

# Synthesis and Properties of Primary Alcohol Ethoxylates Using Different Catalytic Systems

[Yingxue Li,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yingxue+Li"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Jingjie Zhou,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jingjie+Zhou"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Yong Zhang,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yong+Zhang"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Huibin Liang,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Huibin+Liang"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Jinyuan Sun,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jinyuan+Sun"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Yuqi Liu,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yuqi+Liu"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Gerardino D](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Gerardino+D%E2%80%99Errico"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)'Errico, [Yongqiang Sun,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Yongqiang+Sun"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-5-0) [and Martino Di Serio](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Martino+Di+Serio"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-5-0)



C12−14 primary alcohols with ethylene oxide. Alcohol ethoxylates with a ratio of ethylene oxide/substrate near 3 were synthesized. The catalysts influenced the reaction rate, molecular weight distribution of adducts, and formation of byproducts. The physicochemical properties of ethoxylates obtained using different catalytic systems were analyzed, and their functional properties, i.e., wetting and permeation, were investigated. The results showed that the products obtained using the catalysts MCT-09 and NAE-03 had a narrower oligomer distribution and excellent wetting properties compared with those obtained using conventional ethoxylation catalysts.



## 1. INTRODUCTION

Alcohol ethoxylates, abbreviated as AEO, are the most important class of nonionic surfactants.[1,2](#page-6-0) AEO are alcohol− ether mixtures with a certain molecular weight distribution produced by a stepwise additive ethoxylation reaction of fatty alcohols with ethylene oxide in the presence of alkali, acid, or other types of catalysts<sup>[3](#page-6-0)</sup> (see Scheme 1).



There are three main factors affecting the molecular weight distribution of ethoxylates: first, the catalyst properties; second, the initiator structure; and third, the reactor mass transfer.<sup>[4](#page-6-0)</sup> Therefore, for most cases where the reaction device and starting agent type are fixed, the catalyst is the factor affecting the ethoxylation reaction product.<sup>[5,6](#page-6-0)</sup> The molecular weight distributions of ethoxylates catalyzed by different kinds of catalysts are very different. Basic catalysts, such as NaOH and KOH, catalyze products with a wider molecular weight distribution and high concentration of unreacted primary alcohols.<sup>[7](#page-6-0),[8](#page-6-0)</sup> Acidic catalysts, such as  $BF_3$  and SbCl<sub>4</sub> catalyze products with a narrower molecular weight distribution but give rise to more byproducts of the reaction.<sup>[9](#page-6-0),[10](#page-6-0)</sup> In some cases, to obtain a narrow oligomer distribution, heterogeneous catalysts have also been proposed.<sup>11</sup> The molecular weight

distribution of AEO products directly affects the application of the products.<sup>[12,13](#page-6-0)</sup> A narrow distribution is preferable in most cases.[14](#page-6-0)−[16](#page-6-0) Therefore, the selection of suitable catalysts for the synthesis of narrowly distributed ethoxylated products under suitable reaction conditions has long been the focus of research.

In the current literature, the behavior of the newly proposed catalyst is compared in general only with the classical ones (KOH or NaOH); the aim of this paper is to compare in a homogeneous way the performances of the different catalysts in the ethoxylation reaction to elicidate that the difference in the molecular weight distribution of oligomers can influence their physicochemical properties.

In this paper, products obtained by ethoxylation of a fatty alcohol with classical basic catalysts (NaOH, KOH, NaOCH<sub>3</sub>,  $KOCH<sub>3</sub>$ ) have been compared with different basic  $(C_{11}H_{23}COONa, MCT-09)$  and acidic  $(Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, SnCl<sub>4</sub>$ and  $H_3O_{40}PW_{12} \cdot xH_2O$ , NAE-03) catalysts.

The composition and molecular weight distribution of ethoxylates obtained using the various catalytic systems were discussed, and their physical and chemical properties, such as wetting and permeation, were systematically investigated.

Received: August 7, 2021 Accepted: October 6, 2021 Published: October 26, 2021





© 2021 The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society <sup>29774</sup>



Figure 1. Ethoxylation reaction rates under different catalytic systems: (a) basic catalytic system and (b) acidic catalytic system.

