Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaoqiang Tang, Sichuan University, China

REVIEWED BY Jia-Hua Qu, University of California, San Francisco, United States Chunheng Mo, Sichuan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Mauricio Latorre, mauricio.latorre@uoh.cl Valentina Parra, vparra@ciq.uchile.cl

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Cellular Biochemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental

RECEIVED 13 June 2022 ACCEPTED 25 August 2022 PUBLISHED 14 September 2022

CITATION

Biology

Guajardo-Correa E, Silva-Agüero JF, Calle X, Chiong M, Henriquez M, García-Rivas G, Latorre M and Parra V (2022), Estrogen signaling as a bridge between the nucleus and mitochondria in cardiovascular diseases. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10:968373. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.968373

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Guajardo-Correa, Silva-Agüero, Calle, Chiong, Henriquez, García-Rivas, Latorre and Parra. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Estrogen signaling as a bridge between the nucleus and mitochondria in cardiovascular diseases

Emanuel Guajardo-Correa^{1,2†}, Juan Francisco Silva-Agüero^{1,2†}, Ximena Calle^{1,2,3,4}, Mario Chiong^{1,2}, Mauricio Henríquez^{5,6}, Gerardo García-Rivas^{7,8}, Mauricio Latorre^{9,10*} and Valentina Parra^{1,2,6*}

¹Advanced Center of Chronic Diseases (ACCDiS), Facultad de Ciencias Químicas y Farmacéuticas y Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ²Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ³Escuela de Química y Farmacia, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago, Chile, ⁴Center of Applied Nanoscience (CANS), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Andres Bello, Santiago, Chile, ⁵Programa de Fisiología y Biofísica, Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁵Programa de Fisiología y Biofísica, Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁶Red para el Estudio de Enfermedades Cardiopulmonares de Alta Letalidad (REECPAL), Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁷Tecnológico de Monterrey, Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud, Monterrey, Nuevo León, Mexico, ⁸Tecnológico de Bioingeniería, Instituto de Ciencias de la Ingeniería, Universidad de O'Higgins, Rancagua, Chile, ¹⁰Laboratorio de Bioinformática y Expresión Génica, INTA, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁵Laboratorio de Bioinformática y Expresión Génica, INTA, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁶Laboratorio de Bioinformática y Expresión Génica, INTA, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁶Laboratorio de Bioinformática y Expresión Génica, INTA, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁶Laboratorio de Bioinformática y Expresión Génica, INTA, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁶Laboratorio de Bioinformática y Expresión Génica, INTA, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Epidemiological studies indicate that pre-menopausal women are more protected against the development of CVDs compared to men of the same age. This effect is attributed to the action/effects of sex steroid hormones on the cardiovascular system. In this context, estrogen modulates cardiovascular function in physiological and pathological conditions, being one of the main physiological cardioprotective agents. Here we describe the common pathways and mechanisms by which estrogens modulate the retrograde and anterograde communication between the nucleus and mitochondria, highlighting the role of genomic and non-genomic pathways mediated by estrogen receptors. Additionally, we discuss the presumable role of bromodomain-containing protein 4 (BRD4) in enhancing mitochondrial biogenesis and function in different CVD models and how this protein could act as a master regulator of estrogen protective activity. Altogether, this review focuses on estrogenic control in gene expression and molecular pathways, how this activity governs nucleus-mitochondria communication, and its projection for a future generation of strategies in CVDs treatment.

KEYWORDS

estrogens, nucleus, mitochondria, cardiovascular diseases, cardiac hypertrophy, heart failure

1 Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide (Virani et al., 2021). The most common CVDs are stroke, heart failure, coronary artery diseases, hypertension, heart arrhythmia, peripheral artery disease, and atherosclerosis, which are characterized by heart and/or blood vessel dysfunction (Virani et al., 2021). High blood pressure, high blood glucose, smoking, obesity, lack of exercise, alcohol consumption, and dyslipidemia are the main risk factors for the development of CVDs and they can be modified by gender, race and ethnicity (Hu et al., 2017; Virani et al., 2021). Interestingly, when these risk factors are reduced, the CVDs mortality rates decrease by 50%, as well as the use of other preventive therapies (Ford et al., 2007).

Currently, ageing is an inevitable determinant in CVDs, leading to decreased mitochondrial functions, excessive production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and altered calcium (Ca^{2+}) levels, which are important determinants for the progressive damage in several physiological processes and that increase the incidence of hypertension, atherosclerosis and cerebrovascular accidents. Moreover, there is evidence that shows a strong relationship between the nucleus and mitochondria function in controlling the expression of key genes involved in CVDs (North and Sinclair, 2012; Almeida et al., 2017).

Several studies have reported the different rates of CVDs among men and women (O'Neil et al., 2018). Epidemiological studies have indicated that premenopausal women are more protected against the development of CVDs compared to men of the same age (Mosca et al., 2011). This cardioprotective effect is attributed to the sex hormones levels in this group (Yang and Reckelhoff, 2011). In recent years, sufficient evidence has supported the idea that the differences in vascular biology between men and women are related to the cardiovascular and metabolic action/effects of sex steroid hormones (Vitale et al., 2010). Estrogen modulates cardiovascular physiology and function in physiological and pathological conditions, being one of the main physiological cardioprotective agents (Ford et al., 2007). Thus, unveiling the action mechanism and role of estrogen in the integration of organelle function will help elucidate new therapeutic targets to fight CVDs and propose that the difference in its levels may play a key role in cardiovascular pathophysiology (Vitale et al., 2010). Sex steroid hormones exert both direct and indirect effects on cardiovascular functions due to their metabolic and vasoactive properties, which are mediated by genomic and non-genomic mechanisms (Tian and Meng, 2019). All these actions will be discussed in detail below. Thus, this article will review the effects of estrogen at the cardiovascular level and its role in the coordination between mitochondria and nucleus functioning in the context of CVDs.

2 Estrogens and cardiovascular diseases

Estrogens exert essential effects on the cardiovascular system, and their actions depend on factors such as dose/concentration, target tissue, gender, estrogen receptor (ER) subtype expressed in the tissue, and the developmental period of age where the measures were developed (Iorga et al., 2017). Moreover, as estrogens can be generated and secreted by different types and tissues, their effects on proliferation and mitochondrial bioenergetics are common between different cell types, no matter the distance to the target tissue or if the secretion is considered endocrine, autocrine, or paracrine (Lang, 2004; Deroo and Korach, 2006; Bustamante-Barrientos et al., 2021). The most common and predominant form of circulating estrogen, as well as the primary female sex hormone, is 17 β -estradiol (E2) (Murphy, 2011). In premenopausal women, E2 is synthesized and secreted predominantly by the ovaries and other tissue types, such as adipose, brain, and bone tissues, as well as in the vascular endothelium and aortic smooth muscle cells (Bayard et al., 2007). Postmenopausal women are at higher risk of CVDs than premenopausal women and men of the same age. Estrogen exerts several beneficial effects on vascular function, such as improving the lipid profile, increasing the mitochondrial function, reducing atherosclerosis and fibrosis, decreasing oxidative stress, attenuating cardiac hypertrophy (CH), and stimulating angiogenesis and vasodilatation (Mendelsohn, 2002; Murphy, 2011; Iorga et al., 2017). We will discuss most of these effects in detail in the next subsections.

2.1 Potential estrogen effects in cardiovascular diseases

Several studies have shown that estrogen can delay the development of CH. Thus, in a model of ovariectomized (OVX) mice subjected to transverse aortic constriction (TAC), E2 prevented HC due to pressure overload, reducing CH by 31% through decreased p38-mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphorylation. Thus, E2 has a direct beneficial effect on the heart and could therefore, reduce the prevalence of CH in postmenopausal women (Eickels et al., 2001).

In parallel, estrogen induces the expression of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in neonatal and adult cardiomyocytes both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, and is able to modulate nitric oxide synthase (NOS) expression and nitric oxide (NO) formation in the myocardium, protecting it against inflammation (Nuedling et al., 1999). The induction of neoangiogenesis through E2 therapy depends on the activation of eNOS, since mice without eNOS do not exhibit proangiogenic effects after E2 therapy (Cai and Harrison, 2000; Iorga et al., 2017). NO can induce post-translational protein modifications, such as

protein S-nitrosylation of cysteine, which may exert antiinflammatory effects. Estrogen protects hearts against ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury by activating the estrogen receptor beta (ERβ), NO/NOS signaling and S-nitrosylation in the vascular endothelia (Lin et al., 2009; Chakrabarti et al., 2010). In a study performed in female mice with angiotensin II-induced hypertension, E2 also showed acute and chronic vasodilation activity, decreasing arterial hypertension through a NO and estrogen receptor alpha (ERa)-mediated pathway (Guivarc'h et al., 2018). Additionally, E2 can also attenuate ERß mediated vasoconstriction in mice through iNOS expression (Zhu et al., 2002). Therefore, E2 plays a role in modulating vasorelaxation (White et al., 2005), vasoconstriction inhibition (Gallagher et al., 1999) and endothelial function through eNOS-dependent mechanisms, contributing to the direct cardioprotective effect of E2 in reducing CH and improving cardiac function (Iorga et al., 2017).

Another CVD where estrogen intervenes is in pulmonary arterial hypertension (PH). Females developed a less severe PH, compared to males. In a study with E2 pretreatment, the severity of PH was reduced in both female and male rats (Farhat et al., 1993), whereas another study demonstrated that estrogen receptors (ER) are involved in the protective effect of E2 in PH by using specific ERa agonists in rats (Frump et al., 2015). In contrast, other studies have indicated that $ER\beta$ is a cardiopulmonary protective receptor whose activation elicits vasoconstrictive, antiproliferative right ventricular hypertrophy and antifibrotic response, suggesting that both receptors are involved in the process, as well as the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER), which also mediates the protective effects of E2 against PH (Umar et al., 2011; Alencar et al., 2017). This protective effect is mainly based on studies assaying heart and peripheral vascular system function. Reportedly, estrogen is a risk factor for idiopathic PH in women, granting a longer life expectancy compared to men, due to the cardiovascular protection, a phenomenon known as "the estrogen paradox" (Umar et al., 2012; Lahm et al., 2014).

Throughout decades of estrogen research on CVDs, several studies have demonstrated that endothelial ER α participates in E2-mediated effects against atherosclerosis in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor-deficient mice (Billon-Galés et al., 2009). In hepatocytes of female mice, ER α deletion increases serum cholesterol levels and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) particle size, which finally leads to an increase in atherosclerotic lesions, indicating that hepatocyte ER α signaling is crucial for reverse cholesterol transport and protection against arterial lipid accumulation in female mice models (Zhu et al., 2017). However, although there is evidence to support the atheroprotective properties of ER β (Billon-Galés et al., 2009), more research is still needed to conclude whether ER α and ER β protect against atherosclerosis.

Finally, E2 may inhibit fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis. This observation is supported by several recent studies

that have demonstrated that such effects depend mainly on ER β activation (Iorga et al., 2016, 2017). Additionally, GPER30 exerts an antifibrotic role through the prevention of cardiac fibroblast proliferation and fibrosis both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Mahmoodzadeh et al., 2010; Mahmoodzadeh and Dworatzek, 2019).

2.2 Estrogen receptors and cardiac cell function

E2 exerts its effects through genomic and non-genomic pathways to regulate cardiovascular function (Marino et al., 2006). These effects are mediated by the classical ER: ER α , ER β and the GPER (Figure 1); this last one has been thoroughly investigated in the last decades (Murphy, 2011).

GPER localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane to exert rapid non-genomic events (Revankar et al., 2005). Several studies have shown that GPER activation protects the heart from pressure overload, ischemia, high salt diet, estrogen loss and aging in both male and female animal models. As described in mice, GPER knockout (KO) impairs glucose homeostasis and blood pressure and also produces alterations in cardiac structure and an altered systolic and diastolic function in both sexes (Sharma and Prossnitz, 2016). In the same line, analysis of cardiomyocyte DNA microarrays from GPER KO and wild type (WT) mice showed differential gene expression profiles affecting multiple transcriptional networks between male and female mice and in turn, revealed that mitochondrial genes were differentially enriched in male and female mice after cardiomyocyte-specific GPER deletion (Wang et al., 2017). In this study, the dimensions of the left ventricle in GPER KO mice were greater in males (Wang et al., 2017). These sex differences in male and female GPER KO mice may be due to the endogenous estrogen effects in females. In this regard, multiple functions of estrogens have been described in mitochondria, such as: attenuating ROS production, modulating mitochondrial ATP levels, and stabilizing the mitochondrial structural assembly (Rattanasopa et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). However, and despite that in recent years, several studies have specifically evaluated the effects of GPER in cardiac cells and described cardioprotection in different scenarios, its exact mechanism of action has not been determined yet.

Interestingly, a study that evaluated mice lacking the ER α showed that there were no significant cardiac differences with the WT, whereas the ER β KO mice responded to the TAC with significant alterations in functional cardiac parameters compared to the WT. Thus, it seems that Er β activation has a role in attenuating the hypertrophic response to pressure overload in women, which is significantly better than in their male counterparts (Skavdahl et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2009). This finding also correlates with ER β localization in the



mitochondria of cardiomyocytes in both humans and rodents, also suggesting a role for this receptor in mitochondrial integrity (Yang et al., 2004).

2.3 The complex relationship between estrogen and mitochondria

Recent studies have suggested that mitochondria are a target of estrogens cardioprotective signaling (Figure 2), which is confirmed by the fact that many of the proposed estrogen signaling pathways converge on this organelle (Murphy, 2004; Klinge, 2017). Mitochondrial metabolism inevitably produces ROS, which in turn trigger mitochondrial dysfunction. E2 produces a decrease of ROS and increases antioxidant proteins, including superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1), superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) (Lynch et al., 2020). On the other hand, in the vasculature, GPER modulates ROS by decreasing NADPH oxidase 4 (NOX4), prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (PTGS2) and GPx1, and by increasing antioxidant proteins, such as sirtuin 3 (SIRT3) and glutathione S-transferase Kappa 1 (GSTK1) (Lynch et al., 2020). Therefore, as described in several studies, females show an antioxidant difference with males that is established at the mitochondrial level, thus producing less free radicals and in turn, less cardiac oxidative damage (Borras et al., 2007; Colom et al., 2007). In this regard, some studies have reported that female mitochondria generate half the amount of hydrogen peroxide than males and have higher levels of mitochondrial reduced glutathione. However, the mechanism through which E2 performs these effects and the participation of other organelles has not yet been fully elucidated (Iorga et al., 2017). Another interesting feature that could be related to ROS modulation is the participation of E2 in the regulation of Ca²⁺ levels. Two studies have shown that OVX females exhibit mitochondria with a decreased Ca2+ retention capacity, which is restored after E2 administration, thus improving the normal processes of cardiac contraction and relaxation (Kravtsov et al., 2007; Wei et al., 2007; Jiao et al., 2020). Similarly, several studies have shown that regulating mitochondrial homeostasis is crucial to mitigating the disruption of different pathological processes in CVDs. Certain proteins, such as peroxisome proliferator-



related to an increase in complex V alpha subunit, subunit 1, mitochondrial respiration, and downregulation of uncoupling protein 3 (UCP3). Estrogen also preserves mitochondrial activity and integrity through the stimulation of the antioxidant enzymes glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and superoxide dismutase (SOD), leading to a decrease in reactive oxygen species (ROS).

activated receptor coactivator 1 alpha (PGC-1 α), the AMPactivated protein kinase (AMPK) and several genes involved in the electron transport chain (ETC) are regulated by sex hormones and more specifically, by estrogen signaling (Lynch et al., 2020).

The activation of GPER and ERa preserves mitochondrial function and decreases mitophagy after I/R injury through a mitochondrial permeability transition pore (MPTP)-dependent signaling and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK)/ extracellular-signal regulated kinase (ERK) activation, thus decreasing apoptosis through the preservation of mitochondrial integrity (Feng et al., 2017; Mahmoodzadeh and Dworatzek, 2019). In this regard, estrogen administration in *in vivo* models before I/R, reduces infarct size and improves contractility (Luo et al., 2016; Mahmoodzadeh and Dworatzek, 2019). The possible mechanisms through which E2 generates these protective effects have been reviewed recently (Iorga et al., 2017). As reported, female rats are more protected against I/R

injury than males in *in vivo* and isolated perfused heart models. This phenomenon could depend on mitochondria and two mitochondrial proteins. First, mitochondria from both females and E2-treated males showed increased levels of protein kinase C (PKC)-dependent phosphorylation of aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (ALDH2), resulting in increased ALDH activity. Activation of ALDH protects the heart against ischemic damage (Chen et al., 2008). Another study also linked increased p-ALHD2 with decreased ROS production. Cardiomyocytes from female rats produced less ROS than cardiomyocytes from male rats following I/R injury (Lagranha et al., 2010). This same study demonstrated an increase in the phosphorylation of alpha-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (aKGDH) in female hearts (Lagranha et al., 2010). aKGDH is a significant source of ROS generation, particularly under a high NADH/NAD ratio, which occurs during I/R. Permeabilized female mitochondria supplemented with aKGDH substrates and NADH decrease ROS production, suggesting that increased phosphorylation of aKGDH might reduce ROS generation (Lagranha et al., 2010). In accordance, in OVX rats, E2 deprivation decreased contents and function of respiratory complex I and IV, α KGDH, and succinate dehydrogenase; this impairment was concomitant with a decreased ROS-detoxifying enzyme activity and increased lipoperoxidation (Pavón et al., 2017). Several mechanisms of how E2 increases cardioprotection in I/R by improving mitochondrial function and increasing antioxidant activity have been recently reviewed by (Iorga et al., 2017).

Lastly, and in terms of senescence, E2 protects against cellular senescence and mitochondrial dysfunction in human umbilical vein cells, vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMC), and female C57BL/6 mice (Sasaki et al., 2021). E2 increases mitochondrial autophagy by maintaining mitochondrial function and slowing down senescence, but, interestingly, E2 does not modulate the microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3); as well as the autophagy related-7 (ATG7) deficiency does not suppress mitochondrial autophagy in E2-treated cells. Moreover, the E2mediated effects on mitochondrial autophagy were abolished by the KO of either Unc-51 like kinase-1 (Ulk1) or Ras-related protein Rab-9 (Rab9). These results suggested that E2-mediated mitochondrial autophagy is associated with Rab9-dependent alternative autophagy. Additionally, E2 upregulates sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) and activates the liver kinase B1 (LKB1), AMPK, and Ulk1, indicating that the effect of E2 on the induction of Rab9dependent alternative autophagy is mediated by the SIRT1/ LKB1/AMPK/Ulk1 pathway. Compared with the shamoperated mice, OVX mice showed reduced mitochondrial autophagy and accelerated mitochondrial dysfunction and arterial senescence, all of which were successfully rescued by E2 (Sasaki et al., 2021).

3 Mitochondria and their role in cardiovascular diseases

mitochondrion double The is a membrane. semiautonomous, dynamic, and densely packed organelle with a bacterial ancestry and endosymbiotic origin (Vafai and Mootha, 2012). It sustains cell life by converting carbonated skeletons to ATP, CO₂, and H₂O, generating oxidative stress and heat. Mitochondria are the principal energy source in different tissues and allow proper functionality of organs, especially the ones with high energy demands, such as the heart (Sun and Finkel, 2015). Mitochondria produce ATP via oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), the citric cycle and β-oxidation and are the primary cellular ROS source, participating in the handling of intracellular Ca+2 levels and integrating survival and death signals. This organelle adapts to nutritional, oxygen and ROS conditions to maintain its function and integrity (Vásquez-Trincado et al., 2016). Interestingly, they represent 30% of the heart in volume. In cardiomyocytes, the main functional unit of the heart, mitochondria have two different populations,

interfibrillar and subsarcolemmal, which are electrically coupled to each other in electrical conduction networks (Sun and Finkel, 2015).

