Enhanced expression of queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1 (QTRT1) predicts poor prognosis in lung adenocarcinoma

Qianli Ma^, Jie He

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: All authors; (II) Administrative support: J He; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: Q Ma; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: Q Ma; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: Q Ma; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Jie He. Department of Thoracic Surgery, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100021, China. Email: pro.jiehe@gmail.com.

Background: Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most frequently diagnosed type of lung cancer with high percentage of tumor relapse and metastasis. The correlation between queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1 (*QTRT1*) expression and LUAD remains largely unknown. In this study, we aim to investigate the potential role of *QTRT1* expression in the prognosis of LUAD.

Methods: We abstracted data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and four independent Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets. In total, 1,012 LUAD samples and 112 normal tissue samples were selected. The relationship between *QTRT1* expression, methylation, and clinical features in LUAD were determined, and bioinformatics analyses were also performed.

Results: The expression of *QTRT1* was higher in LUAD patients. A marked downregulation in *QTRT1* methylation in LUAD was also found. Low *QTRT1* expression was associated with longer overall survival across the GEO and TCGA datasets (P=0.0033, 0.0022, respectively). Furthermore, *QTRT1* expression was significantly correlated with 'axoneme assembly', 'androgen response', and 'epithelial mesenchymal transition', as determined by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) and Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis.

Conclusions: *QTRT1* was highly expressed in LUAD, and enhanced expression of *QTRT1* might therefore serve as a biomarker for poor prognosis in LUAD. The result of bioinformatic analyses might present a new insight for investigating the pathogenesis of LUAD.

Keywords: Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD); queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1 (*QTRT1*); prognosis; gene expression profile; bioinformatics analysis

Submitted Oct 19, 2020. Accepted for publication Dec 16, 2020. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-7424 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7424

Introduction

Lung cancer is the the leading cause of cancer death worldwide (1). Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is more than any other subtypes of lung cancer (2), and patients with LUAD often die from relapse and metastasis (3-5). Bioinformatics analyses can help to discover novel biomarkers of LUAD, so as to help improve prognosis and treatment.

Queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1 (*QTRT1*), also known as tRNA-guanine transglycosylase (TGT), is located on chromosome 19p13.2 (6). It contains 10 exons spanning approximately 12 kb. The enzyme queuine tRNA-

[^] ORCID: 0000-0003-2195-8181.

ribosyltransferase (QTRT) is encoded by the gene QTRT1. Its molecular function is the exchange of a guanine (G) with queuine (Q) at position 34, resulting in hypermodified transfer RNAs (tRNAs) (7). QTRT1 is a key enzyme involved in the post-transcriptional modification of tRNAs. These tRNAs are central components of protein synthesis and the cell signaling network, and their modulation can specifically impact cancer progression (8). Specific tRNAs are related to human breast cancer, colon adenocarcinoma, and human lung cancer (9-12). At the protein level, western blotting results have shown that QTRT1 expression in the mitochondrion of human LUAD A549 cells was higher than that in normal human bronchial epithelial 16HBE cells. Meanwhile, immunohistochemistry (IHC) results have shown that positive expression of QTRT1 in LUAD was significantly higher compared to normal lung tissues (13). Previous studies have also shown that QTRT1 may be involved in the carcinogenesis of human colon adenocarcinoma through modulating tRNAs (11). However, the correlation between QTRT1 and LUAD remains largely unknown, and the specific mechanism of QTRT1 has not been reported.

In this study, we explored the relationship between QTRT1 expression, methylation, and clinical features (demographic factors, pathology stage, residual tumor, and survival outcome) in LUAD by using the gene expression data of 1,012 LUAD samples and 112 normal controls. For the first time, QTRT1 was found to be a risk factor for the onset and progression of LUAD. A significant increase in QTRT1 expression in LUAD patients was observed. Furthermore, a marked down-regulation in QTRT1 methylation in LUAD was also found. Higher expression of QTRT1 in LUAD was related to negative survival outcomes. Therefore, QTRT1 can be used as a novel predictor for survival in patients with LUAD. Furthermore, the biological functions of the identified target genes were interpreted. We present the following article in accordance with the REMARK reporting checklist (available at http:// dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7424).

Methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

Data sources

Data was obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)

Ma and He. QTRT1 as a novel biomarker for the risk of LUAD

and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) sources, namely, microarrays, methylation, and RNA sequencing. A total of 502 LUAD patients with survival outcomes were selected from TCGA, however, 128 patients' clinical information were not available. A total of 374 patients were further selected for analyzing the differences in clinical factors between *QTRT1*^{high} and *QTRT1*^{low} expression groups. The expression level, survival analysis, Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis, module screening from the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network, and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) were also carried out in TCGA. All clinical information (including gender, age, pathological stage, as well as residual tumor) is available in TCGA Data Portal. The project ID is TCGA-LUAD.

Additionally, 510 LUAD samples and 112 control lung tissue samples from 4 GEO databases were further obtained to analyze expression, methylation, and survival status. GSE10072 (n=66) was used for expression analysis, and there were 2 methylation datasets [GSE49996 (n=88) and GSE63384 (n=70)]. In GSE63384, tumor samples and adjacent non-tumor tissues were selected from stage I LUAD. The fourth dataset [GSE72094 (n=398)] was used to assess the relationship between *QTRT1* expression and prognosis.

Microarray analysis

Patients with *QTRT1* expression values above the median for all LUAD cases were classified as high-*QTRT1*, whilst all other cases were considered to be low-*QTRT1*. P value <0.05 in unpaired *t*-test analysis and fold change (FC, log2) >0.5 or <-0.5 was utilized to determine the differential expression of genes (DEGs).

Functional analysis

GO term enrichment, KEGG pathway analysis, PPI network, and GSEA were constructed to reveal the hub genes of the potential target genes on STRING, a web portal for undermining the integrated function of multiple genes (14,15).

