# The *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphism increases cancer risk: A meta-analysis

# Hui Li<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yanyan Xu<sup>2,\*</sup>, Hua Mei<sup>3</sup>, Liang Peng<sup>4</sup>, Xiaojie Li<sup>5</sup> and Jianzhou Tang<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Medical School of Jishou University, Jishou 416000, Hunan, China
<sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Pathology, Guangzhou Kingmed Center for Clinical Laboratory, Guangzhou 510000, China
<sup>3</sup>Department of Somatic Stem Cell, Hunan Guangxiu Hospital, Changsha 410002, Hunan, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biological and Environmental Engineering, Changsha University, Changsha 410003, Hunan, China

<sup>5</sup>College of Animal Science and Technology of Hunan Agriculture University, Changsha 410128, Hunan, China

<sup>\*</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and should be considered as co-first authors

Correspondence to: Jianzhou Tang, email: Z20050711@ccsu.edu.cn

Keywords: TERT, cancer, risk, meta-analysis, telomerase

Received: December 27, 2016 Accepted: February 15, 2017 Published: March 17, 2017

**Copyright**: Li et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC BY 3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## ABSTRACT

Abnormal telomerase activity is implicated in cancer initiation and development. The rs2736100 T > G polymorphism in the telomerase reverse transcriptase (*TERT*) gene, which encodes the telomerase catalytic subunit, has been associated with increased cancer risk. We conducted a meta-analysis to more precisely assess this association. After a comprehensive literature search of the PubMed and EMBASE databases up to November 1, 2016, 61 articles with 72 studies comprising 108,248 cases and 161,472 controls were included in our meta-analysis. Studies were conducted on various cancer types. The TERT rs2736100 polymorphism was associated with increased overall cancer risk in five genetic models [homozygous model (GG vs. TT): odds ratio (OR) = 1.39, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) = 1.26-1.54, P < 0.001; heterozygous model (TG vs. TT): OR = 1.16, 95% CI = 1.11-1.23, P < 0.001; dominant model (TG + GG vs. TT): OR = 1.23, 95% CI = 1.15-1.31, P < 0.001; recessive model (GG vs. TG + TT): OR = 1.25, 95% CI = 1.16-1.35, P < 0.001; and allele contrast model (G vs. T): OR = 1.17, 95% CI = 1.12-1.23, P < 0.001]. A stratified analysis based on cancer type associated the polymorphism with elevated risk of thyroid cancer, bladder cancer, lung cancer, glioma, myeloproliferative neoplasms, and acute myeloid leukemia. Our results confirm that the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism confers increased overall cancer risk.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Cancer is a major public health problem worldwide, with an estimated 14.1 million new cancer cases and 8.2 million deaths in 2012 [1]. Carcinogenesis is a complex process, influenced by various genetic and environmental factors, such as smoking, poor diet, physical inactivity, reproductive changes and the growth and aging of the population [1, 2]. Telomeres, composed of the TTAGGG repeat sequence, are special chromatin structures located at each end of a chromosome. Telomeres maintain chromosomal integrity by protecting chromosome ends from DNA damage and end-to-end fusions [3]. Abnormally short telomeres may cause chromosomal instability, and consequentially contribute to cancer development. Telomerase (also known as terminal transferase), a reverse transcriptase enzyme, extends the 3' end of chromosomal DNA by catalyzing the telomere synthesis reaction. Defects in telomerase activity have been observed in many human tumor cells, and telomere length was inversely associated with cancer incidence and mortality [4]. Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT), the telomerase catalytic subunit, maintains telomere stability [5]. In a previous genome-wide association study (GWAS), Shete, *et al.* discovered that certain *TERT* gene variants increase glioma susceptibility [6]. Since then, *TERT* variants have been associated with various cancers, including breast, lung, colorectal, ovarian, prostate, and gastric cancers [7, 8].

The TERT gene is located in 5p15.33. The rs2736100 T > G polymorphism in the second intron of the *TERT* gene has been associated with shortened telomere length in gastric cancer [9]. The association of this SNP with cancer susceptibility has been extensively explored, although the findings are as yet inconclusive. Several metaanalyses published in 2014 associated the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism with increased glioma and lung cancer susceptibility [10-14]. In 2012, Zou, et al. observed an association between this polymorphism and overall cancer risk [15], although their meta-analysis involved only 11 articles. However, between 2015 and 2016, more than 27 studies were published with large sample sizes [9, 16-37]. Thus, we performed an updated meta-analysis to more precisely assess the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism-cancer association, including 72 studies derived from 61 articles with 269,720 total subjects [6, 9, 16-74].

# **RESULTS**

## **Study characteristics**

We initially identified 432 records from the PubMed and EMBASE databases (Figure 1). After screening titles and abstracts, 268 articles were excluded and the full texts of the remaining 164 articles were further assessed. Articles were excluded for the following reasons: irrelevant association (87 articles), meta-analysis (7), and lacking sufficient raw data for further evaluation (12). Three additional articles were identified by manually screening the references of relevant articles. Finally, 72 studies extracted from 61 articles met our study inclusion criteria and were included in the current meta-analysis [6, 9, 16-74].

In most of the included studies, the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism genotypic distribution followed Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in controls, except for seven studies [6, 28, 43, 51, 63, 66, 72]. Since the genotype distributions of other polymorphisms were in compliance with HWE in these seven studies, we included these studies in the meta-analysis. In total, 72 studies with 108,248 cases and 161,472 controls were included in our pooled analysis. Studies were conducted on various cancer types, including lung (28 studies), glioma (5), colorectal (4), bladder (4), myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) (4), gastric (3), acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (2), breast (2), melanoma (2), and thyroid (2). The remaining 16 studies focused on different types of cancer, with one study for each type of cancer, and were grouped together as "other cancer" in our analyses. There were 37 studies conducted in Asians and 35 in Caucasians. Twenty-three studies included fewer than 500 controls, and 49 had 500 or more controls. Sixteen studies were categorized as low quality and 56 were high quality. The main characteristics of all the studies are summarized in Table 1.

Heterogeneity among studies was observed for all five genetic models. Consequently, the random effect model was applied to calculate odds ratios (ORs). Risk estimates indicated that the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism was associated with overall cancer risk via all five genetic models [homozygous model (GG vs. TT): OR=1.39, 95% confidence interval (CI)=1.26-1.54, P<0.001; heterozygous model (TG vs. TT): OR=1.16, 95% CI=1.11-1.23,  $P \le 0.001$ ; dominant model (TG + GG vs. TT): OR=1.23, 95% CI=1.15-1.31, P<0.001; recessive model (GG vs. TG + TT): OR=1.25, 95% CI=1.16-1.35, P<0.001; and allele contrast model (G vs. T): OR=1.17, 95% CI=1.12-1.23, P < 0.001 (Figure 2, Table 2)]. The stratified analysis by cancer type associated the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism with lung cancer risk (homozygous model: OR=1.60, 95% CI=1.49-1.71, P<0.001; heterozygous model: OR=1.25, 95% CI=1.20-1.31, P=0.008; dominant model: OR=1.33, 95% CI 1.26-1.39, P<0.001; recessive model: OR=1.40, 95% CI=1.32-1.48, P<0.001; and allele contrast model: OR=1.24, 95% CI=1.17-1.31, P<0.001). This polymorphism was also associated with increased risk for thyroid cancer, bladder cancer, glioma, MPN and AML. Inversely, the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism was associated with decreased colorectal cancer risk (homozygous model: OR=0.86, 95% CI=0.82-0.91, P=0.512; dominant model: OR=0.94, 95% CI=0.90-0.98, P=0.970; recessive model: OR=0.88, 95% CI=0.82-0.96, P=0.279; and allele contrast model: OR=0.93, 95% CI=0.90-0.96, P=0.548). Stratified analysis was also performed by patient ethnicity, sample size of controls, and quality score. Elevated cancer risk was found among Asians in all five genetic models and among Caucasians under all five genetic models except for the recessive model. Our results also associated the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism with elevated overall cancer risk in all subgroups divided by sample size of controls and quality score in all the five genetic models.

