RESEARCH ARTICLE

¹State Key Laboratory of Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China; ²Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China; ³Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China; ⁴Center for Excellence in Mathematical Sciences, National Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Key Laboratory of Management, Decision and Information System, Hua Loo-Keng Center for Mathematical Sciences, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; ⁵Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Translational Medicine of Tumor, Department of Cell Biology and Genetics, Shenzhen University Health Sciences Center, Shenzhen 518060. China;

(Continued on next page)

*Corresponding authors. E-mails: hbji@sibcb.ac.cn; liang.hu@sibcb.ac.cn; ywang@amss.ac.cn †Equally contributed to this work. *Leading author.

Received 23 June 2021; Revised 30 November 2021; Accepted 1 December 2021 **BIOLOGY & BIOCHEMISTRY**

Identification of TAZ as the essential molecular switch in orchestrating SCLC phenotypic transition and metastasis

Yujuan Jin^{1,2,3,†}, Qiqi Zhao^{1,2,3,†}, Weikang Zhu^{4,†}, Yan Feng^{1,2,3,†}, Tian Xiao^{5,†}, Peng Zhang⁶, Liyan Jiang⁷, Yingyong Hou⁸, Chenchen Guo^{1,2,3}, Hsinyi Huang^{1,2,3}, Yabin Chen^{1,2,3}, Xinyuan Tong^{1,2,3}, Jiayu Cao^{1,2,3}, Fei Li⁹, Xueliang Zhu^{1,2,3,10}, Jun Qin¹¹, Dong Gao^{1,2,3}, Xin-Yuan Liu^{1,2,3}, Hua Zhang ¹², Luonan Chen ^{1,2,3,14}, Roman K. Thomas^{13,15}, Kwok-Kin Wong¹², Lei Zhang^{1,2,3,14}, Yong Wang^{4,14,*}, Liang Hu ^{1,2,3,*} and Hongbin Ji ^{1,2,3,14,‡,*}

ABSTRACT

Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is a recalcitrant cancer characterized by high metastasis. However, the exact cell type contributing to metastasis remains elusive. Using a $Rb1^{L/L}/Trp53^{L/L}$ mouse model, we identify the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} subpopulation as the SCLC metastasizing cell (SMC), which is progressively transitioned from the non-metastasizing NCAM^{lo}CD44^{hi} cell (non-SMC). Integrative chromatin accessibility and gene expression profiling studies reveal the important role of the SWI/SNF complex, and knockout of its central component, *Brg1*, significantly inhibits such phenotypic transition and metastasis. Mechanistically, TAZ is silenced by the SWI/SNF complex during SCLC malignant progression, and its knockdown promotes SMC transition and metastasis. Single-cell RNA-sequencing analyses identify SMC as the dominant subpopulation in human SCLC metastasis, and immunostaining data show a positive correlation between TAZ and patient prognosis. These data uncover high SCLC plasticity and identify TAZ as the key molecular switch in orchestrating SCLC phenotypic transition and metastasis.

Keywords: small cell lung cancer, SWI/SNF complex, TAZ, phenotypic transition, metastasis

INTRODUCTION

Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is characterized by very poor prognosis, with \sim 15% global lung cancer incidence and a five-year survival lower than 7% [1]. This can be largely attributed to the highly metastatic capability of SCLC. Most SCLC patients are initially diagnosed at extensive stage, characterized by nearby lung and/or distant metastases. Therefore, exploration of the mechanisms involved in SCLC metastasis is urgently needed so as to provide helpful insights into clinical management.

Previous studies have shown that \sim 90% of human SCLC harbors concurrent inactivating mutations or deletions of *Rb1* and *Trp53* [2]. Homozygous deletion of these two alleles in mouse lung epithelia promotes SCLC development and dra-

matic metastasis, which closely recapitulates human SCLC in the clinic [3]. Mouse SCLC in the $RbI^{L/L}/Trp53^{L/L}$ (*RP*) model typically expresses neuroendocrine markers including neuronal cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) and achaetescute complex homolog 1 (ASCL1), and frequently metastasizes into distant organs [3]. Concurrent deletion of *P130*, an *Rb*-related gene, or *Pten* in the RP model, significantly accelerates malignant progression and SCLC metastasis [4,5]. Moreover, upregulated Nuclear Factor I B (NFIB) expression is found to promote SCLC metastasis through increasing the accessibility of global chromatin [6–8].

SCLCs are characterized by high heterogeneity [9-15]. It is proposed that human SCLC is composed of four different subtypes based on

[©] The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of China Science Publishing & Media Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(Continued from previous page)

⁶Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China: ⁷Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai 200030, China; ⁸Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China; ⁹Department of Pathology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China; ¹⁰School of Life Science and Technology, Shanghai Tech University, Shanghai 200120, China; ¹¹CAS Key Laboratory of Tissue Microenvironment and Tumor, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Shanghai Institute of Nutrition and Health Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031, China; 12 Laura and Isaac Perlmutter Cancer Center, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York, NY 10016, USA; 13 Department of Translational Genomics, Center of Integrated Oncology Coloane-Bonn. Medical Faculty, University of Cologne, Cologne 50931, Germany; ¹⁴School of Life Science, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou 310024, China and ¹⁵Department of Pathology, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne 50937, Germany

lineage-related transcription factors including ASCL1, NEUROD1, YAP and POU2F3 [14]. More recently, an inflamed SCLC subtype has been identified with a good response to immunotherapy [16]. Similar heterogeneity has also been found in mouse SCLC, e.g. the CD24^{hi}CD44^{lo}EpCAM^{hi} subpopulation from the RP model is identified as harboring a strong capability to form tumors in allograft assay [11]. Moreover, mouse SCLC is found to contain the neuroendocrine (NE) and non-neuroendocrine (non-NE) subpopulations according to distinct growth patterns in culture, with the NE subtype growing as suspension and the non-NE as adhesion [9]. The NE cells frequently express neuroendocrine markers including NCAM, synaptophysin (SYP) and ASCL1. In contrast, the non-NE cells tend to express mesenchymal markers such as VIMENTIN and CD44 [9]. It has been reported that the synergetic cooperation between NE and non-NE subpopulations is necessary for SCLC metastasis whereas neither subtype could metastasize on its own [9]. Therefore, the exact population responsible for SCLC metastasis still remains unknown.

The switch/sucrose-non-fermentable (mSWI/ SNF) complexes, including canonical BRG1/BRMassociated factor (BAF), polybromo-associated BAF (PBAF) and non-canonical BAF (ncBAF), are essential for chromatin remodeling [17,18]. All three complexes contain a core ATPase subunit, e.g. BRG1 (Brahma/SWI2-related gene 1, also called SMARCA4), which catalyzes the hydrolysis of ATP [18]. Previous studies reveal that the SWI/SNF complexes tend to function as tumor suppressors during cancer development. Consistently, a high incidence of BRG1 inactivating mutation is detected in multiple cancer types including lung cancer [19]. Previous studies show that BRG1 promotes cell cycle arrest and senescence through the retinoblastoma pathway in cancer cells [20,21]. Interestingly, recent studies have also indicated an oncogenic role of BRG1. For example, BRG1 promotes pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) development and gastric cancer metastasis [22-24]. In SCLC, BRG1 is preferentially required for cancer progression when MAX (Myc-associated factor) is inactivated [25]. These findings indicate that BRG1 might function as tumor suppressor or oncogenic driver in a cell-type- or genetic-context-dependent manner.