Mitochondrial function is regulated by the formation of networks via the interaction of the outer (OMM) and inner (IMM) mitochondrial membranes of two mitochondrion, which can enhance the energetic activity of the mitochondrial network. This network then can transfer signaling molecules, lipids and Ca+2 within the endoplasmic reticulum at sites called mitochondria-associated membranes or MAMs (López-Crisosto et al., 2015). Mitochondrial network dynamics depend in a delicate balance between fission and fusion. In this regard, whereas mitochondrial fusion is regulated by the dynamin-related GTPases, termed Mitofusins (MFN1 and MFN2) and the optical atrophy protein 1 (OPA1), mitochondrial fission is regulated by mitochondrial fission 1 protein (FIS1) and the dynamin-related protein1 (DRP1). Perturbations of this complex interplay, mainly by an increase in fission, are closely related to CVD phenotypes (Amchenkova et al., 1988; Vásquez-Trincado et al., 2016), mainly due to increased ROS and limited energy production, which leads to apoptotic signaling and thus, mitochondrial and cardiac tissue damage (Forte et al., 2021).

In brief, mitochondrial quality maintenance is fundamental to preserving the energetic mitochondrial network and cellular homeostasis. Mitochondrial biogenesis, mitophagy, fusion, fission, and protein turnover are the processes behind this complex control, all of which will be briefly discussed below.

3.1 Mitochondrial dynamics in cardiovascular diseases

Mitophagy, and mitochondrial fusion/fission are coordinated to maintain energetic and cellular homeostasis. Dysregulation of any of these functions results in the accumulation of damaged mitochondria. Excessive mitochondrial fission and mitophagy compromise cell metabolic capacity (Twig and Shirihai, 2011; Morales et al., 2020). In general terms, mitochondrial fusion is linked to the removal of damaged mitochondria via autophagosomes, while fission is a requirement for mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) distribution during cell division (Ong and Hausenloy, 2010; Vásquez-Trincado et al., 2016; Morales et al., 2020).

3.1.1 Mitochondrial fusion

Mitochondrial fusion requires the coordinated action of the MFN1 and MFN2 proteins to interact with their homologues, which are located in the outer mitochondrial membrane of adjacent organelles, fusing them through a mechanism that requires GTP (Ong and Hausenloy, 2010; Vásquez-Trincado et al., 2016). On the other side, the OPA1 protein is involved in the fusion of the inner mitochondrial membranes and the

Guajardo-Correa et al.

remodeling of mitochondrial cristae. Moreover, OPA1 preserves the integrity and function of the internal mitochondrial membrane in response to energy damage or mitochondrial stress. In this regard, OPA1 activity depends on specific proteolytic cleavages mediated by m-AAA Protease 1 (OMA1), YME1 like 1 ATPase (YME1L1), presenilinsassociated rhomboid-like protein (PARL), paraplegin and AFG3-like AAA ATPase 1 (AFG3L1) proteases (Morales et al., 2020; Forte et al., 2021).

A decrease in the functionality of the fusion machinery leads to a reduction in mitochondrial fusion, which is directly linked to CVDs. More specifically, a lower MFN2 expression is directly related to hypertension, CH and a failing heart (Vásquez-Trincado et al., 2016; Forte et al., 2021). MFN2 is also downregulated in rat models of myocardial infarction (MI), transverse aortic banding and spontaneously hypertensive rats (Fang et al., 2007). In adult cardiomyocytes, elimination of MFN1 and MFN2 induce mitochondrial dysfunction and fragmentation, leading to CH and cardiomyopathy (Chen et al., 2011; Song et al., 2015b). Specifically, among the two, MFN2 seems to be more important for mitochondrial homeostasis, since its elimination leads to early CH and cardiomyopathy (Papanicolaou et al., 2011; Chen and Dorn, 2013). On the other side, upregulation of MFN2 attenuates the CH induced by angiotensin II (Yu et al., 2011), and complementarily; in diabetes and obesity, which are conditions correlated with an increased risk of CVDs, MFN2 expression is downregulated, and can be recovered with weight loss and exercise (Bach et al., 2005; Cartoni et al., 2005).

On the other hand, specific deletion of OPA1 in mice did not greatly affect cardiac homeostasis but induced the opening of mitochondrial permeability transition pores (mPTP) (Piquereau et al., 2012). In fact, cardiac deletion of YME1L1 resulted in OMA1 activation, promoting mitochondrial fragmentation, which leads to dilated cardiomyopathy and heart failure (Wai et al., 2015). OPA1 activity largely depends on post-translational modifications (PTM); more specifically, its hyperacetylation is associated with reduced activity. In fact, cardiac stress triggers OPA1 hyperacetylation, which can be reversed by the deacetylase SIRT3, which binds directly to OPA1, promoting mitochondrial function and a substantial connection of the dynamic network (Samant et al., 2014).

3.1.2 The fission mechanism

Mitochondrial fission requires the translocation of DRP1 to the mitochondria from the cytosol, promoted by PTMs, including dephosphorylation and sumoylation. This translocation is facilitated by FIS1, the mitochondrial division protein 1 (MDV1), and the mitochondrial fission factor (MFF), which are found in the OMM and act as adapter proteins. The interaction of DRP1 with these adapter

proteins allows its oligomerization in a GTP-dependent process, generating a constriction ring that physically separates the mitochondrial membranes (Vásquez-Trincado et al., 2016; Morales et al., 2020; Forte et al., 2021).

DRP1 has emerged as a critical target in mitochondrial fission and cardiac research. Its cardiac-specific elimination in mice leads to a prematurely lethal phenotype associated with defective mitochondrial respiration and incomplete and flawed elimination of ubiquitinated proteins (Kageyama et al., 2014; Ishihara et al., 2015). In adult cardiomyocytes, mitophagy overactivation via Parkin upregulation induced by DRP1 elimination develops lethal cardiomyopathy (Song et al., 2015a). DRP1 inhibition protects from cardiac I/R injury and MI by decreasing mitochondrial metabolism and fragmentation (Ong et al., 2010; Disatnik et al., 2013; Zepeda et al., 2014). DRP1 deletion in adult mice leads to death in 13 weeks due to dilated cardiomyopathy with damaged mitochondria, decreased autophagy and increased cell death (Ikeda et al., 2014; Song et al., 2015b). In the case of MFF, its ablation in mouse models is lethal within 3 months. These mice show impaired mitochondrial function and increased mitophagy, although this lethal phenotype is reversed by a concomitant MFN1 deletion (Chen H. et al., 2015).

3.1.3 Mitophagy

Mitophagy is the process of eliminating irreversibly damaged or dysfunctional mitochondria, targeting them to the autophagosome (Song et al., 2014). This process requires a coordinated upregulation of the mitochondrial fission machinery to precisely removing damaged mitochondrial portions. Mitophagy can occur through two mechanisms: parkin-dependent or parkin-independent (Morales et al., 2020; Forte et al., 2021). Parkin-mediated mitophagy involves PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1) mediated-recruitment of Parkin to the OMM. PINK1 phosphorylates MFN2; then Parkin recognizes MFN2 and localizes to the mitochondria, which is an essential signal for mitophagy to start (Chen and Dorn, 2013; Xiong et al., 2019). Later, Parkin also ubiquitinates different proteins to promote their interaction with the rest of the mitophagy adaptors. One of these proteins is p62/ sequestosome 1, which interacts with LC3, leading to the entrapment of the mitochondrion in the autophagosome and its subsequent digestion in the autolysosomes, after the fusion between the lysosome and the autophagosome (Forte et al., 2021). On the other hand, Parkin-independent mechanisms have also been described in some specific physiological and pathological contexts that are out of the scope of this review, wherein PINK1 phosphorylates Ubtargeted mitochondrial proteins, triggering the recruitment of the autophagy adaptors nuclear dot protein 52 kDa (NDP52) and optineurin (Lazarou et al., 2015; Morales et al., 2020). Therefore, ubiquitination of OMM proteins is a signal that is recognized by autophagy receptors, promoting the delivery of mitochondria to autophagosomal vesicles (Morales et al., 2020).

As described above, DRP1 deletion induces a decrease in mitophagy and a lethal phenotype. However, if this cardiac deletion is combined with a concomitant Parkin deletion, it results in improved cardiac remodeling and increased survival (Song et al., 2015a). In the same line, cardiomyopathy is induced by the removal of MFN2, preventing Parkin recruitment into damaged mitochondria (Chen and Dorn, 2013). Interestingly, Parkin-deficient mice show normal myocardial function (Kubli et al., 2013), despite having disorganized mitochondrial networks and significantly smaller mitochondria in their hearts. However, these Parkin^{-/-} mice were much more sensitive to MI than WT mice. After the infarction, these mice showed reduced survival and developed larger infarcts than WT mice (Kubli et al., 2013). Similarly, Parkin knockout Drosophila flies exhibit an accumulation of enlarged, hollow donut mitochondria with dilated cardiomyopathy (Bhandari et al., 2014). These mitochondria were depolarized despite presenting an production. However, enhanced suppressing ROS cardiomyocyte mitochondrial fusion in this model completely prevented cardiomyopathy and corrected mitochondrial dysfunction without normalizing mitochondrial dysmorphology. These results demonstrate a central role of mitochondrial fusion in cardiomyopathy provoked by impaired mitophagy (Bhandari et al., 2014).

Pink1^{-/-} mice develop left ventricular dysfunction and evidence pathological CH as early as 2 months of age. Moreover, Pink1^{-/-} mice have greater levels of oxidative stress and impaired mitochondrial function (Billia et al., 2011). In cardiomyocytes, loss of PINK1 increases the heart's vulnerability to I/R injury due to mitochondrial dysfunction (Siddall et al., 2013). Contrastingly, PINK1 overexpression stabilizes ETC activity, increases ATP production and mitochondrial membrane potential, and inhibits mitochondrial ROS (mROS) production, therefore ameliorating I/R mitochondrial dysfunction in H9c2 cardiomyocytes (Li Y. et al., 2017). These results closely correlate with the altered mitochondrial dynamics and increased susceptibility to MI damage observed in the Parkin-deficient models (Kubli et al., 2013), suggesting that both PINK1 and Parkin play a critical role in adapting to stress in the myocardium by promoting the removal of damaged mitochondria.

Altogether, fusion, fission, and mitophagy are closely related phenomena. Fission produces a mitochondrial population characterized by a decreased size and mitochondrial membrane potential and lower OPA1 levels, thus contributing to segregating defective mitochondria and favoring the detection and removal by mitophagy (Vásquez-Trincado et al., 2016; Forte et al., 2021).

3.2 E2 and the regulation of mitochondrial energetics

Mitochondrial function is also regulated at mtDNA transcriptional level, although mtDNA is restricted to just 13 respiratory subunits. In this regard, nuclear genes play a dominant role in the biosynthesis of the respiratory chain and mtDNA expression. Thus, mitochondrial transcription is directed by the nuclear-encoded mitochondrial transcription factors (TFs) such as mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM; also termed mtTFA), mitochondrial transcription factor B1 (TFB1M) and B2 (TFB2M), and mitochondrial transcription termination factor (mTERF) (Figure 2). Additionally, environmental signals can induce the expression of the PGC-1 family coactivators (PGC-1a, PGC-1\beta, and a more distant relative the PGC-1-related coactivator [PRC]), which target specific TFs, like the nuclear respiratory factor 1 (NRF1), and 2a (NRF2a; also known as GA binding protein a: GABPa and commonly confused with NRF2) and the estrogen-related receptor (ERR) alpha (Figure 3) to regulate the expression of respiratory genes (Scarpulla, 2006; Svaguša et al., 2020; Del Campo et al., 2021).

Proteins involved in mitochondrial ETC complexes and OXPHOS are regulated by estrogens, mainly due to their genomic effects via the ERs. NRF1 expression, a gene with a functional estrogen response element (ERE) in its promoter region, TFAM, cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1, and NADH dehydrogenase subunit I are induced by estrogen in MCF-7 and H1797 cells (Mattingly et al., 2008; Azuma and Inoue, 2012). Estrogen also suppresses the expression of uncoupling protein 3 (UCP3). UCP3 is an OXPHOS uncoupling protein located in the mitochondrial inner membrane. UCP proteins uncouple ATP synthesis from the generation of the mitochondrial membrane potential in the mitochondrial respiratory chain. Therefore, ERa activity suppresses proton leakage and energy dissipation. This ERa-mediated phenomenon was confirmed by overexpressing a constitutively active receptor and treatment with ICI182,780, an ER antagonist (Nagai et al., 2016). In MCF-7 cells, estrogen increases ATP content and cyclooxygenase (COX) activity. The recently described cytochrome c oxidase subunit 7a-related polypeptide (COX7RP) functioned as a mitochondrial respiratory chain supercomplex assembly-promoting factor and was described in the context of breast and endometrial cancer. COX7RP possesses a functional ERE, and its knockdown attenuates estrogenic proliferative activity (Watanabe et al., 1998; Ikeda et al., 2019).

Additionally, E2 upregulates the transcription of MFN1, MFN2, OPA1, and DRP1 (Figure 2), induces mitochondrial fusion, and increases ATP levels; it also decreases the expression of FIS1 and OXPHOS complex proteins via ERs in MCF-7 cells (Sastre-Serra et al., 2012). Specifically, E2-activation of ERa in MCF-7 cells is required for DRP1 phosphorylation at serine (Ser) 616 via a non-genomic mechanism, increasing



mitochondrial fission (Oo et al., 2018). A recent review by Lynch et al., delves into the role of estrogens in mitochondrial dynamics and biogenesis, mitochondrial-reticulum cross-communication and induction of cell death in CVDs (Lynch et al., 2020).

4 Estrogens as second messengers connecting the nucleus and mitochondria: Beyond the genomic and non-genomic pathway

Estrogens can bind to nuclear and membrane ERs, with different affinities for each receptor and strengths of the associated response (Watson et al., 2007). These receptors are widely expressed and differ in their structural and domain composition, which gives differential functions to the ER α and the Er β (Pfaffl et al., 2001; Yaşar et al., 2017). In this regard, estrogens participate in different physiological functions, such as bone density, cholesterol mobilization, sexual tissues, and breast development, sexual maturation, control of inflammation, and brain function (Liang and Shang, 2013). Estrogens are also relevant in different pathological conditions. For example, in the cardiac system, a significant number of CVDs are caused by a

loss of estrogenic protection, whereas pulmonary arterial hypertension is characterized by elevated plasma estrogen levels in patients (Iorga et al., 2017; Lynch et al., 2020).

Historically, the cellular action of estrogens occurs through genomic (classical) and non-genomic (non-classical) pathways (Figure 1). These pathways and the recent advances in their description will be discussed in the following sections of this review, specifically in the context of CVDs.

4.1 The genomic pathway

In the genomic pathway, estrogen diffuses across the plasma membrane, binding to the ER α or ER β (Figure 1). These receptors are TFs and share a high DNA-binding domain (95%) and ligand-binding domain homology (55%). In the human and animal cardiovascular systems, these receptors are widely expressed and have demonstrated functional activity in cardiomyocytes, endothelial cells, and vascular smooth muscle cells (Ueda et al., 2019). ERs are mainly localized in the cytoplasm and nucleus of the cell; however, a fraction of ERs are localized in the plasma membrane. When the ligands bind to ERs, they change their structural conformation, releasing heat shock

"chaperone" proteins (HSP) 90, 70, and 56, dimerizing and exposing binding sites for the direct interaction with chromatin at estrogen response elements (EREs). They translocate to the nucleus and, in conjunction with several other cofactors, regulate gene expression (Acconcia and Kumar, 2006). ERs have two transcriptional activation functions (AFs), as coactivators or co-repressors. AF-1, a ligand-independent region within the N-terminal region, can be phosphorylated. In particular, the ER α Ser 118, and ER β Ser 106 and Ser 124 are critical for ligand-independent receptor activation and become phosphorylated in response to ERK/ MAPK signaling. On the other hand, the C-terminal AF-2 allows ligand-dependent transcriptional activation by E2 (Acconcia and Kumar, 2006).

Based on gene expression activation analysis, ERs do not bind directly to DNA, and 35% of estrogen-regulated genes do not have EREs, thus describing an "indirect genomic pathway" or "a transcriptional cross-talk" (Fuentes and Silveyra, 2019). E2-ER complexes can modify transcription without binding directly to DNA by modulating other TFs through direct protein-protein interactions (Mendelsohn and Karas, 2005; Fuentes and Silveyra, 2019). Thus, estrogen indirect signaling influences activation or suppression of target gene expression. Specific proteins and mechanisms of this "indirect genomic pathway" have been reviewed recently by (Fuentes and Silveyra, 2019).

4.2 The non-genomic pathway

In the non-genomic pathway, estrogens can bind to ER α , ER β , or the G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) (Figure 1). This pathway modulates intracellular enzyme signaling, exerting a faster response than the genomic pathway *via* membrane-bound ERs. These effects are refractory to transcription and translation inhibitors (Puglisi et al., 2019).

The ER non-genomic signaling begins at ERs located at caveolae, activating kinases or phosphatases able to modulate cell physiology, e.g., the rapid stimulation of eNOS activity by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway mediated by the ERacaveolin 1 complex (Mineo and Shaul, 2012). Moreover, ERs in caveolae activate MAPK, PI3K and protein kinase B (AKT) kinases, enhancing Ser-1177 phosphorylation of eNOS. However, this is a complex process. First, ERa binds the p85 regulatory subunit of PI3K (Simoncini et al., 2000), while PI3K activation requires the proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src (c-Src), whose SH2 domain interacts with the phosphorylated tyrosine residue (Tyr)-537 of ERa (Haynes et al., 2003; Li et al., 2007). Further, Gai is also involved in this ERa complex at the caveolae, and the physical association of ERa with Gai is required for eNOS activation (Wyckoff et al., 2001; Kumar et al., 2007; Ueda et al., 2019). On the other hand, striatin serves as a scaffold protein of the ERa complex at caveolae (Figure 1) (Ueda et al., 2019). In E2 responsive cells, the E2-ER interaction usually activates several signal transduction pathways, such as: Phospholipase C (PLC)/PKC; p38/MAPK; janus kinase and signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT); p21-activated kinase 1 (PAK1); casein kinase I-g2 and sphingosine kinase (Acconcia and Marino, 2011).

As a classical G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), the GPER can be activated by estrogen, displaying a non-genomic activity. The GPER activates the Ga subunit, and then adenylate cyclase increases 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), which activates protein kinase A (PKA), with a concomitant deactivation of Raf-1 (Ciullo et al., 2001; Thomas et al., 2005). GPER also stimulates intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization (Revankar et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2019), which is blocked by inhibition of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), thus suggesting the transactivation of the EGFR via GPER. In this pathway, the activation of GPER dissociates the G-By complex and activates the downstream Src-related tyrosine kinase family, as well as phosphorylation of a Shc adapter protein, enhancing matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) expression (Filardo et al., 2000; Filardo, 2002; Revankar et al., 2005). Further in this pathway, GPER leads to the indirect activation by transactivation of MAPK/PI3K and AKT. Moreover, it also activates the c-Myc, c-fos, and c-jun TFs (McCubrey et al., 2007; Fujiwara et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2019).

4.3 Genomic and non-genomic activity in the heart

In cardiomyocytes, estrogen regulates the expression of connexin 43, β -myosin heavy chain, and several ion channels (Grohé et al., 1997; Stice et al., 2011). Moreover, estrogens also regulate calcineurin abundance and the activity of cGMP-dependent protein kinase (PKG) and AKT, and together with different microRNAs (miRNAs), inhibit cell hypertrophy and confer protection against apoptosis, where both nuclear and non-nuclear pathways might be involved (Patten et al., 2004; Donaldson et al., 2009; Sasaki et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015). Furthermore, AKT activation by E2 inhibits apoptosis and activates the antioxidant machinery (Patten et al., 2004; Donaldson et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015; Ueda et al., 2019).