## Heterogeneity and sensitivity analyses

Heterogeneity was detected amongst studies with respect to the association between the *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphism and overall cancer risk (homozygous model: P<0.001; heterozygous model: P<0.001; dominant model: P<0.001; recessive model: P<0.001; and allele contrast model: P<0.001). Therefore, we used the random effects model to generate pooled ORs and 95% CIs. Sensitivity analyses indicated that no single study could change the between-study heterogeneity and the results of meta-analysis.

# **Publication bias**

The Begg's funnel plot and Egger's linear regression analysis did not reveal any evidence of publication bias in the meta-analysis (homozygous model: P=0.183;

heterozygous model: *P*=0.805; dominant model: *P*=0.406; recessive model: *P*=0.085; and allele model: *P*=0.122; Figure 3).

#### False positive report probability (FPRP) analyses

We calculated FPRP values for associations between the *TERT* rs2736100 T>G polymorphism and overall cancer risk using the five genetic models. FPRP values were all <0.20, suggesting that these associations were noteworthy (Table 3).

# DISCUSSION

Telomeres are special structures at the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes, and are responsible for protecting chromosomes from degradation, end-to-end fusion, and rearrangement [10]. Telomerase maintains proper telomere length by adding repetitive telomeric sequences to the 3' ends of telomeres. Abnormal telomerase activity is implicated in the initiation and development of cancer and other age-associated diseases [75]. The TERT subunit of telomerase consists of three highly conserved domains: the RNA-binding domain (TRBD), the reverse transcriptase domain, and a carboxy-putative extension (CTE) proposed to constitute the putative thumb domain [75]. TERT is overexpressed in many human cancers [76]. The *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphism, localized in the second intron of the *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphism was not clear. Preliminary studies in gastric cancer suggested that this SNP is associated with decreased telomere length [9].

The present meta-analysis, comprising 108,248 cases and 161,472 controls, found that the *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphism increased overall cancer risk by 16–39%, suggesting that this SNP may contribute to carcinogenesis. A previous meta-analysis conducted by Zou, *et al.* in 2012 [15] also concluded that this



Figure 1: Flowchart of articles included in our meta-analysis.

Table 1:	The main	characteristics	of all	the studies	included	in the	meta-analysis
----------	----------	-----------------	--------	-------------	----------	--------	---------------

Surname	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Cancer type	Cases	Cases Controls				HWE	Score			
					All	TT	TG	GG	All	TT	TG	GG		
Zhang	2016	China	Asian	NC	855	265	428	162	1036	365	516	155	0.211	13
Yuan	2016	China	Asian	UTUC	212	83	81	48	289	86	144	59	0.928	10
Xing	2016	China	Asian	Lung cancer	418	216	164	38	410	268	124	18	0.452	10
Wang	2016	China	Asian	Lung cancer	500	131	257	112	500	178	242	80	0.881	11
Trifa	2016	Romania	Caucasian	MPN	529	76	255	198	433	124	213	96	0.802	13
Krahling 1	2016	Hungary	Caucasian	PMN	584	77	282	225	400	111	188	101	0.235	8
Krahling 2	2016	Hungary	Caucasian	CML	86	25	43	18	400	111	188	101	0.235	8
Krahling 3	2016	Hungary	Caucasian	AML	308	71	153	84	400	111	188	101	0.235	7
Gong	2016	China	Asian	Thyroid cancer	452	142	214	96	452	156	222	74	0.738	11
Ge	2016	China	Asian	Thyroid cancer	2300	644	1093	563	2300	875	1056	369	0.093	12
Dahlstrom 1	2016	Sweden	Caucasian	MPN	126	15	64	47	756	167	377	212	0.980	9
Dahlstrom 2	2016	China	Asian	MPN	101	17	52	32	101	33	50	18	0.722	8
Bayram	2016	Turkey	Caucasian	Gastric cancer	104	16	44	44	209	61	82	66	0.002	9
Li	2016	China	Asian	Lung cancer	391	109	201	81	337	117	159	61	0.587	9
Shiraishi	2016	Japan	Asian	Lung cancer	6830	2057	3386	1387	15155	5723	7133	2299	0.323	13
Wei	2015	China	Asian	Lung cancer	702	190	353	159	2520	814	1269	437	0.130	12
Shadrina 1	2015	Russia	Caucasian	Prostate cancer	360	102	183	75	358	105	165	88	0.150	11
Shadrina 2	2015	Russia	Caucasian	Breast cancer	642	192	310	140	523	132	280	111	0.097	12
Mosrati	2015	Sweden	Caucasian	AML	226	48	113	65	788	201	406	181	0.382	10
Liu	2015	China	Asian	Lung cancer	288	72	139	77	317	92	173	52	0.052	9
Du	2015	China	Asian	Gastric cancer	1105	360	557	188	994	346	464	184	0.197	11
de Martino	2015	Austria	Caucasian	RCC	241	61	120	60	375	97	181	97	0.502	10
Choi	2015	South Korea	Asian	Gastric cancer	243	34	107	102	246	38	122	86	0.625	8
Campa	2015	Germany	Caucasian	Pancreatic cancer	1724	445	861	418	3512	817	1763	932	0.764	13
Campa	2015	Germany	Caucasian	Multiple myeloma	2052	535	958	559	2633	634	1285	714	0.237	13
Adel Fahmideh	2015	Sweden	Caucasian	Brain tumor	240	61	103	76	478	109	256	113	0.120	12
Yin	2014	China	Asian	Lung cancer	524	139	273	112	524	186	255	83	0.777	11
Wang	2014	China	Asian	Lung cancer	1552	455	764	333	1605	549	780	276	0.971	12
Liorca-Cardenosa	2014	Spain	Caucasian	Melanoma	629	146	297	186	371	94	177	100	0.380	9
Zhao	2013	China	Asian	Lung cancer	1759	596	1163ª	1163ª	1804	674	1130 <sup>a</sup>	1130ª	/	9
Sheng	2013	China	Asian	ALL	569	178	270	121	656	233	323	100	0.490	13
Pellatt	2013	USA	Caucasian	Breast cancer	3698	1450	1934	314	3534	1179	1674	681	0.047	11
Pellatt 1	2013	USA	Caucasian	Colon cancer	1555	410	798	347	1956	493	956	507	0.321	12
Pellatt 2	2013	USA	Caucasian	Rectal cancer	754	214	356	184	959	270	465	224	0.386	12
Myneni	2013	China	Asian	Lung cancer	352	122	141	89	447	157	212	78	0.659	8
Ma	2013	China	Asian	Bladder Cancer	177	55	87	35	961	340	455	166	0.516	10
Lan	2013	China	Asian	Lung cancer	193	43	109	41	197	70	103	24	0.137	9
Wang	2012	China	Asian	Cervical Cancer	1010	322	462	226	1006	352	480	174	0.637	11

(Continued)