The Hippo pathway is initially defined as an important pathway during organ size control, and functions mainly via the synergetic interaction between the transcription factor TEAD1-4 and transcriptional co-activator YAP/TAZ (WWTR1) [26]. The oncogenic activities of YAP/TAZ have been well documented in multiple epithelial cancers [26–31].

It is well known that YAP/TAZ sustains self-renewal and tumor-initiating capability, and promotes cancer malignant progression and metastasis through epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [30]. The latest studies also reveal that YAP/TAZ might function as a tumor suppressor [32–34]. For instance, YAP expression is downregulated in breast cancer and knockdown of YAP promotes cancer cell migration and invasiveness [35]. Moreover, we have previously found that YAP acts as the barrier for adenocarcinoma-to-squamous-carcinoma transdifferentiation (AST) as well as for lung squamouscell carcinoma progression [33,36]. Nonetheless, the exact role of YAP/TAZ during SCLC metastasis has not been characterized yet.

We here identify NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells as the major subpopulation responsible for SCLC metastasis. Moreover, this subpopulation is progressively transitioned from the non-metastatic NCAM^{lo}CD44^{hi} cells via the SWI/SNF-complexmediated TAZ silencing. Our data highlight the important link between epigenetically regulated TAZ and SCLC plasticity and metastasis.

RESULTS

Identification of the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/–} subpopulation as SCLC metastasizing cells

To study SCLC heterogeneity during cancer malignant progression and metastasis, we first performed immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining in RP tumors using NE marker NCAM and mesenchymal marker CD44. In primary RP tumors, we indeed observed the heterogeneous expression pattern of these two markers intra-tumorally and inter-tumorally (Fig. 1A and Table S1). We found that the percentage of NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} tumors, defined with over 50% of cancer cells highly expressing NCAM and with low or no CD44 expression [37], increased with malignant progression and metastasis (Fig. 1B, Fig. S1A and Table S1). Consistently, we found that distant organ metastases such as liver and kidney metastases uniformly exhibited the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} expression pattern (Fig. 1A). These data indicate that the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} subpopulation might be responsible for SCLC metastasis.

To test this, we then used Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) to isolate the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} and NCAM^{lo}CD44^{hi} subpopulations from primary *RP* tumors (Fig. 1C). Genotyping analyses confirmed the concurrent deletion of *Rb1* and *Trp53* in both subpopulations (Fig. S1B). We found that the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-}



Figure 1. Identification of the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{I0/-} cells as SCLC metastasizing cells in the *RP* mouse model. (A) Representative photos of Hematoxylin-Eosin (HE) staining, NCAM and CD44 IHC staining of primary tumors, liver and kidney metastases (met) from the RP mouse model. The intra-tumor heterogeneity of primary tumors is shown in high magnification. The marked areas in high magnification panels indicate the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{I0/-} expression pattern. Scale bars, 100 μ m. (B) Statistic analyses of the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{I0/-} tumors at limited stage (no overt distant organ metastasis) and extensive stage (overt metastasis) in the RP model. The NCAM^{hi}CD44^{I0/-} tumors were defined when the lesions contained >50% of cells showing NCAM^{hi} and CD44^{I0/-} expression. Limited stage: 87 tumors from 4 mice were analyzed; extensive stage: 98 tumors from 4 mice were analyzed. Data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (C) Flow cytometry (FACS) analyses of primary tumors from the RP mouse model using antibodies towards EpCAM, NCAM and CD44. The tumor cells without primary antibody incubation are shown as negative control (top panels). The NCAM^{I0}CD44^{I0/-} cells were sorted and cultured *in vitro* and the representative cell growth photos are shown on the right. Scale bar, 100 μ m. (D) Western blot detection of EpCAM, NCAM, CD44, ASCL1 and TAZ expression in established NCAM^{I0}CD44^{I0/-} planted with primary NCAM^{I0}CD44^{I0/-} cells derived from the RP mouse model. Data are shown from three independent experiments (*n* = 5 mice for each experiment). The ratio of mice with liver metastasis was also indicated. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (G and H) Representative photos of HE staining, NCAM and CD44 IHC staining of (G) liver metastases, and (H) subcutaneous tumors in nude mice transplanted with NCAM^{hi}CD44^{I0/-} cell lines. Scale bars, 100 μ m.

cells grew in culture as oncospheres with a suspension growth pattern (Fig. 1C), similar to classical human SCLC cell lines. In contrast, the NCAM^{lo}CD44^{hi} cells grew as adhesion (Fig. 1C). Moreover, a higher ASCL1 level was detected in the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} subpopulation (Fig. 1D). We then subcutaneously transplanted 5×10^6 cells from either the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} or NCAM^{lo}CD44^{hi} subpopulation into nude mice and waited for up to 10 weeks for distant organ metastasis analyses. Both subpopulations formed subcutaneous tumors at 100% in allograft assay, with comparable tumor growth (Fig. S1C and D). In contrast, the metastasis analyses revealed a huge difference. Most mice (13 out of 15) from the NCAMhiCD44lo/- group had spontaneous metastases in the liver whereas only 1 out of 15 mice from the NCAM^{lo}CD44^{hi} group displayed distant metastasis (Fig. 1E and F). The liver metastases from the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} group exhibited a characteristic marker expression pattern, similar to subcutaneous tumors (Fig. 1G and H). These data demonstrate that the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells are mainly responsible for SCLC metastasis. We hereafter refer to the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} and NCAM^{lo}CD44^{hi} subpopulations as SCLC metastasizing cell (SMC) and non-SCLC metastasizing cell (non-SMC), respectively.

Phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC contributes to SCLC metastasis

Consistent with SMC metastatic tumors, the liver metastasis lesion from the non-SMC allograft assay also exhibited the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} expression pattern (Fig. 2A and Fig. S2). We speculated that there might exist phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC during SCLC malignant progression. To test this, we established a non-SMC cell line stably expressing GFP, termed non-SMC-GFP, and performed a subcutaneous allograft assay (Fig. 2B). Immunofluorescence (IF) staining in allograft tumors revealed that ${\sim}13\pm2\%$ GFP-positive cancer cells displayed the $NCAM^{hi}CD44^{\overline{lo}/-}$ pattern whereas the rest remained as a non-SMC expression pattern (Fig. 2C and Table S2). Consistently, both suspension and adhesion growth patterns were observed when these allograft tumors were cultured in vitro (Fig. 2B). To further confirm such transition, we picked single-cell clones from non-SMC-GFP cells and performed allograft assay with the clonal non-SMC-GFP cell lines (Fig. 2D). Similarly, we found that these subcutaneous tumors also displayed the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} pattern, ranging from 14 \pm 2% to 20 \pm 3% (Fig. 2E and Table S2). A mixed growth pattern was also observed in culture (Fig. 2D). We further isolated the transitioned SMC with NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} pattern and tested its metastasis capability using allograft assay. In contrast to no overt metastases in the non-SMC group, multiple distant organ metastases, e.g. lymph node, lung and liver metastases, were detectable in the transitioned SMC group (Fig. 2F and G). We found that the liver metastases also displayed the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} pattern (Fig. 2G). These data together convincingly proved the transition from non-SMC to SMC and highlighted the important role of such phenotypic transition in SCLC metastasis.

Brg1 knockout inhibits SMC phenotypic transition and SCLC metastasis

To further explore the molecular mechanisms underlying non-SMC-to-SMC transition, we performed RNA sequencing and comparatively analyzed the gene expression profiling of SMC and non-SMC. The small-cell neuroendocrine (SCN) signature has been recently established as an important index for SCLC metastasis [38]. Interestingly, we found a significant enrichment of SCN-signature-related pathways in SMC whereas non-SCN-related pathways (immune-related pathways) were enriched in non-SMC (Fig. 3A and Tables S3 and S4). Real-time PCR data further confirmed the increased expression of SCN signature genes, including Ascl1, Insm1, Neurod1, Chga, Sox11 and Ttf1, in SMC (Fig. 3B). These data might partially explain the high metastasis capability of SMC.

Epigenetic alterations have been implicated in cancer plasticity [39,40]. We performed the assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with nextgeneration sequencing (ATAC-seq) to determine the global chromatin accessibility of SMC and non-SMC. Our analyses on transcription start sites also revealed an overall reduced signal in the active promoter regions of SMC (Fig. 3C). The total reads of ATAC-seq for SMC and non-SMC were \sim 36 million and 27 million, respectively. Chromatin remodelers, such as SWI/SNF complex, are critical for regulating chromatin architecture and accessibility [18]. We found that multiple members of the SWI/SNF complex, including the central catalytic ATPase Brg1, were markedly dysregulated between these two subpopulations (Fig. S3A). Real-time PCR quantification further confirmed the significant upregulation of *Brg1* in SMC (Fig. 3D).

To test whether Brg1 is involved in the phenotypic transition and SCLC metastasis, we generated the $Rb1^{L/L}/Trp53^{L/L}/Brg1^{L/L}$ (*RPB*) mouse cohort and performed comparative analyses of tumorigenesis, SCN signature enrichment and metastasis in parallel with the *RP* model (Fig. 3E). We found that *Brg1* knockout significantly reduced the tumor



Figure 2. Phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC contributes to SCLC metastasis. (A) Representative photos for HE staining, NCAM and CD44 IHC staining in the only liver metastasis from nude mice subcutaneously transplanted with the NCAM^{Io}CD44^{hi} cell lines (non-SMC) derived from the RP mouse model. Scale bars, 100 μ m. (B) Experimental scheme to test phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC. Primary non-SMC was derived from the RP mouse model and ectopically expressed GFP, and then used for subcutaneous transplantation in nude mice. The subcutaneous tumors were analyzed through oncosphere formation, and NCAM and CD44 IF staining. Oncospheres in cell culture were indicated. (C) Representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IF staining in subcutaneous tumors from nude mice transplanted with non-SMC-GFP cells. The NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} subpopulation indicated by white arrows were microscopically counted and the mean ratio of NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells is indicated in the top right corner. Scale bar, 25 μ m. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. (D) Experimental scheme to test the potential phenotypic transition using single-cell-derived clonal non-SMC-GFP. The subcutaneous tumors were then analyzed through oncosphere formation and NCAM and CD44 IF staining. Oncospheres in cell culture were indicated. (E) Representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IF staining in clonal non-SMC-GFP subcutaneous tumors. C1: clone #1; C2: clone #2. The NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} subpopulation indicated by white arrows was microscopically counted and the ratio of NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells is indicated in the top right corner. Scale bar, 25 μ m. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. (F) Statistical analyses of the incidence of lymph node (LN), lung and liver metastases in nude mice subcutaneously transplanted with transitioned SMC or non-SMC, which were derived from the clonal non-SMC-GFP subcutaneous tumors. n = 4 mice for transitioned SMC group and n = 5 mice for paired non-SMC group. P values were calculated by Pearson chi-square test. (G) Representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IHC staining of mouse livers in (F). The livers from paired non-SMC showed no metastasis. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

number (Fig. 3F, and Fig. S3B and C). Moreover, several SCN-signature-related genes, including Ascl1, Ttf1 and Sox11, were significantly downregulated in RPB tumors (Fig. 3G and Fig. S3B). IHC staining of the NCAM and CD44 showed that the percentage of primary tumors with an SMC expression pattern was also decreased in the RPB group (Fig. 3H and I and Table S5). Notably, no liver metastasis was detected in the RPB group in contrast to ~50% incidence in the RP model (Fig. 3J and K). These data support the conclusion that the SWI/SNF complex is important for SMC phenotypic transition and SCLC metastasis.

Epigenetic silencing of TAZ by SWI/SNF complex in SMC

To identify the downstream mediator of the SWI/SNF complex in contribution to SCLC phenotypic transition and metastasis, we first constructed the dysregulated transcriptional factor (TF) network through the integrative analyses of RNA-seq and ATAC-seq data as previously described [41]. We found that *Ascl1* and *Tead2* were top-ranked TFs with the highest number of dysregulated target genes in SMC and non-SMC respectively (Fig. 4A and B, Figs S4 and S5, and Table S6). ASCL1 is known as the pioneering TF that



Figure 3. Knockout of *Brg1* in the *RP* mouse model significantly abrogates SMC phenotypic transition and SCLC metastasis. (A) The enrichment of small-cell neuroendocrine (SCN) signature-related pathways in SMC and the enrichment of immune-related pathways in non-SMC. NES, normalized enrichment score. (B) Real-time PCR detection of SCN-signature-related genes including *Ascl1, Insm1, Neurod1, Chga, Sox11* and *Ttf1* in SMC vs. non-SMC. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (C) Trend plot (top) and heat map (bottom) showing ATAC-seq signal over 6 kb regions centered at the transcription start sites (TSS) in SMC and non-SMC. (D) Real-time PCR detection of *Brg1* expression in SMC vs. non-SMC. (E) Schematic illustration of the comparative analyses of *Rb1*^{L/L}/*Trp53*^{J/L} (*RP*) and *Rb1*^{L/L}/*Trp53*^{J/L} (*BrP*) mice.

