Macromolecules

Tuning the Glass Transition Temperature of a Core-Forming Block during Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly: Statistical Copolymerization of Lauryl Methacrylate with Methyl Methacrylate Provides Access to Spheres, Worms, and Vesicles

Csilla György, Thomas J. Neal, Timothy Smith, David J. Growney, and Steven P. Armes*



ABSTRACT: A series of poly(lauryl methacrylate)–poly(methyl methacrylate-*stat*-lauryl methacrylate) (PLMA_x–P(MMA-*stat*-LMA)_y) diblock copolymer nanoparticles were synthesized via RAFT dispersion copolymerization of 90 mol % methyl methacrylate (MMA) with 10 mol % lauryl methacrylate (LMA) in mineral oil by using a poly(lauryl methacrylate) (PLMA) precursor with a mean degree of polymerization (DP) of either 22 or 41. *In situ* ¹H NMR studies of the copolymerization kinetics suggested an overall comonomer conversion of 94% within 2.5 h. GPC analysis confirmed a relatively narrow molecular weight distribution ($M_w/M_n \leq 1.35$) for each diblock copolymer.





Lower core-forming block T_{g} provides access to worms and vesicles

Recently, we reported an unexpected morphology constraint when targeting $PLMA_{22}-PMMA_y$ nano-objects in mineral oil, with the formation of kinetically trapped spheres being attributed to the relatively high glass transition temperature (T_g) of the PMMA block. Herein we demonstrate that this limitation can be overcome by (i) incorporating 10 mol % LMA into the core-forming block and (ii) performing such syntheses at 115 °C. This new strategy produced well-defined spheres, worms, or vesicles when using the same PLMA₂₂ precursor. Introducing the LMA comonomer not only enhances the mobility of the core-forming copolymer chains by increasing their solvent plasticization but also reduces their effective glass transition temperature to well below the reaction temperature. Copolymer morphologies were initially assigned via transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies and subsequently confirmed via small-angle X-ray scattering analysis. The thermoresponsive behavior of PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms and PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles was also studied by using dynamic light scattering (DLS) and TEM. The former copolymer underwent a worm-to-sphere transition on heating from 20 to 170 °C while a vesicle-to-worm transition was observed for the latter. Such thermal transitions were irreversible at 0.1% w/w solids but proved to be reversible at 20% w/w solids.

INTRODUCTION

Polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA) is a wellestablished technique for the rational synthesis of sterically stabilized diblock copolymer nano-objects in the form of concentrated dispersions.¹⁻⁹ Typically, it involves growing an insoluble block from one end of a soluble block in a suitable solvent. Once the former block attains a certain critical degree of polymerization (DP), micellar nucleation occurs to produce nascent nanoparticles, with the latter block acting as a steric stabilizer to prevent macroscopic precipitation. Unreacted monomer diffuses into the nanoparticle cores, which leads to a relatively high local concentration and hence a faster rate of polymerization.^{10–15} This enables very high conversions to be achieved within relatively short time scales, which makes PISA much more efficient than the equivalent solution polymerization.^{13,16} Depending on the monomer solubility within the continuous phase, PISA formulations can be classified as examples of either dispersion (miscible monomer)^{4,5,13-15,17-24} or emulsion (immiscible monomer)^{8,25-31} polymerization.

In principle, the final copolymer morphology (e.g., spheres, worms, or vesicles) simply depends on the relative volume fractions of the soluble and insoluble blocks, as defined by the fractional packing parameter, P.^{32,33} Indeed, there are many PISA formulations for which targeting an asymmetric diblock composition (i.e., a relatively long insoluble block) leads to a progressive evolution in copolymer morphology from spheres to worms to vesicles. This is because the DP of the soluble block remains constant, so P must gradually increase during

 Received:
 March 7, 2022

 Revised:
 April 22, 2022

 Published:
 May 11, 2022



the growth of the insoluble block.^{3,30} However, certain synthesis parameters may lead to morphological constraints. For example, it is well-known that kinetically trapped spheres are usually obtained if the steric stabilizer precursor is relatively long^{22,34-36} or has polyelectrolytic character.^{37,38} This is because strong interparticle repulsive forces inhibit spheresphere fusion, which is the critical step in the evolution of the copolymer morphology. Another important parameter can be the glass transition temperature (T_g) of the insoluble structuredirecting block. For example, we found that the vesicle morphology was inaccessible for a PISA formation involving the alternating copolymerization of styrene with N-phenylmaleimide.³⁹ In this case, the $T_{\rm g}$ of the core-forming block (219 °C)⁴⁰ was well above the reaction temperature (70 °C) so the growing chains were relatively stiff and immobile. This led to the formation of spheres, partially fused worms, and lamellae as the copolymerization progressed. Recently, we reported the synthesis of poly(lauryl methacrylate)-poly-(methyl methacrylate) [PLMA-PMMA] diblock copolymer nano-objects via reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) dispersion polymerization in mineral oil.¹⁵ For this PISA formulation, only kinetically trapped spheres or relatively short worms could be obtained. This was attributed to the high T_{σ} of the structure-directing PMMA block (126 $^{\circ}$ C) relative to the synthesis temperature (70–115 $^{\circ}$ C). This is actually a rather subtle effect because the T_g depends on the DP, as indicated by the Flory–Fox equation.^{41,42} Thus, the T_g is initially low when the insoluble block is relatively short but increases significantly during the polymerization as the PMMA chains grow longer. MMA is the cheapest methacrylic monomer, so using it as a core-forming block in RAFT PISA offers the most cost-effective formulation for the production of diblock copolymer nanoparticles in non-polar media for potential industrial applications. For example, spheres produced in non-polar media have been demonstrated to be effective friction modifiers,⁴³ anisotropic worm-like particles can be used as oil thickeners for silicone oils,¹² and certain types of vesicles can undergo a vesicle-to-worm transition on heating to provide a new high-temperature oil thickening mechanism.⁴

Herein we report that the PLMA–PMMA PISA formulation can be readily modified to provide convenient access to spheres, worms, or vesicles. This involves conducting a two-pot synthesis protocol whereby lauryl methacrylate is statistically copolymerized with methyl methacrylate during the secondstage polymerization (see Scheme 1). Dispersion polymerization conditions can be maintained if the former comonomer constitutes a minor component (up to ~20 mol %) relative to the latter. Importantly, this approach is sufficient to lower the effective T_g of the structure-directing block, which provides convenient access to well-defined spheres, highly anisotropic worms, and vesicles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of PLMA Precursor Blocks. The PLMA₂₂ and PLMA₄₁ precursors were synthesized via RAFT solution polymerization of LMA in toluene at 80 °C by using MCDP as a RAFT agent (see Scheme 1). The polymerization was quenched after 4.5 h for PLMA₂₂ and after 5.5 h for PLMA₄₁ to minimize the loss of RAFT end-groups under monomerstarved conditions.²³ LMA conversions of 91% (targeting DP = 20) and 89% (targeting DP = 50) were determined by ¹H NMR analysis. Narrow molecular weight distributions (M_w/M_n

Scheme 1. Synthesis of a Poly(lauryl methacrylate) (PLMA_x; x = 22 or 41) Precursor via RAFT Solution Polymerization of LMA in Toluene at 50% w/w Solids Using Methyl 4-Cyano-4-

(dodecylthiocarbonothioylthio)pentanoate (MCDP) at 80 °C (AIBN Denotes 2,2'-Azoisobutyronitrile), Followed by the RAFT Dispersion Copolymerization of Methyl Methacrylate (MMA) with LMA at 115 °C in Mineral Oil at 20% w/w Solids Using a Dicumyl Peroxide (DCP) Initiator



 \leq 1.13) were confirmed by THF GPC analysis, indicating that relatively good RAFT control was obtained during each polymerization.