Surname	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Cancer type	Cases		Controls						HWE	Score
					All	TT	TG	GG	All	TT	TG	GG	•	
Rajaraman <sup>b</sup>	2012	USA	Caucasian	Glioma	1854	/	/	/	4949	/	/	/	/	12
Ito	2012	Japan	Asian	Lung cancer	716	248	340	128	716	279	329	108	0.496	12
Hofer	2012	Austria	Caucasian	Colorectal cancer	137	38	68	31	1705	458	859	388	0.700	11
Chen	2012	China	Asian	Lung cancer	196	45	101	50	229	69	112	48	0.838	10
Shiraishi	2012	Japan	Asian	Lung cancer	4648	1386	2265	997	12364	4650	5856	1858	0.838	13
Bae	2012	Korea	Asian	Lung cancer	1094	402	501	191	1100	422	522	156	0.790	10
Pande <sup>b</sup>	2011	USA	Caucasian	Lung cancer	1681	/	/	/	1235	/	/	/	/	10
Nan 1	2011	USA	Caucasian	Melanoma	210	55	91	64	831	215	399	217	0.252	11
Nan 2	2011	USA	Caucasian	SCC	277	57	125	95	831	215	399	217	0.252	11
Nan 3	2011	USA	Caucasian	BCC	274	68	116	90	831	215	399	217	0.252	11
Kohno	2011	Japan	Asian	Lung cancer	377	142	175	53	325	116	165	39	0.090	9
Hu	2011	China	Asian	Lung cancer	8559	2393	4294	1872	9378	3231	4533	1614	0.724	13
Ding	2011	China	Asian	HC	1269	428	633	208	1322	449	651	222	0.591	12
Chen	2011	China	Asian	Glioma	953	244	515	194	1036	334	542	160	0.014	10
Jaworowsk 1	2011	Poland	Caucasian	Lung cancer	855	247	403	205	844	263	425	156	0.494	11
Jaworowsk 2	2011	Poland	Caucasian	Bladder Cancer	431	134	216	81	439	134	226	79	0.335	10
Jaworowsk 3	2011	Poland	Caucasian	Laryngeal cancer	413	124	211	78	406	130	199	77	0.956	10
Gago-Dominguez 1	2011	USA	Caucasian	Bladder Cancer	471	86	239	146	547	127	262	158	0.361	11
Gago-Dominguez 2	2011	USA	Asian	Bladder Cancer	499	141	260	98	525	174	274	77	0.064	10
Wang	2010	UK	Caucasian	Lung cancer	239	42	115	82	553	136	259	158	0.146	8
Turnbull	2010	UK	Caucasian	TGCT	1588	520	767	301	7683	1904	3718	2061	0.005	10
Miki	2010	Japan	Asian	Lung cancer	2086	622	1048	416	1103	4093	5246	1695	0.835	13
Kohno	2010	Japan	Asian	Lung cancer	1656	488	796	372	968	373	460	135	0.719	13
Hsiung	2010	China	Asian	Lung cancer	2308	599	1187	522	2321	852	1132	337	0.211	12
Yoon	2010	Korea	Asian	Lung cancer	1425	467	696	262	3011	1187	1405	419	0.921	11
Truong 1	2010	France	Caucasian	Lung cancer	9126	1878	4526	2722	11812	2853	5817	3142	0.116	13
Truong 2	2010	France	Asian	Lung cancer	1686	538	836	312	2101	775	1014	312	0.506	12
Schoemaker	2010	UK	Caucasian	Glioma	216	30	114	72	241	54	127	60	0.397	9
Shete	2009	USA	Caucasian	Glioma	4344	781	2213	1350	6457	1623	3122	1712	0.008	11
Landi <sup>b</sup>	2009	USA	Caucasian	Lung cancer	5739	/	/	/	5848	/	/	/	/	11
Jin	2009	China	Asian	Lung cancer	1212	353	627	232	1339	450	658	231	0.719	13
Wrensch	2009	USA	Caucasian	Glioma	691	95	354	242	3981	1021	1904	1056	0.006	12

Abbreviations: ESCC: esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; NC: nasopharyngeal carcinoma; UTUC: upper tract urothelial carcinoma; MPN: myeloproliferative neoplasms; CML: chronic myeloid leukemia; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; RCC: renal cell carcinoma; ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma; BCC: basal cell carcinoma; HC: hepatocellular carcinoma; TGCT: testicular germ cell tumor; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium a: Number of cases and controls for TG and GG genotypers. b: The allele frequence in the three studies was provided to estimate the association under allele contrast model (G vs. T).

Study
ID

Study ID	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
Zhou (2016)	1 35 (1 14 1 58)	1.42
Zhang (2016)	1.18 (1.04, 1.35)	1.51
Yuan (2016)	0.86 (0.67, 1.11)	1.15
Xing (2016)	1.66 (1.32, 2.09)	1.23
Wang (2016) Trife (2016)	1.38 (1.15, 1.65)	1.38
Krahling 1 (2016)	1.82(1.52, 2.18) 1 76 (1 47 2 12)	1.37
Krahling 2 (2016)	0.89 (0.64, 1.24)	0.94
Krahling 3 (2016)	1.14 (0.93, 1.41)	1.28
Gong (2016)	1.18 (0.98, 1.42)	1.35
Ge (2016)	1.46 (1.34, 1.58)	1.62
Dahlstrom 1 (2016) Dahlstrom 2 (2016)	1.49(1.13, 1.96) 1.82(1.23, 2.70)	1.09
Bavram (2016)	1.62 (1.23, 2.70)	0.92
Li (2016)	1.21 (0.98, 1.49)	1.29
Shiraishi (2016)	1.30 (1.25, 1.36)	1.68
Wei (2015)	1.24 (1.10, 1.39)	1.54
Shadrina 1 (2015)	0.95 (0.77, 1.16)	1.29
Shadrina 2 (2015) Mograti (2015)	1.22(0.99, 1.09)	1.42
Lin (2015)	1.33 (1.06, 1.67)	1.23
Du (2015)	1.02(0.90, 1.15)	1.53
de Martino (2015)	0.99 (0.79, 1.25)	1.23
Choi (2015)	1.20 (0.92, 1.55)	1.14
Campa (2015)	0.91 (0.84, 0.98)	1.62
Campa (2015)	0.96 (0.89, 1.05)	1.62
Vin (2014)	1.11(0.89, 1.39) 1.34(1.13, 1.60)	1.25
Wang (2014)	1.20 (1.09, 1.33)	1.58
Liorca–Cardenosa (2014)	1.10 (0.92, 1.32)	1.37
Sheng (2013)	1.23 (1.05, 1.45)	1.43
Pellatt (2013)	0.70 (0.66, 0.75)	1.64
Pellatt 1 (2013)	0.91 (0.83, 1.00)	1.59
Myneni (2013)	1.02(0.89, 1.16) 1 18 (0 97 1 45)	1.30
Mynchi (2013) Ma (2013)	1.15 (0.91, 1.44)	1.23
Lan (2013)	1.58 (1.19, 2.10)	1.06
Wang (2012)	1.18 (1.04, 1.34)	1.52
Rajaraman (2012)	1.32 (1.22, 1.42)	1.63
Kinnersley (2012)	0.93 (0.90, 0.96)	1.69
Ito (2012) Hofer (2012)	1.10(1.00, 1.35) 0.98(0.77, 1.25)	1.40
Chen (2012)	1.26 (0.97, 1.66)	1.10
Shiraishi (2012)	1.34 (1.28, 1.40)	1.67
Bae (2012)	1.11 (0.98, 1.25)	1.53
Pande (2011)	1.14 (1.02, 1.26)	1.57
Nan 1 (2011)	1.08 (0.88, 1.34)	1.27
Nan 2 (2011)	1.31(1.08, 1.39) 1.17(0.96, 1.42)	1.33
Kohno (2011)	1.00 (0.80, 1.24)	1.26
Hu (2011)	1.25 (1.20, 1.31)	1.68
Ding (2011)	1.00 (0.89, 1.11)	1.56
Chen (2011)	1.26 (1.11, 1.43)	1.52
Jaworowsk 1 (2011)	1.17(1.02, 1.34) 1.00(0.83, 1.21)	1.50
Jaworowsk 3 (2011)	1.00 (0.85, 1.21)	1.34
Gago-Dominguez 1 (2011)	1.15 (0.97, 1.37)	1.39
Gago–Dominguez 2 (2011)	1.22 (1.03, 1.46)	1.39
Wang (2010)	1.29 (1.04, 1.61)	1.26
Turnbull (2010)	0.73 (0.67, 0.79)	1.63
Miki (2010) Kohno (2010)	1.28(1.19, 1.30) 1.44(1.28, 1.61)	1.04
Hsiung (2010)	1.47 (1.23, 1.61)	1.62
Yoon (2010)	1.26 (1.15, 1.38)	1.60
Truong 1 (2010)	1.15 (1.10, 1.19)	1.68
Truong 2 (2010)	1.20 (1.09, 1.31)	1.60
Schoemaker (2010)	1.41 (1.09, 1.83) 1.27 (1.20, 1.24)	1.13
Landi (2009)	0.88(0.83, 0.92)	1.67
Jin (2009)	1.14 (1.02, 1.27)	1.56
Wrensch (2009)	1.51 (1.35, 1.70)	1.54
Overall (I-squared = $93.4\%$ , p = 0.000)	1.17 (1.12, 1.23)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
.37 1	2.7	

Figure 2: Forest plot of the association between the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism and overall cancer susceptibility in the allele contrast model.

