Journal of JBC

Case Report

Check for updates

Whole Exome Sequencing in the Accurate Diagnosis of Bilateral Breast Cancer: a Case Study

Xiaoling Li ^{1,2,*}, Mei Yang^{2,*}, Qiangzu Zhang³, Yanhui Fan ¹, Teng Zhu², Fulong Chen², Kun Wang ^{1,2}

¹The Second School of Clinical Medicine, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China ²Department of Breast Cancer, Cancer Center, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China ³Phil Rivers Technology, Beijing, China

ABSTRACT

When faced with a case of bilateral breast cancer (BBC), understanding how to differentiate bilateral primary breast cancer from contralateral metastatic breast cancer is essential for treatment, but clear identification criteria have not been established to date. Diverse events play different roles in the therapy and prognosis of BBC; hence, it is of great significance to detect a more comprehensive and convincing technique to make an accurate differential diagnosis. We report a rare case of synchronous BBC in a 61-year-old Chinese woman. Based on her clinical and pathological features and the use of whole exome sequencing and cancer genome analysis, we concluded that the patient developed contralateral metastatic breast cancer which metastasized from left to right. Therefore, together with clinical, pathological and cancer genomics information, we could precisely define the origin and evolution of BBC.

Keywords: Breast neoplasms; Differential diagnosis; Neoplasm metastasis; Whole exome sequencing

INTRODUCTION

Improvement in the technique of auxiliary examination, advanced diagnosis, and a better awareness of self-examination have resulted in an increasing number of women diagnosed with bilateral breast cancer (BBC) [1,2]. The incidence rate of BBC in the literature ranges from 4% to 7% [3,4]. When a patient develops BBC, whether it is metastasis that spread from one side of the breast to the other or a second primary event that developed in the other breast, correct diagnosis is essential not only for treatment, but also for understanding the prognosis [5,6]. However, there have not been any standard identification criteria to date.

Over the past few decades, great strides have been made in cancer genomics, both in the clinic and in the research of malignant diseases. Exploration of genomic sequences and molecular data have revealed fundamental alterations in the structure or copy number of genes, providing evidence at an undetectable, genetic level [7,8]. Mutational profiling data of 2 tumors, in a wide range of cancers, have been investigated and used to identify their clonal relationship [9,10].

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Aug 13, 2018 Accepted: Dec 25, 2018

Correspondence to Kun Wang

Department of Breast Cancer, Cancer Center, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, No.123 Huifu West Road, Yuexiu District, Guangzhou 510080, China. E-mail: gzwangkun@126.com

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

© 2019 Korean Breast Cancer Society This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Xiaoling Li D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6146-7112 Yanhui Fan D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9677-3777 Kun Wang D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9851-7080

Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Guangdong Province, China (grant number: 2017A030313882) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 81871513).



Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Li X, Yang M, Wang K; Data curation: Li X, Yang M, Zhang Q, Zhu T, Chen F; Formal analysis: Zhang Q, Fan Y; Investigation: Zhu T, Chen F; Methodology: Zhang Q, Fan Y; Software: Zhang Q, Fan Y; Validation: Li X, Yang M, Wang K; Writing - original draft: Li X, Yang M; Writing - review & editing: Li X, Yang M, Wang K. Here, we report a rare case of synchronous BBC in a 61-year-old Chinese woman, where we aimed for a correct and convincing diagnosis through the utilization of whole exome sequencing (WES).

CASE REPORT

In April 2017, a 61-year-old Chinese woman, presenting with a palpable lump in her right breast, was admitted to our department. On physical examination, a conspicuous lump was noted in the upper inner quadrant of the right breast, with a dimple in the overlying skin. There was no palpable mass in the left breast. An ultrasound scan showed a 4.4 × 2.4 cm irregular low echo in the upper inner side of the right breast, and a 1.2 × 0.6 cm irregular low echo was found in the nipple areola area of the left breast. Enlarged lymph nodes (LNs) were observed in both axilla (**Figure 1A and B**). Magnetic resonance imaging (**Figure 1C and D**) and a mammogram (**Figure 1E-H**) further confirmed the bilateral masses and respectively enlarged axillary LNs.

We further carried out core needle biopsy of both breast masses and the enlarged axillary LNs. The mass in the left breast was pathologically diagnosed as an invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), grade II, estrogen receptor (ER) 3+ (95%), progesterone receptor (PR) 1+ (<1%), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) 1+, and Ki-67 30% (**Figure 2A-E**). The mass in the right breast was an IDC with neuroendocrine characteristics, grade II, ER 2+ (50%), PR–, HER2–, and Ki-67 30% (**Figure 2F-J**). Metastatic cancer lesions were found in the axillary LNs bilaterally (**Figure 3**).

