

Article

# Rapid Tartrazine Determination in Large Yellow Croaker with Ag Nanowires Using Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy

## Jia Song<sup>1</sup>, Yuanyi Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yiqun Huang<sup>2</sup>, Yuxia Fan<sup>1,3</sup> and Keqiang Lai<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> College of Food Science and Technology, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China; susanjia123@163.com (J.S.); jennifer.yuanyizhang@foxmail.com (Y.Z.); yxfan@shou.edu.cn (Y.F.)
- <sup>2</sup> School of Chemistry & Biological Engineering, Changsha University of Science & Technology, Changsha 410076, Hunan, China; yiqunh@csust.edu.cn
- <sup>3</sup> Engineering Research Center of Food Thermal Processing Technology, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai 201306, China
- \* Correspondence: kqlai@shou.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-21-6190-0754

Received: 1 November 2018; Accepted: 17 November 2018; Published: 23 November 2018



**Abstract:** In this work, surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) technology coupled with Ag nanowires was shown to be a promising tool in the detection of tartrazine in large yellow croaker for the first time. Ag nanowires with a uniform diameter were fabricated by an efficient and manageable polyol method. The partial least square model was established for the quantitative analysis of tartrazine, which showed a relatively high linear correlation between actual and predicted concentrations of standard tartrazine solutions. An optimal sample preparation method was also selected and used to extract tartrazine from large yellow croaker within 20 min. The lowest concentration detected was 20.38 ng/cm<sup>2</sup>, which fully meets the requirements of tartrazine testing in aquatic products. This study indicated that SERS technology combined with the as-prepared Ag nanowires could detect tartrazine sensitively and provide an easily operable and time-saving way to monitor tartrazine in large yellow croaker.

Keywords: SERS; Ag nanowires; tartrazine; large yellow croaker

## 1. Introduction

Tartrazine is a well-known synthetic colorant that is frequently and widely used in foods (such as soft drinks and candies), pharmaceuticals and cosmetics to make them appealing to customers [1]. The acceptable daily intake of tartrazine in food, proposed by the FAO and WHO, is 7.5 mg/kg. Tartrazine has low toxicity, but its excessive ingestion can result in allergy, asthma, migraine and hyperactivity [2]. It may also trigger sleep disorders in children [3]. Given the potential risks to humans, tartrazine has been banned in countries including Norway and Austria [4]. Increasing concern has been paid to health issues provoked by food additives used in China; the types of foodstuff and the maximum amount of additives allowed have been strictly set by Chinese regulation (GB2760-2014) [5]. Tartrazine, a coloring agent used on yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*), in tsukemono and in wine, has been banned by the Ministry of Health of the Republic of China [6]. However, it is still used illegally and in excess in various food products due to its high economic benefits. Hence, developing a time-efficient, cost-effective and sensitive method to detect tartrazine in foodstuff is necessary.

Currently, numerous methods have been developed to analyze tartrazine in different foods. These methods include high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [7], liquid chromatography-tandem quadrupole mass spectrometry [8], absorption spectrometry [9], voltammetry [10] and thin-layer chromatography [11]. Although some of these analytical methods have high accuracy,



good reproducibility and reach the necessary level of quantification according to regulations, they also have disadvantages, such as high cost, inconvenient operation, and complicated sample preparation. Surface-enhanced Raman scattering or surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) is one of the most effective analytical tools for detecting the trace components of food owing to its high sensitivity, quick determination and convenient operation. A growing number of research publications on the detection of illegal additives [12], pesticide residues [13–16] and veterinary drugs in foodstuff are available [17,18]. There are some reports on the use of SERS for the detection of tartrazine [19–21] but the number of publications on the determination of tartrazine in foodstuff on the basis of the SERS method is relatively low. In 2016, SERS coupled with Au nanodumbbells was used to analyze tartrazine in drinks [22].

