HELMINTHOLOGIA, 59, 4: 414 - 423, 2022

Research Note

First report of *Aphelenchoides bicaudatus* (Imamura, 1931) Filipjev and Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941 associated with grass in South Africa

E. SHOKOOHI^{1,*}, N. A. G. MOYO²

^{1,*}Department of Research Administration and Development, University of Limpopo, Private Bag X1106, Sovenga 0727, South Africa, E-mail: *Ebrahim.Shokoohi@ul.ac.za*; ²Aquaculture Research Unit, School of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science and Agriculture, University of Limpopo (Turfloop Campus), Private Bag X1106, Sovenga 0727, South Africa

Article info	Summary
Received April 13, 2022 Accepted August 17, 2022	Aphelenchoides bicaudatus associated with grass in South Africa was identified morphologically and molecularly. This population is characterized by a body length of 409 – 529 μm, a stylet length of 9.5 – 13 μm, a post-vulval uterine sac of 45 – 50 μm, and the characteristic tail bifurcated at the end with one prong longer than the other. Molecular analyses based on the 18S and ITS rDNA data confirmed the primary morphological identification of the <i>A. bicaudatus</i> species. The obtained phy- logenetic trees revealed a close positioning of the South African population to other representatives of <i>A. bicaudatus</i> with the maximum (1.00) posterior probability value. Principal component analysis (PCA) also indicated a variation within the populations of <i>A. bicaudatus</i> . This is the first report of <i>A. bicaudatus</i> from South Africa. Keywords: <i>Aphelenchoides</i> ; grass; morphology; PCA; phylogeny; rDNA; South Africa

Introduction

Grasslands are one of the most critical biomes in South Africa (Le Roux *et al.*, 2011; Richardson *et al.*, 2020), which cover the northern parts of the Western Cape Province. Grasslands constitute a significant component of the natural vegetation. The interface between grasslands and other biomes contributes substantially to their floristic and faunal diversity and to their important role in the agricultural economy, including livestock. The grasslands of South Africa are also home to most of the human population across the country (Le Roux *et al.*, 2011; Richardson *et al.*, 2020). *Aphelenchoides* Fischer, 1894 species is large and abundant genus with a worldwide distribution. They are found in a wide range of trophics such as fungal feeder in soil (e.g., *A. pseudogoodeyi* Oliveira, Subbotin, Alvarez-Ortega, Desaeger, Brito, Xavier, Freitas, Vau & Inserra, 2019), mushroom (e.g., *A. composticola* Franklin, 1957), plants (e.g., *A. besseyi* Christie, 1942), and insects (*A. microstylus*

Kaisa, 2000) (Nickle, 1970; Handoo et al., 2020). Aphelenchoides bicaudatus first reported from Japan by Imamura (1931) from a paddy field. This species originally considered as a fungal feeder; however, it has been reported in association with several agricultural crops (Jen et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2016). Aphelenchoides bicaudatus has been reported from India (Das, 1960), Australia (Colbran, 1964), Venezuela (Loof, 1964), USA (Siddiqui and Taylor, 1967), Taiwan (Jen et al., 2012), South Korea (Kim et al, 2016), and Pakistan (Israr et al., 2017). To date, it has been reported in association with more than 200 plant species (Handoo et al., 2020). For instance, in South Korea, A. bicaudatus has been found with the leaves and shoot tips of chrysanthemum (Kim et al., 2016). However, A. bicaudatus reported from soil and mushroom (Mcleod, 1967). To date, A. arachidis Boss, 1977 and A. ritzemabosi (Schwartz, 1911) Steiner and Buhrer, 1932 have been reported from South Africa (Lesufi et al., 2015). However, A. bicaudatus not yet been reported from South Africa.

^{* -} corresponding author

Therefore, the aims of the present work were 1) to study the morphology of *A. bicaudatus*, and 2) to study the molecular characters of *A. bicaudatus* using the 18S and ITS rDNA markers.

