



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



## Short communication

## Real-time PCR-based SARS-CoV-2 detection in Canadian laboratories



Jason J. LeBlanc<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Jonathan B. Gubbay<sup>c,d,e</sup>, Yan Li<sup>f</sup>, Robert Needle<sup>g</sup>, Sandra Radons Arneson<sup>f</sup>, Dionne Marcino<sup>f</sup>, Hugues Charest<sup>h</sup>, Guillaume Desnoyers<sup>i</sup>, Kerry Dust<sup>j</sup>, Ramzi Fattouh<sup>k</sup>, Richard Garceau<sup>i</sup>, Gregory German<sup>l</sup>, Todd F Hatchette<sup>a,b</sup>, Robert A. Kozak<sup>c,m</sup>, Mel Krajden<sup>n</sup>, Theodore Kuschak<sup>f</sup>, Amanda L.S. Lang<sup>o</sup>, Paul Levett<sup>n</sup>, Tony Mazzulli<sup>c,p</sup>, Ryan McDonald<sup>o</sup>, Samira Mubareka<sup>c,m</sup>, Natalie Prystajek<sup>n</sup>, Candy Rutherford<sup>q</sup>, Marek Smieja<sup>q</sup>, Yang Yu<sup>g</sup>, George Zahariadis<sup>g</sup>, Nathan Zelyas<sup>a,r</sup>, Nathalie Bastien<sup>f</sup>, on behalf of the COVID-19 Pandemic Diagnostics Investigation Team of the Canadian Public Health Laboratory Network (CPHLN) Respiratory Virus Working Group

<sup>a</sup> Division of Microbiology, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Nova Scotia Health Authority (NSHA), Room 404B, MacKenzie Building, 5788 University Avenue, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 1V8, Canada

<sup>b</sup> Departments of Pathology, Medicine, and Microbiology and Immunology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

<sup>c</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>d</sup> Public Health Ontario Laboratories, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>e</sup> Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>f</sup> National Microbiology Laboratory (NML), Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC), Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

<sup>g</sup> Public Health and Microbiology Laboratory, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada

<sup>h</sup> Laboratoire De Santé Publique Du Québec/INSPQ, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada

<sup>i</sup> Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Dr. Georges L. Dumont, Moncton, New-Brunswick, Canada

<sup>j</sup> Cadham Provincial Laboratory, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

<sup>k</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>l</sup> Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada

<sup>m</sup> Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>n</sup> Public Health Laboratory, British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC), Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>o</sup> Roy Romanow Provincial Laboratory (RRPL), Saskatchewan Health Authority (SHA), Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada

<sup>p</sup> Department of Microbiology, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>q</sup> St Joseph's Healthcare, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

<sup>r</sup> Provincial Laboratory for Public Health (ProvLab), Calgary, Alberta, Canada

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

COVID-19  
Coronavirus  
SARS-CoV-2  
Molecular  
PCR  
NAAT

## ABSTRACT

With emergence of pandemic COVID-19, rapid and accurate diagnostic testing is essential. This study compared laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) used for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Canadian hospital and public health laboratories, and some commercially available real-time RT-PCR assays. Overall, analytical sensitivities were equivalent between LDTs and most commercially available methods.

## 1. Introduction

Coronaviruses are part of a large family of viruses that infect humans and animals, and are classified into 4 genera ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$ ). Human coronaviruses belong to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  genera. [1] Most human

coronaviruses (229E, NL63, OC43, and HKU1) are causes of the common cold, and serious outcomes are rare. Some human  $\beta$ -coronaviruses have been associated with high morbidity and mortality, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) [1]. In

\* Corresponding author at: Division of Microbiology, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Nova Scotia Health Authority (NSHA), Room 404B, MacKenzie Building, 5788 University Avenue, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 1V8, Canada.

