Sequence Specific Binding of Beta Carboline Alkaloid Harmalol with Deoxyribonucleotides: Binding Heterogeneity, Conformational, Thermodynamic and Cytotoxic Aspects



Sarita Sarkar^{¤a}, Prateek Pandya^{¤b}, Kakali Bhadra*

Department of Zoology, University of Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India

Abstract

Background: Base dependent binding of the cytotoxic alkaloid harmalol to four synthetic polynucleotides, poly(dA).poly(dT), poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT), poly(dG).poly(dC) and poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) was examined by various photophysical and calorimetric studies, and molecular docking.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Binding data obtained from absorbance according to neighbor exclusion model indicated that the binding constant decreased in the order poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC)>poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT)> poly(dA).poly(dT)>poly(dG).poly(dC). The same trend was shown by the competition dialysis, change in fluorescence steady state intensity, stabilization against thermal denaturation, increase in the specific viscosity and perturbations in circular dichroism spectra. Among the polynucleotides, poly(dA).poly(dT) and poly(dG).poly(dC) showed positive cooperativity where as poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) and poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) showed non cooperative binding. Isothermal calorimetric data on the other hand showed enthalpy driven exothermic binding with a hydrophobic contribution to the binding Gibbs energy with poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC), and poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) where as harmalol with poly(dA).poly(dT) showed entropy driven endothermic binding and with poly(dG).poly(dC) it was reported to be entropy driven exothermic binding. The study also tested the *in vitro* chemotherapeutic potential of harmalol in HeLa, MDA-MB-231, A549, and HepG2 cell line by MTT assay.

Conclusions/Significance: Studies unequivocally established that harmalol binds strongly with hetero GC polymer by mechanism of intercalation where the alkaloid resists complete overlap to the DNA base pairs inside the intercalation cavity and showed maximum cytotoxicity on HepG2 with IC_{50} value of 14 μ M. The results contribute to the understanding of binding, specificity, energetic, cytotoxicity and docking of harmalol-DNA complexation that will guide synthetic efforts of medicinal chemists for developing better therapeutic agents.

Citation: Sarkar S, Pandya P, Bhadra K (2014) Sequence Specific Binding of Beta Carboline Alkaloid Harmalol with Deoxyribonucleotides: Binding Heterogeneity, Conformational, Thermodynamic and Cytotoxic Aspects. PLoS ONE 9(9): e108022. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022

Editor: Sue Cotterill, St. Georges University of London, United Kingdom

Received April 14, 2014; Accepted August 25, 2014; Published September 23, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Sarkar et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: KB is indebted to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India for the financial support (Ref. No. 37 (1538)/12/EMR-II). SS is supported by grants from the CSIR project. Authors are also grateful to DST-PURSE 2013-14 for their partial financial support. The authors thank Prof. Gopal Chakraborty, University of Calcutta, DBT-CU-IPLS Core facility, for providing ITC facilities. PP is indebted to Dr. D. S. Kothari, PDF No. F.4-2/2006(BSR)/13-557/2011. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: kakali_bhadra2004@yahoo.com

¤a Current address: Department of Zoology, University of Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India
¤b Current address: Dr. D. S. Kothari PDF No. F.4-2/2006(BSR)/13-557/2011, Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India,

Introduction

Sequence specific binding of small molecules to DNA continues to attract considerable attention for developing effective therapeutic agents for control of gene expression [1–5]. Functionally, deoxyribonucleic acid serves as the repository of the genetic information of the cell, hence it is thought to be the cellular target of many therapeutic molecules.

Alkaloids represent a group of interesting natural small molecules abundantly available in nature. Many, if not all, of

the alkaloids isolated so far have been shown to have remarkable medicinal applications that may be exploited effectively for the betterment of the mankind. Beta–carboline alkaloids are a large group of natural and synthetic indole alkaloids with different degrees of aromaticity [6]. Beta carbolines were first isolated from *Peganum harmala* (Zygophillaceae), which were used as a traditional herbal drug in the Middle East and North Africa [7]. Beta carboline alkaloids have been reported to have several pharmacological, neurophysiological and biochemical activities. They include inhibition of cytochrome P450 [8], inhibition of monoamine oxidase [9], binding to several serotonin, benzodiazepines and dopamine receptors [10] and inhibition of DNA topoisomerase activities [11]. Toxic and genotoxic effects of beta carboline alkaloids have been reported in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. Some of the mutagenic and carcinogenic effects of various carboline alkaloids have been related to their ability to intercalate into DNA [12,13] leading to altered DNA replication fidelity and enzymatic activities in DNA repair processes [11,14]. Extracts of *P. harmala* seeds tested *in vitro* on mice skin carcinoma and sarcoma cell lines significantly reduced cell proliferation [15]. Harman and norharman induced apoptosis and necrosis in Human neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cells, [16].

Though the interaction of beta carboline alkaloids with DNA [17-24] has been studied earlier no detailed information on the sequence specificity and thermodynamic aspects of the interaction has been reported. In order to understand the anticancer/ biological properties of the beta caroline alkaloids detailed knowledge of the mode, mechanism, energetics and specificity of their interaction with nucleic acid is necessary. Therefore in this paper we studied the interaction of harmalol (3,4-dihydro-1methyl-9H-pyrido[3,4-b] (Fig. 1), one of the most important representative of this group of alkaloids, with four synthetic sequence specific polynucleotides.We present new insights into a structural aspects of the interaction in terms of cooperativity/non cooperativity base pair heterogeneity, thermodynamics of the interaction and binding model through molecular docking studies. The chemotherapeutic potential in terms of its response to different human cancer cell lines was also studied.

Results and Discussion

Competition dialysis assay

Figure 2 shows the result from competition dialysis assay of the four polynucleotide samples (of 150 μ M concentration) *viz.* poly(dA).poly(dT), poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT), poly(dG).poly(dC) and poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC), dialyzed against 1 μ M of harmalol presented as bar graphs in which concentration of alkaloid bound to each of the polynucleotide sample is plotted. The competition dialysis assay is a new, effective and powerful tool based on fundamental thermodynamic principle of equilibrium dialysis for the discovery of ligand that can bind to nucleic acids with structural and sequence selectivity [25,26]. The striking result that emerges from this experiment is the pronounced binding of harmalol to poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) followed by poly(dA-



Figure 1. Chemical structure of harmalol in 2 and 3D view. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g001

dT).poly(dA-dT). Binding of the alkaloid is found to be significantly weak with both the homo polynucleotide viz. poly(dG).poly(dC) and poly(dA).poly(dT). From these data K_{app} was calculated and the values were found to be $13.10\pm0.07\times10^5$ M⁻¹, $4.56\pm0.04\times10^5$ M⁻¹, $2.19\pm0.01\times10^5$ M⁻¹ and $3.39\pm0.02\times10^5$ M⁻¹, respectively, for poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC), poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT), poly(dG).poly(dC) and poly(dA).poly(dT). The results indicate the affinity of harmalol to be maximum with poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) and to the other polymers it varied in the order of poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT).poly(dA).poly(dT)>poly(dG).poly(dC).

Spectrophotometric studies and analysis of binding affinity

The binding between harmalol and polynucleotides was further examined by rigorous UV-vis absorption titrations. The characteristic UV- visible spectrum of the alkaloid exhibits maxima at 207, 258 and 371 nm in the range of 200-550 nm [24]. A representative case illustrating the absorption spectral changes of the alkaloid (fixed concentration) resulting from the interaction of varied concentration of poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) (here after hetero GC) with harmalol (10 μ M) is depicted in figure 3A. On titration with hetero GC, the spectra exhibit a characteristic hypochromic effect of 44% and a bathochromic shift of 13 nm until saturation was reached at P/D (nucleotide phosphate/ alkaloid molar ratio) 5.0. The hypochromic and bathochromic effects essentially indicate strong intermolecular interaction involving effective overlap of the π electron cloud of harmalol with the nucleotide bases and are speculative of intercalative ligand-DNA complexation. The spectra show a clear isosbestic point at 407 nm indicating a clear equilibrium between free and DNA bound form of the structure. These remarkable spectral changes disclosed π - π -stacking interactions between the chromophore of this molecule and the DNA. Similar results were also observed with poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) (here after hetero AT), poly(dG).poly(dC) (here after homo GC) and poly(dA).poly(dT) (here after homo AT), but the extent of spectral changes were different (figures not shown) being markedly higher with hetero GC followed by hetero AT and least with homo AT and GC polymers. The values of the hypochromic effect and bathochromic shift of all the four polynucleotides are presented in Table 1. The molar extinction coefficients of the fully bound alkaloid at the



