JSES International 6 (2022) 62-69

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

JSES International

journal homepage: www.jsesinternational.org

Presurgical tear characteristics and estimated shear modulus as predictors of repair integrity and shoulder function one year after rotator cuff repair



Matthew C. Ruder, MS^a, Rebekah L. Lawrence, PT, PhD^a, Steven B. Soliman, DO, RMSK^b, Michael J. Bey, PhD^{a,*}

^aBone & Joint Center, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA ^bDepartment of Radiology, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Shear wave elastography Shear modulus Rotator cuff Tear chronicity Repair integrity Tissue quality

Level of evidence: Level I; Prospective Cohort Design; Prognosis Study

Background: Rotator cuff repair provides pain relief for many patients; however, retears are relatively common and affect approximately 20%-70% of patients after repair. Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) offers the ability to assess tissue characteristics such as tear size, retraction, and fatty infiltration, it provides little insight into the quality of the musculotendinous tissues the surgeon will encounter during surgery. However, shear wave elastography (SWE) could provide an indirect assessment of quality (ie, stiffness) by measuring the speed of shear waves propagating through tissue. The objective of this study was to determine the extent to which estimated shear modulus predicts repair integrity and functional outcomes 1 year after rotator cuff repair.

Methods: Thirty-three individuals scheduled to undergo arthroscopic rotator cuff repair were enrolled in this study. Before surgery, shear modulus of the supraspinatus tendon and muscle was estimated using ultrasound SWE. MRIs were obtained before and 1 year after surgery to assess tear characteristics and repair integrity, respectively. Shoulder strength, range of motion, and patient-reported pain and function were assessed before and after surgery. Functional outcomes were compared between groups and across time using a two-factor mixed model analysis of variance. Stepwise regression with model comparison was used to investigate the extent to which MRI and shear modulus predicted repair integrity and function at 1 year after surgery.

Results: At 1 year after surgery, 56.5% of patients had an intact repair. No significant differences were found in any demographic variable, presurgical tear characteristic, or shear modulus between patients with an intact repair and those with a recurrent tear. Compared with presurgical measures, patients in both groups demonstrated significant improvements at 1 year after surgery in pain (P < .01), self-reported function (P < .01), range of motion (P < .01), and shoulder strength (P < .01). In addition, neither presurgical MRI variables (P > .16) nor shear modulus (P > .52) was significantly different between groups at 1 year after surgery. Finally, presurgical shear modulus generally did not improve the prediction of functional outcomes above and beyond that provided by MRI variables alone (P > .22). **Conclusion:** Although SWE remains a promising modality for many clinical applications, this study

found that SWE-estimated shear modulus did not predict repair integrity or functional outcomes at 1 year after surgery, nor did it add to the prediction of outcomes above and beyond that provided by traditional presurgical MRI measures of tear characteristics. Therefore, it appears that further research is needed to fully understand the clinical utility of SWE for musculoskeletal tissue and its potential use for predicting outcomes after surgical rotator cuff repair.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

Rotator cuff tears affect at least 40% of individuals over age 60,^{47,61,63} resulting in approximately 250,000 surgical repairs performed annually in the United States.¹² This procedure provides pain relief for many patients, but postsurgical healing is a major clinical problem as 20%-70% of rotator cuff repairs fail (ie,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseint.2021.09.010



This study was approved by the Henry Ford Health System's Institutional Review Board (study no. 12162).

^{*}Corresponding author: Michael J. Bey, PhD, 6135 Woodward Avenue, Detroit, MI 48202, USA.

E-mail address: mbey1@bjc.hfh.edu (M.J. Bey).

^{2666-6383/© 2021} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

retear)^{5,10,13,14,37,51,57,60,62} and postsurgical shoulder function is often unpredictable. Previous clinical studies have suggested that age, tear size, and tear retraction may be risk factors for recurrent tearing and poor clinical outcomes.^{9,46,58} Unfortunately, these imaging and clinical descriptors provide little insight into the quality of the musculotendinous tissues the surgeon will encounter during surgery. This limitation is clinically important because without a reliable measure of tear quality, it is difficult for surgeons to assess the potential for repair healing before surgery and how best to counsel patients on postsurgical activities and expected outcomes.

Shear wave elastography (SWE) is an ultrasound-based technology that provides an indirect assessment of quality (ie, stiffness) by measuring the speed of shear waves propagating through tissue. Clinical applications of this technology initially focused on diagnosing breast and liver pathology.^{4,17,25,36} However, the technology has been used increasingly to assess musculoskeletal tissues including the rotator cuff.^{3,22,26-28,32-34,53,64} Although recent evidence suggests that SWE is not associated with individual rotator cuff tear characteristics,⁴¹ it may provide a more global assessment of tissue quality. Furthermore, it is possible that SWE, either alone or in conjunction with existing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)based measures, could be a stronger predictor of healing and functional outcomes than conventional parameters such as patient age, rotator cuff tear size, and muscle fatty degeneration or atrophy.

The objective of this study was to determine the extent to which pre-surgical estimated rotator cuff shear modulus predicts repair integrity and functional outcomes 1 year after rotator cuff repair. We hypothesized that presurgical shear modulus of the rotator cuff would be associated with repair tissue healing, shoulder function, and pain after rotator cuff repair. Furthermore, we hypothesized that presurgical shear modulus would provide a significant improvement when added to the prediction of these outcomes provided by MRI-based measures alone.

