Methodology: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa, Shigeru Yokoyama.

Project administration: Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Resources: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa, Kazuo Iwasa.

Supervision: Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Validation: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Visualization: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Writing - original draft: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa.

Writing – review & editing: Yumi Adachi, Hiroaki Yoshikawa, Shigeru Yokoyama, Kazuo Iwasa.

References

- 1. Patel V, Flisher AJ, Hetrick S, McGorry P. Mental health of young people: a global public-health challenge. The Lancet. 2007; 369(9569):1302–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(07)60368-7
- 2. Patton GC, Coffey C, Sawyer SM, Viner RM, Haller DM, Bose K, et al. Global patterns of mortality in young people: a systematic analysis of population health data. Lancet. 2009; 374(9693):881–92. Epub 2009/09/15. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60741-8 PMID: 19748397.
- Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, Ross DA, Afifi R, Allen NB, et al. Our future: a Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. The Lancet. 2016; 387(10036):2423–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0140-6736(16)00579-1 PMID: 27174304
- Calear AL, Christensen H, Freeman A, Fenton K, Busby Grant J, van Spijker B, et al. A systematic review of psychosocial suicide prevention interventions for youth. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016; 25(5):467–82. Epub 2015/10/17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-015-0783-4 PMID: 26472117.
- 5. Prince JP. University student counseling and mental health in the United States: Trends and challenges. Mental Health & Prevention. 2015; 3(1–2):5–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhp.2015.03.001
- 6. Gallagher RP. National Survey of College Counseling Centers 2014. 2014.
- Ashbaugh K, Koegel R, Koegel L. Increasing Social Integration for College Students with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Behav Dev Bull. 2017; 22(1):183–96. Epub 2017/06/24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/bdb0000057</u> PMID: 28642808; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5476317.
- Kanai C, Tani M, Hashimoto R, Yamada T, Ota H, Watanabe H, et al. Cognitive profiles of adults with Asperger's disorder, high-functioning autism, and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified based on the WAIS-III. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders. 2012; 6(1):58–64. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.09.004
- Eilam-Stock T, Xu P, Cao M, Gu X, Van Dam NT, Anagnostou E, et al. Abnormal autonomic and associated brain activities during rest in autism spectrum disorder. Brain. 2014; 137(Pt 1):153–71. Epub 2014/ 01/16. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt294 PMID: 24424916; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3891443.
- Oyama-Higa M, Ou F. Indication of Mental Health from Fingertip Pulse Waves and Its Application. J Healthc Eng. 2018; 2018:7696458. Epub 2018/11/13. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/7696458 PMID: 30416703; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6207875.

