

Effects of 600 mg versus 300 mg Loading Dose of Clopidogrel in Asian Patients with ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: Long-Term Follow-Up Study

Pil Sang Song,^{1,2} Joo-Yong Hahn,¹ Young Bin Song,¹ Jin-Ho Choi,¹ Seung-Hyuk Choi,¹ Gu Hyun Kang,³ Kye Taek Ahn,⁴ Woo-Hyun Lim,⁵ Kyung Woo Park,⁵ Hyo-Soo Kim,⁵ and Hyeon-Cheol Gwon¹

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul;
 ²Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University Haeundae Paik Hospital, Busan;
 ³Division of Cardiology, Samsung Changwon Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Changwon;
 ⁴Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Daejeon;
 ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Cardiovascular Center, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea.

Received: July 8, 2011 Revised: September 23, 2011 Accepted: October 27, 2011 Corresponding author: Dr. Joo-Yong Hahn, Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 135-710, Korea. Tel: 82-2-3410-6653, Fax: 82-2-3410-6278 E-mail: jyhahn@skku.edu

 \cdot The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

© Copyright:

Yonsei University College of Medicine 2012

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Purpose: The optimum loading dose of clopidogrel has not been established in Asian patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Our aim was to evaluate the impact of different clopidogrel loading doses on short- and long-term clinical outcomes in Asian STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI. Materials and Methods: We studied 691 STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI, loaded with 600 mg (n=381) or 300 mg (n=310) of clopidogrel. The primary outcome was major adverse cardiac events (MACEs), defined as a composite of all-cause death, reinfarction, or target vessel revascularization (TVR). Results: Baseline clinical and peri-procedural characteristics were mostly comparable between the 600 mg and 300 mg groups. There were no differences in 1 month MACEs as well as all-cause death, reinfarction, TVR, and stent thrombosis between the two groups. After a median follow-up of 921 days, MACEs [adjusted hazard ratio (HR) for the 600 mg group 1.79, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.80-3.97, p=0.153], all-cause death (adjusted HR for the 600 mg group 0.97, 95% CI: 0.50-1.88, p=0.928), reinfarction (adjusted HR for the 600 mg group 1.03, 95% CI: 0.55-1.91, p=0.937), and TVR (adjusted HR for the 600 mg group 1.36, 95% CI: 0.68-2.69, p=0.388) did not differ between the two groups. These results were reliable even after analysis of propensity score-matched population, and were also constant among various subgroups. Conclusion: A 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel did not result in better short- and long-term clinical outcomes in Asian STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI.

Key Words: Clopidogrel, myocardial infarction, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty

INTRODUCTION

The loading of clopidogrel before percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) has become a standard procedure since several randomized trials demonstrated the efficacy of clopidogrel loading.^{1,2} Several studies have shown that the standard 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel is inadequate to optimally inhibit platelet reactivity, and a 600 mg dose of clopidogrel has been reported to show superior outcomes in patients with stable angina³ or non ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome,⁴ undergoing PCI. Although recent studies reported that a 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel reduced adverse ischemic events in patients undergoing primary PCI for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI),^{5,6} there are incomplete data on longterm clinical outcomes beyond 1 year and few studies have targeted Asian populations. Therefore, we evaluated the short- and long-term effects of 600 mg versus 300 mg clopidogrel loading doses on clinical outcomes in Asian STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

We recruited 1107 consecutive patients who were admitted for STEMI and underwent primary PCI with drug-eluting stents (DES) at three major cardiology centers in Korea between August 2003 and January 2009. STEMI was defined as presence of typical chest pain for ≥ 30 minutes, and the presence of ST-segment elevation ≥ 1 mm in at least two contiguous leads, or presumably new left bundle branch block. Patients were excluded, if they presented with cardiogenic shock or did not undergo primary PCI within 12 hours after symptom onset. Since 416 patients did not fulfill the selection criteria, 691 STEMI patients were included in this study. Baseline clinical, peri-procedural characteristics, use of medications, and outcome data were recorded prospectively by research coordinators of the dedicated PCI registry of each hospital. The institutional review board of each hospital approved this study, and waived requirements for informed consent for this database analysis.