Optimizing the Synthesis of PLMA₂₂-P(MMA-stat-LMA), Diblock Copolymer Nano-Objects. When preparing PLMA₂₂-PMMA_v diblock copolymer nano-objects in mineral oil,¹⁵ we found that only spheres and short worms (y < 108) could be accessed even when using a relatively short steric stabilizer block (PLMA₂₂). Targeting relatively high DPs ($y \ge$ 108) for the core-forming PMMA block invariably resulted in colloidally unstable micrometer-sized spherical aggregates (see Figure 1a for an example when targeting PLMA₂₂–PMMA₃₀₀). The same morphology constraint was also observed when particles were targeted (i) at higher solids, (ii) in an alternative solvent (*n*-dodecane), or (iii) when an alternative poly(stearyl methacrylate) (PSMA₁₀) precursor block was utilized. Varying the PISA synthesis conditions did not resolve this problem, thus we hypothesized that it was most likely related to the relatively high T_g of the core-forming PMMA block.¹⁵ According to the PISA literature, access to higher order



Figure 1. Representative TEM images obtained when targeting (a) PLMA₂₂-PMMA₃₀₀ at 90 °C, (b) PLMA₂₂-PMMA₃₀₀ at 115 °C, (c) PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₃₀₀ at 90 °C, and (d) PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₃₀₀ at 115 °C using a two-pot synthesis protocol at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil.

morphologies can be restricted when the synthesis temperature is relatively low compared to the $T_{\rm g}$ of the core-forming polymer, which has been explained in terms of insufficient chain mobility.^{39,45,46} In principle, this problem might be eliminated by conducting the PISA synthesis of PLMA₂₂– PMMA_y nanoparticles at a higher temperature.^{45,47} However, only spherical nanoparticles were obtained when targeting PMMA DPs between 50 and 400 at 115 °C, rather than 90 °C (see Figure 1b).¹⁵

Several research groups have demonstrated that introducing a small amount of a solvophilic comonomer into the coreforming block via statistical copolymerization can greatly influence the nanoparticle morphology obtained during PISA.^{46,48–52} The solvophilic comonomer enhances plasticization of the growing insoluble chains, which results in a higher packing parameter and hence promotes the evolution in morphology from spheres to worms to vesicles. For example, Shi et al. reported the dispersion copolymerization of styrene (St) and 4-vinylpyridine (4VP) using a PEG₄₅ precursor block in a methanol/water mixture. In this case, the nanoparticle morphology could be tuned either by varying the DP of the statistical core-forming P(St-stat-4VP) block or by adjusting the [St]/[4VP] molar ratio.⁴⁹ Similarly, Zhou et al. studied a poly(2-hydroxyethyl acrylate)-poly(styrene-stat-methyl methacrylate) PISA formulation. Only kinetically trapped spheres were obtained when targeting PHEA₂₁-PSt_v diblock copolymer nano-objects (where y = 50, 70, or 100) at 20% w/w solids in methanol. In contrast, replacing 25 mol % of the styrene with MMA provided access to spheres, worms, or vesicles when targeting the same overall core-forming block DP.⁴⁶ Figg et al. reported some degree of control over the mean worm length by adjusting the hydrophobic character of the coreforming block. In this aqueous PISA formulation, a poly(N,N')dimethylacrylamide) (PDMA) precursor was chain-extended by using both diacetone acrylamide (DAAm) and N,N'dimethylacrylamide (DMA).⁵¹ Similarly, Tan et al. used the same monomer (acrylic acid) to both prepare the stabilizer block and act as a suitable comonomer for the core-forming block to promote the formation of higher order morphologies



Figure 2. Representative transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images obtained when targeting (a) $PLMA_{22}-PMMA_{200}$, (b) $PLMA_{22}-P(0.95MMA-stat-0.05LMA)_{200}$, or (c) $PLMA_{22}-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{200}$ at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil at 115 °C. (d) DSC curves and corresponding calculated core-forming block T_g values for $PLMA_{22}-PMMA_{192}$ (dark blue curve), $PLMA_{22}-P(0.95MMA-stat-0.05LMA)_{188}$ (light blue curve), and $PLMA_{22}-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{188}$ (pink curve). Copolymers were purified by three consecutive precipitations into excess methanol (with redissolution in THF) followed by filtration and drying under vacuum.

for poly(acrylic acid)-*block*-poly(acrylic acid-*stat*-styrene) nano-objects in ethanol/water mixtures.⁵²

Inspired by these literature examples, we decided to explore replacing a small amount of MMA (ca. 10 mol %) with LMA when generating the core-forming block. TEM images recorded when targeting PLMA₂₂-PMMA₃₀₀ nanoparticles at (a) 90 °C and (b) 115 °C are shown in Figure 1. Targeting PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₃₀₀ nano-objects at 90 °C resulted in large, highly polydisperse spherical aggregates (see Figure 1c) with an apparent z-average diameter of 1703 nm as judged by DLS, much like that produced during the attempted synthesis of PLMA₂₂-PMMA₃₀₀ nanoparticles (z-average diameter = 957 nm) at 90 °C. However, when the same PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₃₀₀ composition was targeted at 115 °C (see Figure S1), well-defined vesicles (zaverage diameter = 148 nm; polydispersity index (PDI) = 0.08) were obtained as a pure phase (see Figure 1d). Thus, it appears that such nano-objects must be prepared at 115 °C to ensure sufficient mobility for the growing diblock copolymer chains to access higher order morphologies.

Next, we examined the effect of varying the LMA content of the core-forming block (from 0 to 10 mol %) on the copolymer morphology when targeting an overall core-forming DP of 200. Targeting PLMA₂₂–PMMA₂₀₀ at 115 °C produced PLMA₂₂–PMMA₁₉₂ spheres at 96% conversion (see Figure 2a).¹⁵ Introducing 5 mol % LMA into the core-forming block

was insufficient to produce a higher order morphology, merely resulting in PLMA₂₂–P(0.95MMA-*stat*-0.05LMA)₁₈₈ spheres (see Figure 2b). However, employing 10 mol % LMA led to a mixed phase comprising mainly vesicles and worms with a minor population of spheres (see Figure 2c). Clearly, a relatively high synthesis temperature of 115 °C is a necessary but not sufficient condition: incorporation of at least 10 mol % LMA within the core-forming block is also required to access higher order morphologies for the current PISA formulation.

