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#### Abstract

Connecting two porphyrin units in a rigid linear fashion, without any undesired electron delocalization or communication between the chromophores, remains a synthetic challenge. Herein, a broad library of functionally diverse multi-porphyrin arrays that incorporate the non-traditional rigid linker groups cubane and bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane (BCP) is described. A robust, reliable, and versatile synthetic procedure was employed to access porphyrin-cubane/BCPporphyrin arrays, representing the largest non-polymeric structures available for cubane/BCP derivatives. These reactions demonstrate considerable substrate scope, from utilization of small phenyl moieties to large porphyrin rings, with varying lengths and different angles. To control conforma-


#### Abstract

tional flexibility, amide bonds were introduced between the bridgehead carbon of BCP/cubane and the porphyrin rings. Through varying the orientation of the substituents around the amide bond of cubane/BCP, different intermolecular interactions were identified through single crystal X-ray analysis. These studies revealed non-covalent interactions that are the first-of-their-kind including a unique iodine-oxygen interaction between cubane units. These supramolecular architectures indicate the possibility to mimic a protein structure due to the $s p^{3}$ rigid scaffolds (BCP or cubane) that exhibit the essential conformational space for protein function while simultaneously providing amide bonds for molecular recognition.


## Introduction

Defined molecular architectures are a prerequisite for the logical construction of multifunctional chemical systems. In carbon-based covalent systems the individual effector units are typically linked by either conjugating sp - or $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized units or by flexible $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized bridges. The use of short, robust, and spatially defined aliphatic linker units opens new

[^0]avenues with their potential application as molecular isolators, resistors and rigid scaffolds, alongside the benefit of their inherent materials properties.

Synthetic chemists are continually seeking to prepare new rigid multi-porphyrin architectures due to their potential applications as organic conducting materials, near-infrared (near-IR) dyes, nonlinear optical materials, and molecular wires. ${ }^{[1]} \mathrm{A}$ number of synthetic strategies have been employed to access these multi-porphyrin arrays using approaches such as: (a) connecting the porphyrin units via phenylene, ethynyl, ethenyl or alkane linkers ${ }^{[2]}$ or (b) connecting two or more meso-mesolinked porphyrin units via oxidative fusing reactions. ${ }^{[3]} \mathrm{Howev-}$ er, most of the porphyrin arrays reported have problems such as poor solubility, synthetic inaccessibility, and conformational heterogeneity. In meso-meso-linked porphyrin arrays, the porphyrin units are orthogonal to one another which can cause a significant energy/charge sink. Furthermore, porphyrin arrays joined directly by $\pi$-conjugated linkers exhibit significantly altered UV/Vis spectra, indicating very strong electronic coupling, that is, loss of the characteristic of individual units due to delocalization of $\pi$-electrons. Hence, it is necessary to design a molecule which can predictably exhibit a desired energy- and/or electron-transfer process that is achievable without effecting electronic delocalization and/or an energy sink. ${ }^{[1-3]}$ A straightforward strategy for avoiding any undesirable overlap of the $\pi$-systems may be to attach two porphyrin skeletons through non-traditional rigid scaffolds such as bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane (BCP) or cubane. These saturated entities are transparent to UV/Vis light and exhibit specific three-dimen-
sional (3D) arrangements of the bridgehead carbons. This positions the chromophoric units in a rigid and linear fashion without any electron delocalization or conjugation such as to potentially reduce the drawbacks previously outlined. ${ }^{[4]}$

Herein, we report the first synthesis of porphyrin dimers that utilize either BCP or cubane as a rigid linear scaffold (Figure 1). This library of BCP/cubane porphyrin arrays contains some of the largest non-polymeric structures available for cubane and $B C P$.


Figure 1. Schematic representation of synthesized porphyrin arrays.

1,4-Disubstituted cubane is a well-known bioisostere of para-substituted phenylene rings due to the similar distance across the cube body diagonal of $2.72 \AA$ vs. $2.79 \AA$ for a benzene ring. ${ }^{[5]} \mathrm{BCP}$ is the smallest member of the bicyclic alkane family, in terms of actual size rather than in terms of atoms present. Indeed, BCP exhibits the shortest non-bonded distance between bridgehead carbon atoms of $1.85 \AA$, which is closer in bond length to ethyne ( $1.20 \AA$ ) ${ }^{[6]}$ The 3D, compact, electronically isolating, and saturated structures of cubane and BCP enable them to avoid undesirable $\pi-\pi$ stacking which may lead to improved solubility of chromophoric arrays. Despite their desirable well-defined dimensions and rigid-rod geometries, the chemistry of these moieties is undeveloped, particularly in terms of functionalization or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$-activation at the bridgehead carbons. ${ }^{[7]}$
$B C P$ and cubane are transparent to UV/Vis light and most often their application is restricted to bioisosteres ${ }^{[8]}$ and crystal engineering. ${ }^{[9]}$ The structural pre-organization and high thermal stability of these compounds make them attractive candidates by which to link two chromophoric units, but their use has been neglected so far. ${ }^{\left[7,{ }^{[0]]}\right.}$ The limited use of these nontraditional scaffolds is due to perceived complex synthetic procedures and limited commercial supply chain of precursors. In addition, appending rigid $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ linkers as connectors between two chromophoric units is synthetically demanding.

Recent synthetic developments by Baran, Aggarwal and ourselves include methods based on decarboxylative $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupling to functionalize the bridgehead carbons of cubane and BCP. ${ }^{[11]}$ Knochel and co-workers have also reported an efficient method to synthesize 1,3 -bisaryl substituted BCPs. ${ }^{[12]}$ Additionally, amide bonds have been introduced at the bridgehead carbons of cubane and $B C P,{ }^{[13]}$ however most of these reported moieties were used as bioisosteres ${ }^{[8]}$ or in crystal engineering. ${ }^{[9]}$ Yet, these compounds also have the potential to be utilized as molecular building blocks. Moreover, cubane/BCP could be implemented as rigid scaffolds linking two chromophores while
providing a synthetic handle for molecular recognition of small molecules or ions.
Building upon the progress made in synthetically accessing these non-traditional rigid scaffolds, we envisioned appending BCP/cubane between two porphyrin units in a conformationally controlled manner. The utilization of semi-rigid amide bonds for the attachment of a porphyrin skeleton to a rigid scaffold introduces a controlled conformational flexibility into porphyrin dyad(s). This allows significant modulation of the photophysical properties in the porphyrin dyad(s) through the coordination of transition metal(II) ions (Figure 2). By varying the distance and angles between the two chromophores it is hoped that the extent of the impact that cation coordination has on the photophysical properties of a multi-chromophoric tweezer-like system can be investigated.


Figure 2. Schematic representation of potential interactions between amideconnected $\mathrm{BCP} /$ cubane derivatives: (a) H -bonding interactions; (b) iodine $\cdots$ oxygen interactions; (c) intermolecular axial interactions; (d) potential interaction site with external metal ions.