Variables	iables Homozyg			us Heterozygous				Recessive			nant		Allele			
-	GG vs	GG vs. TT TG vs. TT GG vs. (TG + TT) (TG +G		) vs. TT		G										
	OR (95% CI)	Phet	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	OR (95% CI)	Phet	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	OR (95% CI)	Phet	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	OR (95% CI)	Phet	[ <sup>2</sup> (%)	OR (95% CI)	Phet	I <sup>2</sup> (%)	
All	1.39 (1.26-1.54)	< 0.001	93.3	1.16 (1.11-1.23)	< 0.001	80.0	1.25 (1.16-1.35)	< 0.001	91.1	1.23 (1.15-1.31)	< 0.001	88.9	1.17 (1.12-1.23)	< 0.001	93.4	
Cancer type																
Lung	1.60 (1.49-1.71)	< 0.001	65.7	1.25 (1.20-1.31)	0.008	45.5	1.40 (1.32-1.48)	< 0.001	61.2	1.33 (1.26-1.39)	< 0.001	58.6	1.24 (1.17-1.31)	< 0.001	89.4	
MPN	3.17 (2.51-4.00)	0.854	0.0	2.03 (1.64-2.51)	0.972	0.0	1.89 (1.59-2.24)	0.616	0.0	2.40 (1.97-2.94)	0.957	0.0	1.74 (1.56-1.95)	0.679	0.0	
AML	1.40 (1.04-1.88)	0.631	0.0	1.22 (0.94-1.59)	0.744	0.0	1.23 (0.97-1.56)	0.411	0.0	1.28 (1.00-1.64)	0.970	0.0	1.18 (1.02-1.37)	0.658	0.0	
Thyroid	1.79 (1.25-2.56)	0.076	68.3	1.26 (0.96-1.65)	0.085	66.2	1.62 (1.37-1.92)	0.266	19.3	1.38 (1.02-1.88)	0.041	76.0	1.33 (1.08-1.64)	0.040	76.4	
Gastric	1.39 (0.82-2.33)	0.028	72.1	1.22 (0.90-1.66)	0.204	37.2	1.19 (0.83-1.70)	0.044	68.1	1.31 (0.90-1.90)	0.085	59.4	1.22 (0.94-1.58)	0.023	73.5	
Breast	0.56 (0.25-1.28)	< 0.001	95.0	0.88 (0.73-1.07)	0.158	49.8	0.63 (0.24-1.64)	< 0.001	97.3	0.78 (0.71-0.85)	0.892	0.0	0.80 (0.61-1.04)	0.003	88.8	
Melanoma	1.18 (0.90-1.54)	0.890	0.0	1.00 (0.78-1.27)	0.444	0.0	1.18 (0.95-1.47)	0.700	0.0	1.06 (0.85-1.33)	0.570	0.0	1.09 (0.95-1.26)	0.922	0.0	
Colorectal	0.86 (0.82-0.91)	0.512	0.0	0.98 (0.93-1.03)	0.989	0.0	0.88 (0.82-0.96)	0.279	21.9	0.94 (0.90-0.98)	0.970	0.0	0.93 (0.90-0.96)	0.548	0.0	
Bladder	1.31 (1.08-1.59)	0.481	0.0	1.15 (0.98-1.34)	0.498	0.0	1.18 (1.00-1.39)	0.598	0.0	1.19 (1.02-1.38)	0.436	0.0	1.13 (1.03-1.25)	0.507	0.0	
Glioma	1.89 (1.52-2.35)	0.028	67.0	1.55 (1.30-1.84)	0.055	60.0	1.35 (1.21-1.49)	0.241	28.5	1.65 (1.37-1.99)	0.020	69.4	1.33 (1.25-1.42)	0.089	50.4	
Others	1.09 (0.89-1.32)	< 0.001	86.7	0.97 (0.88-1.07)	0.002	58.4	1.11 (0.95-1.29)	< 0.001	84.3	1.01 (0.89-1.13)	< 0.001	78.2	1.04 (0.94-1.15)	< 0.001	87.0	
Ethnicity																
Asian	1.56 (1.46-1.67)	< 0.001	65.0	1.22 (1.17-1.28)	0.001	49.5	1.39 (1.32-1.46)	< 0.001	50.4	1.30 (1.28-1.36)	< 0.001	62.1	1.25 (1.20-1.29)	< 0.001	67.7	
Caucasian	1.22 (1.04-1.44)	< 0.001	94.4	1.12 (1.02-1.22)	< 0.001	83.6	1.11 (0.99-1.25)	< 0.001	92.5	1.16 (1.04-1.29)	< 0.001	90.7	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	< 0.001	94.2	
Sample Size																
$\geq 500$	1.34 (1.19-1.51)	< 0.001	95.1	1.16 (1.09-1.23)	< 0.001	83.7	1.22 (1.11-1.33)	< 0.001	93.6	1.21 (1.13-1.30)	< 0.001	91.5	1.15 (1.09-1.22)	< 0.001	95.1	
<500	1.52 (1.26-1.82)	< 0.001	72.5	1.19 (1.04-1.37)	< 0.001	66.2	1.34 (1.19-1.51)	0.001	55.1	1.29 (1.11-1.49)	< 0.001	73.3	1.23 (1.12-1.35)	< 0.001	74.1	
Score																
High	1.33 (1.18-1.48)	< 0.001	94.5	1.15 (1.09-1.21)	< 0.001	82.7	1.22 (1.12-1.33)	< 0.001	92.8	1.20 (1.12-1.28)	< 0.001	90.8	1.15 (1.09-1.21)	< 0.001	94.5	
Low	1.72 (1.40-2.10)	0.001	60.5	1.30 (1.10-1.54)	0.003	57.0	1.41 (1.26-1.59)	0.154	27.4	1.40 (1.20-1.63)	< 0.001	65.7	1.30 (1.18-1.43)	< 0.001	60.5	

Table 2: Meta-analysis of *TERT* rs2736100 T>G polymorphism on cancer risk

Abbreviations: MPN, Myeloproliferative neoplasms; AML, Acute myeloid leukemia



www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget

Figure 3: Funnel plot analysis to evaluate publication bias.

