RESEARCH

Open Access

The effect of vertical bracket positioning on torque and the resultant stress in the periodontal ligament—a finite element study

Ahmadreza Sardarian¹, Shahla Momeni danaei², Shoaleh Shahidi³, Sahar Ghodsi Boushehri¹ and Allahyar Geramy^{4*}

Abstract

Background: The ideal built-in tip and torque values of the straight wire appliance reduce the need for wire bending and hence reduce chair time. The vertical position of the bracket on the tooth surface can alter the torque exerted on the tooth. This is a result of the altered surface curvature observed at each vertical position. To further clarify the role of vertical bracket positioning on the applied torque and the resultant stresses in the periodontal ligament (PDL), we designed a mandibular first premolar using finite element modeling.

Methods: Cone beam computed tomography of 52 patients (83 lower first premolars) was selected to be included in the study. Curvature was measured for points along the labial surface with increasing distances (0.5 mm increments) from the cusp tip by calculating the angle between tangents drawn from these points and the axis joining the cusp tip and the root apex. The mean values for each distance were calculated, and a finite element model was designed incorporating these mean values. The resultant stress and hydrostatic pressure in the PDL were calculated using finite element analysis.

Results: The labial surface of the mandibular first premolar demonstrated a 26.39° change from 2.5 to 6 mm from the cusp tip. The maximum Von-Mises stress and hydrostatic pressure in the PDL were observed at the root apex for all of the bracket positions, and these values demonstrated, respectively, a change of up to 0.059 and 0.186 MPa between two successive points.

Conclusions: It can be concluded that the variation in the vertical position of the bracket can have an important effect on the torque and subsequently on the stresses and pressures in the PDL.

Background

Angle introduced the edgewise system based on a 3dimensional tooth control obtained by engaging a rectangular wire into a bracket with a rectangular slot [1]. Andrews, taking advantage of the control offered by the edgewise system, advocated the use of the straight wire appliance (SWA) [2]. The mainstay of the SWA is the omission of tedious archwire bending by using toothspecific brackets incorporating key attributes governing the final tooth position [3,4]. Considering that SWA brackets contain the necessary information for the desired tooth position (i.e., tip, torque, height, and rotation) [5], the only variables are tooth morphology and the position of the bracket on the surface of the tooth. While acknowledging the numerous benefits of the SWA, years of clinical practice and experience have shown that the need for archwire bending is yet to be completely eliminated [6,7]. Errors in bracket placement will lead to discrepancies in the final tooth positions [8]. Vertical bracket positioning and its effect on torque and incisor inclination have been the subject of several studies [9-12]. As much as 10° variation in torque has been observed when bracket placement differed by 1 mm [12]. Even if bracket attachment is performed perfectly, the variation in tooth morphology would render any prescription insufficient in obtaining ideal tooth positions [8].

Root resorption, a sequel of orthodontic treatment, has been linked to the amount of torque applied to a tooth [13-15]. Torqueing of a tooth displaces the root apex horizontally which has been shown to induce root resorption



© 2014 Geramy et al; licensee Springer. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

^{*} Correspondence: gueramya@yahoo.com

⁴Department of Orthodontics, Dental Research Center, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, North Kargar Street, Tehran 14399-55991, Iran Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

[16]. Studies evaluating the relationship between torqueing force and root resorption arrived at the same conclusion, that is, higher torque magnitudes result in elevated resorption [13,15].

In the present study, we chose to assess vertical bracket positioning on the mandibular first premolar as these teeth have been shown to posses the highest values for labial surface curvature (excluding mandibular molars) [12]. Therefore, slight errors in bracket placement could lead to a more significant change compared to other teeth (incisors, canines), which demonstrates a flatter labial surface. Another reason for the evaluation of mandibular premolars is that often, there is an increased overbite in this area, and there is a tendency to bond the bracket further gingivally in order to prevent contact with the opposing teeth. This is likely to result in undesired torque forces due to the labial surface curvature of the premolars.

