



Treatment Outcome of Traumatic Subclavian Artery Injuries

Parichat Tanmit 

Phati Angkasith 

Panu Teeratakulpisarn 

Chaiyut Thanapaisal

Narongchai Wongkonkitsin

Supatcha Prasertcharoensuk

Chaiwat Panich 

Department of Surgery, Faculty of
Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon
Kaen, Thailand

Background: Traumatic subclavian artery injuries are associated with high morbidity and mortality. Thoracic cage and clavicle provide a well protection of the underlying subclavian vessels and nerves and also cause a very limited operation space during open surgery. The endovascular modality is less invasive and alternative to conventional open surgical reconstruction.

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to analyze the different therapeutic effects on limb salvage.

Methods: A retrospective review of patients who presented with blunt or penetrating injuries to the subclavian arteries between March 2012 and March 2021.

Results: Endovascular and open repairs were both effective for traumatic subclavian artery injury. There was no statistical difference in the limb salvage, mortality, procedure-related complication, reintervention rate and in-hospital medical complications. Intraoperative blood loss, red blood cell transfusion requirement and length of hospital stay were significantly lower in the endovascular intervention group.

Conclusion: Endovascular treatment represents an attractive alternative to the traditional surgical approach for the treatment of traumatic injuries in the subclavian.

Keywords: traumatic subclavian artery injury, blunt trauma, penetrating trauma, pseudoaneurysms, stent graft, endovascular treatment, bypass

Introduction

Traumatic subclavian artery injuries are uncommon. These injuries may cause limb ischemia and a life-threatening serious hemorrhage.¹ This area contains local bony thorax structures and critical nerves. Direct surgical repair of arteries is difficult.² The bony thorax restricts and slows exposure, most relevant in traumatic situations, and there are several nerves intimately involved with the vessels proximally which should be preserved for best outcomes.³ Conventional open approaches include a combination of supraclavicular or infraclavicular incision, median sternotomy, and thoracotomy.⁴ An endovascular treatment could be an effective alternative by avoiding direct dissection in the zone of injury.

Materials and Methods

Data were evaluated retrospectively for all patients who were admitted to Srinagarind Hospital of Khon Kaen University with blunt or penetrating injuries (including iatrogenic) to the subclavian arteries between March 2012 and March 2021. All the injuries have been confirmed by intraoperative exploration,

Correspondence: Parichat Tanmit
Department of Surgery, Faculty of
Medicine, Khon Kaen University, 123
Moo 16 Mittraphap Road, Nai-Muang,
Muang District, Khon Kaen, 40002,
Thailand
Tel +66 850001714
Email pari.tanmit@gmail.com

computed tomography angiography or digital subtraction angiography. Patients who died in the emergency department were excluded from the analysis.

The purpose of this study was to compare therapeutic effects on limb salvage between the open surgical approach versus the interventional endovascular approach. Preoperative, perioperative and postoperative details were recorded. Data collected from the medical record included demographics, clinical findings such as active hemorrhage and ischemia of the limbs, mechanism of trauma, blood pressure, concomitant injuries at presentation, laboratory results and Injury Severity Scores (ISS) and treatment method. Blood loss, operation time, intraoperative blood transfusion, physiologic response, and subsequent outcomes were noted as well. Clinical evaluation and duplex ultrasound scanning or computed tomography angiography were performed to evaluate graft patency before discharge and at 1 month follow-up.

The primary outcome measure was 30-day freedom from limb amputation. Secondary outcomes were in-hospital mortality, transfusion requirements, overall complication rate, device-related complication, access site complication, reintervention, acute kidney injury (AKI) with or without dialysis, sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome.

Data Analysis

Continuous variables were reported as mean with standard deviation. Continuous data were compared using a Student's *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U*-test and categorical data using a Chi-squared or Fisher's exact test. Significance was assumed for *p* values <0.05. Statistical analysis was completed using IBM SPSS statistics Version 26.