E-mail address: [jason.leblanc@nshealth.ca](mailto:jason.leblanc@nshealth.ca) (J.J. LeBlanc).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104433>

Received 2 May 2020; Accepted 9 May 2020

1386-6532/ Crown Copyright © 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

December 2019, novel  $\beta$ -coronavirus now termed SARS-CoV-2 [2–5] emerged from China, and was associated with high morbidity and mortality in older adults or individuals with underlying medical conditions. [6–10]. Due to the severity and spread, the disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 (i.e. 2019 coronavirus disease, or COVID-19) was declared a pandemic on March 11, 2020 [6–12].

Laboratory testing for COVID-19 is an essential component of containment and mitigation strategies, as it allows the appropriate clinical management and public health interventions. [13–15] Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT) such as real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) are the methods of choice for SARS CoV-2 diagnostic testing. With the rapid availability of genome sequences [4,16], laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) for the detection of the SARS-CoV-2 were quickly developed. The first LDTs relied primarily on the detection of SARS-CoV-2 envelope (E), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), and nucleocapsid (N) genes [17,18], but more recent rRT-PCR method targets include open reading frame 1 a/b (Orf1a/b) and the gene encoding spike (S) protein. Recognizing the performance characteristics of PCR methods can vary with reagents, PCR, and instrumentation [19,20], this study compared the analytical sensitivity of LDTs used in hospital and provincial public health laboratories across Canada, as well as some commercially available NAATs that are available in Canada.

## 2. Methods

The lower limit of detection (LoD) of each method was determined by testing serial dilutions of RNA extracted from a cultured stock of SARS-CoV-2/Canada/VIDO-01/2020 [originally cultured by VIDO-Intervac at the University of Saskatchewan from a clinical specimen originating from Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, and propagated at the National Microbiology Laboratory (NML)]. To reduce inter-laboratory variability, specimen preparation, nucleic acid extraction and dilutions were carried out at the NML in Winnipeg (Manitoba, Canada). The viral stock was extracted using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini kit (Qiagen Inc.), as recommended by the manufacturer. Ten-fold dilution of RNA was prepared in 10 mM Tris (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher) as a single batch. Five replicates of each dilution were shipped on dry ice to participating sites in sufficient volumes to ensure there would be only a single freeze thaw for each of the five independent experiments. Viral RNA was maintained at  $-70^{\circ}\text{C}$  until tested. The viral RNA used for the relative method comparison was quantified using a standard curve generated with *in vitro* transcribed RNA (Doc S1). All commercial assays were performed as recommended by the respective manufacturers and the various LDT were performed using reagents, instrumentation, and conditions summarized in Table 1. Results of each laboratory's tests were collated, and the LoD estimated using a Probit analysis ([https://biostats.shinyapps.io/LOD\\_probit/](https://biostats.shinyapps.io/LOD_probit/)) at a probability of 95%. The LoD of each method was expressed as  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL in the PCR reaction, with 95% confidence intervals (CI) (Table 1).

## 3. Results

Our data shows that there is a wide spectrum of instruments, reagents, PCR targets, and reaction conditions between the different diagnostic and commercial rRT-PCR methods for the identification of SARS-CoV-2 (Table 1). Despite this variability, the LoD of the various LDTs were between 3.4 and 4.5  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL. Most commercial methods were equivalent to LDTs, with only a few assays that showed reduced sensitivity (Table 1).

## 4. Discussion

When COVID-19 first emerged in Canada, method validation in provincial public health and hospital laboratories was challenged by the

lack or limited availability of control material for SARS-CoV-2, and limited data to help guide the choice of SARS-CoV-2 real-time PCR methods. Given the novelty of the virus, initial Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) case definitions for COVID-19 required laboratory detection of SARS-CoV-2 by real-time RT-PCR with confirmation from either sequencing, or rRT-PCR using a second genetic target. As such, many LDTs were performed in sequential algorithm, or using multiplex rRT-PCRs that enabled simultaneous detection of at least two SARS-CoV-2 targets (Table 1). Most methods were LDTs derived from those developed by the Centers for disease Control and Prevention (CDC), or from published literature. [17,18] While LDTs for COVID-19 testing were quickly implemented in Canadian laboratories, different instruments, reagents, and genetic targets were used.

