



Article **Prevalence of Risk of Sarcopenia in Polish Elderly Population—A Population Study**

Magdalena Milewska ¹, Zuzanna Przekop ^{1,*}, Dorota Szostak-Węgierek ¹, Mariola Chrzanowska ^{2,3}, Filip Raciborski ², Iwona Traczyk ⁴, Beata Irena Sińska ³ and Bolesław Samoliński ²

- ¹ Department of Clinical Dietetics, Faculty of Health and Science, Medical University of Warsaw, 01-445 Warszawa, Poland
- ² Department of Prevention of Environmental Hazards and Allergology, Faculty of Health Sciences, Medical University of Warsaw, 02-091 Warszawa, Poland
- ³ Department of Econometrics and Statistics, Institute of Economics and Finance, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, 02-787 Warszawa, Poland
- ⁴ Department of Human Nutrition, Faculty of Health Sciences, Medical University of Warsaw, 01-445 Warszawa, Poland
- * Correspondence: zuzanna.przekop@gmail.com; Tel.: +48-22-57-20-931

Abstract: Sarcopenia in the elderly population is a public health challenge, and there are few data on its prevalence in Europe. In this study, we investigated the prevalence of sarcopenia in the elderly Polish population and its association with the level of obesity and co-existing diseases. We conducted a population-based cross-sectional study involving 823 men and 1177 women aged 65 years and older, randomly selected from the population living in the territory of the Republic of Poland between 2017 and 2020. We analyzed the results of body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR). Risk of sarcopenia was assessed with the simple questionnaire to rapidly diagnose sarcopenia (SARC-F), and sarcopenic obesity risk was defined as the combination of anthropometry and SARC-F results. In addition, we collected disease data with an author questionnaire. The prevalence of risk of sarcopenia was 18.6% (22.3% in women and 13.2% in men), and its incidence significantly increased with age in both sexes. The risk of sarcopenic obesity was more common in women than in men, and it was higher in the older age group, except for sarcopenic obesity diagnosed by the WHR criteria. The group of elderly with concomitant diseases had a higher risk of developing sarcopenia, which emphasizes the need to monitor sarcopenia when concomitant diseases are diagnosed. In both groups, risk of sarcopenia was associated with motor and respiratory system diseases, type 2 diabetes, and neurological diseases. This study highlights that the risk of sarcopenia in the elderly population affects women to a greater extent than men. It is important to identify the elderly at risk of sarcopenia in routine clinical practice to develop long-term prevention strategies.

Keywords: sarcopenia; aged; frailty; obesity; risk factors

1. Introduction

Sarcopenia is considered a muscle disorder characterized by progressive loss of muscle strength and mass due to aging and/or chronic diseases [1]. While many studies have been published on sarcopenia in Asian populations, there are far fewer studies examining the prevalence and clinical outcomes in the elderly in Europe. Depending on the criteria used to diagnose sarcopenia, discrepancies in the prevalence of sarcopenia can be observed. It ranges from 5–13% in 60–70 years old to 11–50% in people older than 80 years [2] and up to 76% of acutely hospitalized elderly patients [3,4]. The etiology of sarcopenia is multifactorial. Although age is a major cause, other intrinsic and extrinsic factors are also considered as possible causes for the development of sarcopenia, such as physical inactivity, polypharmacy, vitamin D deficiency, poor nutritional status, and multimorbidity [5].



Citation: Milewska, M.; Przekop, Z.; Szostak-Węgierek, D.; Chrzanowska, M.; Raciborski, F.; Traczyk, I.; Sińska, B.I.; Samoliński, B. Prevalence of Risk of Sarcopenia in Polish Elderly Population—A Population Study. *Nutrients* 2022, *14*, 3466. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu14173466

Academic Editor: Simone Perna

Received: 2 August 2022 Accepted: 21 August 2022 Published: 24 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

It is known that there is a significant association between sarcopenia and malnutrition in hospitalized older adults [6]. However, sarcopenia may also be associated with obesity and may contribute to poorer overall survival [7], higher risk of frailty [8], and higher risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus [9]. It is worth noting that a recent study confirmed that low appendicular skeletal mass index (ASMI) is common in community-dwelling elderly with normal nutritional status. Moreover, a positive association was found between BMI, calf circumference, bone mass, and ASMI [10]. These observations underscore the need for early screening for sarcopenia and provide the background for the development of national prevention strategies at the population level. Many studies indicate higher health care costs for sarcopenic patients compared with non-sarcopenic patients. However, the quality of research does not support a strong conclusion that sarcopenia increases the overall cost of care [11]. Given the high prevalence of sarcopenia in the elderly population and its association with poor outcomes, such as worsening functional status, disability, loss of independence, and increased risk of death, sarcopenia may be considered a geriatric syndrome [12,13]. Considering that sarcopenia is a public health challenge, we considered it important to conduct a detailed study on sarcopenia risk and its determinants in community-dwelling older adults. The aim of the study was to investigate the prevalence of sarcopenia risk in the older Polish population and its association with the level of obesity and co-existing diseases.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Design and Methods

This was a population-based cross-sectional study involving 823 men and 1177 women aged 65 years and older, randomly selected from the population living in the territory of the Republic of Poland. The research was carried out from 2017 to 2020. The design and methods of the study are presented in detail in a separate paper [14]. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Warsaw (AKBE/163/17 and AKBE164/17) and was conducted under the Ministry of Health Programme: National Health Programme for 2016–2020.

2.2. Data Collection and Instruments

Prior to the pandemic triggered by COVID-19 (October 2017–March 2020), all data were collected using the computer-assisted personal interviews (CAPI) method; thereafter the computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI) method was used (June 2020–December 2020). An author questionnaire was used to collect demographic and clinical data.

2.2.1. Anthropometric Measurements

Trained interviewers performed anthropometric measurements (height, body weight, and waist and hip circumferences) according to the recommendations of the WHO [15]. Body weight was measured with a portable electronic scale (Omron, model HBF-212, Omron Healthcare Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) with an accuracy of 0.1 kg [16]. For the measurement of body weight and height, respondents wore light clothing with empty pockets and no shoes. Body height was measured with an anthropometric tape measure and an angle measure by placing a sticky note on the wall above the tip of the respondent nose so that he or she touched the note with the back of his head. Then the angle was placed on the top of the respondent's head at right angles to the wall. The interviewer marked a point with a pencil at the level of the lowest edge of the angle. The distance between the marked point and the floor was measured with an accuracy of 0.1 cm using an anthropometric tape measure.

For waist circumference (WC) measurement, the respondents stood upright and relaxed. Measurements were taken after the subjects had taken several free breaths. The tape measure was placed parallel to the floor around the abdomen at the narrowest point (between the lowest rib and the top of the hip bone). The result was noted with an accuracy of 1 mm. Waist circumference categories were classified according to the IDF criteria [17].