Results

A total of 30 patients met inclusion criteria. Nineteen patients (63%) underwent an open surgery and endovascular repairs were performed in nine (30%) patients. Primary amputation was performed in one case with severe arm ischemia. Arterial thrombosis, in one case with no signs of limb ischemia, was managed with observation.

The mean age was 30 ± 17.5 years. The patients were predominantly male (86.7%) and injuries resulted from motor vehicle collision (50%). (Table 1) Comparison of the general data of open and endovascular treatment is shown in Table 2.

Open surgery was performed in 19 (68%) patients. There were 17 male with 2 female patients ranging in

Table 1 Clinical Characteristics of Study Population

Demographics	
Male, n (%)	26 (86.7)
Age (year), mean (SD)	30 ± 17.5
Injury severity score, mean (SD)	17.4 ± 8.5
Mechanism of injury, n (%)	
-Motor vehicle collision	15 (50)
-Motorcycle crash	1 (3.3)
-Catheter related complication	4 (13.3)
-Intraoperative iatrogenic injury	3 (10)
-Stab	4 (13.3)
-Gunshot	2 (6.7)
-Fall from height	1 (3.3)

age from 14 to 60 years (mean 35.95 ± 14.20 years). Motor vehicle collisions were the most common causes of injury that accounted for 47% of the cases. Injury severity score in this group of patients ranged from 8 to 34 (mean 16.47 ± 8.56). The most common associated lesions were subclavian vein injuries (Table 3). Most patients initially present with ischemic symptoms (pain, pallor, pulselessness, paresthesia, paralysis, and poikilothermia). Eight patients (42%) were seen with completed arterial transection and six patients (32%) were seen with arterial contusion and thrombosis. The infraclavicular approach was employed in 15 (79%) patients. A supraclavicular approach, median sternotomy, standard posterolateral thoracotomy, and trapdoor incision were used in one patient per technique. Arterial reconstruction with synthetic PTFE grafts was performed in 10 cases (53%) and reverse saphenous vein graft was used in one case (5%). Primary anastomosis of the injured artery was performed in 2 patients (11%). Two cases underwent lateral arteriorrhaphy and arterial ligation were performed in three cases (16%). (Table 5) No temporary vascular shunt was applied. The initial amputation was done in one case with a nonviable limb. Two patients required arm amputation because of severe limb ischemia from bypass graft thrombosis.

Nine patients (32%) underwent endovascular intervention. The mean age was 41.44 years (range 20–86) among 7 (77%) males and 2 (22%) females. The two most common mechanisms of injuries were motor vehicle collisions (44%) and catheter-related complications (44%) (Table 2). The most common concomitant injury was subclavian vein (56%), followed by clavicle fracture (44%). Injury severity

Table 2 Comparison of Preoperative Demographic Data and Clinical Characteristics

Variable	Open Repair (n=19)	Endovascular Repair (n=9)	p-value
Age (year), mean (SD)	35.95 (14.20)	41.44 (23.72)	0.096
Gender: Male, n (%)	17 (89.47)	7 (77.78)	0.574
Mechanism of injury, n (%)			0.06
-Motor vehicle collision	9 (47.37)	4 (44.44)	
-Motorcycle crash	1 (5.26)	0 (0.00)	
-Auto vs Pedestrian	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	
-Catheter related complication	0 (0.00)	4 (44.44)	
-Intraoperative iatrogenic injury	3 (15.79)	0 (0.00)	
-Stab	3 (15.79)	1 (11.11)	
-Gunshot	2 (10.53)	0 (0.00)	
-Fall from height	1 (5.26)	0 (0.00)	
Shock grade, n (%)			0.81
1	13 (68.42)	7 (77.78)	
2	1 (5.26)	0 (0.00)	
3	2 (10.53)	1 (11.11)	
4	3 (15.79)	1 (11.11)	
Clinical			
Pulsatile bleeding, n (%)	6 (31.58)	3 (33.33)	0.93
Expansile hematoma, n (%)	5 (26.32)	1 (11.11)	0.36
Audible thrill, n (%)	1 (5.26)	1 (11.11)	0.58
Ischemic symptoms, n (%)	11 (57.89)	3 (33.33)	0.23
History of massive external bleeding, n (%)	1 (5.26)	1 (11.11)	0.58
Peripheral neurological deficit, n (%)	3 (15.79)	2 (22.22)	0.68
Proximal wound to vessel, n (%)	0 (0.00)	1 (11.11)	0.14
Diminish distal pulse, n (%)	0 (0.00)	1 (11.11)	0.14

