

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Takizawa Y, Nakata R, Fukuhara K, Yamashita H, Kubodera H, Inoue H (2015) The 4'-Hydroxyl Group of Resveratrol Is Functionally Important for Direct Activation of PPARα. PLoS ONE 10(3): e0120865. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120865

Academic Editor: Anindita Das, Virginia Commonwealth University, UNITED STATES

Received: September 13, 2014

Accepted: January 27, 2015

Published: March 23, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Takizawa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 19300250 and 24300217 to H.I. and R.N.) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan; the lijima Memorial Foundations for the Promotion of Food Science and Technology; Uehara Memorial Foundation of Nutrition; and the Japan Food Chemical Research Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

The 4'-Hydroxyl Group of Resveratrol Is Functionally Important for Direct Activation of PPARa

Yoshie Takizawa¹, Rieko Nakata¹, Kiyoshi Fukuhara², Hiroshi Yamashita³, Hideo Kubodera³, Hiroyasu Inoue¹*

1 Department of Food Science & Nutrition, Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan, 2 Showa University School of Pharmacy, Tokyo, Japan, 3 Medicinal Chemistry Research Laboratories, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Kanagawa, Japan

* inoue@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp

Abstract

Long-term moderate consumption of red wine is associated with a reduced risk of developing lifestyle-related diseases such as cardiovascular disease and cancer. Therefore, resveratrol, a constituent of grapes and various other plants, has attracted substantial interest. This study focused on one molecular target of resveratrol, the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor α (PPARα). Our previous study in mice showed that resveratrolmediated protection of the brain against stroke requires activation of PPARa; however, the molecular mechanisms involved in this process remain unknown. Here, we evaluated the chemical basis of the resveratrol-mediated activation of PPARa by performing a docking mode simulation and examining the structure-activity relationships of various polyphenols. The results of experiments using the crystal structure of the PPAR α ligand-binding domain and an analysis of the activation of PPARa by a resveratrol analog 4-phenylazophenol (4-PAP) in vivo indicate that the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol is critical for the direct activation of PPARa. Activation of PPARa by 5 µM resveratrol was enhanced by rolipram, an inhibitor of phosphodiesterase (PDE) and forskolin, an activator of adenylate cyclase. We also found that resveratrol has a higher PDE inhibitory activity (IC₅₀ = 19 µM) than resveratrol analogs trans-4-hydroxystilbene and 4-PAP ($IC_{50} = 27-28 \mu M$), both of which has only 4'-hydroxyl group, indicating that this 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol is not sufficient for the inhibition of PDE. This result is consistent with that 10 µM resveratrol has a higher agonistic activity of PPAR α than these analogs, suggesting that there is a feedforward activation loop of PPARa by resveratrol, which may be involved in the long-term effects of resveratrol in vivo.

Introduction

The phytoalexin resveratrol (3, 5, 4'-trihydroxystilbene) [1] possesses antioxidant properties and has multiple effects, including the inhibition or suppression of cyclooxygenase (COX)



Competing Interests: All authors have declared that no competing interests exist in this revised manuscript. Two of the authors are employed by a commercial company, Medicinal Chemistry Research Laboratories, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Kanagawa, Japan. This does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. [2], [3], and the activation of peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) [4] and the NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) [5]. Previous studies show that resveratrol can prevent or slow the progression of various cancers, cardiovascular diseases, and ischemic injuries, as well as enhancing stress resistance and extending life-span [6], [7].

Resveratrol is a calorie-restriction mimetic [8] with potential anti-aging and anti-diabetogenic properties; therefore, its ability to activate SIRT1 has attracted particular interest. However, the activation of SIRT1 by resveratrol *in vitro* appears to be an artifact generated by the use of fluorophore-tagged substrates [9], [10]. A recent study reported that cAMP-dependent phospho-diesterase (PDE) is a direct target of resveratrol and suggested that the metabolic effects of the compound are mediated by PDE inhibition [11]; however, this proposal remains unconfirmed. Previous studies by our group focused on the hypothesis that the beneficial effects of resveratrol require the direct activation of PPARa [4], [12], [13], which is supported by reports that PPARa mediates some of the effects of calorie restriction [14].