Despite these differences, this study showed that the analytical sensitivity of LDTs were consistent between laboratories, and few exceptions noted in commercial assays. Most LDTs had LoDs between 3.4 and 4.5  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL, which is fairly consistent with those reported by others. [17,20] Corman et al. [17] reported analytical sensitivities for E gene at RdRp targets at 3.9 [95% CI: 2.8–9.8] and 3.6 copies/reaction [95% CI: 2.7–11.2] copies/reaction, which correlates to 2.9 [95% CI: 2.7–3.3] and 2.9 [95% CI: 2.7–3.4]  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL, respectively. In another recent publication, Chan et al. [21] reported analytical sensitivity of 11.2 [95% CI: 7.2–52.6] copies/reaction for RdRp and 21.3 [95% CI: 11.6–177.0] copies/reaction for N gene. These values represent 3.4 [95% CI: 3.2–4.0]  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL and 3.6 [95% CI: 3.4–4.5]  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL, respectively, which is consistent with values from this study. Only a limited number of methods showed reduced sensitivity. For example, unlike the E gene target in the LightMix 2019-nCoV Real-time RT-PCR kit, the RdRp and N gene targets showed reduced sensitivity. This observation had previously been noted for N gene detection. [17]

It should be noted that the most recent case definition for COVID-19 requires detection of a single genetic target for laboratory detection of SARS-CoV-2. [22] While dual- or multi-target real-time RT-PCRs were initially developed to ensure specificity, sensitivity can in some cases be enhanced when the sum of all results from individuals targets in a multiplex PCR are considered. For example, the Allplex 2019-nCoV assay considers detection in any of its three SARS-CoV-2 targets as a positive result. Individually, each target showed LoD between 3.6 and 3.8  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL, whereas a LoD of 2.6  $\log_{10}$  copies/mL was observed with the sum of all results. As detection of SARS-CoV-2 can be variable at the LoD, relying on more than one target could increase the chances of identifying the virus in specimens with low viral loads. Low viral loads can occur in asymptomatic individuals, during the early or late stages of COVID-19 disease, or they could be attributed to improper specimen collection. [13,14] Such variables could greatly affect the performance of any laboratory method, and falls outside the scope of this study.

A noted limitation for this study includes the use of an RNA-based panel to assess the LoD of the various PCR methods. As such, evaluation of automated instrumentation with a paired nucleic acid extraction and nucleic acid amplification were excluded from the study. Using serial dilutions of cultured virus, future studies are underway to compare automated methods capable of SARS-CoV-2 detection.

As pandemic COVID-19 continues to spread, laboratory testing is essential, and understanding the limits of SARS-CoV-2 detection using rRT-PCR is fundamental. This study provided the first head-to-head comparison of LDTs and commercial real-time methods used for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Canada. These findings could help guide the choice of molecular methods in diagnostic laboratories looking at introducing COVID-19 testing, or, given the challenges in procuring reagents during the pandemic, these data may provide a list of suitable alternatives for laboratories already offering COVID-19 testing.

**Table 1**  
Limit of detection (LoD) at a 95% probability for various real-time RT-PCR methods for the detection of SARS-CoV-2.