Statistical analysis

R software 3.5.0 was used for performing all statistical analyses. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was done for evaluating each dataset for normality of distribution to decide whether



Figure 1 The expression and methylation of queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1 (*QTRT1*) in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) patients and normal samples. The X-axis represents the different groups: normal group (group 1: red box) and LUAD group (group 2: blue box), while the Y-axis represents *QTRT1* expression and methylation level (log2). (A) The expression level of *QTRT1* increased in the LUAD group compared to the normal group. (B) The methylation level of *QTRT1* showed a decreasing trend in the LUAD group in the GSE49996 dataset. (C) The same decreasing trend in *QTRT1* methylation level was also found in the LUAD group in the GSE63384 dataset.

a parametric analysis or a non-parametric rank-based analysis should be used. Wilcoxon rank-sum tests and Fisher's exact were used to test hypotheses in continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The limma package was used for performing different gene expression analysis (16). The samples in TCGA were divided into 2 groups (high-*QTRT1*, n=251, low-*QTRT1*, n=251) based on the median expression value of *QTRT1*. GSE72094 was divided into 2 groups (high-*QTRT1*, n=199; low-*QTRT1*, n=199).

For the estimation of the survival status, Kaplan-Meier method and Cox regression multivariate analyses were carried out. And the group comparisons were made by using the log-rank test. Cluster profiler package was used to identify GO enriched terms and KEGG pathways (17). P value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Expression and methylation of QTRT1 in LUAD

We employed 3 GEO datasets to analyze the expression and methylation level of *QTRT1* in LUAD. In the GSE10072 dataset, a significant increase in *QTRT1* expression in LUAD tissues (n=33) was found (P=0.0024) compared to normal control tissues (n=33; *Figure 1A*). An obvious downward trend in *QTRT1* methylation in LUAD tissue was further validated in GSE49996, which included normal tissues (n=44) and LUAD samples (n=44; *Figure 1B*). The same decreasing trend was also found in the GSE63384 dataset in the LUAD group (n=35) compared to the control group (n=35; *Figure 1C*).

Differences in clinical factors in LUAD between the QTRT1^{bigb} and QTRT1^{low} groups

The baseline characteristics between the high and low *QTRT1* expression groups were analyzed. Clinical factors included age, gender, stage, residual tumor (R0: without residual tumor, R1: microscopic residual, R2: macroscopic residual tumor, Rx: unknown), tumor size (T) (referring to the TNM stage), lymph node (N) (N0: negative for lymph node metastasis, N1/N2/N3: positive for lymph node metastasis, N1: unknown lymph node metastasis), and distant metastasis (M) (M0: negative for distant metastasis, M1: positive for distant metastasis, Mx: unknown distant metastasis).

In the 502 LUAD cases selected from TCGA, clinical data from 128 patients were not available. Hence, clinical data from 374 patients were used for analyses. The samples were divided into two groups based on the median values of *QTRT1* expression: *QTRT1*^{low} (n=187) and *QTRT1*^{high} (n=187). Consistent with tumor stage association, patients with higher *QTRT1* expression had less residual tumors (R0 vs. R1/R2/Rx, P=0.029) and less distant metastasis (M0 vs. M1/Mx, P=0.013; *Table 1*). No significant correlation was observed for age (P=0.342), gender (P=0.756), stage (I/II/III/IV, P=0.509), T stage (P=0.071), and N stage (P=0.687; *Table 1*).

Page 4 of 14

Ma and He. QTRT1 as a novel biomarker for the risk of LUAD

| Table 1 Clinical characteristics of 374 LUAD | natients from TCGA according to (| OTRT1 expression levels |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | |

| Characters | QTRT1 ^{low} , n=187 | QTRT1 ^{high} , n=187 | P value |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age, n (%) | | | 0.342 |
| ≥60 | 144 (77.01) | 135 (72.19) | |
| <60 | 43 (22.99) | 52 (27.81) | |
| Gender, n (%) | | | 0.756 |
| Female | 100 (53.48) | 96 (51.34) | |
| Male | 87 (46.52) | 91 (48.66) | |
| Stage, n (%) | | | 0.509 |
| I | 97 (51.87) | 106 (56.68) | |
| II | 43 (22.99) | 46 (24.6) | |
| III | 30 (16.04) | 26 (13.9) | |
| IV | 14 (7.49) | 7 (3.74) | |
| Residual tumor, n (%) | | | 0.029 |
| R0 | 172 (91.98) | 164 (87.7) | |
| R1 | 6 (3.21) | 6 (3.21) | |
| R2 | 3 (1.60) | 0 (0.00) | |
| RX | 6 (3.21) | 17 (9.09) | |
| T, n (%) | | | 0.071 |
| T1 | 57 (30.48) | 68 (36.36) | |
| T2 | 107 (57.22) | 95 (50.80) | |
| Т3 | 13 (6.95) | 19 (10.16) | |
| T4 | 10 (5.35) | 3 (1.60) | |
| Тх | 0 (0.00) | 2 (1.07) | |
| N, n (%) | | | 0.687 |
| NO | 123 (65.78) | 123 (65.78) | |
| N1 | 36 (19.25) | 38 (20.32) | |
| N2 | 26 (13.90) | 21 (11.23) | |
| N3 | 0 (0.00) | 2 (1.07) | |
| Nx | 2 (1.07) | 3 (1.60) | |
| M, n (%) | | | 0.013 |
| M0 | 139 (74.33) | 123 (65.78) | |
| M1 | 14 (7.49) | 7 (3.74) | |
| Mx | 34 (18.18) | 57 (30.48) | |

LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; *QTRT1*, queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1; R0, without residual tumor; R1, microscopic residual tumor; R2, macroscopic residual tumor; Rx, unknown residual tumor; T, tumor size (refer to the TNM stage); N, lymph nodes (N0: negative for lymph node metastasis, N1/N2/N3, positive for lymph node metastasis, Nx, unknown lymph node metastasis); M, distant metastasis (M0, negative for distant metastasis, M1, positive for distant metastasis, Mx, unknown distant metastasis).



