

http://dx.doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2014.29.11.1473 • J Korean Med Sci 2014; 29: 1473-1481

Epidemiologic Characteristics of Compensated Occupational Lung Cancers among Korean Workers

Yeon-Soon Ahn and Kyoung Sook Jeong

Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, Goyang, Korea

Received: 2 April 2014 Accepted: 25 July 2014

Address for Correspondence: Yeon-Soon Ahn, MD Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital, 27 Dongguk-ro, Ilsandong-gu, Goyang 410-773, Korea Tel: +82.31-961-7517, Fax: +82.31-961-7039 E-mail: ysahn@dongguk.ac.kr An understanding of the characteristics of occupational lung cancer is important to establish policies that prevent carcinogen exposure and to compensate workers exposed to lung carcinogens. This study analyzed the characteristics of occupational lung cancers in workers who were compensated under the Industrial Accident Compensation Insurance Law between 1994 and 2011. A total of 179 occupational lung cancers were compensated. The main carcinogenic exposure was asbestos, followed by crystalline silica and hexavalent chromium. The mean exposure duration and latency were 19.8 and 23.2 yr. The most common industry was manufacturing, followed by construction and transportation. The most common occupation was maintenance and repair, followed by foundry work, welding, painting, and spinning or weaving. Although asbestos was predominant carcinogen, the proportion of these cases was relatively low compared to other developed countries. Proper surveillance system is needed to monitor occupational lung cancer and improve prevention measures.

Keywords: Lung Neoplasms; Asbestos; Crystalline Silica; Chromium Hexavalent Ion; Workers' Compensation

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer deaths in both men and women in Korea. In 2010, the number of incidence of lung cancer was 14,650 among men and 6,061 among women, and the age-adjusted standardized incidence rate per 100,000 persons was 47.2 and 14.8 in men and women, respectively (1). Smoking is the predominant cause of lung cancer, followed by occupational and environmental exposure. The global burden of occupational lung cancer was first estimated in 1981 at 15% of total lung cancer cases (2). Several studies (2-4) were subsequently conducted to determine the population attributable fraction (PAF) of occupational lung cancer, reporting a range of 8% (4) to 29.0% (3). The PAF study of occupational lung cancer in Korea was conducted two times in 2009 and 2010. Kim et al. (5) calculated the PAFs of occupational lung cancer caused by 9 lung carcinogens using the International agency for research on cancer (IARC) relative risks (RRs) and Korean RRs, which were 7.0% and 3.7%, respectively. The estimated number of occupational lung cancers ranged from 630 to 1,181 incidence cases in 2005 (6). Son et al. (7) estimated that the PAF of occupational lung cancers was 26.1% for incident lung cancer cases and 26.3% for lung cancer deaths.

Despite being expected to the large number of occupational lung cancers in Korea, the number of compensated occupational lung cancers as approved by the Korea Workers' Compensation and Welfare Service (KCOMWEL) is approximately 10 to 20 cases per year. The first documented case of compensated occupational lung cancer in 1994 involved a worker who produced heat insulator contained asbestos (8). From 1994 to 2011, 179 lung cancers have been compensated by the KCOMWEL.

An understanding of the characteristics of occupational lung cancer is important to make policies that prevent carcinogen exposure and refer to compensation criteria for workers who develop lung cancer due to these occupational exposures. So, the authors analyzed the characteristics of occupational lung cancers that were compensated under the Industrial Accident Compensation Insurance Law (IACIL), which is operated by the KCOMWEL. Through this study, it is available for grasping such epidemiologic characteristics as the kinds of carcinogen, the disease latency, carcinogen exposure duration, and the type of industry and job of workers with compensated occupational lung cancers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Using KCOMWEL's electronic database, 179 cases of compensated occupational lung cancers were identified between 1 January 1994 and 31 December 2011. Each of these 179 cases was reviewed and the epidemiologic characteristics of these cases were analyzed.

Three additional sources of data were used to obtain more

information about these 179 cases. The first data source was the Survey of Occupational Accidents and Diseases, which has collected data from workers who have been compensated with occupational diseases through direct interview, telephone, and mail surveys conducted by the Korea Occupational Safety and Health Agency (KOSHA) since 1999. The second data source was epidemiological investigation reports produced by the Occupational Safety and Health Research Institute (OSHRI) of KO-SHA. Since 1992, OSHRI has been investigating the workers and workplaces of claimed cases to determine the work-relatedness of each case. The diseases which were related to the work environment by a probability of more than 50% were considered as occupational diseases. Although this probability was decided by a panel of occupational physicians and hygienists, there are no consistent objective criteria to determine the probability. The third data source was epidemiological investigation reports produced by the Occupational Lung Disease Institute (OLDI) of KCOMWEL. Like OSHRI, OLDI has also been investigating the relationship between the work environment and claimed cases of lung diseases, including lung cancer, since 2007. The investigation process and recognition criteria are similar to those of OSHRI.

Among 179 cases, 99 cases (55.3%) and 27 cases (15.1%) had been investigated by OSHRI and OLDI, respectively. The other 53 cases (29.6%) were recognized as occupational lung cancers by the physician advisory committee of KCOMWEL. This committee adopted the diagnostic criteria in the Enforcement Ordinance (an annexed list 3) of the Industrial Accident Compensation Insurance Act (IACIA). For example, this committee determined without an epidemiological investigation from OSHRI or OLDI that the lung cancer of a female worker with no smoking history who had worked more than 10 yr at an asbestos textile factory was an asbestos-related occupational lung cancer.