| Laboratory                  | Thermocycler                            | RT-PCR reagents   | Reaction vol. (μL) | Template vol. (μL) | Positivity cutoff (Ct value) | SARS-CoV-2 Target | LoD (log copies/mL; 95% CI) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| AB (ProvLab)                | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)      | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 10                 | 35                           | RdRp              | 4.243 (3.472–5.013)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E                 | 3.776 (3.122–4.430)         |
| BC (BCCDC)                  | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)      | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 5                  | 35                           | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.776 (3.122–4.430)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | RdRp              | 3.591 (3.245–3.937)         |
| BC(BCCW)                    | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)      | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 5                  | 35                           | E                 | 3.579 (3.180–3.979)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 4.243 (3.472–5.013)         |
| BC (SPH)                    | LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics)     | LightMix 2019-nCoV Real-time RT-PCR kit (Tib Molbiol) with Lightcycler Multiplex RNA Master (Roche Diagnostics) | 20                 | 5                  | 40                           | RdRp              | 4.729 (3.683–5.774)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E                 | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
| BC (VGH)                    | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)      | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 5                  | 35                           | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E                 | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
| BC (VIHA)                   | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)      | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 5                  | 35                           | RdRp              | 4.516 (3.677–5.354)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E                 | 4.516 (3.677–5.354)         |
| MB (Cadham)                 | CFX96TM (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.)    | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 5                  | 36.5                         | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 4.243 (3.472–5.013)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | RdRp              | 4.375 (3.413–5.337)         |
| NB (CHU-Dumont)             | LightCycler 480 II (Roche Diagnostics)  | Lightcycler Multiplex RNA Master (Roche Diagnostics)  | 20                 | 5                  | 40                           | E                 | 3.809 (2.886–4.732)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | N1                | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
| NL                          | LightCycler 480 II (Roche Diagnostics)  | Luna Universal Probe One-Step RT-qPCR Kit (New England Biolabs)   | 20                 | 5                  | 33                           | N2                | 3.776 (3.122–4.430)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | N3                | 3.632 (2.670–4.594)         |
| NS (NSHA)                   | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)      | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 5                  | 35                           | E + N             | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E + N2            | 3.776 (3.122–4.430)         |
| ON (PHOL)                   | Quantstudio 5 (ThermoFisher Scientific) | TaqPath 1-Step Multiplex Master Mix (Applied Biosystems)  | 10                 | 5                  | 38                           | E                 | 3.575 (3.141–4.009)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | RdRp              | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
| PE (QEH)                    | BD Max (Becton Dickinson and Company)   | RealStar SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR kit (Altona Diagnostics)   | 20                 | 12.5               | ND <sup>1</sup>              | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E                 | 4.292 (3.658–4.925)         |
| QC                          | QuantStudio3 (ThermoFisher Scientific)  | TaqPath 1-Step Multiplex Master Mix (Applied Biosystems)  | 20                 | 5                  | 37                           | S                 | 3.437 (2.829–4.045)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)         |
| SK (RRPL)                   | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)      | TaqMan Fast Virus-1-Step Master Mix (Life Technologies)   | 20                 | 5                  | 36                           | E                 | 4.558 (3.498–5.618)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | N                 | 3.809 (2.886–4.732)         |
| ON (St-Joseph's Healthcare) | CFX96TM (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.)    | Luna Universal Probe One-Step RT-qPCR Kit (New England Biolabs)   | 20                 | 5                  | 45                           | E                 | 3.776 (3.122–4.430)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | N                 | 4.234 (3.101–5.367)         |
| ON (St-Joseph's Healthcare) | Rotor-Gene Q (QIAGEN Inc.)              | Luna Universal Probe One-Step RT-qPCR Kit (New England Biolabs)   | 20                 | 5                  | 45                           | E                 | 3.752 (3.237–4.266)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | N                 | 3.566 (3.090–4.420)         |
| ON (St. Michael's Hospital) | Quantstudio 5 (ThermoFisher Scientific) | RealStar SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR kit (Altona Diagnostics)   | 30                 | 10                 | ND <sup>1</sup>              | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.078 (2.539–3.617)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | UTR               | 3.809 (2.886–4.732)         |
| ON (Mt-Sinai)               | CFX96TM (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.)    | Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay (Seegene Inc.)  | 25                 | 8                  | 40                           | UTR + E           | 2.638 (2.068–3.209)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | UTR               | 3.878 (2.685–5.071)         |
| ON (Mt-Sinai)               | CFX96TM (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.)    | Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay (Seegene Inc.)  | 25                 | 8                  | 40                           | UTR + E           | 3.632 (2.670–4.594)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.878 (2.685–5.071)         |
| ON (St. Michael's Hospital) | Quantstudio 5 (ThermoFisher Scientific) | RealStar SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR kit (Altona Diagnostics)   | 30                 | 10                 | ND <sup>1</sup>              | E                 | 3.375 (2.796–3.954)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | S                 | 3.493 (2.951–4.034)         |
| ON (Mt-Sinai)               | CFX96TM (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.)    | Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay (Seegene Inc.)  | 25                 | 8                  | 40                           | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.078 (2.539–3.617)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E                 | 3.632 (2.670–4.594)         |
| ON (Mt-Sinai)               | CFX96TM (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.)    | Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay (Seegene Inc.)  | 25                 | 8                  | 40                           | RdRp              | 3.809 (2.886–4.732)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | N                 | 3.632 (2.670–4.594)         |
| ON (Mt-Sinai)               | CFX96TM (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.)    | Allplex 2019-nCoV Assay (Seegene Inc.)  | 25                 | 8                  | 40                           | Any <sup>3</sup>  | 2.638 (2.068–3.209)         |
|                             |   |   |                    |                    |                              | E                 | 3.375 (2.796–3.954)         |