Materials and Methods

Nematode extraction, and processing

Specimens were collected at the Kirstenbosch National Botanical Garden in Cape Town (S: 33° 59' 13.19"; E 18° 25' 29.39") and Magoebaskloof (S: 23°52'40.368"; E: 29°56'14.459") from the rhizosphere of grass plants (Family: Poaceae; *Pennisetum clandestinum*). The specimens were extracted using the tray method (Shokoohi, 2021) and were fixed with a hot 4 % formaldehyde solution and transferred to anhydrous glycerin using the De Grisse (1969) method. The classification provided by Handoo *et al.* (2020) was used for the taxonomical study of *Aphelenchoides*. Pictures were taken with a Zeiss Axiolab (Germany) microscope at the Aquaculture Research Unit, equipped with a digital camera. Next, the pictures were used for line illustration. All samples were processed at the Aquaculture Research Unit of the University of Limpopo.

DNA extraction, PCR, and phylogenetic analysis

DNA extraction was done using the Chelex method (Straube & Juen, 2013). Five specimens of the analyzed species were handpicked with a fine tip needle and transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing 20 µl double distilled water. The nematodes in the tube were crushed with the tip of a fine needle and vortexed. Thirty microliters of 5 % Chelex® 50 and 2 µL of proteinase K were added to the microcentrifuge tube that contained the crushed nematodes and mixed. These separate microcentrifuge tubes with the nematode lysate were incubated at 56 °C for two hours and then incubated at 95 °C for 10 minutes to deactivate the proteinase K and finally spin for 2 min at 16000 rpm (Shokoohi, 2021). The supernatant was then extracted from the tube and stored at -20 °C. Following this step, the forward and reverse primers, 988F (5'-CTCAAAGATTAAGCCATGC-3') and 1912R (5'-TTTACG-GTCAGAACTAGGG-3') for 18S rDNA (Holterman et al., 2006), and TW81 (5'-GTTTCCGTAGGTGAACCTGC-3'), and AB28 (5'-ATATGCTTAAGTTCAGCGGGT-3') for ITS rDNA (Joyce et al., 1994) were used in the PCR reactions for partial amplification of the 18S and ITS rDNA region, respectively. PCR was conducted with eight µl of the DNA template, 12.5 µl of 2X PCR OneTag® Quick-Load® 2X Master Mix with Standard Buffer (Ingaba Biotec, South Africa), one μ I of each primer (10 pmol μ I⁻¹), and ddH₂O for a final volume of 30 µl. The amplification was processed using an Eppendorf Mastercycler gradient (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), with the following program: initial denaturation for 3 min at 94 °C, 37 cycles of denaturation for 45 s at 94°C; 54 °C and 53°C annealing temperatures for 18S rDNA and ITS rDNA for 30 s. respectively: extension for 45 s to 1 min at 72 °C, and finally an extension step of 6 min at 72 °C followed by a temperature on hold at 4 °C. After DNA amplification, four µl of product from each tube was loaded on a 1 % agarose gel in TBE buffer (40 mM Tris, 40 mM boric acid, and one mM EDTA) for evaluation of the DNA bands. The bands were stained with the SafeView™ Classic stain (Applied Biological Materials Inc. (abm); Canada) and visualized and photographed on a UV transilluminator. The PCR products for 18S rDNA and ITS rDNA were stored at -20 °C. Finally, the PCR products were purified and sequenced by Ingaba Biotech (South Africa). The obtained ribosomal DNA sequences were analyzed and edited with BioEdit (Hall, 1999) and aligned using CLUSTAL W (Thompson et al., 1994). Phylogenetic trees were generated using the Bayesian inference method as implemented in the program Mr Bayes 3.1.2 (Ronguist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). The HKY+F (gamma distribution of rate variation with a proportion of invariable sites) model was selected using iModeltest 2.1.10 (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003; Darriba et al., 2012). Analysis using the GTR+G+I model was initiated with a random starting tree and ran with the Markov chain Monte Car-Io (MCMC) for 10⁶ generations for 18S and ITS rDNA. The trees were visualized with the TreeView program (Page, 1996). Also, as outgroups, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus (MF669500, KX856336 for 18S rDNA, and ITS rDNA, respectively) were selected based on Handoo et al. (2020). The original partial 18S and ITS rDNA sequences of A. bicaudatus were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers OM883916 and OM910735.

