

VIROLOGY



Copy Number Heterogeneity of JC Virus Standards

Alexander L. Greninger,^a Allen C. Bateman,^a Ederlyn E. Atienza,^a Sharon Wendt,^a Negar Makhsous,^a Keith R. Jerome,^{a,b} Linda Cook^a

Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA^a; Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Institute, Seattle, Washington, USA^b

ABSTRACT Quantitative PCR is a diagnostic mainstay of clinical virology, and accurate quantitation of viral load among labs requires the use of international standards. However, the use of multiple passages of viral isolates to obtain sufficient material for international standards may result in genomic changes that complicate their use as quantitative standards. We performed next-generation sequencing to obtain single-nucleotide resolution and relative copy number of JC virus (JCV) clinical standards. Strikingly, the WHO international standard and the Exact v1/v2 prototype standards for JCV showed 8-fold and 4-fold variation in genomic coverage between different loci in the viral genome, respectively, due to large deletions in the large T antigen region. Intriguingly, several of the JCV standards sequenced in this study with large T antigen deletions were cultured in cell lines immortalized using simian virus 40 (SV40) T antigen, suggesting the possibility of transcomplementation in cell culture. Using a cutoff 5% allele fraction for junctional reads, 7 different rearrangements were present in the JC virus sequences present in the WHO standard across multiple library preparations and sequencing runs. Neither the copy number differences nor the rearrangements were observed in a clinical sample with a high copy number of JCV or a plasmid control. These results were also confirmed by the quantitative real-time PCR (gPCR), droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), and Sanger sequencing of multiple rearrangements. In summary, targeting different regions of the same international standard can result in up to an 8-fold difference in quantitation. We recommend the use of next-generation sequencing to validate standards in clinical virology.

KEYWORDS BKV, JC virus, T antigen, clinical standards, deep sequencing, polyomavirus, qPCR, simian virus 40

Polyomaviruses are unenveloped, double-stranded DNA viruses with a circular genome (1). Despite the discovery of multiple polyomaviruses over the last decade, only four polyomaviruses have been associated with human disease (1–5). JC virus (JCV) infection has been associated with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) in immunosuppressed patients (6, 7). JC virus has also been associated with two other neurological diseases, JC virus granule cell neuronopathy and JC virus encephalopathy (7).

Detection of JCV in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is required for the diagnosis of PML, and quantitative levels of the virus have been associated with clinical outcomes of PML patients, with lower DNA values associated with better outcomes (8–11). However, viral loads in patients can vary dramatically, meaning analytically sensitive assays are required to protect against false-negative tests (12). Real-time PCR is commonly used to measure levels of JCV in CSF (13).

Quantitative standards are of critical importance to real-time PCR in order to quantitate copies and compare different assays (14). The creation of laboratory stan-



Accepted manuscript posted online 14 December 2016

Citation Greninger AL, Bateman AC, Atienza EE, Wendt S, Makhsous N, Jerome KR, Cook L. 2017. Copy number heterogeneity of JC virus standards. J Clin Microbiol 55:824–831. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02337-16.

Editor Yi-Wei Tang, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

Copyright © 2017 Greninger et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Alexander L. Greninger, agrening@uw.edu.

dards in virology often relies on growing up large amounts of virus in cell culture to recapitulate the viral particle in extraction and to ensure future preparations are not required. However, passaging a virus several times can create selection pressures that do not recapitulate viral biology *in vivo*. In this study, we used next-generation sequencing and confirmatory quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) and droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) to show that several of the standards used for the JCV quantitative PCR mixture contain multiple rearrangements, some with large deletions in the T antigen region, that incur large variability in measured copies depending on the locus measured. We hypothesize that these deletions are due to passage of the virus in simian virus 40 (SV40) T antigen immortalized cell lines.

RESULTS

Based on our previous discovery of copy number heterogeneity in the BK virus international standard, we performed next-generation sequencing on a variety of JCV standards present in our laboratory. We obtained a provisional WHO standard for JCV, two different versions of the Exact (v1 and v2) standard, a urine specimen with a high copy number of JCV present, the ATCC 1397 JC virus strain, and the original 1980 plasmid with the Mad-1 strain of JC virus cloned into it. All strains were sequenced using Nextera XT libraries on an Illumina MiSeq. qPCR and ddPCR using the Focus PCR primers targeting the VP2/3 region and the University of Washington (UW) Virology clinical primers targeting the T antigen region were performed as well (refer to Fig. 1B for primers).

