Open Access Full Text Article

LETTER

1631

Impact of injection therapy on retinal patients with diabetic macular edema or retinal vein occlusion

John Hall

Alimera Sciences Ltd., Aldershot, Hampshire, UK

Dear editor

I read "Impact of injection therapy on retinal patients with diabetic macular edema or retinal vein occlusion" published in May 2016 by Sobha Sivaprasad and Sesan Oyetunde. The article is extremely important as it explains why short- and long-acting corticosteroids are so important in reducing intravitreal injections in clinic practices and the number of visits to the clinic. The authors present a valid point that this can be achieved with no impact on visual outcomes in diabetic macular edema (DME) and retinal vein occlusion. However, having read the article in detail, I would like to raise two important oversights that the authors have failed to address and are potentially misleading, and these are highlighted in the following section.

Iluvien[®] (fluocinolone acetonide [FAc] implant, 0.2 µg/day; Alimera Sciences, Alpharetta, GA, USA) is a long-acting corticosteroid that delivers FAc for up to 3 years.¹ The effectiveness of FAc implant was demonstrated in the FAME trials (NCT00344968; https://ClinicalTrials.gov/), where patients with DME who showed an insufficient response to laser were then treated with a 0.2 µg/day implant and compared with sham-control treated patients.² The FAME trials met their primary end point and showed that significantly more patients with chronic DME treated with the 0.2 μ g/day FAc implant experienced a \geq 15-letter improvement in visual acuity at month 24 than sham-control patients (34.4% vs 13.4%; P<0.001) and that this benefit was sustained to month 36. The trial results led to the approval of FAc implant for the treatment of vision impairment associated with chronic DME, considered insufficiently responsive to available therapies.³ The authors have described the prior therapies as "anti-VEGF agents, other corticosteroids, or laser," but the prior therapy in the FAME trials was laser, which has now largely been replaced by the first-line use of intravitreal anti-VEGF injections. Hence, the indication in the Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC)³ for FAc implant refers to the current standard of care in clinical practice, which is now considered to be anti-VEGF.

The second point relates to the number of injections. The authors state that "The number of intravitreal injections required with anti-VEGFs is greater than that with corticosteroids, such as Ozurdex[®] (0.7 mg dexamethasone; Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) and FAc implant (FAc; Alimera Sciences), and the injection regimen associated with anti-VEGF efficacy in clinical trials (7–12 injections/year) may be difficult to achieve in clinical practice." However, the authors did not clarify the different number of injections needed for a short-acting corticosteroid, such as dexamethasone, and

Alimera Sciences Ltd., Royal Pavilion, Wellesley Road, Aldershot, Hampshire GUII IPZ, UK Tel +44 1252 354 036 Fax +44 1252 354 012 Email john.hall@alimerasciences.com



Correspondence: John Hall

Clinical Ophthalmology 2016:10 1631-1632

© 00 00 2016 Hall. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Artificiants of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). a long-acting one, such as FAc. A number of studies have recently reported the duration of action of dexamethasone (Stewart et al⁴). Over a 3-year period, the mean number of injections reported in the MEAD trial was 4.1,5 but given the duration of action being reported to be anywhere between 3 and 6 months,^{4,6} the injection number would be expected to be higher in real-world clinical usage. Although the SmPC⁶ does state "There is currently no experience of the efficacy or safety of repeat administrations in DME beyond 7 implants." In contrast, the FAME trials showed that over a 3-year period, 76.1% of patients required one implant, 18.7% required two implants, and 5.3% required \geq 3 implants.² The duration of action is important as this relates back to the conclusions made by the authors and the impact of multiple injections. A point well made in the article where it is stated that the "Patients' quality of life is clearly very affected by having to manage an intensive intravitreal injection regimen, with a considerable treatment burden having a large negative effect."

These two points need to be highlighted to the reader as they are relevant to the marketed products in Europe. I would like to point the reader to a number of papers that have been published on the pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and safety profile of FAc implant.^{1,2} The reader can also access the SmPC which is available online.³

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- Campochiaro PA, Nguyen QD, Hafiz G, et al. Aqueous levels of fluocinolone acetonide after administration of fluocinolone acetonide inserts or fluocinolone acetonide implants. *Ophthalmology*. 2013:120(3);583–587.
- Campochiaro PA, Brown DM, Pearson A, et al; FAME Study Group. Sustained delivery fluocinolone acetonide vitreous inserts provide benefit for at least 3 years in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2012:119(10);2125–2132.
- Summary of Product Characteristics for ILUVIEN 190 micrograms intravitreal implant in applicator. Available from: https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/27636. Accessed June 23, 2016.
- Stewart MW, Flynn HW Jr, Schwartz SG, Scott IU. Extended duration strategies for the pharmacologic treatment of diabetic retinopathy: current status and future prospects. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*. Epub 2016 Jun 13.
- Boyer DS, Yoon YH, Belfort R Jr, et al; Ozurdex MEAD Study Group. Three-year, randomized, sham-controlled trial of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2014:121(10);1904–1914.
- Summary of Product Characteristics for OZURDEX 700 micrograms intravitreal implant in applicator. Available from: https://www.medicines. org.uk/emc/medicine/23422. Accessed June 23, 2016.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal

Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of