



Hawaiian Bobtail Squid Symbionts Inhibit Marine Bacteria via Production of Specialized Metabolites, Including New Bromoalterochromides BAC-D/D'

🐵 Andrea M. Suria,ª 🐵 Karen C. Tan,ʰ 🗅 Allison H. Kerwin,ª* Lucas Gitzel,ʰ Lydia Abini-Agbomson,ª Jessica M. Bertenshaw,ª Jaydeen Sewell,^a ^(D)Spencer V. Nyholm,^a ^(D)Marcy J. Balunas^b

^aDepartment of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, USA ^bDivision of Medicinal Chemistry, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, USA

Andrea M. Suria and Karen C. Tan contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined alphabetically.

ABSTRACT The Hawaiian bobtail squid, Euprymna scolopes, has a symbiotic bacterial consortium in the accessory nidamental gland (ANG), a female reproductive organ that protects eggs against fouling microorganisms. To test the antibacterial activity of ANG community members, 19 bacterial isolates were screened for their ability to inhibit Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, of which two strains were inhibitory. These two antibacterial isolates, Leisingera sp. ANG59 and Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28, were subjected to further genomic characterization. Genomic analysis of Leisingera sp. ANG59 revealed a biosynthetic gene cluster encoding the antimicrobial compound indigoidine. The genome of Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 had a 14-gene cluster with >95% amino acid identity to a known bromoalterochromide (BAC) cluster. Chemical analysis confirmed production of known BACs, BAC-A/A' (compounds 1a/1b), as well as two new derivatives, BAC-D/D' (compounds 2a/ 2b). Extensive nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyses allowed complete structural elucidation of compounds 2a/2b, and the absolute stereochemistry was unambiguously determined using an optimized Marfey's method. The BACs were then investigated for in vitro antibacterial, antifungal, and nitric oxide (NO) inhibitory activity. Compounds 1a/1b were active against the marine bacteria Bacillus algicola and Vibrio fischeri, while compounds 2a/2b were active only against B. algicola. Compounds 1a/1b inhibited NO production via lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammation in RAW264.7 macrophage cells and also inhibited the pathogenic fungus Fusarium keratoplasticum, which, coupled with their antibacterial activity, suggests that these polyketide-nonribosomal peptides may be used for squid egg defense against potential pathogens and/or fouling microorganisms. These results indicate that BACs may provide Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 an ecological niche, facilitating competition against nonsymbiotic microorganisms in the host's environment.

IMPORTANCE Animals that deposit eggs must protect their embryos from fouling and disease by microorganisms to ensure successful development. Although beneficial bacteria are hypothesized to contribute to egg defense in many organisms, the mechanisms of this protection are only recently being elucidated. Our previous studies of the Hawaiian bobtail squid focused on fungal inhibition by beneficial bacterial symbionts of a female reproductive gland and eggs. Herein, using genomic and chemical analyses, we demonstrate that symbiotic bacteria from this gland can also inhibit other marine bacteria in vitro. One bacterial strain in particular, Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28, had broad-spectrum abilities to inhibit potential fouling bacteria, in part via production of novel bromoalterochromide metabolites, confirmed via genomic annotation of the associated biosynthetic gene cluster. Our results suggest that these bacterial metabolites may contribute to antimicrobial activity in this asso-

Citation Suria AM, Tan KC, Kerwin AH, Gitzel L, Abini-Agbomson L, Bertenshaw JM, Sewell J, Nyholm SV, Balunas MJ, 2020, Hawaiian bobtail squid symbionts inhibit marine bacteria via production of specialized metabolites. including new bromoalterochromides BAC-D/ D'. mSphere 5:e00166-20. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mSphere.00166-20.

Editor Katherine McMahon, University of Wisconsin—Madison

Copyright © 2020 Suria et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Spencer V. Nyholm, spencer.nyholm@uconn.edu, or Marcy J. Balunas, marcy.balunas@uconn.edu.

* Present address: Allison H. Kerwin, Department of Biology, McDaniel College, Westminster, Maryland, USA.

More evidence for function of the symbiotic bacterial consortium of the Hawaiian bobtail squid accessory nidamental gland - this time focused on bacterial inhibition. Great collaboration with @BalunasLab and @spencernyholm!

Received 17 February 2020 Accepted 9 June 2020 Published 1 July 2020



ciation and that such defensive symbioses are underutilized sources for discovering novel antimicrobial compounds.

KEYWORDS bacterial inhibition, *Euprymna scolopes*, accessory nidamental gland, jelly coat, *Pseudoalteromonas*, bromoalterochromides, defensive symbioses

Organisms that deposit eggs without subsequent parental care must have mechanisms to protect developing embryos from predation, infection, and fouling (1). To compensate, some insects utilize compounds produced by bacteria to defend against pathogens, e.g., beewolf wasps (2, 3) and houseflies (4). In marine environments, shrimp and lobsters supplement parental care with antifungal compounds produced by bacteria that coat their eggs (5, 6).

Many female cephalopods house a diverse bacterial consortium in a reproductive organ known as the accessory nidamental gland (ANG) (7–9). The ANG is adjacent to the nidamental glands, which produce a jelly that surrounds developing embryos that are laid externally in egg clutches. Bacteria from the ANG are deposited into this jelly coat (JC), where they are hypothesized to produce bioactive metabolites that defend the eggs from bacterial and fungal infections or competitively exclude pathogens from settling on the egg surface (10, 11). In the Hawaiian bobtail squid, *Euprymna scolopes*, these ANG bacteria are deposited into the JC surrounding developing embryos to protect eggs from fungal fouling during an approximately month-long embryonic period (11).

In *E. scolopes*, the ANG and JC communities are composed of *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Gammaproteobacteria*, and *Flavobacteriia* (9, 12). Genomic analyses of some *Alphaproteobacteria* isolates revealed the potential to produce secondary metabolites, including utilization of polyketide synthase (PKS) or nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) pathways, among others (13, 14). A JC isolate, *Leisingera* sp. JC1, inhibited several vibrio bacteria *in vitro*, in part due to production of the antimicrobial pigment indigoidine (14). In a subsequent study, the ANG isolate *Labrenzia* sp. ANG18 was found to produce lincomycin B, a derivative of the antibacterial drug lincomycin A, and both metabolites were detected in an egg clutch extract (11). Butanol extracts from *Gammaproteobacteria* ANG and JC isolates of a different squid, *Doryteuthis pealeii* (formerly *Loligo pealeii*), inhibited *Vibrio*, *Aeromonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Streptomyces*, and *Escherichia coli* strains in a disc diffusion assay (15). Given that symbiont-derived antimicrobial metabolites are implicated in the cephalopod ANG symbiosis, we sought to further investigate the antibacterial response of ANG and JC bacteria from *E. scolopes*.

In this study, we screened 19 ANG and JC bacterial isolates representing *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*, and *Flavobacteriia* for their ability to inhibit Grampositive and Gram-negative marine bacteria. Genomic analyses were utilized to analyze the biosynthetic potential of two bioactive isolates, *Leisingera* sp. ANG59 and *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28. We describe a bromoalterochromide gene cluster from *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 and the isolation and complete structural characterization of new bromoalterochromide (BAC) derivatives. Additional bioactivity profiling was conducted on purified BACs to understand how these bacterial secondary metabolites might contribute to the ANG symbiosis.

RESULTS

Zone of inhibition assays. An initial screen of the *in vitro* antibacterial activity of 19 ANG and JC isolates revealed that two strains, *Leisingera* sp. ANG59 and *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28, inhibited one or more of the marine bacteria tested (Table 1; see also Table S3a in the supplemental material). All other ANG/JC strains tested did not form zones of inhibition (ZOIs) when spotted on the surfaces of marine Gram-negative and Gram-positive lawns at a high density (10⁸ CFU/ml). The *Alphaproteobacteria* strain, *Leisingera* sp. ANG59, produced moderate zones of inhibition against two of the vibrio strains, *Vibrio fischeri* and *Vibrio anguillarum*. These results are comparable to those

TABLE 1 In vitro antibacterial activity of ANG/JC isolates against seven marine bacteria

	Zone of inhibition for the following strain ^a :						
Taxon and ANG/JC isolate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Alphaproteobacteria							
Leisingera sp. ANG1	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Leisingera sp. ANG-M6	_	_	-	-	_	_	_
Leisingera sp. ANG-DT	_	_	-	—	_	_	_
Leisingera sp. ANG-S	_	_	-	_	_	—	_
Leisingera sp. ANG-S3	_	_	-	_	_	—	_
Leisingera sp. ANG52	_	_	-	_	_	—	_
Leisingera sp. ANG59	+	+	-	_	-	_	_
Leisingera sp. JC11	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Rhodobacteraceae sp. ANG7	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Rhodobacteraceae sp. ANG13	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Labrenzia sp. ANG18	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
Ruegeria sp. ANG6	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Ruegeria sp. ANG10	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Ruegeria sp. ANG-S4	-	-	-	—	-	-	-
Flavobacteriia							
Muricauda sp. ANG21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gammaproteobacteria							
Shewanella sp. ANG44	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Alteromonas sp. JC21	_	_	-	_	-	_	_
Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Vibrio sp. JC34	_	—	—	—	_	_	_

^aSymbols: +, zone of inhibition observed; –, no zone of inhibition observed (results from three trials). The numbers refer to the following strains: 1, *Vibrio fischeri* ES114; 2, *Vibrio anguillarum* 775; 3, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* KNH1; 4, *Vibrio harveyi* B392; 5, *Photobacterium leiognathi* KNH6; 6, *Bacillus megaterium* CNJ778; 7, *Exiguobacterium aestuarii* CNJ771.

observed for an *E. scolopes* jelly coat isolate, *Leisingera* sp. JC1, which inhibited select vibrio strains in a similar bioassay (14). *Leisingera* sp. ANG59 produced a dark-blue pigment, and our genome analyses revealed an indigoidine biosynthetic gene cluster (Table S4), likely associated with antimicrobial activity similar to what was described for *Leisingera* sp. JC1 (14).

The *Gammaproteobacteria* JC isolate, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28, showed the strongest activity of all isolates tested and was able to inhibit all seven target bacteria, including five Gram-negative strains and two Gram-positive strains. We previously showed that *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 inhibited two types of fungi, *Fusarium kera-toplasticum* and *Candida albicans* (11). Due to this broad-spectrum inhibitory activity, JC28 was selected for further antibacterial testing to determine whether greater inhibition was observed at lower target lawn densities. Testing lower lawn densities (10⁴ to 10⁶ CFU/ml) would be more representative of the concentrations of bacteria in natural seawater conditions where these isolates are exposed during the course of egg development. Significantly greater inhibition was observed at lawn densities between 10⁴ and 10⁵ CFU/ml and between 10⁵ and 10⁶ CFU/ml for all test strains except for *Photobacterium leiognathi* and *Exiguobacterium aesteuri* (Fig. 1). The greatest inhibition was against *V. anguillarum* (ZOI = 11.1 cm²; Table S3b) followed by *E. aesteuri* (ZOI = 5.3 cm²; Table S3b).