To the best of our knowledge, no report using SERS to detect tartrazine in large yellow croaker has been published. The main objective of this study is to investigate and develop a time-efficient and cost-effective method of detecting trace amounts of tartrazine in large yellow croaker using Ag nanowires.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Materials and Reagents

AgNO<sub>3</sub> (>99%), polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) (Mw = 55,000 g/mol) and NaCl ( $\geq$ 99.999%) were bought from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Glycerol (American Chemical Society grade) was obtained from Aladdin Industrial Corporation (Shanghai, China). Ethanol (analytical grade) was received from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Tartrazine ( $\geq$ 99.0%) and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). MgSO<sub>4</sub> was purchased from Aladdin Industrial Corporation (Shanghai, China). Large yellow croaker were bought from a supermarket in Shanghai and we confirmed an absence of tartrazine by the HPLC method, which was performed in the China General Chamber of Commerce Supervision & Testing Center for Food (Shanghai, China). The 0.45  $\mu$ m polytetrafluoroethene (PTFE) microporous films were obtained from Anpel Corporation (Shanghai, China).

#### 2.2. Preparation and Characterization of Ag Nanowires

Ag nanowires were synthesized using the polyol method referred to in our previously published article [23]. Ag nanowires were fabricated by reducing AgNO<sub>3</sub> in glycerol at a rising temperature. PVP, along with the mixture of NaCl, ultrapure water and glycerol, was also added to the reaction liquid; PVP was the stabilizing agent or surfactant. Then, the cooled products were washed by ultrapure water and ethanol aqueous solution. The UV-Vis absorbance spectrum of the as-fabricated Ag nanowires was recorded by a UV-Vis spectrometer (UV3000PC, MAPADA Instruments Ltd., Shanghai, China). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEM-2100F, JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was used to analyze the morphology and sizes of the synthesized samples.

#### 2.3. Preparation of Standard Solution

Tartrazine powder was dissolved in ultrapure water to prepare the standard stock solution of 100  $\mu$ g/mL and this was stored at 4 °C. Then, a series of working standard solutions was obtained by a consecutive dilution method with the concentrations ranging from 10 ng/mL to 10  $\mu$ g/mL.

#### 2.4. Pretreatment of Large Yellow Croaker Sample

The large yellow croaker skin was cut into small circular pieces of 0.5 cm in diameter; we then measured the weight of each piece. A total of 200  $\mu$ L of tartrazine solution with different concentrations were dropped onto the small pieces of skin to cover the surface. Next, stewing was performed. Subsequently, the large yellow croaker skin was transferred to the centrifuge tubes and 4 mL of ultrapure water was added. Then, the mixture was sonicated for 5 min.

To optimize sample preparation and reduce time and cost, we compared three methods in further experiments. Extractions were filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m PTFE microporous film and then the filtrates underwent SERS analysis. This method was performed only with water (W) extraction and the samples directly underwent a type of filtration purification called the W method. For the second method, 1 mL of methanol (M) was added to the deposit protein. After the ultrasound step, the vortexed solution was filtered with a PTFE microfilter; this was called the W+M method. The third method, called the W+M+C method, had the same steps as that of W+M method, except that a further purification of centrifugation (C) at 5000 rpm for 6 min was performed before filtration.

## 2.5. Raman and SERS Measurements

The normal Raman spectrum of tartrazine and the SERS spectra of standard tartrazine solution or extracts were collected using a Nicolet DXR microscopy Raman spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a 633 nm He–Ne laser source. A  $20 \times$  objective at 5 mW laser power was used throughout the experiments.

For the normal Raman spectrum of tartrazine, a small amount of tartrazine was deposited onto a glass slide and squeezed to a thin film before its normal Raman spectrum was recorded.

For SERS spectra collection, samples were prepared by mixing 20  $\mu$ L of Ag nanowires colloidal solution, 80  $\mu$ L of standard tartrazine solution or large yellow croaker skin extract and 10  $\mu$ L of MgSO<sub>4</sub> solution (150 mM). The selection of the volume ratio between the Ag nanowires substrate and tartrazine solution, as well as the types (sulfate, chloride, perchlorate) and amount of aggregation agent, were based on our preliminary experiments. Then, 5  $\mu$ L of aliquot was allowed to evaporate under ambient conditions for SERS detection. The final spectrum was the average of 10 spectra collected from 10 different random positions. This process was repeated in quadruplicate for reproducibility.

## 2.6. Data Analysis

Delight, version 3.2.1, (DSquared Development Inc., LaGrande, OR, USA) was used for the analysis of spectral data. For quantitative analysis, the partial least square (PLS) model was established to predict the analyte concentrations.

The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ), the root-mean-square error (RMSE) and the ratio of performance to deviation (RPD) were used to evaluate the model. The higher the  $R^2$  value (the closer to 1) or the lower the RMSE value, the better predictability the model has [24].