Percutaneous coronary intervention and medical treatment

All patients received loading of dual oral antiplatelet agents

with 300 mg of aspirin along with 600 mg or 300 mg of clopidogrel as early as possible before PCI. The initial loading dose of clopidogrel was left to the physician's judgment. Coronary angiography and stent implantation were performed by standard interventional techniques. Use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors, selection of stent type, pre-dilatation and post-stent adjunctive ballooning were also left to the operator's discretion. In patients with multi-vessel coronary artery disease, primary PCI was recommended only on the infarct related artery. The medical treatments such as β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers, calcium-channel blockers, or statins were started during in-hospital period. All patients were prescribed lifelong aspirin (100-200 mg/ day) and clopidogrel (75 mg/day) for at least 6 months.

Endpoints and definition

The primary outcome was major adverse cardiac events (MACEs) during follow-up, defined as a composite of allcause death, reinfarction, or target vessel revascularization (TVR). Clinical events were defined based on the recommendations of the Academic Research Consortium.7 All deaths were considered cardiac, unless a definite non-cardiac cause could be established. A reinfarction was defined as elevated cardiac enzymes (troponin or myocardial band fraction of creatine kinase) greater than the upper limit of the normal value with ischemic symptoms or electrocardiographic findings indicative of ischemia that were not related to the index procedure. TVR was defined as repeat revascularization of the target vessel by PCI or bypass graft surgery. Definite, probable, or possible stent thrombosis was defined according to the Academic Research Consortium recommendations.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as medians (interquartile range) or mean±standard deviations and were compared using Student's t-test if the data followed a normal distribution and Wilcoxon rank sum test if the data were skewed. Categorical variables were compared with the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. Adjusted hazard ratio and corresponding 95% confidence intervals were compared with multivariate Cox hazard models in order to adjust for differences between patients in the 600 mg and 300 mg clopidogrel groups. To reduce selection bias of treatment for clopidogrel loading doses and potential confoundings, we performed strict adjustment for baseline characteristics of patients in the 600 mg and the 300 mg clopidogrel groups using propensity score. The propensity scores were calculated using binary logistic-regression analysis. A full non-parsimonious model was developed and included all variables listed in Table 1. The discrimination and calibration abilities of the propensity-score model were reviewed through the cstatistic and the Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic. The c-statistic in our study was 0.629. We evaluated the balance in baseline covariates between the two groups in a propensity scorematched population. Covariates statistically significant on univariate analysis and/or those clinically relevant were regarded as candidate variables in multivariate models (sex, age, Body Mass Index, diabetes mellitus, initial left ventricular systolic ejection fraction by echocardiography, left anterior descending coronary artery as culprit vessel, multi-vessel coronary artery disease, types of DES, glycoprotein IIb/

Table 1. Baseline Clinical, Peri-Procedural Characteristics, and In-Hospital Medications according to Clopidogrel Loading