To measure hip circumference, the interviewer placed the tape measure around the hip at the widest part of the buttocks, below the hip plates (directly above the pubic bone in women and between the iliac crest and the pubic bone in men). The tape was positioned parallel to the ground. The result was measured with an accuracy of 1 mm.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height (m) squared. BMI categories were classified according to the CDC criteria [16] and the criteria established by Lipschitz for the elderly population, with a range of normal values of $22-27 \text{ kg/m}^2$ [18].

Adipose tissue distribution was assessed using the waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), which is calculated by dividing waist circumference by hip circumference in centimeters. In women, values of 0.85 or greater indicate abdominal (visceral, central) obesity and less than 0.85 indicate gluteal (gynoid, peripheral) obesity. In men, the cutoff value is 0.9 [4]. The waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), waist circumference and body height ratio were calculated. A value of \geq 0.5 indicates an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes in both men and women [19].

2.2.2. Sarcopenia Risk Assessment

Patients at risk of sarcopenia were identified using the simple questionnaire to rapidly diagnose sarcopenia (SARC-F) developed by Malmstrom TK and Morley JE [20]. We used the Polish version of the SARC-F questionnaire validated in free-living elderly [21]. The instrument tests five domains: (1) strength, (2) assistance with walking, (3) getting up from a chair, (4) climbing stairs, and (5) falls. A SARC-F score value \geq 4 was considered diagnostic.

Sarcopenic obesity risk was defined by the coexistence of sarcopenia risk (SARC-F score value \geq 4) and obesity defined as BMI value \geq 30 kg/m² or abdominal obesity defined by one of the following criteria: WC \geq 102 (M), \geq 88 (F); WHR \geq 0.9 (M), \geq 0.85 (F); or WHtR > 0.5.

2.3. Data Analysis

The collected data were presented using descriptive statistics. For qualitative variables, the chi-square test was used. Quantitative variables were compared using the Student's *t*-test and the Z-test (Tests About a Population Mean and About a Population Proportion). For all computations, a significance level of alpha equals 0.05 (*p* value < 0.05) used. Calculations were performed using R packages.

3. Results

3.1. Samples Characteristics

The demographic characteristics of all 2000 subjects studied, divided into sex and age groups, are shown in Table 1. The women were better educated, more often widowed, and in a worse financial situation than the men. The anthropometric characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 2. Women had a higher mean BMI than men (28.00 ± 5.13 vs. 27.17 ± 3.77 , respectively; p < 0.001), were more often obese according to the criteria set by the WHO (32.8% vs. 16.9%, respectively; p < 0.001), and were more often overweight according to the criteria set for the elderly population (53.6% vs. 47.1%, respectively; p < 0.001). Men had a higher mean waist circumference (94.31 ± 13.01 vs. 91.80 ± 13.78 , respectively; p < 0.001) but a lower percentage of the highest category of WC values (25.7% vs. 60.9%, respectively; p < 0.001) than women. In contrast, abdominal obesity, defined by the WHR value, was more common in men (85.8% vs. 61.9%, respectively; p < 0.001). The frequency of WHtR values above 0.5 was higher in women than men (74.8% vs. 66.6%, respectively; p < 0.001).

| Characteristic | | | Ma Years | lles, of Age | | Females, Years of Age | | | | <i>p</i> for |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| | | Total ≥ 65 (<i>n</i> = 823) | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 548) | ≥ 75 (<i>n</i> = 275) | p | Total \ge 65 (<i>n</i> = 1177) | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 633) | ≥ 75 (<i>n</i> = 544) | р | Difference between Genders |
| Education | Primary/middle (gymnasium), n(%) | 129 (15.7) | 66 (12.0) | 63 (22.9) | | 193 (16.4) | 52 (8.2) | 141 (25.9) | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| | Middle (basic vocational school), <i>n</i> (%) | 441 (53.6) | 313 (57.1) | 128 (46.5) | | 489 (41.5) | 277 (43.8) | 212 (39.0) | | |
| | Secondary (general or technical), n(%) | 198 (24.1) | 139 (25.4) | 59 (21.5) | <0.001 | 417 (35.4) | 267 (42.2) | 150 (27.6) | | |
| | Tertiary (Bachelor's degree programmes, Master degree programmes), <i>n</i> (%) | 55 (6.7) | 30 (5.5) | 25 (9.1) | | 78 (6.6) | 37 (5.8) | 41 (7.5) | | |
| | Single, <i>n</i> (%) | 18 (2.2) | 17 (3.1) | 1 (0.4) | <0.001 - | 17 (1.4) | 11 (1.7) | 6 (1.1) | - <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Marital status | In relationship, <i>n</i> (%) | 654 (79.5) | 467 (85.2) | 187 (68.0) | | 692 (58.8) | 426 (67.3) | 266 (48.9) | | |
| | Divorced/separated, n(%) | 34 (4.1) | 16 (2.9) | 18 (6.5) | | 49 (4.2) | 33 (5.2) | 16 (2.9) | | |
| | Widowed, <i>n</i> (%) | 117 (14.2) | 48 (8.8) | 69 (25.1) | | 419 (35.6) | 163 (25.8) | 256 (47.1) | | |
| Financial condition | We are wealthy, we do not have to save even for larger expenses, <i>n</i> (%) | 4 (0.5) | 2 (0.4) | 2 (0.7) | 0.083 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.14 | <0.001 |
| | We have enough money for all expenses, and we can save some money, n(%) | 106 (12.9) | 63 (11.5) | 43 (15.6) | | 88 (7.5) | 47 (7.4) | 41 (7.5) | | |
| | We have enough money for everyday expenses, but we cannot afford more, n(%) | 618 (75.1) | 428 (78.1) | 190 (69.1) | | 896 (76.1) | 493 (77.9) | 403 (74.1) | | |
| | We have to deny ourselves many things so that we have enough money to live, $n(\%)$ | 90 (10.9) | 52 (9.5) | 38 (13.8) | | 185 (15.7) | 87 (13.7) | 98 (18.0) | | |
| | We don't have enough money, even for the most urgent needs, n(%) | 5 (0.6) | 3 (0.5) | 2 (0.7) | | 8 (0.7) | 6 (0.9) | 2 (0.4) | | |

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study group, divided into age groups, *n*(%).