We aimed to design a finite element model of a mandibular first premolar with a labial surface incorporating the mean curvature derived from a sample of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images. To our knowledge, this method has not been reported previously. Using this model, we will calculate the variable torque due to vertical bracket positioning and the resultant stresses in the periodontal ligament (PDL).

Methods

Sample

A total of 52 CBCTs containing both jaws obtained with a New Tom VGi (Quantitative Radiology, Verona, Italy) at 3.05 mA, 110 kV, and an exposure time of 3.6 s with a voxel dimension of 125 µm were selected randomly for measurement of labial surface curvature. The CBCT images had been obtained for nonorthodontic reasons. The inclusion criteria for the CBCT images were radiographies obtained from healthy individuals who were 15 to 30 years old, who did not suffer from any syndrome known to cause altered tooth morphology, and who had a normal class I occlusion. Furthermore, the CBCT views were discarded if the mandibular first premolar was affected by caries, had restorations, was subjected to severe attrition or fractured, and if it possessed an abnormal or dilacerated root. After the application of the exclusion criteria, 83 premolars were left to be included in the study.

Radiographic measurements

A section was made passing through the mesio-distal center of the crown. On this section, tangents were constructed from points on the labial surface of the tooth with increasing vertical distances (0.5 mm increments) from the cusp tip. The angle between each tangent and the axis connecting the cusp tip and the apex and also the angle between the mentioned axis and the true vertical were calculated (Figure 1). All the measurements were made using NNT viewer version 2.21 (Quantitative Radiology, Verona, Italy). The measurements were performed twice by a board-certified oromaxillofacial radiologist at a 3-month interval.

Statistical analysis

Intra-observer reliability was determined using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Mean curvature for each point on the labial surface was calculated. All the statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences for Windows 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Finite element modeling

Ten 3-dimensional finite element models of the mandible were designed in SolidWorks 2006 (300 Baker Ave. Concord, MA, USA). The models contained a mandibular first premolar, its PDL, and the surrounding cortical and cancellous bones (Figure 2). The labial surface of the tooth was modeled in a way to represent the study sample. This was accomplished by aligning the axis connecting the cusp tip and the root apex vertically and modeling a curve best fitting the obtained mean values of surface curvature. Other dimensions of the tooth including crown height (8.5 mm), root length (14 mm), buccolingual width (7.5 mm), and mesiodistal width of the crown (7 mm) were designed in concordance to Wheeler's text on dental anatomy [17]. The PDL was designed with a uniform thickness of 0.25 mm. An 0.022-in. stainless steel bracket was designed, incorporating Roth's prescription for torque of the mandibular first premolar (-17°). The bracket was 'attached' to the tooth surface, and composite was added to fill the gaps between the bracket and the tooth. The bracket's vertical position initiated at 1.5 mm (distance between the center of the slot and the cusp tip) which was the most occlusal distance that provided a good fit between the bracket base and the tooth surface. The bracket was then displaced 4.5 mm gingivally in 0.5-mm increments between subsequent models. A 19 × 25 stainless steel wire was engaged into the bracket, and the distance from the corners of the wire to the horizontal plane was calculated in the designing software. This measurement was later used for statistical analysis in the ANSYS Workbench 11.0 (South Pointe, 275 Technology Drive, Cononsburg PA, USA). The elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio were defined for the different entities of the model based on the previous studies [14,18] (Table 1). The number of elements (10-node-quadratic tetrahedron) for the tooth and PDL was 16,386 and 18,156, and the number of nodes was 33,345 and 36,318, respectively (Figure 2). As the boundary condition, all nodes at the base of each model were restricted from displacements in all directions. Geometric nonlinearities in the PDL were allowed following the application of force. Each analysis consisted of