PPARs are members of a nuclear receptor family of ligand-dependent transcription factors [15]. The three PPAR isoforms, PPAR α (NR1C1), β/δ (NR1C2), and γ (NR1C3), show distinct tissue distributions and play various roles in lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, cell proliferation and differentiation, and inflammation, and are considered molecular targets for the treatment of lifestyle-related diseases [15], [16]. The ligand-binding domains of the PPAR isoforms share 60-70% sequence identity, although all three isoforms bind naturally occurring fatty acids [17]. The prostaglandin D₂-derived metabolite, 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12, 14}$ - prostaglandin J₂, is a potent natural ligand of PPARy [18], [19]. We previously reported that this metabolite suppresses lipopolysaccharide-induced expression of COX-2, a key inflammatory enzyme in prostaglandin synthesis, in macrophage-like U937 cells but not in vascular endothelial cells [20]. We also demonstrated that the expression of COX-2 is regulated by negative feedback mediated by PPAR γ , especially in macrophages [20]. These findings indicate that PPARs participate in the cell type-specific control of COX-2 expression [3], which led us to hypothesize that resveratrol is a direct activator of PPARs. This proposal is supported by the results of *in vitro* reporter assays in bovine arterial endothelial cells (BAECs) [21], which demonstrated that $5 \,\mu$ M resveratrol activates PPAR α , β/δ , and γ [4], [13]. In a study using PPAR α -knockout mice, resveratrol treatment (20 mg/kg weight/day for 3 days) protected the brain against ischemic injury through a PPARα-dependent mechanism, indicating that resveratrol activates PPARα in vivo [4]. Moreover, we also demonstrated that the resveratrol tetramer, vaticanol C, activates PPAR α and PPAR β/δ both *in vitro* (5 μ M) and *in vivo* (0.04% of the diet for 8 weeks), although no effects on SIRT1 were observed [13].

In light of the findings described above, the aim of this study was to evaluate the chemical basis of the activation of PPAR α by resveratrol.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and cell culture

Resveratrol was purchased from Sigma and the other plant polyphenols were purchased from Wako Chemicals (Japan). Azobenzene and 4-phenylazophenol (4-PAP) were purchased from Tokyo Chemicals, and trans-4-hydroxystilbene (T4HS) was synthesized as reported previously [22]. A 100 mM stock solution of each compound was prepared in DMSO and the stock was diluted to the working concentration before use. BAECs (Cell Applications, San Diego, CA) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum.

Transcription assays and construction of mutated $\ensuremath{\text{PPAR}\alpha}$ expression vectors

BAECs were transfected with 0.15 µg of the tk-PPREx3-Luc reporter plasmid, 0.15 µg of the human PPARa expression vector pGS-hPPARa (GeneStorm clone L02932; Invitrogen), and $0.04 \,\mu g$ of the pSV-βgal vector, using Trans IT-LT-1 (Mirus) as described previously [20], [23]. Twenty-eight hours after transfection, the BAECs were incubated with the relevant chemical for 24 h, after which the cells were harvested and lysed, and luciferase and β -galactosidase activities were measured. The luciferase activities were normalized to those of the β -galactosidase standard. The validity of this reporter assay was previously confirmed using Wy-14643, GW501516, and pioglitazone, which are synthetic agonists of PPAR α , β/δ , and γ , respectively [23]. Site-directed mutagenesis of PPARa to form I241A, L247A, F273A, I317A and I354A was performed using an inverse PCR method, the KOD-Plus-Mutagenesis Kit (Toyobo, Japan), pGS-hPPARa as a template, and mutagenic primers. Mutagenic primers used were: F273A 5'- gctcactgccagtgcacgtcagtggagaccgtcac-3' (forword), 5'- gatgcggacctccgccacagttcaggatgccattgg-3' (reverse); I354A 5'- gccatggaacccaagtttgattttgc catgaagttcaat-3' (forword), 5'- atcacagaacggtttccttaggctttttaggaattcacg-3' (reverse); I241A 5'- gcacatgatatggagacactgtgtatg-3' (forword), 5'- tgcgacaaaaggtggattgttactg-3' (reverse); L247A 5'- agcatgtatggctgagaagacgctgg-3' (forword), 5'- gccatacatgctgtctccatatcatgtatgac-3' (reverse); I317A 5'- gcattcgccatgctgtcttctgtg-3' (forword), 5'- tgcggcctcataaactccgtattttagc-3' (reverse). All mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Docking mode prediction and free energy calculations

The docking modes of resveratrol were predicted using the GOLD 3.0 docking program [24]. The protein co-ordinates were taken from the PPAR α -GW409544 complex structure (PDB ID: IK7L) and the amino acid residues within 12 Å of GW409544 were assumed to be the target binding site. The docking procedure with GOLD 3.0 was repeated 150 times, and the 150 docking poses were clustered to obtain four representative poses. Molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the AMBER 8 program and the Cornell force field 94. The solvent water was the SPC model and the cubic periodic boundary condition was used. The Coulomb interaction was evaluated using the particle mesh Ewalt method. The protein-ligand complex structure was moved with a time step of 2 femtoseconds and hydrogens were constrained with the SHAKE algorithm. After standard minimization and equilibration of the protein-ligand complex, simulation was performed for 1 nanosecond and 1,000 snapshots were collected. A Molecular Mechanical/Poisson-Boltzmann Surface Area analysis [25] was performed with a standard protocol. Computational alanine scanning was performed in a similar manner to that described above, mutating each amino acid in turn.