In the heart, and in terms of its mitogenic activity, E2 enhances the proliferation of cardiac fibroblasts via MAPK (Wang et al., 2015; Ueda et al., 2019). In parallel, estrogenic activity stimulates endothelial cell proliferation and migration via ER α , Gi, and eNOS activation (Chambliss et al., 2010; Ueda et al., 2019). However, among 60 genes reported to be regulated by E2 in endothelial cells via ER α , 10 were also regulated by E2 in a KRR mutant model (a mice harboring a triple point mutation in ER α , preventing the binding with striatin), thus lacking non-nuclear signaling pathways (Lu et al., 2016). In contrast, E2 exerts

anti-proliferative effects in VSMC. The primary mechanism involves the inhibition growth-related kinases phosphorylation, such as ERK1/2, c Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), p38, and AKT, which are phosphorylated and activated by growth factor stimulation (Li et al., 2011; Ortmann et al., 2011). This effect is maintained via the expression and activity of several phosphatases, including mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 1 (MKP1), Src homology region 2 domaincontaining phosphatase 1 (SHP1), phosphatase and TENsin homolog (PTEN) and protein phosphatase 2 (PP2), which prevents the activation-mediated by phosphorylation of the growth-related kinases (Takeda-Matsubara et al., 2002; Lu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2011). It seems that these antiproliferative effects of E2 in VSMC occur via nuclearindependent ER signaling (Ueda et al., 2019), as evaluated in a transgenic mouse model (Disrupting Peptide Mouse; DPM), in which non-nuclear ER-mediated signaling was abolished by overexpressing a peptide representing the amino acids 176-253 of ERa, thus preventing ER from forming a signaling complex with striatin. In this mouse model, estrogen inhibition of VSMC proliferation was lost (Moens et al., 2012; Ueda et al., 2019).

Also, in terms of the vasculature, data suggest that GPER activation is protective in the vascular injury of ERa and ER β KO mice, and that it also regulates mitochondrial function and biogenesis in OVX mice (Sbert-Roig et al., 2016; Bowling et al., 2018; Mahmoodzadeh and Dworatzek, 2019; Lynch et al., 2020). Moreover, GPER activation produces vasorelaxation through a rise of cAMP, in a dual mechanism involving endothelial NO release and inhibition of prostanoid vasoconstrictor activity (Meyer et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2021). In VSMC, GPER seems to be involved in extracellular signalregulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation (Haas et al., 2009) and activation of c-Fos by either the ERK or PI3K pathways (Blesson and Sahlin, 2012; Silva et al., 2021). Finally, GPER also exerts anti-inflammatory effects by downregulating interleukin (IL)-6 expression in macrophages through the suppression of nuclear factor-ĸB (NF-ĸB) activity (Okamoto et al., 2017; Silva et al., 2021).

4.4 Estrogens, transcriptional activity, and mitochondrial pathways

ERs upregulate the expression of PGC-1 α and its downstream targets (Hsieh et al., 2005; Witt et al., 2008; Wickramasekera and Das, 2014). PGC-1 α , defined as the master regulator of energy substrate metabolism and mitochondrial biogenesis, belongs to a small family of transcriptional coactivators that includes the closely related PGC-1 β and a more distant relative, the PGC-1-related coactivator or PRC (Scarpulla, 2011). The effects of PGC-1a on promoting mitochondrial biogenesis and function are mediated through direct interaction and coactivation of several transcription factors, such as PPARs, ERRs, YY1, and NRF-1/2a, among others (Huss and Kelly, 2005; Scarpulla, 2011; Scarpulla et al., 2012). This explains how PGC-1a signaling is diversified into several metabolic pathways. Therefore, PGC-1a primary target genes depend on which transcription factors PGC-1a interacts with. For example, some PPARs, namely PPARo, stimulate the expression of enzymes involved in mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation (FAO), especially in tissues and organs that require a high energy input, like the heart and skeletal muscle (Cheng et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004; Burkart et al., 2007). ERR α , β , and γ also regulate nuclear genes encoding mitochondrial proteins involved in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, OXPHOS, and FAO (Eichner and Giguère, 2011; Sakamoto et al., 2020). ERR α and ERR γ are highly expressed in the heart (Bookout et al., 2006). ERRa knockout downregulates the expression of mitochondrial oxidative metabolism genes. Contrastingly, this downregulation appears to have a compensatory mechanism via upregulation of ERRy and PGC-1a. ERRa null hearts show a more severe heart failure and dilated hypertrophy, suggesting the requirement of ERRa in the energetic stress response (Dufour et al., 2007; Huss et al., 2007; Sakamoto et al., 2020). Deletion of the ERRy also has a similar effect in reprogramming the ERRa and PGC-1a, but most of the ERR γ -null mice die within the first 7 days of life due to heart failure (Dufour et al., 2007; Fan and Evans, 2015). Hence, PGC-1a interaction with these nuclear receptors promotes mitochondrial oxidative metabolism.

ERRs are estrogen-related receptors lacking the ligand union domain. This suggests a probable estrogenic effect by direct interaction of estrogens and ERs in the transcription of ERRs and related genes, or non-genomic signaling *via* PTM in ERRs. In addition, PGC-1 α promotes mitochondrial biogenesis by stimulating the expression of NRF-1/2; and directly coactivating NRF-1 on its target gene promoters (Wu et al., 1999; Gleyzer et al., 2005). Interestingly, E2 also promotes the expression of NRFs through ER α ; this is mediated by the presence of an ERE in the promoter of the NRFs, which can bind both ER α and ER β in an estrogen-dependent manner (Mattingly et al., 2008). This creates a feed-forward loop in which ER regulates PGC-1 α expression, and finally, both elements regulate NRF-1 transcription, as shown in Figure 3.

NRF-1/2 α controls the expression of all cytochrome c nuclear genes, the vast majority of nuclear-encoded subunits involved in OXPHOS, and proteins implicated in mtDNA replication, transcription, and translation (Kelly and Scarpulla, 2004; Dhar et al., 2008). NRF-1/2 α promotes the expression of three key factors involved in mtDNA transcription, TFAM, TFB1M, and TFB2M. Once synthesized, this specific machinery translocates into the mitochondria to promote the expression of mitochondrial genes, such as the ETC proteins (Virbasius and Scarpulla, 1994; Kelly and Scarpulla, 2004; Gleyzer et al., 2005). Hence, NRF1/2 α promotes mitochondrial biosynthesis by

inducing TFAM, resulting in both mtRNA transcription and mtDNA replication.

Of note, several of the previously mentioned effectors have been described in the cardiovascular system in both physiological and pathological contexts. Therefore, it is not surprising that specific cardiac deletions within the PCG-1a signaling pathway can impair cardiovascular functions, and that overexpression of its components can ameliorate phenotypic dysfunction. For example, a cardiac-specific KO (cKO) of PGC-1 α/β in postnatal mice caused mitochondrial fragmentation and altered expression of mitochondrial fusion (MFN1, OPA1) and fission (DRP1, FIS1) genes, and a decrease in mitochondrial respiration, finally culminating in lethality due to cardiomyopathy (Martin et al., 2014). A longevity study showed that cardiac-specific PGC-1a overexpression enhanced mitochondrial function and cardiac contractility, but accelerated cardiac aging and significantly shortened life span in 12-monthold mice because of increased mitochondrial damage and ROS (Zhu et al., 2019). Thus, maintaining adequate levels of PGC-1a is crucial for sustaining cardiometabolic homeostasis (Russell et al., 2004).

On the opposite side, PGC-1a also participates in pathological remodeling and dysfunction, as recently reviewed by (Oka et al., 2020). Most in vivo models of heart failure have shown downregulation of PGC-1a (Arany et al., 2006; Watanabe et al., 2014; Piquereau et al., 2017). However, some studies have not found significant changes (Oka et al., 2011; Bhat et al., 2019). Furthermore, global PGC-1a KO mice have also shown discrepancies. One study showed a normal cardiac and mitochondrial function at baseline conditions, with a mild increase in fetal gene markers (ANP, BNP, and β -MHC), and a pronounced decrease in TFAM (Arany et al., 2005, 2006). In comparison, another study indicated that mice exhibited systolic dysfunction at baseline (Leone et al., 2005). Despite this phenotypic disparity in both studies, PGC-1a KO mice exhibited a worsened response to hemodynamic stress (increased heart weight and decreased cardiac function), compared to control mice (Leone et al., 2005; Arany et al., 2006). More consistent results were reported with a cKO of PGC-1 α achieved by three independent groups who implemented the same methodology to achieve the cKO. Two of them observed a mild cardiac dysfunction in cKO-PGC-1a mice at baseline conditions (Bhat et al., 2019; Kärkkäinen et al., 2019), and the other reported normal cardiac function, with females showing dilated cardiomyopathy (Patten et al., 2012). Hence, the cKO, rather than the general PGC-1a KO mice, is more prone to develop heart failure. More importantly, studies aimed at sustaining PGC-1a expression levels during pressure overload failed to report protective effects on contractile function (Karamanlidis et al., 2014; Pereira et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2019).

Despite the unsolved questions regarding the beneficial role of PGC-1 α , the modulation of TFAM has shown interesting results in heart failure models. For example, transgenic

overexpression of TFAM protects mice from left ventricular remodeling and ameliorates the decrease in mtDNA copy number and mitochondrial complex enzyme activities (ETC complexes I, III, and IV) in post-MI hearts (Ikeuchi et al., 2005). More importantly, transgenic mice exhibited a higher survival rate (4 weeks) than WT, accompanied by decreased left ventricular dilatation, cardiomyocyte hypertrophy/apoptosis, and interstitial fibrosis (Ikeuchi et al., 2005). Of note, these results are consistent with similar studies of independent groups (Ikeda et al., 2015; Kunkel et al., 2019). In addition, TFAM overexpression in overload-induced heart failure models ameliorates mitochondrial ROS, decreases the expression and activity of the metalloproteinases MMP-2 and MMP9, and upregulates the expression of sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ ATPase 2a (SERCA2a) (Kunkel et al., 2019). Consistently, embryonic cKO of TFAM induced mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS production, ultimately resulting in lethal cardiomyopathy.

NRF-1 has been less described in the CVDs studies than TFAM or PGC-1a. NRF-1 expression initially increases during adaptative CH, and decreases in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy models and end-stage heart failure (Pisano et al., 2016; Nomura et al., 2018). NRF-1 regulates miR-4458 transcription in H9c2 myocytes. Interestingly, angiotensin II increases miR-4458 (and NRF-1), which in turn promotes TFAM expression by liberating TFAM mRNA from tristetraprolin (TTP), a protein involved in post-transcriptional mRNA degradation through poly-A tail removal (Yang et al., 2020). Thus, NRF-1 promotes TFAM expression through a novel mechanism mediated by miR-4458. Additionally, antioxidant supplementation with alpha-lipoic acid (a-LA) protects mice from TAC-induced left ventricular hypertrophy through the upregulation of FUN14 domain-containing protein 1 (FUNDC1), a mitochondrial membrane receptor that promotes mitophagy. Moreover, a-LA also restores ALDH2 activity, which in turn regulates FUNDC1 increase through NRF1-dependent transcription (Li et al., 2020).

In summary, we have reviewed the transcriptional cascades induced by estrogen through genomic pathways dependent on the ER. There are plenty of unanswered questions regarding PGC-1 α -NRF1/2 α -TFAM axis function and regulation in failing hearts. Therefore, more studies are needed to define whether PGC-1 α or TFAM are suitable therapeutic targets in CVDs.

4.5 Epigenetic regulation and the nucleus to mitochondria communication

Epigenetic regulation is also crucial in estrogen-dependent communication between the nucleus and mitochondria (Kim et al., 2016; Garbern and Lee, 2021). Bromodomain-containing protein 4 (BRD4), the most studied Bromodomain and Extraterminal (BET) family member of acetyl-lysine reader



FIGURE 4

Proposed estrogenic bridge in nucleus-mitochondria communication. Estrogenic activity enhances mitochondrial biogenesis and function via upregulation of the TCA cycle, FAO, and ETC/OXPHOS activity. It also stimulates mitochondrial replication and transcription. This activity, mainly due to the function of transcription factors such as PGC1- α , NRF1/2 α , TFAM, and PPARs is initiated in the nucleus through the activation of different transcriptional complexes induced by estrogens, thereby highlighting the proposed role of BRD4 associated with GATA4, ERs or ERRs. This novel proposed mechanism would directly govern all the beneficial effects of estrogens in mitochondria. Question marks indicate effects to be yet demonstrated, and approval marks indicate what has been described.

proteins, has become a highly pursued target in cancer and several CVDs (Lovén et al., 2013; Gillette and Hill, 2015; Lin and Du, 2020). Briefly, BRD4 recognizes and directly associates with acetylated chromatin at active enhancers and promoters, where it cooperates with a wide variety of TFs, to promote transcription elongation (Itzen et al., 2014; Stratton et al., 2016). Furthermore, as described in Figure 4, BRD4 can act as a coregulator of ER-dependent gene transcription (Nagarajan et al., 2014; Murakami et al., 2019). JQ1 (a pan-BET inhibitor) simultaneously inhibits E2-dependent gene transcription and proliferation in ER-positive breast cancer cells (Nagarajan et al., 2014).

Initial reports in the CVDs context suggested that BET family proteins and BRD4 were crucial participants in pathological cardiac remodeling and heart failure pathogenesis. For example, JQ1 was found to block agonist-induced in vitro CH, and to prevent the development of pressure overload-induced CH in mice (Anand et al., 2013; Spiltoir et al., 2013). Moreover, siRNA knockdown of BRD4 in neonatal cardiomyocytes inhibited the hypertrophic response triggered bv phenylephrine and diminished the expression of fetal gene program markers (ANP and BNP) associated with CH (Anand et al., 2013). In addition to these effects, recent transcriptomics studies suggest that inhibition of BET proteins involves non-cardiomyocyte pathways, such as fibrosis and inflammation (Zhu et al., 2020). As reported, JQ1 administration in mice subjected to TAC or MI blocks the transactivation of a pathological gene program preferentially enriched in NF-KB and transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) signaling networks (Duan et al., 2017; Antolic et al., 2020). Similar results were reported in vitro human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derivedwith cardiomyocytes (iPSC-CM) treated with endothelin-1 (Duan et al., 2017). Increased levels of BRD4 were also reported in a mouse model of high-fat diet (HFD)-induced diabetic cardiomyopathy. Upregulation of BRD4 blocked mitophagy through PINK1/Parkin modulation, resulting in the accumulation of damaged mitochondria and subsequent impairment of cardiac structure and function. BDR4 represses PINK1 transcription, and further administration of JQ1 restored mitochondrial function via PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy (Mu et al., 2020).

Despite the confirmed therapeutic potential, the precise mechanism through which BET protein inhibition ameliorates cardiac remodeling has yet to be defined. Moreover, the mentioned paradigm of BET inhibition has been the subject of debate since most recent studies have suggested that, unlike pharmacological inhibition, genetic loss of BRD4 triggers a progressive decline in cardiac function (Kim et al., 2020; Padmanabhan et al., 2020). As demonstrated by two independent groups (Kim et al., 2020; Padmanabhan et al., 2020), cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of BRD4 in developing and adult hearts leads to acute deterioration of cardiac contractile function and culminates in lethality. Consistently, transcriptional profiling by RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) experiments revealed that mitochondrial bioenergetics gene signature was preferentially downregulated in Brd4-cKO, characterized by a decrease of the master regulators PGC-1 α/β and disrupted mitochondria show mild swelling (Padmanabhan et al., 2020). Functional analysis of isolated mitochondria exhibited a reduction of the electron transport chain and TCA cycle activity and protein expression (Kim et al., 2020). Moreover, genome-wide occupancy data showed that BRD4 preferentially co-localizes with GATA binding protein 4 (GATA4, a widely described TF in CVDs) at regulatory regions controlling mitochondrial bioenergetics. Furthermore, BRD4 and GATA4 directly interact in cardiomyocytes, forming an endogenous complex capable of commanding mitochondrial homeostasis through PGC-1a expression (Padmanabhan et al., 2020).

Considering the detrimental outcomes of BRD4 KO on cardiac function, JQ1 protective effects could be exerted by other BET members expressed in the heart, such as bromodomain-containing proteins 2 (BRD2), and 3 (BRD3). However, genetic approaches to delete those proteins are needed to assign specific roles. Finally, whether ERs are involved in BRD4-dependent mitochondrial cardiac homeostasis is yet to be defined. As mentioned before, ER-E2 can induce PGC-1a expression; in addition, BRD4 participates in ER-dependent transcription and further regulates PGC-1a through GATA4 (Nagarajan et al., 2014; Padmanabhan et al., 2020). These facts raise several questions about whether ERs control mitochondrial function through BRD4 coactivation, and the same query is valid for other TFs mentioned earlier. As reported, BRD4 interacts with several TFs involved in mitochondrial gene networks and cardiac physiology (Kim et al., 2020; Padmanabhan et al., 2020).

5 Non-classical pathways and mitochondrial to nucleus communication

So far, we have discussed how estrogens control mitochondrial function through nucleus anterograde signals and non-genomic mechanisms. However, mitochondria themselves can generate a broad range of retrograde signals towards the nucleus in order to activate the expression of genes nuclear-encoded implicated in metabolic reprogramming to protect against mitochondrial dysfunction and metabolic stress (Kotiadis et al., 2014; Quirós et al., 2016; Yong and Tang, 2018). Retrograde signals originating in the mitochondria are commonly classified as energetic deprivation and imbalance responses, ROS stress responses, Ca²⁺-dependent responses, and mitochondrial unfolded protein response (mtUPR)-dependent responses (Kotiadis et al., 2014; Quirós et al., 2016). Covering all the signaling pathways initiated by mitochondria is beyond the scope of this review, so we will focus on the four most important ones, with a special focus on energetic deprivation.

5.1 Energy deficit and decreased mitochondrial ATP production: The AMPK and mitochondria-dependent anterograde communication

Alterations in OXPHOS or the ETC directly impair mitochondrial ATP production, thus increasing the adenosine diphosphate (ADP)/ATP ratio, and directly stimulating AMPK. AMPK can in turn, activate the PGC-1a/NRF1/2/TFAM axis, which stimulates mitochondrial energy metabolism and biogenesis, as described in the previous sections (Jäger et al., 2007; Garcia-Roves et al., 2008; Preobrazenski et al., 2021). Interestingly, enhanced AMPK activity promotes PGC-1a transcription through phosphorylation of Forkhead box O3 (FOXO3) and cAMP response element-binding (CREB) proteins (Wright et al., 2007; Brenmoehl and Hoeflich, 2013; Vaughan et al., 2014). Additionally, AMPK triggers the mitochondrial quality control program, which regulates mitochondrial dynamics and stimulates mitophagy through inhibition of the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) signaling (direct phosphorylation of RAPTOR subunit and upstream regulator, tuberous sclerosis complex 2 [TSC2]) and by activating the ULK complex (Inoki et al., 2003; Gwinn et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2011; Reis et al., 2021). Hence, AMPK can potentially enhance the biogenesis of new mitochondria and energy production through induction of PGC-1 α , and, concomitantly, promote the clearance of defective organelles.