Genomic and phylogenetic analyses. (i) *Leisingera* **sp. ANG59.** The draft genome of *Leisingera* **sp.** ANG59 was assembled into 109 scaffolds with a genome size of 5.33 Mb, GC content of 62.9%, N_{50} of 121,837 bp, and 5,169 coding sequences. The genome contained all genes to utilize glucose through the Entner-Doudoroff pathway and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. Due to the absence of a phosphofructokinase ortholog, the Entner-Duodoroff or pentose-phosphate pathways are likely utilized instead of glycolysis. Nitrate and nitrite reductases formed a complete denitrification pathway. The essential structural genes were present for the type II, type IV, and type VI secretion systems. Flagellar biosynthesis and chemotaxis genes were present, sug-

Suria et al.



FIG 1 *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 inhibits Gram-positive and Gram-negative marine bacteria. (A) Zones of inhibition (areas in square centimeters) were measured on lawns of marine bacteria with increasing lawn densities. Significantly greater inhibition was noted between 10^4 and 10^5 CFU/ml and between 10^5 and 10^6 CFU/ml densities of all species with the exception of the 10^4 to 10^5 CFU/ml densities of *P. leiognathi* and the 10^5 – 10^6 CFU/ml densities of *E. aesteuri* (*post* hoc Tukey tests from two-way ANOVA). Data are presented as means \pm standard deviations (error bars) for nine trials. (B to E) Representative images of JC28 monoculture control (B) and JC28 cocultured with *P. leiognathi* at 10^4 CFU/ml (C), 10^5 CFU/ml (D), and 10^6 CFU/ml (E) lawn densities. Bars = 5 mm.

gesting that ANG59 may be capable of motility in response to chemoattractants. The antiSMASH analysis predicted seven secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene clusters, including two siderophore clusters, two "other" clusters, one bacteriocin cluster, one homoserine lactone cluster, and one ectoine cluster (Table S5). The "other" cluster present on scaffold 69.1 contained the six genes necessary for biosynthesis of the antimicrobial pigment indigoidine with \geq 75% amino acid similarity (Table S4) to the indigoidine genes present in the previously described *E. scolopes* JC isolate, *Leisingera* sp. JC1 (14). The homoserine lactone cluster contained the autoinducer synthase gene, *rail*, and the transcriptional activator, *raiR*, similar to the clusters present in several other ANG isolates (13), although it is still unknown which genes are regulated by this quorum sensing system.

(ii) *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28. The draft genome of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 was assembled into 40 scaffolds with a genome size of 5.53 Mb, GC content of 43.2%, N_{50} of 509,001 bp, and 4,894 coding sequences. In the multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA), *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 was placed in a well-supported clade containing mostly pigmented pseudoalteromonads isolated from seawater samples (Fig. 2). The closest strain to JC28 was *Pseudoalteromonas* flavipulchra JG1. This clade also contained five *Pseudoalteromonas* piscicida strains and one *Pseudoalteromonas* elyakovii strain. With a few exceptions, most strains examined fell into either a pigmented or nonpigmented clade, as has been previously described (16).

Genome analyses of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 revealed all of the genes necessary to utilize glucose through glycolysis and the Entner-Doudoroff pathway, pentose phosphate pathway, and the TCA cycle. Genes for the assimilatory sulfate reduction pathway were detected, as well as ammonia assimilation into glutamate. For secretion systems, genes for the type IV and type VI secretion systems were present. Flagellar biosynthesis, motility, and many of the key chemotaxis genes were also found. While only one of the four genes to make the siderophore aerobactin (*iucB*) was detected, the TonB-dependent receptor (*iutA*) and siderophore transport genes (*fhuC* and *exB*) were present, suggesting that JC28 may be able to utilize iron-chelating siderophores produced by neighboring bacteria under iron-limited conditions (17, 18).

Analysis of the JC28 genome with antiSMASH revealed a total of 18 predicted secondary metabolite gene clusters. These gene clusters included nine nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) clusters, four hybrid type 1 polyketide synthase-NRPS (T1PKS-NRPS) clusters, one hybrid ladderane-NRPS cluster, three bacteriocin clusters,





FIG 2 MLSA analysis of *Pseudoalteromonas* genomes and the *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 isolate. Phylogenetic analysis was performed with six single-copy housekeeping genes. Isolate *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 (bold and boxed) falls within a clade containing the pigmented *P. flavipulchra* JG1. Numbers on branches indicate bootstrap values. Black circles indicate pigmented strains, and white circles indicate nonpigmented strains.

and one thiopeptide cluster (Table S5). Two of the predicted NRPS clusters in the JC28 genome were found at the end of a contig, and five NRPS clusters encompassed an entire contig (Table S5), suggesting that the number of clusters may be inflated due to breaks in the assembly at these regions.

The predicted ladderane-NRPS cluster had 100% amino acid similarity to a known bromoalterochromide (BAC)-producing cluster from *P. piscicida* JCM20779 (19). This operon contains 14 genes, including three NRPS genes (*altKLM*) and a halogenase gene (*altN*) which attaches the bromine to the alterochromide (Fig. 3B and Table 2), as has been previously proposed for BAC biosynthesis (20). Bromoalterochromides are yellow lipopeptides known to have cytotoxic activity on sea urchin eggs (21) and the ciliate *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (22), as well as antibacterial activity against *Bacillus subtilis* (19). Within the pigmented group, all strains in the branch that contained JC28 also contained a BAC biosynthetic gene cluster (Table S6). Of the other known secondary metabolite biosynthetic pathways reported for pseudoalteromonads, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 also has the antibacterial L-amino acid oxidase gene, *pfaP* (96% amino acid similarity, 100% query cover), produced by *P. flavipulchra* JG1 (23). We did not detect





FIG 3 Complete characterization of bromoalterochromides BAC-A/A' (compounds 1a/1b) and BAC-D/D' (compounds 2a/2b). (A) Structures of bromoalterochromides BAC-A/A' (compounds 1a/1b) and BAC-D/D' (compounds 2a/2b). (B) Bromoalterochromide biosynthetic operon.

genes for production of bromopyrroles/bromophenols (24), tambjamine YP1 (25), thiomarinol (26), or violacein (27, 28), which have been previously reported in other *Pseudoalteromonas* species.

Isolation and structure elucidation of bromoalterochromides (BACs). Organic extracts obtained from both the supernatant and pellet of JC28 cultures were analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) (Table S7), with the ethyl acetate (EtOAc) supernatant extract and the methanol (MeOH) pellet extract exhibiting several peaks with strong absorption at 395 nm, with MS spectra characteristic of brominated compounds (data not shown). These two extracts were then purified separately by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), resulting in a combined total of 4.1 mg of compounds 1a/1b and 1.1 mg of compounds 2a/2b. High-resolution (HR) MS analysis of compounds 1a/1b and compounds 2a/2b showed

		Pseudoalteromonas		% amino acid similarity		% query
Gene	Gene function	sp. JC28 locus ID	P. piscicida locus ID	to P. piscicida operon	E value	cover
altN	Flavin-dependent halogenase	DS891_00345	PpisJ2_10100002431	97.82	0	100
altM	Nonribosomal peptide synthetase	DS891_00350	PpisJ2_10100002426	96.89	0	100
altL	Nonribosomal peptide synthetase	DS891_00355	PpisJ2_10100002421	97.28	0	100
altK	Nonribosomal peptide synthetase	DS891_00360	PpisJ2_10100002416	98.42	0	100
altJ	Thioesterase	DS891_00365	PpisJ2_10100002411	98.01	0	100
altl	Hypothetical protein	DS891_00370	PpisJ2_10100002406	95.74	9e-171	100
altH	SDR family NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase	DS891_00375	PpisJ2_10100002401	99.18	0	100
altG	3-Hydroxydecanoyl- ACP dehydratase	DS891_00380	PpisJ2_10100002396	100	6e-99	100
altF	ABC transporter permease	DS891_00385	PpisJ2_10100002391	100	0	100
altE	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	DS891_00390	PpisJ2_10100002386	100	0	100
altD	3-Oxoacyl-ACP synthase	DS891_00395	PpisJ2_10100002381	97.66	0	100
altC	Acyl-CoA synthetase/ AMP-fatty acid ligase	DS891_00400	PpisJ2_10100002376	97.99	0	100
altB	Acyl carrier protein	DS891_00405	PpisJ2_10100002371	100	3e-68	100
altA	Aromatic amino acid lyase	DS891_00410	PpisJ2_10100002366	99.63	0	100

TABLE 2 Comparison of the bromoalterochromide biosynthetic gene cluster in Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 and P. piscicida JCM20779a

^aAbbreviations: ID, identifier; ACP, acyl carrier protein; acyl-CoA, acyl coenzyme A.





FIG 4 Two-dimensional NMR correlations of bromoalterochromides BAC-A (compound 1a) and BAC-D (compound 2a). Data obtained from ¹H-¹H COSY, ¹H-¹³C HMBC, and ¹H-¹H NOESY spectra (Fig. S2 and S3).

 $[M+H]^+$ peaks m/z 844.2899 and m/z 858.3034, respectively, which both agree with the calculated $[M+H]^+$, 844.2881 and 858.3037, for $C_{38}H_{51}BrN_7O_{10}$ and $C_{39}H_{53}BrN_7O_{10}$. This also indicated that compounds 2a/2b have an additional CH₂ unit compared to compounds 1a/1b. Extensive analysis by NMR spectroscopy revealed that compounds 1a/1b are an inseparable mixture of constitutional isomers of the known BACs (Fig. 3A; see also Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), BAC-A/A', having spectroscopic data superimposable with those in the literature (21). Furthermore, compounds 2a/2b were determined to be another pair of inseparable isomers of two new BAC derivatives, which we have named BAC-D/D' (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3). Although two studies have previously detected these new derivatives from P. piscicida JCM20779 and P. maricaloris KMM636 (20, 29), their actual structures have never been reported. Much of the heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation (HMBC) and nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) NMR data of compound 2a were identical with those of compound 1a, indicating that the connectivity between the five amino acid residues and the acyl chain are the same (Fig. 4, Fig. S2d and e, and Fig. S3d and e). The ¹H NMR spectra of the two pairs of derivatives were nearly identical, except that the proton signals at 3.96 and 1.87 ppm (Table 3), assigned to H-2 and H-3 of the Val moiety in compounds 1a/1b, could not be observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of compounds 2a/2b (Fig. S2a and Fig. 3a). This suggested that the Val residue should be replaced with either an lle or Leu in compounds 2a/2b. Correlation spectroscopy (COSY) correlations (Fig. S3b and Table 3) between a CH proton at 1.68 ppm and doublet CH_3 protons at 0.90 ppm and between CH₂ protons at 1.13 and 1.42 ppm confirmed that compounds 2a/2b possess an Ile moiety in place of Val, resulting in determination of the planar structure of compounds 2a/2b (Fig. 3A).

During the course of structure determination, we noted several chemical shift assignments on the aromatic ring carbons (C-1', C-2', and C-6') of BAC-A/A' compounds 1a/1b that were incongruent with the previous assignments (21), necessitating their reassignment herein (Table 3 and Fig. S2). Moreover, because of the higher spectroscopic resolution used in the present study, the chemical shifts for all olefins in compounds 1a/1b were definitively assigned.