### 3. Results

## 3.1. Spectral Features of Tartrazine Dye

Figure 1 shows the Raman spectrum of tartrazine solid. The peaks at 485, 618, 638, 1129, 1358, 1477, 1503 and 1599 cm<sup>-1</sup> were easily recognizable. Among these peaks, the most prominent tartrazine peak at 1599 cm<sup>-1</sup> was assigned to the in-plane bending of OH, the asymmetric stretching of COO<sup>-</sup>, the overlapping effect of symmetric stretching and the out-of-plane C–H deformation of two phenyl rings. The other major peak at 1358 cm<sup>-1</sup> was attributed to the rocking of the phenyl ring, the symmetric stretching of COO<sup>-</sup> and the stretching vibrations of –C–N=N–C–. Table 1 shows the assignments of their vibrational bands [25].



Figure 1. Raman spectrum of tartrazine.

Raman Shift (cm $^{-1}$ )	Vibrational Assignment
485	ρ (SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ), Def <sub>op</sub> (C–H) <sub>ph1, pyr</sub>
618	$Def_{op}$ (C–H) <sub>ph1, ph2</sub> , $\delta$ (pyr)
638	Def <sub>op</sub> (ph1, ph2)
1129	$Def_{op}$ (C–H) <sub>ph1, ph2,</sub> $\delta$ (ph1)
1358	υ (–C–N=N–C–), υ <sub>s</sub> (COO <sup>–</sup> ), ρ (ph2)
1477	$\delta$ (C–H) <sub>ph1, ph2,</sub> $v_{as}$ (N=N–C)
1503	δ (ph2), δ (C=C)
1599	$v$ (ph1, ph2), Def <sub>on</sub> ( $\hat{C}$ -H) <sub>ph1, ph2</sub> , $\delta$ (OH), $v_{as}$ (COO <sup>-</sup> )

Table 1. Major band assignment of Raman spectra for tartrazine.

 $v_s$ —symmetric stretching;  $v_{as}$ —asymmetric stretching;  $\delta$ —in-plane bending;  $\gamma$ —out-of-plane bending; ip—in-plane; op—out-of-plane;  $\rho$ —rocking; ph—phenyl ring; pyr—pyrazole ring; Def—deformation.

## 3.2. Ag Nanowire Characterization

The UV-Vis absorbance spectrum of the as-prepared Ag nanowires exhibits two characteristic absorption peaks at 349 nm and 380 nm, as shown in Figure 2a. The peak located at 349 nm is ascribed to the out-of-plane quadrupole resonance of Ag nanowires while another peak with high intensity at 380 nm is attributed to the out-of-plane dipole resonance of Ag nanowires [26].



**Figure 2.** (a) Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorbance spectrum of Ag nanowires; (b) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of Ag nanowires.

To determine the normal distribution and a certain amount of homogeneity, we carried out TEM tests. The TEM images of Ag nanowires are shown in Figure 2b. The figure shows that the diameter of the as-prepared Ag nanowires was relatively uniform. An average diameter of  $49.4 \pm 3.9$  nm and length of 7–10 µm were observed by calculating 100 nanowires.

#### 3.3. SERS Analysis of Tartrazine

Figure 3 shows the SERS spectral features of the standard tartrazine solutions. The characteristic peaks of standard tartrazine solution can be clearly distinguished at a concentration as low as 0.01  $\mu$ g/mL. The limit of detection, calculated based on HPLC, was 5.2 ng/mL [27], which was close to the minimum concentration visually observed by our SERS method.

The strongest peak of tartrazine was still visible at 1599 cm<sup>-1</sup>. However, some peaks may red shift or blue shift compared with the normal Raman spectrum shown in Figure 1. For instance, the characteristic peak at 1503 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the Raman spectrum of tartrazine red shifted to 1504 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the SERS spectra. The characteristic peak at 1477 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the Raman spectrum of tartrazine red shifted to 1474 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the SERS spectra. The shifts in the characteristic peaks were due to changes in site and adsorption orientations or to changes in polarizability after interactions between the analyte and substrate [28,29]. The as-prepared Ag nanowires as SERS substrate could greatly enhance Raman scattering signals of tartrazine. Based on the method described by Ru et al. [30], the enhancement factors for tartrazine were calculated as  $3.9 \times 10^6$  (based upon the strongest peak at 1599 cm<sup>-1</sup>), the reproducibility of the as-prepared Ag nanowires was reported in our previous study [23].