 Doses

	Т	otal population	Propensity-matched population			
	Clopidogrel 600 mg (n=381)	Clopidogrel 300 mg (n=310)	p value	Clopidogrel 600 mg (n=274)	Clopidogrel 300 mg (n=274)	p value
Age (yrs)	61 (52-70)	62 (52-70)	0.581	61 (51-69)	61 (51-70)	0.749
Male	291 (76.4)	239 (77.1)	0.819	218 (79.6)	211 (77.0)	0.468
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	24 (22-27)	24 (22-28)	0.513	24 (23-26)	24 (22-27)	0.934
Diabetes mellitus	95 (24.9)	88 (28.4)	0.314	69 (25.2)	72 (26.3)	0.769
Hypertension	198 (52.1)	156 (50.3)	0.636	138 (50.4)	138 (50.4)	>0.99
Dyslipidemia	166 (43.8)	127 (41.1)	0.481	113 (41.2)	117 (42.7)	0.729
Current smoking	179 (47.1)	145 (46.8)	0.932	127 (46.4)	129 (47.1)	0.864
History of prior MI	5 (1.3)	10 (3.3)	0.139	4 (1.5)	0 (0.0)	0.124
History of prior PCI	14 (3.8)	18 (5.7)	0.279	9 (3.3)	6 (2.2)	0.602
Initial LVEF by echocardiography (%)	52 (44-60)	50 (43-59)	0.410	51 (44-60)	51 (44-58)	0.642
Hospital			0.360			0.307
1	86 (22.6)	74 (23.9)		65 (23.7)	61 (22.3)	
2	178 (46.7)	156 (50.3)		133 (48.5)	150 (54.7)	
3	117 (30.7)	80 (25.8)		76 (27.7)	63 (23.0)	
Culprit vessel			0.069			0.687
Left anterior descending coronary artery	213 (55.9)	197 (63.5)		167 (60.9)	170 (62.0)	
Left circumflex coronary artery	40 (10.5)	22 (7.1)		18 (6.6)	21 (7.7)	
Right coronary artery	124 (32.5)	89 (28.7)		86 (31.4)	81 (29.6)	
Left main coronary artery	4 (1.0)	2 (0.6)		3 (1.1)	2 (0.7)	
No. of narrowed coronary arteries			0.372			0.816
1	170 (44.6)	129 (41.6)		117 (42.7)	114 (41.6)	
2	128 (33.6)	106 (34.2)		97 (35.4)	99 (36.1)	
3	83 (21.8)	75 (24.2)		60 (21.9)	61 (22.3)	
Intravascular ultrasound	69 (18.1)	61 (19.7)	0.599	215 (78.5)	222 (81.0)	0.457
Total stent length, implanted (mm)	24 (22-32)	28 (23-33)	0.661	24 (23-32)	28 (23-33)	0.919
Types of drug-eluting stent, used			0.011			0.698
Sirolimus-eluting stent	204 (53.5)	193 (62.3)		169 (61.7)	163 (59.5)	
Paclitaxel-eluting stent	90 (23.6)	68 (21.9)		56 (20.4)	63 (23.0)	
Zotarolimus-eluting stent	87 (22.8)	49 (15.8)		49 (17.9)	48 (17.5)	
Peak creatine kinase-myocardial band (ng/mL)	198 (80-340)	192 (85-292)	0.498	197 (76-347)	198 (92-313)	0.984
Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitor	78 (21)	38 (12)	0.004	236 (86.1)	237 (86.5)	0.901
Aspirin	371 (97.3)	307 (99.0)	0.201	272 (99.3)	274 (100.0)	0.499
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor	207 (55.8)	183 (59.6)	0.319	156 (56.9)	166 (60.6)	0.386
Angiotensin II receptor blocker	98 (26.4)	85 (27.7)	0.714	74 (27.0)	71 (25.9)	0.771
β-Blocker	241 (65.0)	187 (60.9)	0.282	175 (63.9)	170 (62.0)	0.658
Statin	332 (86.8)	241 (78.5)	0.004	228 (83.2)	225 (82.1)	0.735

LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%).

IIIa inhibitor, and clopidogrel 600 mg loading dose). All *p*-values were 2-tailed and p < 0.05 was considered significant. Data management and analysis were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Overall population

Of 691 patients, 381 patients received a 600 mg loading dose and 310 patients received a 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel before PCI. Baseline clinical characteristics (Table 1) were not significantly different between the two groups, except for higher baseline hemoglobin levels in patients loaded with 600 mg compared with the 300 mg group. Procedural indexes (Table 1) were also mostly comparable, except for differences in the types of DES implanted. Patients in the 600 mg group were more likely to be treated with Zotarolimus-eluting stents and less likely to be treated with Sirolimus-eluting stents. The medications administered to the patients during in-hospital period are listed in Table 1. The 600 mg loading dose group patients received more glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors during the procedure and more statins than the 300 mg loading dose group patients.