Figure 1 Measurements of the angles. (A) Measurement of the angle between the axis connecting the apex and the cusp tip of the mandibular first premolar and the true vertical. As can be observed, sections were made buccolingually, and the section pertaining to the mesiodistal width of the tooth was used. (B) Measurement of the labial surface curvature. The arrow indicates the axis connecting the cusp tip to the apex. The horizontal lines are 0.5 mm apart. The measurement of the angle is performed between the axis of the tooth and tangents drawn at the intersection of the horizontal lines and the labial surface.

eight displacements: four in the left extreme and four in the opposite side extreme of the wire. The displacements applied were calculated trigonometrically using Solid-Works. The rectangular wire was placed in an angulated position when inserted into the bracket in complete contact with the walls of the slot. Then the displacements were applied to rotate the wire to a horizontal position. This method of calculation takes into account the play that exists between the bracket and wire. The vertical position of the bracket and wire was matched so that no vertical activation of the wire occurred. This setting could relate to the clinical circumstances because rectangular stainless steel wires are applied after leveling has been obtained using more resilient wires initially. The Von-Mises stress and also the hydrostatic pressure (defined as $S_1 + S_2 + S_3/3$) in the PDL of the tooth was calculated for the various bracket positions.

Results

Buccal surface curvature

The ICC was used to determine the agreement between the two measurements made by the OMFR. The results demonstrated an excellent agreement of 0.92 (95% confidence interval, 0.89–0.95). The average buccal surface curvature at variable distances (0.5 to 6 mm) from the incisal edge is presented in Figure 3. The values correspond



to the angle between the tangent to the buccal surface at each distance and the long axis of the tooth (Table 2).

The buccal surface curvature had the most variability at 4 mm from the incisal edge with the maximum and minimum values measured at 48.9° and 25.6°, respectively. Between 2.5 and 6 mm, the buccal surface curvature decreases steadily, although between 4 and 4.5 mm and also between 4.5 and 5 mm, a larger change was observed. A total difference of 26.39° exists between 2 and

Table 1 Parameters for the mechanical properties of tooth,spongy bone, cortical bone, PDL, and stainless steel

	Young's modulus (MPa)	Poisson's ratio
Enamel	84,100	0.33
Dentin	18,600	0.30
Alveolar bone	490	0.30
Cortical bone	14,700	0.30
PDL	0.1	0.45
Stainless steel	200,000	0.30
Composite	2,140	0.31

6 mm from the incisal edge (Figure 3). The mean inclination of the axis connecting the apex and the cusp tip relative to the true vertical line was 12.1°.

Stress and strain at the composite interface

Following the virtual engagement of the wire into the slot of the bracket the bracket, the stress and strain occurring in the composite interface were calculated. Figure 4 demonstrates these values for the variable distances of bracket placement from the cusp tip. The stress reduces gradually as the distance increases from the most occlusal position of the bracket. A minimum stress of 8.7 MPa was recorded at 5 mm. Further increase in the distance of the bracket from the cusp tip resulted in increasing levels of stress, reaching a maximum of 57.5 MPa at 6 mm. The strain levels demonstrated a similar pattern to those observed for stress. The minimum strain was also observed at 5 mm (0.00074), while the maximum strain occurred at 6 mm (0.0035). The torque exerted on the tooth following the engagement of the wire was calculated (Table 3). The lowest torque was at 5 mm from the cusp tip. Displacing the bracket 1 mm occlusally



or gingivally alters the torque applied to the tooth by 23 and 71 Nmm, respectively.

Root displacement

The root displacement of the mandibular first molar subjected to torque was measured at selected points (Figure 5). Negative values pertain to movements in the buccal direction. Minimum displacement is observed at a similar distance (point 3 on the root, about 4 mm from the CEJ) for all of the bracket positions which gives assurance about the soundness of the model and the obtained results.

Stress in the PDL

The Von-Mises stress in the PDL surface adjacent to the roots was calculated for the various bracket distances (Figure 5). The highest stress was observed at the root

apex regardless of the level of the bracket. The stress in the PDL at the level of the root apex was largest when the bracket was placed at 6 mm followed by 1.5 and 2 mm (Table 4).