Table 3: False-positive report probability values for associations between the *TERT* rs2736100 T>G polymorphism and overall cancer risk

Genetic models	OR (95% CI)	Р	Power	Prior Probability					
				0.25	0.1	0.01	0.001	0.0001	0.00001
Homozygous (GG vs. TT)	1.39 (1.26-1.54)	< 0.001	0.555	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Heterozygous (TG vs. TT)	1.16 (1.11-1.23)	< 0.001	0.872	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.008	0.073
Recessive (GG vs. TG + TT)	1.25 (1.16-1.35)	< 0.001	0.841	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002
Dominant (TG +GG vs. TT)	1.23 (1.15-1.31)	< 0.001	0.957	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Allele (G vs. T)	1.17 (1.12-1.23)	< 0.001	0.839	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

polymorphism was associated with increased cancer risk. However, this analysis included only 11 case-control articles with 23,032 cases and 38,274 controls, which studied only lung cancer, glioma, and bladder cancer. Our stratified analysis by cancer type showed that the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism correlated with increased risk of lung cancer and glioma. Such associations were also observed in lung cancer- and glioma-specific metaanalyses published in 2014 [10-15, 77]. Between 2015 and 2016, at least 27 studies (6 studies on lung cancer) were published investigating the association between the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism and overall cancer susceptibility. To the best of our knowledge, ours is the largest meta-analysis of this association, with the strongest statistical power. Apart from lung cancer, glioma, and bladder cancer, our meta-analysis also investigated the association between the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism and risk of colorectal cancer (4 studies), MPN (4), gastric cancer (3), AML (2), breast cancer (2), melanoma (2), and thyroid cancer (2) as well as "other cancers" (16). We observed that this polymorphism was associated with decreased colorectal cancer risk. Since only four colorectal cancer studies were included in our meta-analysis, such an association might be a false positive, and validation will require further study.

The current meta-analysis had several limitations. First, there were substantial heterogeneities in the pooled study investigating the association between the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism and overall cancer risk. We reduced the degree of heterogeneity through stratified analyses by cancer type, patient ethnicity, sample size, and study quality score. Some cross-study heterogeneity might be attributed to differences among ethnic groups [78]. However, other sources of heterogeneity were not identified, such as control sources and genotyping methods. Second, the studies in this meta-analysis focused on Asian and Caucasian populations only, so we may not have had sufficient statistical power to evaluate associations based on ethnicity. Third, our results were based on unadjusted ORs due to the unavailability of confounding factor information for cases and controls (e.g., age, sex, smoking status, drinking status, and

environmental exposure). Finally, lacking the original data from eligible studies limited our ability to explore geneenvironment interactions.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis indicated that the *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphism was associated with increased overall cancer risk, especially lung cancer risk. Larger studies involving patients of different ethnicities are needed to confirm our findings.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Identification of eligible studies**

A comprehensive literature search of the PubMed and EMBASE databases was performed up to November 1, 2016. To find all eligible case-control studies that assessed the association between the *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphism and cancer risk, we used the following keywords: "TERT or telomerase reverse transcriptase", "polymorphism or variant", and "cancer or tumor or neoplasm or carcinoma". We also evaluated additional studies by manually screening the references of both primary articles and reviews.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Eligible studies included in our analysis met the following criteria: (i) the *TERT* rs2736100 polymorphismcancer risk association was assessed; (ii) case-control studies or cohort studies; (iii) sufficient data to calculate an OR with 95% CI; (iv) studies in English. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) case only studies; (ii) overlapping publications; (iii) abstract, case report, editorial comment, and review. Studies that deviated from HWE in controls were excluded, unless further evidence showed that another polymorphism was in HWE.

## **Data extraction**

Two investigators independently extracted available data from each eligible study. The following information was collected: first author's surname, year of publication, country of origin, patient ethnicity, cancer type, numbers of cases and controls, genotype counts of cases and controls, results of the HWE test, and quality scores (low quality studies with score  $\leq 9$ , high quality studies with score >9) [79]. Any disagreements were solved by discussion until a consensus was reached between the two investigators. If no consensus was reached, another investigator joined the discussion, and a final decision was made by a majority.

#### **FPRP** analysis

FPRP values were applied to assess the statistical power of our significant findings [80, 81]. An FPRP value of 0.20 was set as the criterion for noteworthiness. A prior probability of 0.1 was assigned to detect an OR of 0.67/1.50 (protective/risk effects) for an association with genotypes under investigation.

#### Statistical analysis

HWE in control subjects was assessed by chisquared test. The strength of association between the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism and cancer risk was estimated by calculating crude ORs and their 95% CIs using all five genetic models: homozygous (GG vs. TT), heterozygous (TG vs. TT), dominant (GG vs. TG + TT), and recessive (TG + GG vs. TT), as well as the allele contrast model (G vs. T). Q-test was used to quantify heterogeneity among all eligible studies, and P>0.10 suggested a lack of heterogeneity among studies. Generally, the fixed effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method) or the random effects model (DerSimonian-Laird method) was employed in the absence ( $P \ge 0.10$ ) or presence (P < 0.10) of heterogeneity, respectively [82-84]. Heterogeneity was also estimated using the I<sup>2</sup> test [85]. Subgroup analyses were conducted by patient ethnicity, cancer type, and study sample size. The Begg's funnel plot and the Egger's linear regression test were used to evaluate publication bias [86]. All statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 12.0 software (STATA Corporation, College Station, TX). All statistical analyses were two-sided. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015; 65: 87-108. doi: 10.3322/caac.21262.
- Song M, Lee KM, Kang D. Breast cancer prevention based on gene-environment interaction. Mol Carcinog. 2011; 50: 280-90. doi: 10.1002/mc.20639.

- Blasco MA. Telomeres and human disease: ageing, cancer and beyond. Nat Rev Genet. 2005; 6: 611-22. doi: 10.1038/ nrg1656.
- Willeit P, Willeit J, Mayr A, Weger S, Oberhollenzer F, Brandstatter A, Kronenberg F, Kiechl S. Telomere length and risk of incident cancer and cancer mortality. JAMA. 2010; 304: 69-75. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.897.
- Blackburn EH. Switching and signaling at the telomere. Cell. 2001; 106: 661-73.
- Shete S, Hosking FJ, Robertson LB, Dobbins SE, Sanson M, Malmer B, Simon M, Marie Y, Boisselier B, Delattre JY, Hoang-Xuan K, El Hallani S, Idbaih A, et al. Genomewide association study identifies five susceptibility loci for glioma. Nat Genet. 2009; 41: 899-904. doi: 10.1038/ng.407.
- Zhang C, Doherty JA, Burgess S, Hung RJ, Lindstrom S, Kraft P, Gong J, Amos CI, Sellers TA, Monteiro AN, Chenevix-Trench G, Bickeboller H, Risch A, et al. Genetic determinants of telomere length and risk of common cancers: a Mendelian randomization study. Hum Mol Genet. 2015; 24: 5356-66. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddv252.
- Liu T, Yuan X, Xu D. Cancer-Specific Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (TERT) Promoter Mutations: Biological and Clinical Implications. Genes (Basel). 2016; 7. doi: 10.3390/ genes7070038.
- Choi BJ, Yoon JH, Kim O, Choi WS, Nam SW, Lee JY, Park WS. Influence of the hTERT rs2736100 polymorphism on telomere length in gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21: 9328-36. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i31.9328.
- Zhou P, Wei L, Xia X, Shao N, Qian X, Yang Y. Association between telomerase reverse transcriptase rs2736100 polymorphism and risk of glioma. J Surg Res. 2014; 191: 156-60. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2014.03.043.
- Yuan Y, Lu C, Xue L, Ge D. Association between TERT rs2736100 polymorphism and lung cancer susceptibility: evidence from 22 case-control studies. Tumour Biol. 2014; 35: 4435-42. doi: 10.1007/s13277-013-1583-4.
- 12. Yang J, Jiao S. Increased lung cancer risk associated with the TERT rs2736100 polymorphism: an updated metaanalysis. Tumour Biol. 2014; 35: 5763-9. doi: 10.1007/ s13277-014-1765-8.
- Wu H, Zhu R. Quantitative assessment of common genetic variants on chromosome 5p15 and lung cancer risk. Tumour Biol. 2014; 35: 6055-63. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-1802-7.
- Nie W, Zang Y, Chen J, Xiu Q. TERT rs2736100 polymorphism contributes to lung cancer risk: a metaanalysis including 49,869 cases and 73,464 controls. Tumour Biol. 2014; 35: 5569-74. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-1734-2.
- Zou P, Gu A, Ji G, Zhao L, Zhao P, Lu A. The TERT rs2736100 polymorphism and cancer risk: a meta-analysis based on 25 case-control studies. BMC Cancer. 2012; 12: 7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-7.
- 16. Zhou L, Fu G, Wei J, Shi J, Pan W, Ren Y, Xiong X, Xia J, Shen Y, Li H, Yang M. The identification of two regulatory ESCC susceptibility genetic variants in the

TERT-CLPTM1L loci. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 5495-506. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.6747.