Propensity-matched population

A total of 548 matched cases, 274 in each of the clopidogrel groups, were found. There were no significant differences in baseline clinical, peri-procedural characteristics and inhospital medications (Table 1), including glycoprotein IIb/ IIIa receptor inhibitors during the procedure.

Clinical outcomes

Overall population

There were no differences in 1 month MACEs (3.2% versus 2.3%, p=0.481), all-cause death (2.1% versus 1.3%,



Fig. 1. Long-term clinical outcomes in the overall population. Kaplan-Meier curves for the long-term clinical outcomes according to the clopidogrel loading doses in the overall population. (A) Cumulative rate of MACEs (major adverse cardiac events). (B) Cumulative rate of all-cause death. (C) Cumulative rate of reinfarction. (D) Cumulative rate of TVR (target vessel revascularization). HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

p=0.429), reinfarction (1.3% versus 1.3%, p=0.984), TVR (0.8% versus 1.0%, p=0.799), and definite and/or probable stent thrombosis (0.8% versus 1.0%, p=0.799) between the two clopidogrel groups. Long-term clinical follow-up was successfully completed in 96% of the subjects. After a median follow-up of 921 (interquartile range 542-1223) days, clinical outcomes were similar between the two groups (Fig. 1). Clopidogrel loading dose was not an independent predictor of MACEs, all-cause death, reinfarction, TVR, and definite and/or probable stent thrombosis (Table 2).

Propensity-matched population

The results of propensity score-matched analysis were comparable to those of the main analysis in the overall population. There were no differences in 1 month clinical outcomes (for MACE 1.5% versus 1.8%, p=0.751, for all-cause death 0.4% versus 0.7%, p>0.99, for reinfarction 1.5% versus 1.5%, p>0.99, for TVR 0.7% versus 1.1%, p>0.99, and for definite and/or probable stent thrombosis 0.7% versus 1.1%, p>0.99, respectively) between the clopidogrel two groups among propensity score-matched patients. There were 24 MACEs with a median follow-up of 928 (interquartile range 542-1237) days in the matched patients. Also, there were no differences in long-term clinical outcomes between 600 mg and 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel groups (Fig. 2). Loading dose of clopidogrel was not an independent predictor of MACEs, all-cause death, reinfarction, TVR, and definite and/or probable stent thrombosis even after propensity score matching, as well (Table 2).

Analysis according to tertiles of propensity score

We compared and analyzed baseline characteristics and clinical outcomes for clopidogrel loading doses within the tertiles of propensity score (Table 3). Patients in the highest tertile of propensity score were more likely to have hypertension, higher initial left ventricular ejection fraction by 2D-echocardiography, and to use glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors. The frequency of diabetes mellitus and prior myocardial infarction were higher in the lowest tertile compared with other tertiles. Patients in the intermediate



Fig. 2. Long-term clinical outcomes in the propensity-matched population. Kaplan-Meier curves for the long-term clinical outcomes according to the clopidogrel loading doses in the propensity-matched population. (A) Cumulative rate of MACEs (major adverse cardiac events). (B) Cumulative rate of all-cause death. (C) Cumulative rate of reinfarction. (D) Cumulative rate of TVR (target vessel revascularization). HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