(continued on next page)

**Table 1 (continued)**

| Laboratory | Thermocycler  | RT-PCR reagents  | Reaction vol. (µL) | Template vol. (µL) | Positivity cutoff (Ct value) | SARS-CoV-2 Target          | LoD (log copies/ml; 95% CI)                |
|------------|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| -          | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)                  | Taqpath RT-PCR COVID-19 Kit with TaqPath 1-Step Multiplex Master Mix (Applied Biosystems)                        | 20                 | 5                  | ND <sup>1</sup>              | Orfla/b<br>N               | 4.243 (3.472–5.013)<br>4.434 (4.002–4.866) |
| -          | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)                  | RIDA GENE SARS-CoV-2 (r-Biopharm AG)   | 20                 | 5                  | ND <sup>1</sup>              | Any <sup>3</sup>           | 3.776 (3.122–4.430)                        |
| -          | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)                  | Lyra SARS-CoV-2 assay (Quidel Corp.)   | 15                 | 5                  | 30 <sup>2</sup>              | E<br>Orfla/b               | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)<br>4.712 (3.837–5.587) |
| -          | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems)                  | DiaPlexQ Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Detection Kit (SolGent Co., Ltd)  | 20                 | 5                  | 40                           | N                          | 3.901 (3.048–4.755)                        |
| -          | ABI 7500 Fast (Applied Biosystems) in Standard mode | New Coronavirus Nucleic Acid Detection Kit (Perkin-Elmer)  | 20                 | 40                 | 42                           | Orfla<br>Any <sup>3</sup>  | 3.809 (2.886–4.732)<br>3.809 (2.886–4.732) |
| -          | LightCycler 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics)                 | LightMix 2019-nCoV Real-time RT-PCR kit (Tib. Molbiol) with Lightcycler Multiplex RNA Master (Roche Diagnostics) | 20                 | 10                 | N/A                          | Orflab<br>Any <sup>3</sup> | 4.516 (3.677–5.354)<br>3.776 (3.122–4.430) |
| -          |   |  |                    |                    | 36                           | E                          | 3.632 (2.670–4.594)                        |
| -          |   |  |                    |                    | 39                           | RdRp                       | 5.591 (4.769–6.412)                        |
| -          |   |  |                    |                    | 37                           | N                          | 5.523 (4.636–6.410)                        |
| -          |   |  |                    |                    | N/A                          | Any <sup>3</sup>           | 3.632 (2.670–4.594)                        |

<sup>1</sup> Not defined (ND).

<sup>2</sup> Amplification using Lyra SARS-CoV-2 assay (Quidel Corp.) on the ABI 7500 Fast includes 10 “blind” cycles where fluorescence is not capture, and therefore, the cutoff for positivity is set after the 30 cycles where fluorescence was captured.