Materials and methods

Participants

After institutional review board approval and informed consent, 33 participants enrolled in this study. Participants were eligible to participate in the study if they were 50-80 years old and were scheduled for surgical repair of a small- or mediumsized full-thickness tear of the supraspinatus tendon, as confirmed via presurgical MRI. The exclusion criteria included a traumatic tear, prior shoulder surgery, more than one steroid injection, body mass index greater than 30 kg/m², current smoker, uncontrolled diabetes, or an outstanding worker's compensation claim.

Presurgical shear wave elastography

Approximately 1-2 weeks before surgery, ultrasound SWE images of each participant's supraspinatus muscle and intramuscular tendon were acquired by one operator using a Siemens ACUSON S3000 with a 9L4 linear transducer (Siemens; Erlangen, German). Images were acquired with the participant's shoulder supported in 30° of scapular-plane abduction in neutral rotation. The intramuscular tendon was imaged by placing the transducer in the supraspinatus fossa, in the long axis relative to the intramuscular tendon, and visually aligning with the tendon fibers (Fig. 1, *A* and *B*). The muscle was imaged by placing the transducer in the supraspinatus fossa, in the long axis relative to the supraspinatus muscle belly, and visually aligning with the muscle fibers. Five trials were acquired for each tissue region of interest (ie, intramuscular tendon, muscle) using the system's built-in elastography module and a transmit frequency of 8 MHz. Each trial acquired a B-mode image and a corresponding SWE image (Fig. 1, *B* and *C*). Reliability of this protocol was established previously by Baumer et al (intraclass correlation coefficients: intrarater >0.87, inter-rater >0.72).²

For each trial, the region of interest (ie, muscle or intramuscular tendon) was isolated from surrounding tissues on the B-mode image using ImageJ interfaced with custom software (MATLAB, The MathWorks, Inc.; Natick, MA, USA). As per manufacturer recommendations, data within the region of interest in the corresponding SWE image were retained for pixels whose proprietary quality metric was greater than 0.87 (Fig. 1, *D*). For each pixel, the shear wave speed data were then converted to an estimate of shear modulus as previously described,¹⁶ and then, a single estimated shear modulus was determined as the median value of all retained pixels. Finally, the mean shear modulus was calculated across all five trials for each tissue region.

Presurgical functional assessment

Patient-reported measures of pain and function were assessed using the visual analog scale for pain and the Western Ontario Rotator Cuff Index. Active range of motion (AROM) was manually measured with a goniometer for sagittal-plane flexion and frontalplane abduction. Isometric shoulder strength was measured during coronal-plane abduction at 30° of abduction, sagittal-plane elevation at 30° elevation, internal rotation at 15° of frontal plane elevation and 0° of humeral rotation, and external rotation at 15° of frontal-plane elevation and 0° of humeral rotation with an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex System 2, Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, NY, USA).⁶ The order of strength testing was randomized, and three trials were performed at each testing position. Average strength was calculated across the three trials and normalized based on the research of Hughes et al.³⁰

Presurgical MRI assessment

Presurgical MRI scans were obtained for each participant. These examinations were typically acquired on a 1.5T scanner, with the scan protocol including axial and sagittal-oblique fat-suppressed proton density sequences, coronal-oblique and sagittal-oblique T1-weighted sequences, and a coronal-oblique fat-suppressed T2-weighted sequence. A fellowship-trained, board-certified musculoskeletal radiologist (SBS) with 12 years of clinical experience evaluated each presurgical MRI examination in terms of the full-thickness rotator cuff anteroposterior tear size, amount of tendon retraction, supraspinatus occupation ratio,⁵⁹ supraspinatus atrophy using the "tangent sign",⁶⁵ and amount of fatty degeneration as per the Goutallier classification system.²⁰

Surgical repair and postsurgical rehabilitation

Within two weeks of acquiring the SWE images, each patient underwent arthroscopic rotator cuff repair by one of three orthopedic surgeons fellowship-trained in sports medicine or orthopedic surgery (median postfellowship experience: 9 years). The repair technique (ie, number of rows and anchors) was determined based on surgeon discretion. A double-row repair technique was used in 71% of cases with a median of 2 anchors (min = 1, max = 5). After surgery, patients were discharged with a shoulder abduction sling and standard postoperative medications and precautions. A continuous passive motion device was used by 90% of patients

M.C. Ruder, R.L. Lawrence, S.B. Soliman et al.



Figure 1 Ultrasound shear wave image acquisition of the supraspinatus intramuscular tendon with the transducer placed just anterior to the scapular spine (A), the resulting B-mode (B) and shear wave elastography (C) images, and extraction of the relevant shear wave values for analysis using image segmentation (D).

Table I

Comparison of demographics, MRI-based measures of presurgical tear characteristics, and shear wave elastography between individuals with an intact repair and a recurrent tear at 1 year after surgery.

Variable	Intact repair (n = 13)	Recurrent tear $(n = 10)$	P value
Patient demographics			
Age (y)	60 ± 7	63 ± 8	.28
Sex (% female)	38.5%	20.0%	.41
Laterality (% dominant)	46.2%	50.0%	1.0
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.8 ± 3.5	27.4 ± 3.4	.32
Presurgical tear characteristics (MRI)			
Tear size (cm)	1.9 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 1.1	.32
Tear retraction (cm)	1.9 ± 1.0	2.3 ± 1.4	.48
Occupation ratio	0.62 ± 0.13	0.69 ± 0.11	.16
Fatty degeneration			.18
Stage 0	84.6%	50.0%	N/A
Stage 1	15.4%	20%	N/A
Stage 2	0%	20%	N/A
Atrophy (% positive)	7.7%	33.3%	.26
Shear modulus (SWE)			
Muscle (kPa)	11.9 ± 9.5	9.7 ± 6.4	.52
Intramuscular tendon (kPa)	19.7 ± 8.3	22.2 ± 13.3	.61

BMI, body mass index; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SWE, shear wave elastography.