Table 2. Anthropometric characteristics and prevalence of sarcopenia risk diagnosed according to the SARC-F questionnaire in the study group, divided into age groups.

| Chara | stanistis | Males, Years of Age | | | | Females, Years of Age | | | | p for |
|--|--|--|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---------|-------------------------------|
| Characteristic | | $ Total \ge 65 \\ (n = 823) $ | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 548) | ≥ 75 (<i>n</i> = 275) | р | Total \ge 65 (<i>n</i> = 1177) | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 633) | ≥ 75 (<i>n</i> = 544) | р | Difference between Genders |
| Body mass (kg) | Mean ± SD Med. Min–max | $\begin{array}{r} 81.98 \pm 12.5 \\ 81.4 \\ 48130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 82.49 \pm 12.37 \\ 82.0 \\ 49130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 80.98 \pm 12.71 \\ 80.2 \\ 48121 \end{array}$ | 0.18 | $74.63 \pm 13.79 \\74.0 \\35.3 - 140$ | $75.26 \pm 13.90 \\ 74.0 \\ 43.6 - 140$ | $73.89 \pm 13.63 \\ 74.0 \\ 35.3-118$ | 0.53 | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | Mean ± SD Med. Min–max | $\begin{array}{r} 27.17 \pm 3.77 \\ 26.71 \\ 16.81 47.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.22 \pm 3.8 \\ 26.83 \\ 16.81 47.75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.07 \pm 3.72 \\ 26.61 \\ 17.63 46.09 \end{array}$ | 0.98 | $\begin{array}{r} 28.00 \pm 5.13 \\ 27.39 \\ 16.05 45.39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.02 \pm 5.12 \\ 27.31 \\ 17.58 45.39 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.97 \pm 5.14 \\ 28.68 \\ 16.05 44.96 \end{array}$ | 0.07 | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m ²), ranges, according to WHO, <i>n</i> (%) | | 3 (0.4) 239 (29.0) 442 (53.7) 139 (16.9) | 2 (0.4) 154 (28.1) 299 (54.6) 93 (17.0) | 1 (0.4) 85 (30.9) 143 (52.0) 46 (16.7) | 0.89 | 10 (0.8) 371 (31.5) 410 (34.8) 386 (32.8) | 7 (1.1) 195 (30.8) 237 (37.4) 194 (30.6) | 3 (0.6) 176 (32.4) 173 (31.8) 192 (35.3) | 0.02 | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m ²), ranges for elderly, <i>n</i> (%) | <22 22.0–26.9 ≥27.0 | 32 (3.9) 403 (49.0) 388 (47.1) | 22 (4.0) 263 (48.0) 263 (48.0) | 10 (3.6) 140 (50.9) 125 (45.5) | 0.73 | 127 (10.8) 419 (35.6) 631 (53.6) | 64 (10.1) 228 (36.0) 341 (53.9) | 63 (11.6) 191 (35.1) 290 (53.3) | 0.72 | <0.001 |
| WC (cm) | Mean ± SD Med. Min–max | $94.31 \pm 13.01 \\ 91 \\ 69-177$ | $93.70 \pm 12.73 \\ 90 \\ 69-177$ | $95.72 \pm 13.57 \\ 93 \\ 70 - 145$ | 0.04 | $\begin{array}{r}91.80 \pm 13.78 \\ 90.2 \\ 57159\end{array}$ | $91.77 \pm 13.42 \\90 \\59.9-159$ | $\begin{array}{c} 91.85 \pm 14.27 \\ 91.1 \\ 57155 \end{array}$ | 0.92 | <0.001 |
| WC (cm), ranges, <i>n</i> (%) | <94 (M); <80 (F) 94–102 (M); 80–88 (F) ≥102 (M); ≥88 (F) | 439 (57.1) 132 (17.2) 198 (25.7) | 318 (59.2) 92 (17.1) 127 (23.6) | 121 (52.2) 40 (17.2) 71 (30.6) | 0.11 | 183 (17) 239 (22.2) 657 (60.9) | 94 (15.1) 157 (25.2) 372 (59.7) | 89 (19.5) 82 (18.0) 285 (62.5) | 0.07 | <0.001 |
| WHR | Mean ± SD Med. Min-max | $\begin{array}{c} 0.97 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.95 \\ 0.56 1.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.96 \pm 0.08 \\ 0.95 \\ 0.56 1.99 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.98 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.7 1.33 \end{array}$ | 0.001 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.87 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.87 \\ 0.48 1.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.87 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.87 \\ 0.48 1.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.86 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.87 \\ 0.51 1.29 \end{array}$ | 0.06 | <0.001 |
| WHR, ranges, <i>n</i> (%) | <0.9 (M); <0.85 (F) $\geq 0.9 (M); \geq 0.85 (F)$ | 109 (14.2) 660 (85.8) | 72 (13.4) 465 (86.6) | 37 (15.9) 195 (84.1) | 0.37 | 411 (38.1) 668 (61.9) | 228 (36.6) 395 (63.4) | 183 (40.1) 273 (59.9) | 0.25 | <0.001 |
| WHtR | Mean ± SD Med. Min–max | $\begin{array}{c} 0.54 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.53 \\ 0.41.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.54 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.52 \\ 0.41.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.55 \pm 0.08 \\ 0.54 \\ 0.41 0.81 \end{array}$ | 0.001 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.56 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.351 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.56 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.55 \\ 0.351 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.57 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.351 \end{array}$ | 0.06 | <0.001 |
| WHtR, ranges, <i>n</i> (%) | $\leq 0.5 \\ > 0.5$ | 257 (33.4) 512 (66.6) | 199 (37.1) 338 (62.9) | 58 (25.0) 174 (75.0) | 0.01 | 272 (25.2) 807 (74.8) | 163 (26.2) 460 (73.8) | 109 (23.9) 347 (76.1) | 0.44 | <0.001 |
| SARC-F, score | Mean ± SD Med. Min–max | $\begin{array}{c} 1.22 \pm 1.72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -10 \end{array}$ | 0.79 ± 1.36 0 0-7 | 2.08 ± 2.02 1 0-10 | <0.001 | 2.08 ± 1.96 2 0-9 | 1.6 ± 1.73 1 0-9 | 2.63 ± 2.06 2 0-9 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Sarcopenia, n(%) | No (SARC-F score 0–3) Yes (SARC-F score \geq 4) | 714 (86.8) 109 (13.2) | 509 (92.9) 39 (7.1) | 205 (74.5) 70 (25.5) | < 0.001 | 914 (77.7) 263 (22.3) | 548 (85.0) 85 (13.4) | 366 (67.3) 178 (32.7) | < 0.001 | <0.001 |

BMI—body mass index; WC—waist circumference; WHR—waist-to-hip ratio; WHtR—waist-to-height ratio; SARC-F—simple questionnaire to rapidly diagnose sarcopenia.

The mean SARC-F score was higher in women than in men (2.08 \pm 1.96 vs. 1.22 \pm 1.72, respectively; *p* < 0.001). Sarcopenia risk, defined as SARC-F score \geq 4, of the whole group was 18.6% and was more frequent in women (22.3% vs. 13.2%, respectively; *p* < 0.001). The frequency of sarcopenia significantly increased with age in both sexes.

3.2. Risk of Prevalence of Sarcopenia and Sarcopenic Obeisty in Adiposity Groups Determined by BMI, WC, WHR, and WHtR

Table 3 shows the prevalence of sarcopenia risk in adiposity groups. In both sexes, risk of sarcopenia occurred more frequently in the older age groups and tended to increase in almost every higher adiposity group by BMI category. In women, the risk of sarcopenia was higher than in men in almost every range of the WC and BMI categories. Both WHR and WHtR values appeared to be negatively associated with sarcopenia risk in men, while in women WHtR was positively related, and there was no relationship for WHR. The prevalence of sarcopenic obesity risk is shown in Table 4. The risk of sarcopenic obesity was more common in women than in men. The differences were highly statistically significant, with the exception of sarcopenia obesity risk, which was determined according to WHR criteria. In both sexes, the risk of sarcopenic obesity was significantly higher in the older age groups, except for sarcopenia obesity risk diagnosed using the WHR criteria.