<sup>3</sup> For multiplexed assays, LoD were assessed for individual targets; however, the LoD was also considered for the sum of results where any target detected would be considered a positive results. Note: Indeterminate results were characterized as positive for the Probit analysis. An indeterminate result on an rRT-PCR assay is defined as a late amplification signal, below the predetermined Ct value for positivity.

**Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors have no conflicts to declare

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Drs. Samira Mubareka and Robert Kozak at Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre for providing the clinical specimen used for culture, as well as Dr. Darryl Falzarano at VIDO-Intervac at the University of Saskatchewan for providing stocks of the cultured virus for propagation at the NML. We are indebted to the members of the COVID-19 Pandemic Diagnostics Investigation Team of the Canadian Public Health Laboratory Network (CPHLN) Respiratory Virus Working Group: Vanessa Arseneau, Duane Birch, Tim Booth, Christine Contant, Ross Davidson, Harry Deneer, Diane Eisler, David Haldane, Agatha Jassem, Tracy Lee, Alexis MacKeen, Sandeep Nagra, Arlene Obarianyk, Kanti Pabbaraju, and Inna Sekirov. No funding was provided for this study; however, the authors would like to thank the various companies who provided reagents in-kind for the method comparison. And finally, the authors would like to recognize the dedication and hard work of all the staff members at each institution that are instrumental for laboratory testing during this pandemic.

**References**

- [1] J. Cui, F. Li, Z.L. Shi, Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17 (3) (2019) 181–192, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0118-9>.
- [2] N. Zhu, D. Zhang, W. Wang, X. Li, B. Yang, J. Song, X. Zhao, B. Huang, W. Shi, R. Lu, P. Niu, F. Zhan, X. Ma, D. Wang, W. Xu, G. Wu, G.F. Gao, W. Tan, China Novel Coronavirus Investigating and Research Team. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019, *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382 (8) (2020) 727–733 doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017. Epub 2020 Jan 24. *N Engl J Med.* 2020. PMID: 31978945.
- [3] J.F. Chan, S. Yuan, K.H. Kok, K.K. To, H. Chu, J. Yang, F. Xing, J. Liu, C.C. Yip, R.W. Poon, H.W. Tsoi, S.K. Lo, K.H. Chan, V.K. Poon, W.M. Chan, J.D. Ip, J.P. Cai, V.C. Cheng, H. Chen, C.K. Hui, K.Y. Yuen, A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster, *Lancet* 395 (February 10223) (2020) 514–523, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30154-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9) Epub 2020 Jan 24. *Lancet.* 2020. PMID: 31986261.
- [4] P. Zhou, X.-L. Yang, X.-G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H.-R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C.-L. Huang, H.-D. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R.-D. Jiang, M.-Q. Liu, Y. Chen, X.-R. Shen, X. Wang, X.-S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q.-J. Chen, F. Deng, L.-L. Liu, B. Yan, F.-X. Zhan, Y.-Y. Wang, G.-F. Xiao, Z.-L. Shi, A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin, *Nature* 579 (7798) (2020) 270–273.
- [5] D. Wang, B. Hu, C. Hu, F. Zhu, X. Liu, J. Zhang, B. Wang, H. Xiang, Z. Cheng, Y. Xiong, Y. Zhao, Y. Li, X. Wang, Z. Peng, Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China, *JAMA* (2020) e201585, <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585> Online ahead of print. *JAMA.* 2020. PMID: 32031570.
- [6] N. Chen, M. Zhou, X. Dong, J. Qu, F. Gong, Y. Han, Y. Qiu, J. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Wei, J. Xia, T. Yu, X. Zhang, L. Zhang, Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study, *Lancet* 395 (10223) (2020) 507–513, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30211-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7) Epub 2020 Jan 30. *Lancet.* 2020. PMID: 32007143.
- [7] C. Huang, Y. Wang, X. Li, L. Ren, J. Zhao, Y. Hu, L. Zhang, G. Fan, J. Xu, X. Gu, Z. Cheng, T. Yu, J. Xia, Y. Wei, W. Wu, X. Xie, W. Yin, H. Li, M. Liu, Y. Xiao, H. Gao, L. Guo, J. Xie, G. Wang, R. Jiang, Z. Gao, Q. Jin, J. Wang, B. Cao, Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China, *Lancet* 395 (10223) (2020) 497–506, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5) Epub 2020 Jan 24. *Lancet.* 2020. PMID: 31986264.
- [8] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Response Team. Severe outcomes among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) - United States, February 12–March 16, 2020. *MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* ePUB: 18 March 2020 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6912e2>.
- [9] F. Wu, S. Zhao, B. Yu, Y.M. Chen, W. Wang, Z.G. Song, Y. Hu, Z.W. Tao, J.H. Tian, Y.Y. Pei, M.L. Yuan, Y.L. Zhang, F.H. Dai, Y. Liu, Q.M. Wang, J.J. Zheng, L. Xu, E.C. Holmes, Y.Z. Zhang, A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China, *Nature.* 579 (7798) (2020) 265–269, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3> Epub 2020 Feb 3.
- [10] A.E. Gorbalenya, S.C. Baker, R.S. Baric, R.J. de Groot, C. Drosten, A.A. Gulyaeva, B.L. Haagmans, C. Lauber, A.M. Leontovich, B.W. Neuman, D. Penzar, S. Perlman, S. Samborskiy D.V. Poon LLM, I.A. Sidorov, I. Sola, J. Ziebuhr, The species severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2, *Nat. Microbiol.* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0695-z> [Online ahead of print].
- [11] World Health Organization (WHO), Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)



