BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Does long-term phenytoin have a place in Dravet syndrome?

George A. Zographos¹, Sophie J. Russ-Hall¹ & Ingrid E. Scheffer^{1,2,3}

¹Epilepsy Research Centre, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne, Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia ²Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, Melbourne, Australia

³Murdoch Children's Research Institute and Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

Correspondence

Ingrid Scheffer, Epilepsy Research Centre, University of Melbourne, Level 2, Melbourne Brain Centre, 245 Burgundy St, Heidelberg, Victoria 3084, Australia. Tel: 03 9035 7344; Fax: 03 9496 2291; E-mail: scheffer@unimelb.edu.au

Received: 12 September 2022; Accepted: 4 October 2022

Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology 2022; 9(12): 2036–2040

doi: 10.1002/acn3.51684

Introduction

Dravet syndrome (OMIM# 607208) is the prototypic developmental and epileptic encephalopathy (DEE).^{1,2} The classical phenotype presents with prolonged febrile tonic–clonic seizures in the first 19 months of life.³ The median duration of the first seizure is 15 min, with around one-third of patients presenting with status epilepticus (seizure lasting \geq 30 min). Other seizure types include hemiclonic, focal impaired awareness, myoclonic, and absence seizures. Development in Dravet syndrome is usually normal in the first year of life, with slowing or regression observed after 1 year of age.³

Over 90% of patients with Dravet syndrome have loss-of-function pathogenic variants in *SCN1A*, which usually arise *de novo*.^{3,4} *SCN1A* encodes the α 1 subunit of the voltage-gated sodium-channel Na_V1.1, which plays a key role in inhibitory GABAergic interneurons. The α 1 subunit constitutes the Na⁺ channel pore and is the target of sodium-channel blocking (SCB) medications.⁵ The spectrum of *SCN1A* epilepsy syndromes is broad and extends beyond Dravet syndrome, to profound impairment in early infantile *SCN1A*-DEE to mild phenotypes of genetic epilepsy of febrile seizures plus (GEFS+).^{3,6,7}

Abstract

Anti-seizure medications that block sodium channels are generally considered contraindicated in Dravet syndrome. There is, however, considerable debate about the sodium-channel blocker phenytoin, which is often used for status epilepticus, a frequent feature of Dravet syndrome. We describe four patients with Dravet syndrome in whom long-term phenytoin therapy reduced seizure frequency and duration. In two patients, phenytoin produced prolonged periods without status epilepticus for the first time. Attempting to wean phenytoin in all patients after 1 to 20 years of use resulted in seizure exacerbation. Reintroducing phenytoin improved seizure control, suggesting phenytoin is beneficial in some patients with Dravet syndrome.

Dravet syndrome is associated with loss-of-function *SCN1A* pathogenic variants which are truncation in about 40% of patients and missense in about 40% of cases.⁵ The nature and location of these variants determines their functional effect with some missense variants causing gain-of-function and early infantile *SCN1A*-DEE.^{5,6,8} The functional impact influences whether SCB anti-seizure medications (ASMs) may be effective or contraindicated. However, a nuanced understanding of the modes of action of different SCB ASMs suggests that a blanket contraindication of these drugs is not appropriate in loss-of-function diseases, such as Dravet syndrome.^{5,9,10}

Phenytoin is a SCB ASM, commonly used for tonic– clonic seizures, focal seizures, and status epilepticus. It acts by stabilizing the inactive state of the Na_V1.1 channel, blocking sodium conductance and prolonging recovery from inactivation.⁵ Emergency use of phenytoin is well established for treating status epilepticus,¹¹ which occurs in >90% of patients with Dravet syndrome.³ However, phenytoin use in Dravet syndrome for status epilepticus remains controversial due to concerns of potential seizure exacerbation.^{10,12}

We report four patients with *SCN1A*-Dravet syndrome, where long-term phenytoin was beneficial, and weaning after several years resulted in increased seizure frequency and duration.

2036 © 2022 The Authors. Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Neurological Association. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Patients and Methods

Of 238 individuals with *SCN1A*-Dravet syndrome, 42 patients had received phenytoin. We reviewed phenotypic data to identify individuals who had long-term phenytoin treatment. Patients who had only received phenytoin acutely for termination of prolonged seizures or status epilepticus were excluded. We reviewed the clinical records of four patients who had received long-term phenytoin.

