Open Acces

# Endothelial dysfunction is an early indicator of sepsis and neutrophil degranulation of septic shock in surgical patients

M. Martin-Fernandez<sup>1,4</sup>, L. M. Vaquero-Roncero<sup>2</sup>, R. Almansa<sup>1,4,10</sup>, E. Gómez-Sánchez<sup>5</sup>, S. Martín<sup>9</sup>, E. Tamayo<sup>5</sup>, M. C. Esteban-Velasco<sup>3</sup>, P. Ruiz-Granado<sup>5</sup>, M. Aragón<sup>5</sup>, D. Calvo<sup>6</sup>, J. Rico-Feijoo<sup>9</sup>, A. Ortega<sup>1,4</sup>, E. Gómez-Pesquera<sup>5</sup>, M. Lorenzo-López<sup>5</sup>, J. López<sup>3</sup>, C. Doncel<sup>1,4</sup>, C. González-Sanchez<sup>3</sup>, D. Álvarez<sup>2</sup>, E. Zarca<sup>6</sup>, A. Ríos-Llorente<sup>2</sup>, A. Diaz-Alvarez<sup>2</sup>, E. Sanchez-Barrado<sup>2</sup>, D. Andaluz-Ojeda<sup>7</sup>, J. M. Calvo-Vecino<sup>2</sup>, L. Muñoz-Bellvís<sup>3,11</sup>, J. I. Gomez-Herreras<sup>5</sup>, C. Abad-Molina<sup>8</sup>, J. F. Bermejo-Martin<sup>1,4,10</sup>, C. Aldecoa<sup>9</sup> and M. Heredia-Rodríguez<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Group for Biomedical Research in Sepsis (BioSepsis), Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Salamanca (IBSAL), <sup>2</sup>Anaesthesiology and Reanimation Service, Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, and <sup>3</sup>Department of General and Gastrointestinal Surgery, Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Salamanca (IBSAL) and Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, <sup>4</sup>Research Unit, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid, <sup>5</sup>Group for Biomedical Research in Critical Care (BioCritic), Anaesthesiology and Reanimation Service, <sup>6</sup>Clinical Analysis Service, <sup>7</sup>Intensive Care Medicine Service and <sup>8</sup>Microbiology and Immunology Service, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid, and <sup>9</sup>Anaesthesiology and Reanimation Service, Hospital Universitario Río Hortega, Valladolid, and <sup>10</sup>Biomedical Research Networking Centre on Respiratory Diseases (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, and <sup>11</sup>Biomedical Research Networking Centre on Cancer (CIBERONC), Madrid, Spain *Correspondence to:* Dr J. F. Bermejo-Martin, Group for Biomedical Research in Sepsis (BioSepsis), Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Salamanca (IBSAL), Paseo de San Vicente, 58-182, 37007 Salamanca, Spain (e-mail: jfbermejo@saludcastillayleon.es)

**Background:** Stratification of the severity of infection is currently based on the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, which is difficult to calculate outside the ICU. Biomarkers could help to stratify the severity of infection in surgical patients.

**Methods:** Levels of ten biomarkers indicating endothelial dysfunction, 22 indicating emergency granulopoiesis, and six denoting neutrophil degranulation were compared in three groups of patients in the first 12 h after diagnosis at three Spanish hospitals.

**Results:** There were 100 patients with infection, 95 with sepsis and 57 with septic shock. Seven biomarkers indicating endothelial dysfunction (mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-ProADM), syndecan 1, thrombomodulin, angiopoietin 2, endothelial cell-specific molecule 1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 and E-selectin) had stronger associations with sepsis than infection alone. MR-ProADM had the highest odds ratio (OR) in multivariable analysis (OR 11.53, 95 per cent c.i. 4.15 to 32.08; P = 0.006) and the best area under the curve (AUC) for detecting sepsis (0.86, 95 per cent c.i. 0.80 to 0.91; P < 0.001). In a comparison of sepsis with septic shock, two biomarkers of neutrophil degranulation, proteinase 3 (OR 8.09, 1.34 to 48.91; P = 0.028) and lipocalin 2 (OR 6.62, 2.47 to 17.77; P = 0.002), had the strongest association with septic shock, but lipocalin 2 exhibited the highest AUC (0.81, 0.73 to 0.90; P < 0.001).

**Conclusion:** MR-ProADM and lipocalin 2 could be alternatives to the SOFA score in the detection of sepsis and septic shock respectively in surgical patients with infection.

*Funding information* Instituto de Salud Carlos III, PI15/01959, PI15/01451, PI16/01156 Consejería de Educación de Castilla y León/Fondo social Europeo European Union (Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, Una manera de hacer Europa)

Paper accepted 14 January 2020 Published online 19 February 2020 in Wiley Online Library (www.bjsopen.com). **DOI:** 10.1002/bjs5.50265

# Introduction

Sepsis and septic shock are major causes of morbidity and mortality in surgical patients<sup>1</sup>. In a patient with infection, prompt detection of sepsis is key to the initiation of early treatment with appropriate antimicrobials, elimination of the infectious source, administration of fluids and appropriate transfer to the ICU. In patients with sepsis, prompt detection of septic shock could imply a need to modify antibiotic treatment, seek alternative sources of