For each case, the general characteristics of the workers (e.g., gender, age at cancer diagnosis, smoking status), clinical findings (e.g., pathology and chest CT), occupational exposure history (e.g., type of occupation, type of carcinogen exposure, duration of exposure, and disease latency), and the type of industry were analyzed. The time of the first exposure was considered as the first exposure to a lung carcinogen regardless of the start of employment. In many cases, workers had been exposed to several types of lung carcinogens in addition to the major causative carcinogen. In these cases, the duration of exposure added the durations of individual lung carcinogen exposures and then subtracted periods in which individual exposures overlapped. The latency was defined as the duration between the first exposure and the date of diagnosis of the lung cancer.

Industries were classified by the 9th version of Korea Standard Industrial Classification (9th KSIC), which is based on the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) adopted by the United Nations.

Ethics statement

This study protocol was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital (IRB No. 2013-91). This study used the secondary data and all information was collected for 18 yr via KCOMWEL. So there was no method to follow the study subjects or their families to obtain informed consents. Also, there is no adverse effect on esteem, right, and welfare of study subjects. Therefore the IRB of Dongguk University Ilsan Hospital waived the informed consents to this study subjects.

RESULTS

Recognition rate of compensated occupational lung cancers per 100,000 insured Korean workers

The KCOMWEL compensated 179 lung cancers including 87 asbestos-related lung cancers between 1994 and 2011. Recognition for overall- and asbestos-related occupational lung cancers per 100,000 insured workers were 0 to 0.15 (mean 0.09) and 0.00 to 0.09 (mean 0.05) (Table 1).

Worker demographics

Men accounted for 94.4% (169 cases) of recognized cases. The absolute number of compensated cases increased approximately 11-fold, from 15 cases between 1994 and 1999 to 164 cases between 2000 and 2011.

The mean age at the time of diagnosis was 53.5 (Standard deviation; SD 8.2) yr old. The most common age group was 50-59 yr old (86 cases), followed by 40-49 yr old (49 cases).

Among 160 cases in which smoking history had been assessed, 74 cases (46.3%) were current smokers and 65 cases (40.1%) were non-smokers. Mean smoking amounts of smokers and ex-smokers were 18.2 pack-years (Table 2).

Characteristics of the workers and work environments

The major causative carcinogen in the 179 recognized cases was asbestos (87 cases, 48.6%), followed by crystalline silica (42 cases, 23.5%) and hexavalent chromium (Cr^{6+}) (26 cases, 14.5%). The mean duration of exposure to lung carcinogens was 19.8 (SD 9.9) yr. An exposure of 20-30 yr was the most common duration (n = 78), followed by exposure durations of 10-20 yr (n = 73). The mean duration of the latency period was 23.2 yr. The most common latency period was 20-30 yr (n = 80) (Table 3).

The most common type of industry from which cases originated, as classified by the KSIC-9, was manufacturing (112 cases, 62.6%), followed by construction (30 cases, 16.8%), transportation (18 cases, 10.1%), and mining and quarrying (7 cases, 3.9%). Among the 112 manufacturing industries from which cases originated, the most common manufactured product was basic metal products (29 cases, 25.9%), followed by transport equipment (24 cases, 21.4%), non-metallic mineral products (14 cas-

Recognized year	Insured population	The number of recog- nized lung cancer	Recognition rate of lung cancer per 100,000 insured workers	The number of recognized asbestos-related lung cancer	Recognition rate of asbestos-related lung cancer per 100,000 insured workers
1994	7,273,132	1	0.01	1	0.01
1995	7,893,727	2	0.03	1	0.01
1996	8,156,894	5	0.06	1	0.01
1997	8,236,641	0	0.00	0	0.00
1998	7,582,479	2	0.03	0	0.00
1999	7,441,160	5	0.07	3	0.04
2000	9,485,557	9	0.09	3	0.03
2001	10,581,186	8	0.08	6	0.06
2002	10,571,279	11	0.10	4	0.04
2003	10,599,345	16	0.15	9	0.08
2004	10,473,090	15	0.14	8	0.08
2005	11,059,193	13	0.12	5	0.05
2006	11,688,797	8	0.07	1	0.01
2007	12,528,879	17	0.14	10	0.08
2008	13,489,986	17	0.13	9	0.07
2009	13,884,927	10	0.07	5	0.04
2010	14,198,748	20	0.14	8	0.06
2011	14,362,372	20	0.14	13	0.09
Total	189,507,392	179	0.09	87	0.05

Table 1. Recognition of lung cancer per 100,000 insured workers in Korea

Table 2. General characteristics of the study subjects

Variables			No.	Percent
Diagnostic year	1993-199 1996-200 2001-200 2006-201)0)5	9 29 59 82	5.0 16.2 33.0 45.8
Gender	Men Women		169 10	94.4 5.6
Age at diagnosis (yr)	30-39 40-49 50-59 60-69 70-79 Mean ± 5	SD		3.4 27.4 48.0 18.4 2.8
Smoking status	Current-smoker Ex-smoker Non-smoker Unknown- Mean pack-years of current and ex-smoker		74 21 65 19 18.2 ± 8.6	41.3 11.8 36.3 10.6
Pathologic findings	NSCLC Small cell Unknown [†]	Adenocarcinoma Squamous cell carcinoma NSCLC, NOS Unknown* carcinoma	64 38 1 12 17 47	35.8 21.2 0.6 6.7 9.5 26.2