In 2021, we reported that the morphology constraint observed for PLMA₂₂–PMMA_y nano-objects was related to the relatively high $T_{\rm g}$ of the core-forming PMMA block.¹⁵ Flory and Fox derived a well-known equation for calculating the $T_{\rm g}$ for statistical copolymers.^{41,53} Because PLMA has a relatively low $T_{\rm g}$ of -38 °C (see Figure S2), incorporating LMA comonomer via statistical copolymerization must lead to a reduction in the $T_{\rm g}$ of the core-forming block. To examine this hypothesis, three diblock copolymers prepared with a common target core-forming block DP of 200 were purified and subsequently analyzed by DSC. Increasing the LMA content from 0 to 10 mol % led to a gradual $T_{\rm g}$ reduction from 111 to 82 °C (see Figure 2d). Thus, introducing LMA comonomer significantly enhances the mobility of the core-forming block at 115 °C by both lowering its $T_{\rm g}$ and also increasing its degree of plasticization by hot solvent (mineral oil).

RAFT Dispersion Copolymerization of MMA with 10 mol % LMA Comonomer at 115 °C. Kinetic studies were performed during the synthesis of PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat- $0.1LMA)_{282}$ vesicles at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil at 115 °C using in situ ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Unfortunately, the vinyl signals for the LMA and MMA monomers overlap, so only the overall comonomer conversion could be determined over time. This was achieved by comparing the integrated vinyl signals at 4.5–6.0 ppm to the integrated aromatic signals assigned to an external standard (benzylamine) at 6.5-7.6 ppm (see Figure 3a). Initially, the polymerization proceeded slowly followed by an approximate five-fold rate enhancement after 50 min (see Figure 3b). This time point corresponds to micellar nucleation, whereby the growing second block becomes insoluble in the reaction mixture and the copolymer chains undergo in situ selfassembly to form nascent spherical nuclei.12,35,38 The instantaneous comonomer conversion was 50% at this point, which corresponds to a mean core-forming block DP of 150. Following nucleation, this statistical copolymerization followed first-order kinetics and attained an overall conversion of 92%. Then a slower rate of polymerization occurred under monomer-starved conditions. A final monomer conversion of 94% was achieved within 2.5 h. THF GPC analysis indicated a relatively narrow molecular weight distribution for the final diblock copolymer ($M_{\rm n} = 34800 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$; $M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n} = 1.25$), and the vesicle morphology was confirmed by TEM analysis (see Figure S3). Subsequently, DLS studies indicated a z-average diameter of 148 nm (PDI = 0.08).

It is worth asking whether the incomplete monomer conversion (6% unreacted comonomer overall, estimated to be ~3.6% MMA and ~2.4% LMA) contributes to the formation of higher order morphologies via plasticization of the statistical core-forming block at 115 °C. In this context, it is notable that targeting PLMA₂₂–PMMA_y (y = 50-400) nano-objects at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil invariably resulted in the formation of kinetically trapped spherical nanoparticles despite MMA conversions remaining incomplete (\geq 95%) after



Figure 3. (a) Selected ¹H NMR spectra recorded during the RAFT dispersion copolymerization of MMA with LMA at 115 °C when targeting $PLMA_{22}$ – $P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{300}$ vesicles at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil: t = 10 min (black spectrum), t = 50 min (blue spectrum), t = 70 min (red spectrum), and t = 100 min (green spectrum) with benzylamine in d_6 -DMSO as an external standard. (b) Conversion vs time curve (blue circles) and corresponding $ln([M_0]/[M_t])$ vs time plot (red triangles) obtained for the same PISA formulation.

17 h at 115 °C.¹⁵ Herein, a 20% w/w dispersion of PLMA₂₂-PMMA₂₉₁ spherical nanoparticles (previously prepared at 115 °C in mineral oil; final MMA conversion = 97%) was heated at 115 °C for 17 h in the presence of a large excess amount of LMA monomer ([LMA]/[PLMA₂₂-PMMA₂₉₁] molar ratio = 50). The reaction mixture was sealed to prevent evaporative loss of LMA (plus residual MMA comonomer) and was not degassed prior to heating to prevent further copolymerization. The ¹H NMR spectrum shown in Figure S4 confirms the continued presence of unreacted comonomers after such thermal annealing. Moreover, the GPC trace recorded for the PLMA₂₂-PMMA₂₉₁ prior ($M_n = 35000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$; $M_w/M_n =$ 1.27) was essentially identical with that obtained after this experiment ($M_n = 34900 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$; $M_w/M_n = 1.27$), confirming that no further copolymerization had occurred. Importantly, TEM images recorded for the PLMA₂₂-PMMA₂₉₁ nanoparticles after heating in the presence of LMA indicated the same morphology as the original spheres (see Figure S4). Thus, there is no evidence that LMA monomer can plasticize PMMA cores under such conditions. This is consistent with our observation that LMA is a non-solvent for PMMA homopolymer at 20 °C. In summary, the relatively low residual LMA content that remains after the PISA synthesis of PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₂₈₂ vesicles does not appear to be sufficient to account for the observed evolution in copolymer morphology.

copolymer morphology. Both Fielding et al.^{35,36} and Derry et al.¹¹ reported the RAFT dispersion polymerization of benzyl methacrylate using PLMA precursors in various non-polar solvents. However, Cornel et al. was the first to examine PLMA-PMMA formulations, with such PISA syntheses utilizing a relatively long PLMA₃₉ precursor to target spherical nanoparticles in ndodecane.⁵⁴ When revisiting this PLMA-PMMA formulation, PLMA₂₂, PLMA₃₀, and/or PLMA₄₁ precursors were employed to target PMMA DPs of 20-200 at 70, 90, or 115 °C in mineral oil.¹⁵ In all cases, THF GPC analysis confirmed relatively narrow molecular weight distributions $(M_w/M_n \le 1.39)$, suggesting reasonably good RAFT control.^{11,15,35,36,54} Moreover, we also compared the relative merits of a two-pot synthesis with a one-pot synthesis. A low molecular weight shoulder corresponding to unreacted PLMA precursor^{15,35} became increasingly prominent when targeting higher PMMA DPs using the former protocol. Hence the one-pot protocol always resulted in narrower molecular weight distributions.