Continuous outcome measures are reported as mean ± standard deviation.

during the first month after surgery. Although postsurgical rehabilitation was not standardized, general guidelines were as follows: (1) postop weeks 0-5: passive range of motion only, (2) postop week 6: progression to active-assisted range of motion, (3) postop week 8: progression to AROM, (4) postop weeks 6-8: isometric strengthening, and (5) postop weeks 10-12: progression to resisted exercises. All rehabilitation progressions were guided by patient tolerance and the avoidance of compensatory movement patterns (eg, shoulder shrugging).

Postsurgical assessments

At 1 year after surgery, participants were contacted in regard to returning for reevaluation. Of the 33 participants who completed presurgical testing, 23 completed the postsurgical testing. Demographic data of these participants are presented in Table I. The suboptimal follow-up rate was predominantly due to the COVID-19 public health crisis and the associated health system restrictions which precluded human subjects' data collection for several months. Patient-reported measures of pain and function were reassessed as previously described. Finally, a postsurgical MRI was obtained for each participant and evaluated by the same radiologist (SBS) in terms of rotator cuff repair integrity (ie, intact repair or recurrent tear) and muscle quality.

Statistical analysis

Demographics, presurgical tear characteristics, and postsurgical function were described using summary statistics and compared between groups using two-sample *t*-tests and Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. Functional outcomes were compared between groups and across time (ie, preop and postop) using a two-factor mixed model analysis of variance. Main effects were only interpreted in the absence of a significant group-by-time interaction. Stepwise regression with model comparison was used to investigate the extent to which MRI and shear modulus predicted repair integrity and function at 1 year after surgery as follows. First, variable distribution was assessed using skewness and kurtosis. Second, a logistic regression model was calculated with the MRI variable (tear size, retraction, occupation ratio) that was the strongest predictor of repair integrity at 1 year after surgery (MRI model). Third, the mean shear modulus was added to the logistic regression (MRI + SWE model). Finally, the two models (MRI and MRI + SWE) were compared via analysis of variance model comparison to determine whether the addition of the shear modulus significantly improved the prediction of repair integrity above and beyond the MRI variable alone. Separate models were fit using the estimated shear moduli of the supraspinatus muscle and intramuscular tendon. A similar approach was used with linear



Figure 2 Changes in functional outcomes between before surgery and approximately 1 year after surgery. Strength data are normalized relative to each patient's theoretical maximum strength using the regression equation by Hughes et al.³⁰ *AROM*, active range of motion; *ER*, external rotation; *IR*, internal rotation; *VAS*, visual analog scale; *WORC*, Western Ontario Rotator Cuff.

regression to investigate the extent to which MRI and SWE variables predicted shoulder function at 1 year after surgery. All statistical analyses were performed using R (R Core Team, 2018). Statistical significance was defined as P < .05.

Results

As a result of disruptions in human subjects' data collection due to the COVID-19 pandemic, only 23 patients completed the study with an average 1-year follow-up time of 1.2 ± 0.2 years (range: 1.0-1.6 years). Of the patients who completed the study, the average age was 60 ± 7 years old, 8 (34.8%) were female, 12 (52.2%) had the surgical repair on their dominant shoulder, and 13 (56.5%) had an intact repair at 1 year after surgery. No significant differences were found in any demographic variable, MRI-based presurgical tear characteristic, or shear modulus measure between individuals with an intact repair and those with a recurrent tear (Table I). Compared with presurgical measures, patients in both groups demonstrated significant improvements at 1 year after surgery in pain (ie, visual analog scale) (P < .01), self-reported function (ie, Western Ontario Rotator Cuff Index) (P < .01), abduction and flexion AROM (P < .01), and all measures of shoulder strength (P < .01) (Fig. 2). Abduction strength improved in both groups, but patients with an intact repair experienced significantly greater improvement in abduction strength than those with a recurrent tear (P = .047, Fig. 2).

Predicting postsurgical repair integrity

Although not significantly different between patient groups, the occupation ratio was the presurgical MRI measure with the highest potential to distinguish between groups (ie, lowest *P* value, Table I) and therefore was used as the MRI predictor in the regression models. On its own, the occupation ratio was not a

significant predictor of repair integrity at 1 year after surgery (P = .22, $r^2 = 0.06$). Furthermore, the prediction of repair integrity at 1 year after surgery was not significantly improved by the addition of shear modulus of the supraspinatus muscle (P = .22, change in $r^2 = 0.05$) or intramuscular tendon (P = .35, change in $r^2 = 0.03$).

Predicting postsurgical functional outcomes

Across all presurgical variables, only tear size and tear retraction were significantly correlated with postsurgical functional outcomes (Table II). Specifically, smaller presurgical tear size and tear retraction were found to be moderately but significantly associated with higher postsurgical abduction, flexion, and internal rotation strength (Table II). Presurgical shear modulus of the supraspinatus muscle and intramuscular tendon was not found to be significantly associated with any postsurgical functional outcome measure ($P \ge .12$, $r^2 \le 0.12$, Table II).

In general, presurgical shear modulus did not improve the prediction of postsurgical functional outcomes (Table III). The only exception was that the combination of tear size and shear modulus for the supraspinatus intramuscular tendon significantly improved the prediction of postsurgical flexion AROM above and beyond tear size alone (P = .01, change in $r^2 = 0.22$, Table III).