## 2. RESULTS

2.1. Reaction Rates of Fatty Alcohol Ethoxylation with Different Catalytic Systems. In Figure 1, ethylene oxide fed over time has been reported for the different catalytic systems. The basic classical catalysts do not have any induction period and the reaction rate is constant along the time (Figure 1a). In these cases, catalytic species (alcoholate) is formed during the preheating phase in which water or methanol are removed by vacuum

 $ROH + M^{+}OH^{-} \rightarrow RO^{-}M^{+}H_{2}O$ 

 $ROH + M^+CH_3O^- \rightarrow RO^-M^+ + CH_3OH$ 

From the data in Figure 1a, NaOH seems to be more active compared to KOH with an activity of about 66%; however, it has to be considered that the runs were performed with the same weight amount of the catalyst and so with higher molar concentration of NaOH with respect to KOH because of the difference in molar weight. As a matter of fact, the reaction rate is given by the following equation<sup>[17](#page-6-0)</sup>

$$
r_{\rm EO} = k[\text{Cat}][\text{EO}]
$$

And so, it is strictly dependent on the molar concentration of the catalyst. Considering the actual molar concentrations of KOH and NaOH, the difference in activity is around 30%. The lower activity of the corresponding methylate (NaOCH<sub>3</sub>,  $KOCH<sub>3</sub>$ ) is due to the fact that the catalysts are dissolved in methanol and so their final concentration in the reaction mixture is lower than that of the hydroxide. Considering the molar concentration, the activity of methylates is comparable with that of the corresponding hydroxides.

In the case of  $C_{11}H_{23}COONa$ , an induction period was observed. This behavior can be justified considering that carboxylates are less nucleophilic (and so less reactive) than alkoxides<sup>17</sup> that are formed after the first ethylene oxide  $(EO)$ reaction

$$
\mathrm{C}_{11}\mathrm{H}_{23}\mathrm{COO}^{-}\mathrm{Na}^{+} + \mathrm{EO} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{11}\mathrm{H}_{23}\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{O}^{-}\mathrm{Na}^{+}
$$

MCT-09 is a heterogeneous catalyst similar to that used by Hama et al. for the ethoxylation of fatty acid methyl esters<sup>18−[20](#page-6-0)</sup> and fatty alcohols.<sup>11</sup> At the end of the reaction, the MCT-09 heterogeneous catalyst can be removed by filtration and a transparent product is obtained.

The proposed reaction mechanism for this type of catalyst is based on the bifunctional effect of the base derived from magnesium oxide and the acid derived from the Al ion.<sup>1</sup>

In the case of acidic catalysts (Lewis type:  $SnCl<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $Mg(CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ ; Bronsted type:  $H_3O_{40}PW_{12} \cdot xH_2O$ ), no induction time was observed, the initial activity being in the order SnCl4<sub>4</sub>  $> Mg(CIO_4)$ <sub>2</sub>  $\cong H_3O_{40}PW_1$ <sub>2</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O. The activity of SnCl<sub>4</sub> and  $H_3O_{40}PW_1, xH_2O$  was quite constant along the time, while in the case of  $Mg(CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , a deactivation was observed.

This behavior can be explained considering the reaction mechanism. $21$  In the presence of strong protic acids such as  $H_3O_{40}PW_{12} \cdot xH_2O$ , the EO ring opening is virtually completed in the transition state and is followed by the  $SN<sub>1</sub>$  nucleophilic attack.

$$
H_2C \longrightarrow CH_2 \xrightarrow{HA} H_2C \longrightarrow CH_2 \longrightarrow HOCH_2CH_2^+ \xrightarrow{RXH} RXCH_2CH_2OH + HA
$$

Also, the reaction mechanism in the presence of Lewis acids  $(SnCl<sub>4</sub>, Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)$  is based on cationic intermediates and involves the formation of an oxonium ion by the activation of the EO oxygen atom by the metal. However, in the case of  $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ , we observed a reduction in the reaction rate probably because  $Mg^{2+}$  interacts preferably with the ether oxygens of the ethoxylated products, like in the case of crow-ethers.<sup>[2222](#page-6-0)</sup>



In the case of NAE-03 (see Figure 1b), we notice a completely different behavior with respect to the previously described acidic catalyst. As a matter of fact, NAE-03 showed a long induction period and a subsequent strong increase in the reaction rate. Studies to justify this behavior are ongoing and the results will be published in a future paper. Also, the product obtained with NAE-03 was filtered before physicochemical characterization.

