

Studies have also shown that T cell-dependent and T cell-independent vaccine responses are unaffected by tofacitinib (3,4). In one study, patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving treatment with tofacitinib 10 mg twice a day (with or without methotrexate) were randomized to continue or to stop tofacitinib treatment 1 week prior and 1 week following immunization with the pneumococcal polyvalent-23 vaccine (PPV23) or the trivalent influenza vaccine (3). Antibody titers measured 35 days postimmunization were satisfactory in both the continue and hold groups for the PPV23 (75.0% and 84.6%, respectively [T cell-independent response]) and the influenza vaccine (66.3% and 63.7%, respectively [T cell-dependent response]) (3). In another study, patients with psoriasis receiving treatment with tofacitinib 10 mg twice a day demonstrated a robust vaccine response to T cell-dependent tetanus toxoid (88%) and T cell-dependent 13-valent conjugate pneumococcal vaccines (80%) (4).

As with any clinical decision, risk-benefit analysis for each patient includes consideration of the potential for disease flares. In the aforementioned study, tofacitinib treatment interruption led to a steady increase in disease activity scores compared with continuous treatment (Figure 1) (5). Therefore, in addition to the ACR guidelines, we encourage clinicians to consider the above data during shared decision-making with patients when advising on medication management in the context of COVID vaccination.

*Editorial support, under the guidance of the authors, was provided by Tanya Guha, PhD, CMC Connect, McCann Health Medical Communications and was funded by Pfizer Inc, New York, New York, USA, in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP3) guidelines (Ann Intern Med 2015;163:461-4). Drs. Mortezaei, Menon, Lee, and Rivas are employees and shareholders of Pfizer Inc.*

Mahta Mortezaei, MD  
Pfizer Inc  
New York, NY  
Sujatha Menon, PhD  
Pfizer Inc  
Groton, CT  
Kristen Lee, MD  
Pfizer Inc  
New York, NY  
Jose Rivas, MD  
Pfizer SLU  
Madrid, Spain

1. Curtis JR, Johnson SR, Anthony DD, Arasaratnam RJ, Baden LR, Bass AR, et al. American College of Rheumatology guidance for COVID-19 vaccination in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: version 1. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2021;73:1093-107.
2. Hodge JA, Kawabata TT, Krishnaswami S, Clark JD, Telliez JB, Dowty ME, et al. The mechanism of action of tofacitinib: an oral Janus kinase inhibitor for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2016;34:318-28.
3. Winthrop KL, Silverfield J, Racewicz A, Neal J, Lee EB, Hrycaj P, et al. The effect of tofacitinib on pneumococcal and influenza vaccine responses in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:687-95.
4. Winthrop KL, Korman N, Abramovits W, Rottinghaus ST, Tan H, Gardner A, et al. T cell-mediated immune response to pneumococcal

conjugate vaccine (PCV-13) and tetanus toxoid vaccine in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis during tofacitinib treatment. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2017;78:1149-55.e1.

5. Kaine J, Tesser J, Takiya L, DeMasi R, Wang L, Snyder M, et al. Re-establishment of efficacy of tofacitinib, an oral JAK inhibitor, after temporary discontinuation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2020;39:2127-37.

DOI 10.1002/art.41805

## Reply

*To the Editor:*





We appreciate the comment by Dr. Mortezaei and colleagues describing COVID-19 vaccine response and the frequency of disease worsening in patients receiving tofacitinib. The ACR COVID-19 Vaccine Clinical Guidance Task Force was aware of the 2 studies cited and appreciate their summary of the results. We would point out that in the rheumatoid arthritis study by Winthrop et al (1), patients receiving tofacitinib in Study A had a lower likelihood of a satisfactory response to pneumococcal vaccination (45.1%) compared to placebo-treated patients (68.4%), a difference of 23.3% (95% confidence interval [95% CI] -36.6, -9.6). The differences were numerically even larger for patients receiving concomitant tofacitinib and methotrexate (31.6% of patients with a satisfactory response, difference of -30.2% [95% CI] -47.3, -11.4) compared to methotrexate monotherapy. Our challenge was in considering the appropriateness of extrapolating results from vaccine studies of influenza, pneumococcal, and tetanus toxoid vaccines to make inferences regarding the anticipated response to vaccination against SARS-CoV-2, a novel antigen to which most individuals have not previously been exposed.

The Task Force recognized that infection rates, and perhaps response to vaccinations against those infections, might be heterogeneous according to pathogen. For example, JAK inhibitors approximately double the incidence of herpes zoster compared to biologics such as tumor necrosis factor inhibitors, yet they do not meaningfully increase rates of other infections (e.g., pneumonia) (1-3). We noted that in the Oral Strategy study, adalimumab-treated patients receiving vaccination with the live herpes zoster vaccine had lower incidence rates of herpes zoster (0.0 per 100 patient-years) compared to nonvaccinated patients (incidence rate 2.1 per 100 patient-years) (4). In contrast, and recognizing that numbers were small, tofacitinib-treated patients had similar rates of herpes zoster regardless of vaccination (incidence rate 3.0 per 100 patient-years in vaccinated versus 2.2 per 100 patient-years in nonvaccinated patients).