Table 3. Sarcopenia risk prevalence in adiposity groups.

| Characteristics | | | Males, Years of Age | | | <i>p</i> for Difference | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|--------------------|
| | | Total (<i>n</i> = 823) | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 548) | ≥ 75 (<i>n</i> = 275) | Total (<i>n</i> = 1177) | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 633) | ≥ 75 (<i>n</i> = 544) | between Genders |
| BMI (kg/m ²), ranges, according to WHO n(%) | <18.5 18.5–24.9 25.0–29.9 ≥30 | 1 (33.3%) 28 (11.7%) 60 (13.6%) 20 (14.4%) | 1 (50.0%) 9 (5.8%) 23 (7.7%) 6 (6.5%) | 0 (0.0%) 19 (22.4%) 37 (25.9%) 14 (30.4%) | 3 (30.0%) 62 (16.7%) 82 (20.0%) 116 (30.1%) | 2 (28.6%) 15 (7.7%) 32 (13.5%) 36 (18.6%) | 1 (33.3%) 47 (26.7%) 50 (28.9%) 80 (41.7%) | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m ²), ranges for elderly n(%) | <22 22.0–26.9 ≥27.0 | 2 (6.3%) 56 (13.9%) 51 (13.1%) | 1 (4.5%) 22 (8.4%) 16 (6.1%) | 1 (10.0%) 34 (24.3%) 35 (28.0%) | 17 (13.4%) 84 (20.0%) 162 (25.7%) | 4 (6.3%) 26 (11.4%) 55 (16.1%) | 13 (20.6%) 58 (30.4%) 107 (36.9%) | <0.001 |
| WC (cm), ranges <i>n</i> (%) | No data <94 (M); <80 (F) 94–102 (M); 80–88 (F) | 8 (14.8%) 60 (13.7%) 10 (7.6%) | 2 (18.2%) 24 (7.5%) 6 (6.5%) | 6 (14.0%) 36 (29.8%) 4 (10.0%) | 14 (14.3%) 38 (20.8%) 45 (18.8%) | 0 (0.0%) 11 (11.7%) 18 (11.5%) | 14 (15.9%) 27 (30.3%) 27 (32.9%) | <0.001 |
| | $\geq 102 (M);$ $\geq 88 (F)$ | 31 (15.7%) | 7 (5.5%) | 24 (33.8%) | 166 (25.3%) | 56 (15.1%) | 110 (32.6%) | |
| WHR, ranges n(%) | No data <0.9 (M); <0.85 (F) ≥0.9 (M); ≥0.85 (F) | 8 (14.8%) 25 (22.9%) 76 (11.5%) | 2 (18.2%) 9 (12.5%) 28 (6.0%) | 6 (14.0%) 16 (43.2%) 48 (24.6%) | 14 (14.3%) 94 (22.9%) 155 (23.2%) | 0 (0.0%) 31 (13.6%) 54 (13.7%) | 14 (15.9%) 63 (34.4%) 105 (37.0%) | 0.02 |
| WHtR, ranges n(%) | No data ≤0.5 >0.5 | 8 (14.8%) 38 (14.8%) 63 (12.3%) | 2 (18.2%) 18 (9.0%) 19 (5.6%) | 6 (14.0%) 20 (34.5%) 44 (25.3%) | 14 (14.3%) 46 (16.9%) 203 (25.2%) | 0 (0.0%) 16 (9.8%) 69 (15.0%) | 14 (15.9%) 30 (27.5%) 134 (38.6%) | <0.001 |

BMI—body mass index; WC—waist circumference; WHR—waist-to-hip ratio; WHtR—waist-to-height ratio.

Table 4. Sarcopenic obesity risk prevalence.

| | | Males, Years of Ag | e | Females, Years of Age | | | | <i>p</i> for Difference | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Diagnostic Criteria | $\begin{array}{l} \text{Total} \geq 65\\ (n=823) \end{array}$ | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 548) | ≥ 75 (<i>n</i> = 275) | р | Total \ge 65 (<i>n</i> = 1177) | 65-74 (<i>n</i> = 633) | ≥75 (<i>n</i> = 544) | p | between Genders |
| $\frac{\text{BMI} \ge 30 \text{ kg/m}^2}{\text{and SARC-F score} \ge 4 n(\%)}$ | 20 (2.4) | 6 (1.1) | 14 (5.1) | 0.000 | 116 (9.9) | 36 (5.7) | 80 (14.7) | 0.000 | <0.001 |
| WC \geq 102 (M); \geq 88 (F) and SARC-F score \geq 4 $n(\%)$ | 31 (3.8) | 7 (1.3) | 24 (8.7) | 0.000 | 166 (14.1) | 56 (8.8) | 110 (20.2) | 0.000 | <0.001 |
| WHR \geq 0.9 (M); \geq 0.85 (F) and SARC-F score \geq 4 <i>n</i> (%) | 76 (9.2) | 28 (5.1) | 48 (17.5) | 0.407 | 155 (13.2) | 54 (8.5) | 101 (18.6) | 0.41 | <0.12 |
| WHtR > 0.5 and SARC-F score $\geq 4 n(\%)$ | 63 (7.7) | 19 (3.5) | 44 (16.0) | 0.000 | 203 (17.2) | 69 (10.9) | 134 (24.6) | 0.000 | < 0.001 |

BMI—body mass index; WC—waist circumference; WHR—waist-hip ratio; WHtR—waist to height ratio; SARC-F—simple questionnaire to rapidly diagnose sarcopenia.

3.3. Association between the Risk of Sarcopenia and Incidence of Diseases

Table 5 shows the diseases that occurred in sarcopenic individuals. In both groups, risk of sarcopenia was associated with diseases of the motor system, type 2 diabetes, respiratory system, and neurological diseases. In addition, risk of sarcopenia was associated with allergies, urinary tract diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and type 1 diabetes in women as opposed to men.

Table 5. Diseases present in sarcopenic subjects.

| Group of Diseases | Males <i>n</i> = 109 |) | Females <i>n</i> = 263 | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|--|--|
| | OR (CI) | p | OR (CI) | p | | |
| Allergies | 1.01 (0.11-4.55) | ns | 1.95 (1.04–3.58) | 0.028 | | |
| Diseases of urinary tract | 1.58 (0.68-3.31) | ns | 1.76 (0.97-3.09) | 0.045 | | |
| Cardiovascular diseases | 1.32 (0.83-2.07) | ns | 2.1 (1.57-2.82) | < 0.001 | | |
| Motoric system diseases | 3.65 (2.28–5.8) | < 0.001 | 3.36 (2.44-4.6) | 0.000 | | |
| Diabetes type 1 | 1.01 (0.11-4.55) | ns | 2.21 (1.0-4.7) | 0.035 | | |
| Diabetes type 2 | 2.38 (1.0-5.22) | 0.028 | 1.28 (1.28-3.19) | 0.0017 | | |
| Respiratory system diseases | 3.31 (1.52-6.88) | 0.0013 | 2.15 (1.2–3.77) | 0.0071 | | |
| Neurological diseases | 13.17 (4.35–44.38) | < 0.001 | 6.93 (2.88–17.88) | < 0.001 | | |

ns-not significant.