In principle, either synthetic route could be employed to produce the PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_y nanoparticles reported herein. Thus, a two-pot protocol would simply involve the chain extension of a PLMA₂₂ precursor via statistical copolymerization of 10 mol % LMA with 90 mol % MMA. This approach has been previously reported by several research groups.^{46,49,50} Alternatively, a one-pot protocol could be utilized in which either MMA or an MMA/LMA mixture was added at a specific (known) LMA conversion. Thus, any unreacted LMA remaining from the first step becomes statistically copolymerized within the insoluble structure-directing block during the subsequent chain extension. This approach was demonstrated for the synthesis of poly(acrylic acid)-poly(acrylic acid-stat-styrene) nano-objects by Tan et al.⁵² As indicated in Figure 2, the PLMA₂₂- $P(MMA-stat-LMA)_{v}$ formulation requires incorporation of at least 10 mol % LMA into the insoluble block to access higher order morphologies. Unfortunately, in our hands the kinetics of LMA homopolymerization during the first step was not sufficiently reproducible to ensure precisely the same intermediate LMA conversion after a given reaction time. Rather than produce diblock copolymers with slightly differing stabilizer DPs (and hence introduce corresponding uncertainty regarding the comonomer composition of the insoluble block), we chose to use the two-pot synthesis protocol despite its imperfect blocking efficiency (which is presumably the result of a minor fraction of trithiocarbonate chain-ends being lost during isolation and purification of the PLMA₂₂ precursor).

GPC traces recorded for the PLMA₂₂ precursor and a series of five PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)_y diblock copolymers prepared using the two-pot protocol are shown in Figure 4a when targeting a core-forming block DP (y) of 70, 120, 160, 240, or 300. For each of these copolymers, the overall comonomer conversion is at least 94%. In this case, the low molecular weight shoulder assigned to PLMA precursor is relatively small and narrow molecular weight distributions are obtained $(M_w/M_n \leq 1.25)$ (see Table S1). Moreover, a linear correlation between the GPC M_n and the actual core-forming block DP (corrected for the final comonomer conversion) is evident in Figure 4b. In summary, a two-pot synthesis leads to acceptable results under the stated conditions.



Figure 4. (a) GPC traces (using a series of near-monodisperse poly(methyl methacrylate) calibration standards) recorded using a refractive index detector for a PLMA₂₂ precursor (prepared in toluene at 50% w/w solids at 80 °C) and a series of five PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)_y diblock copolymers prepared by RAFT dispersion copolymerization of MMA with LMA comonomer at 115 °C at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil, targeting y = 70, 120, 160, 240 or 300. (a) Linear relationship between GPC M_n (red circles) and P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA) DP (as determined by ¹H NMR studies) for a series of PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)_y diblock copolymers prepared at 20% w/w solids. The corresponding M_w/M_n (blue squares) data are also shown.

Construction of a Pseudo-Phase Diagram for a Series of $PLMA_x-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_y$ Diblock Copolymer Nano-Objects. For PISA formulations, it is well-established that using a relatively short stabilizer block aids the formation of higher order morphologies (worms or vesicles).^{17,22} As mentioned above, a pseudo-phase diagram constructed for a series of $PLMA_{22}-PMMA_y$ nano-objects prepared at 20% solids in mineral oil showed that vesicles could not be accessed.¹⁵ Herein, the same $PLMA_{22}$ precursor was used to



Figure 5. Pseudo-phase diagram constructed for $PLMA_x - P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_y$ diblock copolymer nano-objects prepared by RAFT dispersion copolymerization of MMA with LMA ([MMA]/[LMA] molar ratio = 9.0) in mineral oil using either a $PLMA_{22}$ or a $PLMA_{41}$ precursor with DCP initiator at 115 °C ([$PLMA_x$]/[DCP] molar ratio = 3.0). Representative TEM images obtained for (a) $PLMA_{22}-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{47}$, (b) $PLMA_{22}-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{113}$, (c) $PLMA_{22}-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{247}$, (d) $PLMA_{41}-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{282}$ and (e) $PLMA_{41}-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{282}$ diblock copolymers at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil.

target P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA) DPs of 50-300 at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil at 115 °C (see Figure 5 and Table S1). Well-defined spheres with *z*-average diameters of 24 (PDI = 0.01) and 29 nm (PDI = 0.02) were obtained for P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA) DPs of 47 or 66 (see Figure 5a). Transparent, free-standing gels were produced for DPs of 113 and 133, suggesting the presence of worms (see Figure 5b). At DPs of 228 or above, vesicles were obtained in the form of highly turbid, free-flowing fluids, with *z*-average diameters ranging from 140 nm (PDI = 0.12) to 148 nm (PDI = 0.08) (see Figure 5c).

In contrast, only kinetically trapped spheres of increasing size were obtained when using a PLMA₄₁ precursor to target a series of PLMA₄₁–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)_y nano-objects (y = 30-300) (see Figure 5d,e, Figure 6 (red data set), and Table S2). We have reported similar observations for various PISA syntheses conducted in non-polar media.^{24,55} For the analogous PLMA₄₁–PMMA_y formulation, a linear relationship was initially observed between the DLS *z*-average diameter and PMMA DP.¹⁵ However, colloidally unstable aggregates were invariably obtained for PMMA DPs ≥ 137 , as indicated by the substantial increase in particle size and PDI (see Figure 6 (blue data set)).¹⁵

To confirm the copolymer morphology assigned on the basis of TEM analysis (see Figure 5), small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) patterns were recorded for 1.0% w/w dispersions of five examples of PLMA_x-P(0.9MMA-stat-LMA)_y nano-objects (see Figure 7). SAXS is much more statistically robust than TEM because such patterns are averaged over many millions of nanoparticles. It is well-known that the low q gradient in a SAXS pattern is diagnostic of the predominant copolymer morphology.⁵⁶ Thus, spheres have a zero gradient, while



Figure 6. Double-logarithmic plot for the relationship between *z*-average diameter and core-forming block DP (*y*) for a series of PLMA₄₁-PMMA_y (targeting y = 30-200) spheres prepared by RAFT dispersion polymerization of MMA at 90 °C (blue data) and a series of PLMA₄₁-P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)_y (targeting y = 30-300) spheres prepared by RAFT dispersion copolymerization of LMA with MMA at 115 °C (red data) at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil. [N.B. Standard deviations are calculated from DLS polydispersities and thus indicate the breadth of the particle size distributions rather than the experimental error.] Blue data are taken from ref 15.

worms and vesicles exhibit gradients of -1 and -2, respectively. Inspecting Figure 7, a low q gradient of zero



Figure 7. Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) patterns and corresponding data fits (solid white lines) recorded for 1.0% w/w dispersions of (a) PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₄₇, PLMA₄₁–P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈, and PLMA₄₁–P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈₂ spheres and (b) PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms and PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₄₇ vesicles in mineral oil at 20 °C. These nano-objects were originally prepared at 115 °C targeting 20% w/w solids in mineral oil. Dashed lines are provided for guidance to the eye and indicate low *q* gradients of 0, –1, and –2.

was observed for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₄₇, PLMA₄₁-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈, and PLMA₄₁-P- $(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{282}$, while low q gradients of -1 and -2 were obtained for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ and PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₄₇, respectively. Fitting the first three patterns (see Figure 7a) using a spherical micelle model⁵⁷ indicated an overall volume-average diameter (D_{sphere}) of 18.9 \pm 1.9 nm and a mean aggregation number (N_{agg}) of 200 for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₄₇, a D_{sphere} of 19.8 ± 1.1 nm and an N_{agg} of 140 for PLMA₄₁-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈, and a D_{sphere} of 43.0 ± 4.5 nm and an N_{agg} of 510 for PLMA₄₁-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈₂ (see Table S3). These data are consistent with the corresponding zaverage diameters reported by DLS, which were 24 nm (PDI = 0.01) for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₄₇, 27 nm (PDI = 0.04) for PLMA₄₁-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈ and 52 nm (PDI = 0.03) for $PLMA_{41} - P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)_{282}$ provided by DLS (see Tables S1 and S2).