We set out to confirm the absolute configuration of BAC-A/A' compounds 1a/1b and determine that for BAC-D/D' compounds 2a/2b. Several inconsistencies in the



TABLE 3 ¹ H and ¹³ C chemical shifts of compounds 1a/1b and 2a/2b in DMSO-d ₆ (600 and 125 MHz, respectively) ^a
--

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Compound 1a		Compound 1b		Compound 2a		Compound 2b	
	Component	$\delta_{\rm H}$, multiplicity		$\delta_{\rm H'}$ multiplicity		$\delta_{\rm H}$, multiplicity		$\delta_{\rm H}$, multiplicity	\$
Prime Prim Prime Prime	Component	(⁻ J _{H-H})	0 _C	(°J _{H-H})	0 _C	(³ J ^{H-H})	0 _C	(³ J ^{H-H})	0 _C
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	D-Val/allo-lie-l	9.19 d (6.0)		9.19 d (6.0)		011 m		0 11 m	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	0.10, U (0.0)	1710	0.10, U (0.0)	1710	0.11, 111	172.2	0.11, 111	172.2
	1	2.06 m	50.0	2.06 m	50.0	110 m	172.Z	110 m	571
	2	5.90, III 1.97 m	39.0 20.5	5.90, III 1.97 m	39.0 20.5	4.10, 111 1.69 m	57.1 25.0	4.10, 111 1.69 m	27.1 25.0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2 14 1	1.0/, III	29.5	1.07, 11	29.5	1.00, III	55.0 14.0	1.00, III	22.0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	3-IVIE	0.88, 0 (0.0)	18.0	0.88, 0 (6.0)	18.0	0.90, 0 (0.0)	14.8	0.90, 0 (0.0)	14.8
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4	0.95, ŭ (0.0)	10.9	0.95, d (0.0)	10.9	1.13, III 1.42 m	25.0	1.13, III 1.42 m	25.0
3 13 0.64, m 11.3 0.64, m 11.3 0.64, m 11.3 LAM-1 8.65, d (6.0) 8.70, d (6.0) 8.64, d (6.0) 8.67, d (6.0) 170.7 1 17.4 170.2 171.4 30.1 2.41, m 35.1 4.30, m 51.1 4.30, m 51.7 4.28, m 51.7 3 2.41, m 35.8 2.41, m 35.8 2.41, m 36.1 2.41, m 36.1 NH, 6.90, br 6.90, br 7.00, d (6.0) 7.00, r 7.30, br 7.30, br PART-2 7.28, br 7.70, d (6.0) 35.1 2.75, m 35.1 2.75, d (18.0.6.0) 35.2 2.55, m 36.0 1 4.33, m 50.6 4.43, m 50.6 4.39, m 50.7 4.43, m 50.7 NH, 6.82, br 7.22, m 8.35, d (6.0) 7.22, m 8.35, d (6.0) 7.22, m 8.35, d (6.0) 7.73, br 2.00-br 7.25, br 7.25, br 7.30, br 7.30, br 7.30	F					0.04 m	11.2	0.04 m	11.2
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5					0.84, m	11.5	0.84, m	11.5
NH 8.65, d (6.0) 8.70, d (6.0) 8.64, d (6.0) 8.67, d (6.0) 7.00 1 4.32, m 51.1 4.30, m 51.2 4.32, m 51.7 51.7 2 2.41, m 51.8 2.41, m 51.7 4.30, m 51.7 2.41, m 51.7 3 2.41, m 51.8 2.41, m 51.7 7.00, m 7.00, m <t< td=""><td>1-Acn-1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1-Acn-1								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		865 d (60)		870 d (60)		861 d (60)		867 d (60)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	0.05, u (0.0)	171 /	0.70, u (0.0)	170.2	0.04, u (0.0)	171 /	0.07, u (0.0)	170 3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	132 m	51 1	430 m	51 1	130 m	517	1.28 m	517
5 2.41, III 33.6 170.7 170.	2	4.52, 111	250	4.50, III	21.1	4.50, III	26.1	4.20, III	26.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	2.41, 111	33.0 170.7	2.41, 111	33.0 170.7	2.41, 111	170.1	2.41, 111	170.1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4 NU	600 hr	170.7	600 br	170.7	6.01 br	170.7	6.01 br	170.7
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	INFI2	0.90, DI		0.90, DI 7.20 br		0.91, DI 7.20 br		0.91, DI 7.20 br	
		7.28, Dr		7.28, Dr		7.30, Dr		7.30, Dr	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	D Acp 2								
Nm 6.11, bl 70,0 70,0 10,00	D-ASII-Z	011 hr		770 d (60)		010 m		772 d (60)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		o.11, DI	170.0	7.70, ŭ (6.0)	170.2	0.12, 111	170.0	7.75, ŭ (0.0)	170.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	4.20	170.0	4.42	170.2	4.20	170.0	4.42	1/0.3
s 2/4, doi (18.00,0) 35.1 2/5, m 35.1 2/5, doi (18.00,0) 35.2 2/5, m 36.0 NH ₂ 6.82, br 6.82, br 6.82, br 7.30, br 7.30, br 7.30, br p-allo-lie/Leu NH 7.25, br 7.25, br 7.30, br 7.30, br 7.30, br NH 7.15, m 8.36, d (6.0) 7.22, m 8.35, d (6.0) 11 169.3 171.1 2 4.36, m 5.8 4.43, m 49.7 4.35, m 5.6 4.45, m 49.7 3 1.96, m 36.4 1.65, m 38.7 1.99, m 36.6 1.64, m 38.4 3-Me/4-Me 0.80, d (6.0) 14.3 0.84, m 21.4 0.80, d (6.0) 14.6 0.84, m 21.9 4 1.05, m 2.57 1.63, m 23.7 1.05, m 2.57 1.63, m 23.7 1.33, m 5 0.89, t (6.0) 11.1 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 0.89, t (6.0) 11.3 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 b-allo-Line	2	4.39, m	50.0	4.43, m	50.0	4.39, m	50.7	4.43, m	50.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	2.74, dd (18.0,6.0)	35.1	2.55, m	35.1	2.75, dd (18.0,6.0)	35.2	2.55, m	36.0
NH2 6.82, br 6.82, br 7.25, br 7.25, br 7.25, br 7.30, br p-allo-lle/Leu NH 7.15, m 8.36, d (6.0) 7.22, m 8.35, d (6.0) 1 1 169.3 171.1 169.3 171.1 169.3 171.1 2 4.36, m 55.8 4.43, m 49.7 4.35, m 56.4 4.45, m 49.7 3 1.96, m 36.4 1.65, m 38.7 1.99, m 36.6 1.64, m 38.4 -1.88, m 1.88, m 1.88, m 1.88, m 1.88, m 2.7 1.63, m 2.37 1.05, m 2.57 1.63, m 2.37 1.33, m 5 0.89, t (6.0) 1.1.1 0.91, d (6.0) 2.37 0.89, t (6.0) 1.33 0.91, d (6.0) 2.37 D-allo-Thr NH 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.28, m 8.28, m 1.26, d (6.0) 16.5 1.34, d	4		1/2.2		170.2		1/2.2		1/2.2
r, 225, br 7, 25, br 7, 30, br 7, 30, br $p-dlo-lle/Leu$ NH 7, 15, m 8, 36, d (6.0) 7, 22, m 8, 35, d (6.0) 1 169,3 171,1 169,3 171,1 169,3 171,1 2 4, 36, m 55,8 4,43, m 49,7 4,35, m 56,4 4,45, m 49,7 3 1.96, m 55,8 4,43, m 49,7 4,35, m 56,4 4,45, m 49,7 3 1.96, m 55,8 4,43, m 49,7 4,35, m 56,4 4,45, m 49,7 3 1.96, m 3,64 1,65, m 3,87 1.99, m 36,6 1,64, m 21,9 3 MG(6.0) 1,4.3 0,84, m 21,9 1,4 0,80, d (6,0) 1,6 0,84, m 21,9 5 0.89, t (6,0) 1,1 0,91, d (6,0) 23,7 0.89, t (6,0) 1,3 0,91, d (6,0) 23,7 p-allo-Thr NH 8.25, d (6,0) 8.28, m 8.28, m 8.28, m 168,8 168,8 168,8 168,8 1,77, m 55.0	NH ₂	6.82, br		6.82, br		6.82, br		6.82, br	
		7.25, br		7.25, br		7.30, br		7.30, br	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
NH /.15, m 8.56, d (6,0) /.22, m 8.35, d (6,0) 171.1 69.3 171.1 2 4.36, m 55.8 4.43, m 49.7 4.35, m 56.4 4.45, m 49.7 3 1.96, m 36.4 1.65, m 38.7 1.99, m 36.6 1.64, m 38.7 3-Me/4-Me 0.80, d (6.0) 14.3 0.84, m 21.4 0.80, d (6.0) 14.6 0.84, m 21.9 4 1.05, m 25.7 1.63, m 23.7 1.33, m 1.35, d (6.0) 16.82, m 5.82, m 1.68.8 1.68.8 1.77, m 55.0 4.77,	D-allo-lle/Leu								
1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.3 1/1.1 109.7 4.35, m 3.5, m 1.63, m 3.6.4 1.45, m 3.8.7 1.99, m 3.6.6 1.64, m 3.8.4 1.99, m 1.88, m 1.99, m 1.88, m 21.9 3.7 1.33, m 1.33, m 23.7 1.63, m 23.7 1.7 n.33, m 1.63 1.7 1.63, m 23.7 1.63, m 1.61 1.61 1.61 1.61 1.61 1.61 1.61	NH	7.15, m		8.36, d (6.0)		7.22, m		8.35, d (6.0)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1		169.3		1/1.1		169.3		1/1.1
3 1.96, m 36.4 1.65, m 38.7 1.99, m 36.6 1.64, m 38.4 3-Me/4-Me 0.80, d (6.0) 14.3 0.84, m 21.4 0.80, d (6.0) 14.6 0.84, m 21.9 4 1.05, m 25.7 1.63, m 23.7 1.35, m 25.7 1.63, m 23.7 5 0.89, t (6.0) 11.1 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 0.89, t (6.0) 11.3 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 0-allo-Thr NH 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.28, m 8.28, m 1 68.8 1 68.8 1 68.8 1 68.8 1 68.8 1 6.60 1 1.68.8 1 6.60 1 6.8 6.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 3 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3	2	4.36, m	55.8	4.43, m	49./	4.35, m	56.4	4.45, m	49.7
3-Me/4-Me 0.80, d (6.0) 14.3 0.84, m 21.4 0.80, d (6.0) 14.6 0.84, m 21.9 4 1.05, m 25.7 1.63, m 23.7 1.05, m 25.7 1.63, m 21.9 5 0.89, t (6.0) 11.1 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 0.89, t (6.0) 11.3 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 0-allo-Thr - 168.8 169.2 168.8 4.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 3 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 164.8 164.8 164.8 2 4.74, m 54.8 4.82, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 164.8 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m	3	1.96, m	36.4	1.65, m	38.7	1.99, m	36.6	1.64, m	38.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1.88, m				1.88, m	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3-Me/4-Me	0.80, d (6.0)	14.3	0.84, m	21.4	0.80, d (6.0)	14.6	0.84, m	21.9
1.33, m 1.33, m 1.33, m 1.33, m 5 0.89, t (6.0) 11.1 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 0.89, t (6.0) 11.3 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 D-allo-Thr NH 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.28, m 8.28, m 1 1 168.8 169.2 168.8 168.8 168.8 168.8 2 4.74, m 54.8 4.82, m 54.8 4.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 3 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 Acyl 1 1.32, f 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 <td>4</td> <td>1.05, m</td> <td>25.7</td> <td>1.63, m</td> <td>23.7</td> <td>1.05, m</td> <td>25.7</td> <td>1.63, m</td> <td>23.7</td>	4	1.05, m	25.7	1.63, m	23.7	1.05, m	25.7	1.63, m	23.7
5 0.89, t (6.0) 11.1 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 0.89, t (6.0) 11.3 0.91, d (6.0) 23.7 p-allo-Thr NH 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.28, m 8.28, m 168.8 168.8 168.8 168.8 168.8 168.8 2 4.74, m 54.8 4.82, m 54.8 4.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 3 4.75, m 72.2 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 Acyl 1 164.7 164.7 164.8 20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.2, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0)		1.33, m				1.33, m			
NH 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.28, m 8.28, m 1 168.8 169.2 168.8 168.8 168.8 2 4.74, m 54.8 4.82, m 54.8 4.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 3 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 1.34, d (6.0) 1.5 Acyl 1 164.7 164.7 164.7 164.8 2.0, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.2, dd (18.0, 12.0)	5	0.89, t (6.0)	11.1	0.91, d (6.0)	23.7	0.89, t (6.0)	11.3	0.91, d (6.0)	23.7
beak 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.28, m 8.28, m NH 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.25, d (6.0) 8.28, m 168.2 168.8 168.8 2 4.74, m 54.8 4.82, m 54.8 4.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 3 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 Acyl 1 164.7 164.7 164.8 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 139.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 1									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D- <i>allo</i> -Thr								
1 168.8 169.2 168.8 168.8 168.8 2 4.74, m 54.8 4.82, m 54.8 4.77, m 55.0 4.77, m 55.0 3 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 Acyl 1 164.7 164.7 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m	NH	8.25, d (6.0)		8.25, d (6.0)		8.28, m		8.28, m	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1		168.8		169.2		168.8		168.8
3 4.75, m 72.2 4.76, m 72.3 4.76, m 72.3 3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 Acyl 1 164.7 164.7 164.7 164.7 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 <td>2</td> <td>4.74, m</td> <td>54.8</td> <td>4.82, m</td> <td>54.8</td> <td>4.77, m</td> <td>55.0</td> <td>4.77, m</td> <td>55.0</td>	2	4.74, m	54.8	4.82, m	54.8	4.77, m	55.0	4.77, m	55.0
3-Me 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.36, d (6.0) 16.6 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 1.34, d (6.0) 16.5 Acyl 1 164.7 164.7 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 132.6 6.58, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 <	3	4.75, m	72.2	4.75, m	72.2	4.76, m	72.3	4.76, m	72.3
Acyl 164.7 164.7 164.7 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.7 7.44, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 </td <td>3-Me</td> <td>1.36, d (6.0)</td> <td>16.6</td> <td>1.36, d (6.0)</td> <td>16.6</td> <td>1.34, d (6.0)</td> <td>16.5</td> <td>1.34, d (6.0)</td> <td>16.5</td>	3-Me	1.36, d (6.0)	16.6	1.36, d (6.0)	16.6	1.34, d (6.0)	16.5	1.34, d (6.0)	16.5
Acyl 1 164.7 164.7 164.7 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s									
1 164.7 164.7 164.8 164.8 2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 130.6 <t< td=""><td>Acyl</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Acyl								
2 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.19, d (12.0) 123.7 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 6.20, d (12.0) 123.8 3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130	1		164.7		164.7		164.8		164.8
3 7.14, m 139.6 7.14, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 7.13, m 139.6 4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.88, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.8 3' 109.8 109.8 <	2	6.19, d (12.0)	123.7	6.19, d (12.0)	123.7	6.20, d (12.0)	123.8	6.20, d (12.0)	123.8
4 6.42, m 129.9 6.42, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 6.40, m 129.9 5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 <	3	7.14, m	139.6	7.14, m	139.6	7.13, m	139.6	7.13, m	139.6
5 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.1 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0) 139.3 6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 109.8 <t< td=""><td>4</td><td>6.42, m</td><td>129.9</td><td>6.42, m</td><td>129.9</td><td>6.40, m</td><td>129.9</td><td>6.40, m</td><td>129.9</td></t<>	4	6.42, m	129.9	6.42, m	129.9	6.40, m	129.9	6.40, m	129.9
6 6.47, m 131.7 6.47, m 131.7 6.45, m 131.5 6.45, m 131.5 7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 3' 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 4'-OH nd nd nd nd 104.2 140.4 140.3 5' 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	5	6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0)	139.1	6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0)	139.1	6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0)	139.3	6.72, dd (18.0, 12.0)	139.3
7 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0) 136.2 6.54, m 136.4 6.54, m 136.4 8 6.86, m 126.9 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 130.6 3' 109.8	6	6.47, m	131.7	6.47, m	131.7	6.45, m	131.5	6.45, m	131.5
8 6.86, m 126.9 6.86, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 6.89, m 126.9 9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 3' 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 4' 153.9 153.9 153.9 154.2 154.2 154.2 4'-OH nd nd nd nd 16.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	7	6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0)	136.2	6.56, dd (18.0, 12.0)	136.2	6.54, m	136.4	6.54, m	136.4
9 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, d (18.0) 132.6 6.58, m 132.4 6.58, m 132.4 1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 3' 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 4' 153.9 153.9 153.9 154.2 154.2 154.2 4'-OH nd nd nd nd 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	8	6.86, m	126.9	6.86, m	126.9	6.89, m	126.9	6.89, m	126.9
1' 129.8 129.8 129.7 129.7 2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 3' 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 4' 153.9 153.9 154.2 154.2 154.2 4'-OH nd nd nd nd 116.3 5' 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	9	6.58, d (18.0)	132.6	6.58, d (18.0)	132.6	6.58, m	132.4	6.58, m	132.4
2' 7.65, s 130.6 7.65, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 7.64, s 130.6 3' 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 4' 153.9 153.9 153.9 154.2 154.2 154.2 4'-OH nd nd nd nd nd 116.3 5' 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	1′		129.8		129.8		129.7		129.7
3' 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 109.8 4' 153.9 153.9 154.2 154.2 4'-OH nd nd nd nd 5' 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	2′	7.65, s	130.6	7.65, s	130.6	7.64, s	130.6	7.64, s	130.6
4' 153.9 153.9 154.2 154.2 4'-OH nd nd nd nd 154.2 5' 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	3′		109.8		109.8		109.8		109.8
4'-OH nd nd nd 5' 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	4′		153.9		153.9		154.2		154.2
5' 6.90, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6.92, m 116.3 6.90, m 116.3 6' 7.32, m 126.7 12	4'-OH	nd		nd		nd		nd	
6′ 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7 7.32, m 126.7	5′	6.90, m	116.3	6.90, m	116.3	6.92, m	116.3	6.90, m	116.3
	6′	7.32, m	126.7	7.32, m	126.7	7.32, m	126.7	7.32, m	126.7