- Rout UK, Mungan NK, Dhossche DM. Presence of GAD65 autoantibodies in the serum of children with autism or ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012; 21(3):141–7. Epub 2012/02/11. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00787-012-0245-1 PMID: 22323074.
- Pittock SJ, Yoshikawa H, Ahlskog JE, Tisch SH, Benarroch EE, Kryzer TJ, et al. Glutamic acid decarboxylase autoimmunity with brainstem, extrapyramidal, and spinal cord dysfunction. Mayo Clin Proc. 2006; 81(9):1207–14. https://doi.org/10.4065/81.9.1207 PMID: 16970217.
- Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S, Skinner R, Martin J, Clubley E. The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ): evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. J Autism Dev Disord. 2001; 31(1):5–17. Epub 2001/07/07. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/</u> a:1005653411471 PMID: 11439754.
- Satoh T, Sukemune S. [Concepts and research on resiliency used in nursing] Trial of standardization of resiliency scale Preparation of "S-H type resilience test (Part 1)" and examination of reliability and validity (in Japanese). The Japanese Journal of Nursing Research. 2009; 42(1):45–52. PMID: 2009125809.
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (Form Y): ("self-evaluation questionnaire"). Rev. ed. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc.; 1983. iv, 36 p. p.
- Suzukamo Y, Fukuhara S, Green J, Kosinski M, Gandek B, Ware JE. Validation testing of a three-component model of Short Form-36 scores. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011; 64(3):301–8. Epub 2010/08/31. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.017 PMID: 20800993.
- Annual Report and Summary of Kanazawa University Health Service Center FY2016. March 2018. ISSN 1883-4973.
- Annual Report and Summary of Kanazawa University Health Service Center FY2017. March 2019. ISSN 1883-4973.
- Hedden T, Gabrieli JD. Insights into the ageing mind: a view from cognitive neuroscience. Nature reviews Neuroscience. 2004; 5(2):87–96. Epub 2004/01/22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1323</u> PMID: 14735112.
- Menon V. Large-scale brain networks and psychopathology: a unifying triple network model. Trends Cogn Sci. 2011; 15(10):483–506. Epub 2011/09/13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.08.003</u> PMID: 21908230.
- Dyrbye LN, Power DV, Massie FS, Eacker A, Harper W, Thomas MR, et al. Factors associated with resilience to and recovery from burnout: a prospective, multi-institutional study of US medical students. Med Educ. 2010; 44(10):1016–26. Epub 2010/10/01. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2010.03754. x PMID: 20880371.
- Yeager DS, Dweck CS. Mindsets That Promote Resilience: When Students Believe That Personal Characteristics Can Be Developed. Educational Psychologist. 2012; 47(4):302–14. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1080/00461520.2012.722805</u>
- Negami M, Maruta T, Takeda C, Adachi Y, Yoshikawa H. Sympathetic skin response and heart rate variability as diagnostic tools for the differential diagnosis of Lewy body dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a diagnostic test study. BMJ Open. 2013; 3(3). Epub 2013/03/05. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001796 PMID: 23457321; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3612799.
- Edahiro S, Maruta T, Negami M, Adachi Y, Yoshikawa H. Spectral Analyses of Heart Rate Variability by Acceleration Plethysmography for Diagnostic Support of Migraine: Clinical Research. Journal of Neurological Disorders. 2015; 03(02). https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6895.1000229
- **25.** Porges SW, Dana D. Clinical applications of the polyvagal theory: the emergence of polyvagal-informed therapies. First edition. ed. New York: W.W. Norton & Company; 2018. xxv, 426 pages p.
- 26. Porges SW. The polyvagal theory: phylogenetic substrates of a social nervous system. Int J Psychophysiol. 2001; 42(2):123–46. Epub 2001/10/06. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8760(01)00162-3 PMID: 11587772.
- Park HJ, Friston K. Structural and functional brain networks: from connections to cognition. Science. 2013; 342(6158):1238411. Epub 2013/11/02. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1238411</u> PMID: 24179229.
- Greicius MD, Krasnow B, Reiss AL, Menon V. Functional connectivity in the resting brain: A network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2003; 100 (1):253–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0135058100 PMID: 12506194
- Greicius MD, Supekar K, Menon V, Dougherty RF. Resting-state functional connectivity reflects structural connectivity in the default mode network. Cereb Cortex. 2009; 19(1):72–8. Epub 2008/04/12. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn059 PMID: 18403396; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2605172.
- 30. Piccoli T, Valente G, Linden DE, Re M, Esposito F, Sack AT, et al. The default mode network and the working memory network are not anti-correlated during all phases of a working memory task. PLoS

One. 2015; 10(4):e0123354. Epub 2015/04/08. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123354 PMID: 25848951; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4388669.

- Stevens AA, Tappon SC, Garg A, Fair DA. Functional brain network modularity captures inter- and intra-individual variation in working memory capacity. PLoS One. 2012; 7(1):e30468. Epub 2012/01/26. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030468 PMID: 22276205; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3262818.
- Clapp WC, Rubens MT, Sabharwal J, Gazzaley A. Deficit in switching between functional brain networks underlies the impact of multitasking on working memory in older adults. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(17):7212–7. Epub 2011/04/13. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1015297108 PMID: 21482762; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3084135.
- Barendse EM, Hendriks MPH, Jansen JFA, Backes WH, Hofman PAM, Thoonen G, et al. Working memory deficits in high-functioning adolescents with autism spectrum disorders: neuropsychological and neuroimaging correlates. Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders. 2013; 5(1):14. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1866-1955-5-14 PMID: 23731472</u>
- Chien HY, Gau SS, Isaac Tseng WY. Deficient visuospatial working memory functions and neural correlates of the default-mode network in adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. Autism Res. 2016; 9 (10):1058–72. Epub 2016/10/19. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1607 PMID: 26829405.
- Creten C, van der Zwaan S, Blankespoor RJ, Maatkamp A, Nicolai J, van Os J, et al. Late onset autism and anti-NMDA-receptor encephalitis. The Lancet. 2011; 378(9785). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(11)60548-5</u>
- Grea H, Scheid I, Gaman A, Rogemond V, Gillet S, Honnorat J, et al. Clinical and autoimmune features of a patient with autism spectrum disorder seropositive for anti-NMDA-receptor autoantibody. Dialogues in clinical neuroscience. 2017; 19(1):65–70. Epub 2017/06/02. PMID: <u>28566948</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5442365.
- Beversdorf DQ, MISSOURI AUTISM SUMMIT CONSORTIUM. Phenotyping, Etiological Factors, and Biomarkers: Toward Precision Medicine in Autism Spectrum Disorders. Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics. 2016; 37(8):659–73. https://doi.org/10.1097/dbp.00000000000351 00004703-201610000-00008. PMID: 27676697