•					
Total population	Clopidogrel 600 mg (n=381)	Clopidogrel 300 mg (n=310)	Adjusted HR for the 600 mg group	95% CI	p value
MACE	50 (13.2)	38 (12.3)	1.79	0.80-3.97	0.153
All-cause death	21 (5.6)	19 (6.1)	0.97	0.50-1.88	0.928
Reinfarction	15 (4.0)	9 (2.9)	1.03	0.55-1.91	0.937
Target vessel revascularization	26 (6.9)	19 (6.1)	1.36	0.68-2.69	0.388
Stent thrombosis	9 (2.4)	6 (1.9)	1.13	0.59-2.16	0.712
Propensity-matched population	Clopidogrel 600 mg (n=274)	Clopidogrel 300 mg (n=274)	Adjusted HR for the 600 mg group	95% CI	<i>p</i> value
MACE	33 (12.0)	33 (12.0)	1.21	0.74-1.98	0.447
All-cause death	13 (4.7)	17 (6.2)	0.85	0.40-1.79	0.660
Reinfarction	11 (4.0)	8 (2.9)	1.51	0.60-3.75	0.380
Target vessel revascularization	17 (6.2)	17 (6.2)	1.13	0.57-2.24	0.727
Stent thrombosis	5 (1.8)	6 (2.2)	0.89	0.27-2.93	0.842

Table 2. Long-Term Clinical Outcomes for the Clopidogrel Dose Comparison

Cl, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event. Data are presented as n (%).

Table 3. Baseline Characteristics and Clinical Outcomes for Clopidogrel Loading Doses within the Tertile of Propensity Score

	Lowest tertile (n=230)		Intermediate tertile (n=230)			Highest tertile (n=231)			Overall (n=691)		
Characteristics	600 mg (n=92)	300 mg (n=138)	p value	600 mg (n=126)	300 mg (n=104)	p value	600 mg (n=163)	300 mg (n=68)	p value	<i>p</i> value between tertiles	Interaction p value
Age (yrs)	60±11	63±12	0.071	61±13	61±13	0.759	60±12	59±13	0.273	0.351	
Men	70 (76.1)	106 (77.4)	0.824	97 (77.6)	82 (78.8)	0.821	122 (75.3)	50 (73.5)	0.783	0.688	
BMI (kg/m ²)	25±3	25±3	0.419	24±3	24±3	0.662	24±3	24±3	0.139	0.134	
Hypertension	40 (43.5)	63 (46.0)	0.705	64 (51.2)	54 (51.9)	0.909	94 (58.0)	38 (55.9)	0.755	0.029	
Diabetes	32 (34.8)	50 (36.5)	0.787	30 (24.0)	28 (26.9)	0.612	32 (19.8)	10 (14.7)	0.369	< 0.001	
Dyslipidemia	28 (30.4)	46 (33.6)	0.623	62 (49.6)	50 (48.1)	0.818	76 (46.9)	31 (45.6)	0.891	0.001	
Current smoker	43 (46.7)	60 (43.8)	0.663	58 (46.4)	51 (49.0)	0.688	76 (46.9)	33 (48.5)	0.823	0.824	
Prior MI	2 (2.2)	8 (5.8)	0.179	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		2 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	>0.99	0.001	
Prior PCI	4 (4.3)	8 (5.8)	0.766	2 (1.6)	3 (2.9)	0.664	6 (3.7)	3 (4.4)	0.732	0.228	
LVEF (%)	50±12	49±12	0.401	50±11	52±11	0.332	53±11	53±8	0.956	0.012	
IVUS	14 (15.2)	31 (22.6)	0.167	22 (17.6)	19 (18.3)	0.901	33 (20.4)	11 (16.2)	0.458	0.887	
GP IIb/IIIa	2 (2.2)	8 (5.8)	0.319	11 (8.8)	10 (9.6)	0.828	65 (40.1)	20 (29.4)	0.131	0.001	
Stent length (mm)	28.6±11.2	29.3±12.1	0.651	27.5±10.1	28.4±9.0	0.485	28.0±11.1	26.2±8.0	0.231	0.464	
Stent			0.400			0.214			0.110	< 0.001	
SES	66 (71.7)	108 (78.8)		72 (57.6)	56 (53.8)		65 (40.1)	28 (41.2)			
PES	19 (20.7)	23 (16.8)		32 (25.6)	21 (20.2)		39 (24.1)	24 (35.3)			
ZES	7 (7.6)	6 (4.4)		21 (16.8)	27 (26.0)		58 (35.8)	16 (23.5)			
Death	3 (3.3)	5 (3.6)	>0.99	7 (5.6)	7 (6.7)	0.721	11 (6.8)	7 (10.3)	0.370	0.129	0.982
Reinfarction	4 (4.3)	3 (2.2)	0.443	6 (4.8)	4 (3.8)	>0.99	5 (3.1)	2 (2.9)	>0.99	0.681	0.876
TVR	3 (3.3)	10 (7.3)	0.254	10 (8.0)	4 (3.8)	0.269	13 (8.0)	5 (7.4)	0.857	0.624	0.237
ST	1 (1.1)	2 (1.5)	>0.99	4 (3.2)	2 (1.9)	0.688	4 (2.5)	2 (2.9)	>0.99	0.539	0.834
MACE	8 (8.7)	16 (11.7)	0.471	19 (15.2)	10 (9.6)	0.214	23 (14.2)	12 (17.6)	0.507	0.316	0.341