^and, not detected.

TABLE 4 Retention	times (t_R)	of	standards	and	hydrolysate	derivatives	using	L-FDLA ^a	or
L-FDAA ^b									

	t _R (min)								
Amino acid	Standards	Compounds 1a/1b	Compounds 2a/2b						
L-Val	30.01	nd	nd						
D-Val	37.09	37.12	nd						
L-Leu	31.55	31.57	nd						
D-Leu	40.10	40.13	40.58						
L-lle	31.37	nd	nd						
D-lle	39.27	39.27	39.66						
<i>∟-allo-</i> lle	30.81	nd	nd						
D- <i>allo</i> -lle	39.21	39.27	39.66						
∟-Thr	21.70	nd	nd						
D-Thr	28.52	nd	nd						
<i>∟-allo-</i> Thr	23.42	nd	nd						
D- <i>allo</i> -Thr	25.95	25.96	26.26						
L-Asp	23.97	23.97	24.22						
D-Asp	27.16	27.13	27.54						
D-lle ^b	73.64	nd	nd						
D-allo-Ile ^b	74.33	74.67	74.80						

^aFDLA-derived samples were analyzed by a linear gradient from 30% mobile phase B to 100% mobile phase B over 55 min, using 5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in water as mobile phase A and 5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in MeOH as mobile phase B. nd, not detected.

^bFDAA-derived samples were analyzed by a linear gradient from 12.5% mobile phase B to 57.5% mobile phase B over 80 min, using 7.5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in water as mobile phase A and 7.5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in MeOH as mobile phase B. nd, not detected.

absolute configuration of BAC-A/A' can be found in the literature. By chiral gas chromatography (GC)-MS analysis of the derivatized acid hydrolysate, all five amino acids in the peptide portion of BAC-A/A' were tentatively assigned as having L configurations and the Thr residue was given a threo assignment (21). These stereochemical assignments are in opposition to those previously reported (30) and predicted by the bioinformatic data obtained from the *P. piscicida* JCM20779 and *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 BAC gene clusters, wherein all NRPS modules bear an epimerase domain, except for that of Asn-1, and the module for Thr was predicted to favor the erythro diastereomer (19, 20).

To determine the absolute stereochemistry, the C₃ Marfey's method was performed on the acid hydrolysates of compounds 1a/1b and 2a/2b, as well as on all isomers of the standard amino acids (31). In addition, since Asn was expected to be converted, in part or completely, to Asp under the hydrolytic conditions applied to the natural products (32), standards of Asp were derivatized and analyzed in the same manner. Analyzing the 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrophenyl-5-L-leucinamide (L-FDLA)-derived samples by LC-MS, compounds 1a/1b were found to bear D-Val, L- and D-Leu (1:6), D-lle or D-allo-lle, D-allo-Thr, and L- and D-Asp (1:2) (Table 4). No peak corresponded to Asn, indicating complete hydrolysis to Asp. Since both isomers of Asp were detected from the hydrolysate, Asn-1 and Asn-2 were assigned to be in the \lfloor form and D form, respectively, in accordance with the JC28 genomic data. However, under the employed analytical conditions, D-lle and D-allo-lle could not be fully resolved. Consequently, 1-fluoro-2,4dinitrophenyl-5-L-alaninamide (L-FDAA)-derived samples were prepared and analyzed using LC-MS, where D-allo-Ile, rather than D-Ile, was observed in the compound 1a/1b hydrolysate. Similar results were acquired for compounds 2a/2b, with the exception that a Val peak was not detected. Therefore, the complete structures were designated D-allo-Thr-D-Val-L-Asn1-D-Asn2-D-allo-Ile/D-Leu for compounds 1a/1b, and D-allo-Thr-D-allo-IIe-L-Asn1-D-Asn2-D-allo-IIe/D-Leu for compounds 2a/2b.

Functional biological assays. To investigate the potential functional role of these PKS-NRPS metabolites, BAC-A/A' 1a/1b and BAC-D/D' 2a/2b were tested against two marine bacteria, the Gram-positive strain Bacillus algicola CNJ 803 and the Gramnegative strain V. fischeri ES114. BAC-A/A' (1a/1b) exhibited an MIC of 7.4 μ M against *B. algicola* and 59.3 μ M against *V. fischeri*. BAC-D/D' (2a/2b) had a similar MIC of 7.3 μ M against *B. algicola* but without activity against *V. fischeri* (MIC of $>58.3 \mu$ M). Interestingly, both BAC isolates were more active against *B. algicola* than *V. fischeri*.