**Figure 3.** Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) optical spectra of the standard tartrazine solution (n = 40).

As shown in Figure 3, the intensity of the characteristic peaks increased remarkably with increasing concentration. Therefore, the quantitative analysis model of the standard tartrazine solutions may be established based on the SERS spectra. The SERS spectra of tartrazine solutions at eight different concentration levels ( $10 \text{ ng/mL}-10 \mu \text{g/mL}$ ) were collected to establish the PLS model (n = 32, four spectra for each concentration). As shown in Figure 4, a high linear correlation ( $R^2 = 0.970$ ) was observed between the actual and the predicted concentrations. High RPD (6.10) and low RMSE (0.26) values also demonstrate that this model has relatively good predictability [16].



Figure 4. Partial least square (PLS) model of the standard tartrazine solution.

#### 3.4. SERS Analysis of Tartrazine in Large Yellow Croaker

To simulate the process of the illegal addition of tartrazine to the large yellow croaker, we experimentally spiked large yellow croaker peel extracts with tartrazine (Figure 5a). We aimed to analyze the large yellow croaker matrix from the tartrazine extracts in the large yellow croaker peel experiment. Figure 5a,b show more impure peaks, such as the peak at 1428 cm<sup>-1</sup>, than in Figure 4 due to the interference of non-targeted components. For the first preparation of the W sample, an approximate 12% decrease was observed at the intensity peak of 1599 cm<sup>-1</sup> in Figure 5a compared with that in Figure 5b, thereby indicating that the recovery rate of the large yellow croaker was approximately 88% of the sample preparation. Similarly, the recovery rates of the preparation of the W+M and W+M+C samples were 84% and 81%, respectively. From W to W+M+C, the decrease in recovery rate may have been due to the increase in purification steps. As shown in Figure 5b, W+M+C had a significant increase in SERS signal, although it had more purification steps than the afore mentioned methods. The W+M+C method took less than 20 mins to prepare the sample, the decrease in recovery rate was minimal and the method showed positive SERS performance. Therefore, this sample preparation method was chosen as the optimal method for further tartrazine detection in large yellow croaker.



Figure 5. Cont.



**Figure 5.** (a) Surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) spectra extract from large yellow croaker peels spiked with 509.55 ng/cm<sup>2</sup> of tartrazine; (b) extract added with tartrazine equivalent (a) but assumed 100% recovery rate; (c) Raman intensity of tartrazine at 1599 cm<sup>-1</sup> via different sample preparation methods (n = 20).

Tartrazine is used illegally to color fish to make low-priced fish, such as albiflora croaker, appear similar to high-priced yellow croaker or putrescent yellow croaker. Dripping standard tartrazine solutions on a white paper showed that 2.5 ppm was the lowest critical concentration identified by the naked eye, converting 25.48 ng/cm<sup>2</sup> to 200  $\mu$ L in an area of 19.62 cm<sup>2</sup>. Hence, the color was completely invisibility when this concentration was added to yellow croaker peels.

We detected tartrazine on the basis of the optimal sample preparation. Figure 6 illustrates the SERS spectra obtained from seven different tartrazine concentrations adsorbed in the large yellow croaker. The spectra revealed that the lowest detectable concentration was 20.38 ng/cm<sup>2</sup>, which was lower than 25.48 ng/cm<sup>2</sup>. Thus, the as-prepared Ag nanowires coupled with SERS technology can meet the requirement of zero-tolerance residue limit. Compared to the HPLC method, this method has the advantage of a short detection time. However, there is still a long way to go before the SERS technique overcomes the matrix interference absolutely.



**Figure 6.** Representative surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) spectra of tartrazine extracts from large yellow croaker skin (n = 30).

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, the as-prepared Ag nanowire SERS substrate was tested as a potential tool to determine tartrazine in large yellow croaker for the first time. Highly uniform and high-quality

Ag nanowires were synthesized in a time-efficient and cost-effective manner, which displayed the substantially enhanced effect on standard tartrazine solution detection. The results showed that the lowest detectable concentration was 10 ng/mL. The PLS model demonstrated good predictability. In terms of recovery rate, time, cost and SERS signal, the optimal sample preparation method (i.e., W+M+C) was selected and could be detected at concentration levels as low as 25.48 ng/cm<sup>2</sup>, which fully satisfies the actual detection requirements. Hence, the established SERS approach showed remarkable performance in determining tartrazine residue in large yellow croaker.