BMI, body mass index; GP IIb/IIIa, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitor; IVUS, intravascular ultrasound; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PES, paclitaxel-eluting stent; SES, sirolimus-eluting stent; ST, stent thrombosis; TVR, target vessel revascularization; ZES, zotarolimus-eluting stent. Values are mean±SD or n (%).

tertile of propensity score were more likely to have dyslipidemia. There was a significant difference in stent types implanted between the tertiles. However, no significant differences in baseline characteristics were observed between 600 mg and 300 mg groups within each tertile. Regarding clinical outcomes, patients in the highest tertile of propensity score demonstrated a tendency to have a higher rate of death. However, there were no significant differences in death rate between 600 mg and 300 mg groups within the highest tertile. And also, no significant differences in reinfarction, TVR, stent thrombosis, and MACEs were observed, according to the tertiles of propensity score. There were no significant interactions between the loading doses of clopidogrel and tertiles of propensity score for each endpoint.

Subgroup analysis

To determine whether similar outcomes between the two groups were consistent in the various subgroups, we performed subgroup analyses (Fig. 3). The rates of MACEs were not different between the two groups in various subgroups, and there were no significant interactions between the loading doses of clopidogrel and MACEs among the nine subgroups.

DISCUSSION

The present study reports a current evaluation of long-term

clinical outcomes for Asian patients who received either a 600 mg or 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel and underwent primary PCI for STEMI. The major finding was that a 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel was not associated with better long-term clinical outcomes compared with the conservative 300 mg loading dose.

According to the 2009 Focused Updates, ACC/AHA STEMI and PCI Guidelines recommended that at least 300 to 600 mg of clopidogrel should be given as early as possible (Class I/level of evidence A), instead of describing a specific loading dose of clopidogrel.⁸ Consequently, the optimal loading dose of clopidogrel has not been established yet. Moreover, there was no long-term data comparing clinical outcomes of a 600 mg with 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel beyond 1 year, and there are incomplete data on comparison of the effectiveness of loading doses of clopidogrel for primary PCI in Asian STEMI patients.

Our results are different from those of previously conducted large-scale clinical trials, the HORIZONS-AMI trial⁵ and the CURRENT-OASIS 7 trial.⁶ We considered that there are several reasons why our results were different from those of the two above trials. First, the benefits of high dose clopidogrel in the HORIZONS-AMI trial and double dose clopidogrel in the CURRENT-OASIS 7 trial were mainly attributable to a lower rate of myocardial infarction and stent thrombosis. However, the rates of reinfarction and stent thrombosis of both the 600 mg and 300 mg groups in



Fig. 3. Subgroup analyses for major adverse cardiac events in nine various subgroups for clopidogrel doses comparison. CI, confidence interval; GP IIb/IIIa, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitor; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery as culprit vessel; MVCAD, multi-vessel coronary artery disease.