2.2. Selectivity and Oligomer Distribution in Fatty Alcohol Ethoxylation Using Different Catalytic Systems. As can be seen from [Table 1,](#page-2-0) the EO addition number of AEO (EO/S°) determined for the products of the alkaline catalytic system is basically close to the theoretical value (a lower value is obtained with  $C_{11}H_{23}COONa$ ). For the acidic catalytic

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 1. Average EO Addition Number of AEO (Determined (by Hydroxyl Value; Theoretical Value = 3.00), Poly(ethylene glycol) Concentration (PEG, % W), and Residue Fatty Alcohol C12−C14 Concentration (% W)

|                    | catalyst                               | hydroxyl<br>value<br>(mg KOH/g) | average<br>EO<br>addition<br>number<br>$(EO/S^{\circ})$ | <b>PEG</b><br>(%<br>W) | fatty<br>alcohol<br>$(\% W)$ |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------------|
| basic<br>catalyst  | KOH                                    | 178                             | 2.8   | 3.8                    | 13.7                         |
|                    | <b>NaOH</b>                            | 175                             | 2.9   | 1.5                    | 16.3                         |
|                    | CH <sub>3</sub> OK                     | 177                             | 2.8   | 3.7                    | 10.2                         |
|                    | CH <sub>3</sub> ONa                    | 180                             | 2.7   | 3.1                    | 15.4                         |
|                    | $C_{11}H_{23}COONa$                    | 183                             | 2.6   | 4.7                    | 16.4                         |
|                    | MCT-09                                 | 180                             | 2.7   | 4.4                    | 7.1                          |
| acidic<br>catalyst | $Mg(CIO4)$ ,                           | 198                             | 2.1   | 3.3                    | 2.4                          |
|                    | SnCl <sub>4</sub>                      | 195                             | 2.2   | 1.4                    | 5.1                          |
|                    | $H_3O_{40}PW_1$ .<br>xH <sub>2</sub> O | 180                             | 2.7   | 7.7                    | 6.5                          |
|                    | <b>NAE-03</b>                          | 177                             | 2.8   | 6.2                    | 10.0                         |

system, the addition reaction using magnesium perchlorate and tin tetrachloride catalysts could not achieve high EO addition numbers. Only the actual average EO additions obtained using phosphotungstic acid and NAE-03 catalysts were in agreement with the theoretical value.

These results can be justified considering the different selectivity of the catalysts. As a matter of fact, during the ethoxylation reaction, different secondary reactions are possible, thus reducing the selectivity. $23$  The most important one is the formation of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG). These products can be formed by traces of impurity (like for example water or methanol) in the substrate or by degradation of the ethylene oxide chain of ethoxylated fatty alcohols. From the data reported in Table 1, it can be seen that basic catalysts produce less PEG than acidic catalysts, being, in general, more selective.

From GC data, it is possible to determine the unreacted free alcohol for the different catalytic systems. These data are also reported in Table 1. The results of homogeneous basic catalysts showed that KOH and CH<sub>3</sub>OK leave less unreacted fatty alcohols with respect to NaOH,  $CH<sub>3</sub>ONa$ , and  $C_{11}H_{23}COONa$ . These results are explained by the different equilibrium constant of hydrogen transfer reactions between alcohol and ethoxylate that is strongly dependent on the nature of the cation<sup>[21](#page-6-0),[23](#page-6-0)</sup>

 $\text{RO}^+ + \text{RO}(\text{EO})_i \text{H} \leftrightarrow \text{ROH} + \text{RO}(\text{EO})_i^- \text{M}^+$ 

The unreacted alcohol concentration using acidic catalysts is, in general, lower than that of basic catalysts, except in the case of the heterogeneous basic catalyst MCT-09 that has a quite similar unreacted alcohol concentration to that obtained with the acidic catalyst  $H_3O_{40}PW_{12} \cdot xH_2O$ . It has to be pointed out that MCT-09 give rise to a lower concentration of byproducts than acidic catalysts and the oligomer distributions are very different. The oligomer distribution obtained with MCT-09 is near that predicted by the Flory distribution, $24$  while in the case of  $H_3O_{40}PW_{12} \cdot xH_2O$ , a very high concentration of the first adduct is found (see Figure 2). The oligomer distribution in the last case could be described with a Natta−Mantica distribution, $25$  which is the indication of a complex mechanism with a strong influence of the number of added ethylene oxide molecules on the reaction rate.