As cubane and BCP are rigid and relatively inert scaffold, the amide bonds are the only variable in the system and a true measurement of their role in the conformational changes can thus be undertaken. We herein present porphyrin units bridged through non-traditional BCP/cubane connectors as a test case for multichromophoric and/or electroactive systems in general.

## Results and Discussion

## Synthesis and characterization

The amide bond is crucially important as one of the main chemical linkages found in biologically and pharmaceutically active compounds. ${ }^{[14]}$ Amide bonds exhibit a planar trans configuration of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ moieties and undergo very little rotation or twisting around the bond due to amido-imido tautomerization. The semi-rigid nature of amide bonds enables conformational control over the molecular architecture of the compound they are part of courtesy of hydrogen bonds and the coordination of metal ions (Figure 2). To this end, the synthetic design of the current project focused on the functionalization of the bridgehead $B C P /$ cubane carbons through amide bonds. Firstly, we started with the synthesis of small rigid building blocks. Carboxylic acid derivatives of cubane ( 1 and 2 ) were reacted ${ }^{[15]}$ with substituted aryl amines 3-12 to access the amide derivatives 13-29. The use of HATU/HOAt as an activating agent in presence of DIPEA in DMF at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the most suitable synthetic reaction condition by which to access amide substituted cubanes (13-29).

The reaction of 4-methoxycarbonylcubane-1-carboxylic acid (1) with 4-iodoaniline (3) proceeded smoothly in yield of $61 \%$. Similarly, we could couple 4-ethynylaniline (4) and 4-methoxy-carbonylcubane-1-carboxylic acid (1) to access cubane 14 in a $37 \%$ yield. Further, cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid was reacted with anilines 3 and 4 in above mentioned reaction conditions to afford the cubanes 15 and 17 in yields of $39 \%$ and $67 \%$. The preliminary substrate scope was investigated by incorporating various combinations of structural motifs such as meta-iodo/ethynyl-substituted anilines to access the amide substituted cubanes 16 and 18. (Scheme 1).

Generally, meta-/para-ethynyl-substituted anilines reacted more efficiently with cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (2) compared to those with iodo substituents. Attempts to purify the crude cubane compounds (13-18) via column chromatography, using silica gel, were mostly unfruitful due to degradation of the product on the silica gel. However, recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and excess hexane proved very effective in removing any remaining aniline and other impurities.

To demonstrate the potential value of this method, we next examined the scope of meso-amine-substituted porphyrins in amide coupling reactions with cubane 1 and 2. 5-(4'-amino-phenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (7) and its zinc(II) complex (8) were synthesized by mono-nitration of TPP followed by reduction using procedures reported in the literature. ${ }^{[16]}$ Table 1 outlines the different reaction conditions employed to optimize the amide coupling reaction between 1 and 7 . The use of HATU/HOAt in presence of DIPEA furnished the amidecoupled cubane-porphyrin array 21 in $79 \%$ isolated yield. However, the use of other activating agents such as DIC and ethylchloroformate in the presence of TEA or DMAP also resulted in the formation of product 21, albeit in lower yields of $43 \%$ and $31 \%$, respectively (Table 1). Reaction of porphyrin 8 with cubane 1 shows the neat conversion of porphyrin 8 into the cubane porphyrin array 19 in $52 \%$ yield.


Scheme 1. Amide coupling of cubane moieties 1 and 2 with amines 7-12.

Notable compounds 19 and 21 were subjected to further functionalization. Base hydrolysis of zinc and free base substituted porphyrin 19 and 21 yielded the carboxylic acid derivatives of cubane-porphyrin array 20 and 22 respectively, in quantitative yields. Similarly, the reaction of cubane-1,4- dicarboxylic acid (2) with 7 and 8 resulted in access to the very first porphyrin-cubane-porphyrin arrays 25 and 26 , respectively. Next we attempted the synthesis of $\mathrm{Zn}^{\prime \prime}$-porphyrin-cubanefree base porphyrin array 27 via amide coupling reaction of amine substituted porphyrin 7 and carboxylic acid substituted cubane porphyrin 20. Use of optimized reaction conditions resulted in unsymmetric dimer 27 in a $55 \%$ yield.
To overcome the low solubility of phenyl substituted porphyrins we changed to more soluble $4^{\prime}$-methylphenyl substituted amine porphyrins for further reactions. [5-(4'-Aminophe-

Table 1. Test C-H activation reactions for the amide coupling of 4-(me-thoxycarbonyl)cubane-1-carboxylic acid (1) with 5-(4'-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (7).

| Cubane [equiv] |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Activating agent [equiv] | Base | Sol. | $t$ [h] | Yield |
| 2.0 | DIC (1.3) | DMAP | THF | 48 | 65 |
| 2.0 | ECF ${ }^{[1]}$ (1.3) | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | 120 | 75 |
| 6.0 | DIC (1.3) | DMAP | THF | 48 | 65 |
| 3.0 | HATU/HOAt (1.3/1.3) | DIPEA | DMF | 24 | 25 |

nylacetylene)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (9), [5-(4'-(4'"-ethynylaniline)phenyl)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (10), [5-(3'-amino-phenylacetylene)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (11) and [5-(2'-aminophenylacetylene)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (12) were synthesized via modified Sonogashira reaction conditions by reacting the corresponding ethynyl anilines with [5-iodo-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.15 equiv) and Cul ( 0.3 equiv) in THF/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$. Amide coupling of 9 and cubane 1 proceed efficiently and provide convenient access to porphyrin 23 in isolated yield of $75 \%$. Similarly, porphyrin 24 was achieved in $56 \%$ yield by reacting porphyrin 10 with cubane 1. Additionally, we tried the synthesis of cubane linked porphyrin dimers by using meta- and ortho-substituted aniline 11 and 12. Reaction of 11 and cubane 2 resulted into the formation of dimer 28 in $36 \%$ yield whereas ortho-dimer 29 was synthesized in $50 \%$ yield via reaction of ortho-amine substituted porphyrin 12 and cubane 2. This robust reaction demonstrated considerable scope, from the utilization of small benzene rings to large porphyrin systems with varying lengths and at different angles with respect to the cubane plane.