The Austin Health Human Research Ethics Committee approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from patients, parents, or legal guardians if they were minors or had intellectual disability. Clinical data were de-identified.

Results

We identified four patients with *SCN1A*-Dravet syndrome who had received long-term phenytoin, including three male adolescents, aged 17–18 years, and one man aged 28 years. All four patients were commenced on phenytoin in addition to two ASMs and had previously tried 4–7 ASMs (Table 1).

Seizure frequency reduced in all four patients at the time of commencing phenytoin: 30% in patient 1, 60% in patient 3, and 10% in patient 4 (Figure. 1). Patient 3 was seizure-free for 4 weeks after commencing phenytoin, previously having had 2–3 seizures per week for 2 years. While the exact seizure frequency before phenytoin in patient 2 was not recorded, he had almost 4 years without status epilepticus after starting phenytoin, having previously had three status-related hospital admissions per month. Introducing phenytoin did not exacerbate seizures in any patient, nor did it trigger onset of new seizure types.

Patients were on phenytoin between 1 and 20 years. On weaning long-term phenytoin, the patients had a 2to 14-fold increase in seizure frequency and duration, which required the phenytoin dose to be increased. Only patient 1 weaned phenytoin completely on the first attempt but nocturnal seizures and status epilepticus increased. Patient 3's parents elected to wean phenytoin and he developed myoclonus. With the re-introduction of phenytoin, there was sustained improvement in seizure frequency and duration in all four patients with a median follow-up period of 4 years.

All patients showed improved alertness after recommencing phenytoin, with patient 3 becoming more interactive and less unsteady. Phenytoin had no effect on the cognitive level, with the degree of intellectual disability being stable in each patient (moderate in patient 1, severe in patients 2–4).

Discussion

As a sodium-channel blocking drug, phenytoin has been traditionally regarded as contraindicated in Dravet syndrome, with limited data to support this contention.¹³ However, phenytoin continues to be cautiously prescribed acutely for status epilepticus.³ We describe four patients with Dravet syndrome who achieved their best levels of seizure control while on phenytoin with amelioration of episodes of status epilepticus. When phenytoin was reduced, there was a marked increase in seizure frequency and duration.

On dissecting the literature, there is limited evidence to support the avoidance of phenytoin in Dravet syndrome. Many papers advising against phenytoin reference the Guerrini et al. paper focusing on seizure exacerbation with lamotrigine.9 In Guerrini's 1998 study of 21 patients, only one was on phenytoin and there was no comment regarding its efficacy. This study predates the identification of SCN1A pathogenic variants as the cause of Dravet syndrome in most individuals. The 2011 study by Catarino et al. reports mixed responses to phenytoin in the three of 22 patients who received phenytoin.¹³ One had seizure exacerbation, one had seizure improvement, and one had improved control of GTCS and exacerbation of myoclonic seizures. Other small series do not show clear responses to phenytoin, although it is noteworthy that choreoathetosis was observed in three patients aged 8-21 years when the dose of phenytoin was increased.14

Variable efficacy within SCB ASMs in Dravet syndrome emphasizes that we cannot adopt a whole-of-class approach to prescribing these drugs. Current consensus advises against use of carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine in Dravet syndrome.^{10,13} Carbamazepine has threefold lower affinity for depolarized channels than phenytoin but binds to them at a fivefold faster rate, demonstrating different sodium-channel blocking mechanisms.⁵

Lamotrigine, also a SCB ASM, was originally thought to worsen seizures in Dravet syndrome,⁹ which has led to the avoidance and withdrawal of lamotrigine use. We reported efficacy of long-term use of lamotrigine in three Dravet patients, aged 10–28 years.¹⁵ It is unclear whether age could impact on the efficacy of phenytoin and lamotrigine, noting the older age of the patients in our lamotrigine study and the adolescents (17–18 years) and adult (28 years) reported here. Why it would be more efficacious in older individuals is unclear.