Figure 2. Oligomer distributions obtained with MCT-09 and  $H_3O_{40}PW_{12} \cdot xH_2O$ , compared with the distribution calculated using the Flory model.<sup>2</sup>

In the case of the NAE-03 catalyst, the obtained oligomer distribution is narrower than that calculated by the Weibull− Nycander Equation, $23$  which is typical for classical basic catalysts (see Figure 3), and also in this case the distribution could be described by the Natta–Mantica equation.<sup>2</sup>



Figure 3. Oligomer Distributions obtained with the NAE-03 catalyst, compared with the distribution calculated using the Weibull− Nycander Equation.<sup>2</sup>

2.3. Study of the Physicochemical Properties of Primary Alcohol Ethoxylates. The values of the physical properties (viscosity, freezing point, and cloud point) of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$ obtained using various catalytic systems are shown in [Table 2](#page-3-0) (the products with low average EO addition number obtained with  $Mg(CIO_4)_2$  and  $SnCl_4$  were not considered). The data are very close, the more significant difference being the lower viscosity and higher cloud point obtained in the case of MCT-09 and NAE-03 catalysts.

Water penetration into an object with the help of surfactants is called permeability. Excellent penetration properties of surfactants are required in applications such as washing, pesticide spraying, printing, and dyeing. The reason why water

### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 2. Physical Properties of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$



can wet and penetrate inside the textile is because the textile fiber is a porous material with huge surface area, which can make the solution flow rapidly along the fiber and enter the fiber void due to capillary action, replacing the air out until the textile is completely wetted. Therefore, the speed of penetration is an important factor when examining the permeability. The most common method to measure surfactant permeability is by measuring the sink time of the canvas piece in the surfactant solution at a specified concentration.

The permeation ability of various  $AEO_3$  synthesized using different catalysts is shown in Figure 4, from which it can be



Figure 4. Water permeability of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  obtained using different catalytic systems.

seen that the permeation ability of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  is excellent for every case. The permeation ability of these products is in general better than that of  $C_{16}-C_{18}$  ethoxylated alcohols with the same EO/S° value (sink time ~ 39 s),<sup>[26](#page-6-0)</sup> probably because the carbon chain length is shorter and the molecular diffusion rate in the fiber is faster at the same temperature.<sup>2</sup>

The study of properties of different  $AEO_3$  was continued on one product obtained with a classical catalyst (KOH) and two products obtained with the catalysts that gave a narrow oligomer distribution (MCT-09 and NAE-03). Figure 5 shows the three curves of surface tension vs concentration for KOH, MCT-09, and NAE-03 catalytic systems of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  at 25 °C.



Figure 5. Variation of surface tension with concentration at 25 °C for AEO3 with different catalytic systems.

From the data reported in Figure 5, it is possible to calculate the critical micelle concentration (CMC), the surface tension at the CMC  $(\gamma_{\rm CMC})$ , and other surface parameters. The maximum adsorption amount  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  and the minimum crosssectional area  $A_{\text{min}}$  of the surfactant at the gas-liquid interface can be calculated according to eqs 1 and 2

$$
\Gamma_{\text{max}} = -\frac{1}{2.303nRT} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \log C} \right)_T \tag{1}
$$

$$
A_{\min} = \frac{1}{N_{\rm A} \Gamma_{\rm max}}\tag{2}
$$

where R is the gas constant, 8.314  $J/(mol·K)$ ; T is the thermodynamic temperature,  $K; \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \log C}\right)_T$  is the rate of change of surface tension  $\gamma$  with log of the surfactant concentration at constant temperature and is the slope of the surface tension curve in a straight line before the inflection point;  $n$  is a constant which, for nonionic surfactants, is taken as 1; and  $N_A$ is the Avogadro number,  $6.02 \times 10^{23}$ .

 $pC_{20}$  the negative logarithmic value of the concentration of surfactant  $(C_{20})$  required to reduce the surface tension of ultrapure water by 20 mN/m was used by Rosen et al.<sup>[28](#page-6-0)</sup> to express the efficiency of the surfactant in reducing the surface tension.

The calculated surface parameters are listed in [Table 3.](#page-4-0)

The three products were relatively close in their ability to reduce surface tension. Among them, the CMC of the narrowly distributed  $\text{AEO}_3$  obtained using the laboratory-made MCT-09 and NAE-03 catalysts was slightly higher than that of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$ obtained by the conventional catalyst KOH. The  $pC_{20}$  value of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  catalyzed by MCT-09 was slightly higher than those of NAE-03 and KOH. This suggests that the surface tension reduction efficiency of narrowly distributed  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  would be slightly better than that of conventionally distributed  $\text{AEO}_{3}$ .