					P† (infection P† (sepsis	
	Infection ( <i>n</i> = 100)	Sepsis ( <i>n</i> = 95)	Septic shock ( <i>n</i> = 57)	P† (infection <i>versus</i> sepsis)	<i>versus</i> septic shock)	<i>versus</i> septic shock)
Age (years)*	57.0 (39.25-70.50)	73.0 (59-80)	74.0 (68-78.5)	<0.001‡	< 0.001‡	1.000
Male sex	60 (60.0)	62 (65)	31 (54)	0.448	0.493	0.183
Co-morbidity						
Chronic cardiovascular disease	13 (13.0)	28 (29)	21 (37)	0.005	< 0.001	0.347
Chronic respiratory disease	2 (2)	13 (14)	6 (11)	0.003	0.022	0.569
High BP	30 (30.0)	47 (49)	34 (60)	0.009	< 0.001	0.223
Chronic renal failure	2 (2.0)	9 (9)	7 (12)	0.024	0.004	0.388
Chronic hepatic failure	4 (4.0)	2 (2)	1 (2)	0.422	0.423	0.880
Diabetes mellitus	14 (14-0)	20 (21)	17 (30)	0.194	0.017	0.222
Cancer	13 (13.0)	18 (19)	13 (23)	0.256	0.112	0.568
Immunosuppression	5 (5.0)	13 (14)	6 (11)	0.037	0.205	0.553
Surgery type						
Urgent	70 (70.0)	74 (78)	41 (72)	0.210	0.798	0.407
Abdominal	65 (65-0)	54 (57)	24 (42)	0.243	0.005	0.078
Cardiothoracic	0 (0)	14 (15)	14 (25)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.130
Vascular	1 (1.0)	3 (3)	1 (2)	0.288	0.685	0.601
Urological/renal	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)	ş	0.184	0.195
Other	13 (13.0)	4 (4)	4 (7)	0.030	0.246	0.453
Time course and outcome	- ( /					
Length of hospital stay (days)*	5 (2–12)	15 (8–29·5)	31 (18·50–48·75)	<0.001‡	<0.001‡	<0.001‡
Length of ICU stay (days)*	0.5 (0-2)	3 (1-6.75)	7 (3–13)	<0.001‡	<0.001‡	0.001‡
Hospital mortality	0 (0)	7 (7)	22 (39)	0.006	< 0.001	< 0.001
Source of infection						
Respiratory tract	4 (4.0)	15 (16)	14 (25)	0.006	< 0.001	0.183
Abdomen	67 (67.0)	48 (51)	19 (33)	0.019	< 0.001	0.039
Urinary tract	0 (0)	4 (4)	6 (11)	0.038	0.001	0.128
Surgical site	12 (12.0)	16 (17)	13 (23)	0.335	0.075	0.365
Bacteraemia	0 (0)	6.30 (6)	12 (21)	0.011	< 0.001	0.006
Other	13 (13.0)	16 (17)	4 (7)	0.451	0.246	0.083
Microbiology	. ,					
Positive culture	29 (29.0)	54 (57)	43 (75)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.021
Gram-positive	13 (13.0)	29 (31)	21 (37)	0.003	< 0.001	0.422
Gram-negative	23 (23.0)	31 (33)	34 (60)	0.133	< 0.001	0.001
Fungal	4 (4.0)	8 (8)	8 (14)	0.199	0.023	0.275
Measurements at diagnosis	k					
SOFA score	0 (0-1)	6 (3-8)	9 (7–11)	<0.001‡	< 0.001‡	< 0.001‡
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.70 (0.4–1.03)	0.70 (0.43-1.78)	1.00 (0.56-1.89)	1.000‡	0·013‡	0.072‡
Glucose level (mg/dl)	118 (105–140)	158 (117.5–184)	163 (128-232.50)	<0.001‡	<0.001‡	1.000‡
Sodium level (mmol/l)	139.00 (136–141.25)	138.00 (135–141.25)	129.00 (134–142)	0.008‡	<0.001‡	0.001‡
Potassium level (mmol/l)	3.90 (3-4.10)	4.00 (3.50-4.20)	3.85 (3-4.12)	8	8	8
Platelet count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	221 500 (186 250 - 299 250)	184 000 (105 250-276 000)	123 000 (88 500-258 000)	0.023±	0.001±	0·794±
INB	1.15 (1.03-1.26)	1.27 (1.16-1.35)	1.44 (1.27-1.81)	0.002±	< 0.001±	< 0.001±
Albumin (ma/dl)	3480 (2737.5-4135)	2445 (2132.50-3130)	2340 (1837.5-2752.5)	< 0.001±	< 0.001±	1.000±
Lactate (mmol/l)	1.50 (1.06–1.85)	1.50 (1.23-2)	3.55 (2.46-5.19)	1.000‡	< 0.001‡	< 0.001‡
White blood cell count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	13 070 (9187.5–16 347.5)	14 050 (9410–18 290)	14 550 (7525–19 880)	§	§	§
Lymphocytes (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	1383.50 (911.50-1806.36)	940 (600.10-1453.86)	592 (410.08-1103.39)	0.021‡	< 0.001‡	0.004‡
Monocytes (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	795.52 (466.07-1099)	632 (345-962)	411.25 (245-791.56)	0·049‡	0.002‡	0·196‡
Neutrophils (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	10144 (6327.70-13864.48)	12 100 (7857–15 195)	12 240 (5647.50-18 177.50)	0.091‡	0·199‡	1.000‡
Eosinophils (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	43 (12.50-117.41)	19 (0-57.52)	10.92 (0-47.53)	ş.	§ .	§ .
Basophils (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	33.60 (18-59.73)	26.90 (11.76-54.80)	20 (10.54-49.92)	0·827‡	0.042‡	0.196‡

Values in parentheses are percentages unless indicated otherwise; \*values are median (i.q.r.). SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Score; INR, international normalized ratio.  $\dagger \chi^2$  test, except  $\ddagger$ Kruskal–Wallis test; \$absence of *P* value for  $\chi^2$  or Kruskal–Wallis test, as appropriate.