Deep sequencing of the JC virus standards resulted in between 0.4% and 80% of the sequencing reads mapping to the JC virus (Table 1). Sequencing of DNA extracted from a urine specimen that was known to contain a high copy number of JC virus as well as DNA extracted from a plasmid containing cloned JC virus both yielded the lowest variation in coverage (Fig. 1B). qPCR and ddPCR quantitation of the clinical urine specimen indicated equal copy numbers at the VP2/3 and T antigen loci of these materials.

The WHO international standard contained an approximate 8-fold variation in coverage between structural genes and much of the T antigen region and contained the largest coefficient of variation in coverage among the strains at 98.4% (Fig. 1B and D). Analysis of junctional reads present in the WHO international standard at a more than 5% allele frequency revealed 7 different rearrangements between loci more than 50 bp apart. The Exact v1 and v2 standards and the ATCC 1397 standard contained a complex rearrangement that resulted in the same duplication of the C terminus of the VP2/3 gene inserted into a C terminus of the T antigen (Fig. 2). Multiple rearrangements in these strains that were detected by deep sequencing were confirmed by PCR and Sanger sequencing (Fig. 2). Of note, both of the Exact strains as well as the ATCC 1397 strain were grown in Cos cell lines (J. Boonyarantanakornkit, Exact Diagnostics, personal communication). The Exact v1 and v2 standards both yielded an approximate 4- to 5-fold difference in copy number between structural genes and T antigen, while the ATCC 1397 strain had a 1.5-fold difference in copy number between these loci (Fig. 1C and D; see also Table S1 in the supplemental material).

DISCUSSION

Using deep sequencing and confirmatory qPCR, ddPCR, and Sanger sequencing, we show four different qPCR standards for strains containing JC virus with large, different deletions in the T antigen region. These deletions result in significant copy number differences between different loci in the JC virus genome that may lead to radically different sensitivities and quantities being reported by various JC virus clinical qPCR assays if these standards are adopted by the clinical virology community. In contrast, deep sequencing of a clinical specimen with JC virus and of a plasmid containing the JC virus sequence do not show the large differences in copy number found in the cell culture-adapted strains. These results mirror those we recently reported in an international standard for BK virus (41).



FIG 1 Next-generation sequencing of JC virus standards reveals deletions and copy number heterogeneity. (A) Six different JC virus materials were deep sequenced, and five standards were tested by qPCR and ddPCR. Gene organization of each JC virus is shown in green, with regulatory regions depicted in orange. Of note, the 9.4-kb pMAD1 plasmid inserted the backbone at the location of the probe used in the T antigen qPCR and ddPCR assay and cannot be quantitated at that locus. (B) Coverage plot of six different standards of JC virus mapped to the JC virus NCBI reference genome (GenBank accession number NC_001699). The *y* axis is normalized such that 1 designates the average coverage across the viral genome to highlight relative differences in coverage. Three of the six standards include a large deletion in the T antigen region that constitutes a greater than 4-fold difference in copy number relative to the structural genes. Reductions in coverage in the regulatory repeat region are due both to small deletions and sequence divergence relative to JC virus reference genome. Primers for the Focus PCR analyte-specific reagent targeting the VP2/3 region are shown on the JC virus genome in red, while the pep primers targeting the T antigen region are shown in blue. (C, D) Confirmation of the copy number differences seen by sequencing was performed with qPCR (C) and ddPCR (D) using PCR primers against the VP2/3 gene (red) and T-ag gene (blue). Ten-fold dilutions of each of the standards depicted were quantitated, and the discrepancy in cycle threshold (C_T) and absolute quantitation (D) between the VP2/3 and T-ag assays are depicted (green) for each standard. ΔC_T , change in C_T .