Similar to Von-Mises stress, hydrostatic pressure was also maximum at the tooth apex in the direction of root displacement. The highest pressure was measured when the bracket was placed at 6 mm and the lowest at 5. The difference in the maximum hydrostatic pressure between subsequent bracket distances was calculated. The highest difference was between 5.5 and 6 followed by 5 and 5.5 mm from the cusp tip (Table 4).

Discussion

During the course of this study, a mandibular first premolar was designed, incorporating a labial surface curvature in concordance with a population of individuals

Table 2 Buccal surface curvature at variable distances from the cusp tip of first mandibular premolars

Distance (mm)	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum-Minimum	Mean (SD)	Δ Mean
0.5	47.1	26.1	21	36.73 (5.2)	
1	45.5	30.1	15.4	39.81 (4.2)	-3.08
1.5	48	34.7	13.3	42.67 (4)	-2.87
2	49.3	37.9	11.4	44.3 (4.2)	-1.63
2.5	49.6	29	20.6	42.7 (5)	1.62
3	49.1	29	20.1	39.99 (6.1)	2.69
3.5	46.7	26.4	20.3	36.91 (6.4)	3.09
4	48.9	25.6	23.3	32.92 (6.8)	3.99
4.5	44.5	23.8	20.7	28.8 (6.2)	4.12
5	38.1	19.7	18.4	24.2 (5.4)	4.6
5.5	31.5	15.8	17.7	21.51 (5.7)	2.69
6	29.7	14.1	15.6	18.91 (6.3)	2.6

 Δ Mean, the difference between the mean surface curvatures of two subsequent vertical distances.



bracket and the labial surface of the mandibular second premolar.

Table 3 Torque exerted on the tooth for brackets atdifferent vertical distances from the cusp tip

Distance (mm)	Torque (Nmm) ^a	Δ Torque
1.5	-30.186	
2	-28.557	1.629
2.5	-27.11	1.447
3	-26.312	0.798
3.5	-22.879	3.433
4	-19.887	2.992
4.5	-8.559	11.328
5	4.588	3.971
5.5	29.306	-24.718
6	42.937	-28.631

^aPositive values demonstrate lingual crown torque. Δ Torque, difference of torque between two subsequent bracket positions.

with normal occlusion. Preadjusted edgewise brackets were then placed on the mentioned labial surface at variable distances from the cusp tip, and a full size archwire was virtually engaged into the bracket and the resultant torque, root displacement, and PDL stress were calculated.

The labial surface curvature was measured by calculating the angle between tangents at various vertical distances along this surface and a line extending from the cusp tip to the apex of the first mandibular premolar. This method is similar to the method advocated by Van Loenon et al. who measured the labial surface curvature of the maxillary central incisor and canine [11]. In their study they obtained a proximal radiograph from the teeth and digitized their images for measuring the angle between tangents to the labial surface and the long axis of the crown. We opted to use CBCT for the purpose of obtaining the proximal view and the measurements were done on the NNT viewer software. Using CBCT and direct measurement reduces errors regarding magnification, tooth orientation, and digitization of the images. Another method for the determination of labial surface



Table 4 Maximum Von-Mises stress and maximum hydrostatic pressure calculated for various bracket distances from the cusp tip

Distance (mm)	MVS (MPa)	Δ MVS	MHP (MPa)	Δ MHP	
1.5	0.0793		0.2749		
2	0.0633	0.016	0.259	0.0159	
2.5	0.0617	0.0016	0.253	0.006	
3	0.0496	0.0121	0.251	0.002	
3.5	0.0467	0.0029	0.238	0.013	
4	0.0445	0.0022	0.186	0.052	
4.5	0.0214	0.0231	0.105	0.081	
5	0.0124	0.009	0.058	0.047	
5.5	0.053	-0.0406	0.201	-0.143	
6	0.112	-0.059	0.387	-0.186	