*Unknown, NSCLC without information on sub-classification; [†]Unknown, Primary lung cancer not to be recorded detailed pathologic classification on KCOMWEL database or epidemiologic investigation reports. NSCLC, non-small cell lung carcinoma; NSCLC, NOS, non-small cell lung carcinoma, not otherwise specified.

es, 12.5%), fabricated metal products excluding machinery and furniture (13 cases, 11.6%), textiles excluding apparel (8 cases, 7.1%), and motor vehicles, trailers, and semi-trailers (8 cases, 7.1%). Among the 30 construction industries from which cases originated, 14 (46.7%) cases originated from general construcTable 3. Exposure characteristics of the study subjects

Variables		No.	Percent
Major lung	Asbestos	87	48.6
carcinogen	Crystaline silica	42	23.5
	Cr ⁶⁺	26	14.5
	Welding fume including Cr6+, nickel	14	7.8
	DEE	4	2.2
	Rubber dust	2	1.1
	CTPV & PAH	3	1.7
	Coke oven emission (COE)	1	0.6
Year at first	-1969	11	6.1
exposure	1970-1979	63	35.2
	1980-1989	76	42.5
	1990-1999	28	15.6
	2000-	1	0.6
Exposure duration	< 10	12	6.7
(yr)	\geq 10 and < 20	73	40.8
	\geq 20 and $<$ 30	78	43.6
	≥ 30	16	8.9
	Mean \pm SD	19.8 ± 9.9	
Latent duration	< 10	5	2.8
(yr)	\geq 10 and < 20	57	31.8
	\geq 20 and $<$ 30	80	44.7
	≥ 30	37	20.7
	Mean \pm SD	23.0 ± 9.9	

DEE, diesel engine exhaust; CTPV, coal tar pitch volatile; PAH, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

tion and 16 (53.3%) cases originated from special trade construction (Table 4). The most common types of occupations from which the 179 cases originated were maintenance and repair (29 cases, 16.2%), followed by foundry work (23 cases, 12.8%), welding (22 cases, 12.3%), painting (13 cases, 7.3%), and spinning or weaving (7 cases, 3.9%) (Table 4).

Industry classification (No. of cases)	Industry classification (No. of cases)	Job classification (No. of cases)	Main carcinogen
Mining and quarrying (n = 7)	Mining of coal and lignite $(n = 1)$ Mining of iron ores $(n = 1)$ Mining of non-ferrous (tungsten) metals $(n = 1)$ Quarrying of limestone and gypsum $(n = 2)$ Quarrying of stone, broken stone, sand and gravel $(n = 2)$	Miner (n = 1) Miner (n = 1) Repairer & welder (n = 1) Crusher (n = 2) Stone partitioning (cutting) worker (n = 2)	Silica Silica Asbestos Silica Silica
Manufacturing (n = 112)	Manufacture of bean curd and similar products $(n = 2)$ Manufacture of bean curd and similar products $(n = 1)$ Spinning (or weaving) of man-made fibers $(n = 1)$ Spinning (or weaving) of other textile fibers (asbestos) $(n = 7)$ Other printing $(n = 1)$ Petroleum refineries $(n = 2)$ Manufacture of basic organic petrochemicals $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of synthetic fibers $(n = 2)$ Manufacture of tires and tubes $(n = 2)$ Manufacture of pottery and ceramic household or ornamental ware $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of sanitary ceramic ware $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of cement $(n = 2)$	Boiler operators (n = 1) Maintenance & repairer (n = 1) Spinning (or weaving) worker (n = 6) Paper arrangement worker (n = 1) Machinery equipment fitters and repairers (n = 2) Filling, packing and transportation workers (n = 1) Spinning (or weaving) (n = 1) Boiler operator (n = 1) Tire production machine operators (n = 2) Mixing worker (n = 1) Pottery making (burning) (n = 1) Raw material transportation works (n = 1) Cleaning & arranging elementary workers (n = 1)	Asbestos Cr ⁶⁺ Asbestos Asbestos Coal tar Asbestos Rubber Cr ⁶⁺ Silica Silica Silica
		Comments production related machine operators (packing) $(n = 1)$ Concrete production related machine operators (mixing) $(n = 1)$ Stone products manufacturers $(n = 1)$ Stone products manufacturers $(n = 1)$ Gasket & sheet manufacture (mixing) $(n = 1)$	Cr ⁶⁺ Cr ⁶⁺ Silica Silica Asbestos
	Manufacture of basic iron (n = 1) Manufacture of basic steel (n = 6) Manufacture of smelting, refining and alloys of copper (n = 1)	Construction material manufacturers (slate, heat insulator, et al.) (n = 5) Machinery equipment fitters and repairers (n = 1) Metal furnace operators (n = 1) Electrical furnace operator(n = 1) Rolling mii operator (n = 1) Crane operator (n = 1) Machinery equipment fitters and repairers (welding) (n = 3) Foundry works (n = 1)	Asbestos Cr ⁶⁺ Asbestos Cr ⁶⁺ Asbestos, Welding fume Silica
	Manufacture of rolled, drawn and folded products of aluminum $(n = 1)$ Gray and malleable iron foundries / Steel foundries $(n = 18)$ Manufacture of metal structural components $(n = 2)$	Machinery equipment fitters and repairers (plumber) $(n = 1)$ Foundry workers: melting, molding, core making, fettling $(n = 18)$ Welding $(n = 1)$	Asbestos
	Manufacture of central heating boilers and radiators $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of weapons and ammunition $(n = 1)$ Heat treatment of metals $(n = 1)$ Plating and anodizing of metals $(n = 6)$ Coating and similar treatment of metals $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of general hardware $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of saws, saw blades and interchangeable tools	Painting $(n = 1)$ Boiler maker $(n = 1)$ Plumbing & welding $(n = 1)$ Heat treatment & welding $(n = 1)$ Plating $(n = 6)$ Painters $(n = 1)$ Die and mold makers $(n = 1)$ Grinding machine operators $(n = 1)$	Cr^{6+} Asbestos PAH Cr^{6+} Cr^{6+} Asbestos Cr^{6+}
	(n = 1) Manufacture of hand-operated kitchen appliances and metal ware $(n = 1)$	Fitting & welding $(n = 1)$	Asbestos
	Manufacture of other special purpose machinery, n.e.c. $(n = 2)$ Manufacture of machinery for mining, quarrying and	Machine manufacturer $(n = 1)$ Welding $(n = 1)$ Welder & painter $(n = 1)$ Foundry works $(n = 1)$	Asbestos Welding fume Cr ⁶⁺ Silica
	construction $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of motor vehicles $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of motor vehicles for the transport of goods and	Automobile parts (brake lining) assemblers (n = 1) Building and machine repairers (n = 1) $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =0$	Asbestos Asbestos
	special purpose $(n = 1)$ Manufacture of other parts and accessories for motor vehicles n. e. c. $(n = 6)$ Building of steel ships $(n = 21)$	Automobile parts (brake lining or gasket) manufacturer (n = 5) Foundry works (n = 1) Welding (n = 9) Painting (n = 5) Plumbing (n = 1) Insulation (n = 1) Foundry works (n = 2) Electrical equipment engineers (n = 1) Ship mechanics (n = 1) Ship loading & inspection workers (n = 1)	Asbestos Silica Asbestos, Welding fume Cr ⁶⁺ , Coal tar Asbestos Asbestos Silica Asbestos Asbestos DEE
	Manufacture of sections for ships $(n = 3)$	Ship assemblers $(n = 1)$ Ship engineers and repairers $(n = 1)$ Painters $(n = 1)$	Asbestos Asbestos Cr ⁶⁺
	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Manufacture of upholstered seats for transport vehicles } (n=1) \\ \mbox{Manufacture of musical instruments } (n=1) \end{array}$	Drying oven fitters $(n = 1)$ Wood processing and welding $(n = 1)$	Asbestos Welding fume