Since previous work showed that *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 strongly reduced fungal hyphal growth of *Fusarium keratoplasticum* FSSC-2g (11), BAC-A/A' 1a/1b were tested to determine whether BACs may be, at least, partly responsible for the observed activity (2a/2b were not tested due to scarcity of material). BAC-A/A' 1a/1b exhibited a 28% reduction in the surface area of *F. keratoplasticum* hyphal growth, in comparison with the negative control. BAC-A/A' 1a/1b were then subjected to a nitric oxide (NO) inhibition assay to understand potential interactions with the host immune response. Coincubation of lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-treated RAW264.7 macrophage cells with 1a/1b resulted in inhibition of NO production with a 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of 16.9 μ M.

DISCUSSION

The ANG association of cephalopods has been hypothesized to act as a defensive symbiosis for egg protection, and our previous work showed that many ANG and JC bacterial isolates from E. scolopes inhibit fungi (11) and another JC isolate inhibited a number of marine vibrios (14). Although many of the isolates in this study failed to inhibit other marine bacteria in vitro, Leisingera sp. ANG59 inhibited some strains, and Pseudoaltermonas sp. JC28 showed broad-spectrum antibacterial activity. Our initial screen using a high target lawn concentration aimed to reveal ANG and JC isolates with strong antimicrobial activity. Future work will include screening at lower target lawn densities and other conditions that may reveal the inhibitory potential of other strains in different growth environments. Bacteria in the eggs may also have synergistic antibacterial activity as has been observed of in vitro antifungal activity in the bacterial symbionts of Hydra (33), and thus, future screens should also test bacterial cocultures. Interestingly, the most inhibitory strain, Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28, is from a genus of relatively low abundance in the ANG, while Leisingera sp. ANG59 is from one of the most abundant genera detected and showed only moderate inhibition (12). Recent reviews have highlighted the importance rare members can have on microbial community composition and function (34, 35). For example, removal of low-abundance bacteria in soil communities can lead to the loss of antifungal volatiles that suppress the root pathogen Fusarium oxysporum (36).

The *Pseudoalteromonas* genus comprises Gram-negative, heterotrophic, and aerobic marine bacteria that belong to the subclass of *Gammaproteobacteria* (16, 37, 38). The pseudoalteromonads demonstrate a broad range of antimicrobial, antisettlement, and cytotoxic activity that is thought to be attributed mainly to the pigmented members of this group (16). *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 belongs to the pigmented group, and here we show that it has antibacterial activity against a number of marine bacteria in addition to previously reported antifungal activity (11). Within the branch containing *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28, many *P. piscicida* strains have also shown strong inhibition against vibrios and other bacterial pathogens (39, 40) and antifungal activity against several fungi, including *Arthrinium* c.f. *saccharicola* (41) and *Fusarium oxysporum* (42). *P. piscicida* strains have also demonstrated eukaryotic toxicity, killing *Artemia nauplii* brine shrimp and *Caenorhabditis elegans* nematodes (43), and lysing *Gymnodinium catenatum* algal cells (44). Other *P. piscicida* strains inhibited settlement of red algae (*Polysiphonia* sp.) and green algae (*Ulva lactuca*) spores (39, 45).

Pseudoalteromonas species play important roles in interacting with marine invertebrates and are necessary for settlement or induction of morphogenesis in some invertebrate larvae, such as the polychaete *Hydroides elegans* (46), the coral *Acropora millepora* (47), or the sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma* (48). *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 does not have the settlement genes associated with *Pseudoalteromonas luteoviolacea* HI1 (49), but future research may test whether it produces compounds that influence invertebrate larval or algal settlement, for example, in preventing fouling of eggs.

The closest relative of Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 strain, P. flavipulchra JG1, was

isolated from the rearing water of healthy turbot in Qingdao, China, and was investigated as a potential probiotic for fish aquaculture (50). It strongly inhibited *Aeromonas* and *Vibrio* species *in vitro* and did not have any eukaryotic toxicity against zebra fish, bivalves, or mantis shrimp (50). The antibacterial activity of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JG1 was strongest against *V. anguillarum in vitro* (23), similar to what we observed in this study for *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28. Several antibacterial compounds have been characterized from *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JG1, including the Pfap protein and five small molecules: *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid, *trans*-cinnamic acid, 6-bromoindolyl-3-acetic acid, *N*-hydroxybenzoisoxazolone, and 2'-deoxydenosine, with the brominated compound (6-bromoindolyl-3-acetic acid) having the broadest antimicrobial activity (23). The Pfap protein is a putative L-amino acid oxidase, which may play a role in synthesis of 6-bromoindolyl-3-acetic acid (51). Future research will be needed to determine whether Pfap also contributes to the inhibitory activity of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28. Although *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JG1 does contain a bromoalterochromide gene cluster (see Table S6 in the supplemental material), its activity has not been described.

Our efforts to chemically characterize Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 extracts led to the identification of the major bioactive components, the bromoalterochromides (BACs), including new derivatives. These brominated derivatives of alterochromides were first identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) from the yellow-pigmented, sponge tissue-derived P. maricaloris KMM636 (29), with full spectroscopic characterization of their structures published later (21), likely owing to low yields and compound instability. Relying on mass spectrometric methods, BACs have also been identified from several Pseudoalteromonas strains (22, 30, 52), indicating widespread metabolite expression within this bacterial genus. However, the complete structural elucidation of these compounds has been neglected, and inconsistencies in terms of their chemically determined and bioinformatically predicted absolute stereochemistry have been observed (19-21). However, in this study, through high-resolution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopic and C₃ Marfey's techniques, we have identified the new derivatives, BAC-D/D', and made the necessary corrections to the reported absolute stereochemistry of BAC-A/A'. The isolation of BAC-D/D' with a D-allo-Ile in place of the D-Val of BAC-A/A' is indicative of a biosynthetic flexibility that warrants further investigation.

The BACs produced by *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 demonstrated antibacterial activity against a Gram-positive bacterium and a Gram-negative bacterium and inhibited hyphal growth of the fungal pathogen F. keratoplasticum. However, there was a gap in antifungal activity (28% hyphal inhibition) of the purified compounds 1a/1b compared to that of the Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 bacterial strain or its extract when tested in a similar assay (96% and 61% hyphal inhibition, respectively [11]). A similar observation has been reported for other BAC-producing Pseudoalteromonas strains, which were initially active against Vibrio anguillarium in an isolate well diffusion assay, but whose ethyl acetate extracts were found to be inactive (52). These differences in activity could be caused by upregulation in BAC production during exposure to a pathogen, since other metabolites in the cell-free supernatant may be necessary to exert maximum hyphal growth inhibition or may be due to extraction protocols biasing toward organic-soluble metabolites. In another example, although BAC-A isolated from Pseudoalteromonas sp. strain J010 was previously shown to have antiprotozoal activity against Tetrahymena pyriformis, it did not have antibacterial or antifungal activity in a disc diffusion assay (22). Our study is the first demonstration that purified BACs themselves, devoid of the producer strain or other bacterial metabolites, possess antibacterial and antifungal activity, signifying the potential ecological importance of these PKS-NRPS molecules. Heterologous expression of the BAC gene cluster in E. coli (20) and optimization of Pseudoalteromonas culturing conditions (29) are promising methods to obtain higher yields of BACs for further biological investigations.

Besides potentially aiding in *E. scolopes* egg defense, the BACs may play a role in the ability of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 to colonize the ANG. In the well-studied light organ symbiosis of *E. scolopes*, *V. fischeri* exclusively colonizes the light organ just a few

mSphere

hours after embryos hatch (53). Previous work has shown that reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS and RNS) are important host components that help facilitate colonization (54–57) and *V. fischeri* has mechanisms to overcome NO stress (55, 58). The ability of BACs to inhibit NO production as reported herein may indicate a potential mechanism to overcome host defenses in the ANG, although this remains to be tested.

Conclusions. Here, we show that two strains associated with the squid ANG symbiosis demonstrated antimicrobial activity against other marine bacteria. One of these bioactive strains, Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28, exhibited broad antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, as well as fungi. The genome from this isolate represents the first sequenced member of the Gammaproteobacteria from the E. scolopes ANG symbiosis. Although pseudoalteromonads make up less than 0.1% of the ANG/JC bacterial community (12), it remains to be seen whether their strong bioactivity in vitro contributes to the overall defensive function in this symbiosis in vivo. We also show that Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 produces both known and new bromoalterochromides with antimicrobial and NO inhibitory effects, likely contributing to the bioactivity of this strain. Future research will focus on mutagenizing bromoalterochromide biosynthetic genes to determine the functional role of these compounds in the ANG symbiosis. Ongoing efforts to manipulate the ANG and egg communities (e.g., raising gnotobiotic squid with defined bacterial strains) will also allow for the characterization of antimicrobial metabolite production under in vivo conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal care statement. All experimental procedures involving *E. scolopes* were conducted in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee (A18-029), Office of the Vice President for Research at the University of Connecticut and in compliance with the Office of Animal Welfare, National Institutes of Health and the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International.

Bacterial strains, identification, and culture conditions. Fifteen of the bacterial strains used in this study were previously isolated from the accessory nidamental glands (ANGs) or jelly coats (JCs) of *E. scolopes* (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) (11, 13, 14). Four additional strains were isolated from ANGs of three squid as previously described (Table S1) (13). All strains were identified to the genus level by Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene as described previously (14). Sanger sequencing was performed by the Biotechnology Center at the University of Connecticut. All strains were grown on seawater tryptone (SWT) medium (5 g/liter tryptone, 3 g/liter yeast extract, 3 ml/liter glycerol, 700 ml/liter artificial seawater [Instant Ocean sea salts, Blacksburg, VA, USA], 15 g/liter agar, 300 ml/liter deionized [DI] water).

Zone of inhibition assay. ANG and JC isolates were tested for their ability to inhibit marine Gram-positive bacteria (*Bacillus megaterium* CNJ 778 and *Exiguobacterium aesteurii* CNJ 771) and Gram-negative bacteria (*Vibrio fischeri* ES114, *Vibrio anguillarum* 775, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* KNH1, *Vibrio harveyi* B392, and *Photobacterium leiognathi* KNH6) using an *in vitro* inhibition assay as previously described (14). Briefly, target strains were grown to stationary phase in 3 ml of SWT medium, shaking at 200 rpm, at 30°C and then spread onto SWT plates at a concentration of ~10⁸ CFU/ml. The lawns were dried for 15 min, and then a stationary-phase culture of the ANG/JC isolate (~10⁸ CFU/ml) was spotted (10 μ l) onto the center of the plate. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 24 h. Due to the nonuniform morphology of the bacterial colonies, the zone of inhibition (ZOI) was defined here as the area (square centimeters), rather than diameter, around the ANG/JC isolate where no target bacteria grew (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material for examples). This area was measured by subtracting the ANG/JC colony area from the ZOI using the image analysis program FJJI (60). A strain was marked as positive for inhibition if the ZOI was \geq 0.15 cm². Each ANG/JC isolate was tested against each marine target strain in experimental and technical triplicates.

After the initial ZOI screen, the JC isolate, *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28, was chosen for further testing based on its ability to inhibit all target strains. To test for density-dependent effects of this inhibitory activity, inhibition trials were retested with target strains at lower lawn densities of 10⁴, 10⁵, and 10⁶ CFU/ml as described above. Each test was performed in experimental and technical triplicates. For each target lawn strain, statistical significance between ZOIs at each lawn density was determined using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs). Multiple-comparison *post hoc* Tukey tests were performed to determine which lawn densities were significantly different.

