



Article CEBPD Potentiates the Macrophage Inflammatory Response but CEBPD Knock-Out Macrophages Fail to Identify CEBPD-Dependent Pro-Inflammatory Transcriptional Programs

C. Arnold Spek ^{1,*}, Hella L. Aberson ¹, Joe M. Butler ¹, Alex F. de Vos ¹ and JanWillem Duitman ^{1,2}

- ¹ Center for Experimental and Molecular Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands; h.l.aberson@amsterdamumc.nl (H.L.A.); j.m.butler@amsterdamumc.nl (J.M.B.); a.f.devos@amsterdamumc.nl (A.F.d.V.); J.W.Duitman@amsterdamumc.nl (J.D.)
- ² Department of Respiratory Medicine, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- * Correspondence: c.a.spek@amsterdamumc.nl

Abstract: CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein delta (C/EBP\delta) is a member of the C/EBP family of transcription factors. According to the current paradigm, C/EBPS potentiates cytokine production and modulates macrophage function thereby enhancing the inflammatory response. Remarkably, however, C/EBPδ deficiency does not consistently lead to a reduction in Lipopolysaccharide (LPS)induced cytokine production by macrophages. Here, we address this apparent discrepancy and show that the effect of C/EBP8 on cytokine production and macrophage function depends on both the macrophage subtype and the LPS concentration used. Using CRISPR-Cas generated macrophages in which the transactivation domain of C/EBP δ was deleted from the endogenous locus (Δ TAD macrophages), we next show that the context-dependent role of C/EBP δ in macrophage biology relies on compensatory transcriptional activity in the absence of C/EBP\delta. We extend these findings by revealing a large discrepancy between transcriptional programs in C/EBP\delta knock-out and C/EBPδ transactivation dead (Δ TAD) macrophages implying that compensatory mechanisms do not specifically modify C/EBPô-dependent inflammatory responses but affect overall macrophage biology. Overall, these data imply that knock-out approaches are not suited for identifying the genuine transcriptional program regulated by C/EBP\delta, and we suggest that this phenomenon applies for transcription factor families in general.

Keywords: CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein delta; CEBPD; LPS; macrophage; inflammation

1. Introduction

CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein delta (C/EBP δ), also known as nuclear factor interleukin (IL)-6 β , is a member of the C/EBP family of transcription factors containing six members, C/EBP α , C/EBP β , C/EBP δ , C/EBP ϵ , C/EBP γ and C/EBP ζ [1,2]. All these members share substantial sequence identity in the C-terminal region, which consists of a basic amino-acid-rich DNA-binding domain followed by a leucine zipper imperative for homo- or hetero-dimerization and subsequent DNA binding. Due to the conserved nature of the C-terminal region, all C/EBP family members, except C/EBP ζ , bind to identical DNA recognition sites in the promotor regions of C/EBP target genes [3]. The subsequent transcriptional activity is determined by transactivation domains present in the N-terminus of the C/EBP family members. Specific interactions between the transactivation domains and components of the basal transcription machinery ultimately dictate transcriptional activity that may range from strong activation to dominant negative inhibition by transactivation domain-less C/EBP γ .

According to the current paradigm, $C/EBP\delta$ acts as a pro-inflammatory transcription factor enhancing the expression of pro-inflammatory mediators [4,5]. Indeed, $C/EBP\delta$ is



Citation: Spek, C.A.; Aberson, H.L.; Butler, J.M.; de Vos, A.F.; Duitman, J. CEBPD Potentiates the Macrophage Inflammatory Response but CEBPD Knock-Out Macrophages Fail to Identify CEBPD-Dependent Pro-Inflammatory Transcriptional Programs. *Cells* **2021**, *10*, 2233. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cells10092233

Academic Editors: Alessandro Poggi and James Harris

Received: 8 July 2021 Accepted: 23 August 2021 Published: 28 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). shown to regulate IL-1 β or collagen-induced cyclo-oxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression [6–8]; to induce toll-like receptor (TLR)-4 expression and subsequent signalling [9]; to potentiate Fcy receptor-mediated tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-2 and MIP-1 α expression [10]; to mediate IgG immune complex-induced macrophages inflammatory cytokine and chemokine production in macrophages [11,12]; and to enhance lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced IL-6, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and endothelin-1 levels [13]. In line with its proinflammatory role in vitro, C/EBP δ also seems to play an important role in the inflammatory response in vivo. $C/EBP\delta$ is suggested to drive LPS-induced systemic inflammation, as reflected by reduced $TNF\alpha$ and IL-6 levels in C/EBP δ deficient mice [14], whereas it also reported to induce TNF α , IL-6 and MIP-2 levels upon intranasal LPS inoculation [11]. Moreover, macrophage-dependent chemokine and cytokine expression is enhanced by C/EBP δ during collagen-induced rheumatoid arthritis [15]. Next to driving inflammation during sterile conditions, C/EBPδ also amplifies the inflammatory response during *Escherichia coli*-induced peritonitis [16]. This amplification is essential for bacterial elimination and survival as C/EBPδ deficient mice are more susceptible to the peritoneal infection as compared with wild-type animals. Similarly, C/EBP δ is expressed in infiltrating macrophages in the lung during Klebsiella pneumoniae-induced pneumonia and $C/EBP\delta$ deficiency enhanced pneumoniainduced mortality [17]. Overall, these data led to the current paradigm that C/EBPδ is an important driver of the inflammatory response both during sterile inflammation and during infectious disease.