The intriguing question is why long-term use of phenytoin was beneficial in these four patients with Dravet syndrome, noting that it is only rarely trialled in patients with *SCN1A* haploinsufficiency. There was no clear correlation between the location of the pathogenic variants in

Table	1.	Clinical	features	of	patients	with	Dravet	syndrome	and	effects	of	phenytoin	treatment.
												1 2	

Patient no./sex/age	1/Male/17ª	2/Male/28 ^b	3/Male/18 ^c	4/Male/18 ^d		
SCN1A pathogenic	c.4446_4447dupCA	c.4547C>A	c.3939delA	c.2624C>A		
variant	p.lle1483ThrfsX2	p.Ser1516X	p.Lys1313AsnfsX6	p.Thr875Lys		
	de novo	de novo	de novo	Not present in mother, father unavailable		
Age of seizure onset	8 m	6 m	5 m	9 m		
Age of developmental regression or plateau	12 m	5у	7у	18 m		
Level of ID	Moderate	Severe	Severe	Severe		
Age when phenytoin first introduced and reason	4y - unknown	2y9m - SE	3y11m - uncontrolled SZ	8y1m - uncontrolled SZ		
Maximum dose of	130 mg mane	130 mg BD	50 mg mane	100 mg BD		
phenytoin	160 mg nocte	CTCC	75 mg nocte			
Seizure type/	ICS I-2/m (3 min)	GICS	GICS I-2/W (3-4 min)	1CS 8/m (1-2 min)		
requency prior to	FIAS Z/m (1–2 min)	FIAS	Absence z/w (z secs)	Absence		
phenytoin (duration)						
when phonytoin	VPA 400 mg PD	VPA 150 mg	E ma posto	TNS 25 mg PD		
commoncod	VFA 400 Mg BD	mano 200 mg nocto	VPA 200 mg RD	ZNS ZS HIY DD		
Effocts of phonytoin	TCS 1 - 2/m (< 2 min)	TCS $344 (< 1 \text{ min})$	$\int GT(S_0 - 1) dy (1 - 2 min)$	TCS 7/m		
Lifects of prietlytoin	\downarrow FIAS 1/m (1 min)	\downarrow ELAS 1/W (3 min)	\downarrow Absence 1/w (1 sec)	VIC3 //III		
		↓Myoclonus 1/d	Absence I/W (1 sec) Ainteraction / balance			
			4 w SZ free after commencing phenytoin (previously			
Other ASMs tried	CLR C7P D7P FFA	CR7 CIR C7P D7P	CBD CIB C7P LEV PB	CRD CR7 CIR LEV LTG		
(bold : begun after phenytoin commenced)	KD, LEV, LTG, STP, TPM, VPA, ZNS	KD, LTG, STP , TPM , VGB, VPA	STP, TPM, VPA	OCBZ, PER, STP, TAK-935, VPA, ZNS		
Onset of new seizure types with phenytoin	No	No	No	No		
Duration of phenytoin before wean	1у	20у	1у	5y		
Effect of weaning	↑ GTCS frequency	↑ TCS frequency	↑ GTCS 4/w	↑SZ frequency > 10/m		
phenytoin	1-4/d (3–6 min)	(from 1/w to 4/night)	↑ Absence 2-3/d (<5 secs)			
	↑ Nocturnal SZ	↑Myoclonus	New myoclonus			
		↓Level of function				
Duration of phenytoin continuation after reconstitution	7у	5y (ongoing)	4 m	Зу		
Overall effect of	Reduced seizures	Reduced seizures	Reduced seizures	Reduced seizures		
phenytoin	6y SE free after	4y SE free after	More alert			
	restarting PHT (previously 3 SE events/y)	commencing PHT (previously	Improved development 4 w SZ free after commencing			
		3 SE events/m)	PHT (previously 2–3 SZ/w)			

^aPatient 48 in Li et al.³ ^bPatient 2 in Li et al.³ ^bPatient 19 in Rodda et al.¹⁹ ^bPatient AusE in Singh et al.²⁰ ^bPatient 52 in Wallace et al.²¹ ^cPatient 43 in Li et al.³ ^cPatient 2 in Rodda et al.¹⁹ ^dPatient 81 in Li et al.³ ASM, anti-seizure medication; BD, twice a day; CBZ, carbamazepine; CLB, clobazam; CZP, clonazepam; d, day; DZP, diazepam; FFA, fenfluramine; FIAS, focal impaired awareness seizure; GTCS, generalized tonic–clonic seizure; ID, intellectual disability; KD, ketogenic diet; LEV, levetiracetam; LTG, lamotrigine; min, minutes; m, month; nocte, at night; PB, phenobarbitone; PER, perampanel; PHT, phenytoin; SCB, sodium-channel blocker; SE, status epilepticus; secs, seconds; STP, stiripentol; SZ, seizure; TCS, tonic–clonic seizure; TPM, topiramate; VGB, vigabatrin; VPA, sodium valproate; w, week; y, year; ZNS, zonisamide.