our study were lower than that of the high dose group in the HORIZONS-AMI trial or double dose group in the CUR-RENT-OASIS 7 trial. Therefore, there might be little room to improve with 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel. Second, the ethnic differences in platelet aggregation or in clopidogrel responsiveness may be thinkable. A recent study reported that clopidogrel low-responsiveness evaluated by the Verifvnow[™] P2Y12 assay is an independent predictor of stent thrombosis and composite end point of stent thrombosis or cardiac death in patients with acute coronary syndrome within 6 months after DES implantation.⁹ Previous studies have also showed that carriers of the reduced function CYP2C19 allele have significantly lower levels of the active metabolite of clopidogrel, reduced platelet inhibition, and an increased rate of cardiovascular events,10,11 even with higher doses of clopidogrel.¹² This polymorphism has been observed in a higher rate of East Asians compared with Caucasions.¹³ So, the efficacy of high or double dose clopidogrel shown in Western people may not equal in Asian people. Instead, triple anti-platelet therapy including cilostazol may be superior to dual anti-platelet therapy, including higher doses of clopidogrel in Asian patients.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Finally, it is not clear whether the beneficial effects were a result of the double loading, double maintenance dose or both in the CURRENT-OASIS 7 trial. Additionally, other acute coronary syndrome patients were enrolled as well as those with STEMI in the CURRENT-OASIS 7 trial. Therefore, these could be the reasons for the differing results of the present study.

On the other hand, results of the present study correspond with the results of a recently published trial, in which there were no differences in 1 and 12 month clinical outcomes between the two clopidogrel groups in STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI, from a large acute myocardial infarction registry.¹⁷ Similarly, the GRAVITA trial showed that among patients with high on-treatment reactivity after coronary intervention with drug-eluting stents, the use of high-dose clopidogrel compared with conventional-dose clopidogrel did not improve the clinical outcomes, such as death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or stent thrombosis.¹⁸

Study limitations

There are several limitations to our study. First, the sample size of the present study was relatively small, and therefore would not allow for drawing a definite conclusion. Second, this study was not a randomized study. The clopidogrel loading dosage was left to the discretion of the treating physician. Despite our effort to adjust for potential confounders by using multivariable models and propensity score match analysis, it is likely that unmeasured covariates could have contributed to the observed differences in clinical outcomes. Third, our evaluation of clinical outcomes was limited by the fact that bleeding events were not included in our analysis. Bleeding events have been known to play a role in the mortality of STEMI patients.¹⁹

In conclusion, in Asian patients undergoing primary PCI for STEMI, the use of a 600 mg loading dose compared with a 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel did not reduce the incidence of MACEs, all-cause death, reinfarction, target vessel revascularization, or stent thrombosis. Further study for clopidogrel loading doses in this subset of patients is needed to improve clinical outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, Bertrand ME, Lewis BS, Natarajan MK, et al. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. Lancet 2001;358: 527-33.
- Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, Mann JT 3rd, Fry ET, DeLago A, Wilmer C, et al. Early and sustained dual oral antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2002;288:2411-20.
- 3. Patti G, Colonna G, Pasceri V, Pepe LL, Montinaro A, Di Sciascio G. Randomized trial of high loading dose of clopidogrel for reduction of periprocedural myocardial infarction in patients undergoing coronary intervention: results from the ARMYDA-2 (Antiplatelet therapy for Reduction of MYocardial Damage during Angioplasty) study. Circulation 2005;111:2099-106.
- Cuisset T, Frere C, Quilici J, Morange PE, Nait-Saidi L, Carvajal J, et al. Benefit of a 600-mg loading dose of clopidogrel on platelet reactivity and clinical outcomes in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome undergoing coronary stenting. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:1339-45.
- 5. Dangas G, Mehran R, Guagliumi G, Caixeta A, Witzenbichler B, Aoki J, et al. Role of clopidogrel loading dose in patients with STsegment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary angioplasty: results from the HORIZONS-AMI (harmonizing outcomes with revascularization and stents in acute myocardial infarction) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:1438-46.
- Mehta SR, Tanguay JF, Eikelboom JW, Jolly SS, Joyner CD, Granger CB, et al. Double-dose versus standard-dose clopidogrel and high-dose versus low-dose aspirin in individuals undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for acute coronary syndromes (CURRENT-OASIS 7): a randomised factorial trial. Lancet 2010;376:1233-43.
- Cutlip DE, Windecker S, Mehran R, Boam A, Cohen DJ, van Es GA, et al. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. Circulation 2007;115:2344-51.
- 8. Kushner FG, Hand M, Smith SC Jr, King SB 3rd, Anderson JL,