TABLE 1 Next-generation s	sequencing	results of	[:] JC virus	standards
---------------------------	------------	------------	-----------------------	-----------

Strain	Total reads	JC virus reads	Mean coverage
WHO	512,609	406,100	13,445
JCV urine	299,886	59,115	1,574
pMAD1 plasmid	2,460,512	963,917	26,060
Exact v1	586,222	96,765	2,943
Exact v2	2,602,925	9,860	383
ATCC 1397	1,694,258	11,527	393

Of note, most of the JC virus standards sequenced in this study were cultured in the Cos cell line. The Cos cell line is derived from a primary monkey cell line that was transformed with SV40 T antigen and expresses both large T antigen and small t antigen (15). Given that the deletions seen in this study occurred in the T antigen region of the JC virus, that they were seen in approximately 90% of the JC virus strains present, and that T antigen is known to be required for polyomavirus replication, we hypothesize that the SV40 T antigen in the Cos cell line is providing nonstructural functions, such as DNA binding, unwinding, viral replication, and transformation in trans, to the JC virus strains with the T antigen deletions sequenced in this study. Indeed, SV40 T antigen has been shown to be required for archetype JC virus replication in Cos cells, as JC virus is unable to replicate in the untransformed Cos parental cell line CV-1 (16). The presence of SV40 T antigen also allows primary human fetal glial cells to support the growth of JC virus, which otherwise does not grow (17). Thus, SV40 T-antigen-transformed cell lines may have been specifically chosen for their ability to support high levels of JC virus growth given the need for large amounts of highly concentrated virus to provide qPCR standards to multiple labs around the world, albeit with the unforeseen consequence that the cell line would yield JC virus strains with large deletions.

The many functions of the polyomavirus large T antigen include binding multiple host proteins (including p68 DNA polymerase/primase, p53, Rb, hsc70, and replication protein A), binding to itself to form hexamer, binding the origin of replication, unwinding DNA, and translocating to the nucleus (18, 19). Of these, only origin binding would be potentially compromised by complementation with a different origin. The BK, JC, and SV40 viruses have nearly identical sequences in their origin of replication and surrounding sequences (20). They only differ in the singular nucleotide flanking the pentanucleotide GAGGC motif that is not involved in hairpin formation (SV40, gaggc Cgaggc; JCV, gaggcGgaggc; BKV, gaggcAgaggc) (1). Previous experiments with SV40 T antigen have shown that it is capable of binding to the JC virus and BK virus origin sequence and initiating replication *in vitro* and *in vivo* (21, 22). Indeed, the SV40 T antigen is noted to have an even broader sequence-binding capability than that of JC virus (23).

The recovery of the JC and BK virus sequences with large deletions in cell culture is likely due to the unique biology and sequence identity of the polyomaviruses. Encapsidation of viral DNA is thought to be dependent on viral structural proteins with no contribution from viral nonstructural proteins beyond DNA replication (24). The amino acid sequence conservation between SV40 and the JC and BK virus T antigen is approximately 73%. As described above, the origin DNA sequence is nearly identical between different polyomaviruses, with the nucleotide sequence required for T antigen binding being absolutely conserved. The JC virus T antigen J domain can replace the SV40 J domain and retain replication activity (25). Chimeras of JC virus and SV40 showed that viruses containing JC virus regulatory sequences and SV40 coding regions can replicate, which is consistent with the JC virus recovered in this study (26). Thus, polyomavirus nonstructural proteins show the ability to complement each other (27, 28). Indeed, different deletions were recovered in three of the cell culture-associated BK and JC polyomaviruses sequenced, suggesting that each of these deletions arose independently in culture.

Our study shows the importance of deep sequencing standards to validate reagent



FIG 2 Sanger confirmation of junction reads from next-generation sequencing data. (A) Gel electrophoresis of PCR products amplified with primers between nucleotides 2416 and 4543 based on the JC virus reference genome in NCBI (GenBank accession number NC_001699). NTC, no template control. (B) Expected PCR amplicons in control materials used in this study based on nucleotide distance. The JC virus plasmid pMAD1 contains a 4-kb backbone insert within this PCR amplicon. (C) The PCR amplicon of 340 bp recovered from the WHO standard demonstrates one of the large deletions in the T antigen region that was first identified by next-generation sequencing data. (D) The PCR amplicon of 2,100 bp demonstrates no deletion in the T antigen region in a JC virus from a clinical urine specimen. (E) The PCR amplicon of 700 bp demonstrates a complex rearrangement in the Exact v2 standard and JCV ATCC 1397 strain that was first identified by next-generation sequencing data. (F) Junctional reads with more than 5% allele frequency from the deep sequencing of the WHO JC virus standard are depicted.