SAXS patterns recorded for $PLMA_{22}$ -P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ and $PLMA_{22}$ -P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₄₇ are

shown in Figure 7b. A satisfactory fit to the former pattern was obtained using a worm-like micelle model,⁵ which indicated a mean worm cross-sectional diameter of 20.0 \pm 2.4 nm. The upturn in the scattering intensity observed in the low q region most likely indicates worm branching and/or clustering: unfortunately, this feature prevents determination of the mean contour length and a reliable N_{agg} for these worms. The pattern obtained for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₄₇ could be satisfactorily fitted using a vesicle model,⁵⁸ which indicated an overall volume-average diameter of 172 ± 126 nm, a mean vesicle membrane thickness of 15.4 \pm 1.6 nm, and an N_{agg} of 25600. Comparing this aggregation number to that determined for the PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat- $0.1LMA)_{47}$ spheres, we calculate that the mean number of spheres that must undergo fusion to form a single vesicle is 128.44

Thermoresponsive Behavior of PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMAstat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ Worms and PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)228 Vesicles. The PISA literature contains examples of thermoresponsive diblock copolymer nano-objects prepared in non-polar media, with both worm-to-sphere transitions^{15,36,59,60} and vesicle-to-worm transitions^{44,61} being observed at elevated temperature. Such transitions can be explained in terms of surface plasticization of the insoluble block by ingress of hot solvent.^{36,44} The worm-to-sphere transition is typically reversible for a concentrated copolymer dispersion (e.g., 5-20% solids) but becomes irreversible at the relatively high dilution (e.g., 0.1% w/w) typically used for DLS experiments.³⁶ This is because of the reduced probability of efficient interparticle fusion to reform worms, which is a highly cooperative process.³⁶ In our recent PLMA-PMMA study, we used DLS to examine the thermoresponsive behavior of PLMA₂₂-PMMA₆₉ short worms, which exhibited an irreversible worm-to-sphere transition on heating above 110 °C. For this system, only partial reversibility was observed by TEM at 20% w/w solids after a 20 °C to 150 °C to 20 °C thermal cycle.¹⁵ Herein, we explore the thermoresponsive behavior of PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms and PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles (see Table S1) via DLS and TEM studies.¹⁵ These compositions were selected because they lie close to the phase boundaries indicated in Figure 5, which should enhance the probability of observing the expected thermal transition.⁴⁴ For DLS experiments, the assynthesized 20% w/w dispersions of PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMAstat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms and PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat- $(0.1LMA)_{228}$ vesicles in mineral oil were diluted to $(0.1\% \text{ w/w})_{228}$ using *n*-dodecane. The latter solvent was chosen as a diluent to facilitate TEM grid preparation because it is much more volatile than mineral oil. The resulting dilute copolymer dispersions were heated from 20 to 150 °C, with equilibration for 30 min at 10 °C intervals prior to extracting each aliquot. Aliquots were then cooled to 20 °C prior to DLS studies and subsequent TEM analysis. This "cumulative thermal annealing" protocol was adopted because the upper limit temperature for our DLS instrument is only 90 °C, which is insufficient to produce the desired thermal transition (see Figure 8). For this experimental protocol, an implicit assumption is that the copolymer morphology accessed at high temperature is retained on cooling to 20 °C because high dilution ensures that the thermal transition is essentially irreversible. The sphere-equivalent z-average diameter observed for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms remained almost unchanged between 20 and 80 °C with minimal variation in



Figure 8. Variation in DLS *z*-average diameter observed for a 0.1% w/w dispersion of PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ nano-objects (prepared using *n*-dodecane as a diluent) on heating from 20 to 150 °C. Representative TEM images obtained for the initial worms (red frame) and the final spheres that are formed after heating to 150 °C (blue frame). (b) Variation in DLS *z*-average diameter for a 0.1% w/w PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ nano-objects (prepared using *n*-dodecane as a diluent) on heating from 20 to 150 °C. Representative TEM images obtained for the initial vesicles (green frame) and the worms formed after heating to 130 °C (red frame). [N.B. Standard deviations are calculated from the DLS polydispersity data and indicate the breadth of the particle size distribution, rather than the experimental error.]

the associated DLS polydispersity (PDI) (see Figure 8a). A substantial reduction in both parameters occurs on further heating from 80 °C (213 nm; PDI = 0.51) to 100 °C (31 nm; PDI = 0.05). These data suggest a worm-to-sphere transition within this temperature range, which was confirmed by TEM analysis (see Figure 8a). For the PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles, an apparent increase in the *z*-average diameter and PDI was observed on raising the temperature



Figure 9. (a) Representative TEM images recorded during the wormto-sphere transition for PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms prepared at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil. The initial copolymer dispersion (red frame) was heated to 150 °C and equilibrated for 30 min at this temperature, prior to dilution with hot *n*-dodecane (blue frame) and finally aged for 24 h at 20 °C (red frame). (b) Representative TEM images recorded during the vesicle-to-worm transition for PLMA₂₂–P(0.9MMA-*stat*-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles prepared at 20% w/w solids in mineral oil. The initial copolymer dispersion (green frame) was heated to 170 °C and equilibrated for 30 min at this temperature, prior to dilution with hot *n*-dodecane (red frame) and finally aged for 24 h at 20 °C (green frame).

from 110 °C (141 nm; PDI = 0.12) to 130 °C (172 nm; PDI = 0.20) (see Figure 8b). TEM analysis indicated the formation of branched worms (see Figure 8b).⁴⁴ Further heating to 140 °C led to a modest reduction in the *z*-average diameter (160 nm; PDI = 0.22) and the formation of mainly worms plus a minor population of spheres (see Figure S5). TEM was used to study the reversibility of these thermal transitions for 20% w/w copolymer dispersions. Accordingly, PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMAstat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms and PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles were each heated in turn to 150 °C and equilibrated for 1 h. Then a small aliquot was extracted in each case and immediately diluted to 0.1% w/w by using hot ndodecane (preheated to 150 °C) to assess the copolymer morphology. The PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms were converted into spheres on heating (see Figure 9a). Only "jellyfish" structures were formed on heating the PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles to 150 °C (see Figure S6), but further heating to 170 °C generated a pure worm morphology (see Figure 9b). Thereafter, both 20% w/w copolymer dispersions were allowed to cool to 20 °C and

stored at this temperature for 24 h prior to dilution with *n*-dodecane.¹⁵ Subsequent TEM analysis confirmed that both thermal transitions were more or less reversible for such concentrated dispersions (Figure 9) with the corresponding DLS data supporting these observations (Table S4).