Metabolic imbalance and energy deprivation not only involves intracellular ATP levels. It is well known that cellular NAD+/NADH levels are key regulators of metabolism and bioenergetics (Klinge, 2020; Maissan et al., 2021). Electrons derived from substrate catabolism are carried out by NADH and used for OXPHOS and biosynthetic reactions. These redox reactions are not only necessary for mitochondrial function and cell metabolism, but also for the modulation of cell signaling (Klinge, 2020). For example, SIRT1 is a NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase that senses energetic stress as an increase in the NAD⁺/NADH ratio (Li P. et al., 2017; Maissan et al., 2021). SIRT1 activates PGC-1a through deacetylation, which promotes PGC-1a translocation into the nucleus (Gerhart-Hines et al., 2007). Interestingly, SIRT1 can be further regulated by AMPK. AMPK enhances SIRT1 activity by increasing cellular NAD⁺ in C2C12 myocytes, thus resulting in deacetylation of downstream SIRT1 targets, including the PGC-1a, forkhead box O1 (FOXO1), and FOXO3a TFs (Cantó et al., 2009, 2010).

AMPK activity can be directly modulated by the E2 and ER activity (Figure 3). E2 targets AMPK through activation of ER α and direct binding with the α -catalytic subunit of AMPK, within the $\beta\gamma$ -subunit-binding domain (Lipovka et al., 2015). Silencing of AMPK α 2 downregulates ERR α . In the contrary, overexpression of ERR α in AMPK α 2 knockout neonatal cardiac myocytes partially rescued the expression of energy metabolism-related genes (Hu et al., 2011). These data suggest a loop of estrogenic activation in metabolic reprogramming *via* the ERs-AMPK-ERRs pathway.

5.2 ROS-dependent responses

Approximately 90% of physiologically generated ROS are mROS. mROS are generated through aerobic metabolism as secondary products of ETC at complexes I and III. They function directly by regulating redox biology and as signaling molecules under physiological and pathologic conditions (Panth et al., 2016). Physiological increases in ROS levels induce a retrograde signal that initiates an antioxidant response program designed to activate detoxification enzymes and scavenger proteins (Tan et al., 2008; Nguyen et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2012; Yong and Tang, 2018). This activation is mediated by the binding of TFs to antioxidant response elements. For example, ROS impairs the kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1)-mediated proteasomal degradation of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NFE2L2; also known as NRF2, but not to be confused with NRF2α mentioned above), thus facilitating the translocation of NFE2L2 to the nucleus and the subsequent activation of the antioxidant program (Nguyen et al., 2009).

ROS can also induce mitochondrial biogenesis and metabolic reprogramming through AMPK-mediated PGC-1a activation and further upregulation of PGC1a expression and protein levels (Ren and Shen, 2019). Additionally, in order to regulate mitochondrial biogenesis, PGC1α can also mediate antioxidant responses (Klinge, 2020). PGC1-a coactivates the expression of SIRT3 through ERRa, which binds to the SIRT3 proximal promoter (Giralt et al., 2011; Klinge, 2020). SIRT3 localizes within mitochondria to modulate several key enzymatic activities (acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, succinate dehydrogenase, and isocitrate dehydrogenase 2, among others) and optimize metabolic function (Verdin et al., 2010). Importantly, SIRT3 is also required for the PGC-1a-mediated induction of ROSdetoxifying machinery components, such as SOD2 and GPx1, and components of the respiratory chain, such as ATP synthase 5c and cytochrome c (Kong et al., 2010).

5.3 Ca²⁺-dependent responses

Mitochondria are the second most important Ca²⁺ storage within cells, and a key regulator of intracellular Ca²⁺ levels (Rizzuto et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2013). Different mitochondrial stressors, such as mtDNA or leakage, disruption of ETC complexes and OXPHOS, trigger the loss of the mitochondrial membrane potential and subsequent release of Ca2+ into the cytoplasm (Contreras et al., 2010; Quirós et al., 2016; Srinivasan et al., 2016). Increased levels of free cytosolic Ca²⁺ can induce a complex transcriptional cascade involving several TFs and signaling effectors. For example, Ca2+ activates calcineurin, a phosphatase that activates the nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) and NF-KB p105, which is also directly activated by mROS (Quirós et al., 2016; Chowdhury et al., 2020). NFAT and NFkB have been widely described as cardiac TF involved in pathological cardiac remodeling (Tham et al., 2015; Fiordelisi et al., 2019). Dephosphorylated NFAT translocates towards the nucleus, interacting with GATA4 and the myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF2) (Suzuki et al., 2002; Tham et al., 2015; Stansfield et al., 2014). Activated NFAT promotes the transcription of hypertrophy-associated genes (also known as the fetal gene program), including aactin, endothelin-1, ANP, and β-MHC (Heineke and Molkentin, 2006; Luo et al., 2021). Furthermore, NFAT inhibition (throughout FOXO overexpression or knockdown) ameliorates hypertrophy *in vitro* (Ni et al., 2006; Li et al., 2018; Coleman et al., 2021). Interestingly, NFAT and NF κ B directly interact to command and coordinate two independent signaling pathways that promote CH (Liu et al., 2012).

In addition, elevated intracellular Ca²⁺ levels also activate a variety of Ca²⁺-dependent kinases, namely Ca²⁺/calmodulindependent protein kinase type IV (CAMKIV), PKC, JNK, p38 MAPK, among others (Quirós et al., 2016; Stansfield et al., 2014). These, in turn, activate other TFs, such as early growth response protein 1 (EGR1), CREB, and CEBP homologous protein (CHOP), among others (Arnould et al., 2002; Woods et al., 2005; Heineke and Molkentin, 2006). Activation of these TFs and their downstream targets involves mitochondrial adaptation and leads to several responses regarding Ca2+ metabolism, insulin signaling, and cell proliferation. In fact, these TFs have also been reported in both physiological and pathological CH. In this regard, calcineurin directly regulates ERa stability and activity in breast cancer and estrogen upregulates calcineurin expression via overexpression of ER in systemic lupus erythematosus (Rider et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2011; Masaki et al., 2021). Similarly, in the heart, estrogen inhibits isoproterenol-induced CH via suppression of Ca2+calcineurin signaling, preventing NFATc3 translocation (Tsai et al., 2017). Moreover, in a rat vascular responsiveness model, estrogen increases vascular reactivity via activation of GPER-Rho kinase and PKC pathway activation, but not exclusively due to the genomic and nongenomic responses (Li et al., 2014). This estrogenic protection mechanism also appears in I/R models via a mechanism dependent on PKCe and ERa (Novotny et al., 2009). Interestingly, it has been shown that mitochondrial calcium uniporter (MCU), the main responsible for mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake, is strongly regulated by agonists and antagonists of ERs. In particular, the specific alpha-ER agonist 4,4',4"-(4-propyl-[1H]-pyrazole-1,3,5-trial) trisphenol was the most potent activator, increasing the rate of mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake (Lobatón et al., 2005), thus suggesting that a nongenomic mechanism regulates MCU activity. Available literature shows that estrogen deficiency deregulates L-type Ca2+ channel, ryanodine receptor, SERCA and the Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger, causing impaired Ca2+ homeostasis, thus leading to CVDs, as recently reviewed by (Jiao et al., 2020).

Considering the foregoing and the evidence for Ca^{2+} overload and calcineurin inhibition as a central hub in the protective estrogenic activity in CH (Pedram et al., 2008), a future projection in the field is the elucidation of the transcriptional program mediated by Ca^{2+} -estrogen- Ca^{2+} phosphatases and the related TFs, as well as their role in the estrogenic CVDs protective programming.

5.4 Mitochondrial UPR-dependent responses

The mtUPR is an evolutionarily conserved mechanism activated in response to a compromised mitochondrial protein folding environment and misfolded protein accumulation (Haynes and Ron, 2010; Muñoz-Carvajal and Sanhueza, 2020). Further, mtUPR orchestrates several responses, including the antioxidant machinery, the OXPHOS functioning, mitophagy, the process of mitochondrial protein quality control, and mitochondrial biogenesis (Haynes and Ron, 2010). Consistent with the endoplasmic reticulum UPR, mtUPR initiates a nuclear anterograde signaling including the activating transcription factor 5 (ATF5) and CHOP as key TFs that enhance the transcription of several mitochondrial protective genes (including several chaperones, proteases, antioxidant enzymes, and the glycolytic machinery) that operate to restore mitochondrial protein homeostasis and ensure cell survival (Haynes and Ron, 2010; Melber and Haynes, 2018; Muñoz-Carvajal and Sanhueza, 2020). The precise molecular mechanisms and mediators of the mtUPR have been widely described in C. elegans (please refer to Quirós et al., 2016 and Haynes and Ron, 2010 for a more comprehensive review of this description). Comparatively, little is known about the mammalian mtUPR, especially in cardiovascular physiology (Zhou et al., 2020).

Interestingly, recent studies have begun to describe the potential cardioprotective effects of mtUPR on CVDs models. Neonatal rat cardiomyocytes treated with complex I inhibitor paraquat, or the β -adrenoreceptor agonist isoproterenol, showed an increase in mRNA levels of ATF5, CHOP, mitochondrial presequence translocase-associated motor complex protein (mtDNAj), ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit (ClpP), mitochondrial Lon protease homolog (LonP), HSP10 and HSP60. Additionally, left ventricular tissue of mice subjected to pressure overload also displayed an increase in the mtUPR effectors ATF5, ClpP, and LonP (Smyrnias et al., 2019). Furthermore, pharmacological enhancement of mtUPR improved cardiomyocyte survival, contractile function, and mitochondrial oxygen consumption (complex I and II) in mice subjected to chronic pressure overload. Consistently, mice pretreated with oligomycin or doxycycline (mtUPR inducers) displayed an enhanced functional recovery and decreased infarct size against ex vivo post-I/R injury. Interestingly, this protection was abolished upon ATF5 depletion, demonstrating the essential role of this TF in mediating the mtUPR cardioprotective effects (Smyrnias et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

This review shows that estrogenic mechanisms are a master controller of anterograde and retrograde nucleus-mitochondria responses and regulation. However, in terms of mtUPR, this communication network has not been adequately described yet in CVDs, although we can find information on cancer mtUPR mechanisms (Jenkins et al., 2021a; 2021b) that can be used as references for CVDs. Estrogen-dependent mtUPR mechanisms in CVDs are still not described. Still, some works have shown ER α activity and sirtuins-mediated post-translational modifications (Jenkins et al., 2021b) which can be interpreted in this context, as we discuss next.

Sirtuins are a family composed of seven proteins regulating longevity, metabolism, and response to stress. The NADdependent deacetylase SIRT3 is specifically found in the mitochondria, where is related to proteotoxic matrix stress and directly regulated by estrogenic pathways (Papa and Germain, 2014; Germain, 2016; Zhang et al., 2020). These pathways are controlled by both estrogen-dependent and estrogen-independent ERa activation mechanisms (Jenkins et al., 2021a). In the estrogen-independent mechanisms, ERa is activated by AKT-mediated phosphorylation (Bhat-Nakshatri et al., 2008). In the estrogen-dependent mechanisms, estrogen binds and activates ERa (Ruff et al., 2000). ERa controls the cytoprotective ERa-NRF1-proteasome axis of the mtUPR (Figure 3) and enables the maintenance of the mitochondrial integrity (Papa and Germain, 2011); otherwise, SIRT3 controls SOD2 induction via FOXO3a during mtUPR in a CHOPindependent manner (Papa and Germain, 2014), enabling antioxidant activity.

We can ask ourselves, how is this pathway related to a protective estrogenic modulation of CVDs? SIRT3 is considered a new key actor in CVDs, due to its cardioprotective effects which are reflected mainly in the fact that a loss of SIRT3 expression increases the susceptibility to suffer or worsens the pathological phenotype in cardiac ischemia-reperfusion injury and coronary microvascular dysfunction, thus impairing cardiac recovery (Sun et al., 2018). Moreover, drugs that inhibit the renin-angiotensinaldosterone system improve cardiac function and increase SIRT3 levels (Parodi-Rullan et al., 2012) in animal models of heart failure. Similarly, a trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) vascular inflammation model inhibits SIRT3 expression and SOD2 activation. SIRT3 overexpression protected from TMAO injury (Chen et al., 2017). Sirt3-KO mice showed lower palmitate oxidation, lower respiratory capacity, lower ATP synthesis, and abnormal lipid accumulation, with impaired mitochondrial and contractile cardiac function (Chen T. et al., 2015; Koentges et al., 2015). Finally, low SIRT-3 levels are also correlated with a down-regulation of PGC1-a (Yu et al., 2017), suggesting the conservation of the reported PGC-1a/SIRT3 protective axis (Son et al., 2021) due to SIRT3 enhanced activity (Figure 3). Thus, all the listed findings highlight the importance of elucidate the hypothetical ERa or ERRs-SIRT3-mtUPR mitochondrial cardioprotective pathway in different CVDs as a new important axis in the cardioprotection triggered by estrogens.

6 Conclusion and future perspectives

The general cardiovascular protective effect of estrogens, the activation of ERs and ERRs, has been reported from preclinical models to clinical models by studying the loss of estrogenic activity and subsequent replacement. Additionally, the favorable effect on the maintenance of mitochondrial dynamics and function exerted by the estrogenic-associated activity that has been highlighted in this review, allows us to propose a central protective pathway focused in energetic and mitochondrial functionality. This is due mainly to the communication between the nucleus and mitochondria, governed by upregulation or activation of transcriptional factors, which mediate the expression of mitochondrial dynamics and energetic genes in mtDNA and nuclear DNA. This protective pathway is shown in Figure 4.

The pleiotropic action of estrogens has led to the findings of various side effects in its implementation as CVDs related therapy in men and women. Several clinical trials have been carried out using estrogens as hormone replacement therapy. These trials have analyzed the dose of estrogens, their origin, the timing of their application depending on the reproductive cycle, and the routes of administration and delivery (Shufelt and Manson, 2021; Ueda et al., 2021; Anagnostis et al., 2022). Although we have indicated the existence of sex differences in the effects of hormonal therapies associated with CVDs, this review is not focused on discussing the specific benefits or possible side effects of its pharmacological use (for a more comprehensive review in this topic, please refer to Yang and Reckelhoff, 2011; Maas, 2021 and Shufelt and Manson, 2021). Nonetheless, we have to mention the relationship between estrogen usage and the increased risk of reproductive cancers (Simin et al., 2017; D'Alonzo et al., 2019; Vinogradova et al., 2020). Under this perspective, we must highlight the efforts to implement and develop new generations of drugs, such as selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs). This group of molecules exerts estrogenic or anti-estrogenic effects depending on target tissue or cell type, and are currently used in the treatment of reproductive cancers (Alsina and Martín, 2013). As discussed, a supposed new pharmacological therapy should exert its specific tissue effects, modulating estrogen's positive effects on mitochondrial to nucleus communication and restoring/keeping mitochondrial function. However, to achieve successful specific therapeutic results, it is necessary to continue researching and deciphering how to emulate the estrogenic effects in the contexts of the retrograde and anterograde communication between the nucleus and mitochondria. Therefore, it is necessary to generate specific pharmacological targets for the activation of BRD4, ERRs, AMPK, SIRTs, and PGC-1a in CVDs, thus emulating the estrogenic protection without the detrimental side effects reported for the classical drugs.

Finally, it is urgent to find the transcriptional program stimulated in conjunction by BRD4 and ERs or ERRs in CVDs to confirm this pathway as a master regulator of the E2 protective effects and to clarify whether it is directly activated by genomic pathways or indirectly via the non-genomic actions of estrogens.

Author contributions

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: Review conception and design: EG-C, JFS-A, XC, and VP; critical analysis of the literature: EG-C, JFS-A, XC, MC, MH, GG-R, ML, and VP; draft manuscript preparation: EG-C, JFS-A, XC, and VP. EG-C, JFS-A, ML, and VP reviewed the final version of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID), Chile: FONDECYT grants 1190743 (VP), 1190742 (ML) and 1220392 (MC), ANILLO ACT210004 (ML and VP), FONDAP 15130011 (VP and MC); MI ICN2021_044 (ML); BASAL FB210005 (ML). U-Redes G_2018-35 (VP and MH) and CRP-ICGEB CHL18-04 (VP and ML). This work was also supported by grants from the CONACYT (256577 to GGR), Fronteras de la Ciencia Grant (0682 to GGR), and Ciencia

References

Acconcia, F., and Kumar, R. (2006). Signaling regulation of genomic and nongenomic functions of estrogen receptors. *Cancer Lett.* 238, 1–14. doi:10. 1016/j.canlet.2005.06.018

Acconcia, F., and Marino, M. (2011). The effects of 17β -estradiol in cancer are mediated by estrogen receptor signaling at the plasma membrane. *Front. Physiol.* 2, 30. doi:10.3389/fphys.2011.00030

Alencar, A. K., Montes, G. C., Montagnoli, T., Silva, A. M., Martinez, S. T., Fraga, A. G., et al. (2017). Activation of GPER ameliorates experimental pulmonary hypertension in male rats. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 97, 208–217. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2016. 11.009

Almeida, A. J. P. O. de, Ribeiro, T. P., and Medeiros, I. A. de (2017). Aging: Molecular pathways and implications on the cardiovascular system. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2017, 7941563. doi:10.1155/2017/7941563

Alsina, J. C., and Martín, P. J. C. (2013). [Third generation selective estrogen receptor modulators: Benefits beyond bone. II, endometrial action]. *Med. Clin.* 140, 266–271. doi:10.1016/j.medcli.2012.10.006

Amchenkova, A. A., Bakeeva, L. E., Chentsov, Y. S., Skulachev, V. P., and Zorov, D. B. (1988). Coupling membranes as energy-transmitting cables. I. Filamentous mitochondria in fibroblasts and mitochondrial clusters in cardiomyocytes. *J. Cell Biol.* 107, 481–495. doi:10.1083/jcb.107.2.481

Anagnostis, P., Lambrinoudaki, I., Stevenson, J. C., and Goulis, D. G. (2022). Menopause-associated risk of cardiovascular disease. *Endocr. Connect.* 11, e210537. doi:10.1530/ec-21-0537

Anand, P., Brown, J. D., Lin, C. Y., Qi, J., Zhang, R., Artero, P. C., et al. (2013). BET bromodomains mediate transcriptional pause release in heart failure. *Cell* 154, 569–582. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.013 Básica (A1-S-43883 to GGR). We also thank the ANID Doctoral scholarships to EG-C (21191519), and XC (21201710).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Sebastián Leiva for his excellent technical assistance, Ana María Avalos for proofreading and revising our manuscript, and Felipe Serrano from Illustrative-Science for his assistance in the preparation of the figures.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Antolic, A., Wakimoto, H., Jiao, Z., Gorham, J. M., DePalma, S. R., Lemieux, M. E., et al. (2020). BET bromodomain proteins regulate transcriptional reprogramming in genetic dilated cardiomyopathy. *JCI Insight* 5, 138687. doi:10. 1172/jci.insight.138687

Arany, Z., He, H., Lin, J., Hoyer, K., Handschin, C., Toka, O., et al. (2005). Transcriptional coactivator PGC-1 alpha controls the energy state and contractile function of cardiac muscle. *Cell Metab.* 1, 259–271. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2005.03.002

Arany, Z., Novikov, M., Chin, S., Ma, Y., Rosenzweig, A., and Spiegelman, B. M. (2006). Transverse aortic constriction leads to accelerated heart failure in mice lacking PPAR-gamma coactivator 1alpha. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 10086–10091. doi:10.1073/pnas.0603615103

Arnould, T., Vankoningsloo, S., Renard, P., Houbion, A., Ninane, N., Demazy, C., et al. (2002). CREB activation induced by mitochondrial dysfunction is a new signaling pathway that impairs cell proliferation. *EMBO J.* 21, 53–63. doi:10.1093/emboj/21.1.53

Azuma, K., and Inoue, S. (2012). Genomic and non-genomic actions of estrogen: Recent developments. *Biomol. Concepts* 3, 365–370. doi:10.1515/bmc-2012-0002