Figure 2. Results of competition dialysis experiment in 15 mM CP buffer, pH 6.8 at 25±0.5°C. The concentration of harmalol bound to each polynucleotide sample is shown as a bar graph. The data given are average of three independent experiments under identical conditions.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g002

wavelength maxima and at the isosbestic points were determined by the above titration. In Fig. 3 A (upper panel) spectrum 1 denotes the free ligand and spectra 8 and 9 denote the fully bound ligand, respectively. From this titration the change in molar extinction coefficient $\Delta \varepsilon$ was calculated. The spectrophotometric titration data of the increasing concentration of alkaloid to a fixed concentration of DNA was employed to evaluate the binding affinity of the alkaloid using Scat chard plots. The binding spectra of harmalol to all the four synthetic DNAs are illustrated in Fig. 3 B-E (lower panel). Scatchard plots show that harmalol binds both in a cooperative or non-cooperative manner depending on the base composition and sequence of base pairs. Positive cooperative binding was observed with poly(dA).poly(dT) and poly(dG).poly(dC), while non-cooperative binding was seen with poly(dAdT).poly(dA-dT) and poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC). The quantitative data of binding parameters calculated from fitting of these plots to the appropriate McGhee-Von Hippel equation 1 and 2 are presented in Table 1. Thus, comparing the data on the hypochromic effect, bathochromic shift and the binding constant calculated from the fitting, it can be assumed that harmalol binds strongly with poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) followed by poly(dAdT).poly(dA-dT)>poly(dA).poly(dT) and least with poly(dG).poly(dC), indicating hetero GC base pair preference. Thus binding of harmalol shows positive cooperativity in polypurine-polypyrimidine sequences and non cooperativity in alternating purinepyrimidine sequences. Positive cooperativity has been demonstrated in several DNA-ligand complexes [27-31], for example the binding of ethidium, propidium, tilorone and daunomycin to poly(dA).poly(dT) [29,31-33], mitoxantrone to several natural DNAs [34], m-AMSA to calf thymus DNA [35] and isoquinoline alkaloids to natural and synthetic DNA [36,37]. The cooperative binding has been rationalized as an effect mediated by some conformational change in the helix and it is very interesting to note that such differences in structural/conformational variations are being well differentiated by harmalol. Two similar views are known to explain these effects. Chaires invoked the theory of allosteric interaction developed by Crothers and colleagues [38] to explain the cooperative binding of daunomycin to various polynucleotide structures [27,39]. According to this model, two structurally different conformations may coexist in the DNA. The binding of the ligand to form I may result in a conformational or allosteric change in the DNA structure to form II. Wilson et al. [29] on the other hand proposed a "preequilibrium" model to explain the unusual binding of propidium to poly(dA).poly(dT), suggesting the initial binding of the antibiotic weakly to an unusual non canonical B-form conformation of the polynucleotide shifting to a more standard B-conformation. It is known that most duplex DNA, natural and synthetic can adopt the gross B-form as defined by their characteristic X-ray patterns. Again among the polynucleotides the maximum cooperativity has been found in poly(dG).poly(dC) and the reason is probably because of the non canonical B-form structure of the polymer [40].

Thus, together with differences in the stacking arrangement of bases, local structural heterogeneity etc., may give rise to some conformational heterogeneity that may lead to cooperative binding in both the polypurine-polypyrimidine sequences i.e. in homo AT and homo GC sequences, where both the structures have an unusual B-form conformation.

Fluorescence spectral titration, quantum yield and binding constant analysis

Harmalol was further reported to be a strong fluorophore with an emission spectral peak at 476.8 nm when exited at 376 nm [24]. Fluorescence emission spectra of the alkaloid on titration with the polynucleotides were recorded in the range of 400-650 nm (Figure 4 A,B). Harmalol with hetero GC polymer showed maximum quenching ($\sim 75\%$) of steady –state fluorescence intensity and attended saturation at a P/D ratio (nucleotide phosphate/alkaloid) of 7.08 (Fig. 4A). Interestingly with both the AT polymers, a gradual enhancement of steady-state fluorescence intensity of harmalol was observed. Harmalol with hetero AT polymer showed $\sim 35\%$ enhancement of fluorescence intensity with an isoemmisive point at 540 nm and attended saturation at a P/D ratio of 21.35 (Fig. 4B) where as with homo AT polymer it showed $\sim 25\%$ enhancement with an isoemmisive point at 532 nm and attended saturation at a P/D ratio of 35.20. Isoemissive point was the indication of a clear equilibrium between free and DNA bound form of the alkaloid. Homo GC polymer with harmalol showed least quenching ($\sim 27\%$) of steady -state fluorescence intensity and attended saturation at a P/D ratio of 41.50. No isoemissive point was observed with the GC polymers. The enhancement or decrease of fluorescence intensity of a fluorophore in the presence of nucleic acids is still controversial. A literature survey revealed that both enhancement and quenching of fluorescence of small molecules in the presence of DNA have been observed and both phenomena have been suggested to be due to strong intercalation [41]. It is likely that intercalation leads to a reduction in the rate of excited state proton transfer to solvent molecules from the alkaloid harmalol, leading to an enhanced fluorescence for the DNA complexes in AT sequences (more with the hetero AT sequence). In the specific case of GC, a reduction has occurred and this fluorophore quenching may be explained by electron sharing/donor properties of the adjacent guanine base. In many cases evidences for the formation of weak or nonfluorescent ground-state complexes between the fluorophores and guanosine residues have been reported. In such complexes, upon complex formation, efficient fluorescence quenching via photoinduced electron transfer can occur [26]. Recently Basu and Kumar have reported that the alkaloid chelethrine also acts as an electron acceptor in the excited state and guanine base acting as the electron donor leading to quenching on binding to hetero GC polynucleotide while with other polynucleotides the fluorescene is enhancing [42]. Furthermore, the position of guanosine in the DNA strand has been reported to be critical for such G-quenching to occur.

The results of fluorescence titration data were converted to the quantum yield of the alkaloid complexes with all the four polynucleotides and presented in Table 1. With the GC polymers the relative quantum yield (ϕ/ϕ_0) of harmalol decreases with increasing P/D values until saturation is reached. Decrease in $\phi/$ ϕ_{o} was more pronounced (Fig. 4A) with harmalol-hetero GC complex compared to harmalol-homo GC complex (figure not shown). Whereas with both the homo and hetero AT polymers (Fig. 4B), ϕ/ϕ_0 of harmalol enhances with P/D and levels off with saturation, latter to a large extent until saturation was achieved. By following the same protocol as in UV spectrophotometry, the results of fluorescence titration data were further analyzed to obtain the Scatchard plot of the binding. The binding constants K_{f} , stoitiometry *n* and cooperativity factor ω were analyzed (figures not shown). Poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) and poly(dAdT).poly(dA-dT) showed non cooperative binding with a binding constant of $4.60\pm0.07\times10^{6}$ M⁻¹, $0.45\pm0.04\times10^{6}$ M⁻¹, respectively and stoitiomrtry of 0.35 and 0.18, respectively. On the other hand poly(dA).poly(dT) and poly(dG).poly(dC) showed cooperative binding with a binding constant of $0.015\pm0.001\times10^{6}$ M⁻¹ $0.002 \pm 0.001 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, respectively, cooperativity factor of 20 and 75, and stoitiomrtry of 0.15 and 0.09, respectively. The values were found to be very close to the UV visible spectrophotometric



Figure 3. (A) (Top panel) A representative figure showing the changes in the absorption spectra of harmalol upon titration with poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) in 15 mM CP buffer, pH 6.8 at $25\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C. Curve (1) denote absorption spectrum of harmalol (10.0 μ M) treated with 5.16, 10.5, 20.2, 30.50, 35.0 and 50.0 μ M (curves 2–7) of poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC), respectively. (Bottom panel) Scatchard plots derived from absorbance spectral data for the binding of harmalol to 20 μ M of (B) hetero AT, (C) homo AT, (D) hetero GC and (E) homo GC polynucleotides. The data was fit to both cooperative and non cooperative binding model derived from McGhee-von Hippel equation [68]. The best fit values of K_b , n and ω are presented in Table 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g003

analysis. Thus the fluorescence data clearly indicates that harmalol binds strongly with hetero GC polymer, followed by hetero AT> homo AT and> homo GC polymers or in other words harmalol prefers hetero GC specific binding.

UV melting studies

Further, the alkaloid reported to enhance the thermal stability of all the four polynucleotides (figures not shown). Increase in the melting temperature was in the order of about 8°C with the hetero AT polymer and 6°C with both, homo AT and GC polymers, respectively. The melting of the hetero GC polymer under the condition of our experiment was>97°C, so no meaningful data could be deduced in presence of harmalol. It is worth mentioning here that the cooperativity of the thermal melting pattern in all the cases was unaffected in presence of the alkaloid. Thus these data further suggest a strong binding and stabilization of the alkaloid to the polymers but as such no preference for any base pair specificity could be shown by optical melting studies.