Statistical analysis

To evaluate the morphological variations between the populations of A. bicaudatus, principal component analyses (PCA) were conducted using XLSTAT software (Addinsoft, 2007). Various morphometric features obtained from fixed nematodes, including body length, a (body length/greatest body diameter), b (body length/ neck length), c (body length/tail length), c' (tail length/anal body diameter), V (% anterior end to vulva/body length), stylet length, and tail length were included in the PCA analyses (Table 2). The morphometric measurements for the different populations were taken from their original descriptions. The measures were normalized using XLSTAT software before their analysis (Addinsoft, 2007). The scores values were determined for each species based on each of the principal components, and the scores for the first two components were used to form a two-dimensional plot (PC1 and PC2) of each isolate based on the eigenvalues given by the software XLSTAT.

Results

Aphelenchoides bicaudatus (Imamura, 1931) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941

Morphological characterization (Eight females in a good state of preservation)

Fig. 1; Table 1

Description

Female: Body slender, tapering slightly anteriorly, and more



Fig. 1. *Aphelenchoides bicaudatus* (Imamura, 1931) Filipjev and Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941. (A) anterior end; (B) entire female; (C) reproductive system; (D) female posterior end; (E) Post-vulval uterine sac.

prominently toward posterior end. Body straight and tail region only slightly curved after heat relaxation. Cuticle annulated; 0.4 - 0.6 µm thick; annuli 0.5 - 0.7 µm wide. Lateral field with two incisures at mid-body region. Lip region rounded, offset, $5.6 - 5.8 \mu m$ wide and $2.0 - 3.7 \mu m$ high; no annules. Stylet weak, with small basal swellings. Procorpus wider anteriorly, gradually narrowing posteriorly, then widening at median bulb. Median bulb rounded to ovoid, occupying approximately 69 - 78 % of body width, and measuring $8.5 - 10.0 \ \mu m$ wide and $10.7 - 12.0 \ \mu m$ long. Cardia conoid and surrounded by intestinal tissue. Nerve ring about behind median bulb, at 68 - 82 % of the neck length. Excretory pore at isthmus level, at 57 – 73 % of the neck length. Vulva a transverse slit and slightly protruding, at 68 – 71 % of body length from anterior end. Anterior genital branch usually extending to region of pharyngeal gland lobe, 167 – 200 µm long. Post-vulval uterine sac 45 - 50 µm long, and extending for 32 - 38 % of distance from vulva to end of tail. Rectum prominent, straight, near ventral body wall, 12 – 16 μm long. Tail gradually tapering to terminus, which is unevenly bifurcate (Fig 1. D) with one prong longer than the other, 24 – 31 μm long.

Male: not found.

Other material examined: A population from Magoebaskloof, Limpopo Province, was recovered from the rhizosphere of a grass, which resembles the Cape Town population of *A. bicaudatus*.

Remarks: The South African population of *A. bicaudatus* resembles the previous populations studied from Japan (Imamura, 1931 (Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven (1941), the USA (Siddiqui & Taylor, 1967), Taiwan (Jen *et al.*, 2012), and South Korea (Kim *et al.*, 2016). However, compared with the Japanese population, they differ in the lower range of the body length (409–529 vs $380-470 \mu$ m), b (4.1–5.1 vs 6.8–8.4), c (14.1–17.8 vs 9.4–12.6), and the upper range of V (67–71 vs 61.7–90.2). Compared with