The successful functionalization of cubane motivated us to next attempt functionalization of the BCP using the same amide coupling method. However, initial attempts to synthesize the required BCP building blocks using HATU/HOAt, EDC, or DIC were unsuccessful. This may be due to unstable BCP intermediates capable of undergoing rearrangement to result in ring-opened moieties. The BCP carboxylic acid was instead reacted with $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2} / \mathrm{TEA}$, followed by the desired amine. Initially, this reaction was conducted at room temperature similar to the cubane analogues above, however, this was met with limited success as the product was detected only in a small amounts by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry. This limited success was mitigated by the use of an elevated reaction temperature of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for both steps, which resulted in a significant increase in the product yields (49-77\%) (Scheme 2). The crude reaction mixtures were purified via recrystallization


Scheme 2. Amide coupling at bridgehead carbon of BCP moiety and substrate scope.
using a small amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and excess hexane to access the desired products as white powders. The reaction of BCP 30 with aniline 3 and 4 resulted into the formation of 32 and 33 in $57 \%$ and $61 \%$ yield. Next, the meta-phenyl substituted BCPs 34 and 35 were synthesized via amide coupling reaction of BCP 30 and aniline 5 and 6 , respectively. Amide coupling of BCP 31 and anilines 3-6 resulted into the formation of amide substituted BCPs 36-39. We had found that the amide coupling reactions proceed optimally with meta-/para-substituted aniline in presence of $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2} / T E A$. Unfortunately, amide coupling with ortho-substituted anilines resulted in degradation. The ineffective ortho-substituted aniline coupling may be caused by the proximity of the amine and iodo/ethynyl groups, enabling H -bonding interactions between the moieties, ultimately reducing the basicity and reactivity of the amine. On the other hand, the cubane porphyrin dimer 29 was accessed in a $50 \%$ yield due to the replacement of the small H-bonding moieties with a porphyrin, preventing the reduced amine basicity.
The synthesized BCP-based building blocks 32-39 were further subjected to Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions to yield porphyrin-BCP conjugates (44-53), as shown in Scheme 3. Pd-Catalyzed cross-coupling reactions are versatile and straightforward approaches for porphyrins to form carbon-carbon bonds with a wide range of functionalities. ${ }^{[1 \mathrm{~b}, 17]}$ In contrast to cubane, ${ }^{[18]}$ the BCP ring is more tolerant towards Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions. ${ }^{[19]}$ The first BCP-porphyrin array 44 was afforded using Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction of [5-( $4^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-tetramethyl- $1^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-dioxaborolan-2'-yl)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) $(41)^{[20]}$ and $B C P(33)$. The same synthetic strategy was employed to access the meta-linked BCP porphyrin array 47 in $78 \%$ yield. Neat conversion of 41 into 44 and 47 encouraged us to try further attempts to synthesize the porphyrin-BCP-porphyrin arrays. The BCP porphyrins 50 and 52 were synthesized through Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions with [5( $4^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-tetramethyl- $1^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-dioxaborolan-2'-yl)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (41) ${ }^{[20]}$ and BCPs










Scheme 3. Suzuki $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right.$, DMF ] or Sonogashira coupling $\left(\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cul}, \mathrm{TEA} / \mathrm{THF}\right)$ reaction conditions and substrate scope.

36 and 39, respectively. The isolated yields of meta-derivatives 47 and 52 were higher as compared to those of corresponding para derivatives (44 and 50).

The porphyrin arrays 45 and 46 were synthesized using Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions of [5-ethynyl-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (42) and [5-(4'-ethynylphenyl)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (43) and BCP 32. The Sonogashira reactions did not proceed well for the synthesis of meta-derivatives 48 and 53, while a copper-free modified Sonogashira reaction of [5-iodo-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinatolzinc(II) $(40)^{[21]}$ with BCPs 35 and 38 proceeded well to access the por-phyrin-BCP arrays 48 and 53, in $73 \%$ and $46 \%$ yield, respectively. Detailed synthetic procedures are described in the Sup-
porting Information. All newly synthesized compounds were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, UV/Vis, and IR spectroscopic methods as well as via MALDI-TOF-MS spectrometry (see Figures S1-S135 in Supporting Information).
UV/Vis spectra of the chromophore arrays were recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or THF at room temperature. The free base dimers 26 and 51 illustrate a typical etio-type porphyrin spectrum, having the Soret and four Q-bands in decreasing intensity. The symmetrical zinc dimers $\mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{5 0}, \mathbf{5 2}$, and 53 showed an absorbance maximum at 422 nm . The full width at half maxima (FWHM) of these dimers is nearly equal to $5,10,15,20-$ tetraphenylporphyrin ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ TPP) or its zinc(II) complex (ZnTPP), displaying no evidence of exciton coupling between two porphyrin units, which supports the suggested potential trans conformation of one porphyrin unit with respect to another unit. ${ }^{[22]}$ The absorption spectra of ethynyl-linked porphyrin dimers such as 28, 29, and 53 exhibited a $15-18 \mathrm{~nm}$ bathochromic shift compared to the phenylene-linked dimers 25 and 50 due to the $\pi$-extended ethynyl or phenylethynyl moieties. The UV/Vis spectra of ethynyl-linked dimers (28, 29, and 53) exhibit nearly the same FWHM and $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ as compared to the precursor amine porphyrin (11 and 12). Similar $\lambda_{\max }$ values of monomers and dimers indicate the lack of through space or through bond electronic communication between porphyrin units, that is, a trans orientation of the synthesized dimers.

## Single crystal X-ray analysis

The structure of compounds [5-(2'-aminophenylacetylene)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (12), cubane 13, BCP $33,35,38,45,46$ and dimethyl bicyclo[1.1.1]-pentane-1,3-dicarboxylate were determined using single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Structural parameter tables and refinement details (Table S1 and S2) are provided in the Supporting Information. ${ }^{[23,24]}$
The crystal structure of cubane scaffold 13 illustrates two types of intermolecular non-covalent interactions (Figure 3). The structure exhibits a head-to-tail $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}$ interaction at a distance of $2.853 \AA$ with an angle of $175.4^{\circ}$. Furthermore, the iodo atom at the para-position of the phenylene moiety exhibits a head-to-tail halogen bond interaction with $\mathrm{O} 2=\mathrm{C}$ of the ester group with a distance of $3.074 \AA$ and an angle of $170.1^{\circ}$. The observed halogen and hydrogen bond interactions are nearly orthogonal to each other. Interestingly, the combined and repetitive intermolecular halogen and hydrogen bond interactions result in a supramolecular 3D network between the cubane molecules directed by the substituents at 1,4-bridgehead positions. There are only a few reports of oxygen-iodine interactions, ${ }^{[25]}$ but the specific 3D-orientation of cubane potentially favors this packing pattern enabling it to access this unusual interaction.
The crystal structures of BCP 33, 35, and 38 exhibit non-covalent interactions between amide N -donors and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ acceptors within the crystal lattices. The nature of these interactions is dependent upon the substitution pattern at the phenylene ring. The crystal structure of para-substituted BCP compound 33 reveals repetitive head-to-head $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1=\mathrm{C}$ hydrogen-


Figure 3. (a) Molecular structure of cubane 13. (b) Molecular arrangement of compound 13 in the crystal shows the non-covalent interactions between $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} 2 \cdots 11$.
bond interactions at distances of $2.970 \AA$ (Figure 4). In contrast to 33 , the crystal structure of meta-substituted BCP 35 exhibits head-to-tail $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}$ interactions at distances of $3.061 \AA$, leading to the formation of a non-covalently attached inver-
(a)

(b)