CONCLUSIONS

Recently, we reported the synthesis of PLMA₂₂-PMMA_v diblock copolymer nanoparticles in mineral oil but only spheres, short worms, or micrometer-sized spherical aggregates could be produced. To overcome this unexpected morphological constraint, a series of PLMA_x-P(0.9MMA-stat- $0.1LMA)_{\nu}$ diblock copolymer nanoparticles were prepared via RAFT dispersion copolymerization of MMA with 10 mol % LMA at 20% solids in mineral oil using either PLMA₂₂ or PLMA₄₁ precursors at 115 °C. In situ ¹H NMR studies indicated an overall comonomer conversion of 94% within 2.5 h when targeting a core-forming block DP of 300. A narrow molecular weight distribution $(M_w/M_n \le 1.35)$ was confirmed for each diblock copolymer. Systematic variation of the LMA content from 0 to 10 mol % resulted in a gradual reduction in the T_{g} of the core-forming block. Empirically, it was found that at least 10 mol % LMA was required to promote the formation of higher order morphologies for syntheses performed at 115 °C. Using the relatively short PLMA₂₂ precursor enabled pure spheres, worms, or vesicles to be obtained, as judged by TEM, DLS, and SAXS analysis. Furthermore, a worm-to-sphere transition was observed for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ worms at elevated temperature while PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles underwent a vesicle-toworm transition on heating. DLS studies indicated that such morphology transitions were irreversible for 0.1% w/w dispersions, but good reversibility was observed for 20% w/w dispersions according to TEM and DLS analysis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

G Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.macromol.2c00475.

Experimental section; assigned ¹H NMR spectra for PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈₂ diblock copolymer; DSC thermogram of PLMA₂₂; TEM image of the PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₈₂ vesicles prepared during the kinetic experiment; TEM, GPC, and NMR data suggesting that LMA does not plasticize PMMA; summary tables of copolymer characterization data, summary table of SAXS fitting parameters; TEM image of worms obtained when heating 0.1% w/w PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicle dispersion to 140 °C; TEM evidence for the partial vesicle-to-worm transition of 20% w/w PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ vesicles when heating to 150 °C; summary of tabulated DLS data obtained for PLMA₂₂-P-(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₁₁₃ nanoparticles during a 20 °C to 150 °C to 20 °C thermal cycle and PLMA₂₂-P(0.9MMA-stat-0.1LMA)₂₂₈ nanoparticles during a 20 °C to 170 °C to 20 °C thermal cycle (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Steven P. Armes – Dainton Building, Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Brook Hill, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S3 7HF, U.K.; o orcid.org/0000-0002-8289-6351; Email: s.p.armes@sheffield.ac.uk

Authors

- Csilla György Dainton Building, Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Brook Hill, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S3 7HF, U.K.
- Thomas J. Neal Dainton Building, Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Brook Hill, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S3 7HF, U.K.
- Timothy Smith Lubrizol Ltd., Hazelwood, Derbyshire DE56 4AN, U.K.
- **David J. Growney** Lubrizol Ltd., Hazelwood, Derbyshire DE56 4AN, U.K.

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.2c00475

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the EPSRC for a CDT PhD studentship for C.G. (EP/L016281) and Lubrizol Ltd. (Hazelwood, Derbyshire, UK) for financial support of this project and for permission to publish these results. S.P.A. also acknowledges an EPSRC Established Career Particle Technology Fellowship (EP/ R003009). Dr. Khalid Doudin is thanked for his assistance with the *in situ* NMR experiment. The authors thank Christopher Hill and Dr. Svetomir Tzokov at the University of Sheffield Biomedical Science Electron Microscopy suite for their technical assistance. The authors gratefully acknowledge ESRF for providing synchrotron beamtime (SC5109) and thank the personnel of station ID02 for the assistance.

REFERENCES

(1) Charleux, B.; Delaittre, G.; Rieger, J.; D'Agosto, F. Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly: From Soluble Macromolecules to Block Copolymer Nano-Objects in One Step. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 6753–6765.

(2) Monteiro, M. J.; Cunningham, M. F. Polymer Nanoparticles via Living Radical Polymerization in Aqueous Dispersions: Design and Applications. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 4939–4957.

(3) Warren, N. J.; Armes, S. P. Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly of Block Copolymer Nano-Objects via RAFT Aqueous Dispersion Polymerization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10174–10185.

(4) Derry, M. J.; Fielding, L. A.; Armes, S. P. Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly of Block Copolymer Nanoparticles via RAFT Non-Aqueous Dispersion Polymerization. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2016, *52*, 1–18.

(5) Lowe, A. B. RAFT Alcoholic Dispersion Polymerization with Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Polymer* 2016, 106, 161–181.
(6) Lansalot, M.; Rieger, J. Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2019, 40, 1800885.

(7) Wang, X.; An, Z. New Insights into RAFT Dispersion Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly: From Monomer Library, Morphological Control, and Stability to Driving Forces. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2019**, *40*, 1–14.

(8) D'Agosto, F.; Rieger, J.; Lansalot, M. RAFT-Mediated Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 8368–8392.

(9) Cao, J.; Tan, Y.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, L.; Tan, J. Expanding the Scope of Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly: Recent Advances and New Horizons. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2021**, *42*, 2100498.

(11) Derry, M. J.; Fielding, L. A.; Armes, S. P. Industrially-Relevant Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly Formulations in Non-Polar Solvents: RAFT Dispersion Polymerization of Benzyl Methacrylate. *Polym. Chem.* **2015**, *6*, 3054–3062.

(12) Rymaruk, M. J.; Hunter, S. J.; O'Brien, C. T.; Brown, S. L.; Williams, C. N.; Armes, S. P. RAFT Dispersion Polymerization in Silicone Oil. *Macromolecules* **2019**, *52*, 2822–2832.

(13) György, C.; Hunter, S. J.; Girou, C.; Derry, M. J.; Armes, S. P. Synthesis of Poly(Stearyl Methacrylate)-Poly(2-Hydroxypropyl Methacrylate) Diblock Copolymer Nanoparticles via RAFT Dispersion Polymerization of 2-Hydroxypropyl Methacrylate in Mineral Oil. *Polym. Chem.* **2020**, *11*, 4579–4590.

(14) Darmau, B.; Rymaruk, M. J.; Warren, N. J.; Bening, R.; Armes, S. P. RAFT Dispersion Polymerization of Benzyl Methacrylate in Non-Polar Media Using Hydrogenated Polybutadiene as a Steric Stabilizer Block. *Polym. Chem.* **2020**, *11*, 7533–7541.