Table 4. The Classification of industry, job and exposed carcinogens in compensated lung cancers in Korea (1994-2011)

(Continued to the next page)

Industry classification (No. of cases)	Industry classification (No. of cases)	Job classification (No. of cases)	Main carcinogen
Sewage, waste management, materials re- covery & re- mediation ac- tivities (n = 1)	Construction and demolition waste collection (n = 1) $$	Waste collection and transportation (n = 1) $$	DEE
Construction (n = 30)	Residential building construction $(n = 2)$ Construction of highways, streets and roads $(n = 5)$ Installation of environmental hygiene treatment appliances $(n = 1)$ Construction of industrial plants $(n = 6)$ Wrecking and demolition of buildings and other structures $(ships) (n = 1)$ Pavement works $(n = 1)$ Scaffolding and frame works $(n = 2)$ Other special trade construction for civil engineering $(n = 3)$	Stonemason (n = 2) Road repairers (n = 1) Excavating, drilling machine operators (n = 4) Construction waste transport workers (n = 1) Welding(n = 4) Insulation (n = 1) Pipe making (n = 1) Ship repairers and wreckers (n = 1) Road paving and roller drivers (n = 1) Scaffolders (n = 2) Bricklayers & repairers(n = 2) Welding(n = 1)	Silica Asbestos Silica Asbestos Welding fume, Asbestos Asbestos Asbestos Cr ⁶⁺ Asbestos Silica COE Welding fume
	Heating, air conditioning and plumbing related works $(n = 7)$ Plastering and tile works $(n = 1)$ Other building completion n.e.c. $(n = 1)$	Insulation (n = 4) Boiler fitters and mechanics (n = 1) Welding (n = 2) Water proof & plaster (n = 1) Wrecking & interior (n = 1)	Asbestos Asbestos Asbestos, Welding fume Silica Asbestos
Transportation (n = 18)	Interurban rail transportation (n = 1) Commuter rail systems (n = 9) Urban bus passenger transport (n = 6) Interurban bus transportation (n = 1)	Railway signalmen and repairers $(n = 1)$ Electric train drivers $(n = 2)$ Electric train attendants $(n = 2)$ Repairers and maintenance $(n = 5)$ Bus driver & repairer $(n = 2)$ Bus repairer & maintenance $(n = 4)$ Painters $(n = 1)$	Asbestos Asbestos Asbestos DEE, Asbestos Asbestos, DEE Cr ⁶⁺
Real estate activities (n = 3)	Operation of highways and related facilities $(n = 1)$ Residential property management $(n = 2)$ Nonresidential property management $(n = 1)$	Tollgate cashier & bus maintenance $(n = 1)$ Boiler operator $(n = 2)$ Building guard and building wrecking $(n = 1)$	Asbestos Asbestos Asbestos
Public adminis- tration and defense ; compulsory social security (n = 2)	Defense activities $(n = 1)$ Police protection $(n = 1)$	Quality control, painting, plating & et al $(n = 1)$ Boiler operator $(n = 1)$	Cr ⁶⁺ Asbestos
Maintenance and repair services (n = 6)	Maintenance and repair services of machinery and equipment for mining and construction (n = 2) General repair services of motor vehicles (n = 4)	Engine mechanics (n = 1) Sheet metal mechanics & welding (n = 1) Painting (n = 2)	Welding fume Asbestos Welding fume Cr ⁶⁺

DEE, diesel engine exhaust; PAH, polycyclic avromatic hydrocarbons; VCM, vinyl chloride monomer; COE, cokes oven emission.