Figure 4. (a) Molecular structure of compound 33. (b) Molecular arrangement of compound 33 in the crystal shows the non-covalent interaction between $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$.
sion-centered dimer (Figures S138 and S139 in Supporting Information).
Similarly, the crystal structure of bis-meta-substituted BCP 38 shows head-to-tail interactions at distances of $2.910 \AA$ and forms a supramolecular 3D network/array (Figure S141 in Supporting Information).
In nature, the 3D structures of proteins and other biomolecules are controlled using H -bonding interactions between trans $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ moieties of amino acids and these 3D architectures are responsible for their specific biological functions. The substituents surrounding the amide bonds direct the non-covalent interactions in all of the above-mentioned crystal structures, and this indicates the possibility of potentially mimicking protein architecture with $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ rigid scaffolds (BCP or cubane). This would provide the conformational space essential for protein function, while simultaneously providing amide bonds for "substrate" coordination.
The crystal structure of the BCP-porphyrin 46 illustrates the planar conformation of the macrocyclic core while the crystal packing of this molecule further shows intermolecular head-totail non-covalent $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ interactions between the acceptor $\mathrm{Zn}^{\prime \prime}$ metal of the porphyrin and donor oxygen atom of the carbonyl group in the amide moiety at a distance of $2.191 \AA$ (Figure 5). This particular interaction supports the proposed mechanism of binding between a transition metal(II) and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ moiety of the amide bond (vide infra). Similarly, the crystal structure of [5-(2'-aminophenylacetylene)-10,20-bis(4'-meth-ylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (12) also exhibits

(b)


Figure 5. (a) Molecular structure of compound 46. (b) Intermolecular head-to-tail interaction between the Zn metal of the porphyrin unit (acceptor) and the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ donor moiety of the amide bond.
head-to-tail $\mathrm{D} \ldots \mathrm{A}$ interactions, in this case between the donor N -atom of the amine group from one porphyrin to the $\mathrm{Zn}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ center of another (Figure S145 and S146 in the Supporting Information). This interaction is further supported by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra where the ortho-amine protons resonate at -0.58 ppm due to the shielding effect of the porphyrin ring current.
Along with the above mentioned non-covalent interactions, we also observed a unique example of a porphyrin-based ion pair complex, that is, a pair of opposite charges held together by Columbic interactions in the same solvent-shell. ${ }^{[26]}$ Although charge-separated ion pair complexes are quite common in transition-metal organometallic chemistry, ion pair complexes of phlorins and porphodimethene-based systems are also known, this type of interaction has not previously been observed for systems with intact porphyrin cores. ${ }^{[27]}$ The crystal structure of porphyrin 45 is unique as it exhibits an ion pair interaction between an axial chloride ligand and a $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right.$ counter ion in the same unit cell without disturbing the aromatic $18 \pi$-electron pathway (Figure 6). Axial coordination re-


Figure 6. (a) Molecular structure of compound 45. (b) Charge separated ion pair complex of porphyrin 45 and $\left[\mathrm{HNEt}_{3}\right]^{+}$.
sults in displacement of the $\mathrm{Zn}^{11}$ ion from the 24 -atom mean plane by $0.51 \AA$. The chloride and triethylammonium ions exhibit an ion pair interaction at a distance of $3.043 \AA$. This is further supported by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compound 45 where the ratio of the porphyrin derivative and $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right]^{+}$was found to be 1:1. To best of our knowledge, it is the first example of a porphyrin-based charge-separated ion pair complex. Figure 6 illustrates an example of the $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right.$ [porphyrin $(\mathrm{ZnCl})]^{-}$ion pair in which a negatively charged porphyrin electrostatically interacts with positively charged triethylamine. In the crystal structure of $45, \mathrm{Zn}^{\prime \prime}$ binds to the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ and the oxidation state of the Zn metal ion remains unchanged, the chloride ion shows noncovalent interaction with $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right]^{+}$. Most of the reported examples of chloride coordinated Zn " porphyrinoids either fall into the class of $16 \pi$ electron macrocycles or N -substituted porphyrins. ${ }^{[27]}$ In the case of N substituted porphyrins, the negative charge of the chloride is counter-balanced by the positive charge on the tertiary core-

N -atom whereas in present case charge is counter-balanced by $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right]^{+}$and the porphyrin core remains intact making this an unique example.
Structure elucidation of BCP-porphyrin 45 revealed the nearly coplanar nature of the BCP-appended arm with respect to the porphyrin plane. In contrast, BCP-porphyrin 46, which has a larger distance between the BCP and porphyrin moieties, showed orthogonal rotation of the phenyl rings with respect to the porphyrin plane. Hence, 45 and its analogues show more promise towards the synthesis of cubane/BCP-linked porphyrin systems for electron/energy studies owing to their extended conjugation.

## Conclusion

We have designed, synthesized, and characterized bridgehead substituted bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane and cubane derivatives via amide coupling reactions. This work demonstrates a broad substrate scope with over 35 new derivatives of cubane/BCP that were synthesized in moderate to good yields. The single crystal X-ray structures of small rigid linker motifs (13, 33, 35, and 38 ) revealed supramolecular 3D networks with combined and repetitive inter- and intramolecular H -bonding interactions. Significantly, the crystal structure of cubane 13 showed an unusual $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} 2 \cdots 11$ interaction along with the usual $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1=$ C interaction to result in a 3D cage-like structure. The formation of 3D supramolecular network dependent on the structurally pre-organized BCP/cubane scaffold in association with the semi-rigid amide moieties.
The crystal structure of porphyrin 45 illustrates a unique example of porphyrin based ion-pair complex. Additionally, porphyrin 46 exhibits non-covalent D...A interactions between the acceptor $\mathrm{Zn}^{\prime \prime}$ metal of the porphyrin and the donor oxygen atom of the carbonyl group in the amide moiety in solid-state. A follow-up study on selective detection of small molecular motifs via this type of arrays is underway and will be reported separately.

## Experimental Section

General information, instrumentation, synthesis of precursors, crystallographic studies, and complete synthetic details of all synthesized compounds are given in Supporting Information.

## General procedures

General procedure 1 for amide condensation reactions: 4-(Me-thoxycarbonyl)cubane-1-carboxylic acid (1) (1.0 equiv) or cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (2) (1.0 equiv) was placed in oven-dried microwave vial and heated under vacuum. The reaction flask was purged with argon, anhydrous DMF ( 0.25 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was heated slightly to dissolve the cubane. HATU (1.3/2.6 equiv), HOAt (1.3/2.6 equiv) and DIPEA (4.0/8.0 equiv) were then added and the reaction mixture was left to stir at $r$. for 30 minutes under argon. The amine ( 2.0 equiv) was added under an argon flow to the flask alongside additional anhydrous DMF $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for a further 24 h and then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