Further computed tomography scans of the chest and upper abdomen and a bone scan showed no evidence of metastatic disease. All of the clinical and histopathological characteristics of the patient's BBC are shown in **Table 1**.

Therefore, this patient was diagnosed with BBC. Right breast: IDC, grade II, cT4 (skin involved) N1MO, luminal B HER2 negative; and left breast: IDC, grade II, cT1c (1.7×0.9 cm) N1MO, luminal B HER2 negative. She received 6 cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy comprising capecitabine (1,500 mg orally twice daily on days 1-14, cycled every 21 days) plus docetaxel (75 mg/m² intravenously on day 1, cycled every 21 days) and underwent bilateral modified radical mastectomy in October 2017. The postoperative pathological diagnoses for the right breast were IDC, grade II, and the tumor diameter was 3.0 cm; for the left breast, multifocal IDC, grade II, and a tumor diameter of 1.0 cm, peritumoral intravascular cancer emboli, and a low-grade ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS) could be observed. Surgical staging was ypT2 (3 cm) N2 (8/10) for the right breast and ypT1b (1 cm) N2 (7/14) for the left breast.

Confusingly, when it came to the next treatment, we needed to verify whether her contralateral breast cancer was a metastatic lesion or a second primary event. However, based solely on her clinical and pathological features, we could not positively identify its origin. Therefore, in order to know her cancer genetic information, we utilized WES and cancer genome analysis for each tumor sample to assist our discrimination.

From the somatic mutation heatmap, we observed that, besides unique variations, there were 34 common mutations between the left and right breast lesions (**Figure 4A**). The variation frequency (VAF) distribution showed that these mutations mainly belonged to the founder





Figure 1. Imaging studies. (A) The 3 o'clock view of the areola area of the left breast; 1.0 cm away from the body surface, a hypoechoic mass of 1.2 × 0.6 cm with an irregular shape and unclear boundary can be seen. (B) The 12–3 o'clock view of the right breast; 0.7 cm away from the body surface, a hypoechoic mass of 4.4 × 2.4 cm with a "crab-feet" change and clear margin can be seen. (C) An abnormal signal is visible at the rear of the left nipple, about 1.7 × 0.9 cm in size. (D) The right breast has a large, abnormal signal mass, about 4.4 × 3.3 cm in size and lobulated, which is mainly distributed within the upper quadrant, and its edge has burrs. There is right nipple retraction, and the inner, upper quadrant of the skin is thickened. Mammograms of the mediolateral oblique (E, F) and AT (G, H) positions. Mammograms of the AT position show a hint of bilateral, lymphatic metastasis. AT = axillary tail.



Figure 2. Analysis of the biopsy tissues from the bilateral breast tumors. (A) The section on the left tumor showed an IDC, grade II (H & E × 250). (B-E) The left tumor revealed ER (95% 3+), PR (< 1% +), HER2 (1+), and Ki67 (30%) (IHC × 250). (F) The section on the right tumor showed an IDC, grade II (H & E × 250). (G-J) The right tumor revealed ER (50% 2+), PR (-), HER2 (-), Ki67 (30%) (IHC × 250).

H & E = hematoxylin and eosin; IDC = invasive ductal carcinoma; IHC = immunohistochemistry; ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; HER2 = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.



Figure 3. Analysis of the biopsy tissues of the bilateral axillary LNs. (A) The LN of left axilla showed an IDC, grade II (H & E, × 250) (B-E) The LN of the left axilla revealed ER (60% 3+), PR (-), HER2 (1+), and Ki67 (30%) (IHC, × 250). (F) The LN of the right side showed an IDC, grade II (H & E, × 250). (G-J) The LN of the right axilla revealed ER (90% 3+), PR (< 1% +), HER2 (-), and Ki67 (25%) (IHC, × 250).

LN = lymph node; H & E = hematoxylin and eosin; IHC = immunohistochemistry; IDC = invasive ductal carcinoma; ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; HER2 = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.



Table 1. Clinical and pathological characteristics of BBCs and axillary LNs

/ariable	Left	Right	
Site of the lump	Upper outer quadrant	Upper inner quadrant	
Size	1.7 × 0.9 cm	4.4 × 3.3 cm	
Skin involvement	No Yes		
Pathology	IDC, grade II	IDC, grade II	
biopsy, pre-treatment)	ER 95% 3+, PR < 1%+,	ER 50% 2+, PR-,	
	HER2 1+, Ki-67 30%	HER2–, Ki-67 30%	
Axillary LNs	Luminal B HER2–	Luminal B HER2–	
	IDC, grade II	IDC, grade II	
	ER 60% 3+, PR-, HER2 1+, Ki-67 30%	ER 90% 3+, PR < 1%+, HER2-, Ki-67 25%	
	Luminal B HER2–	Luminal B HER2–	
Pathology (post operation)	IDC, grade II multifocal surrounded by DCIS peritumoral intravascular cancer emboli	IDC, grade II	
ymphatic metastasis	pN2 (7/14)	pN2 (8/10)	

BBC = bilateral breast cancer; IDC = invasive ductal carcinoma; ER = estrogen receptor; PR = progesterone receptor; HER2 = human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; LN = lymph node; DCIS = ductal carcinoma *in situ*.

mutation, which originated from the only tumor clone, and the correlation coefficient was 0.77 (**Figure 4B**).