Figure 3. *Continued.* (F) Statistical analyses of primary tumor numbers in *RP* and *RPB* mice at 32 weeks after Ad-Cre treatment. n = 18 mice for the *RP* group, n = 10 mice for the *RPB* group. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (G) Real-time PCR detection of *Brg1* and the SCN-signature-related genes in primary tumors from *RP* and *RPB* mice. n = 2 mice for each group. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (G) Real-time PCR detection of *Brg1* and the SCN-signature-related genes in primary tumors from *RP* and *RPB* mice. n = 2 mice for each group. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (H) Representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IHC staining in primary tumors from *RP* and *RPB* mice at 32 weeks after Ad-Cre treatment. Scale bar, 100 μ m. (I) Statistical analyses of the percentage of primary tumors with an NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} expression pattern in *RP* and *RPB* mice. The NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} tumors were defined when the lesions contained >50% of cells showing NCAM^{hi} and CD44^{lo/-} expression. A total of 56 tumors from 3 *RP* mice and 28 tumors from 4 *RPB* mice were analyzed. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (J) Representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IHC staining in livers of *RP* and *RPB* mice. The livers from *RPB* mice contained no metastasis. Scale bar, 100 μ m. (K) Liver metastasis incidence in *RP* and *RPB* mice at 32 weeks after Ad-Cre treatment. n = 18 mice for the *RPB* group, n = 10 mice for the *RPB* group. *P* value was calculated by Pearson chi-square test.

initializes neuronal reprogramming and is also included in the SCN biomarker genes [42]. TEAD family members are important TFs that function with cofactor YAP/TAZ in cancer malignant progression [30,43,44]. Gene set enrichment analysis revealed that the Hippo pathway was significantly enriched in non-SMC (Fig. 4C). Moreover, *Taz/Yap* stood out as top hits among the dysregulated components of the Hippo pathway (Fig. 4D). Using real-time PCR, we further confirmed the decreased expression of *Taz/Yap* in SMC vs. non-SMC cells (Fig. 4E and Fig. S3D).

We further asked whether Taz/Yap expression was regulated by Brg1. We found that Brg1 knockdown in SMC cells resulted in a significant upregulation of TAZ expression whereas the expression of YAP was downregulated (Fig. 4F and G and Fig. S3E). Such upregulation of TAZ was also detectable in RPB tumors in comparison to RP tumors (Fig. 4H and J and Table S5). Moreover, we observed an obvious decreased chromatin accessibility at the promoter region of Taz in SMC (Fig. S3F), which might explain the reduced TAZ expression (Fig. 1D). A similar but lesser degree of chromatin accessibility change was also observed at the Yap promoter region in SMC (Fig. S3F). In support of this, TAZ level was obviously downregulated in primary tumors at extensive stage (Fig. S1A). Moreover, knockdown of Arid1a or Arid2, another two important components of the SWI/SNF complex, obviously upregulated TAZ expression in SMC cells (Fig. S3G and H). However, YAP expression was only slightly upregulated with Arid2 knockdown, or even downregulated after Arid1a knockdown in SMC (Fig. S3G and H). Moreover, we performed BRG1 Chromatin Immunoprecipitation real-time quantitative PCR (ChIP-qPCR) analysis and found that BRG1 could bind to the promoter region of Taz (Fig. S3I). These results together demonstrate that TAZ is silenced during non-SMC-to-SMC transition through SWI/SNF-complex-mediated epigenetic reprogramming.

TAZ knockdown promotes non-SMC-to-SMC transition and accelerates SCLC metastasis

To explore the function of TAZ in phenotype transition and SCLC metastasis, we performed Taz knockdown in non-SMC for allograft assay (Fig. 5A). We found that *Taz* knockdown in non-SMC upregulated NCAM and SCN-related genes, whereas it downregulated CD44, without a dramatic effect upon Yap expression (Fig. 5B and Fig. S6A-C). Moreover, Taz knockdown also promoted the invasiveness in matrigel, colony formation in soft agar and anti-anoikis capability of non-SMC (Fig. 5C and E). IF staining of allograft tumors showed that Taz knockdown promoted the appearance of the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} pattern, resembling the SMC-derived tumors (Fig. 5F and Fig. S6D). Importantly, knockdown of Taz in non-SMC promoted distant organ metastasis (Fig. 5G). IHC staining further confirmed that these metastases displayed the SMC expression pattern (Fig. 5H). These data together demonstrate that TAZ downregulation promotes phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC and SCLC metastasis.

Ectopic TAZ expression reversely promotes the transition from SMC to non-SMC and alleviates SCLC metastasis

To test if the phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC is reversible, we ectopically expressed a constitutive activated TAZ mutant (TAZ-4SA) [30] in SMC (Fig. 5I). We found that the downstream targets of TAZ, including *Cyr61*, *Ctgf*, *Areg*, *Vim* and *Axl*, were significantly upregulated after ectopic TAZ-4SA expression in SMC (Fig. S6E). Ectopic TAZ-4SA but not YAP-5SA [33] expression in SMC dramatically downregulated NCAM and upregulated CD44 expression *in vitro*, indicative of the potential reversible transition from SMC to non-SMC (Fig. 5J, Fig. S6F, and Tables S7 and S8). Moreover, the SCN score and related gene expression also decreased after ectopic TAZ-4SA ex-



Figure 4. TAZ is epigenetically silenced by the SWI/SNF complex in SMC. (A) Schematic illustration of the integrative analyses of RNA-seq and ATACseq in SMC and non-SMC. Specific TF networks in SMC and non-SMC were constructed according to the PECA2 model (see details in Materials and Methods). TG, target genes. (B) Enriched TFs in SMC and non-SMC through integrative analyses of ATAC-seq and RNA-seq data ranked according to the numbers of dysregulated target genes. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) plot of the Hippo signaling pathway in SMC vs. non-SMC. (D) Heat map of RNA-seq data showing the relative expression of TFs and cofactors in the Hippo pathway in SMC vs. non-SMC. (E) Real-time PCR detection of

Figure 4. *Continued. Taz* in SMC and non-SMC. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (F) Real-time PCR detection of *Brg1* and *Taz* in SMC with or without *Brg1* knockdown. *Gapdh* served as the internal control. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (G) Western blot detection of BRG1 and TAZ levels in SMC with or without *Brg1* knockdown. *GAPDH* served as the internal control. (H) Real-time PCR detection of *Taz* in primary tumors from *RP* and *RPB* mice at 32 weeks after Ad-Cre treatment. *Gapdh* served as the internal control. (H) Real-time PCR detection of *Taz* in primary tumors from *RP* and *RPB* mice at 32 weeks after Ad-Cre treatment. *Gapdh* served as the internal control. n = 2 for each group. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (I) Representative photos of TAZ IHC staining in primary tumors from *RP* and *RPB* mice at 32 weeks after Ad-Cre treatment. Scale bar, 100 μ m. (J) Percentage of TAZ positive tumors in *RP* vs. *RPB* mice at 32 weeks after Ad-Cre treatment; 56 tumors from 3 *RP* mice and 28 tumors from 4 *RPB* mice were analyzed. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test.

pression (Fig. 5K and L and Tables S7 and S8). Functional assays showed that TAZ-4SA expression markedly suppressed the matrigel invasiveness, colony formation in soft agar and anti-anoikis capability of SMC (Fig. 5M and O). IF staining also showed that ectopic TAZ-4SA expression promoted the non-SMC expression pattern in comparison to SMC-derived subcutaneous tumors (Fig. 5P and Fig. S6G). More importantly, ectopic TAZ-4SA expression significantly suppressed the liver metastases of SMC (Fig. 5Q). Furthermore, all the liver metastases consistently showed no TAZ expression (Fig. S6H). These findings support the conclusion that ectopic TAZ expression promotes reverse transition from SMC to non-SMC and alleviates SCLC metastasis.