MVS, maximum Von-Mises stress; Δ MVS, difference between MVP of two subsequent bracket positions; MHP, maximum hydrostatic pressure; Δ MHP, difference between MHP of two subsequent bracket positions.

curvature, which has been described by several authors, uses a device that engages in a standard edgewise bracket bonded on the tooth surface [19,20]. This method also has some room for error, as the trimming of the cast should be accurate to ensure parallelism of the occlusal plane and the base of the cast. Furthermore, as the horizontal plane is used as reference for determination of the curvature, another factor, namely, the inclination of the tooth in the jaw also influences the results. Using a reference line constructed by anatomic landmarks on the tooth itself reduces extrinsically introduced errors. We measured the inclination of the apex-cusp tip axis with the true vertical, and because it was observed that the axis is inclined buccally by 12.1°, by subtracting this value from the labial surface curvature values, we can arrive at numbers similar to those present in the literature [19-21]. The ICC value for intraobserver agreement in the present study was 0.92 (95% CI, 0.89–0.95), which demonstrates excellent agreement between the two measurements. This high intraobserver agreement has been previously reported by other authors investigating the reliability and repeatability of measurements based on CBCT images [22,23].

The displacement values obtained after the wire was virtually engaged in to the bracket slot represent the movement of the root of the tooth in the PDL. Looking at the displacement chart, the lines corresponding to the different vertical positions of the brackets all seem to cross at about 4 mm from the cementoenamel junction (CEJ), which is the location corresponding to the center of resistance in our model tooth. The Von-Mises stress values confirm the displacement data so that the highest stress is observed in the areas demonstrating the largest amounts of displacement. Again, the lowest stress values were measured at 4 mm from the CEJ. Analyzing the data, we can deduce that the least amount of stress at the root apex was measured when the bracket was bonded 5 mm from the cusp tip. By displacing the bracket gingivally from 5 to 6 mm, a ninefold increase occurs in the stress level measured at the root apex, while moving the bracket occlusally to 4 mm resulted in four times as much stress on the opposite side of the root. These results shine light on the importance of proper bracket positioning when using the straightwire appliance. In this study we used the Von-Mises stress defined as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $[(S1-S2)^2 + (S2-S2)^2(S3-S1)^2]^{0.5}$, which has been used in the previous studies to assess the stress environment of the PDL [24-26]. Subjecting a tooth to torque could have potential root resorbing side effects [13,14]. In a study by Hohmann et al., it was observed that torque applied to a tooth causes root resorption and that such resorption could be predicted using finite element analysis. In their study they opted to use hydrostatic pressure and concluded that when hydrostatic pressure surpassed 0.0047 MPa, the value corresponding to capillary blood pressure, root resorption occurs. We therefore calculated the hydrostatic pressure defined as S1 + S2 + S3/3, for the different vertical positions of the brackets to assess the probability of root resorption. The hydrostatic pressure values obtained from our study are much greater than those observed by Hohmann et al. when they subjected the tooth to 3 and 6 Nmm of torque. This can be explained by the fact that wire engagement in our study resulted in higher torque forces, the minimum of which was 9.59 Nmm with the bracket positioned 5 mm from the cusp tip. It has been claimed that torquing force of 5-20 Nmm is acceptable clinically [27], and based on the results of the present study, bonding the bracket just 1 mm more gingivally than the 5 mm point could result in torquing forces exceeding the mentioned amount. As demonstrated by Hohmann et al. and several other authors, significantly more root resorption occurs by increasing the torque exerted on the tooth [13-15].

Finally, considering the stress levels in the composite interface between the bracket and the tooth, they appear to change to critically large values by only moving the bracket 1 mm gingival or occlusal from the location where minimum stress levels were calculated (5 mm from the cusp tip). Shear bond strength values for orthodontic composite resins have been reported in literature, which consist of a wide array of values ranging from 5.9 to 25.5 MPa for metallic brackets [28,29]. While the numbers reported in the present study are Von-Mises stress values and therefore cannot be directly related to the shear bond strength values, they can demonstrate the possible effect of incorrect bracket positioning on increased debonding rate of brackets. There is room for future research regarding this hypothesis in laboratory and clinical settings.