Bach, D., Naon, D., Pich, S., Soriano, F. X., Vega, N., Rieusset, J., et al. (2005). Expression of Mfn2, the charcot-marie-tooth neuropathy type 2A gene, in human skeletal muscle: Effects of type 2 diabetes, obesity, weight loss, and the regulatory role of tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-6. *Diabetes* 54, 2685–2693. doi:10.2337/diabetes.54.9.2685

Bayard, F., Clamens, S., Delsol, G., Blaes, N., Maret, A., and Faye, J. (2007). "Ciba foundation symposium 191 - non-reproductive actions of sex steroids," in *Novartis found symposia* (Hoboken, New Jersey, US: Wiley), 122–138. doi:10.1002/9780470514757.ch7

Bhandari, P., Song, M., Chen, Y., Burelle, Y., and Dorn, G. W. (2014). Mitochondrial contagion induced by Parkin deficiency in Drosophila hearts and its containment by suppressing mitofusin. *Circ. Res.* 114, 257–265. doi:10.1161/ circresaha.114.302734

Bhat, S., Chin, A., Shirakabe, A., Ikeda, Y., Ikeda, S., Zhai, P., et al. (2019). Recruitment of RNA polymerase II to metabolic gene promoters is inhibited in the failing heart possibly through PGC-1 α (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ coactivator-1 α) dysregulation. *Circ. Heart Fail.* 12, e005529. doi:10.1161/ circheartfailure.118.005529

Bhat-Nakshatri, P., Wang, G., Appaiah, H., Luktuke, N., Carroll, J. S., Geistlinger, T. R., et al. (2008). AKT alters genome-wide estrogen receptor α binding and impacts estrogen signaling in breast cancer. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 7487–7503. doi:10. 1128/mcb.00799-08

Billia, F., Hauck, L., Konecny, F., Rao, V., Shen, J., and Mak, T. W. (2011). PTENinducible kinase 1 (PINK1)/Park6 is indispensable for normal heart function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 9572–9577. doi:10.1073/pnas.1106291108

Billon-Galés, A., Fontaine, C., Douin-Echinard, V., Delpy, L., Berges, H., Calippe, B., et al. (2009). Endothelial estrogen receptor-alpha plays a crucial role in the atheroprotective action of 17beta-estradiol in low-density lipoprotein receptor-deficient mice. *Circulation* 120, 2567–2576. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.109.898445

Blesson, C. S., and Sahlin, L. (2012). Expression pattern and signalling pathways in neutrophil like HL-60 cells after treatment with estrogen receptor selective ligands. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 361, 179–190. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2012.04.006

Bookout, A. L., Jeong, Y., Downes, M., Yu, R. T., Evans, R. M., and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (2006). Anatomical profiling of nuclear receptor expression reveals a hierarchical transcriptional network. *Cell* 126, 789–799. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006. 06.049

Borras, C., Gambini, J., and Viña, J. (2007). Mitochondrial oxidant generation is involved in determining why females live longer than males. *Front. Biosci.* 12, 1008–1013. doi:10.2741/2120

Bowling, M. R., Xing, D., Kapadia, A., Chen, Y.-F., Szalai, A. J., Oparil, S., et al. (2018). Estrogen effects on vascular inflammation are age dependent: Role of estrogen receptors. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 34, 1477–1485. doi:10.1161/atvbaha.114.303629

Brenmoehl, J., and Hoeflich, A. (2013). Dual control of mitochondrial biogenesis by sirtuin 1 and sirtuin 3. *Mitochondrion* 13, 755–761. doi:10.1016/j.mito.2013. 04.002

Burkart, E. M., Sambandam, N., Han, X., Gross, R. W., Courtois, M., Gierasch, C. M., et al. (2007). Nuclear receptors PPARbeta/delta and PPARalpha direct distinct metabolic regulatory programs in the mouse heart. *J. Clin. Invest.* 117, 3930–3939. doi:10.1172/jci32578

Bustamante-Barrientos, F. A., Méndez-Ruette, M., Ortloff, A., Luz-Crawford, P., Rivera, F. J., Figueroa, C. D., et al. (2021). The impact of estrogen and estrogen-like molecules in neurogenesis and neurodegeneration: Beneficial or harmful? *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 15, 636176. doi:10.3389/fncel.2021.636176

Cai, H., and Harrison, D. G. (2000). Endothelial dysfunction in cardiovascular diseases: The role of oxidant stress. *Circ. Res.* 87, 840–844. doi:10.1161/01.res.87. 10.840

Cantó, C., Gerhart-Hines, Z., Feige, J. N., Lagouge, M., Noriega, L., Milne, J. C., et al. (2009). AMPK regulates energy expenditure by modulating NAD+ metabolism and SIRT1 activity. *Nature* 458, 1056–1060. doi:10.1038/nature07813

Cantó, C., Jiang, L. Q., Deshmukh, A. S., Mataki, C., Coste, A., Lagouge, M., et al. (2010). Interdependence of AMPK and SIRT1 for metabolic adaptation to fasting and exercise in skeletal muscle. *Cell Metab.* 11, 213–219. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2010. 02.006

Cartoni, R., Léger, B., Hock, M. B., Praz, M., Crettenand, A., Pich, S., et al. (2005). Mitofusins 1/2 and ERRalpha expression are increased in human skeletal muscle after physical exercise. *J. Physiol.* 567, 349–358. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2005.092031

Chakrabarti, S., Lekontseva, O., Peters, A., and Davidge, S. T. (2010). 17beta-Estradiol induces protein S-nitrosylation in the endothelium. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 85, 796–805. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvp368

Chambliss, K. L., Wu, Q., Oltmann, S., Konaniah, E. S., Umetani, M., Korach, K. S., et al. (2010). Non-nuclear estrogen receptor α signaling promotes cardiovascular protection but not uterine or breast cancer growth in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 120, 2319–2330. doi:10.1172/jci38291

Chen, C.-H., Budas, G. R., Churchill, E. N., Disatnik, M.-H., Hurley, T. D., and Mochly-Rosen, D. (2008). Activation of aldehyde dehydrogenase-2 reduces ischemic damage to the heart. *Science* 321, 1493–1495. doi:10.1126/science.1158554

Chen, H., Ren, S., Clish, C., Jain, M., Mootha, V., McCaffery, J. M., et al. (2015a). Titration of mitochondrial fusion rescues Mff-deficient cardiomyopathy. *J. Cell Biol.* 211, 795–805. doi:10.1083/jcb.201507035 Chen, M., Zhu, X., Ran, L., Lang, H., Yi, L., and Mi, M. (2017). Trimethylamine-N-Oxide induces vascular inflammation by activating the NLRP3 inflammasome through the SIRT3-SOD2-mtROS signaling pathway. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 6, e006347. doi:10.1161/jaha.117.006347

Chen, T., Liu, J., Li, N., Wang, S., Liu, H., Li, J., et al. (2015b). Mouse SIRT3 attenuates hypertrophy-related lipid accumulation in the heart through the deacetylation of LCAD. *Plos One* 10, e0118909. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0118909

Chen, Y., and Dorn, G. W. (2013). PINK1-phosphorylated mitofusin 2 is a Parkin receptor for culling damaged mitochondria. *Science* 340, 471–475. doi:10.1126/science.1231031

Chen, Y., Liu, Y., and Dorn, G. W. (2011). Mitochondrial fusion is essential for organelle function and cardiac homeostasis. *Circ. Res.* 109, 1327–1331. doi:10.1161/ circresaha.111.258723

Cheng, L., Ding, G., Qin, Q., Huang, Y., Lewis, W., He, N., et al. (2004). Cardiomyocyte-restricted peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- δ deletion perturbs myocardial fatty acid oxidation and leads to cardiomyopathy. *Nat. Med.* 10, 1245–1250. doi:10.1038/nm1116

Chowdhury, A. R., Srinivasan, S., Csordás, G., Hajnóczky, G., and Avadhani, N. G. (2020). Dysregulation of RyR calcium channel causes the onset of mitochondrial retrograde signaling. *iScience* 23, 101370. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2020.101370

Ciullo, I., Diez-Roux, G., Domenico, M. D., Migliaccio, A., and Avvedimento, E. V. (2001). cAMP signaling selectively influences Ras effectors pathways. *Oncogene* 20, 1186–1192. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1204219

Coleman, R. C., Eguchi, A., Lieu, M., Roy, R., Barr, E. W., Ibetti, J., et al. (2021). A peptide of the N terminus of GRK5 attenuates pressure-overload hypertrophy and heart failure. *Sci. Signal.* 14, eabb5968. doi:10.1126/scisignal.abb5968

Colom, B., Oliver, J., Roca, P., and García-Palmer, F. J. (2007). Caloric restriction and gender modulate cardiac muscle mitochondrial H2O2 production and oxidative damage. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 74, 456–465. doi:10.1016/j.cardiores.2007. 02.001

Contreras, L., Drago, I., Zampese, E., and Pozzan, T. (2010). Mitochondria: The calcium connection. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1797, 607–618. doi:10.1016/j.bbabio. 2010.05.005

D'Alonzo, M., Bounous, V. E., Villa, M., and Biglia, N. (2019). Current evidence of the oncological benefit-risk profile of hormone replacement therapy. *Medicina* 55, 573. doi:10.3390/medicina55090573

Del Campo, A., Perez, G., Castro, P. F., Parra, V., and Verdejo, H. E. (2021). Mitochondrial function, dynamics and quality control in the pathophysiology of HFpEF. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Mol. Basis Dis.* 1867, 166208. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis. 2021.166208

Deroo, B. J., and Korach, K. S. (2006). Estrogen receptors and human disease. J. Clin. Invest. 116, 561–570. doi:10.1172/jci27987

Dhar, S. S., Ongwijitwat, S., and Wong-Riley, M. T. T. (2008). Nuclear respiratory factor 1 regulates all ten nuclear-encoded subunits of cytochrome c oxidase in neurons. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 3120–3129. doi:10.1074/jbc.m707587200

Disatnik, M.-H., Ferreira, J. C. B., Campos, J. C., Gomes, K. S., Dourado, P. M. M., Qi, X., et al. (2013). Acute inhibition of excessive mitochondrial fission after myocardial infarction prevents long-term cardiac dysfunction. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 2, e000461. doi:10.1161/jaha.113.000461

Donaldson, C., Eder, S., Baker, C., Aronovitz, M. J., Weiss, A. D., Hall-Porter, M., et al. (2009). Estrogen attenuates left ventricular and cardiomyocyte hypertrophy by an estrogen receptor-dependent pathway that increases calcineurin degradation. *Circ. Res.* 104, 265–275. doi:10.1161/circresaha.108.190397

Duan, Q., McMahon, S., Anand, P., Shah, H., Thomas, S., Salunga, H. T., et al. (2017). BET bromodomain inhibition suppresses innate inflammatory and profibrotic transcriptional networks in heart failure. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 9, eaah5084. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aah5084

Dufour, C. R., Wilson, B. J., Huss, J. M., Kelly, D. P., Alaynick, W. A., Downes, M., et al. (2007). Genome-wide orchestration of cardiac functions by the orphan nuclear receptors ERRalpha and gamma. *Cell Metab.* 5, 345–356. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2007. 03.007

Eichner, L. J., and Giguère, V. (2011). Estrogen related receptors (ERRs): A new dawn in transcriptional control of mitochondrial gene networks. *Mitochondrion* 11, 544–552. doi:10.1016/j.mito.2011.03.121

Eickels, M. van, Grohé, C., Cleutjens, J. P., Janssen, B. J., Wellens, H. J., and Doevendans, P. A. (2001). 17beta-estradiol attenuates the development of pressureoverload hypertrophy. *Circulation* 104, 1419–1423. doi:10.1161/hc3601.095577

Fan, W., and Evans, R. (2015). PPARs and ERRs: Molecular mediators of mitochondrial metabolism. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 33, 49–54. doi:10.1016/j.ceb. 2014.11.002

Fang, L., Moore, X.-L., Gao, X.-M., Dart, A. M., Lim, Y. L., and Du, X.-J. (2007). Down-regulation of mitofusin-2 expression in cardiac hypertrophy *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Life Sci.* 80, 2154–2160. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2007.04.003

Farhat, M. Y., Chen, M. F., Bhatti, T., Iqbal, A., Cathapermal, S., and Ramwell, P. W. (1993). Protection by oestradiol against the development of cardiovascular changes associated with monocrotaline pulmonary hypertension in rats. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 110, 719–723. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.1993.tb13871.x

Feng, Y., Madungwe, N. B., Junho, C. V. da C., and Bopassa, J. C. (2017). Activation of G protein-coupled oestrogen receptor 1 at the onset of reperfusion protects the myocardium against ischemia/reperfusion injury by reducing mitochondrial dysfunction and mitophagy. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 174, 4329–4344. doi:10.1111/bph.14033

Filardo, E. J. (2002). Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) transactivation by estrogen via the G-protein-coupled receptor, GPR30: A novel signaling pathway with potential significance for breast cancer. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 80, 231–238. doi:10.1016/s0960-0760(01)00190-x

Filardo, E. J., Quinn, J. A., Bland, K. I., and Frackelton, A. R. (2000). Estrogeninduced activation of erk-1 and erk-2 requires the G protein-coupled receptor homolog, GPR30, and occurs via trans-activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor through release of HB-egf. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 14, 1649–1660. doi:10.1210/ mend.14.10.0532

Fiordelisi, A., Iaccarino, G., Morisco, C., Coscioni, E., and Sorriento, D. (2019). NFkappaB is a key player in the crosstalk between inflammation and cardiovascular diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20, 1599. doi:10.3390/ijms20071599

Ford, E. S., Ajani, U. A., Croft, J. B., Critchley, J. A., Labarthe, D. R., Kottke, T. E., et al. (2007). Explaining the decrease in U.S. deaths from coronary disease, 1980-2000. N. Engl. J. Med. 356, 2388–2398. doi:10.1056/nejmsa053935

Forte, M., Schirone, L., Ameri, P., Basso, C., Catalucci, D., Modica, J., et al. (2021). The role of mitochondrial dynamics in cardiovascular diseases. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 178, 2060–2076. doi:10.1111/bph.15068

Frump, A. L., Goss, K. N., Vayl, A., Albrecht, M., Fisher, A., Tursunova, R., et al. (2015). Estradiol improves right ventricular function in rats with severe angioproliferative pulmonary hypertension: Effects of endogenous and exogenous sex hormones. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* 308, L873–L890. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00006.2015

Fuentes, N., and Silveyra, P. (2019). Estrogen receptor signaling mechanisms. Adv. Protein Chem. Struct. Biol. 116, 135–170. doi:10.1016/bs.apcsb.2019.01.001

Fujiwara, S., Terai, Y., Kawaguchi, H., Takai, M., Yoo, S., Tanaka, Y., et al. (2012). GPR30 regulates the EGFR-Akt cascade and predicts lower survival in patients with ovarian cancer. *J. Ovarian Res.* 5, 35. doi:10.1186/1757-2215-5-35

Gallagher, P. E., Li, P., Lenhart, J. R., Chappell, M. C., and Brosnihan, K. B. (1999). Estrogen regulation of angiotensin-converting enzyme mRNA. *Hypertension* 33, 323–328. doi:10.1161/01.hyp.33.1.323

Garbern, J. C., and Lee, R. T. (2021). Mitochondria and metabolic transitions in cardiomyocytes: Lessons from development for stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 12, 177. doi:10.1186/s13287-021-02252-6

Garcia-Roves, P. M., Osler, M. E., Holmström, M. H., and Zierath, J. R. (2008). Gain-of-function R225Q mutation in AMP-activated protein kinase gamma3 subunit increases mitochondrial biogenesis in glycolytic skeletal muscle. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 35724–35734. doi:10.1074/jbc.m805078200

Gerhart-Hines, Z., Rodgers, J. T., Bare, O., Lerin, C., Kim, S.-H., Mostoslavsky, R., et al. (2007). Metabolic control of muscle mitochondrial function and fatty acid oxidation through SIRT1/PGC-1alpha. *EMBO J.* 26, 1913–1923. doi:10.1038/sj. emboj.7601633

Germain, D. (2016). Sirtuins and the estrogen receptor as regulators of the mammalian mitochondrial UPR in cancer and aging. *Adv. Cancer Res.* 130, 211–256. doi:10.1016/bs.acr.2016.01.004

Gillette, T. G., and Hill, J. A. (2015). Readers, writers, and erasers: Chromatin as the whiteboard of heart disease. *Circ. Res.* 116, 1245–1253. doi:10.1161/circresaha. 116.303630

Giralt, A., Hondares, E., Villena, J. A., Ribas, F., Díaz-Delfín, J., Giralt, M., et al. (2011). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma coactivator-lalpha controls transcription of the Sirt3 gene, an essential component of the thermogenic Brown adipocyte phenotype.*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 16958–16966. doi:10.1074/jbc.m110.202390

Gleyzer, N., Vercauteren, K., and Scarpulla, R. C. (2005). Control of mitochondrial transcription specificity factors (TFB1M and TFB2M) by nuclear respiratory factors (NRF-1 and NRF-2) and PGC-1 family coactivators. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25, 1354–1366. doi:10.1128/mcb.25.4.1354-1366.2005

Grohé, C., Kahlert, S., Löbbert, K., Stimpel, M., Karas, R. H., Vetter, H., et al. (1997). Cardiac myocytes and fibroblasts contain functional estrogen receptors. *FEBS Lett.* 416, 107–112. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(97)01179-4

Guivarc'h, E., Buscato, M., Guihot, A.-L., Favre, J., Vessières, E., Grimaud, L., et al. (2018). Predominant role of nuclear versus membrane estrogen receptor α in arterial protection: Implications for estrogen receptor α modulation in cardiovascular prevention/safety. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 7, e008950. doi:10.1161/jaha.118.008950

Gwinn, D. M., Shackelford, D. B., Egan, D. F., Mihaylova, M. M., Mery, A., Vasquez, D. S., et al. (2008). AMPK phosphorylation of raptor mediates a metabolic checkpoint. *Mol. Cell* 30, 214–226. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.03.003

Haas, E., Bhattacharya, I., Brailoiu, E., Damjanovi, M., Brailoiu, G. C., Gao, X., et al. (2009). Regulatory role of G protein–coupled estrogen receptor for vascular function and obesity. *Circ. Res.* 104, 288–291. doi:10.1161/circresaha.108.190892

Haynes, C. M., and Ron, D. (2010). The mitochondrial UPR - protecting organelle protein homeostasis. J. Cell Sci. 123, 3849-3855. doi:10.1242/jcs.075119

Haynes, M. P., Li, L., Sinha, D., Russell, K. S., Hisamoto, K., Baron, R., et al. (2003). Src kinase mediates phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase/Akt-dependent rapid endothelial nitric-oxide synthase activation by estrogen*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 2118–2123. doi:10.1074/jbc.m210828200

Heineke, J., and Molkentin, J. D. (2006). Regulation of cardiac hypertrophy by intracellular signalling pathways. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 7, 589–600. doi:10.1038/ nrm1983

Hsieh, Y.-C., Yang, S., Choudhry, M. A., Yu, H.-P., Rue, L. W., Bland, K. I., et al. (2005). PGC-1 upregulation via estrogen receptors: A common mechanism of salutary effects of estrogen and flutamide on heart function after traumahemorrhage. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 289, H2665–H2672. doi:10. 1152/ajpheart.00682.2005

Hu, C.-S., Wu, Q.-H., Hu, D.-Y., and Tkebuchava, T. (2017). Novel strategies halt cardiovascular, diabetes, and cancer strips. *Chronic Dis. Transl. Med.* 3, 159–164. doi:10.1016/j.cdtm.2017.05.002

Hu, X., Xu, X., Lu, Z., Zhang, P., Fassett, J., Zhang, Y., et al. (2011). AMP activated protein kinase-a2 regulates expression of estrogen-related receptor-a, a metabolic transcription factor related to heart failure development. *Hypertension* 58, 696–703. doi:10.1161/hypertensionaba.111.174128