Several data are at odds with the paradigm that C/EBP δ drives pro-inflammatory gene expression, however. Indeed, C/EBP δ deficiency does not limit LPS-induced cytokine production (i.e., TNF α , MCP-1 and IL-6) by ex vivo stimulated bone marrow-derived macrophages [17,18]. Moreover, cytokine levels are even increased in the plasma of C/EBP δ deficient mice during *Klebsiella pneumoniae*-induced pneumonia [17] and in the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) of LPS-treated C/EBP δ deficient mice [19].

The experiments supporting the current paradigm as well as those at odds with a pro-inflammatory role of C/EBPδ all used knock-out cells and/or mice. Although such model systems are well-established and contribute significantly to unravelling the (patho)physiological role of many proteins, one could question the validity of such an approach for transcription factors with overlapping DNA recognition sites. Indeed, deletion of a single transcription factor (i.e., $C/EBP\delta$ in this case) allows binding of an alternative transcription factor with unforeseen outcomes. If the alternative transcription factor does not (or reduces the ability to) activate transcription of the gene of interest, expression of the target gene is reduced and it is (rightfully) concluded that C/EBP\delta drives transcription of this target gene. If the alternative transcription factor is similarly effective in inducing transcription, deletion of C/EBPδ does not change expression levels, and one would (wrongfully) conclude that the gene of interest is C/EBP δ independent. In the case that the alternative transcription factor is an even more potent inducer of transcription than C/EBP δ , gene expression levels will increase due to C/EBP δ deletion, and one would (wrongfully) conclude that C/EBP δ inhibits expression of the gene of interest. It is worth noting that this is not merely a theoretic probability because certain gene promotors have already been shown to be regulated differentially by specific C/EBP family members in cultured cells [20–22], and redundancy between different family members has indeed been shown before [18,23].

In the current manuscript, we first address the context-dependent role of C/EBP δ in macrophage-dependent cytokine expression. Next, we assess whether the observed differences could indeed be explained by compensatory mechanisms dependent on alternative transcription factor binding in C/EBP δ knock-out macrophages by comparing LPS-induced cytokine production in C/EBP δ knock-out and C/EBP δ "transcription dead" macrophages.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals

C/EBPδ deficient mice, generated as described previously [24], were backcrossed at least 10 times to a C57BL/6 background. C57BL/6 wild-type mice were purchased from Charles River. Animals were maintained at the animal facility of the Academic Medical Center (University of Amsterdam) with free access to food and water. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam.

2.2. Bone Marrow Derived Macrophage (BMDM) Isolation

BMDMs were isolated from C/EBP δ deficient and wild-type mice, as described before [17]. In detail, tibia and femur of naïve wild-type and C/EBP $\delta^{-/-}$ mice were harvested, and bone marrow was flushed out of the bones with RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin and 500 µg/mL streptomycin (all Lonza, Basel, Switzerland). Erythrocytes were lysed by incubation in erythrocyte lysis buffer for 10 minutes at room temperature after which the remaining cells were plated in a non-tissue culture treated petri dish in complete RPMI medium containing 30 ng/mL M-CSF (Macrophage-colony stimulating factor; CYT-43; ProSpec, Rehovot, Israel) in order to allow macrophage differentiation. After 7 days, cells were harvested using 4 mg/mL lidocaine in 5 mM EDTA/PBS and immediately used for stimulation experiments.

2.3. Peritoneal Macrophage Isolation

Peritoneal lavage cells were obtained from C/EBP δ deficient and wild-type mice by flushing the peritoneal cavity with ice-cold sterile PBS, as described before [25]. Peritoneal cells were washed, counted, resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin and incubated in 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plates (1 × 10⁵ cells in 100 mL/well) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for 2 h. Finally, adherent macrophages were washed with culture medium to remove non-adherent cells after which the cells were immediately used for stimulation experiments.

2.4. Cell Stimulation Experiments

Primary macrophages (10,000) seeded in 100 μ L in 96-wells plates were, upon adherence of the cells, stimulated with 100, 1, 0.1 or 0 ng/mL LPS (L4268, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) for 24 h after which the supernatant was collected and stored at -20 °C for further analysis. The cells were subsequently lysed using TriReagent (#11667165001; Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) for mRNA isolation. Cells of 2 wells were pooled in order to obtain sufficient amounts of mRNA for further analysis.