Mode of binding by viscometric analysis

The mode of binding of harmalol to the sonicated polynucleotides was investigated from viscosity studies. The relative specific

Polvnucleotides	Spectrophotome	try (analysis b	y McGhee-von Hippel	(Spectrofluorimetry @/@ ^{.b}	Thermal melting AT _m (°C)
	Binding constant (×10 ⁶ M ⁻¹)	t K, n	Cooperativity factor (ω)	<i>K</i> _/ ω (×10 ⁶ M ⁻¹)	Binding mode	Hypochromic effect (%); bathochromic shi (nm)		
poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT)	0.43 ± 0.03	0.18			Non cooperative	33%; 6 nm	1.43	8
poly(dA).poly(dT)	0.02±0.01	0.12	14.0	0.28±0.01	cooperative	21.88%; 4 nm	1.20	6
poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC)	4.20±0.05	0.33			Non cooperative	44%; 13 nm	0.20	ND
poly(dG).poly(dC)	0.002±0.001	0.08	84	0.18±0.01	cooperative	31.36%; 5 nm	0.56	6

duplex polynucleotide] at r_{max} doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.t001

Sequence Specific Binding of Harmalol



Figure 4. (A) Steady state fluorescence emission spectrum of harmalol (6 µM, Curve 1) treated with various concentrations of poly(dGdC).poly(dG-dC) in 15 mM CP buffer, pH 6.8 at 25±0.5°C. Curves (2-9) denote 3.50, 7.00, 10.5, 16.80, 20.00, 25.50, 32.40, 37.5 and 42.50 μM of poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC). Inset of A: Plots of the relative quantum yield ϕ/ϕ_o versus P/D for the interaction of harmalol (\bullet - \bullet) with poly(dGdC).poly(dG-dC). (B) Steady state fluorescence emission spectrum of harmalol (6 µM, Curve 1) treated with various concentrations of poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT). Curves (2-8) denote 5.50, 15.60, 25.50, 47.60, 75.0, 100.50 and 128.10 µM of poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT). Inset of B: Plots of the relative quantum yield φ/φ_o versus P/D for the interaction of harmalol (■-■) with poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g004

viscosity of the polymer- alkaloid complex increased sharply as the D/P increased (Fig. S1), suggesting the intercalation of the alkaloid into the helical organization of the sonicated DNA polynucleotides. The relative specific viscosity against D/P for the different DNAs was dependent on the base composition of DNA, being more for the hetero GC polymer, followed by hetero AT polymer>homo AT polymer and lest change with homo GC polymer. For better comparison, the relative specific viscosity of hetero GC polymer - ethidium bromide complexation was also studied with increasing D/P ratios since ethidium is said to be a classical intercalator [43]

Circular dichroism studies

Further, spectropolarimetric or circular dichroism (CD) data provides an independent measure of conformational polymorphism of nucleic acid structures and their interactions with small molecules [44,45]. CD studies could be understood either through intrinsic CD that depict the changes in the DNA conformation or through induced/extrinsic CD where DNA does not have any contribution and results from the nondegenerative coupling of the ligand chromophore with the transition moments of the adjacent base pairs of the DNA (some chiral electronic interactions $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ at the binding site) there by giving information about the orientation of the chromophore inside the helical organization. The characteristic CD spectra of all the four polymers studied were remarkably perturbed in presence of harmalol resulting in a rapid increase in the positive band (Fig. 5A-E). The extent of change was more pronounced with hetero GC polymer (Fig. 5C). Interestingly, concomitant with the changes in the intrinsic CD in the UV region (210–310 nm), there appeared an induced CD band in the 300-425 nm regions for the bound alkaloid molecules in all the four polymers, the ellipticity of which increased as the binding progressed. The induced CD band was evidently with more ellipticity in the hetero GC polymer followed by hetero AT, homo AT and homo GC. It is pertinent to note that harmalol is an achiral molecule and is not CD active by itself. Thus, the CD changes revealed that the alkaloid bind differently with the polymers depending on their base sequence, being more strongly with the hetero GC sequence or in other words these results, apart from conformational aspects of the interaction, could be used as a method to show the base pair specificity of the alkaloid.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

In addition to photophysical data, thermodynamic analysis of drug-DNA binding also provides valuable insights into the nature of the molecular forces that are involved in the complexation. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) is one such sensitive, rapid and reliable methodology for the direct measurement of thermodynamic parameters in various biomolecular interactions [46–48]. Since ITC measures heat exchange, it provides a tool independent of the spectroscopic changes that occur in the reaction. In Fig. 6 A-D (upper panels) the raw ITC profiles resulting from the titration of harmalol to the polynucleotide DNAs are presented. Harmalol, due to its aggregation tendency, a reverse protocol has been adopted as reported earlier [24]. Each of the heat burst curve in the figure corresponds to a single injection. The areas under these heat burst curves were determined by integration to yield the associated injection heats. These injection heats were corrected by subtracting the corresponding dilution heats (upper part of the upper panels of Fig. 6 A-D) derived from the injection of identical amounts of the injectants (here it is the respective polunucleotides) into buffer alone. In the lower panel of the figure, the resulting corrected heats were plotted against the respective molar ratios. Here the data points reflect the experimental injection heat while the solid lines reflect calculated fits of data. The corrected isotherms showed only one binding event in all the cases indicating that one type of complexation is formed exclusively, enabling the fitting to a single site protocol in ITC. The binding affinities and the thermodynamic parameters are presented in Table 2. The binding affinity values at 25 ± 0.5 °C evaluated from the ITC data are in good agreement with the spectroscopic data (Table 1). The binding is exothermic and predominantly dominated by enthalpy and favorable entropy factor in poly(dG-dC). poly(dG-dC) and poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT), respectively, whereas with poly(dA).poly(dT) binding is endothermic and entropy driven and with poly(dG).poly(dC) the binding is entropy driven but unlike homo AT polymer it is exothermic. The Gibbs energy change in each system is more or less similar and in the range of 7-9 kcal/mol.



Figure 5. Representative CD spectra resulting from the interaction of harmalol, with the polynucleotides in 15 mM CP buffer of pH 6.8 at $25\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C. (A) Curves (1–6) denote poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) (40 µM) treated with 0, 5.0, 12.0, 15.0, 25.0 and 35.3 µM of harmalol. (B) Curves (1–6) denote poly(dA).poly(dT) (42 µM,) treated with 0, 2.0, 8.0, 12.0, 25.0 and 40.3 µM of harmalol. (C) Curves (1–7) denote poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) (40 µM,) treated with 0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0, 15.0, 25.0 and 40.3 µM of harmalol. (D) Curves (1–5) denote poly(dG).poly(dC) (45 µM,) treated with 0, 5.0, 16.0, 34.0 and 45.0 µM of harmalol. The expressed molar ellipticity (θ) in each case is based on the polynucleotides concentration. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g005

The ITC data of harmalol- poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) complexation (Fig. 6A) yielded a $K_{\rm b}$ value of $0.40\pm0.02\times10^5$ M⁻¹, an enthalpy change (ΔH^{o}) of -2.00 kcal/mol and an entropy contribution of $(T\Delta S^{o})$ of 5.69 kcal/mol. The calorimetric data of harmalol- poly(dA).poly(dT) (Fig. 6B) complexation yielded a $K_{\rm b}$ value of $0.30\pm0.02\times10^6$ M⁻¹, an enthalpy change (ΔH°) of + 0.30 kcal/mol and an entropy contribution of $(T\Delta S^{o})$ of 7.81 kcal/ mol and the trend was found to be in good agreement with that reported earlier [29,33,37]. Thus in addition to the conformational switch in the polymer on binding as discussed earlier (vide supra), a second view has focused on the unusual hydration of poly(dA).poly(dT) and suggested the water release coupled to the binding for the entropically driven drug association [29,33,37,49]. Further a subsequent elegant study by Chaires and coworkers [50] meticulously implicated the involvement of a pre-melting conformational transition from one helical form to another as a physical basis for the unusual thermodynamics of antibiotics binding to this polymer. For the binding with poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) (Fig. 6C), saturated at a polynucleotide/harmalol concentration ratio of 0.4, the ITC data yielded a $K_{\rm b}$ value of $3.86 \pm 0.07 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, (ΔH^o) of -5.00 kcal/mol and $T\Delta S^o$ of 4.04 kcal/mol. Further, for the binding with poly(dG).poly(dC) (Fig. 6D), the affinity was $0.22\pm0.03\times10^6~M^{-1}$ with an small enthalpy change of -1.98 kcal/mol and a large entropy change factor of 5.35 kcal/ mol. Thus from the above binding thermograms it is again very clearly indicating, that harmalol shows maximum preference for hetero GC polymer followed by hetero AT>homo AT and least binding with homo GC polymer.