Genome sequencing. Leisingera sp. ANG59 and Pseudoalteromonas sp. JC28 were grown in 3.0 ml of SWT broth, 200 rpm, at 30°C to a density of $\sim 10^8$ CFU/ml. Genomic DNA was extracted from 1.0 ml of culture using the MasterPure DNA Purification kit (Epicentre, Madison, Wl, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA quantity was measured with a high-sensitivity DNA Qubit fluorimeter (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and quality was checked by absorbance measured on a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and 1% agarose gel. DNA was prepped for sequencing using the Nextera XT DNA library prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Library yield was checked with Qubit,

and size and quality were measured on a high-sensitivity DNA bioanalyzer chip (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq, reagent kit v.2 with 500 cycles at the Microbial Analysis, Resources, and Services (MARS) facility at the University of Connecticut.

Sequencing yielded 1,101,126 raw, paired-end reads for *Leisingera* sp. ANG59 and 3,976,074 raw, paired-end reads for *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28, which were uploaded to the U.S. Department of Energy KBase server version 1.5.2 (https://kbase.us/) for analysis. Raw reads were trimmed and adaptors were removed using Trimmomatic (61). Reads were assembled using the A5 assembler (62). Assemblies were uploaded to the Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology (RAST) server (63) for annotations and to the antiSMASH server v.4.0 (64) for secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene cluster prediction. The *Leisingera* sp. ANG59 draft genome assembly has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession no. WLCM00000000 and is described in BioProject accession no. PRJNA589827. The *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 draft genome assembly has been deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under accession no. QOKX0000000 and is described in BioProject accession no. PRJNA480278.

Multilocus sequence analysis. To determine the phylogenetic placement of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 within the pseudoalteromonads, a multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) tree was assembled using 34 published *Pseudoalteromonas* genomes. Six single-copy housekeeping genes were selected for multilocus sequence analysis based on a previous study (65), including the following: ATP synthase subunit beta, *atpD*; DNA gyrase subunit B, *gyrB*; rod shape-determining protein, *mreB*; RNA recombinase alpha subunit, *recA*; RNA polymerase sigma factor, *rpoD*; and DNA topoisomerase 1, *topA*. The genomes were downloaded from GenBank using a Python script (https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome -download). Amino acid sequences for each gene were obtained from each genome by a tblastn search using the amino acid sequence from *E. coli* strain K-12 obtained from UniProt. Extracted sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (66) with default parameters. The multiple alignments were concatenated in Geneious v.10 (Biomatters Inc., Newark, NJ, USA). The best evolutionary model of substitution was chosen by ModelFinder (67) in the lQtree webserver (68) as GTR + F + Invar + Gamma 4. A maximum likelihood tree was constructed using IQtree v.1.6.5 (69) with 1,000 bootstraps (70). The tree was visualized using the Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v.4 webserver (https://itol.embl.de/) (71). Studies indicating the pigmentation of a strain, featured on the MLSA tree (Fig. 2), are referenced in Table S2.

The presence of the bromoalterochromide biosynthetic genes was determined by a tblastn search. The amino acid sequence for each bromoalterochromide (BGC0000314) biosynthetic gene was obtained from the Minimum Information about a Biosynthetic Gene cluster (MIBiG) server (72). This cluster was identified from *Pseudoalteromonas piscicida* JCM 20779 (19) and contains 14 genes (PpisJ2_010100002366 to PpisJ2_010100002431). A strain was marked as possessing the bromoalterochromide cluster if all 14 genes were present in the same cluster and had a high amino acid similarity (\geq 87%).

Extraction of *Pseudoalteromonas* **sp. JC28 and isolation of bromoalterochromides.** A preculture of *Pseudoalteromonas* **sp. JC28** was prepared by inoculating the bacteria into 30 ml of marine broth (Difco Laboratories, Sparks, MD, USA) and incubating at 28°C for 24 h at 200 rpm. A 7.5-ml aliquot of the preculture was added into four 1-liter baffled flasks, each containing 500 ml of marine broth, before incubation at 28°C for 72 h at 200 rpm, protected from light. Solvents for extraction and semipreparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) purification were American Chemical Society (ACS) grade and HPLC grade, respectively, from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The extraction procedure was performed away from direct light exposure to prevent decomposition of the BACs (73).

Cultures were combined (2-liter total volume) and centrifuged at 6,300 rpm at 4°C for 10 min, and the supernatant was separated from the pellet via decantation. The supernatant was extracted with n-hexane $(3 \times 500 \text{ ml})$, followed by ethyl acetate (EtOAc) $(12 \times 300 \text{ ml})$ to afford 5.3 mg and 42.7 mg of extract, respectively. Meanwhile, the pellet was soaked in 100 ml of acetone overnight. The acetone extract was vacuum filtered and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure before partitioning between n-hexane (200 ml) and 90% aqueous methanol (MeOH) (3 × 200 ml), to afford 7.8 mg and 69.7 mg of extract, respectively. Bromoalterochromides were detected by LC-MS analysis in the EtOAc supernatant extract, as well as in the MeOH pellet extract, using the following LC-MS conditions: column 150×4.6 mm Agilent Eclipse-XDB C₁₈, 5 μ m; column temperature of 24°C; flow rate of 0.7 ml/min; mobile phase A (0.1% HCOOH in water), mobile phase B (0.1% HCOOH in CH₃CN), and a linear gradient of 40% mobile phase B to 100% mobile phase B over 30 min (Table S7). These two extracts were further purified by isocratic elution with 40% aqueous CH₃CN at 2.0 ml/min using a semipreparative HPLC column (250 \times 9.6 mm Agilent Eclipse XDB-C $_{\rm 18}$ column, 5 μ m), monitoring at 395 nm. The EtOAc extract yielded 0.8 mg of compounds 1a/1b and 0.4 mg of compounds 2a/2b, while the MeOH extract yielded 3.3 mg of compounds 1a/1b and 0.7 mg of compounds 2a/2b. Isolated compounds were stored in amber-colored vials under an inert atmosphere at -20° C.

Characterization and confirmation of bromoalterochromide structures. The planar structures of the bromoalterochromides (BACs) were determined by extensive nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analyses (¹H, ¹H-¹H correlation spectroscopy [COSY], ¹H-¹³C heteronuclear single quantum coherence [HSQC], ¹H-¹³C heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation [HMBC], and nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy [NOESY]; Fig. S2 and S3) using a Varian INOVA 600-MHz instrument (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), equipped with a cryoprobe to enhance sensitivity. The NMR spectra were referenced to the solvent peaks of dimethyl sulfoxide DMSO-*d_o* ($\delta_{H} = 2.50$ ppm, $\delta_{c} = 39.52$ ppm). High-resolution mass data were obtained using a Waters Xevo G2-XS QToF mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA), equipped with a ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC) column (50 × 2.1 mm HSS T3 C₁₈, 1.8 µm), and eluted using a gradient of mixtures of mobile phase A (0.1% aqueous HCOOH) and mobile phase B (0.1% HCOOH in CH₃CN) beginning at 5% mobile phase B for 0.5 min, followed by a linear

gradient from 5% mobile phase B to 60% mobile phase B for 3.5 min, then 60% mobile phase B to 98% mobile phase B for 4 min, and finally held at 98% mobile phase B for 1 min. The samples were dissolved in MeOH at 1 mg/ml, using 10- μ l injections.

Determination of absolute configuration for BAC-A/A' and BAC-D/D'. All standards and reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, except for DL-*allo*-Thr from Wako (Wako Chemicals USA, Inc., Richmond, VA, USA), and D-*allo*-Thr and 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrophenyl-5-L-leucinamide (L-FDLA) from TCI (Tokyo Chemical Industry America, Portland, OR, USA). The LC-MS data were collected on an Agilent ESI single quadrupole mass spectrometer coupled to an Agilent 1260 HPLC system with a G1311 quaternary pump, G1322 degasser, and a G1315 diode array detector.

The absolute configuration of the BACs was determined by Marfey's or advanced Marfey's method as previously described (31, 74). The BAC 1a/1b or 2a/2b (200 μ g) were heated at 105°C with 200 μ l of 6 N HCl in a sealed flask for 3 to 4 h or until the reaction mixture turned colorless. The mixture was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen, and the resulting residue was dissolved in 50 μ l of water and 20 μ l of 1 M NaHCO₃. Then, 40 μ l of 1% L-FDLA or 1% 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrophenyl-5-L-alaninamide (L-FDAA) in acetone was added prior to incubation at 35°C for 1 h. The reaction was guenched by the addition of 20 μ l of 1 N HCl, then diluted with 370 μ l of MeOH, from which 25 μ l was injected for LC-MS analysis. The alkalinified solutions of the L and D forms of standard amino acids (100 μ g), Val, Leu, Ile, Thr, Asn, and Asp, were individually derivatized in the same manner as the natural products. After guenching with 20 μ l of 1 N HCl, they were diluted with 810 μ l of MeOH, from which 25 μ l was injected for LC-MS analysis. LC-MS conditions were as follows for FDLA-derived samples: column 150 imes 4.6 mm Agilent Zorbax-SB C₃, 5 μ m; column temperature, 40°C; flow rate, 0.7 ml/min; mobile phase A is 5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in water and mobile phase B is 5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in MeOH; and linear gradient of 30% mobile phase B to 100% mobile phase B over 55 min. LC-MS conditions were as follows for FDAA-derived samples: column 150 \times 4.6 mm Agilent Zorbax-SB C₃, 5 μ m; column temperature, 25°C; flow rate, 0.7 ml/min; mobile phase A is 7.5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in water and mobile phase B is 7.5% CH₃CN with 1% HCOOH in MeOH; and linear gradient of 12.5% mobile phase B to 57.5% mobile phase B over 80 min.

96-well antibacterial assay. The BACs (compounds 1a/1b and compounds 2a/2b) were tested against the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus algicola* CNJ 803 and the Gram-negative bacterium *V. fischeri* ES114 in 96-well liquid assays, following previously described methodology (14). Prior to the assays, *B. algicola* was grown on nutrient agar (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and *V. fischeri* on SWT agar, overnight at 28°C. Inocula of the target strains were prepared by adding colonies to nutrient broth or SWT broth and adjusting the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) to 0.08 to 0.10. A master mix was then prepared by mixing 7.84 ml of the appropriate broth, 6.40 ml water, and 1.60 ml of the inoculum. Into a 96-well round-bottomed plate (Corning Costar, Corning, NY, USA), 199 μ l of the master mix was added with 1 μ l of sample or controls. The final testing concentrations were 250 μ g/ml for extracts and 0.39 to 50 μ g/ml for purified compounds, dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (0.5% final concentration). The positive controls were 2.5 μ g/ml of vancomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for *B. algicola* and 2.5 μ g/ml of chloramphenicol (Acros Organics, Morris, NJ, USA) for *V. fischeri*, while the negative control was DMSO. The plate was incubated for 24 h at 28°C and with constant shaking at 200 rpm in the case of *V. fischeri*. The wells were observed visually for the presence or absence of bacterial growth to determine the MIC. The assays were done in technical triplicates with at least two experimental replicates.