2.5. Cytokine Measurements

TNF α , IL-6 and MCP-1 levels were determined using a cytometric beads array multiplex assay (BD Biosciences) essentially as described before [26].

2.6. RNA Isolation, cDNA Synthesis and RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted form TriReagent lysed cells according to routine procedures. Eluted RNA was analyzed spectrophotometrically using a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Breda, The Netherlands). All samples were treated with RQ1 RNAse-Free DNAse (Promega Benelux BV, Leiden, The Netherlands) and reverse-transcribed into cDNA using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega Benelux BV), random hexamers (Fisher Scientific) and 10 mM dNTPs (Fermentas, Waltham, MA, USA). The SensiFASTTM SYBR[®] No-ROX Kit (GC biotech, Waddinxveen, The Netherlands) was used to perform real-time quantitative RT-PCR on a LightCycler[®] 480 Instrument II (Roche Molecular Systems, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S1.

2.7. Mining of Publicly Available RNA Microarray Datasets

Datasets were derived from Gene Expression Omnibus [27] using the R2 microarray analysis and visualization platform [28]. *CEBPA*, *CEBPB*, *CEBPD*, *CEBPG*, *CEBPE* and *CEBPZ* expression levels were derived from two different datasets, i.e., GSE5099 [29] and GSE46903 [30]. From the GSE46903 dataset, we only included data from stimulations for 24–72 h.

2.8. CRISPR/Cas9 Genome Editing

Homozygous knock-out and transactivation mutant (ΔTAD) C/EBPδ RAW264.7 macrophages were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 technology according to the protocol of Ran et al. [31]. Using the crispr.mit.edu online tool, different single-guide RNAs (sgR-NAs) were designed to target the sequence upstream (5' guides; before amino acid 40) or downstream (3' guides; after amino acid 108) of the transactivation domain of C/EBP& (Supplemental Figure S1). 5' guides (CEBPD_sgRNA1: 5'-GAGGGTGGACAAGCCCGGCCG-3'; CEBPD_sgRNA2: 5'-GCCGGCCGAGGGCCCGAGCCA-3' and CEBPD_sgRNA3: 5'-GGATCCCCTGGCTCGGGCCCT-3') were cloned into the pSpCas9 (BB)-2A-GFP (PX458) vector (Addgene; plasmid 48138), whereas 3' guides (CEBPD_sgRNA4: 5'-GCTACGCGAC CCCCGGGTGTG-3' and CEBPD_sgRNA4: 5'-GGGCGGCCCTACGCGACCCCC-3') were cloned into the pSpCas9 (BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) vector (Addgene; plasmid 48139). RAW264.7 cells were transfected with different combinations of 5' (P458) and 3' (PX459) guide plasmids using jetPEI transfection reagent (Westburg). Forty-eight hours post transfection, GFP positive cells were sorted using FACSAria (BD Biosciences), and single cells were subjected to puromycin selection. After expansion, cells were collected for PCR analysis using primers spanning the transactivation domain (Fw: 5'-CCTTCTACGAGCCAGGCAGG-3'; Rv: 5'-GCCCTTTTCTCGGACTGTG-3'), and selected clones were confirmed using genotype-specific forward primers (knock out: 5'-CCCGAGTGTGGGGTCTGT-3' and TAD: 5'-GAGGCCCGGGTGTGG-3'). Finally, potential $C/EBP\delta \Delta TAD$ clones were validated by sequencing the genomic DNA region around the transactivation domain using BigDye terminator (Thermo Fischer). Out of frame Δ TAD mutant clones obtained during the CRISPR approach were used as full knock-out cells (Supplemental Figure S2).

2.9. RAW264.7 Cell Culture and Stimulation

Murine RAW264.7 cells (TIB-71; ATCC) and all engineered isogenic variants (CRISP/Cas9derived *C/EBP* δ knock-out and Δ TAD RAW264.7 cells) were cultured in IMDM medium (Gibco), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/mL penicillin and 500 µg/mL streptomycin (all Lonza). Cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ and 95% air. For stimulation experiments, cells (17,500) were seeded in 100 µL in 96-wells plates supplemented with 100, 1, 0.1 or 0 ng/mL LPS (L4268, Sigma) for 24 h after which the supernatant was collected and stored at -20 °C for further analysis. The cells were subsequently lysed using TriReagent (#11667165001; Roche Diagnostics) for mRNA isolation. Cells of 2 wells were pooled in order to obtain sufficient amounts of mRNA for further analysis.