4. Discussion

It is commonly known that sarcopenia has profound health consequences, such as disability, increased dependence, and even death [12,13]. The exact prevalence of sarcopenia, especially in free-living elderly, is underdiagnosed due to discrepancies in the use of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People—EWGSOP1 or EWGSOP2 criteria [22,23] and low social awareness. Most studies regarding sarcopenia have been conducted in Asian populations. Yi-Wu et al. concluded that sarcopenia in Asians has several unique characteristics that differ from Caucasians due to differences in body composition (less muscle mass, lower handgrip strength, and more visceral adipose tissue), making it difficult to compare results [24]. The recent meta-analysis showed that the prevalence of sarcopenia was lower in Asian countries than in non-Asian countries [25]. There is a lack of data on older adults at risk for sarcopenia in non-Asian countries. This paper is one of the first studies describing the risk of sarcopenia among community-dwelling older people in Poland and its association with the level of obesity and co-existing diseases.

According to our analysis, the overall prevalence of those at risk of sarcopenia was 18.6%. Similar results were reported by Krzymińska-Siemaszko et al.; 10.4% to 33.0% of participants were identified as having an increased risk of sarcopenia, depending on the modified SARC-F questionnaire used. A trend toward more frequent occurrences of risk factors for sarcopenia in women than in men was noted; however, the group size of women did not allow comparative analysis [26]. In our study, the overall prevalence of elderly adults at risk of sarcopenia was higher in women than in men. Similar to our results, Peterman-Rocha et al. showed that both age and female sex were associated with a higher probability of sarcopenia [27]. Similar findings were observed by Yang et al. in which women had a 20% (age-adjusted OR = 1.20, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.47) higher risk of developing sarcopenia than men [28]. The currently available literature is inconclusive regarding sex differences in the prevalence of sarcopenia. Interestingly, in women, the risk of sarcopenia was higher in overweight and obese subjects than in subjects with normal body weight. In contrast to our observations, other authors reported that overweight in women (aged 38–73 years) was associated with a lower risk of sarcopenia [27,29]. However, in our study this association disappeared when the BMI criterion was used, which is recommended for the diagnosis of sarcopenia in the elderly. It should be noted that the risk of sarcopenic obesity was more prevalent in women than in men, with the exception

of diagnosing sarcopenia, which was determined according to the WHR criteria. In a Chilean study, sarcopenia was also negatively associated with overweight and obesity diagnosed using BMI value [30]. A Brazilian study showed that older adults being at risk of malnutrition (assessed with the Mini Nutritional Assessment) had a higher risk of sarcopenia (OR = 13.6; 95% CI: 1.55–11.38; p < 0.05), while a BMI $\ge 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$ was a protective factor (OR = 0.02; 95% CI: 0–0.06; p < 0.001) [31]. Previous studies have also shown that malnourished elderly are at risk of sarcopenia [32–34]. In an elderly Iranian population, increased body fat mass was identified as a risk factor for sarcopenia [35], which is partly consistent with our findings. This could be due to sarcopenic obese individuals tending to accumulate fat in muscles, which could negatively affect skeletal muscle function through increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines [36]. It is well documented that ageing is associated with negative changes in body composition, which often occur independently of changes in body weight. In particular, it is observed that the decline in muscle mass accelerates in the eighth decade of life. However, most striking, is the redistribution of fat mass to the abdominal region and its storage in type 2 muscle fibers, which affects muscle quality. These changes may be accelerated by chronic diseases [37].

Studies in smaller groups, mainly from clinical trials, suggest that sarcopenia is more common in malnourished people when more advanced diagnostic methods are used [38]. Sarcopenia is less common in obese people, which may partly explain the obesity paradox phenomenon, i.e., that the risk of dying from cardiovascular disease is lower in obese patients than in undernourished patients [38]. However, this applies to obesity assessed using BMI, which includes fat mass and fat-free mass. This phenomenon does not occur with other measures of obesity, such as waist circumference. It would be interesting to review the prevalence of sarcopenia at the population level in different groups in relation to the degree of body fat, which was also highlighted by Dufour et al. [39].

Elderly people are at risk of developing age-related diseases, i.e., the coexistence of two or more diseases [40]. It is estimated that more than 50% of the elderly suffer from three or more chronic diseases [41]. It has been demonstrated that individuals aged 40–70 years with multimorbidity are almost twice as likely to have sarcopenia compared with healthy participants [OR 1.96 (95% CI: 1.91, 2.02)] [42]. Pacificio et al. reported that the prevalence of sarcopenia in individuals with CVD was 31.4% (95% CI: 22.4–42.1%), with dementia—26.4% (95% CI: 13.6–44.8%), with diabetes mellitus—31.1% (95% CI: 19.8–45.2), and with respiratory diseases 26.8% (95% CI: 17.8–38.1%) [43]. Due to multiple chronic diseases, polypharmacy (taking at least five medications) is now common among older adults. Its prevalence ranges from 27.0% to 59.0% in community-dwelling older adults and has an unfavorable impact on functional status, fall risk, and frailty [44–46].

We have shown that sarcopenia was more common in men and women who had musculoskeletal and respiratory diseases, type 2 diabetes, and neurological diseases as well as in women with allergies, urinary tract diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and type 1 diabetes. It is commonly known that sarcopenia shares risk factors with cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, respiratory diseases, and dementia [43]. Our results confirmed the association of sarcopenia risk with cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus type 1, but only in women. On the one hand, the prevalence of sarcopenia is increased in patients with chronic heart failure, which contributes to reduced physical capacity and frailty [47]. On the other hand, elderly with sarcopenia had higher levels of hs-CRP and a higher risk of arteriosclerosis. Additionally, serum hs-CRP level was an independent risk factor for sarcopenia [48]. Metabolic syndrome has been associated with poorer muscle quality, especially in obese and overweight adults [49]. There is a lack of data to identify the elderly population at risk of sarcopenia in particular diseases in community-dwelling settings.

The prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus has not been fully elucidated. However, there is a decrease in muscle mass associated with insulin resistance and a chronic inflammatory state. It is worth emphasizing the co-occurrence of obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and sarcopenia. Independently, low muscle mass and excess adipose tissue have been associated with a higher incidence of metabolic disorders [50,51].

In a Brazilian study, it was reported that diabetic patients had low handgrip strength and altered bone mass density [52].