We also appreciate the data provided by Dr. Mortezaei and colleagues regarding the rate of disease worsening in patients whose treatment with tofacitinib was briefly interrupted. At ~2 weeks, the mean worsening in the 4-variable DAS28 of 0.7 units was of smaller magnitude than typically considered the minimum clinically important difference (MCID) for the DAS28 (i.e., >1.2

units) (5). The MCID for defining disease worsening using the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI) in patients who had moderate disease activity at the start of treatment is undefined, although a 1-unit change in each of the 4 CDAI components (tender joint count, swollen joint count, patient global assessment, and physician global assessment) is often considered to be the measurement error for each of these (6). Taken together, the mean amount of disease worsening associated with brief interruptions in therapy seems small and likely not of clinical importance for most patients, especially in light of the guidance recommending that JAK inhibitors be withheld for 1 week at the time of each vaccine administration, rather than for 2 consecutive weeks.

Ultimately, we await prospective data regarding the influence of JAK inhibitors and other immunomodulatory therapies used at the time of COVID-19 vaccination on immunogenicity and correlates of serologic protection. Since the ACR COVID-19 Vaccine Guidance is a living document, our plan is to rapidly update it and incorporate new evidence as it accumulates.

Jeffrey R. Curtis, MD, MS, MPH   
*University of Alabama at Birmingham*  
 Sindhu R. Johnson, MD, PhD   
*Toronto Western Hospital Mount Sinai Hospital*  
*and University of Toronto*  
*Toronto, Ontario, Canada*  
 Donald D. Anthony, MD, PhD  
*VA Medical Center, MetroHealth Medical Center*  
*and Case Western Reserve*  
*Cleveland, OH*  
 Reuben J. Arasaratnam, MD  
*VA North Texas Health Care System*  
*and University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center*  
*Dallas, TX*  
 Lindsey R. Baden, MD, MSc  
 Ellen M. Gravallese, MD  
*Brigham and Women's Hospital*  
*Boston, MA*  
 Anne R. Bass, MD   
*Hospital for Special Surgery*  
*and Weill Cornell Medicine*  
*New York, NY*  
 Cassandra Calabrese, DO  
*Cleveland Clinic Foundation*  
*Cleveland, OH*  
 Rafael Harpaz, MD  
*Harpaz Herman Consultants, LLC*  
*Atlanta, GA*  
 Andrew Kroger, MD, MPH  
*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*  
*Atlanta, GA*  
 Rebecca E. Sadun, MD, PhD  
*Duke University*  
*Durham, NC*  
 Amy S. Turner   
*American College of Rheumatology*  
*Atlanta, GA*  
 Eleanor Anderson Williams, MD  
*The Permanente Medical Group*  
*Union City, CA*

Ted R. Mikuls, MD, MSPH   
*University of Nebraska Medical Center*  
*and VA Nebraska–Western Iowa Health Care System*  
*Omaha, NE*


1. Winthrop KL, Curtis JR, Lindsey S, Tanaka Y, Yamaoka K, Valdez H, et al. Herpes zoster and tofacitinib: clinical outcomes and the risk of concomitant therapy. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2017;69:1960–8.
2. Bechman K, Subesinghe S, Norton S, Atzeni F, Galli M, Cope AP, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of infection risk with small molecule JAK inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2019;58:1755–66.
3. Curtis JR, Xie F, Yun H, Bernatsky S, Winthrop KL. Real-world comparative risks of herpes virus infections in tofacitinib and biologic-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75:1843–7.
4. Calabrese LH, Abud-Mendoza C, Lindsey SM, Lee SH, Tatulych S, Takiya L, et al. Live zoster vaccine in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with tofacitinib with or without methotrexate, or adalimumab with methotrexate: a post hoc analysis of data from a phase IIIb/IV randomized study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2020;72:353–9.
5. Uhlig T, Kvien TK, Pincus T. Test-retest reliability of disease activity core set measures and indices in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2009;68:972–5.
6. Curtis JR, Yang S, Chen L, Pope JE, Keystone EC, Haraoui B, et al. Determining the minimally important difference in the Clinical Disease Activity Index for improvement and worsening in early rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2015;67:1345–53.

DOI 10.1002/art.41721

### Are there thresholds of conflict of interest with gifts from industry? Comment on the article by Wayant et al

To the Editor:

I would like to thank Dr. Wayant and colleagues for their analysis of financial conflicts of interest among physician-authors of American College of Rheumatology clinical practice guidelines (1). Given the known challenges with the Open Payments Database, as was described in their evaluation, I am curious if the data show a natural demarcation between small gifts and significantly larger gifts. While there are not defined levels of conflict of interest, I would like to know if the data suggested that there may be a threshold for authors with small gifts (for example, <\$200 for smaller gifts and ≥\$500 for larger gifts). The data may better define thresholds of conflict of interest. A gift with an estimated value of <\$200 on a \$200,000 physician salary would likely carry less influence than a \$10,000 gift. A scatterplot with linear or logged y-axis for gift amount may be instructive. I would be grateful if Dr. Wayant and colleagues could provide this analysis to supplement their article.

John D. FitzGerald, MD, PhD   
*University of California, Los Angeles*

1. Wayant C, Walters C, Zaaza Z, Gilstrap C, Combs T, Crow H, et al. Evaluation of financial conflicts of interest among physician-authors of American College of Rheumatology clinical practice guidelines. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2020;72:1427–34.