Animal experiments

Male 8-week-old SV/129-strain (wild-type) and PPAR α -knockout mice (Jackson Laboratory) were housed in a room at 24 ± 2°C with a 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle and were fed the AIN93-G diet or the same diet supplemented with 0.04% 4-PAP. Food and water were available ad libitum. After 8 weeks of feeding, the mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, and euthanized by collecting a blood sample using a syringe. Livers were removed and stored in RNA later solution (Ambion, USA) at -30°C. Body weight, food consumption and liver weight were not significantly different between 4-PAP-fed mice and control. In addition, plasma AST and ALT level of 4-PAP-fed mice were same level as control (data not shown). This study was carried out in accordance with the guideline for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by Minister

of the Environment Government of Japan (No. 88 of April 28, 2006). All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of Nara Women's University. All efforts were made to minimize suffering.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated using the acid guanidinium thiocyanate procedure. Real-time RT-PCR was performed using the Mx3005 system (Stratagene) as described previously [23]. Expression levels of each mRNA were normalized to those of GAPDH mRNA. PCR primers used were: GAPDH 5'- ggtgaaggtcggagtcaacgga-3' (forword), 5'- gagggatctcgctctggaaga-3' (reverse); Acyl CoA oxidase 1 5'- gggagtgctacgggttacatg-3' (forword), 5'- ccgatatccccaacagtgatg-3' (reverse); Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A 5'- cttccatgactcggctcttc-3' (forword), 5'- aaacagttc-cacctgctgct-3' (reverse); Adiponectin receptor type 2 5'- acccaaaccttgcttcatc-3' (forword), 5'- ggcagctccggtgatataga-3' (reverse); Fatty acid binding protein 1 5'- aagtaccaattgcagagccagga-3' (forword), 5'- cagttgcatgaaaccaaacg-3' (forword), 5'- gacgatctgtcttgcgatca-3' (reverse); SIRT1 5'- gtcaga-taaggaaggaaaac-3' (forword), 5'- tggctctatgaaactgttct-3' (reverse).

PDE inhibition assay

The PDE inhibition assay was performed using the PDE-GloTM Phosphodiesterase assay (Promega). Bovine brain-derived PDE, majority of which was PDE4 isozyme [26], was purchased from Sigma. One milliunit of PDE was pre-incubated with varying concentrations of rolipram (Wako Chemicals, Japan), resveratrol, T4HS or 4-PAP for 30 min at room temperature, and then 1 μ M cAMP substrate was added and the reactions were incubated for a further 90 minutes at 37°C. Luminescence was measured using the Tecan Infinite 200 plate-reader.

Statistical analysis

All results are expressed as the mean \pm SD. Comparisons between groups were performed using unpaired *t*-tests or two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni multiple comparison test. Values were deemed to be statistically significantly different at p < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

The 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol is required for the activation of PPAR α *in vitro*

First, we investigated whether resveratrol and its related compounds (Fig. 1A) are able to activate PPAR α in a cell-based luciferase reporter assay. BAECs were transiently transfected with the PPRE-luc reporter vector, the human PPAR α expression vector GS-hPPAR α , and pSV- β -gal as an internal control, and then incubated with 5, 10 μ M resveratrol or its related compounds for 24 h. The activation of PPAR α by resveratrol was suppressed by the addition of a 3'-hydroxyl group (to form piceatannol), by the replacement of the 3,5-hydroxyl groups with methoxy groups (to form pterostilbene), and by deletion of the 3,4-hydroxyl groups from resveratrol (to form T4HS) (Fig. 1A, B). The activation of PPAR α by 4-PAP, which has a chemical structure similar to that of T4HS instead of the stilbene to azobenzene backbone (Fig. 1A), was similar to that by T4HS, and that, the level of activation was reduced further following deletion of the hydroxyl group (to form azobenzene) These compounds showed the dose-dependent increase of PPAR α activation except for azobenzene (Fig. 1A, B).