Nitric oxide inhibition assay. Compounds 1a/1b were tested for inhibition of nitric oxide (NO) using previously described methods (75). Briefly, aliquots (197 μ l) of RAW264.7 macrophage cells in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM), high glucose, pyruvate (Thermo Fisher Scientific), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), were seeded into a 96-well flat-bottomed plate (Corning Costar) at a density of 2.5×10^4 cells/well, and incubated at 37° C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. After 24 h, 2 μ l of LPS (final concentration, 100 ng/ml) and 1 μ l of compounds 1a/1b (dissolved in DMSO; final concentrations between 1.56 and 50 μ g/ml) or controls (10 μ M suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid [SAHA] [Sigma-Aldrich] as a positive control; DMSO as a negative control) was added to the well and incubated for an additional 24 h. Then, 100 μ l of the supernatant was transferred into another flat-bottomed 96-well plate, to which 50 μ l of 1% sulfanilamide (Sigma-Aldrich) in 5% phosphoric acid (Aqua Solutions, Inc., Deer Park, TX, USA) was added. After 5 min, 50 μ l of 0.1% aqueous *N*-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine (NED) was mixed into the wells. The plates were read after another 5 min at 540 nm using a Synergy H1 hybrid reader (Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA). NO production was expressed as a percentage of the ratio between the sample absorbance and that of the negative control, DMSO. The assay was done in technical triplicates with two experimental replicates.

Antifungal disc assay. An antifungal assay was performed using previously described methodology with slight modification (76). *Fusarium keratoplasticum* FSSC-2g was spread onto an SWT agar plate and allowed to grow at 28°C for 24 to 48 h. An inoculum with an OD_{600} of 0.01 was prepared in SWT broth from *F. keratoplasticum* colonies on the plate. Sterile 6-mm paper discs (Difco Laboratories) were placed on top of SWT agar plates, to which 10 μ l of sample or controls was added. Compounds 1a/1b, dissolved in DMSO, was tested at 10 μ g to 100 μ g/disc, with cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich) at 68 μ g/disc as a positive control and 10 μ l of DMSO as a negative control. Discs were allowed to dry for 5 min before adding the fungal inoculum onto the discs. The plates were then incubated at 28°C and observed for hyphal growth every 24 h for 3 days. Activity was measured by reduction in area of hyphal growth of the sample relative to the negative control.

Data availability. The *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. JC28 draft genome assembly has been deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under accession no. QOKX00000000 and is described in BioProject PRJNA480278. The version described in this paper is version QOKX01000000. The *Leisingera* sp. ANG59 draft genome



assembly has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession no. WLCM00000000 and is described in BioProject PRJNA589827. The version described in this paper is version WLCM01000000.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only.

 FIG S1, PDF file, 0.8 MB.

 FIG S2, PDF file, 1.2 MB.

 FIG S3, PDF file, 0.9 MB.

 TABLE S1, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

 TABLE S2, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

 TABLE S3, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

 TABLE S4, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

 TABLE S5, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

 TABLE S6, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

 TABLE S6, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

 TABLE S6, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by NSF IOS-1557914 to S.V.N. and M.J.B. and the University of Connecticut Microbiome Research Seed Grant to M.J.B. and S.V.N. We thank the McFall-Ngai, Ruby, and Hadfield laboratories at Kewalo Marine Laboratory, University of Hawaii, for laboratory/aquarium space and Jeremy Balsbaugh and the University of Connecticut Proteomics and Metabolomic Facility for assistance in acquiring LC-MS/MS data.

We declare that we have no competing financial interests.

A.M.S., K.C.T., A.H.K., S.V.N., and M.J.B. designed the research. A.M.S, K.C.T., A.H.K., L.G., L.A.-A., J.M.B., J.S., S.V.N., and M.J.B. performed research and analyzed data. A.M.S., K.C.T., S.V.N., and M.J.B. wrote the paper.

REFERENCES

- Hamdoun A, Epel D. 2007. Embryo stability and vulnerability in an always changing world. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:1745–1750. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610108104.
- Kaltenpoth M, Gottler W, Herzner G, Strohm E. 2005. Symbiotic bacteria protect wasp larvae from fungal infestation. Curr Biol 15:475–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.12.084.
- Kroiss J, Kaltenpoth M, Schneider B, Schwinger M-G, Hertweck C, Maddula RK, Strohm E, Svatos A. 2010. Symbiotic Streptomycetes provide antibiotic combination prophylaxis for wasp offspring. Nat Chem Biol 6:261–263. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.331.
- Lam K, Thu K, Tsang M, Moore M, Gries G. 2009. Bacteria on housefly eggs, *Musca domestica*, suppress fungal growth in chicken manure through nutrient depletion or antifungal metabolites. Naturwissenschaften 96:1127–1132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-009-0574-1.
- Gil-Turnes M, Hay M, Fenical W. 1989. Symbiotic marine bacteria chemically defend crustacean embryos from a pathogenic fungus. Science 246:116–118. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2781297.
- Gil-Turnes M, Fenical W. 1992. Embryos of *Homarus americanus* are protected by epibiotic bacteria. Biol Bull 182:105–108. https://doi.org/ 10.2307/1542184.
- Bloodgood RA. 1977. The squid accessory nidamental gland: ultrastructure and association with bacteria. Tissue Cell 9:197–208. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0040-8166(77)90016-7.
- Barbieri E, Paster BJ, Hughes D, Zurek L, Moser DP, Teske A, Sogin ML. 2001. Phylogenetic characterization of epibiotic bacteria in the accessory nidamental gland and egg capsules of the squid *Loligo pealei* (Cephalopoda: Loliginidae). Environ Microbiol 3:151–167. https://doi .org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2001.00172.x.
- Collins AJ, LaBarre BA, Wong Won BS, Shah MV, Heng S, Choudhury MH, Haydar SA, Santiago J, Nyholm SV. 2012. Diversity and partitioning of bacterial populations within the accessory nidamental gland of the squid *Euprymna scolopes*. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:4200–4208. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AEM.07437-11.
- 10. Biggs J, Epel D. 1991. Egg capsule sheath of Loligo opalescens berry:

structure and association with bacteria. J Exp Zool 259:263–267. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402590217.

- Kerwin AH, Gromek SM, Suria AM, Samples RM, Deoss DJ, O'Donnell K, Frasca S, Sutton DA, Wiederhold NP, Balunas MJ, Nyholm SV. 2019. Shielding the next generation: symbiotic bacteria from a reproductive organ protect bobtail squid eggs from fungal fouling. mBio 10:e02376-19. https:// doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02376-19.
- Kerwin AH, Nyholm SV. 2017. Symbiotic bacteria associated with a bobtail squid reproductive system are detectable in the environment, and stable in the host and in eggs throughout development. Environ Microbiol 19:1463–1475. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13665.
- Collins AJ, Fullmer MS, Gogarten JP, Nyholm SV. 2015. Comparative genomics of Roseobacter clade bacteria isolated from the accessory nidamental gland of *Euprymna scolopes*. Front Microbiol 6:123. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00123.
- Gromek SM, Suria A, Fullmer MS, Garcia JL, Gogarten JP, Nyholm SV, Balunas MJ. 2016. *Leisingera* sp. JC1, a bacterial isolate from Hawaiian bobtail squid eggs, produces indigoidine and differentially inhibits vibrios. Front Microbiol 7:1342. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016 .01342.
- Barbieri E, Barry K, Child A, Wainwright N. 1997. Antimicrobial activity in the microbial community of the accessory nidamental gland and egg cases of *Loligo pealei* (Cephalopoda: Loliginidae). Biol Bull 193:275–276. https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv193n2p275.
- Bowman JP. 2007. Bioactive compound synthetic capacity and ecological significance of marine bacterial genus *Pseudoalteromonas*. Mar Drugs 5:220–241. https://doi.org/10.3390/md504220.
- De Lorenzo V, Bindereif A, Paw BH, Neilands JB. 1986. Aerobactin biosynthesis and transport genes of plasmid colV-K30 in Escherichia coli K-12. J Bacteriol 165:570–578. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.165.2.570-578 .1986.
- Wooldridge KG, Morrissey JA, Williams PH. 1992. Transport of ferricaerobactin into the periplasm and cytoplasm of Escherichia coli K12: role of envelope-associated proteins and effect of endogenous siderophores.



J Gen Microbiol 138:597-603. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-138-3 -597.

- Nguyen DD, Wu C-H, Moree WJ, Lamsa A, Medema MH, Zhao X, Gavilan RG, Aparicio M, Atencio L, Jackson C, Ballesteros J, Sanchez J, Watrous JD, Phelan VV, van de Wiel C, Kersten RD, Mehnaz S, De Mot R, Shank EA, Charusanti P, Nagarajan H, Duggan BM, Moore BS, Bandeira N, Palsson BØ, Pogliano K, Gutiérrez M, Dorrestein PC. 2013. MS/MS networking guided analysis of molecule and gene cluster families. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:E2611–E2620. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1303471110.
- Ross AC, Gulland LES, Dorrestein PC, Moore BS. 2015. Targeted capture and heterologous expression of the *Pseudoalteromonas* alterochromide gene cluster in *Escherichia coli* represents a promising natural product exploratory platform. ACS Synth Biol 4:414–420. https://doi.org/10 .1021/sb500280q.
- Speitling M, Smetanina OF, Kuznetsova TA, Laatsch H. 2007. Bromoalterochromides A and A', unprecedented chromopeptides from a marine *Pseudoalteromonas maricaloris* strain KMM 636T. J Antibiot (Tokyo) 60:36–42. https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2007.5.
- Tebben J, Motti C, Tapiolas D, Thomas-Hall P, Harder T. 2014. A coralline algal-associated bacterium, *Pseudoalteromonas* strain J010, yields five new korormicins and a bromopyrrole. Mar Drugs 12:2802–2815. https:// doi.org/10.3390/md12052802.
- Yu M, Wang J, Tang K, Shi X, Wang S, Zhu WM, Zhang XH. 2012. Purification and characterization of antibacterial compounds of *Pseudoalteromonas flavipulchra* JG1. Microbiology 158:835–842. https://doi .org/10.1099/mic.0.055970-0.
- 24. Agarwal V, El Gamal AA, Yamanaka K, Poth D, Kersten RD, Schorn M, Allen EE, Moore BS. 2014. Biosynthesis of polybrominated aromatic organic compounds by marine bacteria. Nat Chem Biol 10:640–647. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1564.
- 25. Burke C, Thomas T, Egan S, Kjelleberg S. 2007. The use of functional genomics for the identification of a gene cluster encoding for the biosynthesis of an antifungal tambjamine in the marine bacterium Pseudoalteromonas tunicata. Environ Microbiol 9:814–818. https://doi .org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2006.01177.x.
- Fukuda D, Haines AS, Song Z, Murphy AC, Hothersall J, Stephens ER, Gurney R, Cox RJ, Crosby J, Willis CL, Simpson TJ, Thomas CM. 2011. A natural plasmid uniquely encodes two biosynthetic pathways creating a potent anti-MRSA antibiotic. PLoS One 6:e18031. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0018031.
- August PR, Grossman TH, Minor C, Draper MP, MacNeil IA, Pemberton JM, Call KM, Holt D, Osburne MS. 2000. Sequence analysis and functional characterization of the violacein biosynthetic pathway from Chromobacterium violaceum. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 2:513–519.
- Mireille Ayé A, Bonnin-Jusserand M, Brian-Jaisson F, Ortalo-Magné A, Culioli G, Koffi Nevry R, Rabah N, Blache Y, Molmeret M. 2015. Modulation of violacein production and phenotypes associated with biofilm by exogenous quorum sensing N-acylhomoserine lactones in the marine bacterium Pseudoalteromonas ulvae TC14. Microbiology 161: 2039–2051. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000147.
- Sobolevskaya MP, Smetanina OF, Speitling M, Shevchenko LS, Dmitrenok PS, Laatsch H, Kuznetsova TA, Ivanova EP, Elyakov GB. 2005. Controlling production of brominated cyclic depsipeptides by *Pseudoalteromonas maricaloris* KMM 636T. Lett Appl Microbiol 40:243–248. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2005.01635.x.
- Kalinovskaya NI, Dmitrenok AS, Kuznetsova TA, Frolova GM, Christen R, Laatsch H, Alexeeva YV, Ivanova EP. 2008. "Pseudoalteromonas januaria" SUT 11 as the source of rare lipodepsipeptides. Curr Microbiol 56: 199–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-007-9023-6.
- Vijayasarathy S, Prasad P, Fremlin LJ, Ratnayake R, Salim AA, Khalil Z, Capon RJ. 2016. C₃ and 2D C₃ Marfey's methods for amino acid analysis in natural products. J Nat Prod 79:421–427. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs .jnatprod.5b01125.
- Fountoulakis M, Lahm H-W. 1998. Hydrolysis and amino acid composition analysis of proteins. J Chromatogr A 826:109–134. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s0021-9673(98)00721-3.
- Fraune S, Anton-Erxleben F, Augustin R, Franzenburg S, Knop M, Schröder K, Willoweit-Ohl D, Bosch T. 2015. Bacteria– bacteria interactions within the microbiota of the ancestral metazoan Hydra contribute to fungal resistance. ISME J 9:1543–1556. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.239.
- 34. Jousset A, Bienhold C, Chatzinotas A, Gallien L, Gobet A, Kurm V, Küsel K, Rillig MC, Rivett DW, Salles JF, Van Der Heijden MGA, Youssef NH, Zhang X, Wei Z, Hol G. 2017. Where less may be more: how the rare