Exposure and pathology characteristics by types of major causative carcinogen

Among all 179 cases, the pathological findings were investigated in 132 cases (73.7%). We classified the 132 lung cancers by IASLC/ATS/ERS (IASLC, International association for the study of lung cancer; ATS, American thoracic society; ERS, European respiratory society) classification in small biopsies/cytology (9, 10). A total of 115 Cases were non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC). Among NSCLC, 64 cases (48.5%) were adenocarcinomas, 38 cases were squamous cell carcinomas, and 1 case was a NSCLC, not otherwise unspecified (NOS). The other 17 cases were small cell carcinomas (Table 2). The mean exposure duration and latency as categorized by the type of major causative carcinogen were 18.1-20.2 yr and 18.6-24.0 yr, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference in the mean exposure duration or latency by the type of major causative carcinogen. For cases of occupational lung cancer with a co-exposure to two or more lung carcinogens, the mean exposure duration and latency (20.1 and 23.6 yr, respectively) were not statistically different from those cases of occupation lung cancers with an exposure to a single carcinogen (19.6 and 22.8 yr, respectively) (Table 5).

Among 59 asbestos-related occupational lung cancers with a known pathology, adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carci-

Exposed substances (No. of lung cancer)		Exposure duration (yr)	Latent duration (yr)	Smoking status	No. (%)	Type of pathology	No. (%)
Asbestos (n = 87)	Mean ± SD	20.1 ± 7.3	24.0 ± 7.7	Current-smoker	37 (47.4)	Adenocarcinoma	34 (57.6)
	Median	21.0	24.0	Ex-smoker	10 (12.8)	Squamous cell carcinoma	15 (25.4)
	Min-Max	2.5-38	7-40	Never smoker	31 (39.7)	Others	10 (17.0)
Crystalline silica $(n = 42)$	Mean ± SD	19.1 ± 7.0	24.4 ± 10.8	Current-smoker	13 (37.1)	Adenocarcinoma	12 (48.0)
	Median	18.3	21.5	Ex-smoker	6 (17.1)	Squamous cell carcinoma	10 (40.0)
	Min-Max	7-32	7-61	Never smoker	16 (45.7)	Others	3 (12.0)
Cr^{6+} & welding fume (n = 40)	Mean ± SD	20.2 ± 7.2	21.5 ± 7.2	Current-smoker	20 (54.1)	Adenocarcinoma	12 (44.4)
	Median	20.0	21	Ex-smoker	3 (8.1)	Squamous cell carcinoma	11 (40.7)
	Min-Max	9-41	9-41	Never smoker	14 (37.8)	Others	4 (14.8)
Others (n = 10)	Mean ± SD	18.1 ± 4.7	18.6 ± 4.5	Current-smoker	4 (40.0)	Adenocarcinoma	6 (66.7)
	Median	16.5	17.0	Ex-smoker	2 (20.0)	Squamous cell carcinoma	2 (22.2)
	Min-Max	15-16	15-16	Never smoker	4 (40.0)	Others	1 (11.1)
Single exposure $(n = 87)$	Mean ± SD	19.6 ± 6.7	22.8 ± 8.0	Current-smoker	32 (43.8)	Adenocarcinoma	22 (46.8)
	Median	20.0	22.0	Ex-smoker	6 (8.2)	Squamous cell carcinoma	16 (34.0)
	Min-Max	2.5-35.0	7-41.0	Never smoker	35 (47.9)	Others	9 (12.3)
Co-exposure (n = 92)	Mean ± SD	20.1 ± 7.3	23.6 ± 8.8	Current-smoker	42 (48.3)	Adenocarcinoma	42 (57.5)
	Median	20.0	23.0	Ex-smoker	15 (17.2)	Squamous cell carcinoma	22 (30.1)
	Min-Max	2.5-41.0	7.0-61.0	Never smoker	30 (34.5)	Others	9 (12.3)

Table 5. The exposure durations, latency, smoking status and pathologic types of compensated lung cancers by the kinds of main carcinogens in Korea (1994-2011)

Min, minimal value; Max, maximal value.

noma represented 34 (57.6%) and 15 (25.4%) cases, respectively. Among 25 silica-related occupational lung cancers with a known pathology, adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma represented 12 (48.0%) and 10 (40.0%) cases, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference in the pathology by the types of major causative carcinogen (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The Industrial Accident Compensation Insurance (IACI), which is operated by KCOMWEL in Korea, covered 14,198,748 workers in 2010, which accounted for approximately 57.4% of the economically-active population (24,748,000 persons) (11). The IACI does not cover self-employed workers or some atypical workers. Also, government employees, private school teachers, and military officers (including soldiers and other military staff) are covered by their own insurance systems. This study included only cases that were compensated by the IACI. In addition, lung cancers in miners with pneumoconiosis (greater than grade 1) were excluded from this study. Since 1999, KCOMWEL has listed lung cancer as a complication of pneumoconiosis and has approved as work-related lung cancer without individual review. However, formal statistics for lung cancers in miners with pneumoconiosis was not available from KCOMWEL. The KCOMWEL just has reported the number of pneumoconiosis not to separate lung cancers with pneumoconiosis. Therefore we excluded lung cancers in miners with pneumoconiosis because we could not grasp it.