Next, we compared the PPAR α -activating ability of other polyphenols with a flavone backbone (Fig. 1C) with that of resveratrol. The compounds studied were as follows: apigenin,



Fig 1. The 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol is required for the activation of PPARα *in vitro*. (A) The chemical structures of resveratrol and its related compounds containing a 4'-hydroxyl group (shown in red). (B) The activation of PPARα by exposure of BAECs transiently transfected with PPRE-luc, GS-hPPARα, and pSV-β-gal to the compounds (5, 10 µM) shown in (A). Data were statistically evaluated using the unpaired *t*-test. ** p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 compared with cells treated with 5 µM resveratrol. †††p < 0.001 compared with cells treated with 10 µM resveratrol. ###p < 0.001 compared with cells treated with 4-PAP. (C) The chemical structures of the flavonoids studied. (D) The activation of PPARα by exposure of BAECs transiently transfected with PPRE-luc, GS-hPPARα, and pSV-β-gal to 5 µM of resveratrol or to 5 µM of the flavonoids shown in (C). Data were statistically evaluated using the unpaired *t*-test. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 compared with cells treated with flavonoids shown in (C). Data were statistically evaluated using the unpaired *t*-test. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 compared with cells treated with flavonoids shown in (C). Data were statistically evaluated using the unpaired *t*-test. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 compared with cells treated with flavonoids shown in (C) were presented as the relative luciferase activities normalized to those of the β-galactosidase standard, and represent the mean ± SD of three independent wells of cells. Similar results were obtained by two additional experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120865.g001

which has a similar 4'-hydroxyl group to that of resveratrol; kaempferol and luteolin, both of which have chemical structures similar to that of apigenin but contain an additional one or two hydroxyl groups, respectively; a flavone with no hydroxyl group; and tangeretin and nobiletin, which have four or five methoxy groups, respectively, one of which replaces the 4'-hydroxyl

PLOS ONE

group of resveratrol (Fig. 1C). The abilities of apigenin, kaempferol, and luteolin to activate PPAR α were approximately 20–35% lower than that of resveratrol (Fig. 1D). The flavone that lacked hydroxyl groups displayed 55% of the activating ability of resveratrol and the ability of flavone to activate PPAR α was significantly lower than that of apigenin, kaempferol and luteo-lin. The abilities of these compounds to activate PPAR α at 10 μ M were higher than 5 μ M except for tangeretin and nobiletin (chemicals with no "corresponding 4'-OH"). These results indicate that the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol is functionally important for the activation of PPAR α although the contribution of this 4'-hydroxyl group may differ between the stilbene and flavone backbones.

Identification of a plausible docking model and identification of F273 and I354 as PPAR α residues involved in resveratrol binding

The X-ray crystal structure of the PPAR α LBD as a complex with its synthetic agonist GW409544 and a co-activator motif from steroid receptor co-activator 1 was reported previously [27]. The hydrogen bonds between the carboxylate of GW409544, Tyr314 on helix 5, and Tyr464 on the AF2 helix, act as a molecular switch that activates the transcriptional activity of PPARα [27]. The docking modes of resveratrol were predicted using the GOLD 3.0 docking program [24] and protein co-ordinates from the PPAR α -GW409544 complex structure (PDB ID: 1K7L). Four modes were predicted; the four orientations of the nearly planar molecule are horizontal or vertical mirror images (Fig. 2A). Of the four predicted modes, modes I and II, which are vertical mirror images, seem feasible for two reasons. First, when the calculated docking mode II of resveratrol was superimposed on the PPARa-GW409544 complex structure, the configuration of resveratrol (Fig. 2B; orange) partially overlapped that of GW409544 (Fig. 2B; green). Second, the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol was in the vicinity of the hydroxyl groups of Tyr314 and Tyr464, suggesting the possibility of hydrogen bond formation between them. The 3,5-hydroxyl groups of resveratrol were located near to hydrophobic amino acid residues, suggesting that they do not contribute much to the binding affinity for PPAR α . This proposal is consistent with the finding that removing these groups (to form T4HS) had a slight but significant suppressive effect on the ability of resveratrol to activate PPAR α (Fig. 1B). The binding features were also consistent with the experimental observation that the 4'-hydroxyl group is a crucial functional moiety for PPAR α activation (Fig. 1).

In modes III and IV, which are horizontal mirror images of modes II and I, respectively, the 4'-hydroxyl group would be located further away from Tyr314 and Tyr464; therefore, these modes may not be compatible with the apparent importance of this group to PPAR α activation. However, the binding free energies predicted using a Molecular Mechanical/Poisson-Boltzmann Surface Area analysis [25] showed that modes II (-10.28 ± 9.12 kcal/mol) and IV (-15.64 ± 9.31 kcal/mol) are more plausible than mode I (-1.28 ± 11.12 kcal/mol), although it is worth noting that the free energy for GW409544 binding is-35.63 ± 11.79 kcal/mol. Ideally, these calculations should be based on crystallographically determined complex co-ordinates, although we resorted to docking predictions here. Taken together, this information suggests that mode II is the most plausible docking model for resveratrol (Fig. 2C).