2.10. RNA Library Preparation and Sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from wild-type, C/EBP δ knock-out and Δ TAD RAW cells using the NucleoSpin RNA miniprep kit (BIOKÉ, Leiden, The Netherlands). RNA quality was assessed by bioanalysis (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), with all samples having RNA integrity numbers (RINs) >7. Total RNA concentrations were determined by Qubit[®] 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Sequencing libraries were prepared by means of the KAPA RNA HyperPrep with RiboErase (Roche Diagnostics) as per manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to generate 50 bp single-end reads.

2.11. Bioinformatics Analysis of RNAseq

The sequence read quality was assessed using FastQC methods (version 0.11.5; Babraham Institute, Babraham, UK). Trimmomatic version 0.39 [32] was used to trim the Illumina adapters and filter low quality reads and ambiguous nucleotide-containing sequences. Low quality leading (3 nucleotides) and trailing (3 nucleotides) bases were removed from each read. A sliding window trimming using a window of 4 and a phred score threshold of 15 nucleotides was used to access the quality of the reads. After pre-processing, the remaining high-quality reads were aligned against the mouse Genome Reference Consortium Build 38 (GRCm38, Ensembl release 101) using Hisat2 (version 2.2.0; University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA) [33] with default parameters. Count data were generated by means of the HTSeq method [34], and differential gene expression was analyzed using the R2 microarray analysis and visualization platform [28] with a false discovery adjusted *p*-value of less than 0.01. To analyze the function of the differentially expressed genes, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses were conducted with a *p*-value cut-off of less than 0.005.

2.12. Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as means \pm SEM. Differences between groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Statistically significant differences were considered with a *p*-value of less than 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Context-Dependent Effect of C/EBP δ on LPS-Induced Cytokine Production

At odds with the general notion that C/EBP δ potentiates TNF α , IL-6 and MCP-1 expression by macrophages [13,15,16,35], we previously showed that loss of C/EBP δ did not reduce cytokine levels produced by LPS-stimulated BMDMs [17]. To provide more insight into the apparent complex context-dependent role of $C/EBP\delta$ in pro-inflammatory cytokine production, we stimulated M-CSF differentiated macrophages and primary peritoneal macrophages with different LPS concentrations. As shown in Figure 1, LPS induced a dose-dependent increase in IL-6, TNF α and MCP-1 in both wild-type M-CSF differentiated BMDMs (Figure 1A) and peritoneal macrophages (Figure 1B). It is worth noting that C/EBP8 deficiency affected LPS-induced cytokine production in concentration and cell type dependent manner. Indeed, IL-6 levels were reduced in M-CSF differentiated C/EBPδ knock-out BMDMs at the low (although not significant) and intermediate, but not high, LPS concentration. MCP-1 levels were reduced in M-CSF differentiated C/EBP\delta knock-out BMDMs stimulated with low dose LPS, not affected by the intermediate LPS dose and increased after high dose LPS stimulation. TNF α levels were similar in M-CSF differentiated C/EBP8 knock-out BMDMs at the low LPS concentration but increased in C/EBP8 knock-out BMDMs at the intermediate and high dose LPS. In contrast to BMDMs, none of the conditions analyzed resulted in reduced cytokine production by C/EBP\delta knock-out peritoneal macrophages. As shown in Figure 1B, LPS-induced cytokine production was largely similar in wild-type and C/EBP\delta knock-out peritoneal macrophages at all LPS concentrations analyzed, with some small increased levels in knock-out macrophages at the intermediate or high LPS concentration. It thus seems that the effect of C/EBP δ on cytokine production by macrophages is both dependent on the macrophage subtype and the LPS concentration used.



Figure 1. LPS-induced cytokine production in wild-type and C/EBP δ knock-out M-CSF differentiated BMDMs (**A**) and peritoneal macrophages (**B**). Macrophages from wild-type or C/EBP δ knock-out mice were stimulated with different LPS concentrations for 24 h after which TNF α , MCP-1 and IL-6 levels were analyzed. Shown is the mean \pm SEM (n = 6-8) of a representative experiment performed in duplo. **: p < 0.001; ****: p < 0.001; ****: p < 0.001; ns: non-significant.

3.2. Macrophage Differentiation/Activation Modifies Expression Levels of Alternative CCAATT Box Binding Proteins

Next to C/EBPδ, several other transcription factors bind to CCAAT containing DNA recognition sites [36] and the absence of C/EBP δ allows these transcription factors to bind to promoter regions normally occupied by C/EBPδ. Depending on the relative transcriptional activity of these alternative transcription factors, binding could either reduce or enhance transcriptional activity. To address whether such a scenario could potentially explain the observed context-dependent effect of C/EBPδ deficiency on cytokine production, we first assessed the expression pattern of the C/EBP family members in primary monocytes. As shown in Figure 2A, all C/EBP family members are expressed in patient-derived primary monocytes with the highest levels observed for C/EBP β and the lowest levels for C/EBPE. Interestingly, M-CSF-dependent monocyte differentiation leads to increased levels of C/EBP α , C/EBP β and C/EBP δ and slightly diminished levels of C/EBP γ and C/EBP ζ (Figure 2B). Subsequently, LPS stimulation further enhances the levels of C/EBP β , reduces $C/EBP\alpha$ levels and does not affect the expression levels of the other C/EBP family members (Figure 2B). Monocyte-macrophage differentiation and subsequent activation thus seems to modify the relative expression levels of the individual C/EBP family members, and the ratios do indeed vary largely dependent on the differentiation/activation status (Figure 2C). To substantiate these findings, we next determined expression levels