Our findings confirmed a higher prevalence of sarcopenia risk in both females and males who suffered from diseases associated with motor and respiratory systems, neurological disease, and type 2 diabetes. In neurological and motor system disease, many factors contribute to the risk of sarcopenia. These include decreased physical activity, chronic inflammation, and pain [53]. Peterman and colleagues reported that sarcopenia was more prevalent in those with rheumatoid arthritis. Torri et al. concluded that longer disease duration, joint degradation, and malnutrition were positively associated with sarcopenia [54]. In another study, sarcopenia was related to age and bone erosion, but not to rheumatoid disease activity [55]. One of the studies showed that osteoporosis was significantly associated with a diagnosis of sarcopenia within 4 years (OR, 2.99; 95% CI, 1.46-6.12; p < 0.01) [56].

Interestingly, we found an increased risk of sarcopenia in women with allergies and urinary tract disease. Several mechanisms may affect muscle mass and function in chronic kidney disease, such as low protein intake, physical inactivity, inflammation, and low serum vitamin D concentration [57]. Moreover, chronic kidney disease is a catabolic state, associated with increased synthesis of inflammatory markers and a significant decline in muscle synthesis [58,59]. In the present study, we could not specify particular diseases that increase the risk of sarcopenia because we classified different diseases into groups. The interplay between sarcopenia and chronic diseases in elderly patients requires further study to clarify the impact in terms of long-term implications. Considering that sarcopenia is underdiagnosed in clinical practice [4], identifying the population at risk of sarcopenia is of paramount importance to introduce better management of comorbid diseases.

5. Limitations

Our study has some limitations. First, the COVID-19 pandemic forced changes in the research methodology. Second, we used the SARC-F questionnaire, as a highly specific screening tool recommended by EWGSOP2 but which is highly criticized in recent publications for its low sensitivity [60–62]. However, the use of more advanced methods, such as bioimpedance, was not feasible at the population level.

Thirdly, the character of our study made it difficult to determine specific conditions for sarcopenia risk. Fourth, our exclusively Caucasian study population limits the generalizability of our results to other populations. To our knowledge, a strength of our analysis is that this is one of the first studies to examine the prevalence risk of sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults.

6. Conclusions

This study has shown that the risk of sarcopenia in the elderly population affects women to a greater extent than men. The group of elderly with concomitant diseases has a higher risk of developing sarcopenia, which emphasizes the need to monitor sarcopenia when concomitant diseases are diagnosed. Our results confirmed a higher prevalence of sarcopenia risk in both women and men who had motor and respiratory system diseases, type 2 diabetes, or neurologic diseases. In addition, women, but not men, who had urinary tract disease, allergies, cardiovascular disease, and type 1 diabetes had an increased risk of sarcopenia. It is important to identify the elderly at risk for sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity in routine clinical practice to develop long-term prevention strategies. Further studies are needed to better understand population-level risk factors of sarcopenia.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.S.-W. and M.M.; methodology, M.M., D.S.-W., I.T., F.R., B.I.S. and Z.P; formal analysis, M.C.; investigation, M.M. and Z.P.; data curation, D.S.-W., M.M., Z.P., F.R., and I.T.; writing—original draft preparation, M.M. and Z.P.; writing—review and editing, D.S-W.; visualization, M.C.; supervision, B.S.; project administration, F.R.; funding acquisition, B.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Ministry of Health (Poland) under the National Health Program 2016–2020 (contract no 6/1/3.1.1c/NPZ/2017/1210/644 and 6/1/3.1.1d/NPZ/2017/1210/645).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethical Review Board at the Medical University of Warsaw (Approval Nos AKBE/163/17 and AKBE/164/17).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in the analysis are the property of the PolishMinistry of Health. The authors of the article, as the researchers responsible for the study, used themon the basis of the separate agreement with Ministry of Health.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Cruz-Jentoft, A.J.; Bahat, G.; Bauer, J.; Boirie, Y.; Bruyère, O.; Cederholm, T.; Cooper, C.; Landi, F.; Rolland, Y.; Sayer, A.A. Sarcopenia: Revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing* **2019**, *48*, 16–31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Morley, J.E.; Anker, S.D.; Von Haehling, S. Prevalence, incidence, and clinical impact of sarcopenia: Facts, numbers, and epidemiology—update 2014. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2014, *5*, 253–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Bianchi, L.; Abete, P.; Bellelli, G.; Bo, M.; Cherubini, A.; Corica, F.; Di Bari, M.; Maggio, M.; Manca, G.M.; Rizzo, M.R. Prevalence and clinical correlates of sarcopenia, identified according to the EWGSOP definition and diagnostic algorithm, in hospitalized older people: The GLISTEN study. *J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biomed. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2017, *72*, 1575–1581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Reijnierse, E.M.; Buljan, A.; Tuttle, C.S.; van Ancum, J.; Verlaan, S.; Meskers, C.G.; Maier, A.B. Prevalence of sarcopenia in inpatients 70 years and older using different diagnostic criteria. *Nurs. Open* **2019**, *6*, 377–383. [CrossRef]
- 5. Muscaritoli, M.; Lucia, S.; Molfino, A.; Cederholm, T.; Fanelli, F.R. Muscle atrophy in aging and chronic diseases: Is it sarcopenia or cachexia? *Intern. Emerg. Med.* **2013**, *8*, 553–560. [CrossRef]
- Ligthart-Melis, G.C.; Luiking, Y.C.; Kakourou, A.; Cederholm, T.; Maier, A.B.; de van der Schueren, M.A.E. Frailty, Sarcopenia, and Malnutrition Frequently (Co-)occur in Hospitalized Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 2020, *21*, 1216–1228. [CrossRef]
- Mintziras, I.; Miligkos, M.; Wächter, S.; Manoharan, J.; Maurer, E.; Bartsch, D.K. Sarcopenia and sarcopenic obesity are significantly associated with poorer overall survival in patients with pancreatic cancer: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Surg.* 2018, 59, 19–26. [CrossRef]
- 8. Amiri, S.; Behnezhad, S.; Hasani, J. Body mass index and risk of frailty in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Med.* **2020**, *18*, 100196. [CrossRef]
- 9. Khadra, D.; Itani, L.; Tannir, H.; Kreidieh, D.; El Masri, D.; El Ghoch, M. Association between sarcopenic obesity and higher risk of type 2 diabetes in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J. Diabetes* **2019**, *10*, 311–323. [CrossRef]
- Tey, S.L.; Chew, S.T.H.; How, C.H.; Yalawar, M.; Baggs, G.; Chow, W.L.; Cheong, M.; Ong, R.H.S.; Husain, F.S.; Kwan, S.C. Factors associated with muscle mass in community-dwelling older people in Singapore: Findings from the SHIELD study. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0223222. [CrossRef]
- 11. Bruyère, O.; Beaudart, C.; Ethgen, O.; Reginster, J.Y.; Locquet, M. The health economics burden of sarcopenia: A systematic review. *Maturitas* **2019**, *119*, 61–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Cruz-Jentoft, A.J.; Landi, F.; Topinková, E.; Michel, J.P. Understanding sarcopenia as a geriatric syndrome. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* **2010**, *13*, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Makizako, H. Frailty and Sarcopenia as a Geriatric Syndrome in Community-Dwelling Older Adults. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 4013. [CrossRef]
- Traczyk, I.; Raciborski, F.; Kucharska, A.; Sińska, B.I.; Milewska, M.; Samoliński, B.; Szostak-Węgierek, D. A National Study of Nutrition and Nutritional Status of the Adult Polish Population in the Years 2017–2020 before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic—Design and Methods. *Nutrients* 2021, 13, 2568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. World Health Organization. *Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio: Report of a WHO Expert Consultation, Geneva, 8–11 December 2008;* World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Anthropometry Procedures Manual. 2017. Available online: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2017-2018/manuals/2017_Anthropometry_Procedures_Manual.pdf (accessed on 8 May 2022).
- 17. Alberti, K.G.M.M.; Zimmet, P.; Shaw, J. Metabolic syndrome—A new world-wide definition. A consensus statement from the international diabetes federation. *Diabet. Med.* 2006, 23, 469–480. [CrossRef]
- 18. Lipschitz, D.A. Screening for nutritional status in the elderly. Prim. Care Clin. Off. Pract. 1994, 21, 55–67. [CrossRef]
- 19. Browning, L.M.; Hsieh, S.D.; Ashwell, M. A systematic review of waist-to-height ratio as a screening tool for the prediction of cardiovascular disease and diabetes: 0. 5 could be a suitable global boundary value. *Nutr. Res. Rev.* 2010, 23, 247–269. [CrossRef]
- 20. Malmstrom, T.K.; Miller, D.K.; Simonsick, E.M.; Ferrucci, L.; Morley, J.E. SARC-F: A symptom score to predict persons with sarcopenia at risk for poor functional outcomes. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* **2016**, *7*, 28–36. [CrossRef]