The standard micellar Gibbs energy  $\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\text{o}}$  and the standard adsorption Gibbs energy  $\Delta G_{\rm ads}^{\rm o}$  of the surfactant can be calculated by [eqs 3](#page-4-0) and [4](#page-4-0). As can be seen in [Table 3](#page-4-0), the values of  $\Delta G_{\rm mic}^{\rm o}$  and  $\Delta G_{\rm ads}^{\rm o}$  are negative, indicating that adsorption and micellization processes are spontaneous. Moreover,  $|\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{mic}}| > |\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{ads}}|$ , indicating that the tendency of surfactant



# <span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 3. Static Surface Tension Parameters

molecules to adsorb at the liquid surface is greater than the tendency to form micelles.

$$
\Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\circ} = RT \ln \frac{\text{CMC}}{55.5} \tag{3}
$$

$$
\Delta G_{\text{ads}}^{\text{o}} = \Delta G_{\text{mic}}^{\text{o}} - 6.022 \Pi A_{\text{min}} \tag{4}
$$

Figure 6 shows the surface tension vs time for  $AEO_3$  obtained with KOH, MCT-09, and NAE-03 catalytic systems at



Figure 6. Curves of surface tension with time for AEO3 at 25 °C for different catalytic systems (all solution concentrations are 0.04 g/L).

constant concentration (0.04  $g/L$ ). As can be seen from the figure, the  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  curves obtained with the product synthesized using KOH as the catalyst showed a faster decreasing trend than those obtained with the laboratory-made catalysts MCT- 09 and NAE-03, indicating that the ethoxylation degree distribution of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  obtained by KOH catalysis was more effective in reducing the surface tension.

Wettability can be determined by the contact angle  $(\theta)$  of the surfactant solution on a hydrophobic film (paraffin film, systems with smaller contact angle have better wettability). It is customary to set  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  as the criterion for wettability. If  $\theta$  > 90° the system is not wettable, while if  $\theta$  < 90° it is wettable. Equilibrium contact angle equal to 0 or nondetectable indicates a spreading system. The variation of the contact angle on the paraffin film with time for the aqueous solution of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  obtained with different catalytic systems is shown in Figure 7A. The contact angle of all surfactant solutions on the paraffin film decreased with increasing time. As seen in Figure  $7B$ , upon comparing the aqueous solution of  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  obtained with the classical KOH catalyst, the contact angle of the narrowly distributed  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  was significantly lower, indicating that the narrowly distributed  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  synthesized with MTC-09 and NAE-03 endowed the catalysts with better wetting ability.

## 3. CONCLUSIONS

Various catalysts were used to catalyze the ethoxylation reaction of C12<sup>−</sup><sup>14</sup> primary alcohols with ethylene oxide synthesizing alcohol ethoxylates with EO addition numbers close to 3. The catalysts MCT-09 and NAE-03 give rise to a narrow distribution of the ethoxylation degree with a low concentration of byproducts. The products of all catalytic systems have similar ability to reduce surface tension and have excellent permeability in water. Among them, the narrowly distributed  $AEO<sub>3</sub>$  synthesized obtained with MCT-09 and NAE-03 catalysts have lower viscosity, higher cloud point, and better wetting properties.





### <span id="page-5-0"></span>4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**4.1. Materials.**  $C_{12-14}$  primary alcohol was purchased from Sinolight Surfactants Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Ethylene oxide (EO) was purchased from Shanxi Huayan Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanxi, China). Sodium hydroxide (AR) and potassium hydroxide (AR) were purchased from Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Sodium methanol (AR), potassium methanol (AR), and sodium laurate (AR) were purchased from Chengdu Aike Reagent Co., Ltd. (Sichuan, China). Magnesium perchlorate (AR), tin chloride (AR), phosphotungstic acid hydrate (AR), and 1-nonanol (GC) were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Doubledistilled water was used as the solvent in all experiments.

The calcium-based acidic catalyst NAE-03 was used to synthesize fatty alcohol ethoxylates furnished by Sinolight Surfactants Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). It contains calcium salts of low molecular weight carboxylic acids and a strong mineral acid. This ethoxylation catalyst is in the form of a homogeneous liquid suspension with  $pH = 4$ .