General procedure 2 for amide condensation reactions: In an oven-dried microwave vial, a drop of DMF was added to the solution of 3-(methoxycarbonyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1-carboxylic acid (1.0 equiv) (30) or bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarboxylic acid (1.0 equiv) (31) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Oxalyl chloride ( 1.2 equiv/ 2.2 equiv) was added dropwise to above solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h under inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (3.0/6.0 equiv) followed by substituted aniline (1.1/2.0 equiv) were added slowly to the reaction mixture. The reaction vial was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h under argon. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, crude reaction mixture was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane. The desired product was separated as white crystalline material.
General procedure 3 to synthesize meso-ethynylamine substituted porphyrins 9-12: lodo porphyrin (1.0 equiv) was placed in an oven-dried Schlenk flask and heated under vacuum. The reaction flask was purged with argon and a mixture of $\mathrm{THF}^{2} \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (3:1) was added. Argon was bubbled through the solution for 15 min then ethynylaniline ( 5.0 equiv), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad$ ( 0.15 equiv) and Cul ( 0.3 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir for 4 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by removal of solvents in vacuo. Crude reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography. General procedure 4 for Sonogashira cross-coupling using meso-ethynyl porphyrin: An oven-dried Schlenk tube charged with ethynyl porphyrin ( 1.0 equiv) and iodo-substituted cubane or BCP was heated under vacuum. THF ( 5 mL ) followed by $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to reaction vessel. Argon was bubbled through the solution for $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$. and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 0.2 equiv) and Cul ( 0.3 equiv) were added. The resulting reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. Reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad. Solvent was evaporated in vacuo, crude reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography.
General procedure 5 for Suzuki cross-coupling: To an oven-dried Schlenk tube charged with porphyrin ( 2.1 equiv), BCP linker (1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 10.0 equiv) anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) was added under inert atmosphere. The above solution was purged with argon for further 15 min followed by addition of 0.2 equiv of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$. The reaction mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir for 18 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, crude reaction mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ followed by brine. Organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Extracted organic phases were combined and solvent was evaporated. The resulting crude reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography.

## Synthesis of cubane linkers 13-18

Methyl-4-((4'-iodophenyl)carbamoyl)cubane-1-carboxylate (13): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from 4-(methoxycarbonyl)cu-bane-1-carboxylic acid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 240 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4-iodoaniline ( 160 mg , $730 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HATU ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 310 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOAt ( $42.5 \mathrm{mg}, 310 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DIPEA $(17 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 0.5 mL ). The product was extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(\times 3)$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure, the crystals were washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to remove any remaining aniline and dried under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as white crystals. Yield: $120 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ m.p.: $240-245^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexane, 2:3, v/v); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=9.77$ (s, 1 H), 7.63 (d, J= $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.50 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2H), 4.29-4.22 (m, 3H), 4.18-4.15 (m, 3H), 3.64 ppm (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=171.3,169.5,138.8,137.2,121.8,86.8,57.8,54.9,51.3,46.5$,
46.0 ppm; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=1720(\mathrm{~m}), 1644(\mathrm{~m}), 1581$ (m), 1512 (m), 1390 (m), 1322 (m), 1219 (w), 1169 (w), 1088 (m), 824 (s), 792 (s), $710(\mathrm{~m}), 598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$; HRMS (APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ INO $[M-H]^{-} 405.994565$, found 405.993716.

## Methyl-4-((4'-ethynylphenyl)carbamoyl)cubane-1-carboxylate

(14): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from 4-(methoxycarbon-yl)cubane-1-carboxylic acid ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HATU $(43.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $114 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HOAt ( $15.5 \mathrm{mg}, 114 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), DIPEA ( $61 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 4-ethynylaniline ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 210 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.5 mL ). The product was extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(\times 3)$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure, the crystals were washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to remove any remaining aniline and dried under reduced pressure to afford white crystals. Yield: 8 mg , $37 \%$ m.p.: $243-247^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.67\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexane, $\left.1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=171.2,169.6,139.6,132.2,119.3,116.1,83.6,79.8$, 57.8, 54.8, 51.3, 46.6, 46.0 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=3252$ (m), 2993 (w), 2950 (w), 1721 (s), 1645 (s), 1585 (s), 1509 (s), 1401 (m), 1336 (s), 1288 (m), 1221 (m), 1090 (s), 931 (w), 833 (s), 721 (s), $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (m); HRMS (APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 306.112470$; found 306.113336.
$N^{1}, N^{4}$-Bis(4'-iodophenyl)cubane-1,4-dicarboxamide (15): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid $(46 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 240 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 4$-iodoaniline $(263 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, HATU $(119 \mathrm{mg}, 310 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HOAt $(42.5 \mathrm{mg}, 310 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and DIPEA $(17 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 0.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ causing white crystals to crash out of the solution. The product was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to remove unreacted aniline, and dried under reduced pressure to obtain white crystals. Yield: $55 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$; m.p.: $257-259^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.37 \quad\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \quad\right.$ hexane/EtOAc, 1:3, v/v); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, [D $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=9.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (d, $J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.24 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=$ 169.9, 138.9, 137.2, 121.8, 86.7, 57.6, 46.3 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=3342$ (w), 2985 (w), 1738 (w), 1645 (s), 1588 (m), 1505 (s), 1390 (s), 1324 (m), 1289 (w), 1243 (m), 1217 (w), 1061 (w), 1007 (m), 955 (w), 809 (s), 795 (m), $669(\mathrm{~m}), 610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{w})$; HRMS (APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[M-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$592.922847; found 592.922494.
$N^{1}, N^{4}$-Bis(3'-iodophenyl)cubane-1,4-dicarboxamide (16): Synthesized via General Procedure 2 from cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 240 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), Oxalyl chloride ( $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 530 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}$, $960 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and 3-iodoaniline ( $64 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 530 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 0.25 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1.5 mL ). Solvents were evaporated in vacuo and resulting solid was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give the product as white crystals. Yield: $44 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \% ; \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .: 243-248^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.37 \quad\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, EtOAc/hexane 3:1, v/v); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=9.75$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (s, 2H), 7.70 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H), $7.12 \quad(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25 \mathrm{ppm} \quad(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , [D ${ }_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=169.9,140.5,131.7,130.7,127.7,118.7,94.4,57.5$, 46.3 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=3200$ (w), 2996 (w), 1738 (w), 1642 (s), 1580 (s), 1537 (m), 1474 (s), 1406 (m), 1333 (m), 1287 (w), 1242 (w), 1197 (w), 1092 (w), 996 (w), 947 (w), 901 (w), 865 (w), 845 (w), 772 (s), 721 (w), 681 (m), 657 (w), 615 (w), $572 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (w); HRMS (APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 594.937400$; found 594.937107.
$N^{1}, N^{4}$-Bis(4'-ethynylphenyl)cubane-1,4-dicarboxamide (17): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 157 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HATU ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 410 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOAt $(56 \mathrm{mg}$, $410 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, DIPEA ( $219 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and 4-ethynylaniline ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 470 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 0.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ causing the product to crash out of the solution. The product was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to remove any
leftover aniline, and dried under reduced pressure to obtain white crystals. Yield: $39 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ m.p.: $247-252^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.74\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, EtOAc/hexane, $3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=9.84$ ( s , 2 H ), 7.71 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.42 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 4H), 4.26 (s, 6H), $4.08 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=169.9,139.6$, 132.2, 119.3, 116.1, 83.6, 79.8, 57.5, 46.3 ppm; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=3283$ (m), 3218 (w), 3086 (w), 3001 ( w ), 1650 (s), 1590 (s), 1510 (s), 1404 (s), 1338 (s), 1290 (m), 1252 (s), 1090 (m), 944 (m), 877 (m), $840(\mathrm{~s})$, $770(\mathrm{~m}), 667(\mathrm{~m}), 619 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (s); HRMS (APCl): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 391.144104$, found 391.143171.
$N^{1}, N^{4}$-Bis( $3^{\prime}$-ethynylphenyl)cubane-1,4-dicarboxamide (18): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid $(15 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HATU ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOAt $(27 \mathrm{mg}$, $200 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, DIPEA $(108 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and 3 -ethynylaniline ( $27 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 234 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ causing white crystals to crash out of the solution. The product was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to remove any leftover aniline, and dried under reduced pressure to obtain white crystals. Yield: $36 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$; m.p.: $297-302^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (charred); $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.69\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}, 3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left[D_{6}\right]$ DMSO $): ~ \delta=9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$ ]DMSO): $\delta=169.9,139.3$, 129.1, 126.4, 122.4, 121.8, 120.2, 83.4, 80.4, 57.5, 46.3, $45.9 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{IR}$ (neat): $\tilde{v}=3312(\mathrm{w}), 3218(\mathrm{w}), 3048(\mathrm{w}), 2999(\mathrm{w}), 1649(\mathrm{~m}), 1603$ (m), 1524(m), $1480(\mathrm{~s}), 1406(\mathrm{~s}), 1331(\mathrm{~s}), 1298(\mathrm{~m}), 1225(\mathrm{~m}), 1086$ (w), $950(\mathrm{~m}), 859(\mathrm{~m}), 782(\mathrm{~s}), 683(\mathrm{~s}), 599 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~s})$; HRMS (APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$391.144104; found 391.143981.