The limitation of this study as with other finite element studies of tooth movement was the assumption that the PDL possesses isotropic and elastic behaviors. Also, the virtual mechanical properties of the material differ from their actual properties. Furthermore, in the present study the neighboring teeth were not taken into account for the analysis, and it was assumed that brackets bonded on them were at the same level of the modeled tooth. Although this assumption can be justified by the fact that stainless steel archwires modeled in this study are usually inserted in the clinic once NiTi wires level the brackets. It is obvious that the position of the bracket on the adjacent teeth will have a significant effect on the results. Also, variations in the torque resulting from altered vertical bracket positions could negatively affect root resorption and debonding rate of orthodontic brackets. We suggest that future studies address the mentioned points and also analyze different wire sizes and archwire material.

Conclusions

We can conclude that preadjusted brackets can produce a variable amount of torque depending on the vertical positioning of the bracket and its relation to tooth morphology. We have also quantified the magnitude of the alterations in the stress felt by the PDL resulting from the changes in vertical bracket positioning.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AS designed the study, took part in data acquisition, drafted, and revised the manuscript. SM was involved in data acquisition and critical review of the manuscript. SS performed the radiologic measurements and analysis and also drafted the manuscript. SG was involved in data interpretation and drafting of the manuscript. AG performed the finite element modeling and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the vice-chancellery of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences and the Orthodontics Research Center for supporting and funding this study. This manuscript was based on the postgraduate thesis of Dr. Sardarian (Grant number: 6460).

Author details

¹Student Research Commitee, Department of Orthodontics, Orthodontics Research Center, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz 71956-15878, Iran. ²Department of Orthodontics, Orthodontics Research Center, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz 71956-15878, Iran. ³Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology, Biomaterial Research Center, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz 71956-15878, Iran. ⁴Department of Orthodontics, Dental Research Center, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, North Kargar Street, Tehran 14399-55991, Iran.

Received: 13 May 2014 Accepted: 9 July 2014 Published online: 22 August 2014

References

 Angle EH. The latest and best in orthodontic mechanism. Dent Cosmos. 1928; 70:1143–58.