score in this group of patients ranged from 8 to 36 (mean 17.78 ± 8.96) (Table 3). Pulsatile hemorrhage (33%) and limb ischemia in the affected extremities (33%) were common clinical presentations. Arterial wall laceration occurred in 56% of cases. The procedures were performed in the operative theater with full endovascular capability and suitable for multidisciplinary management of trauma patients. The brachial artery or femoral artery was accessed. A combined brachial and femoral artery approach was used to create a through-and-through wire and repair the transected artery. Stent grafts were used in eight patients (89%). Technical success was obtained in all cases without procedure-related complications. One patient received transcatheter arterial embolization of pseudoaneurysm and re-embolization was required due to expanding hematoma. We report two cases of early post-operative mortality secondary to severe sepsis and Metformin-associated lactic acidosis.

Clinical characteristics and demographics were compared between these two groups. There were no

differences in age, gender, mechanism of trauma, vital signs on arrival, injury severity score and trauma injury severity score between the two study groups. Patients with endovascular intervention had a higher incidence of clavicle fracture ($p=0.03$) and a higher preoperative creatinine ($p=0.01$) (Table 4). Intraoperative blood loss, red blood cell transfusion requirement and length of hospital stay were significantly lower in the endovascular intervention group ($p=0.03$, 0.01 and 0.04) (Table 6). There was no statistical difference in the limb salvage, mortality, procedure-related complication, reintervention rate and in-hospital medical complications (Table 7).

Discussion

Traumatic subclavian artery injuries are reported in less than 5% of all traumatic arterial injury.⁵ Injuries are associated with high morbidity and mortality. Overall mortality of patients with axillo-subclavian artery injury who receiving operation range from 5% to 30%.^{2,6,7}

Table 3 Associated Injuries of Patients

Variable	Open Repair (n=19)	Endovascular Repair (n=9)	p-value
Abbreviated Injury Scale, mean(SD)			
Head/neck	1.89 (1.24)	1.67 (1.66)	0.69
Face	0.11 (0.32)	0.00 (0.00)	0.33
Thorax	1.63 (1.80)	3.00 (1.23)	0.05
Abdomen	0.26 (0.81)	0.00 (0.00)	0.34
Extremity	1.79 (1.32)	1.11 (1.05)	0.19
External	0.21 (0.42)	0.22 (0.44)	0.95
Injury severity score, mean (SD)	16.47 (8.56)	17.78 (8.96)	0.71
Subclavian vein injury, n (%)	14 (73.68)	5 (55.56)	0.34
Brachial plexus, n (%)	6 (31.58)	3 (33.33)	0.93
Clavicle fracture, n (%)	1 (5.26)	4 (44.44)	0.03
Scapular fracture, n (%)	3 (15.79)	3 (33.33)	0.29
Rib fracture, n (%)	4 (21.05)	2 (22.22)	0.94
Pneumothorax, n (%)	1 (5.26)	2 (22.22)	0.18
Spine fracture, n (%)	1 (5.26)	1 (11.11)	0.76
Liver injury, n (%)	1 (5.26)	0 (0.00)	0.48
Long bone fracture, n (%)	7 (36.84)	0 (0.00)	0.06