- Banerjee S, Schlaeppi K, van der Heijden M. 2018. Keystone taxa as drivers of microbiome structure and functioning. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:567–576. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0024-1.
- Hol WHG, Garbeva P, Hordijk C, Hundscheid MPJ, Klein Gunnewiek PJA, Van Agtmaal M, Kuramae EE, De Boer W. 2015. Non-random species loss in bacterial communities reduces antifungal volatile production. Ecology 96:2042–2048. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-2359.1.
- Offret C, Desriac F, Le Chevalier P, Mounier J, Jégou C, Fleury Y. 2016. Spotlight on antimicrobial metabolites from the marine bacteria Pseudoalteromonas: chemodiversity and ecological significance. Mar Drugs 14:129. https://doi.org/10.3390/md14070129.
- Mikhailov V, Romanenko L, Ivanova E. 2006. The genus Alteromonas and related Proteobacteria, p 597–645. *In* Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H, Stackebrandt E (ed), The prokaryotes: a handbook on the biology of bacteria. Springer Verlag, New York, NY.
- Bernbom N, Ng YY, Kjelleberg S, Harder T, Gram L. 2011. Marine bacteria from Danish coastal waters show antifouling activity against the marine fouling bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. strain S91 and zoospores of the green alga *Ulva australis* independent of bacteriocidal activity. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:8557–8567. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.06038-11.
- 40. Richards GP, Watson MA, Needleman DS, Uknalis J, Boyd EF, Fay P. 2017. Mechanisms for *Pseudoalteromonas piscicida*-induced killing of vibrios and other bacterial pathogens. Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e00175-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00175-17.
- Miao L, Kwong TFN, Qian PY. 2006. Effect of culture conditions on mycelial growth, antibacterial activity, and metabolite profiles of the marine-derived fungus *Arthrinium* c.f. *saccharicola*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 72:1063–1073. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0376-8.
- 42. Paulsen SS, Andersen B, Gram L, MacHado H. 2016. Biological potential of chitinolytic marine bacteria. Mar Drugs 14:230. https://doi.org/10 .3390/md14120230.
- Neu AK, Månsson M, Gram L, Prol-García MJ. 2014. Toxicity of bioactive and probiotic marine bacteria and their secondary metabolites in *Artemia* sp. and *Caenorhabditis elegans* as eukaryotic model organisms. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:146–153. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.02717-13.
- 44. Skerratt JH, Bowman JP, Hallegraeff G, James S, Nichols PD. 2002. Algicidal bacteria associated with blooms of a toxic dinoflagellate in a temperate Australian estuary. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 244:1–15. https://doi .org/10.3354/meps244001.
- Holmström C, Egan S, Franks A, McCloy S, Kjelleberg S. 2002. Antifouling activities expressed by marine surface associated *Pseudoalteromonas* species. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 41:47–58. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574 -6941.2002.tb00965.x.
- Huang S, Hadfield M. 2003. Composition and density of bacterial biofilms determine larval settlement of the polychaete *Hydroides elegans*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 260:161–172. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps260161.
- Tebben J, Tapiolas DM, Motti CA, Abrego D, Negri AP, Blackall LL, Steinberg PD, Harder T. 2011. Induction of larval metamorphosis of the coral *Acropora millepora* by tetrabromopyrrole isolated from a *Pseudoalteromonas* bacterium. PLoS One 6:e19082. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0019082.
- Huggett MJ, Williamson JE, de Nys R, Kjelleberg S, Steinberg PD. 2006. Larval settlement of the common Australian sea urchin *Heliocidaris* erythrogramma in response to bacteria from the surface of coralline algae. Oecologia 149:604–619. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006 -0470-8.
- Huang Y, Callahan S, Hadfield MG. 2012. Recruitment in the sea: bacterial genes required for inducing larval settlement in a polychaete worm. Sci Rep 2:228. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00228.
- Jin G, Wang S, Yu M, Yan S, Zhang XH. 2010. Identification of a marine antagonistic strain JG1 and establishment of a polymerase chain reaction detection technique based on the gyrB gene. Aquac Res 41: 1867–1874. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02591.x.
- Yu M, Tang K, Liu J, Shi X, Gulder TA, Zhang X-H. 2013. Genome analysis of *Pseudoalteromonas flavipulchra* JG1 reveals various survival advantages in marine environment. BMC Genomics 14:707. https://doi.org/10 .1186/1471-2164-14-707.
- Vynne NG, Mansson M, Nielsen KF, Gram L. 2011. Bioactivity, chemical profiling, and 165 rRNA-based phylogeny of *Pseudoalteromonas* strains collected on a global research cruise. Mar Biotechnol (NY) 13:1062–1073. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-011-9369-4.



- Nyholm SV, McFall-Ngai M. 2004. The winnowing: establishing the squid–vibrio symbiosis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:632–642. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nrmicro957.
- 54. Davidson SK, Koropatnick TA, Kossmehl R, Sycuro L, McFall-Ngai MJ. 2004. NO means 'yes' in the squid-vibrio symbiosis: nitric oxide (NO) during the initial stages of a beneficial association. Cell Microbiol 6:1139–1151. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00429.x.
- 55. Schleicher TR, Nyholm SV. 2011. Characterizing the host and symbiont proteomes in the association between the bobtail squid, *Euprymna scolopes*, and the bacterium, *Vibrio fischeri*. PLoS One 6:e25649. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025649.
- 56. Small AL, McFall-Ngai MJ. 1999. Halide peroxidase in tissues that interact with bacteria in the host squid Euprymna scolopes. J Cell Biochem 72:445–457. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4644(19990315)72:4 <445::AID-JCB1>3.0.CO;2-P.
- Weis VM, Small AL, McFall-Ngai MJ. 1996. A peroxidase related to the mammalian antimicrobial protein myeloperoxidase in the Euprymna-Vibrio mutualism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:13683–13688. https://doi .org/10.1073/pnas.93.24.13683.
- Wang Y, Dunn AK, Wilneff J, McFall-Ngai MJ, Spiro S, Ruby EG. 2010. Vibrio fischeri flavohaemoglobin protects against nitric oxide during initiation of the squid-Vibrio symbiosis. Mol Microbiol 78:903–915. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07376.x.
- 59. Reference deleted.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an opensource platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9:676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019.
- 61. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Tritt A, Eisen JA, Facciotti MT, Darling AE. 2012. An integrated pipeline for de novo assembly of microbial genomes. PLoS One 7:e42304. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042304.
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genomics 9:75. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.
- 64. Weber T, Blin K, Duddela S, Krug D, Kim HU, Bruccoleri R, Lee SY, Fischbach MA, Muller R, Wohlleben W, Breitling R, Takano E, Medema MH. 2015. antiSMASH 3.0–a comprehensive resource for the genome mining of biosynthetic gene clusters. Nucleic Acids Res 43:W237–W243. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv437.
- Wu YH, Cheng H, Xu L, Bin Jin X, Wang CS, Xu XW. 2017. Physiological and genomic features of a novel violacein-producing bacterium isolated from surface seawater. PLoS One 12:e0179997. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0179997.

- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkh340.
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, Von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods 14:587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285.
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W232–W235. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw256.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 32:268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/ msu300.
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. Mol Biol Evol 35:518–522. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281.
- 71. Letunic I, Bork P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W256–W259. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz239.
- Medema MH, Kottmann R, Yilmaz P, Cummings M, Biggins JB, Blin K, de Bruijn I, Chooi YH, Claesen J, Coates RC, Cruz-Morales P, Duddela S, Düsterhus S, Edwards DJ, Fewer DP, Garg N, Geiger C, Gomez-Escribano JP, Greule A, Hadjithomas M, Haines AS, Helfrich EJN, Hillwig ML, Ishida K, Jones AC, Jones CS, Jungmann K, Kegler C, Kim HU, Kötter P, Krug D, Masschelein J, Melnik AV, Mantovani SM, Monroe EA, Moore M, Moss N, Nützmann H-W, Pan G, Pati A, Petras D, Reen FJ, Rosconi F, Rui Z, Tian Z, Tobias NJ, Tsunematsu Y, Wiemann P, Wyckoff E, Yan X, Yim G, et al. 2015. The Minimum Information about a Biosynthetic Gene cluster (MIBiG) specification. Nat Chem Biol 11:625–631. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nchembio.1890.
- Moree WJ, McConnell OJ, Nguyen DD, Sanchez LM, Yang Y-L, Zhao X, Liu W-T, Boudreau PD, Srinivasan J, Atencio L, Ballesteros J, Gavilán RG, Torres-Mendoza D, Guzmán HM, Gerwick WH, Gutiérrez M, Dorrestein PC. 2014. Microbiota of healthy corals are active against fungi in a light-dependent manner. ACS Chem Biol 9:2300–2308. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/cb500432j.
- 74. Igarashi Y, Yamamoto K, Fukuda T, Shojima A, Nakayama J, Carro L, Trujillo ME. 2015. Arthroamide, a cyclic depsipeptide with quorum sensing inhibitory activity from Arthrobacter sp. J Nat Prod 78:2827–2831. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.5b00540.
- Balunas MJ, Grosso MF, Villa FA, Engene N, McPhail KL, Tidgewell K, Pineda LM, Gerwick L, Spadafora C, Kyle DE, Gerwick WH. 2012. Coibacins A-D, antileishmanial marine cyanobacterial polyketides with intriguing biosynthetic origins. Org Lett 14:3878–3881. https://doi.org/10 .1021/ol301607q.
- Nweze EI, Mukherjee PK, Ghannoum MA. 2010. Agar-based disk diffusion assay for susceptibility testing of dermatophytes. J Clin Microbiol 48: 3750–3752. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01357-10.