Huss, J. M., Imahashi, K., Dufour, C. R., Weinheimer, C. J., Courtois, M., Kovacs, A., et al. (2007). The nuclear receptor ERRalpha is required for the bioenergetic and functional adaptation to cardiac pressure overload. *Cell Metab.* 6, 25–37. doi:10. 1016/j.cmet.2007.06.005

Huss, J. M., and Kelly, D. P. (2005). Mitochondrial energy metabolism in heart failure: A question of balance. J. Clin. Invest. 115, 547–555. doi:10.1172/jci24405

Ikeda, K., Horie-Inoue, K., Suzuki, T., Hobo, R., Nakasato, N., Takeda, S., et al. (2019). Mitochondrial supercomplex assembly promotes breast and endometrial tumorigenesis by metabolic alterations and enhanced hypoxia tolerance. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 4108–4115. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12124-6

Ikeda, M., Ide, T., Fujino, T., Arai, S., Saku, K., Kakino, T., et al. (2015). Overexpression of TFAM or twinkle increases mtDNA copy number and facilitates cardioprotection associated with limited mitochondrial oxidative stress. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0119687. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119687

Ikeda, Y., Shirakabe, A., Maejima, Y., Zhai, P., Sciarretta, S., Toli, J., et al. (2014). Endogenous Drp1 mediates mitochondrial autophagy and protects the heart against energy stress. *Circ. Res.* 116, 264–278. doi:10.1161/circresaha. 116.303356

Ikeuchi, M., Matsusaka, H., Kang, D., Matsushima, S., Ide, T., Kubota, T., et al. (2005). Overexpression of mitochondrial transcription factor a ameliorates mitochondrial deficiencies and cardiac failure after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 112, 683–690. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.104.524835

Inoki, K., Zhu, T., and Guan, K.-L. (2003). TSC2 mediates cellular energy response to control cell growth and survival. *Cell* 115, 577–590. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00929-2

Iorga, A., Cunningham, C. M., Moazeni, S., Ruffenach, G., Umar, S., and Eghbali, M. (2017). The protective role of estrogen and estrogen receptors in cardiovascular disease and the controversial use of estrogen therapy. *Biol. Sex. Differ.* 8, 33–16. doi:10.1186/s13293-017-0152-8

Iorga, A., Li, J., Sharma, S., Umar, S., Bopassa, J. C., Nadadur, R. D., et al. (2016). Rescue of pressure overload-induced heart failure by estrogen therapy. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 5, e002482. doi:10.1161/jaha.115.002482

Ishihara, T., Ban-Ishihara, R., Maeda, M., Matsunaga, Y., Ichimura, A., Kyogoku, S., et al. (2015). Dynamics of mitochondrial DNA nucleoids regulated by mitochondrial fission is essential for maintenance of homogeneously active mitochondria during neonatal heart development. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 35, 211–223. doi:10.1128/mcb.01054-14

Itzen, F., Greifenberg, A. K., Bösken, C. A., and Geyer, M. (2014). Brd4 activates P-TEFb for RNA polymerase II CTD phosphorylation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 7577–7590. doi:10.1093/nar/gku449 Jäger, S., Handschin, C., St-Pierre, J., and Spiegelman, B. M. (2007). AMPactivated protein kinase (AMPK) action in skeletal muscle via direct phosphorylation of PGC-1alpha. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 12017–12022. doi:10.1073/pnas.0705070104

Jenkins, E. C., Chattopadhyay, M., and Germain, D. (2021a). Are the estrogen receptor and SIRT3 axes of the mitochondrial UPR key regulators of breast cancer subtype determination according to age? *Aging Cancer* 2, 75–81. doi:10.1002/aac2. 12035

Jenkins, E. C., Chattopadhyay, M., and Germain, D. (2021b). Folding mitochondrial-mediated cytosolic proteostasis into the mitochondrial unfolded protein response. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 715923. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.715923

Jiao, L., Machuki, J. O., Wu, Q., Shi, M., Fu, L., Adekunle, A. O., et al. (2020). Estrogen and calcium handling proteins: New discoveries and mechanisms in cardiovascular diseases. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 318, H820-H829–H829. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00734.2019

Kageyama, Y., Hoshijima, M., Seo, K., Bedja, D., Sysa-Shah, P., Andrabi, S. A., et al. (2014). Parkin-independent mitophagy requires Drp1 and maintains the integrity of mammalian heart and brain. *EMBO J.* 33, 2798–2813. doi:10.15252/embj.201488658

Karamanlidis, G., Garcia-Menendez, L., Kolwicz, S. C., Lee, C. F., and Tian, R. (2014). Promoting PGC-1a-driven mitochondrial biogenesis is detrimental in pressure-overloaded mouse hearts. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 307, H1307–H1316. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00280.2014

Kärkkäinen, O., Tuomainen, T., Mutikainen, M., Lehtonen, M., Ruas, J. L., Hanhineva, K., et al. (2019). Heart specific PGC-1a deletion identifies metabolome of cardiac restricted metabolic heart failure. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 115, 107–118. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvy155

Kelly, D. P., and Scarpulla, R. C. (2004). Transcriptional regulatory circuits controlling mitochondrial biogenesis and function. *Genes Dev.* 18, 357–368. doi:10. 1101/gad.1177604

Kim, J., Kundu, M., Viollet, B., and Guan, K.-L. (2011). AMPK and mTOR regulate autophagy through direct phosphorylation of Ulk1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13, 132–141. doi:10.1038/ncb2152

Kim, S. Y., Morales, C. R., Gillette, T. G., and Hill, J. A. (2016). Epigenetic regulation in heart failure. *Curr. Opin. Cardiol.* 31, 255–265. doi:10.1097/hco. 00000000000276

Kim, S. Y., Zhang, X., Schiattarella, G. G., Altamirano, F., Ramos, T. A. R., French, K. M., et al. (2020). Epigenetic reader BRD4 (Bromodomain-Containing protein 4) governs nucleus-encoded mitochondrial transcriptome to regulate cardiac function. *Circulation* 142, 2356–2370. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.120.047239

Klinge, C. M. (2020). Estrogenic control of mitochondrial function. *Redox Biol.* 31, 101435. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2020.101435

Klinge, C. M. (2017). Estrogens regulate life and death in mitochondria. J. Bioenerg. Biomembr. 41, 307–324. doi:10.1007/s10863-017-9704-1

Koentges, C., Pfeil, K., Schnick, T., Wiese, S., Dahlbock, R., Cimolai, M. C., et al. (2015). SIRT3 deficiency impairs mitochondrial and contractile function in the heart. *Basic Res. Cardiol.* 110, 36. doi:10.1007/s00395-015-0493-6

Kong, X., Wang, R., Xue, Y., Liu, X., Zhang, H., Chen, Y., et al. (2010). Sirtuin 3, a new target of PGC-1alpha, plays an important role in the suppression of ROS and mitochondrial biogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 5, e11707. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011707

Kotiadis, V. N., Duchen, M. R., and Osellame, L. D. (2014). Mitochondrial quality control and communications with the nucleus are important in maintaining mitochondrial function and cell health. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1840, 1254–1265. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.10.041

Kravtsov, G. M., Kam, K. W. L., Liu, J., Wu, S., and Wong, T. M. (2007). Altered Ca2+ handling by ryanodine receptor and Na+-Ca2+ exchange in the heart from ovariectomized rats: Role of protein kinase A. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 292, C1625–C1635. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00368.2006

Kubli, D. A., Zhang, X., Lee, Y., Hanna, R. A., Quinsay, M. N., Nguyen, C. K., et al. (2013). Parkin protein deficiency exacerbates cardiac injury and reduces survival following myocardial infarction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 915–926. doi:10.1074/jbc.m112. 411363

Kumar, P., Wu, Q., Chambliss, K. L., Yuhanna, I. S., Mumby, S. M., Mineo, C., et al. (2007). Direct interactions with Gai and g $\beta\gamma$ mediate nongenomic signaling by estrogen receptor a. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 21, 1370–1380. doi:10.1210/me.2006-0360

Kunkel, G. H., Kunkel, C. J., Ozuna, H., Miralda, I., and Tyagi, S. C. (2019). TFAM overexpression reduces pathological cardiac remodeling. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 454, 139–152. doi:10.1007/s11010-018-3459-9

Lagranha, C. J., Deschamps, A., Aponte, A., Steenbergen, C., and Murphy, E. (2010). Sex differences in the phosphorylation of mitochondrial proteins result in reduced production of reactive oxygen species and cardioprotection in females. *Circ. Res.* 106, 1681–1691. doi:10.1161/circresaha.109.213645

Lahm, T., Tuder, R. M., and Petrache, I. (2014). Progress in solving the sex hormone paradox in pulmonary hypertension. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* 307, L7–L26. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00337.2013

Lang, T. J. (2004). Estrogen as an immunomodulator. Clin. Immunol. 113, 224-230. doi:10.1016/j.clim.2004.05.011

Lazarou, M., Sliter, D. A., Kane, L. A., Sarraf, S. A., Wang, C., Burman, J. L., et al. (2015). The ubiquitin kinase PINK1 recruits autophagy receptors to induce mitophagy. *Nature* 524, 309–314. doi:10.1038/nature14893

Leone, T. C., Lehman, J. J., Finck, B. N., Schaeffer, P. J., Wende, A. R., Boudina, S., et al. (2005). PGC-1alpha deficiency causes multi-system energy metabolic derangements: Muscle dysfunction, abnormal weight control and hepatic steatosis. *PLoS Biol.* 3, e101. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030101

Li, L., Hisamoto, K., Kim, K. H., Haynes, M. P., Bauer, P. M., Sanjay, A., et al. (2007). Variant estrogen receptor-c-Src molecular interdependence and c-Src structural requirements for endothelial NO synthase activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 16468–16473. doi:10.1073/pnas.0704315104

Li, P., Liu, Y., Burns, N., Zhao, K.-S., and Song, R. (2017a). SIRT1 is required for mitochondrial biogenesis reprogramming in hypoxic human pulmonary arteriolar smooth muscle cells. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 39, 1127–1136. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2017.2932

Li, Q., Chen, L., Zhu, Y., Zhang, M., Wang, Y., and Wang, M. (2011). Involvement of estrogen receptor- β in farrerol inhibition of rat thoracic aorta vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 32, 433–440. doi:10.1038/aps.2011.1

Li, T., Xiao, X., Zhang, J., Zhu, Y., Hu, Y., Zang, J., et al. (2014). Age and sex differences in vascular responsiveness in healthy and trauma patients: Contribution of estrogen receptor-mediated rho kinase and PKC pathways. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 306, H1105–H1115. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00645.2013

Li, W., Yin, L., Sun, X., Wu, J., Dong, Z., Hu, K., et al. (2020). Alpha-lipoic acid protects against pressure overload-induced heart failure via ALDH2-dependent Nrf1-FUNDC1 signaling. *Cell Death Dis.* 11, 599. doi:10.1038/s41419-020-02805-2

Li, Y., Qiu, L., Liu, X., Hou, Z., and Yu, B. (2017b). PINK1 alleviates myocardial hypoxia-reoxygenation injury by ameliorating mitochondrial dysfunction. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 484, 118–124. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.01.061

Li, Z., Zhang, X., Guo, Z., Zhong, Y., Wang, P., Li, J., et al. (2018). SIRT6 suppresses NFATc4 expression and activation in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9, 1519. doi:10.3389/fphar.2018.01519

Liang, J., and Shang, Y. (2013). Estrogen and cancer. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 75, 225–240. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183708

Lin, H.-L., Yen, J.-H., Chiou, S.-S., Tsai, W.-C., Ou, T.-T., Wu, C.-C., et al. (2011). Estradiol upregulates calcineurin expression via overexpression of estrogen receptor alpha gene in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Kaohsiung J. Med. Sci.* 27, 125–131. doi:10.1016/j.kjms.2010.12.005

Lin, J., Steenbergen, C., Murphy, E., and Sun, J. (2009). Estrogen receptor-beta activation results in S-nitrosylation of proteins involved in cardioprotection. *Circulation* 120, 245–254. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.109.868729

Lin, S., and Du, L. (2020). The therapeutic potential of BRD4 in cardiovascular disease. *Hypertens. Res.* 43, 1006–1014. doi:10.1038/s41440-020-0459-4

Lipovka, Y., Chen, H., Vagner, J., Price, T. J., Tsao, T.-S., and Konhilas, J. P. (2015). Oestrogen receptors interact with the α -catalytic subunit of AMP-activated protein kinase. *Biosci. Rep.* 35, e00264. doi:10.1042/bsr20150074

Liu, Q., Chen, Y., Auger-Messier, M., and Molkentin, J. D. (2012). Interaction between NF κ B and NFAT coordinates cardiac hypertrophy and pathological remodeling. *Circ. Res.* 110, 1077–1086. doi:10.1161/circresaha.111.260729

Lobatón, C. D., Vay, L., Hernández-SanMiguel, E., SantoDomingo, J., Moreno, A., Montero, M., et al. (2005). Modulation of mitochondrial Ca2+ uptake by estrogen receptor agonists and antagonists. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 145, 862–871. doi:10.1038/sj. bjp.0706265

López-Crisosto, C., Bravo-Sagua, R., Rodriguez-Peña, M., Mera, C., Castro, P. F., Quest, A. F. G., et al. (2015). ER-to-mitochondria miscommunication and metabolic diseases. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1852, 2096–2105. doi:10.1016/j. bbadis.2015.07.011

Lovén, J., Hoke, H. A., Lin, C. Y., Lau, A., Orlando, D. A., Vakoc, C. R., et al. (2013). Selective inhibition of tumor oncogenes by disruption of super-enhancers. *Cell* 153, 320–334. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.036

Lu, Q., Schnitzler, G. R., Ueda, K., Iyer, L. K., Diomede, O. I., Andrade, T., et al. (2016). ER alpha rapid signaling is required for estrogen induced proliferation and migration of vascular endothelial cells. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0152807. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152807

Lu, Q., Surks, H. K., Ebling, H., Baur, W. E., Brown, D., Pallas, D. C., et al. (2003). Regulation of estrogen receptor α -mediated transcription by a direct interaction with protein phosphatase 2A. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 4639–4645. doi:10.1074/jbc. m210949200

Luo, T., Liu, H., and Kim, J. K. (2016). Estrogen protects the female heart from ischemia/reperfusion injury through manganese superoxide dismutase phosphorylation by mitochondrial p38 β at threonine 79 and serine 106. *Plos One* 11, e0167761. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167761

Luo, Y., Jiang, N., May, H. I., Luo, X., Ferdous, A., Schiattarella, G. G., et al. (2021). Cooperative binding of ETS2 and NFAT links erk1/2 and calcineurin signaling in the pathogenesis of cardiac hypertrophy. *Circulation* 144, 34–51. doi:10.1161/ circulationaha.120.052384

Lynch, S., Boyett, J. E., Smith, M. R., and Giordano-Mooga, S. (2020). Sex hormone regulation of proteins modulating mitochondrial metabolism, dynamics and inter-organellar cross talk in cardiovascular disease. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 8, 610516. doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.610516

Maas, A. H. E. M. (2021). Hormone therapy and cardiovascular disease: Benefits and harms. *Best. Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 35, 101576. doi:10.1016/j. beem.2021.101576

Mahmoodzadeh, S., Dworatzek, E., Fritschka, S., Pham, T. H., and Regitz-Zagrosek, V. (2010). 17beta-Estradiol inhibits matrix metalloproteinase-2 transcription via MAP kinase in fibroblasts. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 85, 719–728. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvp350

Mahmoodzadeh, S., and Dworatzek, E. (2019). The role of 17β -estradiol and estrogen receptors in regulation of Ca2+ channels and mitochondrial function in cardiomyocytes. Front. Endocrinol. 10, 310. doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00310

Maissan, P., Mooij, E. J., and Barberis, M. (2021). Sirtuins-mediated system-level regulation of mammalian tissues at the interface between metabolism and cell cycle: A systematic review. *Biol. (Basel)* 10, 194. doi:10.3390/biology10030194

Marino, M., Galluzzo, P., and Ascenzi, P. (2006). Estrogen signaling multiple pathways to impact gene transcription. *Curr. Genomics* 7, 497–508. doi:10.2174/ 138920206779315737

Martin, O. J., Lai, L., Soundarapandian, M. M., Leone, T. C., Zorzano, A., Keller, M. P., et al. (2014). A role for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1 in the control of mitochondrial dynamics during postnatal cardiac growth. *Circ. Res.* 114, 626–636. doi:10.1161/circresaha.114.302562

Masaki, T., Habara, M., Sato, Y., Goshima, T., Maeda, K., Hanaki, S., et al. (2021). Calcineurin regulates the stability and activity of estrogen receptor a. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118, e2114258118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2114258118

Mattingly, K. A., Ivanova, M. M., Riggs, K. A., Wickramasinghe, N. S., Barch, M. J., and Klinge, C. M. (2008). Estradiol stimulates transcription of nuclear respiratory factor-1 and increases mitochondrial biogenesis. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 22, 609–622. doi:10.1210/me.2007-0029

McCubrey, J. A., Steelman, L. S., Chappell, W. H., Abrams, S. L., Wong, E. W. T., Chang, F., et al. (2007). Roles of the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway in cell growth, malignant transformation and drug resistance. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1773, 1263–1284. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2006.10.001

Melber, A., and Haynes, C. M. (2018). UPRmt regulation and output: A stress response mediated by mitochondrial-nuclear communication. *Cell Res.* 28, 281–295. doi:10.1038/cr.2018.16

Mendelsohn, M. E., and Karas, R. H. (2005). Molecular and cellular basis of cardiovascular gender differences. *Science* 308, 1583–1587. doi:10.1126/science. 1112062

Mendelsohn, M. E. (2002). Protective effects of estrogen on the cardiovascular system. Am. J. Cardiol. 89, 12E–17E. doi:10.1016/s0002-9149(02)02405-0

Meyer, M. R., Amann, K., Field, A. S., Hu, C., Hathaway, H. J., Kanagy, N. L., et al. (2012). Deletion of G Protein–Coupled estrogen receptor increases endothelial vasoconstriction. *Hypertension* 59, 507–512. doi:10.1161/hypertensionaha.111. 184606

Mineo, C., and Shaul, P. W. (2012). Regulation of eNOS in caveolae. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 729, 51–62. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-1222-9_4

Moens, S. J. B., Schnitzler, G. R., Nickerson, M., Guo, H., Ueda, K., Lu, Q., et al. (2012). Rapid estrogen receptor signaling is essential for the protective effects of estrogen against vascular injury. *Circulation* 126, 1993–2004. doi:10.1161/ circulationaha.112.124529

Morales, P. E., Arias-Durán, C., Ávalos-Guajardo, Y., Aedo, G., Verdejo, H. E., Parra, V., et al. (2020). Emerging role of mitophagy in cardiovascular physiology and pathology. *Mol. Asp. Med.* 71, 100822. doi:10.1016/j.mam. 2019.09.006

Mosca, L., Barrett-Connor, E., and Wenger, N. K. (2011). Sex/gender differences in cardiovascular disease prevention: What a difference a decade makes. *Circulation* 124, 2145–2154. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.110.968792

Mu, J., Zhang, D., Tian, Y., Xie, Z., and Zou, M. H. (2020). BRD4 inhibition by JQ1 prevents high-fat diet-induced diabetic cardiomyopathy by activating PINK1/ Parkin-mediated mitophagy *in vivo. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 149, 1–14. doi:10.1016/j. yjmcc.2020.09.003

Muñoz-Carvajal, F., and Sanhueza, M. (2020). The mitochondrial unfolded protein response: A hinge between healthy and pathological aging. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 12, 581849. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2020.581849

