violence and reported injury between relationships with reciprocal and nonreciprocal intimate partner violence. *Am J of Pub Health*. 2007; 97:941-47.

- Johnson MP. Patriarchal terrorism and common couple violence: Two forms of violence against women. *J of Marriage and Fam.* 1995; 57:283-94.
- Flinck A, Paavilainen E. Women's experiences of their violent behavior in an intimate partner relationship, *Qualitative Health Research*. 2010; 20:306-18.
- Kelly JB, Johnson MP. Differentiation among types of intimate partner violence: Research update and implications for interventions. *Family Court Review*. 2008; 46:476-99.
- Swahn MH, Alemdar M, Whitaker DJ. Nonreciprocal and reciprocal dating violence and injury occurrence among urban youth. West J of Emerg Med. 2010; 11(3):264-8.
- Manning WD, Giordano PG, Longmore MA. Hooking up: The relationship contexts of "nonrelationship" sex. *J of Adoles Research*. 2006; 21:459-81.

## In reply:

In response to our manuscript,<sup>1</sup> Basile<sup>2</sup> and Hamburger raise the importance of using clear definitions in dating violence research. We concur that the field is comprised of multiple definitions that are in need of more clarity and consistent use,<sup>3-8</sup> and we certainly could have been clearer in our language. However, we also find that there are emerging areas of research for which the best use of terms still have to be developed. Thus, whether or not "reciprocity" was the best term for the conceptual approach used for the analyses and findings presented in our manuscript<sup>1</sup> can be debated. Perhaps more significantly, the manuscript also raised other and equally important issues that we hope will help drive future research and guide violence prevention strategies, specifically for adolescents where most prevention efforts are targeted.<sup>9</sup>

The main objective of our brief research report<sup>1</sup> was to illustrate, primarily using descriptive and correlational statistics, that there was a significant association between victimization and perpetration of dating violence among adolescent boys and girls. This remains an understudied topic among adolescents, despite an emerging literature focused on adults that underscores that reciprocity is common and also more likely to lead to injuries, which has important implications for prevention.<sup>68,10-14</sup> Our findings, corroborated by earlier research of adults, show that adolescent boys and girls who report both victimization and perpetration are also more likely to experience injuries.<sup>8,10</sup>

We agree that ideally the findings we presented should pertain to specific relationships. However, given the scarcity of data available on this topic and the difficulty of studying adolescent relationships, as noted by Basile<sup>2</sup> and Hamburger, we thought it important to share these findings so that future dating violence research can be conducted with this important aspect in mind. Even though the adolescents included in our study may have responded across multiple partners and relationships, it is informative that the data we presented replicated findings from the adult literature, which used a more specific definition of reciprocity.<sup>10</sup> These findings raise important questions about reciprocity and the underlying processes by which reciprocity leads to greater injury, such as the escalation of violence among partners.<sup>8,10,11</sup> Similarly, the findings may also suggest that the propensity for an adolescent to be a victim and perpetrator of violence is stable across the brief and unstable relationships experienced in this developmental phase. With these questions in mind, we hope that the analyses we presented will be replicated in future studies that examine issues of reciprocity within and across relationships. However, these remain important and unaddressed questions for future research.

Finally, the most important issue going forward for the field of dating violence prevention research will be to conduct large, empirical studies of representative populations that apply a true public health approach to this important topic. Our efforts should focus on how to best serve boys and girls at risk for violence and to identify those relationship contexts and circumstances that increase risk for injury. Meanwhile, we welcome suggestions for new terminology and definitions that more accurately capture the range of dating violence victimizations and perpetration that may occur across relationships, specifically for adolescents.

Monica H. Swahn, PhD\* Meltem Alemdar, PhD<sup>†</sup> Daniel J. Whitaker, PhD\* \*Georgia State University, Institute of Public Health, Atlanta, GA <sup>†</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA

Address for Correspondence: Monica H. Swahn, Ph.D., Associate Dean for Research and Associate Professor, Institute of Public Health, Partnership for Urban Health Research, Georgia State University, P.O. Box 3995, Atlanta, GA 30302-3995. Email: MSwahn@gsu.edu.

*Conflicts of Interest:* By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. The authors disclosed none.

## REFERENCES

 Swahn MH, Alemdar M, Whitaker DJ. Nonreciprocal and reciprocal dating violence and injury occurrence among urban youth. West J Emerg Med. 2010;11(3):264-8.

- Basile K, Hamburger M. Nonreciprocal and reciprocal dating violence and injury occurrence among urban youth: A Commentary. West J Emerg Med. 2010; 11(5);423.
- Cate R, Henton J, Koval J, et al. Premarital abuse: A social psychological perspective. J of Fam Issues. 1982; 3:79-90.
- Cyr M, McDuff P, Wright J. Prevalence and predictors of dating violence among adolescent female victims of child sexual abuse. *J of Interpersonal Viol.* 2006; 21:1000-17.
- 5. Deal JE, Wampler KS. Dating violence: The primacy of previous experience. *J of Social and Personal Relationships*. 1986; 3:457-71.
- Gray HM, Foshee V. Adolescent dating violence: differences between one-sided and mutually violent profiles. *J of Interpersonal Violence*. 1997; 12:126-41.
- McKinney CM, Caetano R, Ramisetty-Mikler S, et al. Childhood family violence and perpetration and victimization of intimate partner violence: Findings from a national population-based study of couples. *Annals of Epid.* 2009; 19:25-32.
- Whitaker DJ, Tadesse H, Swahn M, et al. Differences in frequency of violence and reported injury between relationships with reciprocal and nonreciprocal intimate partner violence. *Am J of Pub Health*. 2007; 97:941-7.
- 9. Whitaker DJ, Morrison S, Lindquist C, et al. A critical review of interventions for the primary prevention of perpetration of partner

violence. Aggression and Violent Behavior, 2006. 11(2), 151-66.

- Capaldi DM, Kim HK, Shortt, JW. Observed initiation and reciprocity of physical aggression in young, at-risk couples. *J Fam Violence*. 2007;2:101-11.
- Straus MA. Women's violence toward men is a serious social problem. In: Gelles RJ, Loseke DR, eds. *Current Controversies on Family Violence*. 2nd ed. Newbury Park, Calif: Sage; 2004:55–77.
- Brush LD. Violent acts and injurious outcomes in married couples: methodological issues in the national survey of families and households. *Gender Society*.1990;4:56–67.
- Capaldi, D, Kim H, & Pears K. (2009). The association between partner violence and child maltreatment: A common conceptual framework. Preventing partner violence: Research and evidencebased intervention strategies. In D. Whitaker & J. Lutzker (Eds.), *Preventing Partner Violence: Research and evidence-based intervention strategies* (pp. 93-111). Washington DC: American Psychological Association.
- Straus H, Cerulli C, McNutt LA, et al. Intimate partner violence and functional health status: associations with severity, danger, and self-advocacy behaviors. *J of Women's Health*, 2009;18(5), 625-31.