Province	Western Cape	Limpopo
Locality	Cape Town	Magoebaskloof
n	8 females	4 females
L	455.3 ± 64.5 (409 – 529)	451.7 ± 56.7 (415 – 517)
а	29.1 ± 2.0 (27.1 – 31.1)	28.9 ± 0.7 (28.2 – 29.6)
b	4.6 ± 0.5 (4.1 – 5.1)	4.4 ± 0.3 (4.1 – 4.7)
Μ	47.9 ± 3.4 (44.1 – 50.6)	49.4 ± 3.6 (45.5 – 52.6)
С	16.3 ± 2.0 (14.1 – 17.8)	15.2 ± 1.3 (14.3 – 16.7)
C'	3.2 ± 0.6 (2.6 – 3.6)	3.3 ± 0.3 (3.1 – 3.6)
V (%)	68.8 ± 1.6 (67 – 71)	68.7 ± 1.7 (67 – 70)
Lip region height	$2.8 \pm 0.9 (2 - 4)$	3.1 ± 0.5 (3 – 4)
Lip region width	5.7 ± 0.1 (5.6 – 5.8)	5.4 ± 0.4 (5.1 – 5.8)
Stylet length	11.1 ± 1.7 (10 – 13)	9.6 ± 0.6 (9.5 – 10)
Conus	4.7 ± 0.3 (4.5 – 5.0)	4.8 ± 0.3 (4.5 – 5.0)
Mid of median bulb to anterior end.	47.3 ± 3.2 (45 – 51)	48.3 ± 2.6 (46 – 51)
Median bulb diameter	9.0 ± 0.8 (8.5 – 10.0)	9.2 ± 0.8 (8.0 – 10.0)
Median bulb length	11.2 ± 0.7 (11 – 12)	10.9 ± 1.0 (10 – 12)
Pharynx length	88.3 ± 11.2 (76 – 98)	93.2 ± 7.3 (86 – 100)
Neck	99.0 ± 8.7 (89 – 104)	103.3 ± 7.5 (95 – 110)
Nerve ring from anterior end	71.7 ± 0.6 (71 – 76)	73.0 ± 2.0 (71 – 75)
Excretory pore from anterior end	61.3 ± 3.2 (60 – 65)	60.3 ± 1.5 (59 – 62)
Body diameter at median bulb	12.5 ± 1.8 (11 – 15)	12.3 ± 1.5 (11 – 14)
Body diameter at mid body	15.6 ± 1.5 (14 – 17)	15.7 ± 2.1 (14 – 18)
Body diameter at anus	$8.8 \pm 0.7 \ (8 - 9)$	9.1 ± 0.1 (8 – 10)
Anterior branch of reproductive system	179.3 ± 18.0 (167 – 200)	199.7 ± 53.2 (167 – 261)
Post-vulval uterine sac	47.0 ± 2.6 (45 – 50)	46.0 ± 1.0 (45 – 47)
Vagina length	$6.3 \pm (6 - 7)$	6.7 ± 0.6 (6 – 7)
Rectum	14.3 ± (12 – 16)	14.7 ± 1.5 (13 – 16)
Tail length	28.0 ± (24 – 31)	29.7 ± 1.2 (29 – 31)

Table 1. Measurements of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from South Africa. All measurements are in µm and in form: mean ± SD (range), except for ratio.



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic position of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from South Africa based on 18S rDNA.

the American population, they differ in b (4.1–5.1 vs 7.3–9.6), c (14.1–17.8 vs 9.8–13.7), and tail length (24–31 vs 41 measurement extracted from original drawing). Compared with South Korean population, they differ in the lower range of body length (409–529 vs 514–523 μ m), b (4.1–5.1 vs 7.3–7.4), c (14.1–17.8 vs 10.7–11.9), and tail length (24–31 vs 43–49 μ m). Compared with the population from Taiwan, they differ in body length (409–529 vs 376–637 μ m), b (4.1–5.1 vs 7.5–10.0), c (14.1–17.8 vs 10.16–14.80), and c' (2.6–3.6 vs 4.13–7.14). However, compared with a population from Pakistan (Israr *et al.*, 2017), they differ body length (409–529 vs 360 μ m), and b (4.1–5.1 vs 7.2–8.8).

DNA characters

The nBlast test of 18S rDNA showed 98 % similarity of the test population with the South Korean population of *A. bicaudatus* (KX345119), Taiwan (JN887884), and China (MH722388).

The phylogenetic analysis using 18S and ITS rDNA, placed the South African *A. bicaudatus* population in a clade together with other *A. bicaudatus* populations with the maximum posterior probability value (Figs. 2 and 3).