4.2. Preparation of MCT-09. MgO  $(68.4 \text{ g})$  and 28 g of diatomaceous earth were mixed well and added into 400 mL of aqueous solution containing 23 g of aluminum chloride and stirred for 1 h. Then, the recovered solid was washed, dried, calcined at 500 °C for 1 h, ground, and sieved to obtain the Mg−Al composite catalyst MCT-09. The catalyst powder contains 65% of magnesium oxide, 8.4% of alumina, and 26.6% of diatomaceous earth.

4.3. Ethoxylation of Fatty Alcohols  $C_{12}-C_{14}$ . The autoclave is first checked for gas tightness. Afterward, vacuum is drawn and 145 g of fatty alcohol (the mass fractions determined by GC (see below) of  $C_{12}$  and  $C_{14}$  alcohols in the raw primary alcohol are 75 and 25 wt %, respectively) and 0.2 wt % of the target product for the basic catalyst or 1 wt % of the target product for the acidic catalyst are loaded. Then, the system is stirred and heated. When the temperature increases to 105−110 °C, the water and low boiling point substances in the system are removed by vacuum. After replacing the residual air in the autoclave with nitrogen three times, the reaction is induced by introducing ethylene oxide after increasing the temperature to 140−160 °C. The reaction pressure is kept constant at  $0.3 \pm 0.1$  MPa by continuous introduction of ethylene oxide. The reaction temperature is controlled by continuous circulation of thermal fluid in the external jacket of the reactor. The consumption of ethylene oxide is measured by the loss of weight of the reservoir tank and it is stopped when fixed amount is reached ( $EO/S^{\circ} = 3$ ). After that, the heating is stopped, allowing the reaction mixture to age until the pressure in the reactor is constant. The reactor is cooled and when the temperature drops below 80 °C, the residual ethylene oxide in the reaction system is removed by vacuum. The material is then discharged to obtain the primary alcohol ethoxylate product.

4.4. Analytical Methods. The theoretical average EO addition number per mole of the initial substrate  $(EO/S<sup>o</sup>)$  can be derived by the consumption of EO during the synthesis process, while the actual average EO/S° can be calculated using the hydroxyl value  $(I(OH), mg KOH/g)$  obtained by the acetic anhydride method (GB/T 7384-1996 "Determination of hydroxyl value of nonionic surfactants-Acetic anhydride method")

$$
EO/S^{\circ} = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{M_3}
$$

where  $M_1$  is the average relative molecular weight of AEO

$$
M_{\rm l} = \frac{56100}{\rm I(OH)}
$$

 $M<sub>2</sub>$  is the average relative molecular mass of primary alcohols (192 g/mol) and  $M_3$  is the molecular mass of ethylene oxide  $(44 \text{ g/mol}).$ 

Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) content was determined according to GB/T 5560-2003 "Determination of nonionic surfactants-polyethylene glycol content and nonionic active substance (adduct) content-Weilbull method".

The oligomer distribution was obtained by gas chromatographic analysis using a SP-2100 GC-chromatograph with FID as the detector and DB-5 capillary column. The external standard was  $n$ -nonanol.

The turbidity point was determined according to the GB 11277-89 standard "Determination of turbidity point index (water number) of surfactants—Nonionic surfactants"

The standard method for wetting power GB/T 11983-2008 "Determination of wetting power of surfactants Submersion method" was used. Every sample was measured seven times to obtain the average value.

The surface tension was measured by a processor tension meter K12 (KRŰSS Company, Germany) using the plate technique at  $25.0 \pm 0.1$  °C.

Different concentrations of surfactant aqueous solution were prepared and the dynamic surface tension was measured using a BP100 bubble pressure tension meter (KRÚSS Company, Germany).

Contact angle values at different concentrations were determined by the KRÜSS DSA255 Drop Shape Analyzer at 25 °C. Paraffin wax film was tightly attached to the slide as a solid substrate.

### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Authors

- Yongqiang Sun − China Research Institute of Daily Chemical Industry, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030001, P. R. China; Email: [syq3108@163.com](mailto:syq3108@163.com)
- Martino Di Serio − Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Naples Federico II Via Cintia, Napoli 80126, Italy; [orcid.org/0000-0003-4489-7115;](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4489-7115) Email: [diserio@](mailto:diserio@unina.it) [unina.it](mailto:diserio@unina.it)

#### Authors

- Yingxue Li  $−$  China Research Institute of Daily Chemical Industry, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030001, P. R. China
- Jingjie Zhou − China Research Institute of Daily Chemical Industry, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030001, P. R. China
- Yong Zhang − China Research Institute of Daily Chemical Industry, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030001, P. R. China
- Huibin Liang − China Research Institute of Daily Chemical Industry, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030001, P. R. China
- Jinyuan Sun − China Research Institute of Daily Chemical Industry, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030001, P. R. China
- Yuqi Liu − China Research Institute of Daily Chemical Industry, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030001, P. R. China

Gerardino D'Errico − Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Naples Federico II Via Cintia, Napoli 80126, *Italy*;  $\bullet$  [orcid.org/0000-0001-6383-8618](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6383-8618)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Complete contact information is available at:

[https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c04232](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.1c04232?ref=pdf)

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was funded by the Shanxi Province Key R&D Program Project (201903D421034).

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Bajpai, D.; Tyagi, V. K. [Nonionic Surfactants: An Overview.](https://doi.org/10.3139/113.110062) Tenside, Surfactants, Deterg. 2010, 47, 190−196.

(2) Tang, X.-h.; Wu, C.-z.; Li, C.-w.; Li, B.-j. Characteristics and application of fatty alcohol polyoxyethylene ether. Deterg. Cosmet. 2012, 35, 22−24.

(3) Bialowas, E.; Szymanowski, J[. Catalysts for Oxyethylation of](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie049898h?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Alcohols and Fatty Acid Methyl Esters.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie049898h?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2004, 43, 6267−6280.

(4) Santacesaria, E.; Di Serio, M.; Garaffa, R.; Addino, G. [Kinetics](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie00011a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [and Mechanisms of Fatty Alcohol Polyethoxylation. 1. The Reaction](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie00011a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Catalyzed by Potassium Hydroxide.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie00011a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1992, 31, 2413−2418.

(5) Di Serio, M.; Russo, V.; Santacesaria, E.; Tesser, R. [The](https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2021.644719) [Evolution of the Fed Batch Ethoxylation Reactors to Produce the](https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2021.644719) [Non-Ionic Surfactants](https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2021.644719) Front. Chem. Eng. 2021, 3, [DOI: 10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2021.644719?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [fceng.2021.644719](https://doi.org/10.3389/fceng.2021.644719?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as).

(6) Hreczuch, W.; Szymanowski, J. [Synthesis of surfactants with](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02523451) [Narrow-range distribution of the polyoxyethylene chain.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02523451) J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1996, 73, 73−78.

(7) Amaral, G. M.; Giudici, R. [Kinetics and Modeling of Fatty](https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201100215) [Alcohol Ethoxylation in an Industrial Spray Loop Reactor.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201100215) Chem. Eng. Technol 2011, 34, 1635−1644.

(8) Di Serio, M.; Tesser, R.; Dimiccoli, A.; Santacesaria, E[. Kinetics](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie020082v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [of ethoxylation and propoxylation of ethylene glycol catalyzed by](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie020082v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [KOH.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie020082v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2002, 41, 5196−5206.

(9) Olenick, A. J.; Parkinson, J. K. [A comparison of the ethoxylation](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02523449) [of a fatty alcohol, fatty acid, and dimethiconol.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02523449) J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1996, 73, 63−66.

(10) Di Serio, M.; Iengo, P.; Gobetto, R.; Bruni, S.; Santacesaria, E. [Ethoxylation of fatty alcohols promoted by an aluminum alkoxide](https://doi.org/10.1016/1381-1169(96)00134-3) [sulphate catalyst.](https://doi.org/10.1016/1381-1169(96)00134-3) J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1996, 112, 235−251.

(11) Hanna, I. Narrow Alcohol Ethoxylates. In Design and Selection of Performance Surfactants; Karsa, D. R., Ed.; Sheffield Annual Surfactants Review, CRC Press, 1999; Vol. 2, pp 168−215.

(12) Cox, M. F. [Effect of alkyl carbon chain length and ethylene](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02653293) [oxide content on the performance of linear alcohol ether sulfates.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02653293) J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1989, 66, 367−374.