- Krzymińska-Siemaszko, R.; Deskur-Śmielecka, E.; Kaluźniak-Szymanowska, A.; Styszyński, A.; Wieczorowska-Tobis, K. Polish version of SARC-F to assess sarcopenia in older adults: An examination of reliability and validity. *PLoS ONE* 2020, 15, e0244001. [CrossRef]
- De Freitas, M.M.; de Oliveira, V.L.; Grassi, T.; Valduga, K.; Miller, M.E.P.; Schuchmann, R.A.; Souza, K.L.; de Azevedo, M.J.; Viana, L.V.; de Paula, T.P. Difference in sarcopenia prevalence and associated factors according to 2010 and 2018 European consensus (EWGSOP) in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2020, *132*, 110835. [CrossRef]
- Villani, A.; McClure, R.; Barrett, M.; Scott, D. Diagnostic differences and agreement between the original and revised European Working Group (EWGSOP) consensus definition for sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 2020, *89*, 104081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Wu, Y.-H.; Hwang, A.-C.; Liu, L.-K.; Peng, L.-N.; Chen, L.-K. Sex differences of sarcopenia in Asian populations: The implications in diagnosis and management. *J. Clin. Gerontol. Geriatr.* **2016**, *7*, 37–43. [CrossRef]
- 25. Shafiee, G.; Keshtkar, A.; Soltani, A.; Ahadi, Z.; Larijani, B.; Heshmat, R. Prevalence of sarcopenia in the world: A systematic review and meta- analysis of general population studies. *J. Diabetes Metab. Disord.* **2017**, *16*, 21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Krzymińska-Siemaszko, R.; Deskur-Śmielecka, E.; Kaluźniak-Szymanowska, A.; Lewandowicz, M.; Wieczorowska-Tobis, K. Comparison of diagnostic performance of SARC-F and its two modified versions (SARC-CalF and SARC-F+ EBM) in communitydwelling older adults from Poland. *Clin. Interv. Aging* 2020, *15*, 583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Petermann-Rocha, F.; Chen, M.; Gray, S.R.; Ho, F.K.; Pell, J.P.; Celis-Morales, C. Factors associated with sarcopenia: A crosssectional analysis using UK Biobank. *Maturitas* 2020, 133, 60–67. [CrossRef]
- Yang, L.; Smith, L.; Hamer, M. Gender-specific risk factors for incident sarcopenia: 8-year follow-up of the English longitudinal study of ageing. J. Epidemiol. Community Health 2019, 73, 86–88. [CrossRef]
- 29. Sousa-Santos, A.R.; Afonso, C.; Borges, N.; Santos, A.; Padrão, P.; Moreira, P.; Amaral, T.F. Factors associated with sarcopenia and undernutrition in older adults. *Nutr. Diet.* **2019**, *76*, 604–612. [CrossRef]
- Lera, L.; Albala, C.; Sánchez, H.; Angel, B.; Hormazabal, M.; Márquez, C.; Arroyo, P. Prevalence of sarcopenia in communitydwelling Chilean elders according to an adapted version of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) criteria. J. Frailty Aging 2017, 6, 12–17.
- Sato, P.H.R.; Ferreira, A.A.; Rosado, E.L. The prevalence and risk factors for sarcopenia in older adults and long-living older adults. Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr. 2020, 89, 104089. [CrossRef]
- Liguori, I.; Curcio, F.; Russo, G.; Cellurale, M.; Aran, L.; Bulli, G.; Della-Morte, D.; Gargiulo, G.; Testa, G.; Cacciatore, F. Risk of malnutrition evaluated by mini nutritional assessment and sarcopenia in noninstitutionalized elderly people. *Nutr. Clin. Pract.* 2018, 33, 879–886. [CrossRef]
- 33. Vandewoude, M.F.J.; Alish, C.J.; Sauer, A.C.; Hegazi, R.A. Malnutrition-Sarcopenia Syndrome: Is This the Future of Nutrition Screening and Assessment for Older Adults? *J. Aging Res.* **2012**, 2012, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Simsek, H.; Meseri, R.; Sahin, S.; Kilavuz, A.; Bicakli, D.H.; Uyar, M.; Savas, S.; Sarac, F.; Akcicek, F. Prevalence of sarcopenia and related factors in community-dwelling elderly individuals. *Saudi Med. J.* **2019**, *40*, 568–574. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Nasimi, N.; Dabbaghmanesh, M.H.; Sohrabi, Z. Nutritional status and body fat mass: Determinants of sarcopenia in communitydwelling older adults. *Exp. Gerontol.* **2019**, *122*, 67–73. [CrossRef]
- 36. Hong, S.-H.; Choi, K.M. Sarcopenic obesity, insulin resistance, and their implications in cardiovascular and metabolic consequences. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 494. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Jafarinasabian, P.; Inglis, J.E.; Reilly, W.; Kelly, O.J.; Ilich, J.Z. Aging human body: Changes in bone, muscle and body fat with consequent changes in nutrient intake. *J. Endocrinol.* **2017**, *234*, R37–R51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Casas-Vara, A.; Santolaria, F.; Fernández-Bereciartúa, A.; González-Reimers, E.; García-Ochoa, A.; Martínez-Riera, A. The obesity paradox in elderly patients with heart failure: Analysis of nutritional status. *Nutrition* 2012, 28, 616–622. [CrossRef]
- Dufour, A.B.; Hannan, M.T.; Murabito, J.M.; Kiel, D.P.; McLean, R.R. Sarcopenia Definitions Considering Body Size and Fat Mass Are Associated with Mobility Limitations: The Framingham Study. J. Gerontol. Ser. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2013, 68, 168–174. [CrossRef]
- Violan, C.; Foguet-Boreu, Q.; Flores-Mateo, G.; Salisbury, C.; Blom, J.; Freitag, M.; Glynn, L.; Muth, C.; Valderas, J.M. Prevalence, determinants and patterns of multimorbidity in primary care: A systematic review of observational studies. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e102149. [CrossRef]
- 41. American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on the Care of Older Adults with Multimorbidity Multimorbidity. Guiding principles for the care of older adults with multimorbidity: An approach for clinicians. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2012, 60, E1–E25. [CrossRef]