This study used all of the electronic data that were available from KCOMWEL on compensated workers, and added variables about the underlying causes of the occupational lung cancers from the epidemiological investigation reports of two institutes above mentioned. However, there was insufficient clinical information, such as radiological findings and pathology, for all of the cases. Therefore, the information available for this study was limited.

In this study, asbestos was the predominant carcinogen (48%) implicated in occupational lung cancers, followed by silica and hexavalent chromium. In 2006, the fraction of recognized asbestos-related occupational lung cancers in Germany, France, and Belgium was 73.2% (721 among 958 cases), 93.6% (1,024 among 1,094 cases), and 88.1% (59 among 67 cases), respectively (12). The fraction of asbestos-related occupational lung cancers was therefore relatively low in Korea compared with these European countries. In addition, the fraction of asbestosrelated occupational lung cancers is even lower compared with European countries because the present study excluded cases of lung cancer in miners with pneumoconiosis. Korean researchers have assumed that lung cancers in miners with pneumoconiosis are mainly related to silica exposure. Therefore, silica will be the predominant causal agent of recognized lung cancers if we include the lung cancers in miners with pneumoconiosis. Based on the calculation of the attributable fraction of occupational lung cancers by the type of lung carcinogen in Korea, silica-related lung cancers represent the highest attributable fraction (13.0%) among lung carcinogens, followed by asbestos-related lung cancers (12.4%) (7).

Several studies have examined the association between asbestos-related lung cancer and the pathology. Some studies have shown an increase in adenocarcinoma (13-16) among asbestos-related lung cancer, whereas other studies have shown an increase in both adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (17, 18). In this study, the proportion of adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma among asbestos-related lung cancers was 57.6% and 25.4%, respectively. Also, the most frequent pathology among silica- and Cr⁶⁺-related (including welding) lung cancer was adenocarcinoma. These pathologic distributions of lung cancers are different from that of Korean men reported by the Korea Central Cancer Registry in 2002 (median year of this study), which involved 20.7% adenocarcinomas and 39.8% squamous cell carcinomas (19). Several studies have reported that smoking-related lung cancers are associated with squamous cell carcinoma (19, 20). Therefore, these pathological differences in lung cancers between the general population of Korean men and compensated workers in Korea may not be due to the fact that adenocarcinoma is the predominant pathology type among occupational lung cancers, but rather that adenocarcinoma is more easily recognized as an occupational cancer compared with squamous cell carcinoma. Because the physician advisory committee of KCOMWEL for work-relatedness decision made a judgment that adenocarcinoma might be occurred by exposure to occupational carcinogens including asbestos than to smoking and other risk factors (13-16).

In this study, the mean latency was not statistically different among the different types of carcinogens. The median latency was 24.0 yr in asbestos-related, 21.5 yr in silica-related, and 21.0 yr in chromium-related (including welding) lung cancers. A prior study has reported that the latency period of asbestos-related lung cancer is approximately 25 yr from the first exposure (21). Another study that analyzed 152 compensated asbestosrelated lung cancers in Japan found that the median latency was 47 yr (22). The latency of asbestos-related lung cancer found in this study was therefore shorter than the latency found in the Japanese study. This difference may be due to a different history of asbestos use among Korean and Japanese workers. One German study showed that the median latency of silica-related lung cancers was 40 yr (range 7-69 yr) (23). The present study found a latency of 21.5 yr among silica-related lung cancers, but the range of the latency duration (7-61 yr) was similar to the range reported in the German study. Prior studies have reported that the latency period of chromium-related lung cancers is approximately 20-35 yr (24-27), which is similar to the latency period, found in this study.

The question of whether asbestos (or silica) or asbestosis (or silicosis) itself is the true risk factor for lung cancer is important issue for surveillance and compensation. Generally the stronger correlation has been shown in lung cancers with asbestosis than in that without asbestosis (28-32). However, some studies have shown an increase in lung cancer mortality in asbestose exposed workers without clinical, radiological, or pathological evidence of asbestosis (33-36). In this study, simple chest radiograph or CT scan was available in only 23 cases through the KO-SHA epidemiological survey. Two cases reported pleural plaques and another two cases showed evidence of pleural thickening. From these cases, only 6 cases of pulmonary fibrosis appeared

to be related to asbestosis. This means that the physician advisory committee of KCOMWEL assumed that asbestos itself might cause lung cancers regardless of asbestosis in this study subjects. Because the committee considered that synergistic interaction between smoking and asbestos itself, which means relatively low dose asbestos exposure comparing to asbestosis, might cause lung cancers. However we would conclude the role of asbestosis through radiological review on other un-reviewed cases among asbestos-related lung cancers in this study. Among 42 silica-related lung cancers in this study, 8 cases (19.0%) had pneumoconiosis. A recent IARC review noted that the rate ratios were similar across studies regardless of silicosis (1.74-2.76 for workers with silicosis and 1.25-1.32 for workers exposed to crystalline silica), which suggests that silica itself causes lung cancer with or without silicosis (37). Based on this review, lung cancers exposed to silica without silicosis can be compensated as occupational lung cancers in Korea.