(13) Dillan, K. W[. Effects of the ethylene oxide distribution on](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02542311) [nonionic surfactant properties.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02542311) J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1985, 62, 1144− 1151.

(14) Yang, Y.; Wen, P.; Guo, J.; Sun, Y.; Gao, Y.; Yang, H. Difference of fatty alcohol polyoxyethylene ether derivatives with narrow distribution. Deterg. Cosmet. 2016, 39, 39−42.

(15) Zhang, M.; Sun, Y.; Liu, W.; Guo, J.; Liu, X[. Performance of](https://doi.org/10.13218/j.cnki.csdc.2016.07.007) [narrow distribution fatty alcohol polyoxyethylene ether sodium](https://doi.org/10.13218/j.cnki.csdc.2016.07.007) [sulfate.](https://doi.org/10.13218/j.cnki.csdc.2016.07.007) China Surfactant Deterg. Cosmet. 2016, 46, 397−399.

(16) Tian, J.; Sun, Y.; Guo, J. [Synthesis of fatty alcohol](https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1001-1803.2019.03.007) [polyoxyethylene ether with narrow distribution.](https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1001-1803.2019.03.007) China Surfactant Deterg. Cosmet. 2019, 49, 174−177.

(17) Sun, Y.; Luo, Y.; Zhang, G.; Tian, C. A catalyst for ethoxylation and its application.CN Patent CN1451476A2003.

(18) Bokhoven, J.; Roelofs, J.; Jong, K.; Koningsberger, D. C. [Unique structural properties of the Mg-Al hydrotalcite solid base](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3765(20010316)7:6<1258::AID-CHEM1258>3.0.CO;2-I) [catalyst: an in situ study using Mg and Al K-edge XAFS during](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3765(20010316)7:6<1258::AID-CHEM1258>3.0.CO;2-I) [calcination and rehydration.](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3765(20010316)7:6<1258::AID-CHEM1258>3.0.CO;2-I) Chemistry 2015, 7, 1258−1265.

(19) Kung, H. H.; Ko, E. I[. Preparation of oxide catalysts and](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-0467(96)03139-9) [catalyst supports - a review of recent advances.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-0467(96)03139-9) Chem. Eng. J. Biochem. Eng. J. 1996, 64, 203−214.

(20) Hama, I.; Okamoto, T.; Hidai, E.; Yamada, K. [Direct](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-997-0113-1) [ethoxylation of fatty methyl ester over Al-Mg composite oxide](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-997-0113-1) [catalyst.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-997-0113-1) J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1997, 74, 19−24.

(21) Santacesaria, E.; Iengo, P.; Di Serio, M. Catalytic and Kinetic Effects in Ethoxylation Processes. In Design and Selection of Performance Surfactants; Karsa, D. R., Ed.; Sheffield Annual Surfactants Review, CRC Press, 1999; Vol. 2, pp 146−167.

(22) Smułek, W.; łada, W. A. [Separation of alkali and alkaline earth](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02519954) [metals by polyethers using extraction chromatography. Effect of](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02519954) [diluent.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02519954) J. Radioanal. Chem. 1979, 50, 169−178.

(23) Schachat, N.; Greenwald, H. J. Mechanism of Ethylene Oxide Condensation. Nonionic Surfactants, Surfactant Science Series; Schick, M. J., Ed.; Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York, 1967; 1, 8−32.

(24) Flory, P. J[. Kinetics of the Degradation of Polyesters by](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01866a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Alcohols1.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01866a001?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1940, 62, 2255−2261.

(25) Natta, G.; Mantica, E. [The Distribution of Products in a Series](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01132a057?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [of Consecutive Competitive Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01132a057?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 3152−3156.

(26) Chunli, S. Synthesis and Properties of Long Chain Fatty Alcohol Polyoxyethylene Ether Derivatives. M.D. Thesis, Jiangnan University: Wuxi, 2013.

(27) Grundke, K.; Zschoche, S.; Pöschel, K.; Gietzelt, T.; Michel, S.; Friedel, P.; D Jehnichen, D.; Neumann, A. W. [Wettability of](https://doi.org/10.1021/ma0021592?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Maleimide Copolymer Films: Effect of the Chain Length of n-Alkyl](https://doi.org/10.1021/ma0021592?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Side Groups on the Solid Surface Tension.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ma0021592?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Macromolecules 2001, 34, 6768−6775.

(28) Rosen, M. J. Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena, 3rd ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, 2004.