- 17. Zhang Y, Zhang X, Zhang H, Zhai Y, Wang Z, Li P, Yu L, Xia X, Zhang Y, Zeng Y, He F, Zhou G. Common variations in TERT-CLPTM1L locus are reproducibly associated with the risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Chinese populations. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 759-70. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.6397.
- Yuan X, Meng Y, Li P, Ge N, Kong F, Yang L, Bjorkholm M, Zhao S, Xu D. The association between the TERT rs2736100 AC genotype and reduced risk of upper tract urothelial carcinomas in a Han Chinese population. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 31972–31979. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.7777.
- Xing YL, Liu F, Li JF, Lin JC, Zhu GD, Li M, Zhang CR, Niu YY. Case-Control Study on Impact of the Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase Gene Polymorphism and Additional Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP)- SNP Interaction on Non-Small Cell Lung Cancers Risk in Chinese Han Population. J Clin Lab Anal. 2016. doi: 10.1002/jcla.21982.
- Wang X, Ma K, Chi L, Cui J, Jin L, Hu JF, Li W. Combining Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase Genetic Variant rs2736100 with Epidemiologic Factors in the Prediction of Lung Cancer Susceptibility. J Cancer. 2016; 7: 846-53. doi: 10.7150/jca.13437.
- 21. Trifa AP, Banescu C, Tevet M, Bojan A, Dima D, Urian L, Torok-Vistai T, Popov VM, Zdrenghea M, Petrov L, Vasilache A, Murat M, Georgescu D, et al. TERT rs2736100 A>C SNP and JAK2 46/1 haplotype significantly contribute to the occurrence of JAK2 V617F and CALR mutated myeloproliferative neoplasms a multicentric study on 529 patients. Br J Haematol. 2016; 174: 218-26. doi: 10.1111/ bjh.14041.
- 22. Shiraishi K, Okada Y, Takahashi A, Kamatani Y, Momozawa Y, Ashikawa K, Kunitoh H, Matsumoto S, Takano A, Shimizu K, Goto A, Tsuta K, Watanabe S, et al. Association of variations in HLA class II and other loci with susceptibility to EGFR-mutated lung adenocarcinoma. Nat Commun. 2016; 7: 12451. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12451.
- Li X, Xu X, Fang J, Wang L, Mu Y, Zhang P, Yao Z, Ma Z, Liu Z. Rs2853677 modulates Snail1 binding to the TERT enhancer and affects lung adenocarcinoma susceptibility. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 37825–37838. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.9339.
- 24. Krahling T, Balassa K, Kiss KP, Bors A, Batai A, Halm G, Egyed M, Fekete S, Remenyi P, Masszi T, Tordai A, Andrikovics H. Co-occurrence of Myeloproliferative Neoplasms and Solid Tumors Is Attributed to a Synergism Between Cytoreductive Therapy and the Common TERT Polymorphism rs2736100. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2016; 25: 98-104. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-15-0805.
- 25. Gong L, Xu Y, Hu YQ, Ding QJ, Yi CH, Huang W, Zhou M. hTERT gene polymorphism correlates with the risk and the prognosis of thyroid cancer. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2016. doi: 10.3233/BMR-160631.

- 26. Ge M, Shi M, An C, Yang W, Nie X, Zhang J, Lv Z, Li J, Zhou L, Du Z, Yang M. Functional evaluation of TERT-CLPTM1L genetic variants associated with susceptibility of papillary thyroid carcinoma. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 26037. doi: 10.1038/srep26037.
- Dahlstrom J, Liu T, Yuan X, Saft L, Ghaderi M, Wei YB, Lavebratt C, Li P, Zheng C, Bjorkholm M, Xu D. TERT rs2736100 genotypes are associated with differential risk of myeloproliferative neoplasms in Swedish and Chinese male patient populations. Ann Hematol. 2016. doi: 10.1007/ s00277-016-2787-7.
- Bayram S, Ulger Y, Sumbul AT, Kaya BY, Genc A, Rencuzogullari E, Dadas E. Polymorphisms in human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) gene and susceptibility to gastric cancer in a Turkish population: Hospital-based case-control study. Gene. 2016; 585: 84-92. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2016.03.030.
- Wei R, Cao L, Pu H, Wang H, Zheng Y, Niu X, Weng X, Zhang H, Favus MJ, Zhang L, Jia W, Zeng Y, Amos CI, et al. TERT Polymorphism rs2736100-C Is Associated with EGFR Mutation-Positive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 21: 5173-80. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0009.
- Shadrina AS, Boyarskikh UA, Oskina NA, Sinkina TV, Lazarev AF, Petrova VD, Filipenko ML. TERT polymorphisms rs2853669 and rs7726159 influence on prostate cancer risk in Russian population. Tumour Biol. 2015; 36: 841-7. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-2688-0.
- Mosrati MA, Willander K, Falk IJ, Hermanson M, Hoglund M, Stockelberg D, Wei Y, Lotfi K, Soderkvist P. Association between TERT promoter polymorphisms and acute myeloid leukemia risk and prognosis. Oncotarget. 2015; 6: 25109-20. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.4668.
- 32. Liu SG, Ma L, Cen QH, Huang JS, Zhang JX, Zhang JJ. Association of genetic polymorphisms in TERT-CLPTM1L with lung cancer in a Chinese population. Genet Mol Res. 2015; 14: 4469-76. doi: 10.4238/2015.May.4.4.
- 33. Du J, Zhu X, Xie C, Dai N, Gu Y, Zhu M, Wang C, Gao Y, Pan F, Ren C, Ji Y, Dai J, Ma H, et al. Telomere length, genetic variants and gastric cancer risk in a Chinese population. Carcinogenesis. 2015; 36: 963-70. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgv075.
- 34. de Martino M, Taus C, Lucca I, Hofbauer SL, Haitel A, Shariat SF, Klatte T. Association of human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene polymorphisms, serum levels, and telomere length with renal cell carcinoma risk and pathology. Mol Carcinog. 2015. doi: 10.1002/mc.22388.
- 35. Campa D, Rizzato C, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Pacetti P, Vodicka P, Cleary SP, Capurso G, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Werner J, Gazouli M, Butterbach K, Ivanauskas A, Giese N, et al. TERT gene harbors multiple variants associated with pancreatic cancer susceptibility. Int J Cancer. 2015; 137: 2175-83. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29590.
- 36. Campa D, Martino A, Varkonyi J, Lesueur F, Jamroziak K, Landi S, Jurczyszyn A, Marques H, Andersen V,

Jurado M, Brenner H, Petrini M, Vogel U, et al. Risk of multiple myeloma is associated with polymorphisms within telomerase genes and telomere length. Int J Cancer. 2015; 136: E351-8. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29101.