Statistical analysis

An accumulated variability of 74.86 % was observed in female based PCA, specifically, 42.66 % in the PC1 and 32.20 % in the PC2 (Fig. 4). The variables b (r = 0.865), c (r = -0.862), c' (r = 0.866), and tail length (r = 0.811) were responsible for the significant variability of the PC1. Regarding the PC2, body length (r = 0.714), a (r = -0.784), V (r = -0.668), and stylet length (r = 0.852) showed a significant correlation (Table 3). The PCA plot separated different populations of *A. bicaudatus* indicating a morphological variation between the populations (Fig. 4; Table 4). The result categorized the populations of *A. bicaudatus* into three groups,

	Present study	Imamura, 1931 (Filipjev and Schuurmans Stekhoven (1941))	Siddiqui and Taylor (1967)	Jen <i>et al.</i> , 2012	Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2016	Israr <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Population	South Africa	Japan	USA	Taiwan	South Korea	Pakistan
L (µm)	455.3 ± 64.5 (409 – 529)	430 (380 – 470)	460 (410 – 550)	499.12 ± 67.95 (376–637)	$517.9 \pm 3.8 (513.6 - 522.6)$	360
σ	29.1 ± 2.0 (27.1 – 31.1)	31.5 (31.3 – 31.7)	28.0 (25 – 31)	33.03 ± 2.42 (27.00–38.64)	$28.3 \pm 0.5 (27.7 - 28.8)$	30.1 – 32.7
q	$4.6 \pm 0.5 (4.1 - 5.1)$	7.4 (6.8 – 8.4)	8.2 (7.3 – 9.6)	9.0 ± 0.7 (7.5−10.0)	7.3 ± 0.0 (7.3 – 7.4)	7.2 – 8.8
c	16.3 ± 2.0 (14.1 – 17.8)	10.6 (9.4 – 12.6)	11.4 (9.8 – 13.7)	11.94 ± 0.93 (10.16–14.80)	$11.3 \pm 0.5 (10.7 - 11.9)$	11.3 – 12.0
-0	$3.2 \pm 0.6 (2.6 - 3.6)$	4.4*	4.7*	$5.41 \pm 0.56 (4.13 - 7.14)$	$4.6 \pm 0.1 \ (4.4 - 4.8)$	2.9 – 3.7
Tail (µm)	28.0 ± 3.6 (24 – 31)	44*	41	37 – 43	$45.9 \pm 2.5 (43.1 - 48.8)$	30 – 31
V (%)	68.8 ± 1.6 (67 – 71)	70.4 (61.7 – 90.2)	67.5 (65 – 70)	68.53 ± 1.20 (64.90–71.83)	$66.0 \pm 0.2 \ (65.7 - 66.4)$	66.8 – 67.2
Stylet (µm)	$11.1 \pm 1.7 (10 - 13)$	10*	11.2 (10 – 12)	10.38 ± 0.63 (9–12)	$11.2 \pm 0.5 (10.4 - 11.7)$	10 – 11

g
Ň
ā
0
na
ig.
Ъ
E
ē
÷
ĕ
g
Ę,
æ
П
*.
<u>e</u> .
ਯੁ
Ъ
÷
<u>p</u>
ğ
Ð
Ĵ,
ğ
a
Ŀ
ũ
с) ц
Ť
ar
ne
-
Ē
Į0
.⊆
q
an
Ē
Ц
.⊆
Ð
a
ts
B
Ē
ē
su
ea
Ē
=
₹.
ŝ
lities
calities
localities
is localities
ious localities
arious localities
ı various localities
om various localities
from various localities
is from various localities
atus from various localities
idatus from various localities
audatus from various localities:
bicaudatus from various localities
s bicaudatus from various localities
des bicaudatus from various localities
oides bicaudatus from various localities
choides bicaudatus from various localities
enchoides bicaudatus from various localities
elenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
ohelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
ss of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
ales of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
males of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
rs of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
ters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
acters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
aracters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
nt characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
tant characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
ortant characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
nportant characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
cal important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
gical important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
logical important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
hological important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
rphological important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
Morphological important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
". Morphological important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
3 2. Morphological important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities
ble 2. Morphological important characters of females of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various localities



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic position of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from South Africa based on ITS rDNA.

including 1) South Africa and Pakistan, 2) USA and South Korea, and 3) Taiwan and Japan.