integrity before they are scaled internationally (29). This study adds to the many uses of next-generation sequencing in the clinical virology laboratory (30, 31). The main limitation of our study is the use of short-read sequencing to sequence the strain, as we are thus unable to link rearrangements across the multiple viruses present in each standard (32). Deep sequencing provides single-nucleotide resolution of the sequences present and the relative copy numbers of loci across the genome. Deep sequencing of these standards demonstrated the presence of multiple viral species with radically different copy numbers due to deletions, as well as single-nucleotide changes that may affect PCR primer binding and overall quantitation, making growth of BK and JC viruses in SV40-transformed cell lines a potentially suboptimal choice for a qPCR international standard.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

JC virus materials. The 1st WHO international standard for JC virus DNA (National Institute for Biological Standards and Control [NIBSC] code 14/114) was reconstituted in 1 ml of nuclease-free molecular-grade water and left for 20 min with occasional gentle agitation before use according to recommendations. The recommendations then instruct the dilution of the international standard in the matrix routinely used within the laboratory for clinical diagnosis of JCV DNA and that the diluted material should be extracted prior to JCV DNA measurement. We diluted the international standard 1:1 into 1 ml of normal serum control (NSC; Bio-Rad) followed by serial 10-fold dilutions in NSC prior to extraction.

The Exact Diagnostics (Fort Worth, TX) JCV prototype panel v1 and JCV prototype panel v2 each consist of six concentrations (1×10^7 , 1×10^6 , 1×10^5 , 1×10^4 , 1×10^3 , and 1×10^2 copies/ml) of whole, intact JC virus strain MAD-4. Each concentration was extracted and run on qPCR and ddPCR as described below.

JC virus strain ATCC 1397 was diluted for use as an in-house JCV-positive control. Control material was serially diluted 10-fold in NSC prior to extraction for ddPCR. For qPCR, the highest concentration was extracted and serial 10-fold dilutions were made in 10 mM Tris-HCI.

A JCV-positive urine sample was serially diluted 10-fold in NSC prior to extraction and run on qPCR and ddPCR as described below.

Advanced Biotechnologies Inc. (Eldersburg, MD) JC human polyomavirus (MAD1 strain) viral qDNA PCR control (17-943-500) was obtained at a stated concentration of 5 \times 10⁶ copies/ml of DNA. This material was serially diluted 10-fold in 10 mM Tris and run on qPCR and ddPCR without extraction as described below.

A plasmid containing the MAD-1 strain was ordered from Addgene (plasmid 25626), miniprepped, and diluted in Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer to approximately 10⁶ copies/ml (33). This material was then serially diluted 10-fold in 10 mM Tris and run on qPCR and ddPCR without extraction as described below. As the plasmid backbone insert disrupts the T antigen probe-binding site, the plasmid was most useful as a sequencing control.

DNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR. The Roche MagNa Pure 96 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) was used to extract DNA from the WHO, Exact v1 and v2, ATCC 1397, and urine materials according to manufacturer recommendations. The input volume was 500 μ l, and the elution volume was 100 μ l. Extracted DNA was either used immediately or stored for up to 24 h at 4°C before PCR.

The quantitative real-time PCR assay used was the clinical JCV assay that is currently run at the University of Washington Clinical Virology (34, 35). This assay targets the large T region with a single primer pair (PEP1, AGTCTTTAGGGTCTTCTACC; PEP2, GGTGCCAACCTATGGAACAG) and a 6-carboxy-fluorescein (FAM)-6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) probe (FAM-TGATGATGAAAACACAGGATCC CAACACTC-TAM). The qPCR is performed in the Bio-Rad SsoAdvanced Universal Probes Supermix at 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 2 min, 95°C for 2 os and 60°C for 1 min. Real-time quantification was also performed using the JCV primer pair (MOL9021, analyte-specific reagent [ASR] marked) and the 2.5× universal master mix from Focus Diagnostics (DiaSorin, Cypress, CA) on the Focus integrated cycler under standard cycling conditions. The primer pair includes a Scorpion primer/probe and targets a conserved region of the VP2/3 gene as described below. WHO dilutions were used to convert quantification cycle (C_{a}) to copies per milliliter in post amplification analysis.

Droplet digital PCR. Prior to ddPCR, restriction enzyme digestion was performed with HindllI-HF (New England BioLabs, Ipswitch, NY). To 5 μ l of extracted DNA, 3 μ l of water, 1 μ l of 10× CutSmart buffer, and 1 μ l of HindllI-HF (20,000 U/ml) were added followed by incubation at 37°C for 1 h. After incubation, the mixture was diluted 1:5 by the addition of 40 μ l of water, and 10 μ l of this dilution was used per PCR.