Biomarkers of **a** endothelial dysfunction and **b** neutrophil degranulation. Levels of mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-ProADM) are in nmol/l, those of interleukin-18 receptor type 1 (IL18R1) are copies of cDNA per ng mRNA, and those of the remaining biomarkers are in pg/ml. ANGPT, angiopoietin; ESM, endothelial cell-specific molecule; ICAM, intercellular adhesion molecule; SEL-E, E-selectin; SDC, syndecan; VCAM, vascular cell adhesion molecule; SEL-P, P-selectin; THBD, thrombomodulin; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; LTF, lactoferrin; PRTN, proteinase; LCN, lipocalin; MPO, myeloperoxidase. \* $P \le 0.050$  versus healthy control;  $†P \le 0.050$  (Kruskal–Wallis test).

potentially infectious organisms not already identified, and adjust ICU support. Since publication of the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (SEPSIS-3) in 2016<sup>2</sup>, severity stratification in patients with infection has been based on the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score<sup>3</sup>. The problem with this score is that it is difficult to calculate in non-ICU settings, such as surgical departments or the emergency room. The alternative proposed by the SEPSIS-3 consensus for these settings, the quickSOFA (composed of three simple items: respiratory frequency, BP and the Glasgow Coma Scale score), is very specific but less useful for detecting sepsis<sup>4</sup>.



Biomarkers of **a,b** emergency granulopoiesis and **c** acute-phase response. Levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) are in mg/l, those of procalcitonin (PCT) are in ng/ml, and those of the remaining biomarkers are copies of cDNA per ng mRNA. MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; LTF, lactoferrin; PRTN, proteinase; LCN, lipocalin, OLFM, olfactomedin; ELANE, elastase, neutrophil expressed; MPO, myeloperoxidase; CTSG, cathepsin G; AZU, azurocidin; BPI, bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein; DEFA, defensin  $\alpha$ ; CEACAM, carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule; CD, cluster of differentiation; TCN, transcobalamin; STOM, stomatin; IL1R2, interleukin-1 receptor type 2; CHIT, chitinase. \* $P \le 0.050$  versus healthy control;  $†P \le 0.050$  (Kruskal–Wallis test).

www.bjsopen.com

Table 2 Multivariable analysis for risk of sepsis versus infection									
Biomarker*	Indicator for	Odds ratio	Р	Benjamini– Hochberg <i>P</i>					
Mid-regional proadrenomedullin (nmol/l)	ED	11.53 (4.15, 32.08)	< 0.001	0.006					
Syndecan 1 (pg/ml)	ED	9.48 (2.86, 31.38)	< 0.001	0.006					
Thrombomodulin (pg/ml)	ED	4.14 (1.28, 13.39)	0.018	0.040					
Angiopoietin 2 (pg/ml)	ED	3.70 (1.80, 7.59)	< 0.001	0.006					
Endothelial cell-specific molecule 1 (pg/ml)	ED	3.58 (1.45, 8.83)	0.006	0.022					
Vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (pg/ml)	ED	2.72 (1.10, 6.76)	0.031	0.047					
E-selectin (pg/ml)	ED	2.32 (1.12, 4.81)	0.023	0.041					
Lipocalin 2 (pg/ml)	ND	2.27 (1.16, 4.44)	0.016	0.040					
MMP8 (pg/ml)	ND	1.90 (1.23, 2.96)	0.004	0.016					
Procalcitonin (ng/ml)	AR	1.83 (1.41, 2.37)	< 0.001	0.006					
Chitinase 1 (CHIT1) (copies/ng)	EG	1.81 (1.24, 2.64)	0.002	0.009					
Stomatin (STOM) (copies/ng)	EG	1.68 (1.09, 2.60)	0.020	0.040					
MMP9 (MMP9) (copies/ng)	EG	1.67 (1.14, 2.44)	0.008	0.026					
Interleukin-1 receptor type 2 (IL1R2) (copies/ng)	EG	1.64 (1.12, 2.42)	0.011	0.006					
MMP8 (MMP8) (copies/ng)	EG	1.64 (1.23, 2.19)	0.001	0.033					
Lipocalin 2 ( <i>LCN2</i> ) (copies/ng)	EG	1.62 (1.23, 2.15)	0.001	0.006					
Transcobalamin 1 (TCN1) (copies/ng)	EG	1.56 (1.07, 2.27)	0.021	0.040					
Lactoferrin (LTF) (copies/ng)	EG	1.55 (1.16, 2.06)	0.002	0.009					
Bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (BPI) (copies/ng)	EG	1.52 (1.07, 2.17)	0.020	0.040					
CD24 (CD24) (copies/ng)	EG	1.51 (1.05, 2.17)	0.026	0.043					
C-reactive protein (mg/l)	AR	1.51 (1.05, 2.18)	0.028	0.044					
MMP25 (MMP25) (copies/ng)	EG	1.46 (1.05, 2.05)	0.026	0.043					
CD177 ( <i>CD177</i> ) (copies/ng)	EG	1.31 (1.05, 1.65)	0.020	0.040					
Olfactomedin 4 (OLFM4) (copies/ng)	EG	1.28 (1.05, 1.55)	0.012	0.033					

Values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals. \*Biomarker values correspond to napierian logarithms. Variables adjusted for gene expression biomarkers were age, cardiovascular disease, immunosuppression, high BP, chronic respiratory disease, chronic renal disease, abdominal surgery, other surgery, respiratory source of infection and abdominal source of infection; variables adjusted for protein biomarkers were age, immunosuppression, high BP, chronic respiratory disease, chronic renal disease, abdominal source of infection; variables adjusted for protein biomarkers were age, immunosuppression, high BP, chronic respiratory disease, chronic renal disease, urgent surgery, abdominal surgery, other surgery, respiratory source of infection and abdominal source of infection (*Table S4*, supporting information). ED, endothelial dysfunction; ND, neutrophil degranulation; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; AR, acute-phase response; EG, emergency granulopoiesis; CD, cluster of differentiation.