In Korea, most raw materials that contain asbestos are imported, and most of these raw materials are used to produce construction materials, such as roofing slate, insulating material, and rags. The remains of these raw materials are used to produce friction materials and textile materials (38). However, in this study, only 5 cases of asbestos-related lung cancers were associated with the manufacture of construction materials and only 6 cases were associated with the production of friction and textile materials. In comparison, 15 asbestos-related lung cancers were associated with construction, including demolition. Among Japanese asbestos-related compensated cases in 2005-2006, construction workers accounted for 40.0% (515 workers) of the 1,287 lung cancer cases (39). Based on the results of the Japanese study as well as the amount of in-place asbestos materials that are used in construction, including shipbuilding, in Korea, construction workers have the potential for continued exposure to asbestos during the maintenance, renovation, and demolition of buildings.

Among 42 silica-related lung cancers, 23 cases (54.8%) occurred in foundry workers, followed by stonemasons (8 cases, 19.0%) and tunnel workers (4 cases, 9.5%). These results can be explained by the poor working environment and mixed carcinogen exposure (i.e., polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAH] and heavy metals such as hexavalent chromium, nickel, and cadmium) in Korean foundries. An analysis of lung cancer morbidity among a Korean foundry cohort that included 17,000 workers found that the standardized incidence ratio (SIR) of lung cancer in production workers was significantly higher (SIR 1.45; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.11-1.87) than the general Korean population (40). Because granite, which contains silica, is the main rock in Korea, lung cancers among stonemasons and tunnel workers are recognized as occupational diseases.

In 26 chromium-related lung cancers, 12 cases (46.2%) occurred in painters and 8 cases (8 cases, 19.0%) occurred in platers. Paints that contain Cr^{6+} are mainly used in shipbuilding and manufacturing special-purpose machinery in Korea. Although Cr^{6+} exposure was not confirmed at the time of the epidemiological investigation, lung cancer in welders is recognized as an occupational disease because these workers are known to be exposed to Cr^{6+} as well as asbestos, silica, and other carcinogens.

This study has the limitation of using secondary data. So, details about the exposure, such as the cumulative exposure dose, the burden of asbestos fibers or asbestos bodies in the lung, and other clinical information, could not be assessed. However, this study has the strength for including overall occupational lung cancers compensated by the KCOMWEL. Therefore the information extracted from this study will be helpful to make policies that protect workers who are exposed to occupational lung carcinogens and to determine the impact of the work environment on lung cancer for compensation.

ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the Statistics Team of KCOMWEL and the Occupational Diseases Research Center of OSHRI at KOSHA and OLDI at KCOMWEL.

DISCLOSURE

The authors have no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Yeon-Soon Ahn *http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0039-069X* Kyoung Sook Jeong *http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6897-8289*

REFERENCES

- 1. Jung KW, Won YJ, Kong HJ, Oh CM, Seo HG, Lee JS. *Cancer statistics in Korea: incidence, mortality, survival and prevalence in 2010. Cancer Res Treat 2013; 45: 1-14.*
- 2. Doll R, Peto R. The causes of cancer: quantitative estimates of avoidable risks of cancer in the United States today. J Natl Cancer Inst 1981; 66: 1191-308.
- 3. Nurminen M, Karjalainen A. Epidemiologic estimate of the proportion of fatalities related to occupational factors in Finland. Scand J Work Environ Health 2001; 27: 161-213.
- Boffetta P. Epidemiology of environmental and occupational cancer. Oncogene 2004; 23: 6392-403.
- Kim SY, Ohrr H, Kang HG, Kim SI, Yi SW. Cancer incidence in Kangwha county(1986 - 1992). Korean J Prev Med 1999; 32: 482-90.
- 6. Kim EA, Lee HE, Kang SK. Occupational burden of cancer in Korea. Saf Health Work 2010; 1: 61-8.
- 7. Son MA, Lee WJ, Jin YW. *The burden of occupational cancer in Korea*. Seoul: Ministry of Health and Welfare, 2010.
- 8. Kang SK, Ahn YS, Chung HK. Occupational cancer in Korea in the 1990s.

Korean J Occup Environ Med 2001; 13: 351-9.