Discussion

The genus *Aphelenchoides* comprises a diverse group of species (Handoo *et al.*, 2020). The morphological and molecular findings in the current study were in agreement with the mophometrics and phylogenies of *Aphelenchoides* species studied (Jen *et al.*, 2012; Kim *et al.*, 2016; Handoo *et al.*, 2020). Among the species belong to the *Aphelenchoides*, two species, namely *A. bicaudatus* and *A. hainanensis* (Rahm, 1938) Goodey, 1951 having bi-

furcated tail. However, they distinguished by female body length ($360 - 637 \mu m$ in *A. bicaudatus* vs 900 - 1300 μm in *A. hainanensis*), a (25.0 - 38.6 in *A. bicaudatus* vs 42.4 - 46.1 in *A. hainanensis*), and tail tip morphology (*A. bicaudatus* with bifurcated in female and conical in male vs *A. hainanensis* with bifurcated in both sexes). Therefore, the data of the present study confirm the South African species as *A. bicaudatus*.

Additionally, principal component analysis using morphometric features of species of *A. bicaudatus*, including the populations from South Africa, showed that *A. bicaudatus* has morphometric variation. The analyzed morphological characters allowed a clear separation between the populations of *A. bicaudatus* of this study.

0.7		, out a ditu of
	PC1	PC2
L	0.293	0.714
а	0.435	-0.784
b	0.865	-0.037
С	-0.862	-0.008
C'	0.866	0.323
Tail	0.811	0.417
V	0.073	-0.668
Stylet	-0.483	0.852

Table 3. Loading factor of the variables of the different populations of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus.

Table 4. Factor score of the variables of the different populations
of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus.

Observation	PC1	PC2
South Africa	-3.296	-0.120
Japan	1.298	-1.668
USA	0.332	1.408
Taiwan	1.912	-0.479
South Korea	0.476	2.367
Pakistan	-0.723	-1.507

The results indicated that there is intraspecific morphological variation across *A. bicaudatus* populations, which depends on the sampling locations. The populations of *A. bicaudatus* from South Africa and Pakistan stand close to each other than other populations. The populations from South Africa and Pakistan similar in tail length, a, c', and V. However, they differ in body length, which indicating a variation between the two populations. PCA showed previously a useful tool to study the variation between the populations of the same species, as mentioned in *Butlerius butleri* (Shokoohi & Abolafia, 2021). Besides, the PCA also indicated a variation between the populations of *Xiphinema hispanum* com-

plex group (Archidona-Yuste *et al.*, 2020). The result of the present study is in agreement with the previous studies.

Three permanent microscope slides, containing the females of *A. bicaudatus* were deposited in the Aquaculture Research Unit of the University of Limpopo, South Africa. According to the literature, this is the first record of *A. bicaudatus* in South Africa. In conclusion, the morphological variation exists among the *A. bicaudatus* (e.g., body length, a, b, c, c', vulval position, and tail length,) is due to the geographical location of the population. Besides, the ecological role of *A. bicaudatus* needs to be investigated in the grassland quality of South Africa.





Fig. 4. PCA plot of different populations of Aphelenchoides bicaudatus from various locations.

References

ADDINSOFT (2007): XLSTAT, Analyse de données et statistique avec Ms Excel [XLSTAT, Data analysis and statistics with Ms Excel]. Addinsoft, NY, USA. (In French)

ARCHIDONA-YUSTE, A., CAI, R., CANTALAPIEDRA-NAVARRETE, C., CARREI-RA, J.A., REY, A., VIÑEGLA, B., LIÉBANAS, G., PALOMARES-RIUS, J.E., CASTILLO, P. (2020): Morphostatic speciation within the dagger nematode *Xiphinema hispanum*-complex species (Nematoda: Longidoridae). *Plants*, 9(12): 1649. DOI: 10.3390/plants9121649 Bos, W.S. (1977): *Aphelenchoides arachidis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Aphelenchoidea), an endoparasite of the testa of groundnuts in Nigeria. *Z Pflanzenkr Pflanzenschutz*, 84: 95 – 99