Discussion

The objective of this study was to determine the extent to which presurgical shear modulus predicts repair tissue healing and functional outcomes at one year after surgical rotator cuff repair. We hypothesized that presurgical shear modulus would be associated with repair tissue healing, shoulder function, and pain after rotator cuff repair. However, presurgical shear modulus was not

Table II

Correlations between presurgical tear/tissue characteristics and functional outcome measures at approximately 1 year after surgery.

Postsurgical functional outcome	Presurgical tear/tissue characteristics (predictor variables)					
	Tear size (MRI)	Tear retraction (MRI)	Occupation ratio (MRI)	Muscle shear modulus (SWE)	Tendon shear modulus (SWE)	
Pain (VAS)	-0.13 (0.57)	-0.07 (0.78)	-0.23 (0.32)	-0.18 (0.44)	-0.20 (0.40)	
Function (WORC)	-0.14 (0.57)	-0.26 (0.27)	0.08 (0.74)	0.10 (0.67)	0.20 (0.38)	
AROM: ABD	-0.16 (0.51)	-0.03 (0.90)	-0.08 (0.73)	0.17 (0.47)	-0.35 (0.12)	
AROM: FLX	-0.22 (0.35)	-0.08 (0.73)	-0.23 (0.31)	0.05 (0.84)	-0.21 (0.35)	
Strength: ABD	-0.53 (0.02)	- 0.52 (0.02)	0.00 (0.98)	0.04 (0.85)	-0.06 (0.81)	
Strength: FLX	- 0.52 (0.02)	-0.44(0.05)	0.25 (0.27)	0.14 (0.55)	-0.05(0.82)	
Strength: ER	-0.56 (0.01)	- 0.55 (0.01)	0.00 (0.99)	-0.18 (0.45)	-0.04(0.86)	
Strength: IR	-0.12 (0.61)	-0.03 (0.92)	0.01 (0.96)	0.06 (0.79)	0.04 (0.85)	

Data listed as r (P value). Statistically significant associations (ie, P < .05) are indicated in bold.

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; *SWE*, shear wave elastography; *AROM*, active range of motion; *ABD*, abduction; *ER*, external rotation; *FLX*, flexion; *IR*, internal rotation; *VAS*, visual analog scale; *WORC*, Western Ontario Rotator Cuff.

Table III

Comparison of predictive utility of two linear regression models: (1) a model predicting the functional outcome at 1 year after surgery using a single MRI predictor and (2) a model predicting the combined effect of the MRI predictor and shear modulus.

Postsurgical functional outcome	Best MRI predictor	MRI + muscle shear modulus	$MRI + tendon \ shear \ modulus$
Pain (VAS)	Occupation ratio	0.56	0.73
Function (WORC)	Tear retraction	0.15	0.67
AROM: ABD	Tear size	0.29	0.19
AROM: FLX	Occupation ratio	0.20	0.01
Strength: ABD	Tear size	0.52	0.80
Strength: FLX	Tear size	0.83	0.93
Strength: ER	Tear size	0.48	0.16
Strength: IR	Tear size	0.06	0.76

Results are presented as *P* values testing whether the two linear regression models are significantly different (ie, P < .05 suggests that SWE adds to the prediction of the functional outcome above and beyond that provided by the MRI measure alone). Statistically significant differences (ie, P < .05) are indicated in bold. *MRI*, magnetic resonance imaging; *AROM*, active range of motion; *ABD*, abduction; *ER*, external rotation; *FLX*, flexion; *IR*, internal rotation; *VAS*, visual analog scale; *WORC*, Western Ontario Rotator Cuff.

found to be associated with repair tissue healing or any postsurgical functional outcome measure. Furthermore, with only one exception, the addition of presurgical shear modulus to presurgical MRI-based tear characteristics was not found to improve the prediction of postsurgical repair integrity or functional outcomes.

Predicting structural outcomes-that is, whether a rotator cuff repair is likely to remain intact after surgery—remains a challenging endeavor. It is therefore not surprising this study failed to identify any presurgical factors that were significantly different between the intact repair and recurrent tear patient groups. However, this outcome is consistent with previous research as there are conflicting reports regarding the ability of conventional clinical data to predict postsurgical repair integrity. For example, some previous studies have reported that tear size is associated with repair integrity after surgical repair,^{1,11,31,48} whereas other studies have reported no such association exists.^{19,39,43,50} These conflicting findings likely reflect the implicit heterogeneity of patient populations and large number of factors including genetics, biological factors, and postsurgical activity levels that are difficult to control in clinical studies. Thus, identifying factors that discriminate between intact repair and recurrent tear patient groups requires substantial differences between groups or large sample sizes. For example, Le et al measured 18 presurgical and surgical variables in 1000 patients after rotator cuff repair and reported that only presurgical tear dimensions (specifically, anteroposterior tear size, mediolateral tear length, tear size area, and tear thickness), patient age, and surgical time were independent predictors of repair integrity.⁴² In a follow-up study with an even larger patient cohort (n = 1962), this same research team determined that patient age, tear size, hospital type (private vs. public), and case number (ie, surgeon experience) were the only significant predictors of repair integrity.¹⁵ Taken together, these comprehensive studies suggest that patient age and tear size may be the most reliable presurgical predictors of postsurgical repair integrity.