Biomarkers could contribute to stratification of the severity of infection. Sepsis is characterized by acute endothelial dysfunction, which increases vascular permeability, promotes activation of the coagulation cascade and tissue oedema, and compromises the perfusion of vital organs5. Biomarkers of endothelial responses can be used to categorize patients into homogeneous subgroups with different severity<sup>6</sup>. In turn, sepsis activates emergency granulopoiesis, inducing release of immature neutrophil precursor cells in the peripheral blood, an event related directly to severity<sup>7-10</sup>. Emergency granulopoiesis can be detected by profiling the mRNA in blood of the genes that are expressed sequentially in the neutrophil precursors<sup>11,12</sup>. Other molecules denoting severity during an infection are proteins released to the plasma during neutrophil degranulation<sup>13,14</sup>. These include matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) 8, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin and lactotransferrin, which have been shown to

be closely related to the development of sepsis<sup>15</sup>, and levels of plasma MMPs 3, 7, 8 and 9 are increased in severe sepsis on admission to the ICU<sup>16</sup>.

In this study, 38 biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction, emergency granulopoiesis or neutrophil degranulation were evaluated to stratify severity in surgical patients with infection. The hypothesis was that these biomarkers might differentiate between three groups of patients: those with infection, those with sepsis, and those with septic shock.

## Methods

Surgical patients with infection, sepsis or septic shock were recruited prospectively from the surgery departments and surgical ICUs of the three participating hospitals (Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid, Hospital Universitario Río Hortega de Valladolid and Hospital Clínico

Table 3 Multivariable analysis for risk of septic shock versus sepsis								
Biomarker*	Indicator for	Odds ratio	P	Benjamini– Hochberg <i>P</i>				
Proteinase 3 (pg/ml)	ND	8.09 (1.34, 48.91)	0.023	0.028				
Lipocalin 2 (pg/ml)	ND	6.62 (2.47, 17.77)	< 0.001	0.002				
Syndecan 1 (pg/ml)	ED	6.10 (1.77, 21.06)	0.004	0.006				
Mid-regional proadrenomedullin (nmol/l)	ED	4.58 (1.99, 10.58)	<0.001	0.002				
Thrombomodulin (pg/ml)	ED	4.52 (1.42, 14.34)	0.011	0.014				
Interleukin-18 receptor type 1 (IL18R1) (copies/ng)	ND	4.22 (2.26, 7.85)	< 0.001	0.002				
Stomatin (STOM) (copies/ng)	EG	3.74 (1.87, 7.45)	<0.001	0.002				
Interleukin-1 receptor type 2 (IL1R2) (copies/ng)	EG	3.72 (2.10, 6.58)	< 0.001	0.002				
Angiopoietin 2 (pg/ml)	ED	3.02 (1.29, 7.10)	0.011	0.014				
MMP8 (pg/ml)	ND	2.97 (1.55, 5.67)	0.001	0.002				
MMP9 ( <i>MMP</i> 9) (copies/ng)	EG	2.67 (1.55, 4.59)	<0.001	0.002				
Lipocalin 2 (LCN2) (copies/ng)	EG	2.45 (1.71, 3.50)	< 0.001	0.002				
MMP8 (MMP8) (copies/ng)	EG	2.43 (1.74, 3.38)	< 0.001	0.002				
Transcobalamin 1 (TCN1) (copies/ng)	EG	2.36 (1.62, 3.44)	< 0.001	0.002				
Lactoferrin (pg/ml)	ND	2.30 (1.38, 3.84)	0.001	0.002				
Myeloperoxidase (pg/ml)	ND	2.26 (1.20, 4.25)	0.011	0.014				
Lactoferrin (LTF) (copies/ng)	EG	2.24 (1.59, 3.15)	<0.001	0.002				
Bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (BPI) (copies/ng)	EG	2.23 (1.49, 3.36)	< 0.001	0.002				
CD24 (CD24) (copies/ng)	EG	2.15 (1.47, 3.16)	<0.001	0.002				
Chitinase 1 (CHIT1) (copies/ng)	EG	2.01 (1.42, 2.83)	< 0.001	0.002				
CD177 (CD177) (copies/ng)	EG	1.97 (1.30, 2.99)	0.001	0.002				
Olfactomedin 4 (OLFM4) (copies/ng)	EG	1.85 (1.42, 2.40)	< 0.001	0.002				
Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 8 (CEACAM8) (copies/ng)	EG	1.78 (1.31, 2.41)	< 0.001	0.002				
Procalcitonin (ng/ml)	AR	1.73 (1.31, 2.29)	< 0.001	0.002				
Myeloperoxidase (MPO) (copies/ng)	EG	1.36 (1.02, 1.81)	0.038	0.044				

Values in parentheses are 95 per cent confidence intervals. \*Biomarker values correspond to napierian logarithms. Variables adjusted for gene expression biomarkers were age, abdominal surgery, abdominal source of infection, bacteraemia, other sources of infection, presence of Gram-negative organisms and presence of polymicrobial infection; variables adjusted for protein biomarkers were surgical-site source of infection, bacteraemia, presence of Gram-negative organisms, and presence of polymicrobial infection (*Table S4*, supporting information). ND, neutrophil degranulation; ED, endothelial dysfunction; EG, emergency granulopoiesis; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; CD, cluster of differentiation; AR, acute-phase response.