Further, the whole genome copy number variations (CNVs) in the left breast tumor were similar to those in the right lesion. Chr8 and chr11 displayed a high gene-amplification pattern, such as the interval *CCND1*, *FGF3*, and *FGF4* in chr11 (**Figure 5**). More importantly, compared to the left breast cancer, we noticed a loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of chr6/11/13 in the right breast cancer (**Figure 6**).

Additionally, we sequenced the axillary metastatic LNs bilaterally (**Figure 4**). As demonstrated in **Figure 4A**, the same yellow area, which means a majority of the same genes with mutations, could be found among the left breast cancer, left axillary metastatic LNs, and right breast cancer, but not in the right axillary metastatic LNs. Moreover, the VAF distribution revealed differences in the LNs on the right side, which has been illustrated in intervals, such as *AK3*, *OR8B3*, *PCYT1A*, and *OR6B3*.

The patient's next treatment course was based on the traditional regimen for metastatic breast cancer. She received postoperative irradiation and capecitabine (1,500 mg orally twice daily on days 1–14, cycled every 21 days) plus letrozole (2.5 mg orally once per day). She is on a regular follow-up every 3 months. Thus far, it has been 1 year since her operation. No recurrence or metastasis has been detected by routine imaging.

DISCUSSION

In 1964, based on clinical and histopathological parameters, Robbins and Berg [11] defined guidelines for a second primary lesion as follows: first, different histological types of tumors; second, lesions of DCIS are present in both breasts; third, the same histological types in the tumors in both breasts, but with no evidence of local, regional, or systemic metastases; and fourth, the predilection site of the primary cancer is the upper outer quadrant of the breast, and it always appears alone. However, the majority of breast cancers share the same pathological feature, which is an IDC. Due to the complications of the clinical signs and tumor heterogeneity, these criteria could not be satisfied in the distinction between the second primary site and the true recurrence of BBC [12,13]. Therefore, in this case, according to the clinical and





Figure 4. Analysis of whole exome sequencing research. (A) Mutation heatmap for the BBCs and axillary LNs. All L, LN, R samples share 9 common variations which are not found in RN. These variations are *AK3, PIEZO1, OR8B3, WDR17, PLXNA1, PCYT1A, ZNF629, TRDMT1 and OR6B3.* (B) VAF distribution (%) for the BBCs and axillary LNs. The mean VAF of those shared common variations are 5%, 15% and 16% in L, LN and R samples respectively. Yellow = a gene with a mutation; Blue = a gene without mutation; LN = lymph node; BBC = bilateral breast cancer; VAF = variation frequency.



Figure 5. CNVs of the BBCs. CNVs in the left breast tumor were similar to those in the right lesion. Chr8 and chr11 displayed a high gene-amplification pattern. CNV = copy number variation; BBC = bilateral breast cancer.



Figure 6. The CNV distribution of all chromosomes. Chr6/11/13 LOH were shown in the right breast cancer. CNV = copy number variation; LOH = loss of heterozygosity.



Table 0 Dationt summar	a compared to the	guidalinas dasaribad b	Dobbing and Parg [11] in 1064
Table 2. Patient Summary	/ compared to the	guidelines described b	y Robbins and Berg [11] In 1964

Variable	Left	Right	Comparing to the guidelines
Pathology	IDC, grade II	IDC, grade II	Non-conformity
Present of DCIS	YES	NO	Non-conformity
Lymphatic metastasis	YES	YES	Non-conformity
Site of the lump	3 o'clock of areola area	Upper inner quadrant	Non-conformity

IDC = invasive ductal carcinoma; DCIS = ductal carcinoma *in situ*.

histopathological guidelines mentioned above [11] (**Table 2**), we could only say that she was inclined to, but did not definitely, have metastatic breast cancer from 1 breast to the other.

Based on molecular characteristics, Banelli et al. [14] evaluated the distinct origins of 2 tumors through different X-inactivation analyses, but this method is uninformative if both have the same X-chromosome status. Janschek et al. [15] posited that if mutations in the *P53* gene in 2 tumors are the same, it might be a marker for identical progenitor cells. However, there might not be strong evidence of this phenomenon, because mutations of *P53* are common, and germline mutations are also possible. Limited information could be grasped from such gene segments, which resulted in unconvincing statistical power for correct classification. A more feasible and cost-effective approach should be presented for an auxiliary diagnosis.