of the C/EBP family members in the BMDM and peritoneal macrophages stimulated with different LPS concentrations shown in Figure 1. Again, relative expression levels of the individual C/EBP family members vary across the experimental conditions resulting in different ratios (Figure 2D). It is worth noting that C/EBP family members are not the only transcription factors that bind to CCAAT box containing DNA recognition sites. Among others, the nuclear transcription factor Y (NF-Y) family also binds to the CCAAT recognition site [37], and the NF-Y family members may consequently also compensate for the loss of C/EBP δ . All three NF-Y family members are expressed in monocytes, and monocyte-macrophage differentiation/activation differentially affects C/EBP/NF-Y ratios (Supplemental Figure S3). Overall, we thus show that expression levels of transcription factors that may bind to the C/EBP δ recognition site in knock-out macrophages vary in a context-dependent manner, and we suggest that this could explain the discrepant results of C/EBP δ deficiency in cytokine production.



Figure 2. CEBP family member expression in monocytes and macrophages. (A) C/EBP α , C/EBP β , C/EBP δ , C/EBP δ , C/EBP γ and C/EBP ζ mRNA expression in freshly isolated human monocytes derived from GSE5099 and GSE46903. Mean \pm SEM (n = 3); **: p < 0.001; ***: p < 0.001; ****: p < 0.001.

(**B**) C/EBPα, C/EBPβ, C/EBPδ, C/EBPε, C/EBPγ and C/EBPζ mRNA expression in naïve, M-CSF and M-CSF/LPS treated monocytes from GSE46903. Mean ± SEM (n = 3-5). *: p < 0.05; ****: p < 0.0001; ns: non-significant. (**C**) Heatmap of the expression ratio of C/EBP family members in monocytes differentiated and activated with different stimuli (data derived from GSE46903). (**D**) Heatmap of the expression ratio of C/EBP family members in BMDM and peritoneal macrophages stimulated with different LPS concentrations (n = 3-4). Note that C/EBPε levels were below the detection limit in the BMDM samples.

3.3. Diminished LPS-Induced Cytokine Production in C/EBP δ Transactivation Domain Mutant Macrophages

To address the hypothesis that the context-dependent effect of C/EBP δ depends on compensatory mechanisms in knock-out cells, we generated a model system that precludes compensatory activity of alternative transcription factors. Specifically, we generated macrophages expressing endogenous levels of a C/EBP δ mutant with normal DNA binding activity but without a functional transactivation domain (Δ TAD; Supplemental Figure S1). These mutant cells that express Δ TAD-C/EBP δ under activity of its own promoter were subsequently used, in combination with complete knock-out and wild-type macrophages, to analyze LPS-induced cytokine expression. As shown in Figure 3A, LPS-induced MCP-1 levels are reduced in knock-out macrophages compared with wild-type macrophages, whereas both IL-6 and TNF α levels are slightly increased in knock-out macrophages compared with wild-type macrophages. Importantly, LPS stimulation of Δ TAD-C/EBP δ macrophages shows a completely different picture. Indeed, LPS-induced expression of all three cytokines is largely reduced in the Δ TAD macrophages.



Figure 3. LPS-induced inflammatory and antibacterial response in wild-type (WT), C/EBP\delta knock-

out (KO) and C/EBP δ TAD mutant (Δ TAD) macrophages. (**A**) MCP-1, IL-6 and TNF α levels determined by ELISA in the supernatant of RAW264.7 macrophages stimulated with 100 ng/mL LPS for 24 h. (**B**) iNOS mRNA expression (left panel) and NO production (right panel) by RAW264.7 macrophages stimulated with 100 ng/mL LPS for 24 h. Shown is the mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments performed with two individual wild-type, Δ TAD and KO clones. *: *p* < 0.05; **: *p* < 0.01; ***: *p* < 0.001.

C/EBP δ is suggested to be essential in the polarization of macrophages into antibacterial M1 macrophages [38]. Consequently, we next analyzed iNOS expression and nitric oxide (NO) production by wild-type, knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages. Both iNOS and NO levels were undetectable under unstimulated conditions (data not shown) and considerably increased after LPS stimulation (Figure 3B). Interestingly, LPS-induced iNOS and NO levels were similar in wild-type and knock-out cells but largely diminished in Δ TAD macrophages. Overall, a picture emerged that the inflammatory/bactericidal response was largely similar in wild-type and knock-out macrophages but significantly decreased in Δ TAD macrophages.