Universitario de Salamanca), between January 2017 and January 2019. Infection was defined according to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Surveillance Definitions for Specific Types of Infections<sup>17</sup>. Sepsis and septic shock were defined using the SEPSIS-3 consensus definitions<sup>2,18</sup>. A specific standard survey was employed in the three participating hospitals to collect clinical data along with results of haematological, biochemical, radiological and microbiological investigations. Healthy controls with similar age and sex characteristics to the patients were recruited from the Centro de Hemoterapia y Hemodonación de Castilla y León (CHEMCYL, Valladolid, Spain).

# Ethical approval

The study was approved by the respective Committees for Ethics in Clinical Research of the three participating hospitals. Methods were carried out in accordance with current Spanish law for Biomedical Research, fulfilling the standards indicated by the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from patients' relatives or their legal representative before enrolment.

# Microbiology

Standard cultures in biological samples, guided by the presumptive source of the infection, were performed to assess the presence of the causal pathogen. Potentially contaminant microorganisms were not considered.

# **Biomarker profiling**

Thirty-eight biomarkers (10 denoting endothelial dysfunction, 22 indicating emergency granulopoiesis and 6 denoting neutrophil degranulation) were profiled in the three



**a** Accuracy of mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-ProADM) in differentiating sepsis from infection. Area under the curve (AUC): 0.86, 95 per cent c.i. 0.80 to 0.91 (P < 0.001); optimal operating point (OOP): 1.165 nmol/l; sensitivity: 84.6 per cent; specificity: 76.0 per cent. **b** Accuracy of lipocalin 2 in differentiating septic shock from sepsis. AUC: 0.81, 95 per cent c.i. 0.73 to 0.90 (P < 0.001); OOP: 246 346 pg/ml; sensitivity: 80.0 per cent; specificity: 70.5 per cent.

patient groups (infection, sepsis or septic shock) in the first 12 h after diagnosis (*Tables S1* and *S2*, supporting information). The methods used to profile these biomarkers are detailed in *Appendix S1* (supporting information). Blood from healthy individuals was collected as part of their blood donation.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS<sup>®</sup> version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). Box plots were represented using Minitab<sup>®</sup> 19.2 (Minitab, Coventry, UK). For demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients, differences between groups were assessed using the  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables. Differences between groups for continuous variables were assessed with the Kruskal–Wallis test, with *post hoc* tests adjusting for multiple comparisons. In the comparison of infection and sepsis, multivariable logistic regression analysis was employed to evaluate the association between biomarker levels and the presence of sepsis. In the comparison of sepsis and septic shock, the same type of analysis was employed to evaluate the association between biomarker levels and the presence of septic shock. Only biomarkers yielding  $P \leq 0.050$  in

univariable analysis were tested in multivariable analyses. Potential confounding clinical factors that yielded  $P \le 0.100$  in univariable analysis were introduced as adjusting variables in multivariable analyses, followed by multiple testing correction by the false discovery rate using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure. The optimal operating point in the area under the curve (AUC) analysis was identified as described previously<sup>19</sup>.

#### **Results**

There was a total of 100 patients with infection, 95 with sepsis and 57 with septic shock. Patients with infection were significantly younger than those in the other groups (*Table 1*), and the healthy controls. Proportions of men to women were similar in all patient groups and control subjects. Patients with sepsis and septic shock had more antecedent cardiovascular, respiratory or renal disease. The proportion of patients needing urgent surgery was similar in the three groups. Abdominal surgery was the most frequent type, and the abdomen was the predominant source of infection in all three patient groups.

Respiratory infection was more common in patients with sepsis or septic shock than in patients with infection alone. The prevalence of bacteraemia was highest in patients with septic shock, where Gram-negative bacteria dominated (*Table 1*).

Patients with septic shock showed the highest degree of organ failure as assessed by the SOFA score. Duration of hospital stay was directly associated with severity. No patient in the infection group died in hospital, compared with seven of 95 (7 per cent) patients with sepsis and 22 of 57 (39 per cent) with septic shock (*Table 1*).

Coagulopathy (as assessed by the international normalized ratio) and decreased lymphocyte and monocyte counts were related to increasing severity. Biomarker levels showed a generalized trend to increase with disease severity (*Figs 1* and 2; *Table S3*, supporting information).

Confounding factors from *Table 1* that yielded  $P \le 0.100$  in univariable analysis, to be introduced as adjusting variables in multivariable analyses, are shown in *Table S4* (supporting information).

Multivariable analysis of biomarker levels to evaluate the risk of sepsis *versus* infection identified seven biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction, two of neutrophil degranulation and 13 of emergency granulopoiesis as independent risk factors for sepsis (*Table 2*).

Multivariable analysis to evaluate the risk of septic shock *versus* sepsis revealed four biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction, six of neutrophil degranulation and 14 of emergency granulopoiesis as independent risk factors for septic shock (*Table 3*).

The AUC analysis to assess biomarker sensitivity and specificity indicated that mid-regional proadrenomedullin (MR-ProADM) was the best biomarker for differentiating sepsis from infection, whereas lipocalin 2 in plasma was the best biomarker for distinguishing septic shock from sepsis (*Fig. 3*).