Figure 2 Survival analysis of the queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1 $(QTRT1)^{high}$ and $QTRT1^{low}$ group. The X-axis reprents the survial time (months) and the Y-axis represents survial probability. Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed that $QTRT1^{high}$ predicted worse survival, as per the long-rank test. (A) In the GSE72094 dataset, high expression of QTRT1 (n=199) was associated with worse overall survival (OS; P=0.0033). (B) In The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), high expression of QTRT1 (n=251) was associated with worse disease-free survival (DFS; P=0.0036). (C) In TCGA, high expression of QTRT1 (n=251) was associated with worse OS (P=0.0022).

Association between survival and QTRT1 expression in meta-datasets

The GSE72094 dataset (n=398) was divided into 2 groups: high-QTRT1 (n=199) and low-QTRT1 (n=199). We observed that high expression of QTRT1 was associated with worse overall survival (OS; P=0.0033; *Figure 2A*). Survival analysis was also performed based on TCGA dataset (n=502). Differences were observed in the survival times for the low-QTRT1 group (n=251) and the high-QTRT1 group (n=251). For disease-free survival (DFS), higher QTRT1 expression had a worse outcome (P=0.0036; *Figure 2B*). Similarly, higher QTRT1 expression was associated with significantly shorter OS (P=0.0022; *Figure 2C*). These results suggest that QTRT1 might be an effective gene in the progression of LUAD.

Prognostic value of QTRT1 expression in LUAD based on TCGA dataset

Hazard ratios (HRs) were computed for different variables based on TCGA dataset by using the Cox regression model. Univariate analysis results showed that *QTRT1*, *EGFR*, and *KRAS* were all significantly related to DFS and OS. The HR of the *QTRT1*^{high} group was 1.32 (P=0.026) for DFS analysis. The HR of the *QTRT1*^{high} group was 1.26 (P=0.031) for OS analysis. *EGFR* (HR =1.32, P=0.024) and *KRAS* mutations (HR =1.30, P=0.034) were both risk factors for DFS analysis. Similarly, for OS analysis, *EGFR* (HR =1.21, P=0.078) and *KRAS* mutations (HR =1.32, P=0.010) were also negative for survival (*Table 2*). Other clinical factors such as age, gender, and other genetic alterations (*ALK*, *LHX5*, *NRAS*, *PIK3CA*, *TP53*) were not significantly different.

Furthermore, in the multivariate analysis for DFS, the HR of *EFGR* was 1.32 (P=0.034), the HR of *KRAS* was 1.37 (P=0.016), while the HR of *QTRT1* expression was 1.37 (P=0.020). These three factors were significantly related to DFS in LUAD patients. The HR of *KRAS* was 1.38 (P=0.006) and the HR of *QTRT1* was 1.27 (P=0.044) for OS, indicating that these 2 mutation genes were closely associated with OS. *QTRT1* expression was a stable risk factor affecting the survival time of LUAD (*Table 3*). Therefore, these results suggest that *QTRT1*, *EGFR*, and *KRAS* are involved in the occurrence and development of LUAD, and *QTRT1* expression can be used as a novel biomarker for the prognosis of LUAD.

Page 6 of 14

| 5 | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|
| Variables - | DFS | | | OS | | |
| | HR | 95% CI | P value | HR | 95% CI | P value |
| QTRT1 (high vs. low) | 1.32 | 1.03–1.68 | 0.026 | 1.26 | 1.02–1.56 | 0.031 |
| Age (≥60 <i>vs</i> . <60) | 1.06 | 0.82-1.37 | 0.661 | 0.97 | 0.77–1.23 | 0.808 |
| Gender | 0.96 | 0.75–1.22 | 0.730 | 0.93 | 0.75–1.14 | 0.475 |
| ALK | 0.99 | 0.78–1.26 | 0.924 | 0.95 | 0.77–1.18 | 0.648 |
| EGFR | 1.32 | 1.04–1.68 | 0.024 | 1.21 | 0.98–1.49 | 0.078 |
| KRAS | 1.30 | 1.02-1.66 | 0.034 | 1.32 | 1.07–1.64 | 0.010 |
| LHX5 | 0.84 | 0.66–1.07 | 0.154 | 0.86 | 0.70–1.07 | 0.172 |
| NRAS | 0.88 | 0.69–1.13 | 0.315 | 0.90 | 0.73–1.12 | 0.345 |
| PIK3CA | 0.99 | 0.78–1.27 | 0.944 | 0.97 | 0.79–1.20 | 0.804 |
| TP53 | 1.11 | 0.88–1.42 | 0.380 | 1.15 | 0.93–1.42 | 0.194 |

Table 2 Univariate analysis for DFS and OS of LUAD based on TCGA dataset

DFS, disease-free survival; OS, overall survival; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; *QTRT1*, queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; *ALK*, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; *EGFR*, epidermal growth factor receptor; *KRAS*, kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene; *LHX5*, LIM homeobox 5; *NRAS*, neuroblastoma RAS viral (v-ras) oncogene homolog; *PIK3CA*, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; *TP53*, tumor protein p53.