Similar to the challenges in predicting postsurgical repair integrity, predicting postsurgical functional outcomes (ie, strength, ROM, pain) after rotator cuff repair is equally difficult. In the present study, presurgical tear size and tear retraction were significantly associated with measures of postsurgical abduction strength, flexion strength, and external rotation strength. These findings are consistent with previous research indicating that presurgical tear dimensions significantly impact postsurgical shoulder strength.^{52,56} In contrast, neither muscle nor tendon presurgical shear modulus values were significantly associated with any postsurgical functional outcomes. Furthermore, the addition of shear modulus to MRI-based outcomes did not result in widespread improvements in the prediction of any postsurgical functional outcomes over MRI-based outcomes alone (Table III). The notable exception was that the addition of tendon shear modulus to the occupation ratio improved the prediction of flexion ROM over the occupation ratio alone (P = .01, Table III). However, this outcome should be interpreted with caution because it is difficult to understand mechanistically as to how the combination of tendon material properties (ie, shear modulus) and muscle volume (ie, occupation ratio) would significantly influence ROM but not shoulder strength.

It was somewhat surprising that presurgical shear modulus values had negligible value for predicting postsurgical repair integrity given that SWE has been shown to be correlated with tissue mechanical properties,¹⁶ which are generally believed to affect postsurgical outcomes. Evidence supporting this premise comes from previous studies that have focused on the role of fatty degeneration (an issue shown to affect mechanical properties⁴⁵) as an important factor in a patient's potential outcome from rotator cuff repair,⁴⁴ as well as studies with small animal models demonstrating that muscle/tendon degeneration negatively affects rotator

cuff repair tissue healing.^{24,35,38,40,55} One potential explanation for this discrepancy is that tissue changes associated with a rotator cuff tear likely have opposing influences on SWE measurements.⁴¹ For example, fatty degeneration is expected to reduce the estimated shear modulus because fat presumably reduces a tissue's stiffness. Conversely, other tissue changes found in degenerative rotator cuff tears (eg, tendon retraction and fibrosis) have been shown to increase tissue stiffness^{21,23,29,54,55} and are therefore expected to increase SWE measurements. Given that these tissue changes occur to various degrees simultaneously within an individual patient, the global nature of the SWE measure may have hindered the predictive value of SWE-estimated shear modulus for estimating the clinical construct of "tissue quality" in this patient population.

In addition to differences between patients in presurgical muscle/tendon properties, it is highly likely that many other factors played important roles in the patient's postsurgical functional and structural outcomes. For example, factors such as the patient's postsurgical activity levels, adherence to postsurgical management prescription, the tendon's microvascular supply, and intrinsic healing capacity are difficult to assess or control in a clinical study. Therefore, it is possible that these and other more rudimentary factors (eg, patient age, tear size) may have overwhelmed the effect of presurgical tissue quality. Furthermore, repair "failure" may occur due to distinct mechanisms that were not assessed in the present study. For example, the ability of the repaired tendon to resist suture pull-out and its biological capacity for healing may define distinct clinical subgroups. More research is needed to better understand these mechanisms of structural repair failure and to develop assessment tools to predict their occurrence and inform presurgical clinical decision-making.

Despite the associations detected in the present study between tear dimensions and shoulder strength, a perplexing issue that continues to confound the prediction of functional outcomes is the disconnect between functional and structural outcomes after rotator cuff repair. Specifically, patients can have acceptable strength despite a recurrent tear or limited strength with an intact repair. For example, some studies have reported differences in shoulder strength between intact and failed repairs,^{7,11,18,31,43} whereas other studies have reported no difference.^{1,39,49,57} Similarly, some studies have reported a difference in patient-reported outcome scores between intact and failed repairs,^{7,8,18,31,43} whereas other studies have failed to detect a difference in these outcomes.^{1,11,19,39,48,50} McElvany et al reviewed 77 studies that compared the clinical results for intact and failed repairs and concluded that patient-reported outcomes generally improve regardless of whether or not the repair remains intact.⁴⁶ Furthermore, they concluded that there was no consistent relationship between the integrity of the repair and the clinical outcome.46

Although the results of this study suggest that SWE provides little additional predictive value compared with MRI alone, it is important to remember that MRI and SWE provide very different, potentially complementary, information as recently but described.⁴¹ Clinical MRIs typically provide information regarding rotator cuff structure (eg, tear dimensions, tear thickness, muscle atrophy), integrity, and tissue composition or degeneration, whereas SWE provides an estimate of tissue mechanical properties. This discrepancy may help explain the poor relationship between presurgical shear modulus and postsurgical tear integrity observed in the present study and the lack of strong associations between SWE measures and rotator cuff tear characteristics previously reported.41,53 Although the clinical utility of SWE may still hold promise, it appears that more research is needed to fully understand its clinical utility for musculoskeletal tissues and, in particular, its potential for predicting outcomes after surgical rotator cuff repair.

This study has several limitations to consider when interpreting the results. First, only 23 of 33 participants returned for the postsurgical follow-up visit because of the ongoing COVID-19 public health crisis. Second, the small sample size likely affected the study's statistical power. An a priori power analysis suggested a sample size of 40 patients was required to detect an increase in r^2 of 0.18 with 80% power. However, the generally weak associations between predictors and outcomes suggest that meaningful predictions were not likely ignored despite the small sample size. Third, only patients scheduled to undergo arthroscopic repair of a small- or medium-sized rotator cuff tear were included in the study. As a result, the between-subject variability in measures of tear chronicity was limited, which may have impacted our ability to identify potentially meaningful relationships. Fourth, patients with acute tears were excluded from the study to increase the likelihood that chronic changes would be seen within the muscle-tendon unit. However, doing so may have increased the observed rate of recurrent tear. Fifth, although the reliability of the methods used in this study has been established,² SWE measurements are often challenging to standardize both within and across individuals. Consequently, the present study used a standardized patient position, the same SWE operator for all subjects, and custom software run by a single operator in an attempt to improve reliability and to quantitatively calculate SWE measures.