A computational alanine scanning technique was then used to examine the contribution of each PPAR α amino acid residue around the ligand. We were predicted that the residues F273 and I354 were the most favorable sites for binding the free energy of resveratrol in mode II whereas the residues I241, L247 and I317 were not favorable sites in mode II. Consistent with these predictions, site-directed mutagenesis of either of these residues (F273A or I354A) reduced the activation of PPAR α by resveratrol compared with others (I241A, L247A, and I317A) (Fig. 2D) in BAECs transiently transfected with the PPRE-luc reporter. On the other







doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120865.g002

hand, all mutants (I241A, L247A, F273A, I317A, and I354A) were suppressed by Wy-14643. These results provide additional evidence that docking mode II of resveratrol is plausible, and that its 4'-hydroxyl group is functionally important for PPAR α activation. In this study, we did not show that resveratrol directly binds to PPAR α , however, our collaborated study showed



Fig 3. 4-PAP induces PPARa-dependent genes and SIRT1 *in vivo*. RT-qPCR was used to determine the mRNA levels of the indicated genes in liver samples from wild-type (WT; filled columns) and PPARa-knockout (PPARa KO; open columns) mice fed the control AIN-93G diet (C) or the same diet supplemented with 0.04% 4-PAP for 8 weeks. Data represent the mean ± SD from 7–8 mice in each group (WT) and from 4 mice in each group (PPARa KO). Data were statistically evaluated using the unpaired two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05 compared with wild-type mice fed the 4-PAP-supplemented diet. For each mRNA, data were normalized to the expression levels in wild-type mice fed the control diet.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120865.g003

the direct interaction between resveratrol and PPAR γ by X-ray crystal structure analysis (unpublished data), which is also recently reported by another group [28].

4-PAP induces the expression of PPARα-dependent genes and SIRT1

Next, the importance of the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol to the activation of PPARa in vivo was examined. A previous study demonstrated that exposure of wild-type mice to 0.04% vaticanol C, a resveratrol tetramer, upregulates the hepatic expression of PPAR α -responsive genes such as fatty acid binding protein 1. However, this response was not observed in PPARaknockout mice, indicating that vaticanol C activates PPARα in vivo [13]. Similarly, we recently found that exposure of wild-type mice (but not PPARα-knockout mice) to 0.04% resveratrol for 4 weeks upregulates the hepatic expression of SIRT1 and PPAR-responsive genes such as Acyl CoA oxidase 1, Long-chain acyl CoA dehydrogenase, and Fatty acid binding protein 1 (unpublished data), indicating that resveratrol also activates PPARα *in vivo*. Here, a resveratrol analog 4-PAP, which has a 4'-hydroxyl group on an azobenzene backbone (Fig. 1A), was used to examine the importance of this group to the activation of PPARa in vivo. Compared with wild-type mice fed a control diet, those exposed to 0.04% 4-PAP for 8 weeks showed significantly higher hepatic expression levels of the PPARa-responsive genes such as Acyl CoA oxidase 1, Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A and Adiponectin receptor type 2 and a tendency toward higher expression levels of the genes such as Fatty acid binding protein 1 and Longchain acyl CoA dehydrogenase (Fig. 3). These responses were not observed in PPAR α

knockout mice, indicating that 4-PAP activates PPARα *in vivo* (Fig. 3). Interestingly, similar to the results of our experiments using resveratrol (unpublished data), there was significantly 4-PAP-induced upregulation of SIRT1mRNA expression in wild-type, but not PPARα knockout mice (Fig. 3), indicating that PPARα-dependent upregulation of SIRT1 mRNA is attributable to SIRT1-activation by resveratrol *in vivo*.

Inhibition of PDE enhances the activation of PPARa by resveratrol

Finally, the inhibitory effect of PDEs on the activation of PPAR α by resveratrol was examined using a luciferase reporter assay. BAECs were transiently transfected with the PPRE-luc reporter vector, the human PPAR α expression vector GS-hPPAR α , and pSV- β -gal as an internal control, and then incubated with varying concentrations of resveratrol, T4HS or 4-PAP for 24 h. At higher concentrations (from 10 μ M to 40 μ M), resveratrol had a more potent effect on the activation of PPAR α than the others (Fig. 4A, left), on the other hand, resveratrol, T4HS and 4-PAP had the similar effect at lower concentrations (from 1.25 to 2.5 μ M) (Fig. 4A, right). These results suggest that the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol contributes to the activation of PPAR α at up to 2.5 μ M concentration, however, this 4'-hydroxyl group is not sufficient for the PPAR α -activation at over 10 μ M concentration.