Table 3 Multivariate analysis for DFS and OS of LUAD based on TCGA dataset

| Variables - | DFS | | | OS | | |
|---------------------------|------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|---------|
| | HR | 95% CI | P value | HR | 95% CI | P value |
| QTRT1 (high vs. low) | 1.37 | 1.05–1.79 | 0.020 | 1.27 | 1.01–1.60 | 0.044 |
| Age (≥60 <i>vs</i> . <60) | 1.14 | 0.87-1.49 | 0.349 | 1.03 | 0.81–1.32 | 0.801 |
| Gender | 1.04 | 0.81-1.33 | 0.767 | 0.97 | 0.78–1.20 | 0.750 |
| ALK | 0.93 | 0.73–1.19 | 0.572 | 0.92 | 0.74–1.14 | 0.439 |
| EGFR | 1.32 | 1.02-1.71 | 0.034 | 1.18 | 0.95–1.48 | 0.135 |
| KRAS | 1.37 | 1.06–1.78 | 0.016 | 1.38 | 1.10–1.73 | 0.006 |
| LHX5 | 0.82 | 0.64–1.05 | 0.118 | 0.85 | 0.68–1.05 | 0.129 |
| NRAS | 0.90 | 0.69–1.17 | 0.422 | 0.90 | 0.72–1.13 | 0.374 |
| PIK3CA | 0.99 | 0.75–1.29 | 0.923 | 0.97 | 0.77–1.23 | 0.814 |
| TP53 | 1.03 | 0.80-1.32 | 0.821 | 1.06 | 0.85–1.32 | 0.607 |

DFS, disease-free survival; OS, overall survival; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; *QTRT1*, queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; *ALK*: anaplastic lymphoma kinase; *EGFR*, epidermal growth factor receptor; *KRAS*, kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene; *LHX5*, LIM homeobox 5; *NRAS*, neuroblastoma RAS viral (v-ras) oncogene homolog; *PIK3CA*, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha; *TP53*, tumor protein p53.

Differential expression genes between the QTRT1^{bigb} and QTRT1^{low} groups

The different expression profiles of $QTRT1^{high}$ versus $QTRT1^{low}$ were analyzed to find the genes associated with

QTRT1. As many as 101 genes were up-regulated and 157 genes were down-regulated [P<0.05, (FC, log2) >0.5, or <-0.5, *Figure 3A*]., The enriched GO terms were analyzed by using DEGs. Among the biological process terms of GO, most of the DEGs were enriched in 'axoneme assembly',



Figure 3 Differential expression genes (DEGs) and their gene enrichment analysis and protein-protein interaction (PPI) analysis. (A) DEGs between the $QTRT1^{high}$ group and the $QTRT1^{low}$ group were shown in the Volcano plot. The criteria of cut-off for DEGs significance was P<0.05 and absolute value of log2 fold change >0.5. The X-axis displays the log2 fold change for that gene relative to QTRT1 expression, while the Y-axis displays the -log10 P value for each gene. (B) Gene Ontology (GO) results for DEGs. The X-axis represents gene ratio and the Y-axis represents different enriched biological process terms. (C) Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) results for DEGs. The X-axis represents gene ratio and the Y-axis represents gene ratio and the Y-axis represents different enriched pathways. (D) PPI network of top 13 DEGs: 11 up-regulated genes and 2 down-regulated genes. The red circle indicates a positive correlation, and the green circle indicates a negative correlation.

'microtubule bundle formation', 'axonemal dynein complex assembly', and 'inner dynein arm assembly' (*Figure 3B*). KEGG analysis showed that 'olfactory transduction' and 'inoleic acid metabolism' were the most enriched pathways (*Figure 3C*). Top 13 DEGs were used to screen the PPI network in the STRING database. Two down-regulated genes (*DEFB128* and *OR10G9*) and eleven of the upregulated genes and were interactional in the PPI network (*Figure 3D*).

Hallmark analyses of differential expression genes between the QTRT1^{bigb} and QTRT1^{low} groups

While the DEGs can give important clues about the mechanistic aspects of cellular regulation, many genes are regulated in concert. In order to categorize such modules of cellular regulation, bioinformatics approaches for GSEA have been developed (18). We performed GSEA on transcriptomics data downloaded from TCGA. These



Figure 4 Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)-cnetPlot pathway analysis.

genes were involved in the 'androgen response', 'epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)', 'protein secretion', and 'UV-response-DN' (*Figure 4*). Significantly enriched gene sets (P<0.05) are shown in *Figure 5A*,*B*,*C*,*D*.

Discussion

At present, the specific molecular mechanism of QTRT1 in LUAD remains unknown. A highlight of this study was the use of computational bioinformatics methods to explore the latent mechanism of QTRT1 in LUAD at the gene level. At the protein level, western blotting results have shown that QTRT1 expression in the mitochondrion of human LUAD A549 cells was higher than that in the normal

human bronchial epithelial 16HBE cells. Meanwhile, IHC results showed that positive QTRT1 expression in LUAD was significantly higher than that in normal lung tissues (13). However, there are currently no reports on the correlation between *QTRT1* expression and the clinical features of LUAD at the gene level.

For the first time, QTRT1 was found to be a risk factor for the onset and progression of LUAD. A significant increase in QTRT1 expression in LUAD patients was observed compared with normal tissues. An obvious downregulation in QTRT1 methylation in LUAD was also found. Furthermore, higher expression of QTRT1 in LUAD was associated with worse prognosis. Therefore, QTRT1 can be considered a tumor promoter gene in Annals of Translational Medicine, Vol 8, No 24 December 2020

Page 9 of 14



Figure 5 Significant regulated genes by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis. (A) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) plot depicting the enrichment of genes down-regulated in 'androgen response' (P=0.0025). (B) GSEA plot depicting the enrichment of genes down-regulated in 'epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)' (P=0.0028). (C) GSEA plot depicting the enrichment of genes down-regulated in 'protein secretion' (P=0.0025). (D) GSEA plot depicting the enrichment of genes down-regulated in 'UV-response-DN' (UV-response-DN refers to genes that are down regulated by ultraviolet radiation; P=0.0026).

LUAD, and can be used as a novel predictor of survival in LUAD patients. However, the negative prognostic value of increased expression of QTRT1 of other pathological types of lung cancer have not been found.