Conclusion

Although SWE remains a promising modality for many clinical applications, this study found that SWE-estimated shear modulus did not predict repair integrity or functional outcomes at 1 year after surgery, nor did it add to the prediction of outcomes above and beyond that provided by traditional presurgical MRI measures of tear characteristics. Therefore, it appears that further studies are necessary to fully understand the clinical utility of SWE for musculoskeletal tissue and, in particular, its potential use for predicting outcomes after surgical rotator cuff repair.

Disclaimers:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (grant number R21AR072785). The NIH did not play a role in the design, conduct, or reporting of this study.

Conflicts of interest: The authors, their immediate families, and any research foundation with which they are affiliated have not received any financial payments or other benefits from any commercial entity related to the subject of this article.

References

- Anderson K, Boothby M, Aschenbrener D, van Holsbeeck M. Outcome and structural integrity after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using 2 rows of fixation: minimum 2-year follow-up. Am J Sports Med 2006;34:1899-905. https:// doi.org/10.1177/0363546506290187.
- Baumer TG, Davis L, Dischler J, Siegal DS, van Holsbeeck M, Moutzouros V, et al. Shear wave elastography of the supraspinatus muscle and tendon: repeatability and preliminary findings. J Biomech 2017;53:201-4. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2017.01.008.
- Baumer TG, Dischler J, Davis L, Labyed Y, Siegal DS, van Holsbeeck M, et al. Effects of age and pathology on shear wave speed of the human rotator cuff. J Orthop Res 2017;36:282-3. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.23641.
- Berg WA, Mendelson EB, Cosgrove DO, Dore CJ, Gay J, Henry JP, et al. Quantitative maximum shear-wave stiffness of breast masses as a predictor of histopathologic severity. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015;205:448-55. https://doi.org/ 10.2214/AJR.14.13448.
- Berth A, Neumann W, Awiszus F, Pap G. Massive rotator cuff tears: functional outcome after debridement or arthroscopic partial repair. J Orthop Traumatol 2010;11:13-20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10195-010-0084-0.
- Bey MJ, Peltz CD, Ciarelli K, Kline SK, Divine GW, van Holsbeeck M, et al. In vivo shoulder function after surgical repair of a torn rotator cuff: glenohumeral joint

mechanics, shoulder strength, clinical outcomes, and their interaction. Am J Sports Med 2011;39:2117-29. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546511412164.

- Boileau P, Brassart N, Watkinson DJ, Carles M, Hatzidakis AM, Krishnan SG. Arthroscopic repair of full-thickness tears of the supraspinatus: does the tendon really heal? J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005;87:1229-40. https://doi.org/ 10.2106/JBJS.D.02035.
- 8. Castagna A, Conti M, Markopoulos N, Borroni M, De Flaviis L, Giardella A, et al. Arthroscopic repair of rotator cuff tear with a modified Mason-Allen stitch: mid-term clinical and ultrasound outcomes. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2008;16:497-503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-007-0461-2.
- Cho NS, Lee BG, Rhee YG. Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair using a suture bridge technique: is the repair integrity actually maintained? Am J Sports Med 2011;39:2108-16. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546510397171.
- Chung SW, Kim JY, Kim MH, Kim SH, Oh JH. Arthroscopic repair of massive rotator cuff tears: outcome and analysis of factors associated with healing failure or poor postoperative function. Am J Sports Med 2013;41:1674-83. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513485719.
- Cole BJ, McCarty LP 3rd, Kang RW, Alford W, Lewis PB, Hayden JK. Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: prospective functional outcome and repair integrity at minimum 2-year follow-up. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2007;16:579-85. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2006.12.011.
- Colvin AC, Egorova N, Harrison AK, Moskowitz A, Flatow EL. National trends in rotator cuff repair. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2012;94:227-33. https://doi.org/ 10.2106/JBJS.J.00739.
- Demirors H, Circi E, Akgun RC, Tarhan NC, Cetin N, Akpinar S, et al. Correlations of isokinetic measurements with tendon healing following open repair of rotator cuff tears. Int Orthop 2010;34:531-6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-009-0827-9.
- Djahangiri A, Cozzolino A, Zanetti M, Helmy N, Rufibach K, Jost B, et al. Outcome of single-tendon rotator cuff repair in patients aged older than 65 years. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2013;22:45-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jse.2012.03.012.
- Duong JKH, Lam PH, Murrell GAC. Anteroposterior tear size, age, hospital, and case number are important predictors of repair integrity: an analysis of 1962 consecutive arthroscopic single-row rotator cuff repairs. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2020;30:1907-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2020.09.038.
- Eby SF, Song P, Chen S, Chen Q, Greenleaf JF, An KN. Validation of shear wave elastography in skeletal muscle. J Biomech 2013;46:2381-7. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.07.033.
- Feldmann A, Langlois C, Dewailly M, Martinez EF, Boulanger L, Kerdraon O, et al. Shear wave elastography (SWE): an analysis of breast lesion characterization in 83 breast lesions. Ultrasound Med Biol 2015;41:2594-604. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2015.05.019.
- Flurin PH, Landreau P, Gregory T, Boileau P, Brassart N, Courage O, et al. [Arthroscopic repair of full-thickness cuff tears: a multicentric retrospective study of 576 cases with anatomical assessment]. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 2005;91:31-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-1040(05)84503-9 [in French].
- Frank JB, ElAttrache NS, Dines JS, Blackburn A, Crues J, Tibone JE. Repair site integrity after arthroscopic transosseous-equivalent suture-bridge rotator cuff repair. Am J Sports Med 2008;36:1496-503. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546507313574.
- 20. Fuchs B, Weishaupt D, Zanetti M, Hodler J, Gerber C. Fatty degeneration of the muscles of the rotator cuff: assessment by computed tomography versus magnetic resonance imaging. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1999;8:599-605.
- Gerber C, Meyer DC, Schneeberger AG, Hoppeler H, von Rechenberg B. Effect of tendon release and delayed repair on the structure of the muscles of the rotator cuff: an experimental study in sheep. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2004;86:1973-82. https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200409000-00016.
- Gilbert F, Klein D, Weng AM, Köstler H, Schmitz B, Schmalzl J, et al. Supraspinatus muscle elasticity measured with real time shear wave ultrasound elastography correlates with MRI spectroscopic measured amount of fatty degeneration. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2017;18:549. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12891-017-1911-8.
- Gimbel JA, Van Kleunen JP, Mehta S, Perry SM, Williams GR, Soslowsky LJ. Supraspinatus tendon organizational and mechanical properties in a chronic rotator cuff tear animal model. J Biomech 2004;37:739-49. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2003.09.019.
- Gumucio JP, Korn MA, Saripalli AL, Flood MD, Phan AC, Roche SM, et al. Agingassociated exacerbation in fatty degeneration and infiltration after rotator cuff tear. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2014;23:99-108. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jse.2013.04.011.
- Hagan M, Asrani SK, Talwalkar J. Non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis and prognosis. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;9:1251-60. https://doi.org/ 10.1586/17474124.2015.1075391.
- Hatta T, Giambini H, Itoigawa Y, Hooke AW, Sperling JW, Steinmann SP, et al. Quantifying extensibility of rotator cuff muscle with tendon rupture using shear wave elastography: a cadaveric study. J Biomech 2017;61:131-6. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2017.07.009.
- Hatta T, Giambini H, Uehara K, Okamoto S, Chen S, Sperling JW, et al. Quantitative assessment of rotator cuff muscle elasticity: reliability and feasibility of shear wave elastography. J Biomech 2015;48:3853-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.09.038.
- Hatta T, Giambini H, Zhao C, Sperling JW, Steinmann SP, Itoi E, et al. Biomechanical effect of margin convergence techniques: quantitative assessment of