CHRISTIE, J.R. (1942): A description of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* n.sp., the summer- dwarf nematode of strawberries, with comments on the identity of *Aphelenchoides subtenuis* (cobb, 1929) and *Aphelenchoides hodsoni* goodey, 1935. *Proc Helminth Soc Wash*, 9: 82 – 84

COLBRAN, R.C. (1964): Studies of plant and soil nematodes. 7. Queensland records of the order Tylenchida and the genera *Trichodorus* and *Xiphinema*. *Queensland J Agric Sci*, 21(1): 77 – 123

DARRIBA, D., TABOADA, G.L., DOALLO, R., POSADA, D. (2012): jModel-Test 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nat Methods*, 9: 772. DOI: 10.1038/nmeth.2109

Das, V. M. (1960): Studies on the nematode parasites of plants in Hyderabad (Andhra Pradesh, India). Z Parasitenkd, 19(6): 553-605

DE GRISSE, A. (1969): Redescription ou modifications de quelques techniques utililisés dans l'étude des nématodes phytoparasitaires [Redescription or modifications of some techniques used in the study of plant parasitic nematodes]. *Meded. Rijksfac. Landb.Wet. Gent*, 34: 351 – 369 (In French)

FILIPJEV, I.N., STEKHOVEN, J.H.S. (1941): A manual of agricultural helminthology. Brill Archive, Leiden, pp. 1 – 878

FISCHER, M. (1894): Über eine Clematis - krankheit [About a clematis disease]. Bericht aus dem Physiolischen Laboratorium des Landwirthschaftlichen, Instituts der Universitat Halle [Report from the Physiological Laboratory of the Agricultural Institute of the University of Halle], (11)3: 1 - 11 (In German)

FRANKLIN, MT. (1957): *Aphelenchoides composticola* n.sp. and a. *Aprophilus* n.sp. from mushroom compost and rotting plant tissues. *Nematologica*, 11: 306 – 313

GOODEY, T. (1951): Soil and freshwater nematodes. London: Methuen and Co.

GUINDON, S., GASCUEL, O. (2003): A simple, fast and accurate method to estimate large phylogenies by maximum-likelihood. *Syst Biol*, 52: 696 – 704. DOI: 10.1080/10635150390235520

H_{ALL}, T.A. (1999): BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl Acids Sym Series*, 41: 95 – 98

HANDOO, Z., KANTOR, M., CARTA, L. (2020): Taxonomy and Identification of Principal Foliar Nematode Species (*Aphelenchoides* and

Litylenchus). Plants, 9: 1490. DOI: 10.3390/plants9111490

IMAMURA, S. (1931): Nematodes in the paddy field, with notes on their population before and after irrigation. *J. Coll. Agric. Imp. Univ. Tokyo*, 11: 193 – 240

ISRAR, M., SHAHINA, F., NASIRA, K. (2017): Description of *Aphelen-choides turnipi* n. sp. and redescription of *A. siddiqii* with notes on *A. bicaudatus* (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae) from Pakistan. *Pak J Nematol*, 35: 3 – 12

JEN, F.Y., TSAY, T.T., CHEN, P. (2012): *Aphelenchoides bicaudatus* from ornamental nurseries in Taiwan and its relationship with some agricultural crops. *Plant Dis*, 96: 1763 – 1766. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-03-12-0229-RE

JOYCE, S.A., REID, A., DRIVER, F., CURRAN, J. (1994): Application of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods to identification of entomopathogenic nematodes. In: BURNELL, A.M., EHLERS R-U, MASSON J.P. (Eds) Cost 812 biotechnology: genetics of entomopathogenic nematode-bacterium complexes; Proceedings of Symposium & Workshop. St. Patrick's College, Maynooth, County Kildare, Ireland. Luxembourg: European Commission; pp. 178 – 187

KAISA, T.R. (2000): Aphelenchoides microstylus n. sp. and Seinura onondagensis n. sp. (Nemata: Aphelenchina) from New York. J Nematol, 32(4): 396 – 402

KIM, J., KIM, T., PARK, J.K. (2016): First report of *Aphelenchoides bicaudatus* (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae) from South Korea. *Anim Syst Evol Divers*, 32: 253

LE ROUX, X., RECOUS, S., ATTARD, E. (2011): Soil Microbial Diversity in Grasslands and its Importance for Grassland Functioning and Services. In: LEMAIRE, G., HODGSON, J., CHABBI, A. (Eds) *Grassland Productivity and Ecosystem Services*. CAB International.