Antman EM, et al. 2009 Focused Updates: ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction (updating the 2004 Guideline and 2007 Focused Update) and ACC/AHA/SCAI Guidelines on Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (updating the 2005 Guideline and 2007 Focused Update): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2009;120:2271-306.

- 9. Lee K, Lee SW, Lee JW, Kim SY, Youn YJ, Ahn MS, et al. The significance of clopidogrel low-responsiveness on stent thrombosis and cardiac death assessed by the verifynow p(2)y(12) assay in patients with acute coronary syndrome within 6 months after drug-eluting stent implantation. Korean Circ J 2009;39:512-8.
- Simon T, Verstuyft C, Mary-Krause M, Quteineh L, Drouet E, Méneveau N, et al. Genetic determinants of response to clopidogrel and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med 2009;360:363-75.
- Brandt JT, Close SL, Iturria SJ, Payne CD, Farid NA, Ernest CS 2nd, et al. Common polymorphisms of CYP2C19 and CYP2C9 affect the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic response to clopidogrel but not prasugrel. J Thromb Haemost 2007;5:2429-36.
- 12. Jeong YH, Kim IS, Park Y, Kang MK, Koh JS, Hwang SJ, et al. Carriage of cytochrome 2C19 polymorphism is associated with risk of high post-treatment platelet reactivity on high maintenancedose clopidogrel of 150 mg/day: results of the ACCEL-DOUBLE (Accelerated Platelet Inhibition by a Double Dose of Clopidogrel According to Gene Polymorphism) study. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2010;3:731-41.
- Desta Z, Zhao X, Shin JG, Flockhart DA. Clinical significance of the cytochrome P450 2C19 genetic polymorphism. Clin Pharmacokinet 2002;41:913-58.

- Chen KY, Rha SW, Li YJ, Poddar KL, Jin Z, Minami Y, et al. Triple versus dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Circulation 2009;119:3207-14.
- 15. Jeong YH, Lee SW, Choi BR, Kim IS, Seo MK, Kwak CH, et al. Randomized comparison of adjunctive cilostazol versus high maintenance dose clopidogrel in patients with high post-treatment platelet reactivity: results of the ACCEL-RESISTANCE (Adjunctive Cilostazol Versus High Maintenance Dose Clopidogrel in Patients With Clopidogrel Resistance) randomized study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:1101-9.
- Park KH, Jeong MH, Lee MG, Ko JS, Lee SE, Kang WY, et al. Efficacy of triple anti-platelet therapy including cilostazol in acute myocardial infarction patients undergoing drug-eluting stent implantation. Korean Circ J 2009;39:190-7.
- 17. Choi CU, Rha SW, Oh DJ, Poddar KL, Na JO, Kim JW, et al. Standard versus high loading doses of clopidogrel in Asian STsegment elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: insights from the Korea Acute Myocardial Infarction Registry. Am Heart J 2011;161:373-82.
- Price MJ, Berger PB, Teirstein PS, Tanguay JF, Angiolillo DJ, Spriggs D, et al. Standard- vs high-dose clopidogrel based on platelet function testing after percutaneous coronary intervention: the GRAVITAS randomized trial. JAMA 2011;305:1097-105.
- Manoukian SV, Feit F, Mehran R, Voeltz MD, Ebrahimi R, Hamon M, et al. Impact of major bleeding on 30-day mortality and clinical outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes: an analysis from the ACUITY Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49: 1362-8.