SCN1A and the efficacy of phenytoin. It is likely that genetic background also influences ASM efficacy, such as drug metabolism.¹⁶ Tate et al. found that *SCN1A* intronic

polymorphisms were associated with higher maximal doses of phenytoin and therefore affected therapeutic value and dosing. Polymorphisms affecting the 5' splice



Figure 1. Phenytoin dose plotted against seizure frequency for patient 1 (A) and patient 2 (B), with episodes of status epilepticus shown as downgoing red spikes. For patient 2, the seizure frequency line starts after 4 years, as previous habitual seizure frequency was not documented. BD, twice a day; FFA, fenfluramine; PHT, phenytoin; STP, stiripentol; y, year.

site which increase alternate *SCN1A* transcripts with increased sensitivity to phenytoin have been identified.¹⁷

Optimization of ASMs in Dravet syndrome may improve cognitive function and quality of life.¹³ Phenytoin was noted to improve alertness in one of our patients at initiation. In addition, two patients went into status epilepticus with a reduction of phenytoin dose, resulting in a life-threatening complication. Much debate exists around whether phenytoin should be used for status epilepticus in patients with Dravet syndrome, with some reports showing efficacy.¹⁸ Clinical trials exploring the use of phenytoin in Dravet syndrome are required to establish whether phenytoin has a place in the treatment of this disease, both acutely and over the long-term. A complex interplay between the SCN1A pathogenic variant, ASMs and their metabolism, and the patient's genetic background, contribute to the variable efficacy of phenytoin in patients with Dravet syndrome.

Author Contributions

Data acquisition was completed by G. Zographos, S. Russ-Hall, I. Scheffer. Drafting and manuscript revision was completed by G. Zographos, S. Russ-Hall, I. Scheffer.

Study supervision and co-ordination was completed by S. Russ-Hall, I. Scheffer. Study conceptualization and design was completed by I. Scheffer.

Acknowledgments

We thank the patients and their families for their participation in this study. We gratefully acknowledge support provided by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Centre for Research Excellence Grant (GNT2006841) and NHMRC Synergy Grant (GNT2010562). Professor Ingrid Scheffer is also supported by a NHMRC Senior Investigator Fellowship (GNT1172897).

Conflict of Interest

Ingrid Scheffer has served on scientific advisory boards for BioMarin, Chiesi, Eisai, Encoded Therapeutics, GlaxoSmithKline, Knopp Biosciences, Nutricia, Rogcon, Takeda Pharmaceuticals, UCB, Xenon Pharmaceuticals; has received speaker honoraria from GlaxoSmithKline, UCB, BioMarin, Biocodex, Chiesi, Liva Nova and Eisai; has received funding for travel from UCB, Biocodex, GlaxoSmithKline, Biomarin and Eisai; has served as an investigator for Anavex Life Sciences, Cerecin Inc, Cerevel Therapeutics, Eisai, Encoded Therapeutics, EpiMinder Inc, Epygenyx, ES-Therapeutics, GW Pharma, Marinus, Neuren Pharmaceuticals, Neurocrine BioSciences, Ovid Therapeutics, Takeda Pharmaceuticals, UCB, Ultragenyx, Xenon Pharmaceutical, Zogenix and Zynerba; and has consulted for Atheneum Partners, Care Beyond Diagnosis, Epilepsy Consortium, Ovid Therapeutics, UCB and Zynerba Pharmaceuticals; and is a Non-Executive Director of Bellberry Ltd and a Director of the Australian Academy of Health and Medical Sciences and the Australian Council of Learned Academies Limited. She may accrue future revenue on pending patent WO61/010176 (filed: 2008): Therapeutic Compound; has a patent for SCN1A testing held by Bionomics Inc and licenced to various diagnostic companies; has a patent molecular diagnostic/theranostic target for benign familial infantile epilepsy (BFIE) [PRRT2] 2011904493 & 2012900190 and PCT/AU2012/001321 (TECH ID:2012-009). The remaining authors do not have any disclosures.