(15) György, C.; Verity, C.; Neal, T. J.; Rymaruk, M. J.; Cornel, E. J.; Smith, T.; Growney, D. J.; Armes, S. P. RAFT Dispersion Polymerization of Methyl Methacrylate in Mineral Oil: High Glass Transition Temperature of the Core-Forming Block Constrains the Evolution of Copolymer Morphology. *Macromolecules* **2021**, *54*, 9496–9509.

(16) Cunningham, V. J.; Armes, S. P.; Musa, O. M. Synthesis, Characterisation and Pickering Emulsifier Performance of Poly-(Stearyl Methacrylate)-Poly(N-2-(Methacryloyloxy)Ethyl Pyrrolidone) Diblock Copolymer Nano-Objects via RAFT Dispersion Polymerisation in n-Dodecane. *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 1882–1891.

(17) Docherty, P. J.; Girou, C.; Derry, M. J.; Armes, S. P. Epoxy-Functional Diblock Copolymer Spheres, Worms and Vesicles via Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly in Mineral Oil. *Polym. Chem.* **2020**, *11*, 3332–3339.

(18) Rymaruk, M. J.; O'Brien, C. T.; Brown, S. L.; Williams, C. N.; Armes, S. P. RAFT Dispersion Polymerization of Benzyl Methacrylate in Silicone Oil Using a Silicone-Based Methacrylic Stabilizer Provides Convenient Access to Spheres, Worms, and Vesicles. *Macromolecules* **2020**, *53*, 1785–1794.

(19) György, C.; Derry, M. J.; Cornel, E. J.; Armes, S. P. Synthesis of Highly Transparent Diblock Copolymer Vesicles via RAFT Dispersion Polymerization of 2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl Methacrylate in N-Alkanes. *Macromolecules* **2021**, *54*, 1159–1169.

(20) Houillot, L.; Bui, C.; Save, M.; Charleux, B.; Farcet, C.; Moire, C.; Raust, J. A.; Rodriguez, I. Synthesis of Well-Defined Polyacrylate Particle Dispersions in Organic Medium Using Simultaneous RAFT Polymerization and Self-Assembly of Block Copolymers. A Strong Influence of the Selected Thiocarbonylthio Chain Transfer Agent. *Macromolecules* **2007**, *40*, 6500–6509.

(21) Houillot, L.; Bui, C.; Farcet, C.; Moire, C.; Raust, J. A.; Pasch, H.; Save, M.; Charleux, B. Dispersion Polymerization of Methyl Acrylate in Nonpolar Solvent Stabilized by Block Copolymers Formed in Situ via the RAFT Process. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2010**, *2*, 434–442.

(22) Derry, M. J.; Fielding, L. A.; Warren, N. J.; Mable, C. J.; Smith, A. J.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Armes, S. P. In Situ Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering Studies of Sterically-Stabilized Diblock Copolymer Nanoparticles Formed during Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly in Non-Polar Media. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 5078–5090.

(23) Cornel, E. J.; van Meurs, S.; Smith, T.; O'Hora, P. S.; Armes, S. P. In Situ Spectroscopic Studies of Highly Transparent Nanoparticle Dispersions Enable Assessment of Trithiocarbonate Chain-End Fidelity during RAFT Dispersion Polymerization in Nonpolar Media. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 12980–12988.

(24) Docherty, P. J.; Derry, M. J.; Armes, S. P. RAFT Dispersion Polymerization of Glycidyl Methacrylate for the Synthesis of Epoxy-Functional Block Copolymer Nanoparticles in Mineral Oil. *Polym. Chem.* **2019**, *10*, 603–611. (25) Chiefari, J.; Chong, Y. K. B.; Ercole, F.; Krstina, J.; Jeffery, J.; Le, T. P. T.; Mayadunne, R. T. A.; Meijs, G. F.; Moad, C. L.; Moad, G.; Rizzardo, E.; Thang, S. H. Living Free-Radical Polymerization by Reversible Addition-Fragmentation Chain Transfer: The RAFT Process. *Macromolecules* **1998**, *31*, 5559–5562.

(26) Ferguson, C. J.; Hughes, R. J.; Pham, B. T. T.; Hawkett, B. S.; Gilbert, R. G.; Serelis, A. K.; Such, C. H. Communications to the Editor. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 9243–9245.

(27) Ferguson, C. J.; Hughes, R. J.; Nguyen, D.; Pham, B. T. T.; Gilbert, R. G.; Serelis, A. K.; Such, C. H.; Hawkett, B. S. Ab Initio Emulsion Polymerization by RAFT-Controlled Self-Assembly. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 2191–2204.

(28) Boissé, S.; Rieger, J.; Belal, K.; Di-Cicco, A.; Beaunier, P.; Li, M. H.; Charleux, B. Amphiphilic Block Copolymer Nano-Fibers via RAFT-Mediated Polymerization in Aqueous Dispersed System. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 1950–1952.

(29) Zhang, X.; Boissé, S.; Zhang, W.; Beaunier, P.; D'Agosto, F.; Rieger, J.; Charleux, B. Well-Defined Amphiphilic Block Copolymers and Nano-Objects Formed in Situ via RAFT-Mediated Aqueous Emulsion Polymerization. *Macromolecules* **2011**, *44*, 4149–4158.

(30) Canning, S. L.; Smith, G. N.; Armes, S. P. A Critical Appraisal of RAFT-Mediated Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Macro-molecules* **2016**, *49*, 1985–2001.

(31) Gibson, R. R.; Fernyhough, A.; Musa, O. M.; Armes, S. P. Synthesis of Well-Defined Diblock Copolymer Nano-Objects by RAFT Non-Aqueous Emulsion Polymerization of N-(2-Acryloyloxy)-Ethyl Pyrrolidone in Non-Polar Media. *Polym. Chem.* **2021**, *12*, 3762–3774.

(32) Israelachvili, J. N.; Mitchell, D. J.; Ninham, B. W. Theory of Self-Assembly of Hydrocarbon Amphiphiles into Micelles and Bilayers. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. **1976**, 72, 1525–1568.

(33) Antonietti, M.; Förster, S. Vesicles and Liposomes: A Self-Assembly Principle Beyond Lipids. *Adv. Mater.* 2003, *15*, 1323–1333.

(34) Blanazs, A.; Ryan, A. J.; Armes, S. P. Predictive Phase Diagrams for RAFT Aqueous Dispersion Polymerization: Effect of Block Copolymer Composition, Molecular Weight, and Copolymer Concentration. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 5099–5107.

(35) Fielding, L. A.; Derry, M. J.; Ladmiral, V.; Rosselgong, J.; Rodrigues, A. M.; Ratcliffe, L. P. D.; Sugihara, S.; Armes, S. P. RAFT Dispersion Polymerization in Non-Polar Solvents: Facile Production of Block Copolymer Spheres, Worms and Vesicles in n-Alkanes. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 2081–2087.

(36) Fielding, L. A.; Lane, J. A.; Derry, M. J.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Armes, S. P. Thermo-Responsive Diblock Copolymer Worm Gels in Non-Polar Solvents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5790–5798.