## Synthesis of cubane porphyrin dimers 25-29

$N^{1}, N^{4}$-Bis $\left[4^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}\right.\right.\right.$-triphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II)-5"-yl\}-phenyl]cubane-1,4-dicarboxamides (25): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), [5-(4'-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (8) $(110 \mathrm{mg}, 160 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HATU ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 210 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOAt $(28.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $210 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $111 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.5 mL ). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(\times 3)$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\times 4)$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 100: 0\right.$ to 98.8:0.02). The product was obtained as purple crystals. Yield: $35 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$; m.p.: $>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.71\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 20: 1\right.$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}, \quad \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right): \delta=8.90$ (dd, $J=14.4$, $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.19(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 4.58 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right]$ THF): $\delta=169.9,150.2,150.1,143.4,139.6,137.2,135.2$, 135.2, 134.6, 134.6, 131.7, 127.3, 126.5, 120.8, 120.1, 117.8, 60.5, 58.9, 47.2 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=1736$ (w), 1660 (w), 1594 (w), 1486 (m), 1439 (w), 1398 (w), 1338 (w), 1235 (w), 1203 (w), 1174 (w), 1067 (w), 993 (s), 796 (s), 749 (m), 718 (m), 701 (s), $569 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (w); UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon)=422$ (6.19), 549 (4.80), $589 \mathrm{~nm}(4.15)$; HRMS (MALDI-TOF): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{98} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}[M]^{+}$1538.3640; found 1538.3662.
$N^{1}, N^{4}-\operatorname{Bis}\left[4^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}\right.\right.\right.$-triphenylporphyrin)-5"-yl\}-phenyl]cu-
bane-1,4-dicarboxamides (26): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from cubane 2 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 5 -(4'-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrin (7) ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 210 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ ), HATU ( $34.1 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOAt ( $12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $48 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in anhydrous DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\times 3)$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\times 4)$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 100: 0\right.$ to
98.8:0.02). The product was obtained as purple crystals. Yield: $55 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$; m.p.: $>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.52\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} / 2 / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 40: 1\right.$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $20 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}),-2.76 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=189.7,142.3,135.4,134.7,127.9,126.8,120.4$, 118.1, 47.3 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=1674$ (w), 1584 (w), 1494 (w), 1397 (w), 1317 (w), 1190 (w), 965 (m), 798 (s), 753 (m), 722 (m), 701 (s); UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon)=422(6.01), 518(4.65), 554$ (4.40), 593 (4.29), $649 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (4.21); HRMS (MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{98} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 1415.5448$, found 1415.5514.
$N^{1}$-[ $4^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}\right.\right.$-Triphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II)-5'-yl\}phenyl]-$N^{4}-\left[4^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}\right.\right.\right.$-triphenylporphyrin)-5'-yl\}phenyl]cubane-1,4dicarboxamide (27): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from compound 22 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 5-(4-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-triphenylporphyrinato zinc(II) ( 8 ) ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HATU ( 43.5 mg , $114 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HOAt $(15.5 \mathrm{mg}, 114 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and DIPEA ( $61 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.5 mL ). The reaction mixture washed with brine and the products were extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{THF}(\times 3)$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 100: 0$ to 98.8:0.02). The product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and obtained as purple crystals. Yield: $28 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$; m.p.: $>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.64\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 20\right.$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=10.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.86-8.84(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.80-8.78(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.15(\mathrm{~m}$, 20 H ), 7.83 (dd, $J=25.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.52 (s, 6 H ), $-2.88 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, 2 H ) : ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz},[\mathrm{D} 6 \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=170.1,149.5,149.3,149.2$, 142.8, 141.2, 134.6, 134.4, 134.2, 134.2, 131.5, 128.1, 127.4, 127.0, 126.6, 120.3, 120.0, 117.9, 117.7, $46.6 \mathrm{ppm} ; \operatorname{IR}$ (neat): $\tilde{v}=1658$ (w), 1596 (w), 1489 (m), 1400 (w), 1320 (w), 1179 (w), 1071 (w), 1002 $(\mathrm{m}), 994(\mathrm{~m}), 796(\mathrm{~s}), 718(\mathrm{~m}), 700(\mathrm{~s}), 563 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{w})$; UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon)=422(5.79), 451(5.66), 550(4.43), 671 \mathrm{~nm}(4.81)$; HRMS (MALDI-TOF): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{98} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}[M]^{+} 1476.4505$; found 1476.4495.
$N^{1}, N^{4}$-Bis[ $3^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}\right.\right.$-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15"-phenylporphyrin-ato)zinc(II)-5"-yl\}phenylacetylene]cubane-1,4-dicarboxamide
(28): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid ( $10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) (2), porphyrin $11(85 \mathrm{mg}, 110 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HATU ( $54.4 \mathrm{mg}, 143 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOAt ( $19.5 \mathrm{mg}, 143 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DIPEA $(76 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in anhydrous DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was then washed with brine and the products were extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(\times 3)$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 100: 0\right.$ to 98.8:0.02). The product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and obtained as purple crystals. Yield $=32 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$; m.p.: $>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}=0.33\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 20: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.77$ ( d , $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=21.3,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 7.80-7.77 (m, 3H), 7.74-7.69 (m, 8H), 7.59 (s, 1 H$), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.71 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=169.7,152.3,150.7,150.0,149.9$, 143.2, 140.1, 138.1, 137.1, 134.6, 134.5, 132.8, 131.9, 131.7, 130.5, 129.5, 127.4, 127.3, 126.5, 125.4, 122.7, 122.5, 121.9, 119.7, 98.8 , 95.2, 93.9, 67.7, 58.8, 47.1, 21.7 ppm ; UV/Vis (THF): $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon)=443$ (6.13), 557 (4.65), 624 nm (4.94); IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=1650(\mathrm{w}), 1598$ (w), 1519 (w), 1483 (m), 1401 (w), 1339 (m), 1305 (w), 1207 (m), 1180 (w), 1064 (w), 996 (s), 845 (w), 792 (s), 714 (m), 701 (m), 682 (m), $569 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$; HRMS (MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}$ $[M]^{+} 1642.4266$; found 1642.4296.
$N^{1}, N^{4}$-Bis[ $2^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}\right.\right.$-bis( $4^{\prime}$-methylphenyl)-15"'phenylporphyrin-ato)zinc(II)-5"-yl\}phenylacetylene]cubane-1,4-dicarboxamide
(29): Synthesized via General Procedure 1 from cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid ( $8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) (2), porphyrin 12 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HATU ( $43.5 \mathrm{mg}, 114 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOAt ( $15.5 \mathrm{mg}, 114 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $61 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was then washed with brine and the products were extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}(\times 3)$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 100: 0\right.$ to 98.8:0.02). The product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and obtained as purple crystals. Yield: $36 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$; m.p.: $>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.75\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 40: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}$ ): $\delta=9.61$ (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ (s, 6H), $2.60 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{8}\right] \mathrm{THF}\right):$ $\delta=170.0$, 153.0, 151.8, 150.9, 146.7, 144.3, 141.1, 138.1, 135.4, 135.3, 133.7, 132.6, 132.4, 132.3, 130.8, 130.3, 128.2, 127.4, 124.0, 123.2, 120.7, 114.8, 101.1, 98.11, 91.6, 86.1, 59.7, 47.8, 21.6 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=3380(\mathrm{w}), 2920(\mathrm{w}), 2187$ (w), 1652 (m), 1574 (m), 1510 (m), 1313 (m), 1206 (m), 1063 (m), 995 ( s$), 944$ (m), 794 (s), 755 ( s$)$, $715(\mathrm{~m}), 596 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$; UV/Vis (THF): $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon)=439$ (5.92), 574 (4.53), 622 nm (4.72); HRMS (MALDI-TOF): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{106} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}[M]^{+} 1642.4266$; found 1642.4304.