3.4. Discordant Transcriptional Programs in C/EBP δ Deficient and C/EBP δ Transactivation Domain Mutant Macrophages

To fully appreciate the difference in gene expression between C/EBPδ knock-out and ΔTAD macrophages, we next performed RNAseq analysis on unstimulated naïve wildtype, knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages. Principal component analysis shows that the different macrophage subsets form well-separated clusters with no overlap between knockout and Δ TAD macrophages (Figure 4A). The correlation heatmap shown in Figure 4B confirms the divergent gene expression patterns between the macrophage subsets, but it also suggests that C/EBP δ knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages are somewhat more strongly correlated with each other than with wild-type macrophages. On the individual gene level, a similar number of genes is downregulated in C/EBP δ knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages (i.e., 1425 and 1438, respectively). Of note, however, is that only approximately 60% (868) of the genes downregulated in C/EBP\delta knock-out macrophages are also downregulated in Δ TAD macrophages. Of the remaining 557 genes downregulated in C/EBP δ knockout macrophages, 546 genes are similar in wild-type and Δ TAD macrophages whereas 11 genes are even upregulated in Δ TAD macrophages compared to wild-type macrophages (Figure 4C). Similarly, a more or less equal number of genes is upregulated in both knockout and Δ TAD macrophages (i.e., 1493 and 1828, respectively) but again only around 60% of the genes is upregulated in both genotypes, and 19 genes that are upregulated in knock-out macrophages are downregulated in Δ TAD macrophages (Figure 4D). The large difference in gene expression patterns between knock-out and ΔTAD macrophages also affects functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes. Indeed, only 5 of the 19 KEGG pathways enriched in differentially expressed genes between wild-type and ΔTAD macrophages are also significantly enriched in differentially expressed genes between wild-type and knock-out macrophages (Figure 5). These RNAseq data thus show that transcriptional programs in C/EBP δ transactivation dead (Δ TAD) macrophages are largely different from those in full-blown C/EBPδ deficient (knock-out) macrophages.



Figure 4. RNAseq gene expression analysis of wild-type (WT), C/EBP δ knock-out (KO) and C/EBP δ mutant (Δ TAD) macrophages. (**A**) Principal component analysis of pairwise genetic distances between the different macrophage subtypes. (**B**) Correlation heat map showing the Euclidean distances between the different macrophage subtypes. (**C**) Venn diagram showing overlap in downregulation of genes in knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages with a heatmap of genes downregulated in knock-out macrophages but upregulated in Δ TAD macrophages. (**D**) Venn diagram showing overlap in upregulation of genes in knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages with a heatmap of genes upregulated in knock-out macrophages but downregulated in Δ TAD macrophages. (**D**) Venn diagram showing overlap in upregulation of genes in knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages. (**D**) Venn diagram showing overlap in upregulated in knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages. (**D**) Venn diagram showing overlap in upregulated in knock-out and Δ TAD macrophages with a heatmap of genes upregulated in knock-out macrophages but downregulated in Δ TAD macrophages. Heatmaps were generated using heatmapper (http://www.heatmapper.ca [39] assessed on 24 November 2020).



Figure 5. Functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes. Significance (*p*-values) for KEGG pathways enriched in differentially expressed genes between wild-type and Δ TAD macrophages in wild-type vs. Δ TAD (**left**) and wild-type vs. knock-out (**right**) macrophages. The dotted lines indicate *p* = 0.05.

4. Discussion

C/EBP δ is a member of the C/EBP family of transcription factors that, according to the current paradigm, potentiates cytokine production and modulates macrophage function, thereby enhancing the inflammatory response [5,22]. In the current manuscript, we show, however, that cytokine levels are not consistently reduced in LPS-stimulated C/EBP δ deficient macrophages. Actually, cytokine levels are only reduced in BMDMs stimulated with low LPS concentrations but not in peritoneal macrophages and BMDMs stimulated with high(er) LPS concentrations. We hypothesized that compensatory transcriptional activity of alternative CCAAT box binding transcription factors, which bind to promotor regions in knock-out cells that are normally occupied by C/EBP δ , could explain the context-dependent role of C/EBP δ in cytokine production. We provide evidence supporting this hypothesis by showing that LPS-induced cytokine levels are consistently reduced in Δ TAD macrophages.