Droplet digital PCR was performed using the Bio-Rad system. Bio-Rad ddPCR mastermix, primers, and probes (final primer and probe concentrations equal to final qPCR concentrations) were added to a 96-well plate and vortexed, followed by droplet generation on the QX100 droplet generator. Droplets were transferred to a 96-well PCR plate and amplified on a 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) with the following thermocycling parameters: 94°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 s and 60°C for 1 min, and a 98°C hold for 10 min. Droplets were read in the QX200 droplet reader (Bio-Rad) immediately following amplification. Data were analyzed with QuantaSoft analysis v1.3.2.0, and quantification was calculated to reflect copies per milliliter of the initial specimen.

Next-generation sequencing. Extracted DNA from the standards was diluted to 0.1 to 0.2 ng/ μ l and was used for dual-indexed Nextera XT sequencing library preparation followed by 18 cycles of amplification (36). Strains from the WHO, Exact v1, pMAD1 plasmid, as well as a clinical strain from urine were sequenced on a single-end 185-bp run on an Illumina MiSeq. JCV 1397 from the ATCC, WHO, Exact v1, Exact v2, and the urine clinical strain were also sequenced on a 2 × 260-bp run on an Illumina MiSeq. Sequencing reads were adapter and quality filtered (Q30) using cutadapt and aligned to the JC virus reference genome (GenBank accession number NC_001699) using the Geneious v9.1.4 mapper with structural variant detection enabled (37–39). Coverage maps were produced from bam files generated using Geneious using the genomecov option from bedtools (40).

To determine the locus used in the Focus Diagnostics analyte-specific reagent, an amplicon created via real-time PCR with the Focus primers was subjected to half reaction of end repair and dA tailing, followed by adapter ligation and PCR amplification with TruSeq adapters using a Kapa HyperPlus kit. The indexed amplicon was sequenced on a 2×500 -bp MiSeq run, and reads were adapter/quality-trimmed and mapped to the JC virus reference genome as above. The location of the primers is depicted in Fig. 1B.

Confirmatory Sanger sequencing. Extracted DNA from the standards was subjected to 35 cycles of PCR amplification in a 20- μ l total volume with 14.5 μ l of water, 4 μ l of 5× HF buffer, 0.5 μ l of 12.5 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), 0.5 μ l of Phusion polymerase (Thermo), and 0.5 μ l each of 10 μ M primers JCV-2416F (5'-CATGGATGCTCAAGTAGAGG-3') and JCV-4543R (5'-CTGGGAGAAAGTTCTTGG AG-3'). PCR products were visualized on a 1.2% FlashGel (Lonza) and extracted from a 1.5% agarose-LB (Faster Better Media) using a Zymoclean gel DNA recovery kit and submitted for bidirectional Sanger sequencing.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/ JCM.02337-16.

TEXT S1, XLSX file, 0.04 MB.

REFERENCES

- DeCaprio JA, Imperiale MJ, Major EO. 2013. Polyomaviruses, p 1633–1661. *In* Knipe DM, Howley PM, Cohen JI, Griffin DE, Lamb RA, Martin MA, Racaniello VR, Roizman B (ed), Fields virology, 6th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA.
- Knowles WA. 2006. Discovery and epidemiology of the human polyomaviruses BK virus (BKV) and JC virus (JCV). Adv Exp Med Biol 577: 19–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-32957-9_2.
- Yu G, Greninger AL, Isa P, Phan TG, Martínez MA, de la Luz Sanchez M, Contreras JF, Santos-Preciado JI, Parsonnet J, Miller S, DeRisi JL, Delwart E, Arias CF, Chiu CY. 2012. Discovery of a novel polyomavirus in acute diarrheal samples from children. PLoS One 7:e49449. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0049449.
- Lim ES, Reyes A, Antonio M, Saha D, Ikumapayi UN, Adeyemi M, Stine OC, Skelton R, Brennan DC, Mkakosya RS, Manary MJ, Gordon JI, Wang D. 2013. Discovery of STL polyomavirus, a polyomavirus of ancestral recombinant origin that encodes a unique T antigen by alternative splicing. Virology 436:295–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2012.12.005.
- Allander T, Andreasson K, Gupta S, Bjerkner A, Bogdanovic G, Persson MAA, Dalianis T, Ramqvist T, Andersson B. 2007. Identification of a third human polyomavirus. J Virol 81:4130–4136. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI .00028-07.
- Padgett BL, Walker DL, ZuRhein GM, Eckroade RJ, Dessel BH. 1971. Cultivation of papova-like virus from human brain with progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy. Lancet i:1257–1260.
- Tan CS, Koralnik IJ. 2010. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy and other disorders caused by JC virus: clinical features and pathogenesis. Lancet Neurol 9:425–437. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(10) 70040-5.
- Koralnik IJ, Boden D, Mai VX, Lord CI, Letvin NL. 1999. JC virus DNA load in patients with and without progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Neurology 52:253. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.52.2.253.
- Bossolasco S, Calori G, Moretti F, Boschini A, Bertelli D, Mena M, Gerevini S, Bestetti A, Pedale R, Sala S, Sala S, Lazzarin A, Cinque P. 2005. Prognostic significance of JC virus DNA levels in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with HIV-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Clin Infect Dis 40:738–744. https://doi.org/10.1086/427698.
- Taoufik Y, Gasnault J, Karaterki A, Ferey MP, Marchadier E, Goujard C, Lannuzel A, Delfraissy JF, Dussaix E. 1998. Prognostic value of JC virus load in cerebrospinal fluid of patients with progressive multifocal leu-