A recent study reported that resveratrol inhibits PDE isozymes, PDE3 ($IC_{50} = 10 \mu M$) and PDE4 (IC₅₀ = 14 μ M), respectively [11]. It is therefore possible that the more potent effect of higher concentrations of resveratrol on the activation of PPARa is dependent on the inhibition of PDE, which will be contributed to the subsequent increase in intracellular cAMP levels. The activation of PPARa by 5 µM resveratrol, T4HS, or 4-PAP was enhanced by rolipram, a PDE4 inhibitor, or forskolin, an adenylate cyclase activator, both of which increase intracellular cAMP levels, although rolipram or forskolin alone could not activate PPAR α (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that the activation of PPARa by resveratrol or its related compound is enhanced by cAMP. Thus, PPAR α activation by resveratrol at an early point serves as a trigger to enhance the activation of PPAR α in advance of the inhibition of PDE by resveratrol. Our PDE inhibition assay (Fig. 4C) revealed that resveratrol is a more potent inhibitor (IC₅₀ = 19.0 μ M) than T4HS (IC₅₀ = 27.8 μ M; *p* = 0.00012) and 4-PAP (IC₅₀ = 26.5 μ M; *p* = 0.00022), which explains the relatively greater effect of higher 10 µM concentration of resveratrol on the activation of PPAR α (Fig. 4A). Zhao *et al.* recently reported that by different PDE4 assay using ³H-cAMP, resveratrol is more potent inhibitor (IC₅₀ = 14.0 μ M) than pterostilbene (Fig. 1A) $(IC_{50} = 27.0 \,\mu\text{M})$ [29], which is similar to our PDE inhibitory data of T4HS and 4-PAP (Fig. 4C), indicating that the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol partly contributes, but not sufficient, to inhibition of PDE.

This study investigated the molecular mechanisms involved in the activation of PPAR α by resveratrol. An examination of the structure-activity relationships of resveratrol-related compounds revealed that the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol is functionally important for the direct activation of PPAR α (Fig. 1). This result was confirmed by a docking model simulation and a subsequent experiment using the crystal structure of the PPAR α LBD (Fig. 2), as well as by an *in vivo* investigation of PPAR α activation by resveratrol analog 4-PAP (Fig. 3). Remarkably, the induction of SIRT1 mRNA depends on the activation of PPAR α by 4-PAP (Fig. 3) and resveratrol (unpublished data). Although direct activation of SIRT1 by resveratrol was unclear [9], [10], SIRT1 was reported to bind to PPAR α and enhanced the transcriptional activity of PPAR α with its co-activator PGC-1 α and promotes fatty acid oxidation [30]. Therefore, there may be a feedforward activation of PPAR α by resveratrol via activation of SIRT1.

Whereas the 4'-hydroxyl group of resveratrol directly contributes to PPARα activation, this 4'-hydroxyl group partly contributes to inhibition of PDE since the pattern of inhibition



Fig 4. Takizawa et al.

####

Fig 4. Inhibition of PDE enhances the activation of PPARa by resveratrol, especially at higher doses. (A) The dose-dependent activation of PPARa by resveratrol, T4HS and 4-PAP in BAECs transiently transfected with PPRE-luc, GS-hPPARa, and pSV-β-gal. Following transfection, the cells were incubated for 24 h with resveratrol, T4HS or 4-PAP at the indicated concentrations. Data were normalized to the β-galactosidase standard and represent the mean ± SD of three independent wells of cells. The right graph corresponds to the lower area marked by a dashed rectangle in left graph. (B) cAMP-dependent enhancement of PPARα activation by resveratrol, T4HS or 4-PAP. BAECs transiently transfected with PPRE-luc, GS-hPPARα, and pSV-β-gal were incubated for 24 h with 5 µM compounds in the presence or absence of 25 µM rolipram, a PDE4 inhibitor, or 25 µM forskolin, an adenylate cyclase activator.