Heat capacity change of binding

We have also studied the temperature dependence of the binding of harmalol with poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) and poly(dGdC).poly(dG-dC) and determined the heat capacity values using the standard relationship, $\Delta C_{b}^{\ o} = \delta(\Delta H)/\delta T$. This parameter provides a mean for linking structural and energetic data and should describe the hydration -dehydration effects that occur during the binding process. Studies were performed in the range of 15-30°C and the thermodynamic parameters elucidated are presented in Table 3. The association constant for harmalol binding to poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) varied from $0.52\pm0.09\times$ 10^{6} M^{-1} at 15°C to $0.31 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1}$ at 30°C (Table 3). The interaction was overwhelmingly entropy driven at all the temperatures, but binding enthalpy gradually increased and the entropy terms decreased despite increase in temperature. Interestingly, the Gibbs energy exhibited only small changes (varied from -7.58 to -7.66 kcal/mol). The binding affinity of harmalolpoly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) complexation on the other hand varied from $4.70\pm0.47 \times 10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1}$ at 15°C to $2.96\pm0.0.06 \times 10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1}$ at 30°C. Gibbs energy exhibited only small changes, varied from -8.85 to -9.03 kcal/mol. The binding enthalpy increased and the entropy term (a favorable term to the Gibbs energy) decreased with increasing temperature keeping ΔG^{o} almost constant. The temperature dependence of the enthalpy yielded an estimate for heat capacity (ΔC_{p}^{o}) . The reaction enthalpy and entropy both of which were strong functions of temperature compensate (ΔH^o and $T\Delta S^{o}$ are parallel) to make the reaction Gibbs energy almost independent of temperature. Such compensation was observed for many biomolecular interactions and the phenomena were suggested to be due to a significant hydrophobic component to the binding energies [51]. The slope of the line revealed values of -0.150 and -0.118 kcal/mol K for harmalol binding to poly(dGdC).poly(dG-dC)and poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT), respectively (Fig. 7A,B). Similar heat capacity values have been observed from ITC data for a variety of small molecules binding to DNA [51-



Figure 6. ITC profile for the binding of 1200 μ M of (A) poly(dA-dT), poly(dA-dT), (B) poly(dA).poly(dT), (C) poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) and (D) poly(dG).poly(dC) to harmalol (20 μ M) at 25±0.5°C, pH 6.8. Each heat burst curve (in the bottom part of upper panel) is the result of a 1.5 μ L sequential injection of the polynucleotide into harmalol (curves at the bottom). The top part of upper panel show the heat burst for the injection of the polynucleotide into the same buffer as control in each experiment (curves offset for clarity). The lower panel represent the corresponding normalized heat data against the molar ratio (P/D) (E, F, G and H). The data points (\bullet - \bullet) reflect the experimental injection heats while the solid line represents the calculated best fit of the data. The values of the various thermodynamic parameters obtained are presented in Table 2. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g006

54]. It is reported that for intercalators or planar molecules a large hydrophobic contribution to the binding Gibbs energy is expected due to their aromatic ring system and binding should be energetically favorable [55]. From the Records expression [55], $\Delta G_{\rm hyd}^{\circ} = 80 \ (\pm 10) \times \Delta G_{\rho}^{\circ}$, the Gibbs energy contribution to the hydrophobic transfer step of the ligand binding may be calculated. Hence, values of $\Delta G_{\rm hyd}^{\circ}$ for harmalol binding to poly(dAdT).poly(dA-dT) and poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) (Table 3) was calculated to be -9.4 and $-12.0/\text{kcal} \ \text{mol}^{-1}$ respectively (Fig. 7A,B). Though, $\Delta G_{\rm hyd}^{\circ}$ value for harmalol binding to poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT) is slightly lower, but with poly(dGdC).poly(dG-dC), the value was well within the range that was

Table 2. ITC derive	d binding and	thermodynamic profile	s for the interaction	of harmalol to vario	us polynucleotides ^a .
---------------------	---------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------------

Polynucleotides	<i>К</i> ь (×10 ⁶ М ⁻¹)	n	ΔG^o (kcal/mol)	ΔH^{o} (kcal/mol)	$T\Delta S^{o}$ (kcal/mol)
poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT)	0.44±0.02	0.18	-7.74	-2.00	5.74
poly(dA).poly(dT)	0.30±0.02	0.11	-7.51	+0.30	7.81
poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC)	3.86±0.07	0.30	-9.04	-5.00	4.04
poly(dG).poly(dC)	0.22±0.03	0.12	-7.33	-1.98	5.35

^aAverage of three determinations in CP buffer of 15 mM [Na⁺], pH 6.8. Values of ΔG° were determined using the equation $\Delta G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K_{\rm b}$ and $T\Delta S^{\circ} = \Delta H^{\circ} - \Delta G^{\circ}$. n denotes the binding site size.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.t002

observed for classical intercalators [51,54,56]. Thus these results clearly indicate the involvement of a remarkably large hydrophobic contribution in harmalol-polynucleotide interaction.

Molecular docking analysis

The experimental results obtained so far have clearly suggested that Harmalol molecule at pH 6.8 interacts with DNA through intercalation mode, more preferably and strongly with hetero GC sequence than the AT sequences. In order to model the intercalation mechanism of harmalol, it is essential to obtain a sizeable intercalation cavity to acquire reasonable estimates of binding affinity and ligand poses in docking calculations. Previously Xio et al. had also performed the molecular modelling by using Biosyn modelling package to show the binding of beta carboline derivatives to DNA sequences [20]. The structures containing intercalation cavities are explored in the PDB (Protein Data Bank). Presently two DNA sequences, 4BZV and 1G3X, have been selected for the docking analysis. A DNA-ligand complex 4BZV has been reported to contain intercalation cavity at 5'-CpG-3' site while the complex 1G3X contain intercalation site at 5'-ApA-3'. Molecular docking calculations produced 9 binding poses at CpG binding site while in the case of ApA binding site, 20 binding poses were obtained in the range of 2 kcal/mol. Docking also produced binding affinity values that are close to the experimental values obtained from fluorescence and ITC experiments. In the case of harmalol-DNA docking, both the DNA sequences, 4BZV and 1G3X, gave binding Gibbs energy values of -8.62 kcal/mol and -7.41 kcal/mol, respectively. Further, these Gibbs energy values were used to calculate binding constant values of $1.84 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and $0.25 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$, respectively using the equation, $\Delta G^o = -RT \ln K_b$, where ΔG^o is binding Gibb's energy in kcal/mol, R is gas constant and T is temperature in kelvin. When analyzing the binding interaction, it is essential to consider the structure of the ligand molecule first in order to establish the sources of intermolecular interaction forces present in the ligand. The primary purpose of docking calculations is to understand the structure of binding poses of drugs in receptor bound states that match with the experimental observations. Normally, docking produces several bound poses in a single calculation. Since docking poses and their estimated binding energy does not have direct relation with the experimental binding constants or affinity values, it is imperative to use appropriate search algorithms and scoring functions to obtain the values as close to the experimental observations as possible. This implies that in the docking results, those poses that are closer in experimental affinity values should be more closely analyzed. Typically, the best estimates of binding free energy values in docking are those that come closer to the experimental values [57,58]. The difference, however, between the two values can be attributed to several factors such as choice of calculation program, solvent and ionic environment considerations, etc. In reality, the exact match of experimental and computational affinities in terms of numbers is extremely difficult. However, in the case of a number of compounds, a trend can be obtained which provides for the reasonable comparison of affinity values. It is clear from the structure of harmalol (vide supra, Fig. 1) that there is a strong possibility for a significant contribution from the hydrophobic forces (pi-pi stacking, pi-alkyl group interactions, etc) in the overall binding affinity values between harmalol and DNA bases. The analysis of binding poses of harmalol with both the DNA sequences reveals that there is indeed a strong hydrophobic force that contributes in the overall binding (Fig. 8). Besides the hydrophobic forces, the H-bonding was also observed, though to a lesser extent. Out of the 9 bound poses obtained from modified DNA 4BZV, only five poses were found to contain H-bonds. On the other hand, 20 poses obtained from modified DNA 1G3X, only 4 contained H-bonds. This shows that although the harmalol contains potential H-bond donor and acceptor groups, their significance in the DNA bound state is limited as compared to stacking forces. Further, the actual Hbonds observed in both the cases of docking, were between DNA bases and two ringed Nitrogens. The poses were oriented in such a way that H-bonds between DNA bases and oxygen atom of Harmalol was observed only rarely. Finally, the absence of any side chain of significant length does not provide for the anchoring of harmalol inside the minor groove of DNA as observed in the case of other molecules [57,58].