## Synthesis of BCP linkers 32-39

Methyl-3-((4'-iodophenyl)carbamoyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1-carboxylate (32): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using compounds 3-(methoxycarbonyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1-carboxylic acid (30) $(52.0 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.306 \mathrm{mmol})$, oxalyl chloride $(32.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.378 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.934 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-iodoaniline $(77.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.355 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo, crude product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane to afford titled compound as off-white powder. Yield: $65 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$; m.p.: $206^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.75\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexane/EtOAc, 7:3, v/v); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{br} s, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.36 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=169.5,167.4$, 137.8, 136.8, 121.6, 87.9, 52.4, 51.9, 40.0, 36.5 ppm ; IR(neat): $\tilde{v}=$ 3279 (w), 1723 (m), 1653 (s), 1593 (m), 1526 (s), 1392 (m), 1310 (s), 1214 (s), 1044 (m), 812 (s), 785 (s), $691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (s); HRMS(APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{INO}_{3}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 372.0091$; found 372.0091.
Methyl-3-((4'-ethynylphenyl)carbamoyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1carboxylate (33): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using compounds 3-(methoxycarbonyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1-carboxylic acid (30) $(80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, oxalyl chloride ( $47.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.56 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NEt}_{3}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-ethynylaniline $(60 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo, crude product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane to afford titled compound as an off-white powder. Yield: $77 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$; m.p.: $216^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.25\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexane/EtOAc, 7:3, v/v); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (brs, 1 H$), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=169.5$, 167.1, 137.6, 133.0, 119.3, 118.1, 83.2, 52.4, 52.0, 40.1, 36.7 ppm ; IR(neat): $\tilde{v}=3281$ (w), 3242 (m), 1718 (m), 1651 (m), 1587 (m), 1506 (m), 1312 (s), 1217 (s), 1043 (w), 943 (s), 826 (s), 721 (m), $677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (m); HRMS(APCI): m/z: calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}\right)$268.0974; found 268.0984; calcd for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3}[M+\mathrm{Cl}]^{-}\right) 304.0746$; found 304.0754.

Methyl-3-((3'-iodophenyl)carbamoyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1-carboxylate (34): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using $30(80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$, oxalyl chloride ( $47.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-iodoaniline ( $57.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solvent
was removed in vacuo, crude product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexane to afford titled compound as white powder. Yield: $90 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$; m.p.: $198{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.35\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexane/EtOAc, 7:3, v/v); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.93(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14$ (brs, 1 H$), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ 169.4, 167.2, 138.4, 133.7, 130.5, 128.3, 118.8, 94.1, 52.4, 51.9, $39.9 \mathrm{ppm} ; \operatorname{IR}($ neat ): $\tilde{v}=3276$ (w), 1653 (s), 1525 (m), 1588 (s), 1525 (m), 1415 (s), 1302 (s), 1212 (s), 1043 (m), 883 (m), 775 (s), $653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (s); HRMS(APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{INO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 369.9940$; found 369.9954.

Methyl-3-((3'-ethynylphenyl)carbamoyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1carboxylate (35): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using 30 ( $80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), oxalyl chloride ( $47.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-ethynylaniline ( $58 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solvent was removed in vacuo, crude product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /hexane to afford titled compound as white powder. Titled compound was obtained by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / hexane. Yield: $62 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \% ;$ m.p.: $185^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexane/ EtOAc 7:3, v/v); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ;\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=9.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17 ( $\mathrm{dt}, J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz; [D 6 ]DMSO): $\delta=169.8,167.9,139.2,129.5$, 127.2, 123.1, 122.3, 120.8, 83.7, 81.0, 52.4, 52.0, 40.6, 40.4, 40.1, 39.9, 39.8, 39.6, 39.4, 36.8 ppm ; $\operatorname{IR}($ neat $): \tilde{v}=3374(\mathrm{w}), 3193(\mathrm{w})$, 1713 (m), 1672 (m), 1603 (m), 1406 (m), 1307 (s), 1213 (s), 1054 (w), 862 (w), 791 (s), 686 (s), $630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (s); HRMS(APCI): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$268.0974; found. 268.0985.
$N^{1}, N^{3}$-Bis(4'-iodophenyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarboxamide (36): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using 31 $(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$, oxalyl chloride $(85.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $0.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-iodoaniline ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the insoluble material was collected to yield the desired product as a white solid. Yield: $180 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$; m.p.: $301{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ;\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=9.72$ (s, 2H), 7.63 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz} ;\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=168.3,138.9,137.6,122.4,87.5,52.1$, 39.2 ppm ; IR(neat): $\tilde{v}=3321$ (w), 1666 (s), 1584 (w), 1502 (s), 1387 (m), 1307 (m), 1004 (m), 814 (s), $661 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m})$; $\operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{APCI}): m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 558.9374$; found 558.9370 .
$\boldsymbol{N}^{1}, \boldsymbol{N}^{3}$-Bis(4'-ethynylphenyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarboxamide (37): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using 31 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), oxalyl chloride ( $60.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-ethynylaniline ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Titled compound was obtained by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Yield: $87 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$; m.p.: $326^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} ;\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=9.84$ (s, 2H), $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ $2.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz; [D$\left.\left.{ }_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=168.4,139.7$, 132.7, 120.1, 116.8, 83.9, 80.4, 52.3, $39.2 \mathrm{ppm} ; \operatorname{IR}$ (neat): $\tilde{v}=3292$ (w), 3269 (w), 1649 (s), 1589 (m), 1522 (m), 1504 (m), 1402 (w), 1324 (m), 1246 (w), 825 (s), 705 (w), $616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (m); HRMS(APCI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 355.1441$; found 355.1437.
$\boldsymbol{N}^{1}, \boldsymbol{N}^{3}$-Bis(3'-ethynylphenyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarbox-
amide (38): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using $31(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.320 \mathrm{mmol})$, oxalyl chloride ( $60.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.640 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ $(0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-ethynylaniline ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Titled compound was obtained by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Yield: $59 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \% ;$ m.p.: $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} ;\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta=$ 9.85 (s, 2H), 7.84 (d, J=1.6 Hz, 2H), $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz} ;\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta=168.5,139.1,131.8,120.2$, 116.8, 83.8, 80.1, 52.4; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=3286$ (w), 1651 (s), 1583 (m),