Table 4 Preoperative Laboratory Evaluation of Patients

Variable	Open Repair (n=19)	Endovascular Repair (n=9)	p-value
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.85 (0.31)	2.17(2.48)	0.01
Hemoglobin (g/dL), mean (SD)	10.62 (2.47)	11.00 (2.23)	0.88
Platelet (μ L), mean (SD)	173,666 (75,249)	228,666 (100,601)	0.39
Prothrombin time (seconds), mean (SD)	12.94 (2.21)	12.77 (1.61)	0.25
Activated partial thromboplastin Time (seconds), mean (SD)	39.03 (24.93)	33.28 (6.85)	0.09
International Normalized Ratio, mean (SD)	1.18 (0.19)	1.14 (0.15)	0.45
Blood pH level, mean (SD)	7.47 (0.05)	7.37 (0.07)	0.46

Table 5 Open Operative Repair

Incision (Open Surgery), n (%)	
Supraclavicular	1 (5.26)
Infraclavicular	15 (78.95)
Sternotomy	1 (5.26)
Posterolateral thoracotomy	1 (5.26)
Trapdoor	1 (5.26)
Type of Repair, n (%)	
Lateral arteriorrhaphy	2 (10.53)
Resection and primary anastomosis	2 (10.53)
PTFE graft	10 (52.63)
Reverse saphenous vein graft	1 (5.26)
Ligation	3 (15.79)
Primary amputation	1 (5.26)

The majority of subclavian vessel injuries in the civilian population result from penetrating trauma.⁸ The mechanism of injury in our study is most commonly blunt because of motor vehicle collisions. High-speed motor vehicle accidents can be devastating and produce significantly more tissue damage.

The immediate management consists of assessing a patient's condition rapidly and accurately, then resuscitating and stabilizing the patient. The diagnoses may be suspected clinically, including active hemorrhage, hematoma and ischemia of the limb. However, the collateral circulation of the upper extremities is so extensive that patients may even have palpable distal pulses after the vessels are

Table 6 Comparison of Operative Data

Operative Data	Open Repair (n=19)	Endovascular Repair (n=9)	p-value
Vessel injury pattern, n (%)			0.90
-Laceration	3 (15.79)	5 (55.56)	
-Complete Transection	8 (42.11)	1 (11.11)	
-Incomplete transection	6 (31.58)	0 (0.00)	
-Contusion and thrombosis	1 (5.26)	2 (22.22)	
-False aneurysm	1 (5.26)	1 (11.11)	
Fasciotomy, n (%)	5 (26.32)	0 (0.00)	0.14
Time to operation (hour), mean (SD)	23.38 (26.81)	50.25 (60.10)	0.11
Operation time (minute), mean (SD)	186.41 (74.68)	140.25 (89.60)	0.44
Estimated blood loss (mL), mean (SD)	2314.38 (3575.11)	206.88 (227.01)	0.03
Intraoperative Packed red cells (unit), mean (SD)	4.31 (5.64)	0.63 (1.19)	0.01

Table 7 Comparison of Post-Operative Data

Post-Operative Data	Open Repair (n=19)	Endovascular Repair (n=9)	p-value
Vasopressor requirement (case)	2	2	0.42
Reoperation due to bleeding (case)	0	1	0.32
Reoperation due to thrombosis (case)	2	0	0.31
Surgical site infections (case)	5	0	0.14
In-hospital complications			
Acute renal failure (case)	1	0	0.48
Mechanical Ventilation require more than 48 hours (case)	6	2	0.61
Limb ischemia (case)	2	0	0.31
Limb compartment syndrome (case)	0	0	-
Pneumonia (case)	1	0	0.48
Acute respiratory distress syndrome (case)	1	0	0.48
Sepsis (case)	8	2	0.31
ICU stay (day), mean (SD)	3.06 (3.68)	3.89 (4.42)	0.70
Hospital stay(day), mean (SD)	16.94 (12.77)	6.13 (4.26)	0.04
Ventilator day(day), mean (SD)	1.94 (2.11)	1 (1.80)	0.63
Inhospital mortality (case)	1	2	0.23
30 day mortality (case)	1	2	0.23
Time to death (day)	1.5 (2.12)	3.33 (2.89)	0.39
Need re intervention (case)	0	1	0.30
Limb amputation (total) (case)	3	0	0.53
Post revascularization amputation (case)	2	0	0.31

completely transected.¹ The computed tomography angiography (CTA) is a reliable noninvasive modality for diagnosis and helpful in planning the operative approach. Duplex ultrasound imaging can provide crucial information for unstable critically ill patients and allows a careful selection of patients who require undergoing in-depth imaging methods or surgical therapy, thus contributing to a significant reduction of contrast medium and exposure to ionizing radiation.⁹ Intraoperative angiography allows for the direct

simultaneous assessment of blood perfusion and further surgical intervention.