In this case, we utilized WES in the sequencing of paraffin-embedded specimens from the breast tumors on both sides. The results inferred a conclusion of metastasis. The somatic mutation heatmap from the bilateral lesions revealed that 34 of the mutations were the same, and these mainly belonged to the founder mutation and originated from 1 tumor clone according to the VAF (**Figure 4**). Additionally, similar CNVs were seen in both breasts. Together, this revealed that the 2 lesions had the same origin and that there might be an evolution from one side to the other.

The primary lesion and metastatic lesion needed to be identified. At first glance, the right breast tumor might be considered as the primary lesion, because the right lump was bigger. However, after a careful review according to the guidelines mentioned above, we finally came to the opposite conclusion. A DCIS was detected in the left breast (**Table 1**). The lump on the left was located in the upper outer quadrant, while the right tumor was in the upper inner quadrant of the breast. Additionally, from the genetic profiling data, more mutations were found in the right breast tumor and a LOH was detected in chr6/11/13 of the right breast tumor, which indicates a genetic evolution from left to right breast cancer. Moreover, additional sequencing of the bilateral axillary metastatic LNs also strengthened this result. We found a common clonal population in the left breast lesion, left axillary metastatic LNs, and right breast lesion; but not in the right axillary metastatic LNs were the last lesions to develop.

Conclusively, the clinical, histopathological and genomic data revealed that the patient developed contralateral metastatic breast cancer, which is a metastasis from the left to the right breast lesion. Based on personal clinicopathological data, WES might be a comprehensive and convincing supplementary technique for making an accurate differential diagnosis for BBC.



REFERENCES

- Brennan ME, Houssami N, Lord S, Macaskill P, Irwig L, Dixon JM, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging screening of the contralateral breast in women with newly diagnosed breast cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of incremental cancer detection and impact on surgical management. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:5640-9.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sorbero ME, Dick AW, Beckjord EB, Ahrendt G. Diagnostic breast magnetic resonance imaging and contralateral prophylactic mastectomy. Ann Surg Oncol 2009;16:1597-605.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Beinart G, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Broglio K, Mejia J, Ruggeri A, Mininberg E, et al. Clinical course of 771 patients with bilateral breast cancer: characteristics associated with overall and recurrence-free survival. Clin Breast Cancer 2007;7:867-74.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 4. Kheirelseid EA, Jumustafa H, Miller N, Curran C, Sweeney K, Malone C, et al. Bilateral breast cancer: analysis of incidence, outcome, survival and disease characteristics. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2011;126:131-40. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hartman M, Czene K, Reilly M, Adolfsson J, Bergh J, Adami HO, et al. Incidence and prognosis of synchronous and metachronous bilateral breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:4210-6.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Jobsen JJ, van der Palen J, Ong F, Riemersma S, Struikmans H. Bilateral breast cancer, synchronous and metachronous; differences and outcome. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2015;153:277-83.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 7. Balmain A, Gray J, Ponder B. The genetics and genomics of cancer. Nat Genet 2003;33 Suppl:238-44. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Kristensen VN, Lingjærde OC, Russnes HG, Vollan HK, Frigessi A, Børresen-Dale AL. Principles and methods of integrative genomic analyses in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2014;14:299-313.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ding L, Ellis MJ, Li S, Larson DE, Chen K, Wallis JW, et al. Genome remodelling in a basal-like breast cancer metastasis and xenograft. Nature 2010;464:999-1005.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ding L, Ley TJ, Larson DE, Miller CA, Koboldt DC, Welch JS, et al. Clonal evolution in relapsed acute myeloid leukaemia revealed by whole-genome sequencing. Nature 2012;481:506-10.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Robbins GF, BERG JW. Bilateral primary breast cancer; a prospective clinicopathological study. Cancer 1964;17:1501-27.
- Chaudary MA, Millis RR, Hoskins EO, Halder M, Bulbrook RD, Cuzick J, et al. Bilateral primary breast cancer: a prospective study of disease incidence. Br J Surg 1984;71:711-4.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Robinson E, Rennert G, Bar-Deroma R, Dori DL, Neugut AI. The pattern of diagnosis of a second primary tumor in the breast. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1993;25:211-5.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Banelli B, Casciano I, Di Vinci A, Gatteschi B, Levaggi A, Carli F, et al. Pathological and molecular characteristics distinguishing contralateral metastatic from new primary breast cancer. Ann Oncol 2010;21:1237-42.
 PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Janschek E, Kandioler-Eckersberger D, Ludwig C, Kappel S, Wolf B, Taucher S, et al. Contralateral breast cancer: molecular differentiation between metastasis and second primary cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2001;67:1-8.

PUBMED | CROSSREF