We deleted the transactivation domain of CEBPD from its endogenous locus to generate Δ TAD macrophages. Such an approach ensures that mutant C/EBP δ expression levels in Δ TAD macrophages are similar to wild-type C/EBP δ levels in control cells. Moreover, mutant C/EBP δ binds to the same target gene promoters in Δ TAD macrophages as wild-type C/EBP δ does in control cells. By contrast, however, the absence of C/EBP δ in knock-out cells allows alternative CCAAT box binding factors to bind promoter regions occupied by C/EBPδ in control cells. Data interpretation is clearly obscured in such knockout cells: indeed, if binding of the alternative transcription factor does not activate (or less efficiently activates) transcription of the gene of interest, expression of the target gene is reduced, and it is (rightfully) concluded that $C/EBP\delta$ drives transcription of this target gene. If the alternative transcription factor is similarly effective in inducing transcription, deletion of C/EBPδ does not change expression levels, and one would (wrongfully) conclude that the gene of interest is $C/EBP\delta$ independent. In the case that binding of the alternative transcription factor is more potent in inducing transcription, gene expression levels will increase due to $C/EBP\delta$ deletion, and one would (wrongfully) conclude that $C/EBP\delta$ inhibits expression of the gene of interest. Of note is that this is not merely a theoretic probability, because certain gene promotors have already been shown to be regulated

differentially by specific C/EBP family members [20,21]. For instance, the nerve growth factor promotor is transactivated by C/EBP δ but not by C/EBP β , although both family members display similar DNA-binding [21], whereas the Furin promotor is transactivated by C/EBP β but not by C/EBP α or C/EBP δ [20].

C/EBP δ is not the only C/EBP family member for which knock-out experiments show surprising results. Ablation of C/EBP β , which is generally believed to drive proinflammatory gene expression, unexpectedly increased serum IL-6 levels in mice upon *Candida albicans* inoculation [40] and did not affect expression levels of cytokines involved in macrophage activation, such as TNF α and IFN γ , in response to *Listeria monocytogenes* infection [41]. Moreover, ex vivo stimulations of wild-type and C/EBP β deficient macrophages showed an expected diminished induction of IL-1 β , TNF α , IL-6 and iNOS expression but an increased expression of IL-12 p40, RANTES and MIP-1 β [42].

An elegant study of Lu and colleagues [18] suggests that C/EBP β could compensate for the loss of C/EBP\delta under certain (experimental) conditions. Indeed, LPS-induced IL-6 and TNF α levels are similar in wild-type and C/EBP δ deficient fetal liver-derived macrophages but are consistently reduced in $C/EBP\delta/C/EBP\beta$ double-knock-out macrophages. Next to C/EBP β , any C/EBP family member could obviously compensate for the loss of C/EBP δ and, considering the large variety in C/EBP ratios dependent on macrophage differentiation/activation, the compensating factor is likely context-dependent. Of note is that transcription factor binding to the C/EBP binding site is not limited to C/EBP family members. Indeed, the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 promoter contains overlapping binding sites for C/EBP and nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) and preventing NFAT nuclear localization resulted in enhanced C/EBP α binding [43]. Moreover, the CCAAT box is one of the most common cis-acting elements found in the promoter regions of a large number of genes [36], and many DNA binding proteins, for instance, NF-Y, interact with this sequence [37]. In knock-out cells, multiple transcription factors can thus bind to promotor regions that are normally occupied by C/EBPo, which could explain the context-dependent effect of C/EBPδ deficiency.

We reveal a large discrepancy between transcriptional programs in C/EBP δ knock-out and C/EBP δ transactivation dead (Δ TAD) macrophages based upon which we advocate that knock-out approaches are not suited for identifying the genuine transcriptional program regulated by the transcription factor of interest (in this instance, C/EBP δ). This is likely not specific for C/EBP δ , as many transcription factors, such as the already mentioned NF-Y and NFAT families, but also the activator protein (AP)-1, AP-2 and Nuclear factor kappa B family members, bind to similar and/or overlapping recognition sites. Because most proteins are grouped in families [44], we envision that our conclusions are not specific for transcription factors but may actually extend to all studies that use knock-out conditions to identify gene expression patterns driven by the protein of interest, and thus, such results should be interpreted with care.

We showed that gene expression analysis of C/EBP δ knock-out macrophages does not accurately identify genes regulated by C/EBP δ . Despite this obvious limitation of knock-out studies for the identification of gene expression patterns by individual family members, gene knock-out approaches are highly relevant in biomedical research to identify (pre)clinical targets. Using C/EBP δ deficient animals, it has for instance been shown that C/EBP δ ablation protects against pneumococcal meningitis [45,46] and pneumonia [47], LPS-induced acute lung injury [11] and radiation-induced intestinal injury [48,49]. By contrast, C/EBP δ deficient mice are more susceptible to *Escherichia coli*-induced peritonitis [16] and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*-induced pneumonia [17], whereas breast tumor growth [50] and renal fibrosis [51] are also increased in C/EBP δ deficient mice. Although the underlying mechanism responsible for the observed differences in C/EBP δ deficient mice (i.e., abolishment of C/EBP δ driven transcription or increased compensatory mechanisms by alternative CCAAT box binding transcription factors) remain elusive, these studies identify C/EBP δ as a target to pursue in the former but not the latter life-threatening disorders.