1540 (m), 1426 (s), 1307 (w), 1317 (w), 1217 (w), 792 (s), 686 (m) $643 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{APCl}): m / z$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 355.1441 ; found 355.1441 .
$N^{1}, N^{3}$-Bis(3'-iodophenyl)bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarboxamide (39): Synthesized according to General Procedure 2 using 31 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.320 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), oxalyl chloride ( $60.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.640 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ( $0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-iodoaniline ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Titled compound was obtained by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Yield: $68 \%$; m.p.: $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ;\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$ ): $\delta=9.77$ (s, 2 H ), 8.11 (t, J=1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, J= 8.0 Hz , $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ; [ $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ ]DMSO): $\delta=167.9,140.0,132.0,130.6,128.0,119.1,94.4,51.8$, 45.7 ppm ; IR(neat): $\tilde{v}=3272$ (w), 1652 (s), 1586 (m), 1522 (m), 1414 (m), 1310 (w), 1243 (w), 882 (w), 776 (s), $681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (s); HRMS(APCI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[M-\mathrm{H}]^{-} 556.9223$; found 556.9074 .

## Synthesis of BCP porphyrin dimers 50-52

$N^{1}, N^{3}$-Bis[4'-\{(10", 15" $20^{\prime \prime}$-triphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II)-5"-yl\}-phenyl]bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarboxamides (50): Synthesized according to General Procedure 5 using BCP 36 ( 30 mg , $0.028 \mathrm{mmol})$, [5-(4', 4', $5^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-tetramethyl-1', $3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}$-dioxaborolan-2'-yl)-10,20-bis(4'-methylphenyl)-15-phenylporphyrinato]zinc(II) (41) ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.055 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0056 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Crude reaction mixture was purified using silica gel column chromatography to yield desired compound. Yield: $22 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$; m.p.: $207^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.8\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 8: 2\right.$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-769(\mathrm{~m}, ~ 20 \mathrm{H}), 2.71 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=169.6,167.1,150.4,150.2,149.8,139.7,137.1$, 134.5, 134.4, 134.3, 132.2, 132.1, 131.9, 131.5, 129.8, 127.3, 126.5, 89.9, 89.7, 52.5, 52.0, 40.1, 21.5 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=2921$ (w), 1736 (w), 1666 (w), 1529 (w), 1483 (w), 1339 (w), 1298 (w), 1208 (m), 998 $(\mathrm{s}), 796(\mathrm{~s}), 719(\mathrm{~m}) ;$ UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=424(6.80), 551$ (5.41), $590 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (4.82); HRMS(MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{95} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}[M]^{+}$1502.3640; 1502.3638 found.
$N^{1}, N^{3}-\operatorname{Bis}\left[4^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}-\right.\right.\right.$ bis(4-methylphenyl)-15"-phenylporphyrin)$5^{\prime \prime}$-yl\}-phenyl]bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarboxamides (51): Synthesized according to General Procedure 5 using BCP 36 $(23 \mathrm{mg}, ~ 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}), 41(58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.083 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 200 mg , $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 8.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. Reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The crude reaction mixture was purified using silica gel column chromatography, desired compound was eluted via using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 8: 2$. Yield: $34 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$; m.p.: $331{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 8: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=8.92-8.85(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.59 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 8H), 2.77 (s, 6H), $2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}),-2.74 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=167.4,144.2,142.2,139.3$, 139.2, 138.8, 137.4, 136.9, 135.2, 134.5, 134.5, 127.4, 126.6, 120.3, 120.1, 119.1, 118.1, 52.4, 42.0, 21.6 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=2981$ (w), 2832 (w), 1710 (m), 1595 (m), 1367 (w), 1219 (m), 1140 (m), 1093 (m), 1047 (m), 942 (m), 807 (s), 757 (s), 691 (m), $652 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (m); UV/ Vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=423$ (5.92), 519 (4.52), 555 (4.25), 594 nm (4.04), 651 nm (4.06); HRMS(MALDI-TOF): m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{99} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[M+H]^{+}$1434.5996; 1435.6091 found.
$N^{1}, N^{3}$-Bis $\left[3^{\prime}-\left\{\left(10^{\prime \prime}, 15^{\prime \prime}, 20^{\prime \prime}\right.\right.\right.$-triphenylporphyrinato)zinc(II)-5"-yl\}-phenyl]bicyclo[1.1.1]pentane-1,3-dicarboxamides (52): Synthesized according to General Procedure 5 using BCP 39 ( 19 mg , $0.033 \mathrm{mmol}), 41$ ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.0066 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.6 \mathrm{mg})$. Reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The crude reaction mixture was subjected to
silica gel column chromatography and titled compound was eluted via $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 9: 1$. Yield: $37 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \% ;$ m.p.: $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ $0.35\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 8: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta=8.85-8.81(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 8.32($ brs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.44 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ): $\delta=150.1,149.9,149.7,144.1$, $140.3,136.7,134.4,131.5,131.4,131.2,127.0,126.6,126.2,120.0$, 52.1, 39.0, 21.3 ppm ; IR (neat): $\tilde{v}=2920$ (w), 1650 (w), 1603 (w), 1518 (w), 1477 (w), 1388(w), 1204 (w), 1065 (w), 992 (s), 794 (s), 721 (m), $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{~m}) ;$ UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=422$ (6.80), 551 (5.55), 589 nm (5.08); HRMS(MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{99} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}[M]^{+} 1562.4770 ; 1562.4253$ found.
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