**Author Contributions:** J.S. performed the experiments and wrote the original manuscript. Y.Z. gave theoretical and practical guidance. Y.H. provided worthy advice on work design and modified the manuscript. Y.F. helped design figures and analyzed experimental data. K.L. contributed to the research design, data analysis and manuscript preparation. All authors commented on the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China, grant number 2017YFC1600706.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1. Llamas, N.E.; Garrido, M.; Di Nezio, M.S.; Fernandez Band, B.S. Second order advantage in the determination of amaranth, sunset yellow FCF and tartrazine by UV-vis and multivariate curve resolution-alternating least squares. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2009, 655, 38–42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Gupta, V.K.; Jain, R.; Nayak, A.; Agarwal, S.; Shrivastava, M. Removal of the hazardous dye—Tartrazine by photodegradation on titanium dioxide surface. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2011**, *31*, 1062–1067. [CrossRef]
- 3. Rowe, K.S.; Rowe, K.J. Synthetic food coloring and behavior: A dose response effect in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, repeated-measures study. *J. Pediatr.* **1994**, *125*, 691–698. [CrossRef]
- 4. Guyot, S.; Serrand, S.; Le Quéré, J.M.; Sanoner, P.; Renard, C.M.G.C. Enzymatic synthesis and physicochemical characterisation of phloridzin oxidation products (POP), a new water-soluble yellow dye deriving from apple. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.* **2007**, *8*, 443–450. [CrossRef]
- National Food Safety Standard: Standards for the Uses of Food Additives (GB2760-2014). Available online: http://www.nhfpc.gov.cn/zwgkzt/cybz/201412/d9a9f04bc35f42ecac0600e0360f8c89.shtml (accessed on 7 August 2018).
- A List of Non-Edible Substances that May Be Added Illegally and Food Additives that May Be Abused (Summary of Batches 1–5). Available online: http://www.nhfpc.gov.cn/sps/s7892/201406/ 38e5c8a53615486888d93ed05ac9731a.shtml (accessed on 7 August 2018).
- 7. Elena, D.; Cornelia, P.E. Study of analytical parameters of the HPLC method for tartrazine and sunset yellow analysis in soft drinks. *Rev. Chim. Buchar.* **2010**, *61*, 1177–1182.
- 8. Chen, X.; Zhao, Y.; Shen, H.; Zhou, L.; Pan, S.; Jin, M. Fast determination of seven synthetic pigments from wine and soft drinks using magnetic dispersive solid-phase extraction followed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2014**, *1346*, 123–128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saleh, M.M.S.; Hashem, E.Y.; Al-Salahi, N.O.A. Oxidation and complexation-based spectrophotometric methods for sensitive determination of tartrazine E102 in some commercial food samples. *Comput. Chem.* 2016, 4, 51–64. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gomez, M.; Arancibia, V.; Rojas, C.; Nagles, E. Adsorptive stripping voltammetric determination of tartrazine and sunset yellow in gelatins and soft drink powder in the presence of cetylpyridinium bromide. *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* **2012**, *7*, 7493–7502.
- De Andrade, F.I.; Guedes, M.I.F.; Vieira, Í.G.P.; Mendes, F.N.P.; Rodrigues, P.A.S.; Maia, C.S.C.; Ávila, M.M.M.; Ribeiro, L.d.M. Determination of synthetic food dyes in commercial soft drinks by TLC and ion-pair HPLC. *Food Chem.* 2014, 157, 193–198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Ou, Y.; Pei, L.; Lai, K.; Huang, Y.; Rasco, B.A.; Wang, X.; Fan, Y. Rapid analysis of multiple Sudan dyes in chili flakes using surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy coupled with Au–Ag core-shell nanospheres. *Food Anal. Methods* **2017**, *10*, 565–574. [CrossRef]
- 13. Xu, M.; Gao, Y.; Han, X.X.; Zhao, B. Detection of pesticide residues in food using surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy: A review. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65*, 6719–6726. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 14. Fan, Y.; Lai, K.; Rasco, B.A.; Huang, Y. Analyses of phosmet residues in apples with surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Food Control* **2014**, *37*, 153–157. [CrossRef]