Cell viability test by MTT assay

Binding studies of the natural alkaloid with DNA will be always incomplete without the biological interpretation and importance of that alkaloid, hence cytotoxicity of harmalol against various human cancer cell lines, is one of the important aspect that further signifies the anticancer activity of the ligand. Cytotoxicity of harmalol was determined by treating different cancer cell lines viz. HeLa, MDA-MB-231, A549 and HepG2 with various concentrations of the alkaloid (5, 10, 20, 40 and 55 μ M) at 37°C for 24, 48 and 72 hrs followed by MTT assay (Fig. 9). The dose dependent reaction in the viable cells was observed and IC₅₀ values were calculated and presented in Table 4. HepG2 was reported to have the least IC_{50} value of 14 $\mu\mathrm{M}$ followed by MDA-MB-231 with IC_{50} value of 24 $\mu M,$ HeLa with IC_{50} value of 42 $\mu M,$ and A549 with the maximum IC_{50} value of 45 $\mu M.$ The data are presented as the means \pm SEM of three independent experiments. Significant values are calculated against untreated control cells and analyzed with ANOVA test. (P<0.05 vs untreated). Thus the technique indicates that harmalol is most effective against HepG2 cell line and least effective against A549. Previously also harmalol has been reported to be very effective on dioxin mediated induction of CYP1A1 in human hepatoma HepG2 cells [59]. It

Polynucleotides	Temperature (K)	<i>K</i> _b (×10 ⁶ M ^{−1})	ΔG^o kcal/mol	$\Delta H^{m ho}$ kcal/mol	<i>T∆ S°</i> kcal/mol	ΔC_{ρ}° kcal/mol K	$\Delta \mathcal{G}_{hyd}$ kcal/mol
poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC)	288.15	4.70±0.47	-8.85	- 3.8	5.05		
	293.15	4.20 ± 0.27	-8.94	-4.30	4.64	-0.150	- 12.0
	298.15	3.86±0.07	- 9.04	-5.00	4.04		
	303.15	2.96±0.06	- 9.03	-6.07	2.96		
poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT)	288.15	0.52±0.09	-7.58	-0.61	6.97		
	293.15	0.45 ± 0.07	-7.63	-0.87	6.76	-0.118	-9.4
	298.15	0.44 ± 0.02	-7.74	-2.00	5.74		
	303.15	0.31±0.01	-7.66	-2.20	5.46		







Figure 7. Temperature dependence of the thermodynamic parameters, $T\Delta S^{\circ}$, ΔH° and ΔG° for the binding of harmalol to (A) poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) (B) poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT). Values of all the parameters are presented in Table 3. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g007

has been further postulated that it's antimutagenic and antigenotoxic effects in mammalian cells is because of the antioxidant properties and the antioxidant properties is mainly due to the stabilization of the formed radicals by the resonance structures [60].



Figure 8. Harmalol binding with intercalation cavity at 5'-CpG-3' of duplex DNA (PDB ID 4BZV). Right image shows harmalol in green color. Magenta colored lines indicate hydrophobic forces of interaction.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g008

1



Figure 9. Effect of varying concentrations (5, 10, 20, 40 and 55 μ M) of Harmalol for 72 hours on the viability (MTT) of different cancer cells (HeLa, MDA-MB-231, A549 and HepG2) resulted in a significant dose-dependent reduction in the viability of the cells. The data are represented as the means \pm SEM of three independent experiments. Significant values are calculated against untreated control cells and analyzed with ANOVA test. (**P*<0.05 vs. untreated).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.g009

This method is particularly convenient for the rapid assay of replicate cell cultures in multi-well plates. Plate readers are capable of measuring the absorbance of each well of a standard 96-well plate. The basic principle is that the tetrazolium dye, MTT, is cleaved to a colored product by the activity of dehydrogenase enzymes and this indicates high levels of mitochondrial activity in the viable cells. The color development (purple) is proportional to the number of metabolically active cells. However there is considerable variation in results between cell lines with some cells producing a very low response. Cells with a significant level of oxidative metabolism such as CHO, reported to produce a good response in the MTT assay [61].

Conclusions

The present study investigate the interaction of beta carboline alkaloid, harmalol with polynucleotides of different base sequences and reveal the specificity and mode of binding in terms of structural differences and correlate the energetics and cytotoxicity of the interaction. Comparative studies unequivocally established that harmalol binds strongly with hetero GC polymer followed by hetero AT polymer by mechanism of intercalation and showed maximum cytotoxicity on HepG2 with IC₅₀ of 14 μ M. Further the

Table 4. In vitro	^a cytotoxicity	of harmalol	(IC ₅₀	μM)
-------------------	---------------------------	-------------	-------------------	-----

thermodynamic profiles showed that the binding of the alkaloid was predominantly a single site enthalpy driven exothermic binding with a hydrophobic contribution to the binding Gibbs energy with hetero GC and AT polynucleotides. The analysis of binding poses of harmalol with both the DNA sequences from molecular docking analysis also revealed a strong hydrophobic force that contributes in the overall binding. With homo AT and homo GC polynucleotides, binding have been reported to be overwhelmingly entropy driven and this has been rationalized by the release of more solvent coupled with a mandatory conformational change in the polymer induced by drug binding because of the non-canonical B-form rigid conformation of the polymers. Thus, the results highlighted the importance of structural elements in small molecule in stabilizing the DNA structure for developing better therapeutic agents.

Materials and Methods

Biochemicals

Harmalol as a hydrochloride salt was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The purity of the sample was confirmed by thin layer chromatography, melting point determination and NMR spectroscopy. Harmalol was dissolved in 15 mM citrate-phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 at $42-45^{\circ}$ C and its concentration was determined using molar extinction coefficient value of 19,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, calculated by us, at 371 nm. However, according to Biondic and Balsells, the molar extinction coefficient value of harmalol in various organic solvent media were reported to be between 18,000–22,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ [62]. Further, Alomer *et al.* have also commented on the ε value for the acidic species of this alkaloid at 371 nm to be 15,904 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ [63].

Synthetic polynucleotides, poly(dA).poly(dT), poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT), poly(dG).poly(dC) and poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) were also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used as such. Each polynucleotide concentration in terms of nucleotide phosphate was determined spectrophotometrically using molar extinction coefficient values reported previously [64].

Cell lines and culture conditions

For the experiments, four types of human cancer cell lines *viz*. HeLa (cervix epitheloid carcinoma), MDA-MB-231 (breast epitheloid carcinoma), A549 (lung epitheloid carcinoma) and HepG2 (liver epitheloid carcinoma) were chosen. All the cell lines were obtained from National Centre for Cell Science, Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India, Pune. Cells were grown in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% Antibiotic and Antimycotic solution in a CO₂ air-jacketed incubator (ESCO, celculture CO₂ INCUBATOR, CCL-170T-8-UV) at 37°C in a humidified

Cancer cell lines	72 hrs incubation with the alkaloid (in μ M)
HeLa ^c	42
MDA-MB-231 ^c	24
A549 ^c	45
HepG2 ^c	14

^aData represent the mean values of three independent determinations.

^bCytotoxicity as IC₅₀ for cell line is the concentration of compound which reduced by 50% the optical density of treated cells with respect to untreated cells using MTT assay.

^cCel^I lines include non small cell cervical carcinoma (Hela), breast carcinoma (MDA-MB-231), lung carcinoma (A549) and liver carcinoma (HepG2). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108022.t004 atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air during 3–5 days. Then the cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at pH 7, then trypsinized (0.05% gibco) and incubated during 3–5 min; 10 ml DMEM containing 10% FBS was added, the cells were resuspended and quantified in Neubauer chamber.

Cell viability test: MTT assay

We tested the percentage of cell viability from the above mentioned cell lines by MTT assay [65]. MTT reagent (1 mg/ml of the tetrazolium dye and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide dissolved in phosphate buffer saline, pH 7.4) was obtained from SRL, Pvt. Ltd. We quantified the data of MTT assay by using microplate ELISA reader (Multiscan EX Thermo Electron, Corporation, USA) [66]. Briefly, cells were seeded in 96 well plates at a density of 2×10^3 cells/well and treated with harmalol of various concentrations (5, 10, 20, 40, and 55 μ M) at 37°C for 72 hrs. After the exposure period, 10 μ l of MTT (1 mg/ml) was added into each well and incubated at 37°C for 4 hrs. Excess media and MTT were removed. The remaining MTT-formazan crystal was dissolved in 100 µl DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide). The purple crystals of Formazan formed which were proportional to viable cells. The color absorbance of each well was recorded at 595 nm.