- Adel Fahmideh M, Lavebratt C, Schuz J, Roosli M, Tynes T, Grotzer MA, Johansen C, Kuehni CE, Lannering B, Prochazka M, Schmidt LS, Feychting M. CCDC26, CDKN2BAS, RTEL1 and TERT Polymorphisms in pediatric brain tumor susceptibility. Carcinogenesis. 2015; 36: 876-82. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgv074.
- 38. Yin Z, Cui Z, Ren Y, Zhang H, Yan Y, Zhao Y, Ma R, Wang Q, He Q, Zhou B. Genetic polymorphisms of TERT and CLPTM1L, cooking oil fume exposure, and risk of lung cancer: a case-control study in a Chinese non-smoking female population. Med Oncol. 2014; 31: 114. doi: 10.1007/s12032-014-0114-5.
- Wang F, Fu P, Pang Y, Liu C, Shao Z, Zhu J, Li J, Wang T, Zhang X, Liu J. TERT rs2736100T/G polymorphism upregulates interleukin 6 expression in non-small cell lung cancer especially in adenocarcinoma. Tumour Biol. 2014; 35: 4667-72. doi: 10.1007/s13277-014-1611-z.
- Llorca-Cardenosa MJ, Pena-Chilet M, Mayor M, Gomez-Fernandez C, Casado B, Martin-Gonzalez M, Carretero G, Lluch A, Martinez-Cadenas C, Ibarrola-Villava M, Ribas G. Long telomere length and a TERT-CLPTM1 locus polymorphism association with melanoma risk. Eur J Cancer. 2014; 50: 3168-77. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2014.09.017.
- 41. Zhao Z, Li C, Yang L, Zhang X, Zhao X, Song X, Li X, Wang J, Qian J, Yang Y, Jin L, Chen H, Lu D. Significant association of 5p15.33 (TERT-CLPTM1L genes) with lung cancer in Chinese Han population. Exp Lung Res. 2013; 39: 91-8. doi: 10.3109/01902148.2012.762436.
- 42. Sheng X, Tong N, Tao G, Luo D, Wang M, Fang Y, Li J, Xu M, Zhang Z, Wu D. TERT polymorphisms modify the risk of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Chinese children. Carcinogenesis. 2013; 34: 228-35. doi: 10.1093/carcin/ bgs325.
- 43. Pellatt AJ, Wolff RK, Torres-Mejia G, John EM, Herrick JS, Lundgreen A, Baumgartner KB, Giuliano AR, Hines LM, Fejerman L, Cawthon R, Slattery ML. Telomere length, telomere-related genes, and breast cancer risk: the breast cancer health disparities study. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2013; 52: 595-609. doi: 10.1002/gcc.22056.
- Pellatt AJ, Wolff RK, Herrick J, Lundgreen A, Slattery ML. TERT's role in colorectal carcinogenesis. Mol Carcinog. 2013; 52: 507-13. doi: 10.1002/mc.21885.
- 45. Myneni AA, Chang SC, Niu R, Liu L, Ochs-Balcom HM, Li Y, Zhang C, Zhao B, Shi J, Han X, Li J, Su J, Cai L, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of TERT and CLPTM1L and risk of lung cancer--a case-control study in a Chinese population. Lung Cancer. 2013; 80: 131-7. doi: 10.1016/j. lungcan.2013.01.021.
- 46. Ma Z, Hu Q, Chen Z, Tao S, Macnamara L, Kim ST, Tian L, Xu K, Ding Q, Zheng SL, Sun J, Xia G, Xu J. Systematic evaluation of bladder cancer risk-associated

single-nucleotide polymorphisms in a Chinese population. Mol Carcinog. 2013; 52: 916-21. doi: 10.1002/mc.21932.

- 47. Lan Q, Cawthon R, Gao Y, Hu W, Hosgood HD 3rd, Barone-Adesi F, Ji BT, Bassig B, Chow WH, Shu X, Cai Q, Xiang Y, Berndt S, et al. Longer telomere length in peripheral white blood cells is associated with risk of lung cancer and the rs2736100 (CLPTM1L-TERT) polymorphism in a prospective cohort study among women in China. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e59230. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0059230.
- Wang S, Wu J, Hu L, Ding C, Kan Y, Shen Y, Chen X, Shen H, Guo X, Hu Z. Common genetic variants in TERT contribute to risk of cervical cancer in a Chinese population. Mol Carcinog. 2012; 51 Suppl 1: E118-22. doi: 10.1002/ mc.21872.
- 49. Shiraishi K, Kunitoh H, Daigo Y, Takahashi A, Goto K, Sakamoto H, Ohnami S, Shimada Y, Ashikawa K, Saito A, Watanabe S, Tsuta K, Kamatani N, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies two new susceptibility loci for lung adenocarcinoma in the Japanese population. Nat Genet. 2012; 44: 900-3. doi: 10.1038/ng.2353.
- Rajaraman P, Melin BS, Wang Z, McKean-Cowdin R, Michaud DS, Wang SS, Bondy M, Houlston R, Jenkins RB, Wrensch M, Yeager M, Ahlbom A, Albanes D, et al. Genome-wide association study of glioma and metaanalysis. Hum Genet. 2012; 131: 1877-88. doi: 10.1007/ s00439-012-1212-0.
- 51. Kinnersley B, Migliorini G, Broderick P, Whiffin N, Dobbins SE, Casey G, Hopper J, Sieber O, Lipton L, Kerr DJ, Dunlop MG, Tomlinson IP, Houlston RS, et al. The TERT variant rs2736100 is associated with colorectal cancer risk. Br J Cancer. 2012; 107: 1001-8. doi: 10.1038/ bjc.2012.329.
- 52. Ito H, McKay JD, Hosono S, Hida T, Yatabe Y, Mitsudomi T, Brennan P, Tanaka H, Matsuo K. Association between a genome-wide association study-identified locus and the risk of lung cancer in Japanese population. J Thorac Oncol. 2012; 7: 790-8. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3182475028.
- 53. Hofer P, Baierl A, Bernhart K, Leeb G, Mach K, Micksche M, Gsur A. Association of genetic variants of human telomerase with colorectal polyps and colorectal cancer risk. Mol Carcinog. 2012; 51 Suppl 1: E176-82. doi: 10.1002/mc.21911.
- Chen XF, Cai S, Chen QG, Ni ZH, Tang JH, Xu DW, Wang XB. Multiple variants of TERT and CLPTM1L constitute risk factors for lung adenocarcinoma. Genet Mol Res. 2012; 11: 370-8. doi: 10.4238/2012.February.16.2.
- 55. Bae EY, Lee SY, Kang BK, Lee EJ, Choi YY, Kang HG, Choi JE, Jeon HS, Lee WK, Kam S, Shin KM, Jin G, Yoo SS, et al. Replication of results of genome-wide association studies on lung cancer susceptibility loci in a Korean population. Respirology. 2012; 17: 699-706. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2012.02165.x.
- Pande M, Spitz MR, Wu X, Gorlov IP, Chen WV, Amos CI. Novel genetic variants in the chromosome 5p15.33 region

associate with lung cancer risk. Carcinogenesis. 2011; 32: 1493-9. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgr136.