References

- 1. Zuberi SM, Wirrell E, Yozawitz E, et al. ILAE classification and definition of epilepsy syndromes with onset in neonates and infants: position statement by the ILAE task force on nosology and definitions. Epilepsia. 2022;63:1349-1397.
- 2. Scheffer IE, Berkovic S, Capovilla G, et al. ILAE classification of the epilepsies: position paper of the ILAE commission for classification and terminology. Epilepsia. 2017;58:512-521.
- Li W, Schneider AL, Scheffer IE. Defining Dravet syndrome: an essential pre-requisite for precision medicine trials. Epilepsia. 2021;62:2205-2217.
- 4. Claes L, Del-Favero J, Ceulemans B, et al. *De novo* mutations in the sodium-channel gene *SCN1A* cause severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy. Am J Hum Genet. 2001;68:1327-1332.
- Mantegazza M, Curia G, Biagini G, Ragsdale DS, Avoli M. Voltage-gated sodium channels as therapeutic targets in epilepsy and other neurological disorders. Lancet Neurol. 2010;9:413-424.
- 6. Sadleir LG, Mountier EI, Gill D, et al. Not all *SCN1A* epileptic encephalopathies are Dravet syndrome: early profound Thr226Met phenotype. Neurology. 2017;89: 1035-1042.
- Zhang Y-H, Burgess R, Malone JP, et al. Genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus: refining the spectrum. Neurology. 2017;89:1210-1219.

- Brunklaus A, Brünger T, Feng T, et al. The gain of function *SCN1A* disorder spectrum: novel epilepsy phenotypes and therapeutic implications. Brain. 2022; Online ahead of print. doi:10.1093/brain/awac210
- Guerrini R, Dravet C, Genton P, Belmonte A, Kaminska A, Dulac O. Lamotrigine and seizure aggravation in severe myoclonic epilepsy. Epilepsia. 1998;39:508-512.
- 10. Wirrell EC, Hood V, Knupp KG, et al. The international consensus on diagnosis and management of Dravet syndrome. Epilepsia. 2022;63:1761-1777.
- 11. Lyttle MD, Rainford NEA, Gamble C, et al. Levetiracetam versus phenytoin for second-line treatment of paediatric convulsive status epilepticus (EcLiPSE): a multicentre, open-label, randomised trial. Lancet. 2019;393:2125-2134.
- Cross JH, Caraballo RH, Nabbout R, Vigevano F, Guerrini R, Lagae L. Dravet syndrome: treatment options and management of prolonged seizures. Epilepsia. 2019;60: S39-S48.
- Catarino CB, Liu JY, Liagkouras I, et al. Dravet syndrome as epileptic encephalopathy: evidence from long-term course and neuropathology. Brain. 2011;134(10): 2982-3010.
- Saito Y, Oguni H, Awaya Y, Hayashi K, Osawa M. Phenytoin-induced choreoathetosis in patients with severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy. Neuropediatrics. 2001;32 (5):231-235.
- Dalic L, Mullen SA, Roulet Perez E, Scheffer IE. Lamotrigine can be beneficial in patients with Dravet syndrome. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2015;57:200-202.
- López M, Dorado P, Monroy N, et al. Pharmacogenetics of the antiepileptic drugs phenytoin and lamotrigine. Drug Metabol Drug Interact. 2011;26:5-12.
- 17. Tate SK, Depondt C, Sisodiya SM, et al. Genetic predictors of the maximum doses patients receive during clinical use of the anti-epileptic drugs carbamazepine and phenytoin. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2005;102:5507-5512.
- Tanabe T, Awaya Y, Matsuishi T, et al. Management of and prophylaxis against status epilepticus in children with severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy (SMEI; Dravet syndrome)—a nationwide questionnaire survey in Japan. Brain Dev. 2008;30:629-635.
- Rodda JM, Scheffer IE, McMahon JM, Berkovic SF, Graham HK. Progressive gait deterioration in adolescents with Dravet syndrome. Arch Neurol. 2012;69(7):873-878.
- Singh R, Andermann E, Whitehouse WP, et al. Severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy: extended spectrum of GEFS+? Epilepsia. 2001;42(7):837-844.
- Wallace RH, Hodgson BL, Grinton BE, et al. Sodium channel α1-subunit mutations in severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy and infantile spasms. Neurology. 2003;61(6):765-769.