(37) Semsarilar, M.; Ladmiral, V.; Blanazs, A.; Armes, S. P. Anionic Polyelectrolyte-Stabilized Nanoparticles via RAFT Aqueous Dispersion Polymerization. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 914–922.

(38) Semsarilar, M.; Ladmiral, V.; Blanazs, A.; Armes, S. P. Cationic Polyelectrolyte-Stabilized Nanoparticles via RAFT Aqueous Dispersion Polymerization. *Langmuir* **2013**, *29*, 7416–7424.

(39) Yang, P.; Ratcliffe, L. P. D.; Armes, S. P. Efficient Synthesis of Poly(Methacrylic Acid)-Block-Poly(Styrene-Alt-N-phenylmaleimide) Diblock Copolymer Lamellae Using RAFT Dispersion Polymerization. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46*, 8545–8556.

(40) Liu, G.; Li, X.; Zhang, L.; Qu, X.; Liu, P.; Yang, L.; Gao, J. Thermal Analysis of Solution Copolymers of Styrene with N-Phenylmaleimide. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2002**, *83*, 417–422.

(41) Fox, T. G.; Flory, P. J. Second-Order Transition Temperatures and Related Properties of Polystyrene. I. Influence of Molecular Weight. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1950**, *21*, 581.

(42) Fox, T. G.; Flory, P. J. The Glass Temperature and Related Properties of Poly-Styrene. Influence of Molecular Weight. *J. Polym. Sci.* **1954**, *14*, 315–319.

(43) Derry, M. J.; Smith, T.; O'Hora, P. S.; Armes, S. P. Block Copolymer Nanoparticles Prepared via Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly Provide Excellent Boundary Lubrication Performance for Next-Generation Ultralow-Viscosity Automotive Engine Oils. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2019**, *11*, 33364–33369. (44) Derry, M. J.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Armes, S. P. A Vesicle-to-Worm Transition Provides a New High-Temperature Oil Thickening Mechanism. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 1746–1750.

(45) Wang, G.; Schmitt, M.; Wang, Z.; Lee, B.; Pan, X.; Fu, L.; Yan, J.; Li, S.; Xie, G.; Bockstaller, M. R.; Matyjaszewski, K. Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly (PISA) Using ICAR ATRP at Low Catalyst Concentration. *Macromolecules* **2016**, *49*, 8605–8615.

(46) Zhou, J.; Zhang, W.; Hong, C.; Pan, C. Promotion of Morphology Transition of Di-Block Copolymer Nano-Objects: Via RAFT Dispersion Copolymerization. *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 3259– 3267.

(47) Sobotta, F. H.; Kuchenbrod, M.; Hoeppener, S.; Brendel, J. C. One Polymer Composition, Various Morphologies: The Decisive Influence of Conditions on the Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly (PISA) of N-Acryloyl Thiomorpholine. *Nanoscale* **2020**, *12*, 20171–20176.

(48) Yoshida, E. Morphology Control of Giant Vesicles by Manipulating Hydrophobic- Hydrophilic Balance of Amphiphilic Random Block Copolymers through Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* **2014**, *292*, 763–769.

(49) Shi, P.; Zhou, H.; Gao, C.; Wang, S.; Sun, P.; Zhang, W. Macro-RAFT Agent Mediated Dispersion Copolymerization: A Small Amount of Solvophilic Co-Monomer Leads to a Great Change. *Polym. Chem.* **2015**, *6*, 4911–4920.

(50) Huang, C.; Tan, J.; Xu, Q.; He, J.; Li, X.; Liu, D.; Zhang, L. Adding a Solvophilic Comonomer to the Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly of Block Copolymer and Homopolymer: A Cooperative Strategy for Preparing Large Compound Vesicles. *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7, 46069–46081.

(51) Figg, C. A.; Carmean, R. N.; Bentz, K. C.; Mukherjee, S.; Savin, D. A.; Sumerlin, B. S. Tuning Hydrophobicity To Program Block Copolymer Assemblies from the Inside Out. *Macromolecules* **2017**, *50*, 935–943.

(52) Tan, M.; Shi, Y.; Fu, Z.; Yang, W. In Situ Synthesis of Diblock Copolymer Nano-Assemblies via Dispersion RAFT Polymerization Induced Self-Assembly and Ag/Copolymer Composite Nanoparticles Thereof. *Polym. Chem.* **2018**, *9*, 1082–1094.

(53) Fox, T. G. Influence of Diluent and of Copolymer Composition on the Glass Temperature of a Polymer System. *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **1956**, *1*, 123.

(54) Cornel, E. J.; Smith, G. N.; Rogers, S. E.; Hallett, J. E.; Growney, D. J.; Smith, T.; O'Hora, P. S.; Van Meurs, S.; Mykhaylyk, O. O.; Armes, S. P. Time-Resolved Small-Angle Neutron Scattering Studies of the Thermally-Induced Exchange of Copolymer Chains between Spherical Diblock Copolymer Nanoparticles Prepared Via Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly. *Soft Matter* **2020**, *16*, 3657– 3668.

(55) Parker, B. R.; Derry, M. J.; Ning, Y.; Armes, S. P. Exploring the Upper Size Limit for Sterically Stabilized Diblock Copolymer Nanoparticles Prepared by Polymerization-Induced Self-Assembly in Non-Polar Media. *Langmuir* **2020**, *36*, 3730–3736.

(56) Glatter, O.; Kratky, O. Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering; Academic Press: London, 1982.

(57) Pedersen, J. S. Form Factors of Block Copolymer Micelles with Spherical, Ellipsoidal and Cylindrical Cores. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2000**, 33, 637–640.

(58) Bang, J.; Jain, S.; Li, Z.; Lodge, T. P.; Pedersen, J. S.; Kesselman, E.; Talmon, Y. Sphere, Cylinder, and Vesicle Nano-aggregates in Poly(Styrene-b-Isoprene) Diblock Copolymer Solutions. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 1199–1208.

(59) Pei, Y.; Thurairajah, L.; Sugita, O. R.; Lowe, A. B. RAFT Dispersion Polymerization in Nonpolar Media: Polymerization of 3-Phenylpropyl Methacrylate in N-Tetradecane with Poly(Stearyl Methacrylate) Homopolymers as Macro Chain Transfer Agents. *Macromolecules* **2015**, *48*, 236–244.

(60) Pei, Y.; Sugita, O. R.; Thurairajah, L.; Lowe, A. B. Synthesis of Poly(Stearyl Methacrylate-b-3-Phenylpropyl Methacrylate) Nanoparticles in n-Octane and Associated Thermoreversible Polymorphism. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 17636–17646. (61) Dorsman, I. R.; Derry, M. J.; Cunningham, V. J.; Brown, S. L.; Williams, C. N.; Armes, S. P. Tuning the Vesicle-to-Worm Transition for Thermoresponsive Block Copolymer Vesicles Prepared: Via Polymerisation-Induced Self-Assembly. *Polym. Chem.* **2021**, *12*, 1224–1235.