Management of vascular injuries, especially when they occur in combination with bony fractures, soft tissue loss, nerve damage and contamination is an extremely challenging situation. Our data are in agreement with previous reports showing that blunt trauma is frequently associated with major musculoskeletal and brachial plexus injury.¹⁰ Traumatic subclavian artery is difficult to expose due to

hematoma formation and anatomical complexity. Thoracic cage and clavicle provide a well protection of the underlying nerves and blood vessels and also cause a very limited operation space during open surgery.¹¹

The principles of open vascular repair include obtaining adequate exposure, proximal and distal control, restoration of perfusion, prevention of ischemia reperfusion and compartment syndrome.¹² The endovascular modality is less invasive and alternative to conventional open surgical reconstruction. A review of the literature using endovascular repair of traumatic injuries in the subclavian arteries shows a high degree of technical success and excellent patency rates.¹³

Interventional stent implantation and transcatheter embolization offer an effective, efficient and safe alternative to conventional surgical management.^{14,15}

In this study, endovascular and open repairs were both effective for limb salvage. No statistically significant association was found between surgical approaches and 30-day limb amputation, in-hospital mortality, overall complication rate, device-related complication, access site complication, reintervention, acute kidney injury (AKI) with or without dialysis, sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and multiorgan dysfunction syndrome. Technical success rate of endovascular treatment is good. However, endovascular management is less invasive, less blood loss, less red blood cell transfusion requirement and shorter length of hospital stay.

The potential benefits of endovascular versus open repair consist of shorter operative duration and less intravenous fluid requirement.

The early complications consisted of graft thrombosis after open reconstruction and endoleak after treatment of a pseudoaneurysm. No severe access-related complication was found. Two cases required arm amputation because of severe limb ischemia from bypass graft thrombosis.

Inadvertent arterial puncture can lead to devastating complications. We retrospectively identified 6 cases of subclavian artery injury following central venous catheterization. Two of them were unrecognized for the injury and all had severe complications resulting in hemorrhagic shock and death. Three patients were treated using an endovascular approach after pulsatile flow was observed from the catheter. One case failed attempted right jugular vein access and computed tomography angiography demonstrated subclavian artery false aneurysm. In all four cases, the covered stent placement was technically successful without procedure-related complications.

Endovascular management of iatrogenic injury to the subclavian artery is a safe and effective alternative to open surgery.^{16,17}

Limitations

There are some limitations in our study. Traumatic subclavian artery is a rare vascular injury. There have been a small number of cases. The data were collected retrospectively and thus limited by the usual limitations of retrospectively collected data. Furthermore, we cannot exclude selection bias for operative procedure.

Conclusion

Endovascular and open repairs were both effective for traumatic subclavian artery injury. A cover stent may be useful for life-threatening hemorrhage and restore blood flow to an ischemic limb and allow nonoperative management of the subclavian artery. Endovascular therapy appears to be a feasible treatment option with intraoperative blood loss, red blood cell transfusion requirement and length of hospital stay lower than those seen in open surgery.

Ethics Declarations

This retrospective study was approved by the Center for Ethics in Human Research of Khon Kaen University (KKUEC), and the committee's reference number is HE641010. This study represents no more than minimal risk to subjects and will not adversely affect their rights and welfare. All patient identifiers will be removed once the data from the patient medical records are collected. All elements of informed consent are being asked to be waived for this study. The study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Srinagarind hospital surgeons team and the nursing team for their contributions to the work presented in this paper.