LESUFI, M.M., SWART, A., MC DONALD, A.H., KNOETZE, R., TIEDT, L.R., TRUTER, M. (2015): Morphological and molecular studies on *Aphelenchoides arachidis* Bos, 1977 (Tylenchina: Aphelenchoididae) from groundnuts in South Africa. *Nematology*, 17(4): 433 – 445. DOI: 10.1163/15685411-00002879

LOOF, P.A.A. (1964): Free-living and plant-parasitic nematodes from Venezuela. *Nematologica*, 10(2): 201 – 300

MCLEOD, R. (1967): *Aphelenchoides bicaudatus*, a parasite of cultivated mushroom. *Nature*, 214: 1163 – 1164. DOI: 10.1038/2141163a0

NICKLE, W.R. (1970): A taxonomic review of the genera of the Aphelenchoidea (Fuchs, 1937) Thorne, 1949 (Nematoda: Tylenchida). *J Nematol*, 2: 375

OLIVEIRA, C.J., SUBBOTIN, S.A., ÁLVAREZ-ORTEGA, S., DESAEGER, J., BRITO, J.A., XAVIER, K.V., FREITAS, L.G., VAU, S., INSERRA, R.N. (2019): Morphological and molecular identification of two Florida populations of foliar nematodes (*Aphelenchoides* spp.) isolated from strawberry with the description of *Aphelenchoides* pseudogoodeyi sp. n. (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae) and notes on their bionomics. *Plant Dis*, 103(11): 2825 – 2842. DOI: 10.1094/pdis-04-19-0752-re PAGE, R.D.M. (1996): Treeview: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Comput Appl Biosci*, 12: 357 – 358 RAHM, G. F. (1938): Freilebende und Saprophytische nematoden der insel hainan (mit besonderer berücksichtigung der bisher bekannt gewordenen nematoden nordchinas und Japans) [Free-living and saprophytic nematodes of the island of Hainan (with special consideration of the nematodes of northern China and Japan that have become known so far)]. *Ann Zool Jap*, 17: 646 – 667 (In German)

RICHARDSON, D.M., FOXCROFT, L.C., LATOMBE, G., LE MAITRE, D.C., ROUGET, M., WILSON, J.R. (2020): The biogeography of South African terrestrial plant invasions. In: VAN WILGEN, B., MEASEY, J., RICH-ARDSON, D., WILSON, J., ZENGEYA, T. (Eds) *Biological Invasions in South Africa. Invading Nature-Springer Series in Invasion Ecology*, vol 14. Springer, Cham. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-32394-3_3

RONQUIST, F., HUELSENBECK, J. (2003): MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, 19: 1572 – 1574. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180

SHOKOOHI, E. (2021): First report of Bitylenchus ventrosignatus

(Tobar Jiménez, 1969) Siddiqi, 1986 associated with wild grass in Botswana. *J Nematol*, 53: 1 – 9. DOI: 10.21307/jofnem-2021-037 SHOKOOHI, E., ABOLAFIA, J. (2021): Redescription of a predatory and cannibalistic nematode, *Butlerius butleri* Goodey, 1929 (Rhabditida: Diplogastridae), from South Africa, including its first SEM study. *Nematology*, 23: 969 – 986. DOI: 10.1163/15685411-bja10089 SIDDIQUI, I.A., TAYLOR, D.P.A. (1967): Redescription of *Aphelenchoides bicaudatus* (Imamura, 1931) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941 (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae), with a description of the previously undescribed male. *Nematologica*, 13: 581 – 585 STRAUBE, D., JUEN, A. (2013): Storage and shipping of tissue samples for DNA analyses: A case study on earthworms. *Eur J Soil Biol*, 57: 13 – 18

THOMPSON, J.D., HIGGINS, D.G., GIBSON, T.J. (1994): CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nuc Acids Res*, 22: 4673 – 4680