Luciferase data were normalized to the β -galactosidase standard and represent the mean ± SD of three independent wells. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 (unpaired *t*-test) compared with control cells treated with the same compound. (C) The inhibition of PDE by resveratrol, T4HS, and rolipram. Data represent the mean ± SD of three independent wells of cells. Similar results were obtained by two additional experiments. The IC₅₀ values are shown in the Table. ***p < 0.001 (unpaired *t*-test) compared with rolipram. ###p < 0.001 (unpaired *t*-test) compared with resveratrol. Similar results were obtained by two additional experiments in (A-C).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120865.g004

PLOS ONE

differed between resveratrol, T4HS and 4-PAP (Fig. 4). Activation of PPAR α by resveratrol was enhanced by its inhibition of PDE. This feedforward activation of PPAR α by resveratrol may provide a reasonable explanation why long-term intake of resveratrol at concentrations lower than those used for *in vitro* assays induces the activation of PPAR α *in vivo*. Fig. 5 shows



hypothesis about short- and long-term effects of resveratrol, as shown in the text.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120865.g005

an ongoing hypothesis on long-term activation of PPAR α by resveratrol *in vivo*. As a shortterm effect, resveratrol activates PPAR α , which induces PPAR α responsive genes involved in lipid metabolism. Activation of lipid metabolism finally increases intracellular ratio of ATP/ ADP, and will decrease intracellular cAMP levels, which may feedback control of PPAR α activation with a time lag. As a long-term effect, resveratrol inhibits PDE, which will enhance the PPAR α -activation. At present, we do not have sufficient evidences for this hypothesis, especially feedback regulation of PPAR α *in vivo*. Further study will need to evaluate this hypothesis.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Shobu Namura and Takashi Nakazawa for helpful discussions and Ms. Haruka Takeuchi, Tomoko Tsukamoto, Naoko Anzai, Yukiko Kosuge, Emi Tamura, Ayako Takai for technical assistance.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YT RN HI. Performed the experiments: YT RN HY HK HI. Analyzed the data: YT RN HY HK HI. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YT RN KF HY HK HI. Wrote the paper: YT RN HY HK HI.

References

- 1. Langcake P, Pryce RJ. The production of resveratrol by Vitis vinifera and other members of the Vitaceae as a response to infection or injury. Physiol Plant Pathol. 1976; 9: 77–86.
- Jang M, Cai L, Udeani GO, Slowing KV, Thomas CF, Beecher CW, et al. Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. Science. 1997; 275: 218–220. PMID: 8985016
- Subbaramaiah K, Chung WJ, Michaluart P, Telang N, Tanabe T, Inoue H, et al. Resveratrol inhibits cyclooxygenase-2 transcription and activity in phorbol ester-treated human mammary epithelial cells. J Biol Chem. 1998; 273: 21875–21882. PMID: <u>9705326</u>
- Inoue H, Jiang XF, Katayama T, Osada S, Umesono K, Namura S. Brain protection by resveratrol and fenofibrate against stroke requires peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α in mice. Neurosci Lett. 2003; 352: 203–206. PMID: <u>14625020</u>
- Howitz KT, Bitterman KJ, Cohen HY, Lamming DW, Lavu S, Wood JG, et al. Small molecule activators of sirtuins extend Saccharomyces cerevisiae lifespan. Nature. 2003; 425: 191–196. PMID: <u>12939617</u>
- Lastra CA, Villegas I. Resveratrol as an anti-inflammatory and anti-aging agent: mechanisms and clinical implications. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2005; 49: 405–430. PMID: <u>15832402</u>
- Baur JA, Sinclair DA. Therapeutic potential of resveratrol: the in vivo evidence. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2006; 5: 493–506. PMID: <u>16732220</u>
- 8. McCay CM, Crowell MF, Maynard LA. The effect of retarded growth upon the length of life span and upon the ultimate body size. J Nutr. 1935; 10: 63–79.
- Kaeberlein M, McDonagh T, Heltweg B, Hixon J, Westman EA, Caldwell SD, et al. Substrate-specific activation of sirtuins by resveratrol. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:17038–17045. PMID: <u>15684413</u>
- Pacholec M, Bleasdale JE, Chrunyk B, Cunningham D, Flynn D, Garofalo RS, et al. SRT1720, SRT2183, SRT1460, and resveratrol are not direct activators of SIRT1. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285: 8340–8351. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.088682 PMID: 20061378
- 11. Park SJ. Resveratrol ameliorates aging-related metabolic phenotypes by inhibiting cAMP phosphodiesterases. Cell. 2012; 148: 421–433. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.017 PMID: 22304913
- 12. Nakata R, Takahashi S, Inoue H. Recent advances in the study on resveratrol. Biol Pharm Bull. 2012; 35: 273–270. PMID: 22382311
- Tsukamoto T, Nakata R, Tamura E, Kosuge Y, Kariya A, Katsukawa M, et al. Vaticanol C, a resveratrol tetramer, activates PPARα and PPARβ/δ in vitro and in vivo. Nutr Metab. 2010; 7: 46.
- Corton JC, Apte U, Anderson SP, Limaye P, Yoon L, Latendresse J, et al. Mimetics of caloric restriction include agonists of lipid-activated nuclear receptors. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279: 46204–46212. PMID: 15302862