# **Discussion**

This study found that a panel of seven biomarkers related to endothelial dysfunction (MR-ProADM, syndecan (SDC) 1, thrombomodulin (THBD), angiopoietin (ANGPT) 2, endothelial cell-specific molecule 1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 and E-selectin) were associated with the presence of sepsis in patients with infection. This suggests that induction of endothelial injury is an early event as organ dysfunction develops.

SDC1 and MR-proADM were the biomarkers showing the highest odds ratios for sepsis. SDC1 is a glycosaminoglycan shed from the endothelial glycocalyx during sepsis, and levels in plasma correlate with the SOFA score<sup>20,21</sup>. In the present study, MR-proADM was the biomarker of endothelial dysfunction showing not only the strongest association but also the best balance between sensitivity and specificity for sepsis, with an AUC of 0.86. Adrenomedullin is secreted from various organs and tissues, including vascular endothelial cells. It regulates vascular tone and endothelial permeability<sup>22</sup>. MR-proADM, the mid-regional fragment of proadrenomedullin, is more stable and directly reflects levels of the rapidly degraded active adrenomedullin peptide<sup>23</sup>. There is growing evidence of the value of MR-ProADM as an early marker of severity in patients with infection<sup>24</sup> and as a predictor of organ failure in patients with community-acquired pneumonia<sup>25</sup>.

In the comparison of sepsis and septic shock, the number of biomarkers of endothelial dysfunction independently associated with septic shock dropped to four (SDC1, MR-ProADM, THBD and ANGPT2). In contrast, six biomarkers denoting neutrophil degranulation were associated with septic shock: proteinase 3 (a serine protease), lipocalin 2 (a neutrophil gelatinase-associated protein), interleukin-18 receptor type 1 (an inductor of neutrophil degranulation)<sup>26</sup>, matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) 8 (a neutrophil collagenase), lactoferrin (a major iron-binding protein) and myeloperoxidase (a heme protein). Only two of these biomarkers seemed relevant to differentiate sepsis from plain infection (lipocalin 2 and MMP8), suggesting that neutrophil degranulation may be important in the pathogenesis of septic shock. Proteins released from neutrophil granules could be mediating antibacterial effects<sup>8,27-30</sup>, and may participate in tissue remodelling<sup>31</sup>, attenuation of inflammation<sup>32</sup> and preventing the deleterious effects of neutrophil extracellular traps<sup>33</sup>. However, increased intravascular levels of degranulated proteins could induce enhanced proteolysis<sup>34</sup>, endothelial injury and organ failure 35-39.

Proteinase 3 and lipocalin 2 had strongest associations with the presence of septic shock. Neutrophil degranulation can lead to increased endothelial permeability via a mechanism that, in part, involves the actions of proteinase 3<sup>40</sup>, and a multimarker model containing proteinase 3 was able to predict the risk of septic acute kidney injury in patients with septic shock<sup>41</sup>. In the present study, lipocalin 2 was the marker showing the best balance between sensitivity and specificity in detecting septic shock. Lipocalin 2 has been used for risk stratification, early diagnosis and prognostication of sepsis in the emergency department $^{42,43}$ . This protein is associated with mortality and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in severe sepsis and septic shock<sup>44</sup>. Lipocalin 2 has been promoted as a relatively robust predictor of 28-day mortality in severe sepsis45.

The present study has shown that emergency granulopoiesis is a preserved signature of both sepsis and septic shock, although to a greater degree in septic shock. The observed parallel between emergency granulopoiesis signatures and severity is in agreement with a previous study<sup>9</sup> demonstrating that, in sepsis, the increased presence of circulating immature granulocytes is linked to clinical deterioration.

Regarding acute-phase biomarkers, procalcitonin showed modest associations with the risk of sepsis and septic shock, while C-reactive protein showed a mild association, exclusively with the risk of sepsis. These results indicate that neither procalcitonin nor C-reactive protein is suitable for severity stratification in patients with infection.

Profiling protein levels in plasma of MR-ProADM and lipocalin 2 could contribute to stratification of the severity of infection, particularly in settings where calculation of the SOFA score is not feasible. Evaluation of protein biomarkers is technically easier than evaluating those of transcriptomic nature. Emerging point-of-care devices could result in evaluation of these biomarkers in clinical practice as results can be obtained in less than  $1 h^{46}$ .

This study has an important limitation in that biomarkers were compared only at diagnosis of infection, sepsis or septic shock. Further prospective follow-up studies with serial sampling should validate the potential role of MR-ProADM and lipocalin 2 in predicting clinical worsening of patients with infection or sepsis.

## Acknowledgements

M.M.-F, L.M.V.-R. and R.A. are joint first authors of this article, and J.F.B.-M., C.A. and M.H.-R. contributed equally.

The authors thank the nursing teams of the participating clinical services for their continuous support to the research programme. They also thank M. J. Garcia Salgado, from the Biobanco Hospital Clínico Universitario de Salamanca, for assistance with sample storing, and CHEMCYL (Valladolid, Spain) for providing the samples from healthy controls.

The authors thank the Instituto de Salud Carlos III for financial support (grant numbers PI15/01959, PI15/01451 and PI16/01156) and Consejería de Educación de Castilla y Leon/Fondo social Europeo for supporting M.M.-F. This research was also supported by funds from the European Union (Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, Una manera de hacer Europa).

J.F.B.-M. is the inventor of a patent with Thermo-Fisher on MR-proADM for prognosis prediction in sepsis. J.F.B.-M. and R.A. are inventors of a patent with Thermo-Fisher on MMP8 for diagnosis and severity assessment of sepsis. Disclosure: The authors declare no other conflict of interest.