Murakami, S., Li, R., Nagari, A., Chae, M., Camacho, C. V., and Kraus, W. L. (2019). Distinct roles for BET family members in estrogen receptor a enhancer function and gene regulation in breast cancer cells. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 17, 2356–2368. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.mcr-19-0393

Murphy, E. (2011). Estrogen signaling and cardiovascular disease. Circ. Res. 109, 687-696. doi:10.1161/circresaha.110.236687

Murphy, E. (2004). Primary and secondary signaling pathways in early preconditioning that converge on the mitochondria to produce cardioprotection. *Circ. Res.* 94, 7–16. doi:10.1161/01.res.0000108082.76667.f4

Nagai, S., Ikeda, K., Horie-Inoue, K., Shiba, S., Nagasawa, S., Takeda, S., et al. (2016). Estrogen modulates exercise endurance along with mitochondrial uncoupling protein 3 downregulation in skeletal muscle of female mice. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 480, 758–764. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.10.129

Nagarajan, S., Hossan, T., Alawi, M., Najafova, Z., Indenbirken, D., Bedi, U., et al. (2014). Bromodomain protein BRD4 is required for estrogen receptor-dependent enhancer activation and gene transcription. *Cell Rep.* 8, 460–469. doi:10.1016/j. celrep.2014.06.016

Nguyen, T., Nioi, P., and Pickett, C. B. (2009). The Nrf2-antioxidant response element signaling pathway and its activation by oxidative stress. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 13291–13295. doi:10.1074/jbc.r900010200

Ni, Y. G., Berenji, K., Wang, N., Oh, M., Sachan, N., Dey, A., et al. (2006). Foxo transcription factors blunt cardiac hypertrophy by inhibiting calcineurin signaling. *Circulation* 114, 1159–1168. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.106.637124

Nomura, S., Satoh, M., Fujita, T., Higo, T., Sumida, T., Ko, T., et al. (2018). Cardiomyocyte gene programs encoding morphological and functional signatures in cardiac hypertrophy and failure. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 4435. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06639-7

North, B. J., and Sinclair, D. A. (2012). The intersection between aging and cardiovascular disease. *Circ. Res.* 110, 1097–1108. doi:10.1161/circresaha.111. 246876

Novotny, J. L., Simpson, A. M., Tomicek, N. J., Lancaster, T. S., and Korzick, D. H. (2009). Rapid estrogen receptor-α activation improves ischemic tolerance in aged female rats through a novel protein kinase cε-dependent mechanism. *Endocrinology* 150, 889–896. doi:10.1210/en.2008-0708

Nuedling, S., Kahlert, S., Loebbert, K., Doevendans, P. A., Meyer, R., Vetter, H., et al. (1999). 17 Beta-estradiol stimulates expression of endothelial and inducible NO synthase in rat myocardium *in-vitro* and *in-vivo*. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 43, 666–674. doi:10.1016/s0008-6363(99)00093-0

Oka, S., Alcendor, R., Zhai, P., Park, J. Y., Shao, D., Cho, J., et al. (2011). PPARα-Sirt1 complex mediates cardiac hypertrophy and failure through suppression of the ERR transcriptional pathway. *Cell Metab.* 14, 598–611. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2011. 10.001

Oka, S., Sabry, A. D., Cawley, K. M., and Warren, J. S. (2020). Multiple levels of PGC-1a dysregulation in heart failure. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* 7, 2. doi:10.3389/ fcvm.2020.00002

Okamoto, M., Suzuki, T., Mizukami, Y., and Ikeda, T. (2017). The membranetype estrogen receptor G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor suppresses lipopolysaccharide-induced interleukin 6 via inhibition of nuclear factor-kappa B pathway in murine macrophage cells. *Anim. Sci. J.* 88, 1870–1879. doi:10.1111/asj. 12868

O'Neil, A., Scovelle, A. J., Milner, A. J., and Kavanagh, A. (2018). Gender/sex as a social determinant of cardiovascular risk. *Circulation* 137, 854–864. doi:10.1161/ circulationaha.117.028595

Ong, S.-B., and Hausenloy, D. J. (2010). Mitochondrial morphology and cardiovascular disease. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 88, 16–29. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvq237

Ong, S.-B., Subrayan, S., Lim, S. Y., Yellon, D. M., Davidson, S. M., and Hausenloy, D. J. (2010). Inhibiting mitochondrial fission protects the heart against ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Circulation* 121, 2012–2022. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.109.906610

Oo, P. S., Yamaguchi, Y., Sawaguchi, A., Kyaw, M. T. H., Choijookhuu, N., Ali, M. N., et al. (2018). Estrogen regulates mitochondrial morphology through phosphorylation of dynamin-related protein 1 in MCF7 human breast cancer cells. *Acta histochem. cytochem.* 51, 21–31. doi:10.1267/ahc.17034

Ortmann, J., Veit, M., Zingg, S., Santo, S. D., Traupe, T., Yang, Z., et al. (2011). Estrogen receptor- α but not - β or GPER inhibits high glucose-induced human

VSMC proliferation: Potential role of ROS and ERK. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 96, 220–228. doi:10.1210/jc.2010-0943

Padmanabhan, A., Alexanian, M., Linares-Saldana, R., González-Terán, B., Andreoletti, G., Huang, Y., et al. (2020). BRD4 (Bromodomain-Containing protein 4) interacts with GATA4 (GATA binding protein 4) to govern mitochondrial homeostasis in adult cardiomyocytes. *Circulation* 142, 2338–2355. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.120.047753

Panth, N., Paudel, K. R., and Parajuli, K. (2016). Reactive oxygen species: A key hallmark of cardiovascular disease. *Adv. Med.* 2016, 9152732. doi:10.1155/2016/9152732

Papa, L., and Germain, D. (2011). Estrogen receptor mediates a distinct mitochondrial unfolded protein response. *J. Cell Sci.* 124, 1396–1402. doi:10. 1242/jcs.078220

Papa, L., and Germain, D. (2014). SirT3 regulates the mitochondrial unfolded protein response. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 34, 699–710. doi:10.1128/mcb.01337-13

Papanicolaou, K. N., Khairallah, R. J., Ngoh, G. A., Chikando, A., Luptak, I., O'Shea, K. M., et al. (2011). Mitofusin-2 maintains mitochondrial structure and contributes to stress-induced permeability transition in cardiac myocytes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 31, 1309–1328. doi:10.1128/mcb.00911-10

Parodi-Rullan, R., Barreto-Torres, G., Ruiz, L., Casasnovas, J., and Javadov, S. (2012). Direct renin inhibition exerts an anti-hypertrophic effect associated with improved mitochondrial function in post-infarction heart failure in diabetic rats. *Cell. Physiol. biochem.* 29, 841–850. doi:10.1159/000178526

Patten, I. S., Rana, S., Shahul, S., Rowe, G. C., Jang, C., Liu, L., et al. (2012). Cardiac angiogenic imbalance leads to peripartum cardiomyopathy. *Nature* 485, 333–338. doi:10.1038/nature11040

Patten, R. D., Pourati, I., Aronovitz, M. J., Baur, J., Celestin, F., Chen, X., et al. (2004). 17beta-estradiol reduces cardiomyocyte apoptosis *in vivo* and *in vitro* via activation of phospho-inositide-3 kinase/Akt signaling. *Circ. Res.* 95, 692–699. doi:10.1161/01.res.0000144126.57786.89

Pavón, N., Cabrera-Orefice, A., Gallardo-Pérez, J. C., Uribe-Alvarez, C., Rivero-Segura, N. A., Vazquez-Martínez, E. R., et al. (2017). In female rat heart mitochondria, oophorectomy results in loss of oxidative phosphorylation. *J. Endocrinol.* 232, 221–235. doi:10.1530/joe-16-0161

Pedram, A., Razandi, M., Lubahn, D., Liu, J., Vannan, M., and Levin, E. R. (2008). Estrogen inhibits cardiac hypertrophy: Role of estrogen receptor- β to inhibit calcineurin. *Endocrinology* 149, 3361–3369. doi:10.1210/en.2008-0133

Pereira, R. O., Wende, A. R., Crum, A., Hunter, D., Olsen, C. D., Rawlings, T., et al. (2014). Maintaining PGC-1a expression following pressure overload-induced cardiac hypertrophy preserves angiogenesis but not contractile or mitochondrial function. *FASEB J.* 28, 3691–3702. doi:10.1096/fj.14-253823

Pfaffl, M. W., Lange, I. G., Daxenberger, A., and Meyer, H. H. D. (2001). Tissuespecific expression pattern of estrogen receptors (ER): Quantification of ER alpha and ER beta mRNA with real-time RT-PCR. *Apmis* 109, 345–355. doi:10.1034/j. 1600-0463.2001.090503.x

Piquereau, J., Caffin, F., Novotova, M., Prola, A., Garnier, A., Mateo, P., et al. (2012). Down-regulation of OPA1 alters mouse mitochondrial morphology, PTP function, and cardiac adaptation to pressure overload. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 94, 408–417. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvs117

Piquereau, J., Moulin, M., Zurlo, G., Mateo, P., Gressette, M., Paul, J.-L., et al. (2017). Cobalamin and folate protect mitochondrial and contractile functions in a murine model of cardiac pressure overload. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 102, 34–44. doi:10. 1016/j.yjmcc.2016.11.010

Pisano, A., Cerbelli, B., Perli, E., Pelullo, M., Bargelli, V., Preziuso, C., et al. (2016). Impaired mitochondrial biogenesis is a common feature to myocardial hypertrophy and end-stage ischemic heart failure. *Cardiovasc. Pathol.* 25, 103–112. doi:10.1016/j. carpath.2015.09.009

Preobrazenski, N., Islam, H., and Gurd, B. J. (2021). Molecular regulation of skeletal muscle mitochondrial biogenesis following blood flow-restricted aerobic exercise: A call to action. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 121, 1835–1847. doi:10.1007/s00421-021-04669-6

Puglisi, R., Mattia, G., Carè, A., Marano, G., Malorni, W., and Matarrese, P. (2019). Non-genomic effects of estrogen on cell homeostasis and remodeling with special focus on cardiac ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Front. Endocrinol.* 10, 733. doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00733

Quirós, P. M., Mottis, A., and Auwerx, J. (2016). Mitonuclear communication in homeostasis and stress. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 17, 213–226. doi:10.1038/nrm. 2016.23

Rattanasopa, C., Phungphong, S., Wattanapermpool, J., and Bupha-Intr, T. (2015). Significant role of estrogen in maintaining cardiac mitochondrial functions. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 147, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2014. 11.009

Reis, L. B., Filippi-Chiela, E. C., Ashton-Prolla, P., Visioli, F., and Rosset, C. (2021). The paradox of autophagy in tuberous sclerosis complex. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 44, e20200014. doi:10.1590/1678-4685-gmb-2020-0014

Ren, Y., and Shen, H.-M. (2019). Critical role of AMPK in redox regulation under glucose starvation. *Redox Biol.* 25, 101154. doi:10.1016/j.redox.2019.101154

Revankar, C. M., Cimino, D. F., Sklar, L. A., Arterburn, J. B., and Prossnitz, E. R. (2005). A transmembrane intracellular estrogen receptor mediates rapid cell signaling. *Science* 307, 1625–1630. doi:10.1126/science.1106943

Rider, V., Jones, S. R., Evans, M., and Abdou, N. I. (2000). Molecular mechanisms involved in the estrogen-dependent regulation of calcineurin in systemic lupus erythematosus T cells. *Clin. Immunol.* 95, 124–134. doi:10.1006/clim.2000.4844

Rizzuto, R., Stefani, D. D., Raffaello, A., and Mammucari, C. (2012). Mitochondria as sensors and regulators of calcium signalling. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 13, 566–578. doi:10.1038/nrm3412

Ruff, M., Gangloff, M., Wurtz, J. M., and Moras, D. (2000). Estrogen receptor transcription and transactivation Structure-function relationship in DNA- and ligand-binding domains of estrogen receptors. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2, 353–359. doi:10.1186/bcr80

Russell, L. K., Mansfield, C. M., Lehman, J. J., Kovacs, A., Courtois, M., Saffitz, J. E., et al. (2004). Cardiac-specific induction of the transcriptional coactivator peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator-lalpha promotes mitochondrial biogenesis and reversible cardiomyopathy in a developmental stage-dependent manner. *Circ. Res.* 94, 525–533. doi:10.1161/01.res.0000117088.36577.eb

Sakamoto, T., Matsuura, T. R., Wan, S., Ryba, D. M., Kim, J., Won, K. J., et al. (2020). A critical role for estrogen-related receptor signaling in cardiac maturation. *Circ. Res.* 126, 1685–1702. doi:10.1161/circresaha.119.316100

Samant, S. A., Zhang, H. J., Hong, Z., Pillai, V. B., Sundaresan, N. R., Wolfgeher, D., et al. (2014). SIRT3 deacetylates and activates OPA1 to regulate mitochondrial dynamics during stress. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 34, 807–819. doi:10.1128/mcb.01483-13

Sasaki, H., Nagayama, T., Blanton, R. M., Seo, K., Zhang, M., Zhu, G., et al. (2014). PDE5 inhibitor efficacy is estrogen dependent in female heart disease. *J. Clin. Invest.* 124, 2464–2471. doi:10.1172/jci70731

Sasaki, Y., Ikeda, Y., Uchikado, Y., Akasaki, Y., Sadoshima, J., and Ohishi, M. (2021). Estrogen plays a crucial role in rab9-dependent mitochondrial autophagy, delaying arterial senescence. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* 10, e019310. doi:10.1161/jaha.120. 019310

Sastre-Serra, J., Nadal-Serrano, M., Pons, D. G., Roca, P., and Oliver, J. (2012). Mitochondrial dynamics is affected by 17β -estradiol in the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. Effects on fusion and fission related genes. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 44, 1901–1905. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2012.07.012

Sbert-Roig, M., Bauzá-Thorbrügge, M., Galmés-Pascual, B. M., Capllonch-Amer, G., García-Palmer, F. J., Lladó, I., et al. (2016). GPER mediates the effects of 17βestradiol in cardiac mitochondrial biogenesis and function. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 420, 116–124. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2015.11.027

Scarpulla, R. C. (2011). Metabolic control of mitochondrial biogenesis through the PGC-1 family regulatory network. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1813, 1269–1278. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.09.019

Scarpulla, R. C. (2006). Nuclear control of respiratory gene expression in mammalian cells. J. Cell. Biochem. 97, 673-683. doi:10.1002/jcb.20743

Scarpulla, R. C., Vega, R. B., and Kelly, D. P. (2012). Transcriptional integration of mitochondrial biogenesis. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 23, 459–466. doi:10.1016/j. tem.2012.06.006

Sharma, G., and Prossnitz, E. R. (2016). GPER/GPR30 knockout mice: Effects of GPER on metabolism. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1366, 489–502. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3127-9_38

Shufelt, C. L., and Manson, J. E. (2021). Menopausal hormone therapy and cardiovascular disease: The role of formulation, dose, and route of delivery. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 106, 1245–1254. doi:10.1210/clinem/dgab042

Siddall, H. K., Yellon, D. M., Ong, S.-B., Mukherjee, U. A., Burke, N., Hall, A. R., et al. (2013). Loss of PINK1 increases the heart's vulnerability to ischemia-reperfusion injury. *PLoS ONE* 8, e62400. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062400

Silva, J. S. da, Montagnoli, T. L., Rocha, B. S., Tacco, M. L. C. A., Marinho, S. C. P., and Zapata-Sudo, G. (2021). Estrogen receptors: Therapeutic perspectives for the treatment of cardiac dysfunction after myocardial infarction. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 525. doi:10.3390/ijms22020525

Simin, J., Tamimi, R., Lagergren, J., Adami, H.-O., and Brusselaers, N. (2017). Menopausal hormone therapy and cancer risk: An overestimated risk? *Eur. J. Cancer* 84, 60–68. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2017.07.012

Simoncini, T., Hafezi-Moghadam, A., Brazil, D. P., Ley, K., Chin, W. W., and Liao, J. K. (2000). Interaction of oestrogen receptor with the regulatory subunit of phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase. *Nature* 407, 538–541. doi:10.1038/35035131

Skavdahl, M., Steenbergen, C., Clark, J., Myers, P., Demianenko, T., Mao, L., et al. (2005). Estrogen receptor-beta mediates male-female differences in the development of pressure overload hypertrophy. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 288, H469–H476. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00723.2004

Smyrnias, I., Gray, S. P., Okonko, D. O., Sawyer, G., Zoccarato, A., Catibog, N., et al. (2019). Cardioprotective effect of the mitochondrial unfolded protein response during chronic pressure overload. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 73, 1795–1806. doi:10.1016/j. jacc.2018.12.087

Son, S. H., Lee, S. M., Lee, M. H., Son, Y. K., Kim, S. E., and An, W. S. (2021). Omega-3 fatty acids upregulate SIRT1/3, activate PGC-1α via deacetylation, and induce Nrf1 production in 5/6 nephrectomy rat model. *Mar. Drugs* 19, 182. doi:10. 3390/md19040182

Song, M., Chen, Y., Gong, G., Murphy, E., Rabinovitch, P. S., and Dorn, G. W. (2014). Super-suppression of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species signaling impairs compensatory autophagy in primary mitophagic cardiomyopathy. *Circ. Res.* 115, 348–353. doi:10.1161/circresaha.115.304384

Song, M., Gong, G., Burelle, Y., Gustafsson, Å. B., Kitsis, R. N., Matkovich, S. J., et al. (2015a). Interdependence of parkin-mediated mitophagy and mitochondrial fission in adult mouse hearts. *Circ. Res.* 117, 346–351. doi:10.1161/circresaha.117. 306859

Song, M., Mihara, K., Chen, Y., Scorrano, L., and Dorn, G. W. (2015b). Mitochondrial fission and fusion factors reciprocally orchestrate mitophagic culling in mouse hearts and cultured fibroblasts. *Cell Metab.* 21, 273–286. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2014.12.011

Spiltoir, J. I., Stratton, M. S., Cavasin, M. A., Demos-Davies, K., Reid, B. G., Qi, J., et al. (2013). BET acetyl-lysine binding proteins control pathological cardiac hypertrophy. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 63, 175–179. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2013.07.017

Srinivasan, S., Guha, M., Dong, D. W., Whelan, K. A., Ruthel, G., Uchikado, Y., et al. (2016). Disruption of cytochrome c oxidase function induces the Warburg effect and metabolic reprogramming. *Oncogene* 35, 1585–1595. doi:10.1038/onc. 2015.227

Stansfield, W. E., Ranek, M., Pendse, A., Schisler, J. C., Wang, S., Pulinilkunnil, T., et al. (2014). The pathophysiology of cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure. *Cell. Mol. pathobiology Cardiovasc. Dis.* 2014, 51–78. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-405206-2. 00004-1

Stice, J. P., Chen, L., Kim, S.-C., Jung, J. S., Tran, A. L., Liu, T. T., et al. (2011). 17 β -Estradiol, aging, inflammation, and the stress response in the female heart. *Endocrinology* 152, 1589–1598. doi:10.1210/en.2010-0627

Stratton, M. S., Lin, C. Y., Anand, P., Tatman, P. D., Ferguson, B. S., Wickers, S. T., et al. (2016). Signal-dependent recruitment of BRD4 to cardiomyocyte superenhancers is suppressed by a MicroRNA. *Cell Rep.* 16, 1366–1378. doi:10.1016/j. celrep.2016.06.074

Sun, N., and Finkel, T. (2015). Cardiac mitochondria: A surprise about size. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 82, 213–215. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2015.01.009

Sun, W., Liu, C., Chen, Q., Liu, N., Yan, Y., and Liu, B. (2018). SIRT3: A new regulator of cardiovascular diseases. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2018, 7293861. doi:10. 1155/2018/7293861