QTRT1 is a protein coding gene located on chromosome 19p13.2, and contains 10 exons spanning approximately 12 kb. The enzyme QTRT, also known as TGT, is encoded by the gene *QTRT1*. The active eukaryotic TGT is a heterodimer in solution, comprised of a catalytic QTRT1 subunit and a noncatalytic QTRT2 subunit (6). Previous studies have shown that the molecular function of QTRT is the exchange of a G with Q at position 34, resulting in

hypermodified tRNAs. QTRT is a key enzyme involved in the post-transcriptional modification of tRNAs. It has been reported that *QTRT1* may be involved in the carcinogenesis of human colon adenocarcinoma through modulating tRNAs (11).

These tRNAs have been primarily viewed as static contributors to gene expression. However, they can specifically impact cancer progression, being involved in enhanced invasiveness (8-10,19-21). Goodarzi *et al.* found that specific tRNAs were upregulated in human breast cancer metastasis (22). Q negative tRNA is the original transcript, which contains G at the wobble's position (the 34th nucleotide from the 5' end). When this G is replaced by Q in a direct base for base exchange, Q negative tRNA is modified to Q positive tRNA (23-25). This modification of tRNAs is one manifestation of the process of glycosylation. Glycosylation is a form of co-translational and posttranslational modification (26). It plays an important role in protein folding and stability. Abnormal glycosylation is associated with cell-to-cell adhesion and malignant transformation (27,28). As one of the metabolites, glycosylation is currently considered as a target for cancer treatments (29).

Modification of tRNA was found to be highly correlated with the histopathological grading of human malignant tumors (30,31). The tRNAs of tumors, especially those with poor prognosis and malignant tumors, are often undermodified (32). Chen *et al.* demonstrated that the key role of tRNA modification was to support specific translation during breast cancer progression (7). Shindo-Okada *et al.* found that Q negative tRNA levels in murine erythroleukemic cells decreased markedly when the cells became more differentiated (33). Huang *et al.* reported that Q negative tRNA was found to be highly correlated with the histopathological classification and prognosis of human lung cancer (12). There was also a strong relationship between the malignancy grading of leukemias and lymphomas, and Q positive tRNA (34,35).

Bioinformatics analyses were performed to fully understand the specific molecular mechanism of QTRT1 in LUAD. According to the GO term enrichment, QTRT1 might have an important effect on the progression of LUAD by modulating several cellular processes, such as 'axoneme assembly', 'microtubule bundle formation', 'axonemal dynein complex assembly' and 'inner dynein arm assembly'. Optimal cellular function requires the precise regulation of axoneme assembly and membrane biogenesis. Axoneme, microtubules, and dynein are important for maintaining tissue architecture and homeostasis. Disruption of primary cilia structure and function is increasingly recognized in cancers (36). Recent studies have suggested roles for these organelles in oncogenesis and tumor suppression. Zhu et al. reported that 'cilium axoneme assembly' was one of key pathways in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (37), and O'Toole et al. demonstrated that ciliary disruption contributes to tumorigenesis in endocrine-related cancers (38).

The results of the KEGG analysis also demonstrated the role of *QTRT1* in LUAD. The top 2 enriched pathways were 'olfactory transduction' and 'linoleic acid metabolism'. However, few studies have been conducted on these pathways in LUAD. Mukhopadhyay *et al.* found that sensory signaling was required to maintain the architecture of the specialized olfactory neuron cilia in C. elegans, and decreased sensory signaling resulted in alterations in axoneme length and expansion of the membranous structure (39). A study by Bai *et al.* showed that these pathways were connected mainly to differentially expressed genes, such as low Raps homolog gene family member A (RhoA), and RhoA affects the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (40). After integrating the GO and KEGG analyses results above, *QTRT1* might therefore relate to other associated genes (such as *EGFR* and *KRAS*) and play a key role in the occurrence and development of LUAD.

The PPI network results indicated that QTRT1 was a hub gene in the network. QTRT1 interacted with many other genes (Y7EFN3, SAP25, OR10G9, HSF4, CALML6, MAMDC4, SLC9A3, SCNN1D, MAPK15, and CCDC154). Human YJEFN domain containing protein family was found to be related to cholesterol processing and steroid hormone metabolism in spermiogenesis and oogenesis (41). IHC of human testes and ovaries showed expression of *bY7EFN3* only in Leydig cells and theca cells, respectively, indicating a role in steroid hormone metabolism (42). Sin3A-associated protein 25 (SAP25) was related to ovarian cancer chemoresistance (43). The developing human ovaries express the distinct gene OR10G9 (olfactory receptor, family 10, subfamily G, member 9) which is related to adrenal and reproductive disorders (44). HSF4 (heat shock factor 4) is a member of the HSF family, and its expression correlates with its role in cell growth and differentiation (45). High HSF4 expression was an independent prognostic factor of poor OS for colorectal cancer (46). Decreased levels of calmodulin-like 6 (CALML6) has been linked to poorer colon cancer patient survival (47), and MAM domain containing 4 (MAMDC4) was associated with the prognosis of esophageal adenocarcinoma (48). Cancer cells maintain low intracellular pH. SLC9A3 is involved in pH regulation to eliminate acids generated by active metabolism or to counter adverse environmental conditions. Dorfman et al. reported that the SLC9A3 gene encoded an Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger (49). Kang et al. reported that SLC9A3 was one of the most frequent genetic events in the early stages of non-small cell lung cancer (50), and Bai et al. showed that the expression level of SLC9A3-AS1 in lung cancer was significantly different from healthy controls (51). Meanwhile, SCNNl genes encode epithelial sodium channels (ENaCs). ENaCs play a crucial role in maintaining sodium and water balance in the pulmonary

Annals of Translational Medicine, Vol 8, No 24 December 2020

system. Mutations in SCNN1 genes have been shown to affect the structure and function of ENaCs, leading to a series of lung diseases (52). Mitogen-activated protein kinase 15 (MAPK15) is an atypical member of the mitogenactivated protein (MAP) kinase family that has recently emerged as a key modulator of cell transformation. Knockdown of MAPK15 in gastric cancer cells significantly suppressed cell proliferation (53). For lung cancer, Wu et al. reported that As₂O₃ can selectively kill lung cancer cells with high MAPK15 expression by activating NF-κB (54). Overexpression of coiled-coil domaincontaining 154 (CCDC154) inhibited tumor cell growth by inducing G2/M arrest (55). We therefore hypothesized that QTRT1 might exert its oncogenic effects in LUAD via some of these genes. As demonstrated in this study, up-regulation of QTRT1 expression was closely related to the progression of LUAD.