- Situation report-51. March 11, (2020) [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200311-sitrep-51-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=1ba62e57\\_10](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200311-sitrep-51-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=1ba62e57_10).
- [12] Q. Li, X. Guan, P. Wu, X. Wang, L. Zhou, Y. Tong, R. Ren, K.S.M. Leung, E.H.Y. Lau, J.Y. Wong, X. Xing, N. Xiang, Y. Wu, C. Li, Q. Chen, D. Li, T. Liu, J. Zhao, M. Li, W. Tu, C. Chen, L. Jin, R. Yang, Q. Wang, S. Zhou, R. Wang, H. Liu, Y. Luo, Y. Liu, G. Shao, H. Li, Z. Tao, Y. Yang, Z. Deng, B. Liu, Z. Ma, Y. Zhang, G. Shi, Wu J.T.K. Lam TTY, G.F. Gao, B.J. Cowling, B. Yang, G.M. Leung, Z. Feng, Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia, *N. Engl. J. Med.* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316> Online ahead of print.
- [13] R. Li, S. Pei, B. Chen, Y. Song, T. Zhang, W. Yang, J. Shaman, Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2), *Science* 16 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3221> eabb3221.
- [14] A. Kimball, K.M. Hatfield, M. Arons, A. James, J. Taylor, K. Spicer, A.C. Bardossy, L.P. Oakley, S. Tanwar, Z. Chisty, J.M. Bell, M. Methner, J. Harney, J.R. Jacobs, C.M. Carlson, H.P. McLaughlin, N. Stone, S. Clark, C. Brostrom-Smith, L.C. Page, M. Kay, J. Lewis, D. Russell, B. Hiatt, J. Gant, J.S. Duchin, T.A. Clark, M.A. Honein, S.C. Reddy, J.A. Jernigan, CDC COVID-19 Investigation Team. Asymptomatic and Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Residents of a Long-term Care Skilled Nursing Facility—King County, Washington, March 2020, *Mar 27 MMWR*, 2020, <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/pdfs/mm6913e1-H.pdf>.
- [15] for the Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University Novel Coronavirus Management and Research Team, Evidence-Based Medicine Chapter of China International Exchange and Promotive Association for Medical and Health Care (CPAM), Y.H. Jin, L. Cai, Z.S. Cheng, H. Cheng, T. Deng, Y.P. Fan, C. Fang, D. Huang, L.Q. Huang, Q. Huang, Y. Han, B. Hu, F. Hu, B.H. Li, Y.R. Li, K. Liang, L.K. Lin, L.S. Luo, J. Ma, L.L. Ma, Z.Y. Peng, Y.B. Pan, Z.Y. Pan, X.Q. Ren, H.M. Sun, Y. Wang, Y.Y. Wang, H. Weng, C.J. Wei, D.F. Wu, J. Xia, Y. Xiong, H.B. Xu, X.M. Yao, Y.F. Yuan, T.S. Ye, X.C. Zhang, Y.W. Zhang, Y.G. Zhang, H.M. Zhang, Y. Zhao, M.J. Zhao, H. Zi, X.T. Zeng, Y.Y. Wang, X.H. Wang, A rapid advice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infected pneumonia (standard version), *Mil. Med. Res.* 7 (1) (2020) 4, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-020-0233-6> PMID: 32029004; PMCID: PMC7003341.