Preparation of buffer

Experiments were carried out in 15 mM Citrate-Phosphate (CP) buffer of pH 6.8. The buffer solution was passed through 0.45 μ m syringe filters (Millipore India Pvt. Ltd. Bangalore, India) to remove any particulate matter. MilliQ water was used throughout. All other reagents and chemicals were of analytical grade purity.

Competition dialysis assay

Competition dialysis assay is an effective tool developed by Chaires et al. (1999) [25,26] based on the fundamental thermodynamic principle of equilibrium dialysis for the determination of binding of small molecules that interact to nucleic acids with structural and sequence selectivity. Briefly, 200 mL of the alkaloid of 1 µM concentration (C_f, dialysate solution) was placed in a beaker, 0.5 mL of each of the polynucleotide samples of 150 μ M (Stotal) in nucleotide -phosphate unit were pipetted into separate 0.5 mL Spectra/Por Dispo Dialyzer unit (Spectrum Laboratories, Inc., CA, USA). All the dialysis units were then placed in a beaker containing the dialysate solution and allowed to equilibrate with continuous slow stirring for 24 hrs at $25\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C. The beaker was covered with parafilm and wrapped in foil. At the end of the equilibration period, the samples were carefully transferred to microfuge tubes, and were taken to a final concentration of 1% (w/v) sodium dodecyle sulphate (SDS) by the addition of appropriate volumes of a 10% (w/v) stock solution. The total concentration of the alkaloid (C_t) within each dialysis unit was then determined spectrophotometrically by measuring the OD at λ_{max} i.e. at 371 nm. The amount of bound alkaloid (C_b) was determined by the difference ($C_{\rm b} = Ct - C_{\rm f}$). Data were plotted as a bar graph using Origin 7.0 software (MicroCal, Inc., CA, USA). This data was then used to calculate the apparent binding constant (K_{app}) using the relation [25,26]

$$\mathbf{K}_{app} = \mathbf{C}_{b} / (\mathbf{C}_{f}) \, (\mathbf{S}_{total} - \mathbf{C}_{b}) \tag{1}$$

Absorbance and fluorescence spectral studies

Absorbance spectra were measured on a Jasco V-630 double beam monochromator spectrophotometer (Jasco International Co. Ltd. Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a thermoelectrically controlled cell holder in matched quartz cells of 1 cm path length under stirring at $25\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C. Steady state fluorescence measurements were performed on a Hitachi F4010 fluorescence spectrometer (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) in fluorescence free quartz cells of 1 cm path length. The excitation wavelength for harmalol hydrochloride was 376 nm [24]. All measurements were done under conditions of stirring keeping excitation and emission band passes of 2.5 and 10 nm, respectively. The sample temperature was maintained at $25\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C.

Analysis of the binding affinity by Scatchard plots

In alkaloid-polynucleotide titration experiment, the amount of free and bound harmalol was determined following the methodology described by Chaires et al. [67]. In absorbance, following each addition of the alkaloid to the polymer solution (40 µM), the respective isosbestic point viz. 407 nm with poly(dG-dC).poly(dGdC), 412 nm with poly(dG).poly(dC), 409 nm with poly(dAdT).poly(dA-dT) and 425 nm with poly(dA).poly(dT), the total drug concentration (C_t) present was calculated as $C_t = A_{iso} / \epsilon_{max}$. This quantity was used to calculate the expected absorbance (A_{exp}) at wavelength maximum, $A_{exp} = C_t \epsilon_{max}$. The difference in A_{exp} and the observed absorbance (A_{obsd}) was then used to calculate the amount of bound alkaloid as $C_b = A/\Delta\epsilon = (A_{exp} - A_{obsd})/(\epsilon_f - \epsilon_b)$. The amount of free alkaloid concentration was determined by the difference, $C_f = C_t - C_b$. The molar extinction coefficient of the completely bound alkaloid in each case was determined by adding a known quantity of the alkaloid to a large excess of DNA polynucleotide and on the assumption of total binding, $\varepsilon_{\rm b} = A_{\rm max}/$ Ct. Alternatively, the absorbance of a known quantity of the alkaloid was monitored at the wavelength maximum λ_{max} while adding known amounts of the polynucleotide until no further change was observed. The wavelength of the isosbestic point was also determined from these mixing experiments. Both these protocols gave similar values within experimental errors. In fluorescence C_b was calculated from the relation $C_b = C_t (I - I_o) / I_c$ $(Vo-1)I_o$, where C_t is the known total alkaloid concentration, I is the observed fluorescence, I_o is the fluorescence intensity of the identical concentration of alkaloid in the absence of polynucleotides and V_o is the experimentally determined ratio of the fluorescence intensity of totally bound alkaloid to that of free alkaloid. Binding data obtained from spectrophotometric and spectrofluorimetric titration was cast into Scatchard plots of r/C_f versus r, where the binding ratio r is defined as, $r = C_b / [DNA]_{total}$. Scatchard plot was analyzed for cooperative and non cooperative binding using the following equations of McGhee and von Hippel [68]

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{C}_{\rm f}} = K_i (1 - \mathrm{nr}) \times \left(\frac{(2\omega + 1)(1 - \mathrm{nr}) + (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R})}{2(\omega - 1)(1 - \mathrm{nr})}\right)^{(n-1)} \\ \left(\frac{1 - (n+1)\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}}{2(1 - \mathrm{nr})}\right)^2 \tag{2}$$

$$r/C_{\rm f} = K_{\rm i}(1-nr)[(1-nr)/\{1-(n-1)r\}]^{(n-1)}$$
 (3)

respectively, where, $\mathbf{R} = \{[1-(n+1) r]^2 + 4\omega r (1-nr)\}^{1/2}$, K_i is the intrinsic binding constant to an isolated binding site, n is the number of base pairs excluded by the binding of a single ligand

molecule and ω is cooperative factor. The binding data were analyzed using the Origin 7.0 (Origin Lab Corporation, Southampton, MA, USA) software to determine the best-fit parameters to Eq. (1) and (2).

Determination of quantum yield by fluorescence spectroscopy

Quantum yield calculations were made according to the equation of Parker and Rees (1960) as described earlier. [69]

$$\phi_{\rm s} = (F_{\rm s} \varepsilon_{\rm q} C_{\rm q} x 0.55) / (F_{\rm q} \cdot \varepsilon_{\rm s} C_{\rm s}) \tag{4}$$

where F is the integrated area of the fluorescence emission curve in arbitrary unit, ϵ represents the molar extinction coefficient and C represents the molar concentration of sample (s) and quinine sulphate (q) respectively. Quinine sulphate in 0.1 N $\rm H_2SO_4$ was utilized as reference standard for quantum yield measurements.

UV melting measurements

Melting curves were recorded on a Jasco V- 630 unit equipped with the peltier controlled Jasco PAC-743 model accessory (Jasco International Co. Ltd. Tokyo, Japan) as described earlier [24]. In a typical experiment, the polymer sample (20 μ M) was mixed with varying concentrations of the drug in the degassed buffer in the micro optical cuvettes of 10 cm path length and the temperature of the microcell accessory was raised at a heating rate of 0.5°C/ minutes while continuously monitoring the absorbance change at 260 nm. Melting curves gave the melting temperature, T_m , the midpoint temperature of the drug bound polymer unfolding process and are average of three experiments.

Solution viscometric study

For viscometric experiments, samples of linear duplex polynucleotides were sonicated in a Labsonic 2000 sonicator (B. Braun, Swiss) by using a needle probe as described earlier [36,69]. After sonication, DNA samples were extensively dialyzed against the buffer under sterile conditions. Viscosity measurements revealed that the polynucleotide samples after sonication had an average size of 270 ± 40 base pairs. A Cannon-Manning Type 75 semimicro viscometer mounted vertically in a constant temperature bath (Cannon Instruments Co., State College, PA, USA) maintained at $25\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C as described previously was used for viscosity studies. Flow times were measured using an electronic stopwatch model HS-30W (Casio Computer Co. Ltd., Japan) with an accuracy of ± 0.01 s. Relative viscosities for DNA in either the presence or absence of the alkaloids were calculated from the relation

$$\frac{\eta' sp}{\eta sp} = \frac{\left[\frac{t_{complex} - t'_o}{t'_o}\right]}{\left[\frac{t_{control} - t_o}{t_o}\right]}$$
(5)

where, $\eta'_{\rm sp}$ and $\eta_{\rm sp}$ are specific viscosities of the alkaloid-nucleic acid complex and the nucleic acid respectively, $t_{\rm complex}, t_{\rm control}, t'_{\rm o}$ and $t_{\rm o}$ are the average flow times for the complex, free nucleic acid, solvent for the complex and solvent for the free nucleic acid respectively.