The results of GSEA indicated that QTRT1 might affect LUAD through 'androgen response', 'epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)', 'protein secretion' and 'UV-response-DN'. The reasons for gender-associated differences in lung cancer mortality are unclear. Although the incidence of lung cancer in men has declined, it had sharply risen in women since 2004 (56,57). Estrogen is a known risk factor for the development of LUAD (58-61). Estrogen can directly stimulate transcription in the nucleus of pulmonary cells and activate growth factor signaling pathways (62). On the other hand, androgen depletion might significantly improve the short-term survival of men with lung cancer (63). Liu et al. reported that positive expression of androgen receptors might play a role in the progression and lymph node metastasis of NSCLC, and predict poor prognosis (64). Maßberg et al. found that the olfactory receptor OR51E1 [prostate-specific G-protein coupled receptor 2 (PSGR2)] had an impact on androgen receptor-mediated signaling in the growth processes of prostate cancer cells (65). EMT has an important function in the rebuilding of the fibrillar connective tissue and LUAD (66,67). During EMT, epithelial proteins disappear and mesenchymal proteins are expressed. This transition can enhance lung cancer cell invasiveness (68,69). As for 'protein secretion', many proteins act as chemokines (a family of small cytokines), and CX3CL1 serves as a prognostic marker for LUAD (70). 'UV-response-DN' refers to genes that are down-regulated by ultraviolet (UV) radiation. UV radiation is an omnipresent exposure with confirmed detrimental effects on cancer risk (71). It has been shown to be involved in regulating lung cancer cell apoptosis through the p38

MAPK signaling pathway (72-74).

The current study has limitations. The association between QTRT1 expression and LUAD should be validated in large prospective trials before it can be translated into clinical practice. The hypothesis that the mechanism involved in the negative regulation of QTRT1 expression is the methylation of QTRT1 should be validated. Furthermore, functional studies are required to fully understand the molecular mechanism of QTRT1 in LUAD progression (cell migration, cell cycle, and animal model experiments), as well as to elucidate its role in pathophysiology. There are no medicine targeting QTRT1 for the treatment of lung adenocarcinoma right now. QTRT1 would be a potential target gene therapy in the future medical research. The clinical transformation of the results is a biomarker for early screening of lung adenocarcinoma.

In conclusion, this is the first study to find that QTRT1 is highly expressed in LUAD. A marked down-regulation in QTRT1 methylation in LUAD was found, and more importantly, LUAD patients with low QTRT1 expression consistently had longer survival times. Therefore, our results indicate that high QTRT1 expression is a biomarker for poor prognosis in LUAD patients, and QTRT1 may function as a latent tumor biomarker in the prognostic prediction for LUAD. The results of bioinformatics analyses provided new insights into the pathological processes associated with QTRT1 expression in LUAD. Further studies, including deeper genomic research, are therefore warranted.

Acknowledgments

We thank the TCGA and GEO database and all the providers of the datasets used in this report. We also thank Chaozeng Si and Yang Chen for their help with data analyses.

Funding: The 63rd National Science Foundation for Postdocs (179300).

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the REMARK reporting checklist. Available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/atm-20-7424

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.

Page 12 of 14

Ma and He. QTRT1 as a novel biomarker for the risk of LUAD

org/10.21037/atm-20-7424). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2012;62:10-29.
- Travis WD. Pathology of Lung cancer. Clin Chest Med 2011;32:669-92.
- Ahn S, Jeong JY, Kim HW, et al. Robotic lobectomy for lung cancer: initial experience of a single institution in Korea. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2019;8:226-32.
- Greenhalgh J, Dwan K, Boland A, et al. Firstline treatment of advanced epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation positive non-squamous nonsmall cell lung cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;(5):CD010383.
- Buss EJ, Wang TJC. Treatment of lung adenocarcinoma brain metastases: what is the role of radiotherapy in the age of precision medicine? Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2018;7:S318-20.
- Johannsson S, Neumann P, Ficner R. Crystal Structure of the Human tRNA Guanine Transglycosylase Catalytic Subunit QTRT1. Biomolecules 2018;8:1-16.
- Chen YC, Kelly VP, Stachura SV, et al. Characterization of the human tRNA-guanine transglycosylase: confirmation of the heterodimeric subunit structure. RNA 2010;16:958-68.
- Liu F, Clark W, Luo G, et al. ALKBH1-Mediated tRNA Demethylation Regulates Translation. Cell 2016;167:1897.
- 9. Goodarzi H, Liu X, Nguyen HC, et al. Endogenous tRNA-

derived fragments suppress breast cancer progression via YBX1 displacement. Cell 2015;161:790-802.