- Mangelsdorf DJ, Thummel C, Beato M, Herrlich P, Schütz G, Umesono K, et al. The nuclear receptor superfamily: the second decade. Cell. 1995; 83: 835–839. PMID: 8521507
- Michalik L, Auwerx J, Berger JP, Chatterjee VK, Glass CK, Gonzalez FJ, et al. International Union of Pharmacology. LXI. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. Pharmacol Rev. 2006; 58: 726–741. PMID: <u>17132851</u>
- Xu HE, Lambert MH, Montana VG, Parks DJ, Blanchard SG, Brown PJ, et al. Molecular recognition of fatty acids by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. Mol Cell. 1999; 3: 397–403. PMID: 10198642
- **18.** Forman BM, Tontonoz P, Chen J, Brun RP, Spiegelman BM, Evans RM. 15-Deoxy-delta 12,14prostaglandin J_2 is a ligand for the adipocyte determination factor PPARy. Cell. 1995; 83: 803–812. PMID: 8521497
- Kliewer SA, Lenhard JM, Willson TM, Patel I, Morris DC, Lehmann JM. A prostaglandin J₂ metabolite binds peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma and promotes adipocyte differentiation. Cell. 1995; 83: 813–819. PMID: 8521498
- Inoue H, Tanabe T, Umesono K. Feedback control of cyclooxygenase-2 expression through PPARγ. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275: 28028–28032. PMID: <u>10827178</u>
- Inoue H, Taba Y, Miwa Y, Yokota C, Miyagi M, Sasaguri T. Transcriptional and Posttranscriptional Regulation of Cyclooxygenase-2 Expression by Fluid Shear Stress in Vascular Endothelial Cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2002; 22: 1415–1420. PMID: <u>12231559</u>
- Thakkar K, Geahlen RL, Cushman M. Synthesis and protein-tyrosine kinase inhibitory activity of polyhydroxylated stilbene analogues of piceatannol. J Med Chem. 1993; 36: 2950–2955. PMID: <u>8411012</u>
- Hotta M, Nakata R, Katsukawa M, Hori K, Takahashi S, Inoue H. Carvacrol, a component of thyme oil, activates PPARα and γ and suppresses COX-2 expression. J Lipid Res. 2010; 51: 132–139. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1194/jlr.M900255-JLR200</u> PMID: <u>19578162</u>
- 24. Jones G, Willett P, Glen RC, Leach AR, Taylor R. Development and validation of a genetic algorithm for flexible docking. J Mol Biol. 1997; 267: 727–748. PMID: <u>9126849</u>
- Kuhn B, Kollman PA. Binding of a diverse set of ligands to avidin and streptavidin: an accurate quantitative prediction of their relative affinities by a combination of molecular mechanics and continuum solvent models. J Med Chem. 2000; 43: 3786–3791. PMID: 11020294
- 26. Kleppisch T. Phosphodiesterases in the central nervous system. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2009; 191: 71–92. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-68964-5_5 PMID: 19089326
- Xu HE, Lambert MH, Montana VG, Plunket KD, Moore LB, Collins JL, et al. Structural determinants of ligand binding selectivity between the peroxisome proliferator activated receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2001; 98: 13919–13924. PMID: <u>11698662</u>
- Calleri E, Pochetti G, Dossou KS, Laghezza A, Montanari R, Capelli D, et al. Resveratrol and its metabolites bind to PPARs. ChemBioChem. 2014; 15: 1154–1160. doi: <u>10.1002/cbic.201300754</u> PMID: <u>24796862</u>
- Zhao P, Chen SK, Cai YH, Lu X, Li Z, Cheng YK, et al. The molecular basis for the inhibition of phosphodiesterase-4D by three natural resveratrol analogs. Isolation, molecular docking, molecular dynamics simulations, binding free energy, and bioassay. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013; 1834: 2089–2096. doi: <u>10.1016/j.bbapap.2013.07.004</u> PMID: <u>23871879</u>
- **30.** Purushotham A, Schug TT, Xu Q, Surapureddi S, Guo X, Li X. Hepatocyte-specific deletion of SIRT1 alters fatty acid metabolism and results in hepatic steatosis and inflammation. Cell Metab. 2009; 9: 327–338. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2009.02.006 PMID: 19356714