Molecular docking

Rigid body molecular docking calculations were accomplished using AutoDock-vina program (version 1.1.2) from the Scripps Research Institute [70]. Autodock-vina performs faster and more accurate docking calculations than autodock [71-73]. Autodock-Vina has been used earlier for ligand-DNA docking studies [58]. In rigid-body docking method, the DNA structure remains rigid while the ligand remains flexible. This enables the ligand to explore possible binding poses within a specified area on the receptor. To utilize the intercalation cavity in both the PDB files, the original ligands contained in the PDB files were removed manually using MOE (molecular operating environment) program. The resulting DNA structures were then energy minimized in MOE program by using AMBER99 force field with a tether weight of 10 on heavy atoms to obtain modified DNA duplexes having an empty intercalation cavity. Before docking, the receptor and ligand coordinate files were converted into PDBOT format using MGLTools (version 1.5.4). For docking calculations with the modified 4BZV, a 3-dimensional grid box of $28 \times 30 \times 28$ was prepared with a grid spacing of 0.375 Å. The grid was centered on coordinates x = 28.929, y = 33.513, z = 34.682. In the case of the modified 1G3X, the grid box dimension was $38 \times 24 \times 48$ and the grid box center was at x = 57.642, y = 52.855, z = 58.635 with a grid spacing of 0.375 Å. For each docking calculation, 20 different poses were requested within the energy range of 2 kcal/mol. Due to the large search space volume, the exhaustiveness of the calculation was increased to 16 which is the 2 times the default value in autodock-vina. All other parameters were kept at their default values. The analysis and representation of docked poses were performed using Discovery Studio Visualizer from Accelrys Inc. and UCSF Chimera programs.

Circular dichroism studies

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded on a Jasco J815 spectropolarimeter (Jasco International Co. Ltd.) attached with a temperature controller and temperature programmer (model PFD 425 L/15) interfaced to a PC. For monitoring the conformational changes in the CD (210–500 nm regions) a constant concentration of the DNA sample (65 μ M) was titrated with increasing concentration of the alkaloids in rectangular quartz cell of 1 cm path length. The molar ellipticity values [θ] were expressed in terms of per nucleotide phosphate (210–500 nm regions).

Isothermal titration calorimetry

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments were performed on a GE Microcal ITC 200, (Northampton, USA) microcalorimeter as described earlier [24]. Origin 7.0 software was used for data acquisition and manipulation. ITC data provided K_b , the binding constant, ΔH^o , enthalpy change and ΔS^o , the entropy change values. Each experiment was repeated three times and the error value that reflects the standard deviations among the different runs was always less that 10%.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 A plot of change of relative specific viscosity

of ($\bullet - \Phi$) poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC), ($\blacksquare - \blacksquare$) poly(dA-dT).poly(dA-dT), ($\square - \square$) poly(dA).poly(dT) and ($\circ - \circ$) poly(dG).poly(dC) with increasing concentration of harmalol in 15 mM CP buffer, pH 6.8 at 25±0.5°C. The specific viscosity was calculated from equation (5) described in section 2.9. The concentration of each of the polynucleotide was 450 μ M, respectively.

(TIF)

Acknowledgments

KB is indebted to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India for the financial support (Ref. No. 37 (1538)/12/ EMR-II). SS is supported by grants from the CSIR project. Authors are also grateful to DST-PURSE 2013-14 for their partial financial support. The authors thank Prof. Gopal Chakraborty, University of Calcutta, DBT-CU-IPLS Core facility, for providing ITC facilities.

References

- Hurley LH (2001) Secondary DNA structures as molecular targets for cancer therapeutics. Biochem Soc Trans 29: 692–696.
- Dervan PB (2001) Molecular recognition of DNA by small molecules. Bioorg Med Chem 9: 2215–2235.
- Neidle S (2001) DNA minor-groove recognition by small-molecules. Nat Prod Rep 18: 291–309.
- Maiti M, Suresh Kumar G (2007) Molecular aspects on the interaction of protoberberine, benzophenanthridine and aristolochia group of alkaloids with nucleic acid structures and biological perspectives. Med Res Rev 27: 649–695.
- Bhadra K, Suresh Kumar G (2011) Therapeutic potential of nucleic acid binding isoquinoline alkaloids: Binding aspects and implications for drug design. Med Res Rev 31: 821–862.
- Cao R, Peng W, Wang Z, Xu A (2007) β-Carboline alkaloids: Biochemical and pharmacological functions. Curr Med Chem 14: 479–500.
- Patel K, Gadewar M, Tripathi R, Prasad SK, Patel DK (2012) A review on medicinal importance, pharmacological activity and bioanalytical aspects of βcarboline alkaloid "Harmine". Asian Pacific J Trop Biomed 2: 660–664.
- Tweedie DJ, Burke MD (1986) Metabolism of the β-carbolines, harmine and harmol, by liver microsomes from phenobarbitone or 3-methylcholantrenetreated mice; identification and quantitation of two novel harmine metabolites. Drug Metab Dispos 15: 74–82.
- Kim H, Sablin SO, Ramsay RR (1997) Inhibition of monoamine oxidase A by β-carboline de rivates. Arch Biochem Biophys 337: 137–142.
- Glennon RA, Dukat M, Brella B, Hong SS, Constantino L, et al. (2000) Binding of β-carbolines and relating agents at serotonin (5-HT₂ and 5-HT_{1A}) dopamine (D₂) and benzodiazepines receptors. Drug Alcohol Depend 60: 121–132.
- 11. Funayama Y, Nishi K, Wakabayashi K, Nagao M, Simio K, et al. (1996) Effects of β and γ carboline derivatives on DNA topoisomerase activities. Mutat Res 349: 183–191.
- De Meester C (1995) Genotoxic potential of b-carbolines: a review. Mutat Res 339: 139–153.
- Taira Z, Kanzawas S, Dohara C, Ishida S, Matsumoto M, et al. (1997) Intercalation of six beta-carboline derivatives into DNA. Jpn J Toxocol Environ Health 43: 83–91.
- Remsen JF, Cerutti PA (1979) Inhibition of DNA-repair and DNA synthesis by Harman in human alveolar tumor cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 86: 135–149.
- Lamchouri F, Settaf A, Cherrah Y, Zemzami M, Lyoussi B, et al. (1999) Antitumor principles from *Paganum harmala* seeds. Therapy 54: 753–758.
- Uezono T, Maruyama W, Matsubara K, Naoi M, Shimizu K, et al. (2001) Norharman an indoleamine-derived betacarboline, but not Trp-P-2, a gammacarboline, induces apoptotic cell death in human neuroblantoma SH-SY5Y cells. J Neural Transm 108: 943–953.
- Hayashi K, Nagao M, Sugimura T (1977) Interactions of norharman and harman with DNA. Nucl Acids Res 4: 3679–3685.
- Pezzuto JM, Lau PP, Luh Y, Moore PD, Wogan GN, et al. (1980) There is a correlation between the DNA affinity and mutagenicity of several 3-amino-1methyl-5H-pyrido[4,3-b]indoles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 77: 1427–1431.
- Duportail G (1981) Linear and circular dichroism of harmine and harmaline interacting with DNA. Inter J Biol Macro 3: 188–192.
- Xiao S, Lin W, Wang C, Yang M (2001) Synthesis and biological evaluation of DNA targeting flexible side-chain substituted β-carboline derivatives. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 11: 437–441.
- Du W, Cao R, Peng W, Chen H, Ma Y, et al. (2005) DNA binding properties of 9-substituted harmine derivatives. Biochem Biophys Res Comm 338: 1557– 1563.
- 22. Guan H, Liu X, Peng W, Cao R, Ma Y, et al. (2006) β -carboline derivatives: Novel photosensitizers that intercalate into DNA to cause direct DNA damage in photodynamic therapy. Biochem Biophys Res Comm 342: 894–901
- 23. Nafisi S, Bonsaii M, Maali P, Khalilzadeh MA, Manouchehri F (2010) β carboline alkaloids bind DNA. J Photochem Photobiol B Biol 100: 84–91.
- Sarkar S, Bhadra K (2014) Binding of alkaloid harmalol to DNA: Photophysical and calorimetric approach. J Photochem Photobiol B Biol 130: 272–280.
- Ren J, Chaires JB (1999) Sequence and structural selectivity of nucleic acid binding ligands. Biochemistry 38: 16067–16075.
- Chaires JB (2005) Competition dialysis: an assay to measure the structural selectivity of drug-nucleic acid interactions. Curr Med Chem Anti Cancer Agents 5: 339–352.
- Chaires JB (1983) Equilibrium studies on the interaction of daunomycin with deoxypolynucleotides. Biochemistry 22: 4204–4211.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KB SS. Performed the experiments: SS KB. Analyzed the data: SS KB PP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: KB. Wrote the paper: KB. Molecular docking/modelling: PP.