- Nan H, Qureshi AA, Prescott J, De Vivo I, Han J. Genetic variants in telomere-maintaining genes and skin cancer risk. Hum Genet. 2011; 129: 247-53. doi: 10.1007/ s00439-010-0921-5.
- Kohno T, Kunitoh H, Mimaki S, Shiraishi K, Kuchiba A, Yamamoto S, Yokota J. Contribution of the TP53, OGG1, CHRNA3, and HLA-DQA1 genes to the risk for lung squamous cell carcinoma. J Thorac Oncol. 2011; 6: 813-7. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181ee80ef.
- 59. Jaworowska E, Trubicka J, Lener MR, Masojc B, Zlowocka-Perlowska E, McKay JD, Renard H, Oszutowska D, Wokolorczyk D, Lubinski J, Grodzki T, Serwatowski P, Nej-Wolosiak K, et al. Smoking related cancers and loci at chromosomes 15q25, 5p15, 6p22.1 and 6p21.33 in the Polish population. PLoS One. 2011; 6: e25057. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025057.
- 60. Hu Z, Wu C, Shi Y, Guo H, Zhao X, Yin Z, Yang L, Dai J, Hu L, Tan W, Li Z, Deng Q, Wang J, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies two new lung cancer susceptibility loci at 13q12.12 and 22q12.2 in Han Chinese. Nat Genet. 2011; 43: 792-6. doi: 10.1038/ng.875.
- 61. Gago-Dominguez M, Jiang X, Conti DV, Castelao JE, Stern MC, Cortessis VK, Pike MC, Xiang YB, Gao YT, Yuan JM, Van Den Berg DJ. Genetic variations on chromosomes 5p15 and 15q25 and bladder cancer risk: findings from the Los Angeles-Shanghai bladder case-control study. Carcinogenesis. 2011; 32: 197-202. doi: 10.1093/carcin/ bgq233.
- Ding CY, Hu LM, Hu ZB, Shen HB. The relationship between gene polymorphism of telomerase reverse transcriptase and susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma. [Article in Chinese] Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2011; 45: 593-6.
- Chen H, Chen Y, Zhao Y, Fan W, Zhou K, Liu Y, Zhou L, Mao Y, Wei Q, Xu J, Lu D. Association of sequence variants on chromosomes 20, 11, and 5 (20q13.33, 11q23.3, and 5p15.33) with glioma susceptibility in a Chinese population. Am J Epidemiol. 2011; 173: 915-22. doi: 10.1093/aje/ kwq457.
- 64. Yoon KA, Park JH, Han J, Park S, Lee GK, Han JY, Zo JI, Kim J, Lee JE, Takahashi A, Kubo M, Nakamura Y, Lee JS. A genome-wide association study reveals susceptibility variants for non-small cell lung cancer in the Korean population. Hum Mol Genet. 2010; 19: 4948-54. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddq421.
- Wang Y, Broderick P, Matakidou A, Eisen T, Houlston RS. Role of 5p15.33 (TERT-CLPTM1L), 6p21.33 and 15q25.1 (CHRNA5-CHRNA3) variation and lung cancer risk in never-smokers. Carcinogenesis. 2010; 31: 234-8. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgp287.
- 66. Turnbull C, Rapley EA, Seal S, Pernet D, Renwick A, Hughes D, Ricketts M, Linger R, Nsengimana J, Deloukas P, Huddart RA, Bishop DT, Easton DF, et al. Variants near

DMRT1, TERT and ATF7IP are associated with testicular germ cell cancer. Nat Genet. 2010; 42: 604-7. doi: 10.1038/ ng.607.

- 67. Truong T, Hung RJ, Amos CI, Wu X, Bickeboller H, Rosenberger A, Sauter W, Illig T, Wichmann HE, Risch A, Dienemann H, Kaaks R, Yang P, et al. Replication of lung cancer susceptibility loci at chromosomes 15q25, 5p15, and 6p21: a pooled analysis from the International Lung Cancer Consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2010; 102: 959-71. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djq178.
- Schoemaker MJ, Robertson L, Wigertz A, Jones ME, Hosking FJ, Feychting M, Lonn S, McKinney PA, Hepworth SJ, Muir KR, Auvinen A, Salminen T, Kiuru A, et al. Interaction between 5 genetic variants and allergy in glioma risk. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 171: 1165-73. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwq075.
- Miki D, Kubo M, Takahashi A, Yoon KA, Kim J, Lee GK, Zo JI, Lee JS, Hosono N, Morizono T, Tsunoda T, Kamatani N, Chayama K, et al. Variation in TP63 is associated with lung adenocarcinoma susceptibility in Japanese and Korean populations. Nat Genet. 2010; 42: 893-6. doi: 10.1038/ ng.667.
- 70. Kohno T, Kunitoh H, Shimada Y, Shiraishi K, Ishii Y, Goto K, Ohe Y, Nishiwaki Y, Kuchiba A, Yamamoto S, Hirose H, Oka A, Yanagitani N, et al. Individuals susceptible to lung adenocarcinoma defined by combined HLA-DQA1 and TERT genotypes. Carcinogenesis. 2010; 31: 834-41. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgq003.
- 71. Hsiung CA, Lan Q, Hong YC, Chen CJ, Hosgood HD, Chang IS, Chatterjee N, Brennan P, Wu C, Zheng W, Chang GC, Wu T, Park JY, et al. The 5p15.33 locus is associated with risk of lung adenocarcinoma in never-smoking females in Asia. PLoS Genet. 2010; 6. doi: 10.1371/journal. pgen.1001051.
- 72. Wrensch M, Jenkins RB, Chang JS, Yeh RF, Xiao Y, Decker PA, Ballman KV, Berger M, Buckner JC, Chang S, Giannini C, Halder C, Kollmeyer TM, et al. Variants in the CDKN2B and RTEL1 regions are associated with high-grade glioma susceptibility. Nat Genet. 2009; 41: 905-8. doi: 10.1038/ng.408.
- 73. Landi MT, Chatterjee N, Yu K, Goldin LR, Goldstein AM, Rotunno M, Mirabello L, Jacobs K, Wheeler W, Yeager M, Bergen AW, Li Q, Consonni D, et al. A genome-wide association study of lung cancer identifies a region of chromosome 5p15 associated with risk for adenocarcinoma. Am J Hum Genet. 2009; 85: 679-91. doi: 10.1016/j. ajhg.2009.09.012.
- 74. Jin G, Xu L, Shu Y, Tian T, Liang J, Xu Y, Wang F, Chen J, Dai J, Hu Z, Shen H. Common genetic variants on 5p15.33 contribute to risk of lung adenocarcinoma in a Chinese population. Carcinogenesis. 2009; 30: 987-90. doi: 10.1093/ carcin/bgp090.
- Gillis AJ, Schuller AP, Skordalakes E. Structure of the Tribolium castaneum telomerase catalytic subunit TERT. Nature. 2008; 455: 633-7. doi: 10.1038/nature07283.

- Stewart SA, Weinberg RA. Telomeres: cancer to human aging. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2006; 22: 531-57. doi: 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.22.010305.104518.
- Wang HM, Zhang XY, Jin B. TERT genetic polymorphism rs2736100 was associated with lung cancer: a meta-analysis based on 14,492 subjects. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers. 2013; 17: 937-41. doi: 10.1089/gtmb.2013.0322.
- Xue WQ, He YQ, Zhu JH, Ma JQ, He J, Jia WH. Association of BRCA2 N372H polymorphism with cancer susceptibility: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2014; 4: 6791. doi: 10.1038/srep06791.
- 79. He J, Liao XY, Zhu JH, Xue WQ, Shen GP, Huang SY, Chen W, Jia WH. Association of MTHFR C677T and A1298C polymorphisms with non-Hodgkin lymphoma susceptibility: evidence from a meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2014; 4: 6159. doi: 10.1038/srep06159.
- Wacholder S, Chanock S, Garcia-Closas M, El Ghormli L, Rothman N. Assessing the probability that a positive report is false: an approach for molecular epidemiology studies. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2004; 96: 434-42.
- He J, Wang MY, Qiu LX, Zhu ML, Shi TY, Zhou XY, Sun MH, Yang YJ, Wang JC, Jin L, Wang YN, Li J, Yu HP, et al.

Genetic variations of mTORC1 genes and risk of gastric cancer in an Eastern Chinese population. Mol Carcinog. 2013; 52 Suppl 1: E70-9. doi: 10.1002/mc.22013.

- Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1959; 22: 719-48.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986; 7: 177-88.
- 84. Tang J, Li H, Luo J, Mei H, Peng L, Li X. The LSP1 rs3817198 T > C polymorphism contributes to increased breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis of twelve studies. Oncotarget. 2016; 7:63960-63967. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.11741.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. 2002; 21: 1539-58. doi: 10.1002/ sim.1186.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997; 315: 629-34.