koencephalopathy. J Infect Dis 178:1816–1820. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 314496.

- García De Viedma D, Díaz Infantes M, Miralles P, Berenguer J, Marín M, Muñoz L, Bouza E. 2002. JC virus load in progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy: analysis of the correlation between the viral burden in cerebrospinal fluid, patient survival, and the volume of neurological lesions. Clin Infect Dis 34:1568–1575. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 340535.
- Eggers C, Stellbrink H-J, Buhk T, Dörries K. 1999. Quantification of JC virus DNA in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with human immunodeficiency virus-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy—a longitudinal study. J Infect Dis 180:1690–1694. https://doi.org/ 10.1086/315087.
- Chapagain ML, Nguyen T, Bui T, Verma S, Nerurkar VR. 2006. Comparison of real-time PCR and hemagglutination assay for quantitation of human polyomavirus JC. Virol J 3:3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-3-3.
- Wang AM, Doyle MV, Mark DF. 1989. Quantitation of mRNA by the polymerase chain reaction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86:9717–9721. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.24.9717.
- Bikel I, Montano X, Agha ME, Brown M, McCormack M, Boltax J, Livingston DM. 1987. SV40 small t antigen enhances the transformation activity of limiting concentrations of SV40 large T antigen. Cell 48: 321–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(87)90435-1.
- Hara K, Sugimoto C, Kitamura T, Aoki N, Taguchi F, Yogo Y. 1998. Archetype JC virus efficiently replicates in COS-7 cells, simian cells constitutively expressing simian virus 40 T antigen. J Virol 72:5335–5342.
- Major EO, Miller AE, Mourrain P, Traub RG, de Widt E, Sever J. 1985. Establishment of a line of human fetal glial cells that supports JC virus multiplication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 82:1257–1261. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.82.4.1257.
- Pipas JM. 2009. SV40: cell transformation and tumorigenesis. Virology 384:294–303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2008.11.024.
- Fanning E, Zhao K. 2009. SV40 DNA replication: from the A gene to a nanomachine. Virology 384:352–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol .2008.11.038.
- Johnson EM. 2010. Structural evaluation of new human polyomaviruses provides clues to pathobiology. Trends Microbiol 18:215–223. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2010.01.001.
- 21. Li JJ, Kelly TJ. 1985. Simian virus 40 DNA replication in vitro: specificity of

initiation and evidence for bidirectional replication. Mol Cell Biol 5:1238–1246. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.5.6.1238.