- 9. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, Nicholson AG, Geisinger KR, Yatabe Y, Beer DG, Powell CA, Riely GJ, Van Schil PE, et al. *International* association for the study of lung cancer/american thoracic society/european respiratory society international multidisciplinary classification of lung adenocarcinoma. J Thorac Oncol 2011; 6: 244-85.
- 10. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, Nicholson AG, Geisinger K, Yatabe Y, Ishikawa Y, Wistuba I, Flieder DB, Franklin W, et al. *Diagnosis of lung cancer in small biopsies and cytology: implications of the 2011 International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society classification. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2013; 137: 668-84.*
- 11. Ministry of Labor. Analyses of industrial accidents in 2010. Gwacheon: Ministry of Employment and Labor, 2011.
- Eurogip. Work-related cancers: what recognition in Europe? Available at http://www.eurogip.fr/images/publications/EUROGIP_RecoCancerspro_49E.pdf [accessed on 1 October 2014].
- Raffn E, Lynge E, Korsgaard B. Incidence of lung cancer by histological type among asbestos cement workers in Denmark. Br J Ind Med 1993; 50: 85-9.
- 14. Karjalainen A, Anttila S, Vanhala E, Vainio H. Asbestos exposure and the risk of lung cancer in a general urban population. Scand J Work Environ Health 1994; 20: 243-50.
- Johansson L, Albin M, Jakobsson K, Mikoczy Z. Histological type of lung carcinoma in asbestos cement workers and matched controls. Br J Ind Med 1992; 49: 626-30.
- 16. Offermans NS, Vermeulen R, Burdorf A, Goldbohm RA, Kauppinen T, Kromhout H, van den Brandt PA. Occupational asbestos exposure and risk of pleural mesothelioma, lung cancer, and laryngeal cancer in the prospective Netherlands cohort study. J Occup Environ Med 2014; 56: 6-19.
- 17. Baker JE, Reutens DC, Graham DF, Sterrett GF, Musk AW, Hobbs MS, Armstrong BK, de Klerk NH. *Morphology of bronchogenic carcinoma in workers formerly exposed to crocidolite at Wittenoom Gorge in Western Australia. Int J Cancer* 1986; 37: 547-50.
- de Klerk NH, Musk AW, Eccles JL, Hansen J, Hobbs MS. Exposure to crocidolite and the incidence of different histological types of lung cancer. Occup Environ Med 1996; 53: 157-9.
- 19. Park SK, Cho LY, Yang JJ, Park B, Chang SH, Lee KS, Kim H, Yoo KY, Lee CT; Scientific Committee Korean Academy of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases. *Lung cancer risk and cigarette smoking, lung tuberculosis according to histologic type and gender in a population based case-control study. Lung Cancer 2010; 68: 20-6.*
- 20. Khuder SA. Effect of cigarette smoking on major histological types of lung cancer: a meta-analysis. Lung Cancer 2001; 31: 139-48.
- 21. Wagner GR, Hearl FJ. Mineral dusts: asbestos, silica, coal, manufactured fibers. In: Rosenstock L, Cullen MR, Brodkin CA, Redlich CA. editors. Textbook of clinical occupational and environmental medicine. 2nd ed. New Haven: Elsevier Saunders, 2005, p1073-78.
- Kishimoto T, Ohnishi K, Saito Y. Clinical study of asbestos-related lung cancer. Ind Health 2003; 41: 94-100.
- 23. Ulm K, Waschulzik B, Ehnes H, Guldner K, Thomasson B, Schwebig A, Nuss H. Silica dust and lung cancer in the German stone, quarrying, and ceramics industries: results of a case-control study. Thorax 1999; 54: 347-51.

- 24. Luippold RS, Mundt KA, Austin RP, Liebig E, Panko J, Crump C, Crump K, Proctor D. *Lung cancer mortality among chromate production workers. Occup Environ Med* 2003; 60: 451-7.
- 25. Korallus U, Ulm K, Steinmann-Steiner-Haldenstaett W. *Bronchial carcinoma mortality in the German chromate-producing industry: the effects of process modification. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 1993; 65: 171-8.*
- 26. Rosenman KD, Stanbury M. Risk of lung cancer among former chromium smelter workers. Am J Ind Med 1996; 29: 491-500.
- Langård S. One hundred years of chromium and cancer: a review of epidemiological evidence and selected case reports. Am J Ind Med 1990; 17: 189-215.
- 28. Reid A, de Klerk NH, Ambrosini GL, Berry G, Musk AW. *The risk of lung cancer with increasing time since ceasing exposure to asbestos and quit-ting smoking*. *Occup Environ Med* 2006; 63: 509-12.
- 29. Huuskonen MS. Clinical features, mortality and survival of patients with asbestosis. Scand J Work Environ Health 1978; 4: 265-74.
- Sluis-Cremer GK. Asbestos disease at low exposures after long residence times. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1991; 643: 182-93.
- 31. Karjalainen A, Pukkala E, Kauppinen T, Partanen T. *Incidence of cancer* among Finnish patients with asbestos-related pulmonary or pleural fibrosis. Cancer Causes Control 1999; 10: 51-7.
- 32. Oksa P, Klockars M, Karjalainen A, Huuskonen MS, Vattulainen K, Pukkala E, Nordman H. *Progression of asbestosis predicts lung cancer. Chest*

1998; 113: 1517-21.

- 33. Finkelstein MM. Radiographic asbestosis is not a prerequisite for asbestos-associated lung cancer in Ontario asbestos-cement workers. Am J Ind Med 1997; 32: 341-8.
- 34. Edge JR. Asbestos related disease in Barrow-in-Furness. Environ Res 1976; 11: 244-7.
- 35. Fletcher DE. A mortality study of shipyard workers with pleural plaques. Br J Ind Med 1972; 29: 142-5.
- 36. Hillerdal G, Henderson DW. Asbestos, asbestosis, pleural plaques and lung cancer. Scand J Work Environ Health 1997; 23: 93-103.
- 37. International Agency for Research on Cancer. *IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans: Volume 100C: a review of human carcinogens: arsenic, metals, fibres, and dusts. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2012, p.219-309.*
- Kang SK. Asbestos exposure and its health effects in Korea. Incheon: Occupational Health and Safety Research Institute, 2008, p7.
- 39. Hisanaga N, Shibata E, Sakai K, Kamijima M, Kubota H, Mori I, editors. Lessons from asbestos-related cancers in Japan. 18th World Congress on Safety and Health at Work; 2008 June 29 - July 2; Seoul, Republic of Korea. Genève: International Labour Organization (ILO), the International Social Security Association (ISSA), 2008.
- 40. Ahn YS, Won JU, Park RM. Cancer morbidity of foundry workers in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2010; 25: 1733-41.