- [16] F. Wu, S. Zhao, B. Yu, Y.-M. Chen, W. Wang, Y. Hu, Z.-G. Song, Z.-W. Tao, J.-H. Tian, Y.-Y. Pei, M.-L. Yuan, Y.-L. Zhang, F.-H. Dai, Y. Liu, Q.-M. Wang, J. Zheng, L. Xu, E.C. Holmes, Y.-Z. Zhang, Complete genome characterisation of a novel coronavirus associated with severe human respiratory disease in Wuhan, China, *bioRxiv* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.24.919183> 01.24.919183.
- [17] V.M. Corman, O. Landt, M. Kaiser, R. Molenkamp, A. Meijer, D.K.W. Chu, T. Bleicker, S. Brünink, J. Schneider, M.L. Schmidt, D.G.J.C. Mulders, B.L. Haagmans, B. van der Veer, S. van den Brink, L. Wijsman, G. Goderski, J.L. Romette, J.7 Ellis, M. Zambon, M. Peiris, H. Goossens, C. Reusken, M.P.G. Koopmans, C. Drosten, Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR, *Euro Surveill.* 25 (3) (2020), <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045>.
- [18] World Health Organization (WHO), Laboratory Testing for 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Suspected Human Cases. March 19, (2020) <https://www.who.int/publications-detail/laboratory-testing-for-2019-novel-coronavirus-in-suspected-human-cases-20200117>.
- [19] T.F. Hatchette, S.J. Drews, N. Bastien, Y. Li, G. German, N. Antonishyn, H. Charest, T. Mazzulli, K. Fonseca, M. Krajden, M. Petric, K. Dust, J.J. LeBlanc, Detection of influenza H7N9 virus: all molecular tests are not equal, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 51 (11) (2013) 3835–3838, <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01808-13> Epub 2013 Aug 21.
- [20] T.F. Hatchette, S.J. Drews, E. Grudeski, T. Booth, C. Martineau, K. Dust, R. Garceau, J. Gubbay, T. Karnauchow, M. Krajden, P. Levett, T. Mazzulli, R.R. McDonald, A. McNabb, S. Mubareka, R. Needle, A. Petrich, S. Richardson, C. Rutherford, M. Smieja, R. Tellier, G. Tipples, J.J. LeBlanc, Detection of enterovirus D68 in Canadian laboratories, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 53 (5) (2015) 1748–1751, <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03686-14> Epub 2015 Mar 4.
- [21] J.F. Chan, C.C. Yip, K.K. To, T.H. Tang, S.C. Wong, K.H. Leung, A.Y. Fung, A.C. Ng, Z. Zou, H.W. Tsoi, G.K. Choi, A.R. Tam, V.C. Cheng, K.H. Chan, O.T. Tsang, K.Y. Yuen, Improved molecular diagnosis of COVID-19 by the novel, highly sensitive and specific COVID-19-RdRp/Hel real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction assay validated in vitro and with clinical specimens, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* (2020) pii: JCM.00310-00320. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00310-20. [Epub ahead of print].
- [22] Interim National Case Definition: Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), Government of Canada, 2020 Last Updated: April 2 <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/health-professionals/national-case-definition.html>.