## References

- 1 Angus DC, Linde-Zwirble WT, Lidicker J, Clermont G, Carcillo J, Pinsky MR. Epidemiology of severe sepsis in the United States: analysis of incidence, outcome, and associated costs of care. *Crit Care Med* 2001; **29**: 1303–1310.
- 2 Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016; **315**: 801–810.
- 3 Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, Willatts S, De Mendonça A, Bruining H et al. The SOFA (Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. On behalf of the Working Group on Sepsis-Related Problems of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. *Intensive Care Med* 1996; 22: 707–710.
- 4 Serafim R, Gomes JA, Salluh J, Póvoa P. A Comparison of the quick-SOFA and systemic inflammatory response syndrome criteria for the diagnosis of sepsis and prediction of mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest* 2018; **153**: 646–655.
- 5 Bermejo-Martin JF, Martín-Fernandez M, López-Mestanza C, Duque P, Almansa R. Shared features of endothelial dysfunction between sepsis and its preceding risk factors (aging and chronic disease). *J Clin Med* 2018; 7: E400.
- 6 Clark DV, Banura P, Bandeen-Roche K, Liles WC, Kain KC, Scheld WM *et al.* Biomarkers of endothelial activation/dysfunction distinguish sub-groups of Ugandan patients with sepsis and differing mortality risks. *JCI Insight* 2019; 23: 5.
- 7 Cowland JB, Borregaard N. Granulopoiesis and granules of human neutrophils. *Immunol Rev* 2016; **273**: 11–28.
- 8 Manz MG, Boettcher S. Emergency granulopoiesis. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2014; **14**: 302–314.
- 9 Daix T, Guerin E, Tavernier E, Mercier E, Gissot V, Hérault O *et al.*; Septiflux Trial Group. Multicentric standardized flow cytometry routine assessment of patients with sepsis to predict clinical worsening. *Chest* 2018; **154**: 617–627.
- 10 Mare TA, Treacher DF, Shankar-Hari M, Beale R, Lewis SM, Chambers DJ *et al.* The diagnostic and prognostic significance of monitoring blood levels of immature neutrophils in patients with systemic inflammation. *Crit Care* 2015; **19**: 57.
- 11 Cowland JB, Borregaard N. The individual regulation of granule protein mRNA levels during neutrophil maturation explains the heterogeneity of neutrophil granules. *J Leukoc Biol* 1999; 66: 989–995.
- 12 Almansa R, Heredia-Rodríguez M, Gomez-Sanchez E, Andaluz-Ojeda D, Iglesias V, Rico L *et al.* Transcriptomic correlates of organ failure extent in sepsis. *J Infect* 2015; **70**: 445–456.

- 13 Sônego F, Castanheira FV, Ferreira RG, Kanashiro A, Leite CA, Nascimento DC *et al.* Paradoxical roles of the neutrophil in sepsis: protective and deleterious. *Front Immunol* 2016; 7: 155.
- 14 Iba T, Levy JH. Inflammation and thrombosis: roles of neutrophils, platelets and endothelial cells and their interactions in thrombus formation during sepsis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2018; 16: 231–241.
- 15 Tong Y, Ku X, Wu C, Liu J, Yang C, Tang W et al. Data-independent acquisition-based quantitative proteomic analysis reveals differences in host immune response of peripheral blood mononuclear cells to sepsis. *Scand J Immunol* 2019; **89**: e12748.
- 16 Yazdan-Ashoori P, Liaw P, Toltl L, Webb B, Kilmer G, Carter DE *et al.* Elevated plasma matrix metalloproteinases and their tissue inhibitors in patients with severe sepsis. *7 Crit Care* 2011; 26: 556–565.
- 17 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC/NHSN Surveillance Definitions for Specific Types of Infections; updated 2014. https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/PDFs/pscManual/ 17pscNosInfDef\_current.pdf [accessed 10 January 2017].
- 18 Shankar-Hari M, Phillips GS, Levy ML, Seymour CW, Liu VX, Deutschman CS *et al.*; Sepsis Definitions Task Force. Developing a new definition and assessing new clinical criteria for septic shock: for the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016; **315**: 775–787.
- 19 Almansa R, Ortega A, Ávila-Alonso A, Heredia-Rodríguez M, Martín S, Benavides D *et al.* Quantification of immune dysregulation by next-generation polymerase chain reaction to improve sepsis diagnosis in surgical patients. *Ann Surg* 2019; **269**: 545–553.
- 20 Nelson A, Berkestedt I, Schmidtchen A, Ljunggren L, Bodelsson M. Increased levels of glycosaminoglycans during septic shock: relation to mortality and the antibacterial actions of plasma. *Shock* 2008; **30**: 623–627.
- 21 Sallisalmi M, Tenhunen J, Yang R, Oksala N, Pettilä V. Vascular adhesion protein-1 and syndecan-1 in septic shock. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2012; 56: 316–322.
- 22 Mebazaa A, Geven C, Hollinger A, Wittebole X, Chousterman BG, Blet A *et al.*; AdrenOSS-1 study investigators. Circulating adrenomedullin estimates survival and reversibility of organ failure in sepsis: the prospective observational multinational Adrenomedullin and Outcome in Sepsis and Septic Shock-1 (AdrenOSS-1) study. *Crit Care* 2018; **22**: 354.
- 23 Struck J, Tao C, Morgenthaler NG, Bergmann A. Identification of an adrenomedullin precursor fragment in plasma of sepsis patients. *Peptides* 2004; 25: 1369–1372.
- 24 Saeed K, Wilson DC, Bloos F, Schuetz P, van der Does Y, Melander O *et al.* The early identification of disease progression in patients with suspected infection presenting to the emergency department: a multi-centre derivation and validation study. *Crit Care* 2019; 23: 40.
- 25 Menéndez R, Méndez R, Almansa R, Ortega A, Alonso R, Suescun M et al. Simultaneous depression of immunological

synapse and endothelial injury is associated with organ dysfunction in community-acquired pneumonia.  $\mathcal{J}$  *Clin Med* 2019; **8**: E1404.