- Graves DE, Krugh TR (1983) Adriamycin and daunorubicin bind in a cooperative manner to deoxyribonucleic acid. Biochemistry 22: 3941–3947.
- Wilson WD, Wang YH, Krishnamoorty CR, Smith JC (1985) Poly(dA). poly(dT) exists in an unusual conformation under physiological conditions: propidium binding to poly(dA).poly(dT) and poly[d(A-T)].poly[d(A-T)]. Biochemistry 24: 3991–3999.
- Wadkin RM, Graves DE (1989) Thermodynamics of the interactions of m-AMSA and o-AMSA with nucleic acids: influence of ionic strength and DNA base composition. Nucleic Acids Res 17: 9933–9946.
- Scaria PV, Shafer RH (1991) Binding of ethidium bromide to a DNA triple helix. Evidence for intercalation. J Biol Chem 266: 5417–5423.
- Jones RL, Zon G, Krishnamoorty CR, Wilson WD (1986) Sequence dependent cooperative interactionsin A/T – containing oligo-and polydeoxyribonucleotides. Biochemistry 25: 7431–7439.
- Marky LA Jr, Macgregor RB (1990) Hydration of dA.dT polymers: role of water in the thermodynamics of ethidium and propidium intercalation. Biochemistry 29: 4805–4811.
- Rosenberg LS, Carvlin MJ, Krugh TR (1986) The antitumor agent mitoxantrone binds cooperatively to DNA: evidence for heterogeneity in DNA conformation, Biochemistry 25: 1002–1008.
- Elmore RH, Wadkin RM, Graves DE (1988) Cooperative binding of m-AMSA to nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Res 16: 9707–9719.
- Bhadra K, Maiti M, Suresh Kumar G (2007) Molecular recognition of DNA by small molecules: AT base pair specific intercalative binding of cytotoxic plant alkaloid palmatine. Biochim Biophys Acta 1770: 1071–1080.
- Bhadra K, Maiti M, Suresh G (2008) Berberine-DNA complexation: New insights into the cooperative binding and energetic aspects. Biochim Biophys Acta 1780: 1054–1061.
- Hogan M, Dattagupta N, Crothers DM (1979) Trasmission of allosteric effects in DNA. Nature 278: 521–524.
- Qu X, Trent JO, Fokt I, Priebe W, Chaires JB (2000) Allosteric, chiral-selective drug binding to DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97: 12032–12037.
- Saenger W (1993) Principles of Nucleic Acid Structures, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- Bohne C, Faulhaber K, Giese B, Häfner A, Hofmann A, et al. (2005) Studies on the mechanism of the photo-induced DNA damage in the presence of acridizinium salts-involvement of singlet oxygen and an unusual source for hydroxyl radicals. J Am Chem Soc, 127: 76–85
- Basu P, Kumar GS (2014) Elucidation of the DNA binding specificity of the natural plant alkaloid chelerythrine: A biophysical approach. J. Photchem Photobiol B: Biol, 138: 282–294.
- Waring MJ (1981) DNA modification and cancer. Ann Rev Biochem 50: 159– 192.
- Neidle S, Waring MJ (1981) Molecular Aspects of Anticancer Action, Macmillan Press Ltd., Basingstoke, U.K.
- 45. Islam MM, Roy Chowdhury S, Suresh Kumar G (2009) Spectroscopic and calorimetric studies on the binding of alkaloids berberine, palmatine and coralyne to double stranded RNA polynucleotides. J Phys Chem B 113: 1210– 1224.
- Brien R O', Haq I, Applications of biocalorimetry: binding, stability and enzyme kinetics, in: Ladbury JE, Doyle M (Eds.), Biocalorimetry, Wiley John and Sons Ltd, West Sussex, England, 2004.
- Saboury AA (2006) A review of the ligand binding studies by isothermal titration calorimetry. J Iranian Chem Soc 3: 1–21.
- Buurma NJ, Haq I (2007) Advances in the analysis of isothermal titration calorimetry data for ligand-DNA interactions. Methods 42: 162–172.
- Breslauer KJ, Remeta DP, Chou WY, Ferrante R, Curry J, et al. (1987) Enthalpy-entropy compensations in drug-DNA binding studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 84: 8922–8926.
- Herrera JE, Chaires JB (1989) A premelting conformational transition in poly(dA).poly(dT) coupled to daunomycin binding. Biochemistry 28: 1993–2000.
- Guthrie KM, Parenty ADC, Smith LV, Cromin L, Cooper A (2007) Microcalorimetry of interaction of dihydro-imidazo-phenanthridinium (DIP) based compounds with duplex DNA. Biophys Chem 126: 117–123.
- Chaires JB (1998) Energetics of drug-DNA interactions. Biopolymers 44: 201-215.
- Haq I (2002) Thermodynamics of drug-DNA interactions Part II: the thermodynamics of drug-biopolymers interaction. Arch Biochem Biophys 403: 1–15.
- Ren J, Jenkins TC, Chaires JB (2000) Energetics of DNA intercalation reactions. Biochemistry 39: 8439–8447.

- Ha JH, Soplar RS Jr, Record MT (1989) Role of the hydrophobic effect in stability of site-specific protein-DNA complexes. J Mol Biol 209: 801–816.
- Hossain M, Giri P, Suresh Kumar G (2007) DNA intercalation by quinacrine and methylene blue: a comparative binding and thermodynamic characterization study. DNA Cell Biol doi: 10.1089/dna.2007.0652.
- Pandya P, Islam MM, Kumar GS, Jayaram B, Kumar S (2010) DNA minor groove binding of small molecules: Experimental and computational evidence. J Chem Sci 122: 247–257.
- Islam MM, Chakraborty M, Pandya P, Al Masum A, Gupta N, et al. (2013) Binding of DNA with Rhodamine B: Spectroscopic and molecular modeling studies. Dyes and Pigments 99: 412–422.
- El Gendy MAM, Soshilov AA, Denison MS, El Kadi AOS (2012) Harmaline and harmalol inhibit the carcinogen activating enzyme CYP1A1 via transcriptional and posttranslational mechanisms. Food Chem Toxicol 50: 353–362.
- Moura DL, Richter MF, Boeira JM, Henriques JAP, Saffi J (2007) Antioxidant properties of betacrboline alkaloids are related to antimutagenic and antigenotoxic activities. Mutagenesis 22: 293–302.
- M. Butler, Animal cell culture and technology, 2nd Edition, Taylor and Francis Publishers, 2004.
- Biondic CM, Balsells RE (1993) Photochemical reaction of harmalol. Part2. Electronicspectra. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2: 887–903.
- Alomer M L, Gonzalez MM, Balsells RE, Cabrerizo FM. (2014) Comment on "Binding of alkaloid harmalol to DNA: Photophysical and calorimetric approach. J Photochem Photobiol B: Biol 136: 26–28.
- 64. Sen A, Ray A, Maiti M (1996) Thermodynamics of the interactions of sanguinarine with DNA: influence of ionic strength and base composition. Biophys Chem 59: 155–170.

- Mosmann T (1983) Rapid calorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods 65: 55– 63
- Tang F, Wang D, Wuan C, Uuang D, Wu Y, et al. (2009) Berberine inhibits metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma 5-8F cells by targeting Rho kinase mediated Ezrin phosphorylation at threonine 567. J Biol Chem 284: 27456– 27466.
- Chaires JB, Dattagupta N, Crothers DM (1982) Studies on interaction of anthracyclin antibiotics and deoxyribonucleic acid: equilibrium binding studies on interaction of daunomycin with deoxyribonucleic acid. Biochemistry 21: 3933–3940.
- McGhee JD, von Hippel PH (1974) Theoretical aspects of DNA-protein interactions: cooperative and non-cooperative binding of large ligands to onedimensional homogeneous lattice. J Mol Biol 86: 469–489.
- 69. Sinha R, Islam MM, Bhadra K, Suresh Kumar G, Banerjee A, et al. (2006) The binding of DNA intercalating and non-intercalating compounds to A-form and protonated form of poly(rC). poly(rG): Spectroscopic and viscometric study. Bioorg Med Chem 14: 800–814.
- Trott O, Olson A (2010) Auto Dock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. J Comp Chem 31: 455–461.
- 71. Seeliger D, De Groot BL (2010) Ligand docking and binding site analysis with PyMOL and Autodock/Vina. J Comput Aided Mol Des 24: 417–422.
- Chang MW, Ayeni C, Breuer S, Torbett BE (2010) Virtual Screening for HIV Protease Inhibitors: A Comparison of AutoDock 4 and Vina, PLoS ONE 5: e11955.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011955.
- Takatsuka Y, Chen C, Nikaido H (2010) Mechanism of recognition of compounds of diverse structures by the multidrug efflux pump AcrB of Escherichia coli. PNAS (USA) 107: 6559–6565.