supraspinatus muscle stiffness. PLoS One 2016;11:e0162110. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0162110.

- Hersche O, Gerber C. Passive tension in the supraspinatus musculotendinous unit after long-standing rupture of its tendon: a preliminary report. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1998;7:393-6.
- Hughes RE, Johnson ME, O'Driscoll SW, An KN. Age-related changes in normal isometric shoulder strength. Am J Sports Med 1999;27:651-7.
- Huijsmans PE, Pritchard MP, Berghs BM, van Rooyen KS, Wallace AL, de Beer JF. Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with double-row fixation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2007;89:1248-57. https://doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.e.00743.
- Itoigawa Y, Maruyama Y, Kawasaki T, Wada T, Yoshida K, An KN, et al. Shear wave elastography can predict passive stiffness of supraspinatus musculotendinous unit during arthroscopic rotator cuff repair for presurgical planning. Arthroscopy 2018;34:2276-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.arthro.2018.2201.2059.
- Itoigawa Y, Sperling JW, Steinmann SP, Chen Q, Song P, Chen S, et al. Feasibility assessment of shear wave elastography to rotator cuff muscle. Clin Anat 2015;28:213-8. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.22498.
- Itoigawa Y, Wada T, Kawasaki T, Morikawa D, Maruyama Y, Kaneko K. Supraspinatus muscle and tendon stiffness changes after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a shear wave elastography assessment. J Orthop Res 2020;38:219-27. https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.24469.
- Killian ML, Cavinatto LM, Ward SR, Havlioglu N, Thomopoulos S, Galatz LM. Chronic degeneration leads to poor healing of repaired massive rotator cuff tears in Rats. Am J Sports Med 2015;43:2401-10. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546515596408.
- Kim HJ, Lee HK, Cho JH, Yang HJ. Quantitative comparison of transient elastography (TE), shear wave elastography (SWE) and liver biopsy results of patients with chronic liver disease. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:2465-8. https:// doi.org/10.1589/jpts.27.2465.
- Kluger R, Bock P, Mittlbock M, Krampla W, Engel A. Long-term survivorship of rotator cuff repairs using ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging analysis. Am J Sports Med 2011;39:2071-81. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546511406395.
- Kuzel BR, Grindel S, Papandrea R, Ziegler D. Fatty infiltration and rotator cuff atrophy. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2013;21:613-23. https://doi.org/10.5435/ jaaos-21-10-613.
- Lafosse L, Brozska R, Toussaint B, Gobezie R. The outcome and structural integrity of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair with use of the double-row suture anchor technique. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2007;89:1533-41. https://doi.org/ 10.2106/jbjs.f.00305.
- Laron D, Samagh SP, Liu X, Kim HT, Feeley BT. Muscle degeneration in rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2012;21:164-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jse.2011.09.027.
- Lawrence RL, Ruder MC, Moutzouros V, Makhni EC, Muh S, Siegal D, et al. Ultrasound shear wave elastography and its association with rotator cuff tear characteristics. J Shoulder Elbow Surg Int 2021;5:500-6. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jseint.2020.11.008.
- Le BT, Wu XL, Lam PH, Murrell GA. Factors predicting rotator cuff retears: an analysis of 1000 consecutive rotator cuff repairs. Am J Sports Med 2014;42: 1134-42. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546514525336.
- Liem D, Lichtenberg S, Magosch P, Habermeyer P. Magnetic resonance imaging of arthroscopic supraspinatus tendon repair. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2007;89: 1770-6. https://doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.f.00749.
- Mall NA, Kim HM, Keener JD, Steger-May K, Teefey SA, Middleton WD, et al. Symptomatic progression of asymptomatic rotator cuff tears: a prospective study of clinical and sonographic variables. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010;92: 2623-33. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.I.00506.
- McClellan PE, Kesavan L, Wen Y, Ina J, Knapik DM, Gillespie RJ, et al. Volumetric MicroCT Intensity Histograms of Fatty Infiltration Correlate with the Mechanical Strength of Rotator Cuff Repairs: An Ex Vivo Rabbit Model. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2021;479:406-18. https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.000000000001540.
- McElvany MD, McGoldrick E, Gee AO, Neradilek MB, Matsen FA 3rd. Rotator cuff repair: published evidence on factors associated with repair integrity and clinical outcome. Am J Sports Med 2015;43:491-500. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546514529644.
- Milgrom C, Schaffler M, Gilbert S, van Holsbeeck M. Rotator-cuff changes in asymptomatic adults. The effect of age, hand dominance and gender. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1995;77:296-8.
- Nho SJ, Adler RS, Tomlinson DP, Allen AA, Cordasco FA, Warren RF, et al. Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: prospective evaluation with sequential ultrasonography. Am J Sports Med 2009;37:1938-45. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546509335764.
- Nho SJ, Brown BS, Lyman S, Adler RS, Altchek DW, MacGillivray JD. Prospective analysis of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: prognostic factors affecting clinical and ultrasound outcome. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2009;18:13-20. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2008.05.045.
- Oh JH, Kim SH, Ji HM, Jo KH, Bin SW, Gong HS. Prognostic factors affecting anatomic outcome of rotator cuff repair and correlation with functional outcome. Arthroscopy 2009;25:30-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.arthro.2008.08.010.
- Randelli P, Spennacchio P, Ragone V, Arrigoni P, Casella A, Cabitza P. Complications associated with arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a literature review. Musculoskelet Surg 2012;96:9-16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12306-011-0175-y.