- Feigenbaum L, Khalili K, Major E, Khoury G. 1987. Regulation of the host range of human papovavirus JCV. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 84: 3695–3698. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.11.3695.
- Lynch KJ, Frisque RJ. 1991. Factors contributing to the restricted DNA replicating activity of JC virus. Virology 180:306–317. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0042-6822(91)90035-A.
- Ou WC, Hseu TH, Wang M, Chang H, Chang D. 2001. Identification of a DNA encapsidation sequence for human polyomavirus pseudovirion formation. J Med Virol 64:366–373. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.1060.
- Sullivan CS, Tremblay JD, Fewell SW, Lewis JA, Brodsky JL, Pipas JM. 2000. Species-specific elements in the large T-antigen J domain are required for cellular transformation and DNA replication by simian virus 40. Mol Cell Biol 20:5749–5757. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.20.15.5749 -5757.2000.
- Chuke WF, Walker DL, Peitzman LB, Frisque RJ. 1986. Construction and characterization of hybrid polyomavirus genomes. J Virol 60:960–971.
- 27. Mason DH, Takemoto KK. 1976. Complementation between BK human papovavirus and a simian virus 40 tsA mutant. J Virol 17:1060–1062.
- O'Neill FJ, Renzetti L, Miller TH, Stevens R. 1988. Transformation of human cells by a polyomavirus containing complementing JCV and RFV genomes. Virus Res 11:109–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1702 (88)90037-8.
- Naccache SN, Greninger AL, Lee D, Coffey LL, Phan T, Rein-Weston A, Aronsohn A, Hackett J, Delwart EL, Chiu CY. 2013. The perils of pathogen discovery: origin of a novel parvovirus-like hybrid genome traced to nucleic acid extraction spin columns. J Virol 87:11966–11977. https:// doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02323-13.
- Greninger AL, Zerr DM, Qin X, Adler AL, Sampoleo R, Kuypers JM, Englund JA, Jerome KR. 26 October 2016. Rapid metagenomic nextgeneration sequencing during an investigation of hospital-acquired human parainfluenza virus 3 infections. J Clin Microbiol https://doi.org/ 10.1128/JCM.01881-16.
- Greninger AL, Chen EC, Sittler T, Scheinerman A, Roubinian N, Yu G, Kim E, Pillai DR, Guyard C, Mazzulli T, Isa P, Arias CF, Hackett J, Schochetman G, Miller S, Tang P, Chiu CY. 2010. A metagenomic analysis of pandemic influenza A (2009 H1N1) infection in patients from North America. PLoS One 5:e13381. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013381.
- 32. Seppälä H, Virtanen E, Saarela M, Laine P, Paulín L, Mannonen L, Auvinen P, Auvinen E. 28 August 2016. Single-molecule sequencing revealing the presence of distinct JC polyomavirus populations in patients with pro-

gressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. J Infect Dis https://doi.org/ 10.1093/infdis/jiw399.

- Howley PM, Rentier-Delrue F, Heilman CA, Law MF, Chowdhury K, Israel MA, Takemoto KK. 1980. Cloned human polyomavirus JC DNA can transform human amnion cells. J Virol 36:878–882.
- Arthur RR, Dagostin S, Shah KV. 1989. Detection of BK virus and JC virus in urine and brain tissue by the polymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol 27:1174–1179.
- Marra CM, Rajicic N, Barker DE, Cohen BA, Clifford D, Donovan Post MJ, Ruiz A, Bowen BC, Huang M-L, Queen-Baker J, Andersen J, Kelly S, Shriver S, Adult AIDS Clinical Trials Group 363 Team. 2002. A pilot study of cidofovir for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in AIDS. AIDS 16:1791–1797. https://doi.org/10.1097/00002030-200209060-00012.
- 36. Greninger AL, Langelier C, Cunningham G, Keh C, Melgar M, Chiu CY, Miller S. 2015. Two rapidly growing mycobacterial species isolated from a brain abscess: first whole-genome sequences of *Mycobacterium immunogenum* and *Mycobacterium llatzerense*. J Clin Microbiol 53:2374–2377. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00402-15.
- Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from highthroughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J 17:10–12. https://doi.org/ 10.14806/ej.17.1.200.
- Naccache SN, Federman S, Veeraraghavan N, Zaharia M, Lee D, Samayoa E, Bouquet J, Greninger AL, Luk K-C, Enge B, Wadford DA, Messenger SL, Genrich GL, Pellegrino K, Grard G, Leroy E, Schneider BS, Fair JN, Martínez MA, Isa P, Crump JA, DeRisi JL, Sittler T, Hackett J, Miller S, Chiu CY. 2014. A cloud-compatible bioinformatics pipeline for ultrarapid pathogen identification from next-generation sequencing of clinical samples. Genome Res 24:1180–1192. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.171934.113.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28:1647–1649. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts199.
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26:841–842. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033.
- 41. Bateman AC, Greninger AL, Atienza EE, Limaye A, Jerome KR, Cook L. Quantification of BK virus standards by quantitative real-time PCR and droplet digital PCR is confounded by multiple virus populations in the WHO BKV international standard. Clin Chem, in press.