Low TAZ level is associated with SCN signature enrichment and predicts poor prognosis of SCLC patients

To evaluate whether our findings are clinically relevant, we downloaded a public RNA-sequencing dataset of 112 human SCLCs [2,45] and analyzed the correlation between TAZ and SCN signature, and single-cell sequencing data of liver metastasis [15], to detect whether SMC exists in metastatic lesion, and collected 101 Chinese surgical specimens for prognosis analyses (Fig. 6A). Bioinformatic analyses showed that human SCLC with low TAZ expression (TAZ^{lo}) displays a significantly higher SCN score (Fig. 6B and Table S9), indicative of strong metastasis capability. The SCN-signaturerelated pathways, including positive regulation of neurotransmitter transport, neurotransmitter secretion and synaptic vesicle membrane, were significantly enriched in TAZ^{lo} SCLC (Fig. S7A). Consistently, most SCN-signature-related genes, including ASCL1, INSM1 and CHGA, were significantly increased in TAZ^{lo} SCLC samples (Fig. 6C). Moreover, NCAM was increased, and CD44 was decreased in TAZ^{lo} SCLC specimens (Fig. 6C), indicative of the SMC pattern of these samples. TEAD also decreased in these TAZ^{lo} samples (Fig. 6C). Also, we observed a negative correlation between the SCNsignature-related genes and TAZ, and a positive correlation between *CD44, TEAD2* and *TAZ* (Fig. S7B and Table S9).

We further took advantage of the Ireland *et al.* single-cell RNA-sequencing data derived from SCLC liver metastasis [15]. Interestingly, we found that most SCLC metastatic cells showed high expression of *NCAM* with concurrent low expression of *CD44*, resembling the SMC pattern (Fig. 6D). Moreover, these cells showed high expression of the SCN signature markers *INSM1* and *NEUROD1*, similar to the SMC in the RP model (Fig. 6D). Importantly, low or no *TAZ* expression was detected in these metastasis cells (Fig. 6D), confirming the silence of *TAZ* in metastasis.

Lastly, we put together a patient cohort containing 101 Chinese SCLC surgical specimens for immunostaining analyses of NCAM, CD44 and TAZ. Most of these patients were at limited stage without distant metastases. We found that high NCAM or low CD44 levels were significantly associated with worse patient overall survival (OS) (Fig. 6E and Table S10). Moreover, TAZ^{lo} patients also showed a worse overall survival (Fig. 6F). These data together provide strong clinical evidence in support of our findings of SMC in the *RP* model.

DISCUSSION

SCLC is the most lethal form of lung cancer, characterized by highly metastatic capacity. A growing body of evidence based on mouse models has demonstrated that SCLC is highly heterogeneous with distinct subpopulations playing different roles during malignant progression and metastasis [5-15]. In this study, we identify the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells in the RP model as the SCLC metastasizing cells. We further reveal that the SMCs are progressively transitioned from non-SMCs during SCLC malignant progression and metastasis. Our data further show that the SWI/SNF-complex-mediated epigenetic downregulation of TAZ is essential for driving such a phenotype transition. Moreover, TAZ activation is sufficient to drive the reverse transition from SMC to non-SMC and thus alleviate SCLC metastasis. With the support of clinical specimen analyses, our



Figure 5. TAZ functions as a critical molecular switch in regulating the phenotypic transition and SCLC metastasis. (A) Schematic illustration of the comparative analyses of non-SMC with or without *Taz* knockdown. (B) Western blot detection of TAZ, NCAM and CD44 levels in non-SMC with or without *Taz* knockdown (left). The statistical analyses of the clone sizes were performed using Image J software. Scale bars, 100 μ m. *P* values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (D) Representative photos (left) and number (right) of the soft-agar colonies of non-SMC with or without *Taz* knockdown. Scale bars, 100 μ m. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (E) Western blot detection of cleaved caspase3 (CC3) in anti-anoikis assay of non-SMC with or without *Taz* knockdown. TUBULIN served as the internal control. (F) Representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IF staining in subcutaneous tumors from nude mice transplanted with non-SMC with or without *Taz* knockdown. Scale bar, 25 μ m. (G) Metastasis incidence and (H) representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IHC staining in livers from nude mice transplanted by non-SMC with or without *Taz* knockdown. *n* = 6 for each group. *P* value was calculated by Pearson chi-square test. Scale bar, 100 μ m. (I) Schematic illustration of the comparative analyses of SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression. (J) Western blot detection of TAZ, NCAM and CD44 levels in SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression.

Figure 5. *Continued.* Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (L) Real-time PCR detection of the SCN-signature-related genes in SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression. *Gapdh* served as the internal control. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (M) Representative photos of the matrigel invasiveness of SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression (left). The statistical analyses of the clone sizes were performed using Image J software. Scale bar, 100 μ m. *P* values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (N) Representative photos (left) and statistical analyses (right) of soft-agar colonies of SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression. Scale bar, 100 μ m. Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (O) Western blot detection of CC3 in an anti-anoikis assay of SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression. TUBULIN served as the internal control. (P) Representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IF staining in subcutaneous tumors from nude mice transplanted with SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression. *scale* bar, 100 Metastasis incidence (left) and representative photos of NCAM and CD44 IHC staining of liver metastasis (right) in nude mice transplanted with SMC with or without ectopic TAZ-4SA expression. *n* = 6 mice for the control group, *n* = 7 mice for the TAZ-4SA group. Scale bar, 100 μ m. *P* value was calculated by Pearson chi-square test.

data demonstrate that the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells are mainly responsible for SCLC metastasis and the SWI/SNF-TAZ axis importantly orchestrates SCLC plasticity and metastasis (Fig. 6G).