M.C. Ruder, R.L. Lawrence, S.B. Soliman et al.

- Randelli PS, Menon A, Nocerino E, Aliprandi A, Feroldi FM, Mazzoleni MG, et al. Long-term results of arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: Initial tear size matters: a prospective study on clinical and radiological results at a minimum follow-up of 10 Years. Am J Sports Med 2019;47:2659-69. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546519865529.
- Rosskopf AB, Ehrmann C, Buck FM, Gerber C, Fluck M, Pfirrmann CW. Quantitative shear-wave US elastography of the supraspinatus muscle: reliability of the method and relation to tendon integrity and muscle quality. Radiology 2016;278:465-74. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2015150908.
- Safran O, Derwin KA, Powell K, Iannotti JP. Changes in rotator cuff muscle volume, fat content, and passive mechanics after chronic detachment in a canine model. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005;87:2662-70. https://doi.org/10.2106/ JBJS.D.02421.
- Sato EJ, Killian ML, Choi AJ, Lin E, Esparza MC, Galatz LM, et al. Skeletal muscle fibrosis and stiffness increase after rotator cuff tendon injury and neuromuscular compromise in a rat model. J Orthop Res 2014;32:1111-6. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jor.22646.
- 56. Shin SJ, Chung J, Lee J, Ko YW. Recovery of muscle strength after intact arthroscopic rotator cuff repair according to preoperative rotator cuff tear size. Am J Sports Med 2016;44:972-80. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546515625043.
- Tashjian RZ, Hollins AM, Kim HM, Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Steger-May K, et al. Factors affecting healing rates after arthroscopic double-row rotator cuff repair. Am J Sports Med 2010;38:2435-42. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546510382835.

- Thomazeau H, Boukobza E, Morcet N, Chaperon J, Langlais F. Prediction of rotator cuff repair results by magnetic resonance imaging. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1997:275-83.
- 59. Thomazeau H, Rolland Y, Lucas C, Duval JM, Langlais F. Atrophy of the supraspinatus belly. Assessment by MRI in 55 patients with rotator cuff pathology. Acta Orthop Scand 1996;67:264–8.
- Toussaint B, Schnaser E, Bosley J, Lefebvre Y, Gobezie R. Early structural and functional outcomes for arthroscopic double-row transosseous-equivalent rotator cuff repair. Am J Sports Med 2011;39:1217-25. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0363546510397725.
- Wiesler ER, Hunter DM, Martin DF, Curl WW, Hoen H. Ankle flexibility and injury patterns in dancers. Am J Sports Med 1996;24:754-7.
- Wu XL, Briggs L, Murrell GA. Intraoperative determinants of rotator cuff repair integrity: an analysis of 500 consecutive repairs. Am J Sports Med 2012;40: 2771-6. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546512462677.
- Yamamoto A, Takagishi K, Osawa T, Yanagawa T, Nakajima D, Shitara H, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of a rotator cuff tear in the general population. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2010;19:116-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jse.2009.04.006.
- 64. Yoo SJ, Lee S, Song Y, Kim CK, Lee BG, Bae J. Elasticity of torn supraspinatus tendons measured by shear wave elastography: a potential surrogate marker of chronicity? Ultrasonography (Seoul, Korea) 2020;39:144-51. https://doi.org/ 10.14366/usg.19035.
- Zanetti M, Gerber C, Hodler J. Quantitative assessment of the muscles of the rotator cuff with magnetic resonance imaging. Invest Radiol 1998;33:163-70.