- 15. Liu, B.; Zhou, P.; Liu, X.; Sun, X.; Li, H.; Lin, M. Detection of pesticides in fruits by surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy coupled with gold nanostructures. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* **2013**, *6*, 710–718. [CrossRef]
- 16. Luo, H.; Huang, Y.; Lai, K.; Rasco, B.A.; Fan, Y. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy coupled with gold nanoparticles for rapid detection of phosmet and thiabendazole residues in apples. *Food Control* **2016**, *68*, 229–235. [CrossRef]
- 17. Futamata, M.; Yu, Y.; Yajima, T. Elucidation of electrostatic interaction between cationic dyes and Ag nanoparticles generating enormous SERS enhancement in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2011, *115*, 5271–5279. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Zhai, F.; Du, R.; Liu, Y.; Lai, K. Analyses of enrofloxacin, furazolidone and malachite green in fish products with surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Food Chem.* 2012, 135, 845–850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ai, Y.; Liang, P.; Wu, Y.; Dong, Q.; Li, J.; Bai, Y.; Xu, B.; Yu, Z.; Ni, D. Rapid qualitative and quantitative determination of food colorants by both Raman spectra and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). *Food Chem.* 2018, 241, 427–433. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Saviello, D.; Di Gioia, A.; Turenne, P.-I.; Trabace, M.; Giorgi, R.; Mirabile, A.; Baglioni, P.; Iacopino, D. Handheld su31rface-enhanced Raman scattering identification of dye chemical composition in felt-tip pen drawings. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **2018**. [CrossRef]
- 21. Saviello, D.; Trabace, M.; Alyami, A.; Mirabile, A.; Giorgi, R.; Baglioni, P.; Iacopino, D. A combined surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS)/UV-vis approach for the investigation of dye content in commercial felt tip pens inks. *Talanta* **2018**, *181*, 448–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Meng, J.; Qin, S.; Zhang, L.; Yang, L. Designing of a novel gold nanodumbbells SERS substrate for detection of prohibited colorants in drinks. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *366*, 181–186. [CrossRef]
- Song, J.; Huang, Y.; Fan, Y.; Zhao, Z.; Yu, W.; Rasco, B.; Lai, K. Detection of prohibited fish drugs using silver nanowires as substrate for surface-enhanced Raman scattering. *Nanomaterials* 2016, *6*, 175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Huang, Y.; Cavinato, A.G.; Mayes, D.M.; Bledsoe, G.E.; Rasco, B.A. Nondestructive prediction of moisture and sodium chloride in cold smoked Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). J. Food Sci. 2002, 67, 2543–2547. [CrossRef]
- 25. Peica, N.; Pavel, I.; Pînzaru, S.C.; Rastogi, V.K.; Kiefer, W. Vibrational characterization of E102 food additive by Raman and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy and theoretical studies. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **2005**, *36*, 657–666. [CrossRef]
- 26. Gao, Y.; Song, L.; Jiang, P.; Liu, L.F.; Yan, X.Q.; Zhou, Z.P.; Liu, D.F.; Wang, J.X.; Yuan, H.J.; Zhang, Z.X.; et al. Silver nanowires with five-fold symmetric cross-section. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2005**, *276*, 606–612. [CrossRef]
- 27. Sha, O.; Zhu, X.; Feng, Y.; Ma, W. Determination of sunset yellow and tartrazine in food samples by combining ionic liquid-based aqueous two-phase system with high performance liquid chromatography. *J. Anal. Methods Chem.* **2014**, 2014, 964273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Seney, C.S.; Gutzman, B.M.; Goddard, R.H. Correlation of size and surface-enhanced Raman scattering activity of optical and spectroscopic properties for silver nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009, *113*, 74–80. [CrossRef]
- Nardou, E.; Vouagner, D.; Jurdyc, A.M.; Berthelot, A.; Pillonnet, A.; Sablonière, V.; Bessueille, F.; Champagnon, B. Surface enhanced Raman scattering in an amorphous matrix for Raman amplification. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 2011, 357, 1895–1899. [CrossRef]
- 30. Ru, E.C.L.; Blackie, E.; Meyer, M.; Etchegoint, P.G. Surface enhanced Raman scattering enhancement factors: A comprehensive study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007, *111*, 13794–13803.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).