To assess SCLC heterogeneity in the RP model, we use both NE marker NCAM and mesenchymal marker CD44 to do the immunostaining and FACS analyses, and identify the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells as the SCLC metastasizing cells. A previous study shows that mouse SCLC cells contain both NE and non-NE subpopulations [9]. However, neither subpopulation alone can metastasize and a synergetic cooperation is necessary for distant organ metastasis [9]. In contrast, our data show that the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells harbor strong metastasis capability in allograft assay, and the tumors metastasize into multiple distant organs including the lymph node, lung and liver. Since the SMC defined here also expresses classical NE biomarkers, we reason that the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells might belong to the NE subpopulation, but with higher metastasis potential. In other words, the NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells might represent the highly metastatic subpopulation of the NE subtype. In addition, we used primary NCAM^{hi}CD44^{lo/-} cells and demonstrated their robust metastatic capability, whereas Calbo et al. [9] employed tumor-derived cell lines for metastasis assays. Future efforts looking into the heterogeneity of the NE subtype will hopefully uncover more subpopulations linked to SCLC malignant progression and metastasis.

We also find that phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC contributes to SCLC metastasis, which closely links cancer plasticity and malignant progression. Indeed, recent data also show, during SCLC drug resistance acquisition, that Notch signaling promotes the transition from an NE to non-NE subtype and thus provides a niche for resisting drug treatment [10]. A similar transition from NE to non-NE subtypes has also been found in another recent study [15]. Metastasis and drug resistance are two major hurdles in clinical SCLC management. Understanding molecular mechanisms involved in the phenotypic transition in these two important events will hopefully provide a solid base for the development of a novel therapeutic strategy to treat SCLC in the clinic.

Through integrative analyses of gene expression profiling and chromatin accessibility, we find that SWI/SNF complexes play an important role during non-SMC-to-SMC transition. Although the SWI/SNF complex is generally considered to be tumor suppressive [46], our results indicate that this complex has a different role in SCLC progression. Knockout of its ATPase BRG1 inhibits such phenotypic transition and cancer metastasis, indicating the oncogenic function of the SWI/SNF complex as well as BRG1 in SCLC. In agreement with our observation, a previous study reported that BRG1 is important for the activation of NE transcriptional programs to upregulate MYC targets, and depletion of BRG1 strongly hinders cell growth, specifically in MAX-deficient SCLC tumors [25]. Consistently, we find that BRG1 knockdown suppresses neuronal gene expression and several SCN-signature-related genes in the SMC subpopulation. Likewise, the dual roles of ARID1A have also been revealed in cancer [47]. Thus, the exact function of the SWI/SNF complex and its subunit as tumor suppressor or oncogenic driver might be cell-type or genetic-context dependent and vary with the type of malignancy.

We further find that TAZ is an important downstream mediator of the SWI/SNF complex during SCLC phenotypic transition. Although both YAP and TAZ are significantly upregulated in non-SMC, only TAZ is significantly upregulated when Brg1 is knocked down in SMC. Similar findings are also observed when Arid1a or Arid2 is knocked down. Consistently, Brg1 knockout in an RP mouse upregulates TAZ and significantly inhibits SMC appearance and SCLC metastasis. Moreover, we find that low TAZ expression is associated with SCN signature enrichment. In agreement with these observations, previous studies have shown that high YAP/TAZ expression correlates with decreased NE markers [48], and YAP loss defines NE differentiation [49]. Meanwhile, NE lineage markers are dominant in the SCN signature, which is significantly



Figure 6. Low TAZ level is correlated with SCN signature enrichment and predicts poor prognosis of SCLC patients. (A) Schematic illustration of the analyses of human SCLC specimens. (B) SCN score of human SCLC specimens with high or low TAZ mRNA level. The RNA-seq data were downloaded from a public database (GSE69091 and EGAS00001000334). Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* value was calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (C) Correlation between individual SCN-signature-related genes, CD44 or TEAD2 expression with high or low TAZ level in human SCLC (GSE69091 and EGAS00001000334). Data are shown as mean \pm S.E.M. *P* values were calculated by unpaired two-tailed *t* test. (D) Clustering and the NCAM, CD44, TAZ, NEUROD1 and INSM1 expression of the single cell sequencing data (GSM4558305) of a liver biopsy from an SCLC patient. (E and F) Representative photos of (E) NCAM, CD44 and (F) TAZ IHC staining in Chinese SCLC specimens (top) and survival curves of high or low expression of NCAM, CD44 or TAZ with overall survival (OS) (bottom). Scale bar, 100 μ m. *P* values were calculated by Kaplan-Meier analysis with log-rank test. (G) Working model illustrating the essential role of SWI/SNF-complex-mediated TAZ expression in controlling the phenotypic transition from non-SMC to SMC and SCLC metastasis. Disruption of the SWI/SNF complex through BRG1 knockout promotes TAZ upregulation and thus inhibits the phenotypic transition and cancer metastasis.

associated with SCLC malignant progression and metastasis [38,50–52]. Of course, considering the redundant function and concurrent decrease of YAP and TAZ, it remains possible that YAP may also contribute to SCLC phenotypic transition and metastasis albeit independent of the SWI/SNF complex. Future efforts will be necessary to clarify the detailed regulatory mechanisms underlying YAP expression during SCLC phenotypic transition and metastasis.

Our findings from loss-of-function and gainof-function experiments support the tumorsuppressive role of TAZ in SCLC. YAP/TAZ is well established as oncogenic driver. Nonetheless, accumulated evidence has recently revealed the tumor-suppressive function of YAP/TAZ in multiple cancer types [53]. For instance, YAP restricts Wnt signals during intestinal regeneration, which results in rapid loss of intestinal crypts, and YAP loss promotes hyperplasia and microadenoma development [54]. In hematological cancer, low YAP level prevents nuclear ABL1-induced apoptosis and rescued YAP expression triggers cell death [55]. Another study shows that the growth inhibitory effect caused by LATS1/2 deletion is due to uncontrolled activation of YAP in colon cancer [56]. A recent report demonstrates that LATS1/2 promotes breast cancer cell growth through inhibition of YAP/TAZ [34]. Our findings with regard to the tumor-suppressive function of TAZ are also supported by clinical specimen analyses. Single-cell RNA-sequencing data support that the cancer cells from SCLC liver metastasis mainly display the SMC expression pattern and these metastatic cells show low or no expression of TAZ. Moreover, low TAZ level is significantly associated with poor patient survival. These data together support that TAZ works as a tumor suppressor in controlling SCLC plasticity and metastasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RP and RPB mouse cohort generation, maintenance and analyses

Mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free environment at the Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, and treated in accordance with protocols conforming to the ARRIVE guidelines and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (approval number: IBCB0011). Conditional knockout mice including $Trp53^{L/L}$, $Rb1^{L/L}$ [3] and $Brg1^{L/L}$ [57] alleles were generously provided by Drs. Tyler Jacks, Ronald A. DePinho and Pierre Chambon. Mice were crossed to obtain $Rb1^{L/L}/Trp53^{L/L}$ (RP)

and $Rb1^{L/L}/Trp53^{L/L}/Brg1^{L/L}$ (*RPB*) cohorts. All experimental mice were maintained on a mixed genetic background as previously described [58]. Mice at 6–8 weeks old were treated with Adenovirus-CMV-Cre recombinase (Ad-Cre, 2 × 10⁶ p.f.u.) by intratracheal intubation [59] to allow for Cre-lox mediated recombination of floxed alleles. Mouse tumors were used for immunostaining, FACS analyses, genomic DNA extraction and genotyping as previously described [3,57]. The primer sequences are shown in the supplementary data.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 16.0 or GraphPad Prism 5/7 software (San Diego, CA). The significance of differences was determined using a two-tailed Student's t test or chi-square test. Kaplan-Meier analysis with log-rank test was used to assess patients' survival between subgroups. P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Sequence data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with the primary accession codes GSE158091 (ATAC-seq of SMC and non-SMC), GSE158290 (RNA-seq of SMC and non-SMC) and GSE158293 (RNA-seq of SMC-Ctrl and SMC-TAZ-4SA).