- Andrews LF. The straight-wire appliance explained and compared. J Clin Orthod. 1976; 10:174–95.
- 3. Taylor NG, Cook PA. The reliability of positioning pre-adjusted brackets: an in vitro study. Br J Orthod. 1992; 19:25–34.
- Creekmore TD, Kunik RL. Straight wire: the next generation. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 1993; 104:8–20.
- Carlson SK, Johnson E. Bracket positioning and resets: five steps to align crowns and roots consistently. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 2001; 119:76–80.
- Miethke RR, Melsen B. Effect of variation in tooth morphology and bracket position on first and third order correction morphology with pre-adjusted appliances. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop.* 1999; 116:329–35.
- Armstrong D, Shen G, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. A comparison of accuracy in bracket positioning between two techniques—localizing the centre of the incisal crown and measuring the distance from the incisal edge. Eur J Orthod. 2007; 29:430–36.
- Suarez C, Vilar T. The effect of constant height bracket placement on marginal ridge levelling using digitized models. *Eur J Orthod.* 2010; 32:100–05.
- Meyer M, Nelson G. Preadjusted edgewise appliance, theory and practice. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 1978; 73:485.
- Germane N, Bentley BE, Isaacson RJ. Three biologic variables modifying faciolingual tooth angulation by straightwire appliances. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 1989; 96:312–19.
- 11. Van Loenen M, Degrieck J, De Pauw G, Dermaut L. Anterior tooth morphology and its effect on torque. *Eur J Orthod*. 2005; 27:258–62.
- 12. Mestriner MA, Enoki C, Mucha JN. Normal torque of the buccal surface of mandibular teeth and its relationship with bracket positioning: a study in normal occlusion. *Braz Dent J.* 2006; **17:**155–60.
- Casa MA, Faltin RM, Faltin K, Sander FG, Arana-Chavez VE. Root resorptions in upper first premolars after application of continuous torque moment intra-individual study. J Orofac Orthop. 2001; 62:285–95.
- Hohmann A, Wolframb U, Geigera M, Boryora A, Sander C, Faltinc R, Faltin K, Sander FG. Periodontal ligament hydrostatic pressure with areas of root resorption after application of a continuous torque moment - a study using identical extracted maxillary human premolars. *Angle Orthod.* 2007; 77:653–59.
- Bartley N, Türk T, Colak C, Elekdaĝ-Türk S, Jones A, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: part 17. Root resorption after the application of 2.5° and 15° of buccal root torque for 4 weeks: a microcomputed tomography study. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 2011; 139:353–60.
- Sameshima GT, Sinclair PM. Predicting and preventing root resorption: part II. Treatment factors. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 2001; 119:511–15.
- Nelson SJ, Ash MM. Wheeler's Dental Anatomy, Physiology and Occlusion. 9th ed. St. Louis: Elsevier; 2010: p. 166–70.
- Field C, Ichim I, Swain MV, Chan E, Darendeliler MA, Li W, Li Q. Mechanical responses to orthodontic loading: a 3-dimensional finite element multi-tooth model. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2009; 135:174–81.
- Vardimon AD, Lambertz W. Statistical evaluation of torque angles in reference to straight-wire appliance (SWA) theories. Am J Orthod. 1986; 89:56–66.
- Dellinger EL. A scientific assessment of the straight-wire appliance. Am J Orthod. 1978; 73:290–99.
- Uður T, Yukay F. Normal faciolingual inclinations of tooth crowns compared with treatment groups of standard and pretorgued brackets. *Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop.* 1997; 112:50–57.
- Gamba TO, Oliveira ML, Flores IL, Cruz AD, Almeida SM, Haiter-Neto F, Lopes SL. Influence of cone-beam computed tomography image artifacts on the determination of dental arch measurements. *Angle Orthod.* 2014; 84(2):274–78.
- Damstra J, Fourie Z, Huddleston Slater JJ, Ren Y. Reliability and the smallest detectable difference of measurements on 3-dimensional cone-beam computed tomography images. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2011; 140(3):e107–14.
- 24. Toms SR, Eberhardt AW. A nonlinear finite element analysis of the periodontal ligament under orthodontic tooth loading. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2003; **123(6)**:657–65.
- Xue J, Ye N, Yang X, Wang S, Wang J, Li J, Mi C, Lai W. Finite element analysis of rapid canine retraction through reducing resistance and distraction. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2014; 22(1):52–60.

- 26. Heravi F, Salari S, Tanbakuchi B, Loh S, Amiri M. Effects of crown-root angle on stress distribution in the maxillary central incisors' PDL during application of intrusive and retraction forces: a three-dimensional finite element analysis. *Prog Orthod.* 2013; 14:26.
- 27. Reitan K. Some factors determining the evaluation of forces in orthodontics. *Am J Orthod*. 1957; **43**:32–45.
- Reynolds IR. A review of direct orthodontic bonding. Br J Orthod. 1975; 2:171–78.
- Uysal T, Ustdal A, Kurt G. Evaluation of shear bond strength of metallic and ceramic brackets bonded to enamel prepared with self-etching primer. *Eur J Orthod.* 2010; 32:214–18.

doi:10.1186/s40510-014-0050-0

Cite this article as: Sardarian *et al.*: The effect of vertical bracket positioning on torque and the resultant stress in the periodontal ligament—a finite element study. *Progress in Orthodontics* 2014 15:50.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[™] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com