- 42. Dodds, R.M.; Granic, A.; Robinson, S.M.; Sayer, A.A. Sarcopenia, long-term conditions, and multimorbidity: Findings from UK Biobank participants. J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2020, 11, 62–68. [CrossRef]
- 43. Pacifico, J.; Geerlings, M.A.J.; Reijnierse, E.M.; Phassouliotis, C.; Lim, W.K.; Maier, A.B. Prevalence of sarcopenia as a comorbid disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Exp. Gerontol.* **2020**, *131*, 110801. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gómez, C.; Vega-Quiroga, S.; Bermejo-Pareja, F.; Medrano, M.J.; Louis, E.D.; Benito-León, J. Polypharmacy in the Elderly: A Marker of Increased Risk of Mortality in a Population-Based Prospective Study (NEDICES). *Gerontology* 2015, *61*, 301–309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Fried, T.R.; O'Leary, J.; Towle, V.; Goldstein, M.K.; Trentalange, M.; Martin, D.K. Health outcomes associated with polypharmacy in community-dwelling older adults: A systematic review. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2014, 62, 2261–2272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Gnjidic, D.; Hilmer, S.N.; Blyth, F.M.; Naganathan, V.; Waite, L.; Seibel, M.J.; McLachlan, A.J.; Cumming, R.G.; Handelsman, D.J.; Le Couteur, D.G. Polypharmacy cutoff and outcomes: Five or more medicines were used to identify community-dwelling older men at risk of different adverse outcomes. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 2012, 65, 989–995. [CrossRef]
- Springer, J.; Springer, J.I.; Anker, S.D. Muscle wasting and sarcopenia in heart failure and beyond: Update 2017. ESC Heart Fail. 2017, 4, 492–498. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hida, T.; Imagama, S.; Ando, K.; Kobayashi, K.; Muramoto, A.; Ito, K.; Ishikawa, Y.; Tsushima, M.; Nishida, Y.; Ishiguro, N. Sarcopenia and physical function are associated with inflammation and arteriosclerosis in community-dwelling people: The Yakumo study. *Mod. Rheumatol.* 2018, 28, 345–350. [CrossRef]
- 49. Mesinovic, J.; McMillan, L.B.; Shore-Lorenti, C.; De Courten, B.; Ebeling, P.R.; Scott, D. Metabolic syndrome and its associations with components of sarcopenia in overweight and obese older adults. *J. Clin. Med.* **2019**, *8*, 145. [CrossRef]
- 50. Wang, Y.; Rimm, E.B.; Stampfer, M.J.; Willett, W.C.; Hu, F.B. Comparison of abdominal adiposity and overall obesity in predicting risk of type 2 diabetes among men. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2005**, *81*, 555–563. [CrossRef]
- Hong, S.; Chang, Y.; Jung, H.-S.; Yun, K.E.; Shin, H.; Ryu, S. Relative muscle mass and the risk of incident type 2 diabetes: A cohort study. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0188650. [CrossRef]
- 52. Trierweiler, H.; Kisielewicz, G.; Hoffmann Jonasson, T.; Rasmussen Petterle, R.; Aguiar Moreira, C.; Zeghbi Cochenski Borba, V. Sarcopenia: A chronic complication of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetol. Metab. Syndr.* **2018**, *10*, 25. [CrossRef]
- 53. Rall, L.; Roubenoff, R. Rheumatoid cachexia: Metabolic abnormalities, mechanisms and interventions. *Rheumatology* **2004**, 43, 1219–1223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Torii, M.; Hashimoto, M.; Hanai, A.; Fujii, T.; Furu, M.; Ito, H.; Uozumi, R.; Hamaguchi, M.; Terao, C.; Yamamoto, W. Prevalence and factors associated with sarcopenia in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Mod. Rheumatol.* 2019, 29, 589–595. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Ngeuleu, A.; Allali, F.; Medrare, L.; Madhi, A.; Rkain, H.; Hajjaj-Hassouni, N. Sarcopenia in rheumatoid arthritis: Prevalence, influence of disease activity and associated factors. *Rheumatol. Int.* **2017**, *37*, 1015–1020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yoshimura, N.; Muraki, S.; Oka, H.; Iidaka, T.; Kodama, R.; Kawaguchi, H.; Nakamura, K.; Tanaka, S.; Akune, T. Is osteoporosis a predictor for future sarcopenia or vice versa? Four-year observations between the second and third ROAD study surveys. Osteoporos. Int. 2017, 28, 189–199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lai, S.; Muscaritoli, M.; Andreozzi, P.; Sgreccia, A.; De Leo, S.; Mazzaferro, S.; Mitterhofer, A.P.; Pasquali, M.; Protopapa, P.; Spagnoli, A. Sarcopenia and cardiovascular risk indices in patients with chronic kidney disease on conservative and replacement therapy. *Nutrition* 2019, *62*, 108–114. [CrossRef]
- Souza, V.A.D.; Oliveira, D.; Barbosa, S.R.; Corrêa, J.O.D.A.; Colugnati, F.A.B.; Mansur, H.N.; Fernandes, N.M.D.S.; Bastos, M.G. Sarcopenia in patients with chronic kidney disease not yet on dialysis: Analysis of the prevalence and associated factors. *PLoS* ONE 2017, 12, e0176230. [CrossRef]
- 59. Wang, X.H.; Mitch, W.E. Mechanisms of muscle wasting in chronic kidney disease. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2014, 10, 504–516. [CrossRef]
- Woo, J.; Leung, J.; Morley, J.E. Validating the SARC-F: A suitable community screening tool for sarcopenia? J. Am. Med Dir. Assoc. 2014, 15, 630–634. [CrossRef]
- 61. Parra-Rodríguez, L.; Szlejf, C.; García-González, A.I.; Malmstrom, T.K.; Cruz-Arenas, E.; Rosas-Carrasco, O. Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Spanish-language version of the SARC-F to assess sarcopenia in Mexican community-dwelling older adults. J. Am. Med Dir. Assoc. 2016, 17, 1142–1146. [CrossRef]
- Kera, T.; Kawai, H.; Hirano, H.; Kojima, M.; Watanabe, Y.; Motokawa, K.; Fujiwara, Y.; Osuka, Y.; Kojima, N.; Kim, H. Limitations of SARC-F in the diagnosis of sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults. Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr. 2020, 87, 103959. [CrossRef]