- Delaunay S, Rapino F, Tharun L, et al. Elp3 links tRNA modification to IRES-dependent translation of LEF1 to sustain metastasis in breast cancer. J Exp Med 2016;213:2503-23.
- Deshpande KL, Katze JR. Characterization of cDNA encoding the human tRNA-guanine transglycosylase (TGT) catalytic subunit. Gene 2001;265:205-12.
- Huang BS, Wu RT, Chien KY. Relationship of the queuine content of transfer ribonucleic acids to histopathological grading and survival in human lung cancer. Cancer Res 1992;52:4696-700.
- Liu Y, Mu J, Wang S, et al. The expression and clinical significance of tRNA-guanine transglycosylase in lung adenocarcinoma. Chinese Journal of the Frontiers of Medical Science 2017;9:55-8.
- Szklarczyk D, Franceschini A, Wyder S, et al. STRING v10: protein–protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43:D447-52.
- Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Sah B, et al. UALCAN: A Portal for Facilitating Tumor Subgroup Gene Expression and Survival Analyses. Neoplasia 2017;19:649-58.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43:e47.
- 17. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, et al. cluster Profiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS 2012;16:284-7.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:15545-50.
- Ghildiyal M, Zamore PD. Small silencing RNAs: an expanding universe. Nat Rev Genet 2009;10:94-108.
- 20. Rapino F, Delaunay S, Zhou Z, et al. tRNA Modification: Is Cancer Having a Wobble? Trends Cancer 2017;3:249-52.
- 21. Balatti V, Nigita G, Veneziano D, et al. tsRNA signatures in cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017;114:8071-6.
- 22. Goodarzi H, Nguyen HCB, Zhang S, et al. Modulated Expression of Specific tRNAs Drives Gene Expression and Cancer Progression. Cell 2016;165:1416-27.
- 23. Okada N, Noguchi S, Kasai H, et al. Novel mechanism of post-transcriptional modification of tRNA. Insertion of bases of Q precursors into tRNA by a specific tRNA transglycosylase reaction. J Biol Chem 1979;254:3067-73.
- 24. Farkas WR. Queuine, The Q-containing tRNAs and the

Annals of Translational Medicine, Vol 8, No 24 December 2020

Enzymes Responsible for Their Formation. Nucleosides and Nucleotides 1983;2:1-20.

- 25. Reuter K, Slany R, Ullrich F, et al. Structure and organization of Escherichia coli genes involved in biosynthesis of the deazaguanine derivative queuine, a nutrient factor for eukaryotes. J Bacteriol 1991;173:2256-64.
- Dalziel M, Crispin M, Scanlan CN, et al. Emerging principles for the therapeutic exploitation of glycosylation. Science 2014;343:1235681.
- Geng F, Shi B, Yuan Y, et al. The expression of core fucosylated E-cadherin in cancer cells and lung cancer patients: prognostic implications. Cell Res 2004;14:423-33.
- Kannagi R, Izawa M, Koike T, et al. Carbohydratemediated cell adhesion in cancer metastasis and angiogenesis. Cancer Sci 2004;95:377-84.
- 29. Tennant DA, Durán RV and Gottlieb E. Targeting metabolic transformation for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2010;10:267-77.
- Emmerich B, Zubrod E, Weber H, et al. Relationship of queuinelacking transfer RNAs to the grade of malignancy in human leukemias and lymphomas. Cancer Res 1985;45:4308-14.
- Baranowski W, Dirheimer G, Jakowicki JA. Deficiency of queuine, a highly modified purine base, in transfer RNAs from primary and metastatic ovarian malignant tumors in women. Cancer Res 1994;54:4468-71.
- 32. Kasai H, Oashi Z, Harada F, et al. Structure of the modified nucleoside Q isolated from Esherichia coli transfer ribonucleic acid. 7-(4, 5-cis-dihydroxy-1cyclopenten-3-ylaminomethyl)-7-deazaguanosine. Biochemistry 1975;14:4198-208.
- 33. Shindo-Okada N, Terada M, and Nishimura S. Changes in amount of hypomodified tRNA having guanine in place of queuine during erythroid differentiation of murine erythroleukemia cells. Eur J Biochem 1981;115:423-8.
- Ishiwata S, Katayama J, Shindo H. Increased expression of queuosine synthesizing enzyme, tRNA-guanine transglycosylase, and queuosine levels in tRNA of leukemic cells. J Biochem 2001;129:13-7.
- 35. Emmerich B, Zubrod E, Weber H, et al. Relationship of queuine-lacking transfer RNAs to the grade of malignancy in human leukemias and lymphomas. Cancer Res 1985;45:4308-14.
- Seeley ES, Nachury MV.Constructing and deconstructing roles for the primary cilium in tissue architecture and cancer. Methods Cell Biol 2009;94:299-313.
- 37. Zhu HM, Fei Q, Qian LX, et al. Identification of key

pathways and genes in nasopharyngeal carcinoma using bioinformatics analysis. Oncol Lett 2019;17:4683-94.

- O'Toole SM, Chapple JP. Primary cilia: a link between hormone signalling and endocrine-related cancers? Biochem Soc Trans 2016;44:1227-34.
- Mukhopadhyay S, Lu Y, Shaham S, et al. Sensory signaling-dependent remodeling of olfactory cilia architecture in C. elegans. Dev Cell 2008;14:762-74.
- Bai Y, Xie F, Miao F, et al. The diagnostic and prognostic role of RhoA in hepatocellular carcinoma. Aging (Albany NY) 2019;11:5158-72.
- 41. Rudolph C, Sigruener A, Hartmann A, et al. ApoA-Ibinding protein (AI-BP) and its homologues hYjeF_N2 and hYjeF_N3 comprise the YjeF_N domain protein family in humans with a role in spermiogenesis and oogenesis. Horm Metab Res 2007;39:322-35.
- Fang L, Choi SH, Baek JS, et al. Control of angiogenesis by AIBP-mediated cholesterol efflux. Nature 2013;498:118-22.
- Li LY, Kim HJ, Park SA, et al. Genetic Profiles Associated with Chemoresistance in Patient-Derived Xenograft Models of Ovarian Cancer. Cancer Res Treat 2019;51:1117-27.
- 44. Del Valle I, Buonocore F, Duncan AJ, et al. A genomic atlas of human adrenal and gonad development. Wellcome Open Res 2017;2:25.
- 45. Jin X, Eroglu B, Cho W, et al. Inactivation of heat shock factor Hsf4 induces cellular senescence and suppresses tumorigenesis in vivo. Mol Cancer Res 2012;10:523-34.
- 46. Yang Y, Jin L, Zhang J, et al. High HSF4 expression is an independent indicator of poor overall survival and recurrence free survival in patients with primary colorectal cancer. IUBMB Life 2017;69:956-61.
- Penrose HM, Heller S, Cable C, et al. In colonic ρ0 (rho0) cells reduced mitochondrial function mediates transcriptomic alterations associated with cancer. Oncoscience 2017;4:189-98.
- Chen C, Tong G, Zhang J, et al. Construction of prognostic hub gene network of esophageal adenocarcinoma by weighted gene co-expression network analysis. Zhejiang Medical Journal 2019;41:2181-4.
- 49. Dorfman R, Taylor C, Lin F, et al. Modulatory effect of the SLC9A3 gene on susceptibility to infections and pulmonary function in children with cystic fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol 2011;46:385-92.
- 50. Kang JU, Koo SH, Kwon KC, et al. Gain at chromosomal region 5p15.33, containing TERT, is the most frequent genetic event in early stages of non-small cell lung cancer.