Suzuki, E., Nishimatsu, H., Satonaka, H., Walsh, K., Goto, A., Omata, M., et al. (2002). Angiotensin II induces myocyte enhancer factor 2- and calcineurin/nuclear factor of activated T cell-dependent transcriptional activation in vascular myocytes. *Circ. Res.* 90, 1004–1011. doi:10.1161/01.res.0000017629.70769.cc

Svaguša, T., Martinić, M., Martinić, M., Kovačević, L., Šepac, A., Miličić, D., et al. (2020). Mitochondrial unfolded protein response, mitophagy and other mitochondrial quality control mechanisms in heart disease and aged heart. *Croat. Med. J.* 61, 126–138. doi:10.3325/cmj.2020.61.126

Takeda-Matsubara, Y., Nakagami, H., Iwai, M., Cui, T.-X., Shiuchi, T., Akishita, M., et al. (2002). Estrogen activates phosphatases and antagonizes growthpromoting effect of angiotensin II. *Hypertension* 39, 41–45. doi:10.1161/hy1201. 097197

Tan, W.-Q., Wang, K., Lv, D.-Y., and Li, P.-F. (2008). Foxo3a inhibits cardiomyocyte hypertrophy through transactivating catalase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 29730–29739. doi:10.1074/jbc.m805514200

Tham, Y. K., Bernardo, B. C., Ooi, J. Y. Y., Weeks, K. L., and McMullen, J. R. (2015). Pathophysiology of cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure: Signaling pathways and novel therapeutic targets. *Arch. Toxicol.* 89, 1401–1438. doi:10. 1007/s00204-015-1477-x

Thomas, P., Pang, Y., Filardo, E. J., and Dong, J. (2005). Identity of an estrogen membrane receptor coupled to a G protein in human breast cancer cells. *Endocrinology* 146, 624–632. doi:10.1210/en.2004-1064

Tian, D., and Meng, J. (2019). Exercise for prevention and relief of cardiovascular disease: Prognoses, mechanisms, and approaches. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2019, 3756750. doi:10.1155/2019/3756750

Tsai, C.-Y., Kuo, W.-W., Shibu, M. A., Lin, Y.-M., Liu, C.-N., Chen, Y.-H., et al. (2017). E2/ER β inhibit ISO-induced cardiac cellular hypertrophy by suppressing Ca2+-calcineurin signaling. *Plos One* 12, e0184153. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0184153

Twig, G., and Shirihai, O. S. (2011). The interplay between mitochondrial dynamics and mitophagy. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 14, 1939–1951. doi:10.1089/ars.2010.3779

Ueda, K., Adachi, Y., Liu, P., Fukuma, N., and Takimoto, E. (2019). Regulatory actions of estrogen receptor signaling in the cardiovascular system. *Front. Endocrinol.* 10, 909. doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00909

Ueda, K., Fukuma, N., Adachi, Y., Numata, G., Tokiwa, H., Toyoda, M., et al. (2021). Sex differences and regulatory actions of estrogen in cardiovascular system. *Front. Physiol.* 12, 738218. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.738218

Umar, S., Iorga, A., Matori, H., Nadadur, R. D., Li, J., Maltese, F., et al. (2011). Estrogen rescues preexisting severe pulmonary hypertension in rats. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 184, 715–723. doi:10.1164/rccm.201101-0078oc

Umar, S., Rabinovitch, M., and Eghbali, M. (2012). Estrogen paradox in pulmonary hypertension: Current controversies and future perspectives. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 186, 125–131. doi:10.1164/rccm.201201-0058pp

Vafai, S. B., and Mootha, V. K. (2012). Mitochondrial disorders as windows into an ancient organelle. *Nature* 491, 374–383. doi:10.1038/nature11707

Vásquez-Trincado, C., Garcia-Carvajal, I., Pennanen, C., Parra, V., Hill, J. A., Rothermel, B. A., et al. (2016). Mitochondrial dynamics, mitophagy and cardiovascular disease. *J. Physiol.* 594, 509–525. doi:10.1113/jp271301

Vaughan, R. A., Mermier, C. M., Bisoffi, M., Trujillo, K. A., and Conn, C. A. (2014). Dietary stimulators of the PGC-1 superfamily and mitochondrial biosynthesis in skeletal muscle. A mini-review. J. Physiol. Biochem. 70, 271–284. doi:10.1007/s13105-013-0301-4

Verdin, E., Hirschey, M. D., Finley, L. W. S., and Haigis, M. C. (2010). Sirtuin regulation of mitochondria: Energy production, apoptosis, and signaling. *Trends biochem. Sci.* 35, 669–675. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2010.07.003

Vinogradova, Y., Coupland, C., and Hippisley-Cox, J. (2020). Use of hormone replacement therapy and risk of breast cancer: Nested case-control studies using the QResearch and CPRD databases. *Bmj* 371, m3873. doi:10.1136/bmj.m3873

Virani, S. S., Alonso, A., Aparicio, H. J., Benjamin, E. J., Bittencourt, M. S., Callaway, C. W., et al. (2021). Heart disease and stroke statistics-2021 update: A report from the American heart association. *Circulation* 143, e254–e743. doi:10. 1161/cir.00000000000950

Virbasius, J. V., and Scarpulla, R. C. (1994). Activation of the human mitochondrial transcription factor A gene by nuclear respiratory factors: A potential regulatory link between nuclear and mitochondrial gene expression in organelle biogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 91, 1309–1313. doi:10.1073/pnas. 91.4.1309

Vitale, C., Fini, M., Speziale, G., and Chierchia, S. (2010). Gender differences in the cardiovascular effects of sex hormones. *Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol.* 24, 675–685. doi:10.1111/j.1472-8206.2010.00817.x

Wai, T., García-Prieto, J., Baker, M. J., Merkwirth, C., Benit, P., Rustin, P., et al. (2015). Imbalanced OPA1 processing and mitochondrial fragmentation cause heart failure in mice. *Science* 350, aad0116. doi:10.1126/science.aad0116

Wang, H., Sun, X., Chou, J., Lin, M., Ferrario, C. M., Zapata-Sudo, G., et al. (2017). Cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of the G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) leads to left ventricular dysfunction and adverse remodeling: A sexspecific gene profiling analysis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Mol. Basis Dis.* 1863, 1870–1882. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2016.10.003

Wang, L., Tang, Z.-P., Zhao, W., Cong, B.-H., Lu, J.-Q., Tang, X.-L., et al. (2015). MiR-22/Sp-1 links estrogens with the up-regulation of cystathionine γ -lyase in myocardium, which contributes to estrogenic cardioprotection against oxidative stress. *Endocrinology* 156, 2124–2137. doi:10.1210/en. 2014-1362

Wang, Y.-X., Zhang, C.-L., Yu, R. T., Cho, H. K., Nelson, M. C., Bayuga-Ocampo, C. R., et al. (2004). Regulation of muscle fiber type and running endurance by PPARdelta. *PLoS Biol.* 2, e294. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020294

Wang, Y. T., Lim, Y., McCall, M. N., Huang, K.-T., Haynes, C. M., Nehrke, K., et al. (2019). Cardioprotection by the mitochondrial unfolded protein response requires ATF5. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 317, H472–H478. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00244.2019

Watanabe, S., Horie, T., Nagao, K., Kuwabara, Y., Baba, O., Nishi, H., et al. (2014). Cardiac-specific inhibition of kinase activity in calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase- β leads to accelerated left ventricular remodeling and heart failure after transverse aortic constriction in mice. *PLoS ONE* 9, e108201. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108201

Watanabe, T., Inoue, S., Hiroi, H., Orimo, A., Kawashima, H., and Muramatsu, M. (1998). Isolation of estrogen-responsive genes with a CpG island library. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18, 442–449. doi:10.1128/mcb.18.1.442

Watson, C. S., Alyea, R. A., Jeng, Y.-J., and Kochukov, M. Y. (2007). Nongenomic actions of low concentration estrogens and xenoestrogens on multiple tissues. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 274, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2007.05.011

Wei, S., McCurley, J. M., Hanlon, S. U., and Haigney, M. C. P. (2007). Gender differences in Na/Ca exchanger current and β -adrenergic responsiveness in heart failure in pig myocytes. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1099, 183–189. doi:10.1196/annals. 1387.026

White, R. E., Han, G., Dimitropoulou, C., Zhu, S., Miyake, K., Fulton, D., et al. (2005). Estrogen-induced contraction of coronary arteries is mediated by superoxide generated in vascular smooth muscle. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 289, H1468–H1475. doi:10.1152/ajpheart.01173.2004

Wickramasekera, N. T., and Das, G. M. (2014). Tumor suppressor p53 and estrogen receptors in nuclear-mitochondrial communication. *Mitochondrion* 16, 26–37. doi:10.1016/j.mito.2013.10.002

Williams, G. S. B., Boyman, L., Chikando, A. C., Khairallah, R. J., and Lederer, W. J. (2013). Mitochondrial calcium uptake. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 10479–10486. doi:10.1073/pnas.1300410110

Witt, H., Schubert, C., Jaekel, J., Fliegner, D., Penkalla, A., Tiemann, K., et al. (2008). Sex-specific pathways in early cardiac response to pressure overload in mice. *J. Mol. Med.* 86, 1013–1024. doi:10.1007/s00109-008-0385-4

Woods, A., Dickerson, K., Heath, R., Hong, S.-P., Momcilovic, M., Johnstone, S. R., et al. (2005). Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase-beta acts upstream of AMP-activated protein kinase in mammalian cells. *Cell Metab.* 2, 21–33. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2005.06.005

Wright, D. C., Han, D.-H., Garcia-Roves, P. M., Geiger, P. C., Jones, T. E., and Holloszy, J. O. (2007). Exercise-induced mitochondrial biogenesis begins before the increase in muscle PGC-1alpha expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 194–199. doi:10. 1074/jbc.m606116200

Wu, Z., Puigserver, P., Andersson, U., Zhang, C., Adelmant, G., Mootha, V., et al. (1999). Mechanisms controlling mitochondrial biogenesis and respiration through the thermogenic coactivator PGC-1. *Cell* 98, 115–124. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00) 80611-x

Wyckoff, M. H., Chambliss, K. L., Mineo, C., Yuhanna, I. S., Mendelsohn, M. E., Mumby, S. M., et al. (2001). Plasma membrane estrogen receptors are coupled to endothelial nitric-oxide synthase through Galpha(i). *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 27071–27076. doi:10.1074/jbc.m100312200

Xiong, W., Ma, Z., An, D., Liu, Z., Cai, W., Bai, Y., et al. (2019). Mitofusin 2 participates in mitophagy and mitochondrial fusion against angiotensin II-induced cardiomyocyte injury. *Front. Physiol.* 10, 411. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00411

Xu, S., Yu, S., Dong, D., and Lee, L. T. O. (2019). G protein-coupled estrogen receptor: A potential therapeutic target in cancer. *Front. Endocrinol.* 10, 725. doi:10. 3389/fendo.2019.00725

Yang, L., Wang, Y., Chen, P., Hu, J., Xiong, Y., Feng, D., et al. (2011). Na(+)/H(+) exchanger regulatory factor 1 (NHERF1) is required for the estradiol-dependent

increase of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) protein expression. Endocrinology 152, 4537-4549. doi:10.1210/en.2011-1207

Yang, M., Zhang, J., Jin, X., Li, C., Zhou, G., and Feng, J. (2020). NRF1-enhanced miR-4458 alleviates cardiac hypertrophy through releasing TTP-inhibited TFAM. *Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Anim.* 56, 120–128. doi:10.1007/s11626-019-00419-3

Yang, S.-H., Liu, R., Perez, E. J., Wen, Y., Stevens, S. M., Valencia, T., et al. (2004). Mitochondrial localization of estrogen receptor beta. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101, 4130–4135. doi:10.1073/pnas.0306948101

Yang, X.-P., and Reckelhoff, J. F. (2011). Estrogen, hormonal replacement therapy and cardiovascular disease. *Curr. Opin. Nephrol. Hypertens.* 20, 133–138. doi:10. 1097/mnh.0b013e3283431921

Yaşar, P., Ayaz, G., User, S. D., Güpür, G., and Muyan, M. (2017). Molecular mechanism of estrogen-estrogen receptor signaling. *Reprod. Med. Biol.* 16, 4–20. doi:10.1002/rmb2.12006

Yong, C. Q. Y., and Tang, B. L. (2018). A mitochondrial encoded messenger at the nucleus. *Cells* 7, 105. doi:10.3390/cells7080105

Yu, H., Guo, Y., Mi, L., Wang, X., Li, L., and Gao, W. (2011). Mitofusin 2 inhibits angiotensin II-induced myocardial hypertrophy. *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. Ther.* 16, 205–211. doi:10.1177/1074248410385683

Yu, L., Gong, B., Duan, W., Fan, C., Zhang, J., Li, Z., et al. (2017). Melatonin ameliorates myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in type 1 diabetic rats by preserving mitochondrial function: Role of AMPK-PGC-1α-SIRT3 signaling. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 41337. doi:10.1038/srep41337

Zepeda, R., Kuzmicic, J., Parra, V., Troncoso, R., Pennanen, C., Riquelme, J. A., et al. (2014). Drp1 loss-of-function reduces cardiomyocyte oxygen dependence protecting the heart from ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* 63, 477–487. doi:10.1097/fjc.000000000000071

Zhang, J., Xiang, H., Liu, J., Chen, Y., He, R.-R., and Liu, B. (2020). Mitochondrial Sirtuin 3: New emerging biological function and therapeutic target. *Theranostics* 10, 8315–8342. doi:10.7150/thno.45922

Zhou, H., Ren, J., Toan, S., and Mui, D. (2020). Role of mitochondrial quality surveillance in myocardial infarction: From bench to bedside. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 66, 101250. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2020.101250

Zhu, L., Shi, J., Luu, T. N., Neuman, J. C., Trefts, E., Yu, S., et al. (2017). Hepatocyte estrogen receptor alpha mediates estrogen action to promote reverse cholesterol transport during Western-type diet feeding. *Mol. Metab.* 8, 106–116. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2017.12.012

Zhu, W., Wu, R.-D., Lv, Y.-G., Liu, Y.-M., Huang, H., and Xu, J.-Q. (2020). BRD4 blockage alleviates pathological cardiac hypertrophy through the suppression of fibrosis and inflammation via reducing ROS generation. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 121, 109368. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109368

Zhu, X., Shen, W., Yao, K., Wang, H., Liu, B., Li, T., et al. (2019). Fine-tuning of PGC1α expression regulates cardiac function and longevity. *Circ. Res.* 125, 707–719. doi:10.1161/circresaha.119.315529

Zhu, Y., Bian, Z., Lu, P., Karas, R. H., Bao, L., Cox, D., et al. (2002). Abnormal vascular function and hypertension in mice deficient in estrogen receptor beta. *Science* 295, 505–508. doi:10.1126/science.1065250

Glossary

ADP Adenosine diphosphate AFG3L1 AFG3-like AAA ATPase 1 AFs Activator functions ALDH2 Aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 AMPK Adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase ANP Atrial natriuretic peptide ATF5 Activating transcription factor 5 ATG7 Autophagy related gene-7 ATP Adenosine triphosphate BET Bromodomain and extra-terminal BNP Brain natriuretic peptide BRD4 Bromodomain-containing protein 4 Ca2+ Calcium CAMKIV Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type IV cAMP 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate CH Cardiac hypertrophy CHOP CEBP homologous protein cKO Cardiac-specific knockout ClpP ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit COX Cyclooxygenase COX7RP Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 7a-related polypeptide CREB cAMP response element-binding protein c-Src Proto-oncogene tyrosine-protein kinase Src CVDs Cardiovascular diseases DPM Disrupting peptide mouse DRP1: Dynamin-related protein1 E2 17 β -estradiol EGFR Epidermal growth factor receptor EGR1 Early growth response protein 1 eNOS Endothelial nitric oxide synthase ER Estrogen receptor ERE Estrogen response element ERK Extracellular-signal regulated kinase ERR Estrogen related receptor ERa Estrogen receptor alpha ERβ Estrogen receptor beta ETC Electron transport chain FAO Fatty acid oxidation FIS1 Mitochondrial fission 1 protein

FOXO1 ForkHead box O1

FOXO3 Forkhead box O3

FUNDC1 FUN14 domain-containing protein 1 GATA4 GATA binding protein 4 GPCR G protein-coupled receptor GPER G protein-coupled estrogen receptor GPx Glutathione peroxidase GSTK1 Glutathione S- transferase kappa 1 GTP Guanosine triphosphate HFD High-fat diet I/R Ischemia-reperfusion IL Interleukin IMM Inner mitochondrial membrane iNOS Inducible nitric oxide synthase IPSC-CM Induced pluripotent stem cell derived-cardiomyocytes JAK/STAT Janus kinase and signal transducer and activator of transcription JNK c-Jun N-terminal kinase KD Knockdown KEAP1 Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 KO Knockout LC3 Microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 LDL Low-density lipoprotein LKB1 Liver kinase B1 LonP Mitochondrial Lon protease homolog MAMs Mitochondria associated membranes MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase MCU Mitochondrial Ca2+ uniporter MDV1 Mitochondrial division protein 1 MEF2 Myocyte enhancer factor 2 MEK Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase MFF Mitochondrial fission factor MFN1 Mitofusin 1 MFN2: Mitofusin 2 MI Myocardial infarction miRNAs MicroRNAs mtUPR mitochondrial unfolded protein response MKP1 Mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 1 MPPs Metalloproteinases MPTP Mitochondrial permeability transition pore mROS Mitochondrial ROS mtDNA Mitochondrial DNA mtDNAj Mitochondrial pre-sequence translocase-associated motor complex protein mTERF Mitochondrial transcription termination factor

mTORC1 Mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 NFAT Nuclear factor of activated T cells NFE2L2 Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 **NF-κB** Nuclear factor-κB **NO** Nitric oxide NOS Nitric oxide synthase NOX4 NADPH oxidase 4 NPD52 Nuclear dot protein 52 kDa NRF1 Nuclear respiratory factor 1 NRF2a Nuclear respiratory factor 2a OMA1 m-AAA Protease 1 OMM Outer mitochondrial membrane OPA1 Optic atrophy protein 1 **OVX** Ovariectomized **OXPHOS** Oxidative phosphorylation system PAK1 p21-activated kinase 1 PARL Presenilins-associated rhomboid-like protein PGC-1 Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor coactivator 1 PH Pulmonary hypertension PI3K Phosphoinositide 3-kinase PINK1 PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 PKA Protein kinase A PKC Protein kinase C PKG cGMP-dependent protein kinase PP2 Protein phosphatase 2 (PP2) PPARs Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors PTEN Phosphatase and TENsin homolog PTGS2 Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2

RAB9 Ras-related protein Rab-9 RNA-Seq RNA sequencing ROS Reactive oxygen species Ser Serine residue SERCA2a Sarcoplasmic/Endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ATPase 2a SHP1 Src homology region 2 domain-containing phosphatase 1 SIRT1 Sirtuin 1 SIRT3 Sirtuin 3 SOD1 Superoxide dismutase 1 SOD2 Superoxide dismutase 2 TAC Transverse aortic constriction TCA Tricarboxylic acid TFB1M Mitochondrial transcription factor B1 TFB2M Mitochondrial transcription factor B2 TFs Transcription factors TGF- β Transforming growth factor β TMAO Trimethylamine-N-oxide TSC2 Tuberous sclerosis complex 2 **TTP** Tristetraprolin Tyr Tyrosine residue UCP3 Uncoupling protein 3 ULK1 Unc-51 like kinase 1 VSMC Vascular smooth muscle cells WT Wild type YME1L1 YME1 like 1 ATPase **α-LA** α-lipoic acid aKGDH a-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase β-MHC Myosin heavy chain, cardiac muscle β-isoform

PTMs Post-translational modifications