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at NSR online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Drs. Tyler Jacks and Ronald A. DePinho for the RP mouse model and Dr. Pierre Chambon for the $BrgI^{L/L}$ mouse. We are grateful to Drs. Fuming Li and Xiangkun Han for technical assistance and Drs. Carla F. Kim, Dangsheng Li, Cheng Li, Yujiang Geno Shi, Rui Fang and Nella Dost for constructive comments.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81871875 and 82173340 to L.H., 82030083, 81872312, 82011540007 and 31621003 to H.J., 81402371 to Y.J., 12025107 to Y.W.), the National Basic Research Program of China (2017YFA0505501 and 2020YFA0803300 to H.J.), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB19020201 to H.J.), the Basic Frontier Scientific Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (ZDB5-LY-SM006 to H.J.), the International Cooperation Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (153D31KYSB20190035 to H.J.), the Innovative Research Team of High-Level Local Universities in Shanghai (SSMU-ZLCX20180500 to H.J.) and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (21ZR1470300 to L.H.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.J. and Y.J. conceived the idea and designed the experiments. Y.J., Q.Z., Y.F., T.X. and H.H. performed all experiments and analyzed the data. W.Z., Y.W., J.C., Y.C. and L.C. performed the bioinformatics analyses. P.Z., L.J. and Y.H. provided human SCLC specimens. C.G., L.Z., K.K.W., R.K.T., H.Z., X.Z., D.G., J.Q., F.L. and X.Y.L. provided technical assistance and helpful comments. H.J., Y.J. and L.H. wrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Gazdar AF, Bunn PA and Minna JD. Small-cell lung cancer: what we know, what we need to know and the path forward. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2017; **17**: 725–37.
- George J, Lim JS and Jang SJ *et al.* Comprehensive genomic profiles of small cell lung cancer. *Nature* 2015; **524**: 47–53.
- Meuwissen R, Linn SC and Linnoila RI *et al.* Induction of small cell lung cancer by somatic inactivation of both Trp53 and Rb1 in a conditional mouse model. *Cancer Cell* 2003; 4: 181–9.
- Schaffer BE, Park KS and Yiu G et al. Loss of p130 accelerates tumor development in a mouse model for human small-cell lung carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2010; **70**: 3877–83.
- McFadden DG, Papagiannakopoulos T and Taylor-Weiner A *et al.* Genetic and clonal dissection of murine small cell lung carcinoma progression by genome sequencing. *Cell* 2014; **156**: 1298– 311.
- Semenova EA, Kwon MC and Monkhorst K *et al.* Transcription factor NFIB is a driver of small cell lung cancer progression in mice and marks metastatic disease in patients. *Cell Rep* 2016; 16: 631–43.
- Denny SK, Yang D and Chuang CH *et al.* Nfib promotes metastasis through a widespread increase in chromatin accessibility. *Cell* 2016; **166**: 328–42.
- B. Dooley AL, Winslow MM and Chiang DY *et al*. Nuclear factor I/B is an oncogene in small cell lung cancer. *Genes Dev* 2011; 25: 1470–5.
- Calbo J, van Montfort E and Proost N *et al.* A functional role for tumor cell heterogeneity in a mouse model of small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2011; **19**: 244–56.
- Lim JS, Ibaseta A and Fischer MM *et al.* Intratumoural heterogeneity generated by Notch signalling promotes small-cell lung cancer. *Nature* 2017; 545: 360–4.
- Jahchan NS, Lim JS and Bola B *et al.* Identification and targeting of long-term tumor-propagating cells in small cell lung cancer. *Cell Rep* 2016; **16**: 644–56.
- Kwon MC, Proost N and Song J-Y *et al.* Paracrine signaling between tumor subclones of mouse SCLC: a critical role of ETS transcription factor Pea3 in facilitating metastasis. *Genes Dev* 2015; **29**: 1587–92.

- Wu N, Jia D and Ibrahim AH *et al.* NFIB overexpression cooperates with Rb/p53 deletion to promote small cell lung cancer. *Oncotarget* 2016; 7: 57514–24.
- Rudin CM, Poirier JT and Byers LA *et al.* Molecular subtypes of small cell lung cancer: a synthesis of human and mouse model data. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2019; **19**: 289–97.
- Ireland AS, Micinski AM and Kastner DW *et al.* MYC drives temporal evolution of small cell lung cancer subtypes by reprogramming neuroendocrine fate. *Cancer Cell* 2020; **38**: 60–78 e12.
- Gay CM, Stewart CA and Park EM *et al.* Patterns of transcription factor programs and immune pathway activation define four major subtypes of SCLC with distinct therapeutic vulnerabilities. *Cancer Cell* 2021; **39**: 346–60.
- Peterson CL and Herskowitz I. Characterization of the yeast SWI1, SWI2, and SWI3 genes, which encode a global activator of transcription. *Cell* 1992; 68: 573–83.
- Mashtalir N, D'Avino AR and Michel BC *et al*. Modular organization and assembly of SWI/SNF family chromatin remodeling complexes. *Cell* 2018; **175**: 1272–88.
- Mittal P and Roberts CWM. The SWI/SNF complex in cancer biology, biomarkers and therapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2020; **17**: 435–48.
- Wong AK, Shanahan F and Chen Y *et al.* BRG1, a component of the SWI-SNF complex, is mutated in multiple human tumor cell lines. *Cancer Res* 2000; **60**: 6171–7.
- Strobeck MW, Knudsen KE and Fribourg AF *et al.* BRG-1 is required for RB-mediated cell cycle arrest. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000; **97**: 7748–53.
- Wu Q, Lian JB and Stein JL *et al.* The BRG1 ATPase of human SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling enzymes as a driver of cancer. *Epigenomics* 2017; 9: 919–31.
- Tsuda M, Fukuda A and Roy N *et al.* The BRG1/SOX9 axis is critical for acinar cell-derived pancreatic tumorigenesis. *J Clin Invest* 2018; **128**: 3475–89.
- Huang LY, Zhao J and Chen H *et al.* SCF(FBW7)-mediated degradation of Brg1 suppresses gastric cancer metastasis. *Nat Commun* 2018; **9**: 3569.
- Romero OA, Torres-Diz M and Pros E *et al*. MAX inactivation in small cell lung cancer disrupts MYC-SWI/SNF programs and is synthetic lethal with BRG1. *Cancer Discov* 2014; **4**: 292–303.
- Yu FX, Zhao B and Guan KL. Hippo pathway in organ size control, tissue homeostasis, and cancer. *Cell* 2015; 163: 811–28.
- Zanconato F, Forcato M and Battilana G *et al.* Genome-wide association between YAP/TAZ/TEAD and AP-1 at enhancers drives oncogenic growth. *Nat Cell Biol* 2015; **17**: 1218–27.
- Benham-Pyle BW, Pruitt BL and Nelson WJ. Cell adhesion. Mechanical strain induces E-cadherin-dependent Yap1 and betacatenin activation to drive cell cycle entry. *Science* 2015; 348: 1024–7.
- Zhao B, Li L and Wang L *et al.* Cell detachment activates the Hippo pathway via cytoskeleton reorganization to induce anoikis. *Genes Dev* 2012; 26: 54–68.
- Lei QY, Zhang H and Zhao B *et al*. TAZ promotes cell proliferation and epithelial-mesenchymal transition and is inhibited by the hippo pathway. *Mol Cell Biol* 2008; 28: 2426–36.