Ma and He. QTRT1 as a novel biomarker for the risk of LUAD

Page 14 of 14

Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2008;182:1-11.

- 51. Bai Y, Qu Y, Wu Z, et al. Absolute quantification and analysis of extracellular vesicle lncRNAs from the peripheral blood of patients with lung cancer based on multi-colour fluorescence chip-based digital PCR. Biosens Bioelectron 2019;142:111523.
- Su Z, Ji H. Relationship between mutations of SCNNl genes and respiratory diseases. International Journal of Respiration 2015;35:1105-8.
- 53. Jin DH, Lee J, Kim KM, et al. Overexpression of MAPK15 in gastric cancer is associated with copy number gain and contributes to the stability of c-Jun. Oncotarget 2015;6:20190-203.
- 54. Wu DD, Lau ATY, Yu FY, et al. Extracellular signalregulated kinase 8-mediated NF-κB activation increases sensitivity of human lung cancer cells to arsenic trioxide. Oncotarget 2017;8:49144-55.
- 55. Liao W, Zhao R, Lu L, et al. Overexpression of a novel osteopetrosis-related gene CCDC154 suppresses cell proliferation by inducing G2/M arrest. Cell Cycle 2012;11: 3270-9.
- 56. Visbal AL, Williams BA, Nichols FC, et al. Gender differences in non-small cell lung cancer survival: an analysis of 4,618 patients diagnosed between 1997 and 2002. Ann Thorac Surg 2004;78:209-15.
- 57. Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, Scott E, et al. Women with pathologic stage I, II, and III non-small cell lung cancer have better survival than men. Chest 2006;130:1796-802.
- Ganti AK, Sahmoun AE, Panwalkar AW, et al. Hormone replacement therapy is associated with decreased survival in women with lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:59-63.
- Stabile LP, Davis AL, Gubish CT, et al. Human non-small cell lung tumors and cell derived from normal lung express both estrogen receptor and show biological responses to estrogen. Cancer Res 2002;62:2141-50.
- Canver CC, Memoli VA, Vanderveer PL, et al. Sex hormonereceptors in non-small cell lung cancer in human beings. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1994;108:153-57.
- Olak J, Colson Y. Gender differences in lung cancer: have we really come a long way, baby? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2004;128:346-51.
- 62. Stabile LP, Siegfried JM: Estrogen receptor pathways in lung cancer. Curr Oncol Rep 2004;6:259-67.
- 63. Johnston EM, McIntyre LM, Hoff JA, et al. The effect of orchiectomy on lung cancer survival. Anticancer Res 1999;19:5567-70.

- 64. Liu X, Wang S, Yan M, et al. Expressions of androgen and estrogen receptors in lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. Jiangsu Med J 2010;36:1000-2.
- Maßberg D, Jovancevic N, Offermann A, et al. The activation of OR51E1 causes growth suppression of human prostate cancer cells. Oncotarget 2016;7:48231-49.
- 66. Xiao D, He J. Epithelial mesenchymal transition and lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 2010;2:154-9.
- 67. Kalluri R, Weinberg RA. The basics of epithelialmesenchymal transition. J Clin Invest 2009;119:1420-8.
- Shi Y, Wu H, Zhang M, Ding L, Meng F, Fan X. Expression of the epithelial-mesenchymal transitionrelated proteins and their clinical significance in lung adenocarcinoma. Diagn Pathol 2013;8:89.
- 69. Klymkowsky MW, Savagner P. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition: a cancer researcher's conceptual friend and foe. Am J Pathol 2009;174:1588-93.
- Liu J, Li Y, Zhu X, et al. Increased CX3CL1 mRNA expression level is a positive prognostic factor in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Oncol Lett 2019;17:4877-90.
- 71. Hiller TWR, O'Sullivan DE, Brenner DR, et al. Solar Ultraviolet Radiation and Breast Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Environ Health Perspect 2020;128:16002.
- 72. Bulavin DV, Saito S, Hollander MC, et al. Phosphorylation of human p53 by p38 kinase coordinates N-terminal phosphorylation and apoptosis in response to UV radiation. EMBO J 1999;18:6845-54.
- 73. Kang KA, Piao MJ, Madduma Hewage SR, et al. Fisetin induces apoptosis and endoplasmic reticulum stress in human non-small cell lung cancer throuugh inhlbition of the MAPK signallng pathway. Tumour Biol 2016;37:9615-24.
- 74. Bistrović A, Grbčić P, Harej A, et al. Small molecule purine and pseudopurine derivatives: synthesis, cytostatic evaluations and investigation of growth inhibitory effect in non-small cell lung cancer A549. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 2018;33:271-85.

(English Language Editor: C. Betlazar-Maseh)

Cite this article as: Ma Q, He J. Enhanced expression of queuine tRNA-ribosyltransferase 1 (QTRT1) predicts poor prognosis in lung adenocarcinoma. Ann Transl Med 2020;8(24):1658. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-7424