Funding

There is no funding to report.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. McCreedy RA, Procter CD, Hyde GL. Subclavian-axillary vascular trauma. *J Vasc Surg.* 1986;3(1):24–31. doi:10.1016/0741-5214(86)90065-0
2. Demetriades D, Asensio JA. Subclavian and axillary vascular injuries. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2001;81(6):1357–1373. doi:10.1016/S0039-6109(01)80012-8
3. Committee American College of Surgeon. *Advanced Surgical Skills for Exposure in Trauma.* Chicago: American College of Surgeon; 2010.
4. Abouljoud MS, Obeid FN, Horst HM, Sorensen VJ, Fath JJ, Chung SK. Arterial injuries of the thoracic outlet: a ten-year experience. *Am Surg.* 1993;59(9):590–595.
5. Perry MO, Thal ER, Shires GT. Management of arterial injuries. *Ann Surg.* 1971;173(3):403–408. doi:10.1097/0000658-197103000-00011
6. Schaff HV, Brawley RK. Operative management of penetrating vascular injuries of the thoracic outlet. *J Trauma.* 1977;17(12):981. doi:10.1097/00005373-197712000-00022
7. Degiannis E, Levy RD, Potokar T, Saadia R. Penetrating injuries of the axillary artery. *ANZ J Surg.* 1995;65(5):327–330. doi:10.1111/j.1445-2197.1995.tb00647.x
8. Sciarretta JD, Pepe A, Dickson L. Penetrating subclavian vessel injury: diagnosis and treatment. *Emerg Med Open Access.* 2013;3:143.
9. Corvino A, Catalano O, de Magistris G, et al. Usefulness of Doppler techniques in the diagnosis of peripheral iatrogenic pseudoaneurysms secondary to minimally invasive interventional and surgical procedures: imaging findings and diagnostic performance study. *J Ultrasound.* 2020;23(4):563–573. doi:10.1007/s40477-020-00475-6
10. Klocker J, Falkensammer J, Pellegrini L, Biebl M, Tauscher T, Fraedrich G. Repair of arterial injury after blunt trauma in the upper extremity – immediate and long-term outcome. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2010;39(2):160–164. doi:10.1016/j.ejvs.2009.11.019
11. Tadayon N, Yavari N, Zarrintan S, Hosseini SM, Kalantar-Motamedi SMR. Management of traumatic subclavian artery injuries in a high-volume vascular surgery center in Iran. *J Cardiovasc Thorac Res.* 2020;12(2):145–149. doi:10.34172/jcvtr.2020.24
12. Martin MJ, Perez-Alonso AJ, Asensio JA. Vascular complications and special problems in vascular trauma. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2013;39(6):569–589. doi:10.1007/s00068-013-0336-9
13. Jacks R, Degiannis E. Endovascular therapy and controversies in the management of vascular trauma. *Scand J Surg.* 2014;103(2):149–155. doi:10.1177/1457496914532248
14. Tian X, Liu JL, Jia W, et al. Comparison of traditional vascular reconstruction with covered stent in the treatment of subclavian artery injury. *Chin J Traumatol.* 2020;23(1):25–28. doi:10.1016/j.cjtee.2019.11.007
15. Corvino F, Giurazza F, Cangiano G, et al. Safety and effectiveness of transcatheter embolization in the treatment of internal mammary artery injuries. *Radiol Med.* 2018;123(5):369–377. doi:10.1007/s11547-017-0844-5
16. Leonardou P, Pappas P. Urgent endovascular treatment of iatrogenic subclavian artery rupture: report of three cases. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg.* 2012;18(6):527–530. doi:10.5505/tjtes.2012.50374
17. Chemelli AP, Wiedermann F, Klocker J, et al. Endovascular management of inadvertent subclavian artery catheterization during subclavian vein cannulation. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2010;21(4):470–476. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2009.12.392

Vascular Health and Risk Management

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Vascular Health and Risk Management is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies on the processes involved in the maintenance of vascular health; the monitoring, prevention and treatment of vascular disease and its sequelae; and the involvement

of metabolic disorders, particularly diabetes. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and MedLine. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/vascular-health-and-risk-management-journal>