- 26 Leung BP, Culshaw S, Gracie JA, Hunter D, Canetti CA, Campbell C *et al.* A role for IL-18 in neutrophil activation. *J Immunol* 2001; **167**: 2879–2886.
- 27 Standish AJ, Weiser JN. Human neutrophils kill Streptococcus pneumoniae via serine proteases. J Immunol 2009; 183: 2602–2609.
- 28 Cramer EP, Dahl SL, Rozell B, Knudsen KJ, Thomsen K, Moser C et al. Lipocalin-2 from both myeloid cells and the epithelium combats *Klebsiella pneumoniae* lung infection in mice. *Blood* 2017; **129**: 2813–2817.
- 29 Atkinson SJ, Varisco BM, Sandquist M, Daly MN, Klingbeil L, Kuethe JW *et al.* Matrix metalloproteinase-8 augments bacterial clearance in a juvenile sepsis model. *Mol Med* 2016; 22: 455–463.
- 30 Miethke M, Skerra A. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin expresses antimicrobial activity by interfering with L-norepinephrine-mediated bacterial iron acquisition. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; 54: 1580–1589.
- 31 Blázquez-Prieto J, López-Alonso I, Huidobro C, Albaiceta GM. The emerging role of neutrophils in repair after acute lung injury. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2018; 59: 289–294.
- 32 González-López A, Aguirre A, López-Alonso I, Amado L, Astudillo A, Fernández-García MS *et al.* MMP-8 deficiency increases TLR/RAGE ligands S100A8 and S100A9 and exacerbates lung inflammation during endotoxemia. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e39940.
- 33 Okubo K, Kamiya M, Urano Y, Nishi H, Herter JM, Mayadas T *et al.* Lactoferrin suppresses neutrophil extracellular traps release in inflammation. *EBioMedicine* 2016; **10**: 204–215.
- 34 Bauzá-Martinez J, Aletti F, Pinto BB, Ribas V, Odena MA, Díaz R et al. Proteolysis in septic shock patients: plasma peptidomic patterns are associated with mortality. Br 7 Anaesth 2018; 121: 1065–1074.
- 35 Becker BF, Jacob M, Leipert S, Salmon AHJ, Chappell D. Degradation of the endothelial glycocalyx in clinical settings: searching for the sheddases. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2015; 80: 389–402.
- 36 Kuravi SJ, Bevins A, Satchell SC, Harper L, Williams JM, Rainger GE *et al.* Neutrophil serine proteases mediate inflammatory cell recruitment by glomerular endothelium and progression towards dysfunction. *Nepbrol Dial Transplant* 2012; 27: 4331–4338.
- 37 Schubert-Unkmeir A, Konrad C, Slanina H, Czapek F, Hebling S, Frosch M. Neisseria meningitidis induces brain microvascular endothelial cell detachment from the matrix and cleavage of occludin: a role for MMP-8. *PLoS Pathog* 2010; 6: e1000874.
- 38 Macdonald SPJ, Bosio E, Neil C, Arendts G, Burrows S, Smart L et al. Resistin and NGAL are associated with inflammatory response, endothelial activation and clinical outcomes in sepsis. Inflamm Res 2017; 66: 611–619.

www.bjsopen.com

- 39 Solan PD, Dunsmore KE, Denenberg AG, Odoms K, Zingarelli B, Wong HR. A novel role for matrix metalloproteinase-8 in sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 2012; 40: 379–387.
- 40 Patterson EK, Cepinskas GK, Inoue K, Fraser DD. Sepsis-associated elastase and proteinase 3 induce endothelial permeability. *FASEB J* 2017; 31: 978.11–978.11.
- 41 Wong HR, Cvijanovich NZ, Anas N, Allen GL, Thomas NJ, Bigham MT *et al.* A multibiomarker-based model for estimating the risk of septic acute kidney injury. *Crit Care Med* 2015; 43: 1646–1653.
- 42 Wang M, Zhang Q, Zhao X, Dong G, Li C. Diagnostic and prognostic value of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, matrix metalloproteinase-9, and tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinases-1 for sepsis in the emergency department: an observational study. *Crit Care* 2014; 18: 634.

- 43 Macdonald SPJ, Stone SF, Neil CL, van Eeden PE, Fatovich DM, Arendts G *et al.* Sustained elevation of resistin, NGAL and IL-8 are associated with severe sepsis/septic shock in the emergency department. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e110678.
- 44 Wang B, Chen G, Zhang J, Xue J, Cao Y, Wu Y. Increased neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin is associated with mortality and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome in severe sepsis and septic shock. *Shock* 2015; 44: 234–238.
- 45 Chang W, Zhu S, Pan C, Xie J-F, Liu S-Q, Qiu H-B *et al.* Predictive utilities of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) in severe sepsis. *Clin Chim Acta* 2018; 481: 200–206.
- 46 Reddy B, Hassan U, Seymour C, Angus DC, Isbell TS, White K et al. Point-of-care sensors for the management of sepsis. Nat Biomed Eng 2018; 2: 640–648.

#### **Supporting information**

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.