- Lau AN, Curtis SJ and Fillmore CM *et al.* Tumor-propagating cells and yap/taz activity contribute to lung tumor progression and metastasis. *EMBO J* 2014; 33: 468–81.
- Strano S, Monti O and Pediconi N *et al*. The transcriptional coactivator Yesassociated protein drives p73 gene-target specificity in response to DNA damage. *Mol Cell* 2005; 18: 447–59.
- Huang H, Zhang W and Pan Y *et al.* YAP suppresses lung squamous cell carcinoma progression via deregulation of the DNp63-GPX2 axis and ROS accumulation. *Cancer Res* 2017; **77**: 5769–81.
- Ma S, Wu Z and Yang F *et al.* Hippo signalling maintains ER expression and ER(+) breast cancer growth. *Nature* 2021; 591: E1–10.
- Yuan M, Tomlinson V and Lara R *et al.* Yes-associated protein (YAP) functions as a tumor suppressor in breast. *Cell Death Differ* 2008; 15: 1752–9.
- Gao Y, Zhang W and Han X *et al.* YAP inhibits squamous transdifferentiation of Lkb1-deficient lung adenocarcinoma through ZEB2-dependent DNp63 repression. *Nat Commun* 2014; 5: 4629.
- Maues De Paula A, Vasiljevic A and Giorgi R *et al.* A diagnosis of giant cell-rich tumour of bone is supported by p63 immunohistochemistry, when more than 50% of cells is stained. *Virchows Arch* 2014; 465: 487–94.
- Balanis NG, Sheu KM and Esedebe FN *et al.* Pan-cancer convergence to a smallcell neuroendocrine phenotype that shares susceptibilities with hematological malignancies. *Cancer Cell* 2019; **36**: 17–34.
- Flavahan WA, Gaskell E and Bernstein BE. Epigenetic plasticity and the hallmarks of cancer. *Science* 2017; 357: eaal2380.
- Yuan S, Norgard RJ and Stanger BZ. Cellular plasticity in cancer. *Cancer Discov* 2019; 9: 837–51.
- Duren Z, Chen X and Jiang R *et al.* Modeling gene regulation from paired expression and chromatin accessibility data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2017; **114**: E4914–23.
- Pang ZP, Yang N and Vierbuchen T *et al.* Induction of human neuronal cells by defined transcription factors. *Nature* 2011; **476**: 220–3.
- Zhao B, Li L and Lei Q *et al.* The Hippo-YAP pathway in organ size control and tumorigenesis: an updated version. *Genes Dev* 2010; 24: 862–74.
- Zanconato F, Cordenonsi M and Piccolo S. YAP/TAZ at the roots of cancer. Cancer Cell 2016; 29: 783–803.

- Rudin CM, Durinck S and Stawiski EW *et al.* Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies SOX2 as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer. *Nat Genet* 2012; 44: 1111–6.
- Wanior M, Kramer A and Knapp S *et al.* Exploiting vulnerabilities of SWI/SNF chromatin remodelling complexes for cancer therapy. *Oncogene* 2021; 40: 3637–54.
- Sun X, Wang SC and Wei Y *et al.* Arid1a has context-dependent oncogenic and tumor suppressor functions in liver cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2017; **32**: 574–89.
- Horie M, Saito A and Ohshima M *et al*. YAP and TAZ modulate cell phenotype in a subset of small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Sci* 2016; **107**: 1755–66.
- Ito T, Matsubara D and Tanaka I *et al.* Loss of YAP1 defines neuroendocrine differentiation of lung tumors. *Cancer Sci* 2016; **107**: 1527–38.
- Borromeo MD, Savage TK and Kollipara RK *et al.* ASCL1 and NEUROD1 reveal heterogeneity in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors and regulate distinct genetic programs. *Cell Rep* 2016; **16**: 1259–72.
- Osada H, Tatematsu Y and Yatabe Y *et al.* ASH1 gene is a specific therapeutic target for lung cancers with neuroendocrine features. *Cancer Res* 2005; 65: 10680–5.
- Osborne JK, Larsen JE and Shields MD *et al.* NeuroD1 regulates survival and migration of neuroendocrine lung carcinomas via signaling molecules TrkB and NCAM. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013; **110**: 6524–9.
- Moroishi T, Hansen CG and Guan KL. The emerging roles of YAP and TAZ in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2015; 15: 73–9.
- Barry ER, Morikawa T and Butler BL *et al.* Restriction of intestinal stem cell expansion and the regenerative response by YAP. *Nature* 2013; 493: 106–10.
- Cottini F, Hideshima T and Xu C *et al.* Rescue of Hippo coactivator YAP1 triggers DNA damage-induced apoptosis in hematological cancers. *Nat Med* 2014; 20: 599–606.
- Pan WW, Moroishi T and Koo JH *et al.* Cell type-dependent function of LATS1/2 in cancer cell growth. *Oncogene* 2019; **38**: 2595–610.
- Ding Y, Li N and Dong B *et al.* Chromatin remodeling ATPase BRG1 and PTEN are synthetic lethal in prostate cancer. *J Clin Invest* 2019; **129**: 759–73.
- Christensen CL, Kwiatkowski N and Abraham BJ *et al.* Targeting transcriptional addictions in small cell lung cancer with a covalent CDK7 inhibitor. *Cancer Cell* 2014; 26: 909–22.
- DuPage M, Dooley AL and Jacks T. Conditional mouse lung cancer models using adenoviral or lentiviral delivery of Cre recombinase. *Nat Protoc* 2009; 4: 1064–72.