5. Conclusions

C/EBP δ potentiates LPS-induced cytokine production, but this effect is obscured in C/EBP δ knock-out macrophages likely due to compensatory transcriptional programs induced by alternative CCAAT box binding factors that are expressed at normal levels. Our data imply that knock-out approaches are not suited for identifying the genuine transcriptional program regulated by C/EBP δ , and conclusions on the specific role of C/EBP δ have to be drawn with care. We envision this is not C/EBP δ specific but holds true for protein family members in general.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/cells10092233/s1, Figure S1: CRISPR-mediated generation of C/EBPδ ΔTAD mutants. Figure S2: DNA sequence of wild-type, C/EBPδ knock-out and C/EBPδ ΔTAD RAW264.7 clones, Figure S3: Relative CEBP and NF-Y family member expression in monocytes and macrophages, Table S1: Primer sequences used to assess C/EBP family expression.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.D. and C.A.S.; methodology, J.D., C.A.S. and A.F.d.V.; validation, J.D. and C.A.S.; formal analysis, J.D., J.M.B., H.L.A. and C.A.S.; investigation, J.D., J.M.B., A.F.d.V., H.L.A. and C.A.S.; data curation, J.D., J.M.B. and C.A.S.; writing—original draft preparation, J.D. and C.A.S.; writing—review and editing, J.D., J.M.B., A.F.d.V. and C.A.S.; supervision, J.D.; project administration, J.D. and C.A.S.; funding acquisition, J.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by The Dutch Kidney Foundation Fund [C06.2198 to J.D.]; The Dutch Research Council VENI Fund [016.186.046 to J.D.]; Funding for open access charge: University of Amsterdam.

Institutional Review Board Statement: In The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam (protocol code DIX101643, approved 2009).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files. Sequence libraries are publicly available through the National Center for Biotechnology Information gene expression omnibus under the following accession number: GSE173552.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Lekstrom-Himes, J.; Xanthopoulos, K.G. Biological role of the CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein family of transcription factors. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, 273, 28545–28548. [CrossRef]
- Pulido-Salgado, M.; Vidal-Taboada, J.M.; Saura, J. C/EBPβ and C/EBPδ transcription factors: Basic biology and roles in the CNS. Prog. Neurobiol. 2015, 132, 1–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Ramji, D.P.; Foka, P. CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins: Structure, function and regulation. *Biochem. J.* 2002, 365, 561–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ko, C.Y.; Chang, W.C.; Wang, J.M. Biological roles of CCAAT/Enhancer-binding protein delta during inflammation. J. Biomed. Sci. 2015, 22, 6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ullmann, T.; Luckhardt, S.; Wolf, M.; Parnham, M.J.; Resch, E. High-Throughput Screening for CEBPD-Modulating Compounds in THP-1-Derived Reporter Macrophages Identifies Anti-Inflammatory HDAC and BET Inhibitors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 3022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Wang, J.M.; Ko, C.J.; Chen, L.C.; Wang, W.L.; Chang, W.C. Functional role of NF-IL6 beta and its sumoylation and acetylation modifications in promoter activation of cyclooxygenase 2 gene. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2006**, *34*, 217–231. [CrossRef]
- Cho, M.K.; Cho, Y.H.; Lee, G.H.; Kim, S.G. Induction of cyclooxygenase-2 by bovine type I collagen in macrophages via C/EBP and CREB activation by multiple cell signaling pathways. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2004, 67, 2239–2250. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Thomas, B.; Berenbaum, F.; Humbert, L.; Bian, H.M.; Bereziat, G.; Crofford, L.; Olivier, J.L. Critical role of C/EBP delta and C/EBP beta factors in the stimulation of the cyclooxygenase-2 gene transcription by interleukin-1 beta in articular chondrocytes. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2000, 267, 6798–6809. [CrossRef]

- Balamurugan, K.; Sharan, S.; Klarmann, K.D.; Zhang, Y.; Coppola, V.; Summers, G.H.; Roger, T.; Morrison, D.K.; Keller, J.R.; Sterneck, E. FBXW7alpha attenuates inflammatory signalling by downregulating C/EBPdelta and its target gene Tlr4. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, 4, 1662. [CrossRef]
- Yan, C.; Zhu, M.; Staiger, J.; Johnson, P.F.; Gao, H. C5a-regulated CCAAT/Enhancer-binding proteins beta and delta are Essential in Fc gamma receptor-mediated inflammatory cytokine and chemokine production in macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2012, 287, 3217–3230. [CrossRef]
- 11. Yan, C.; Johnson, P.F.; Tang, H.; Ye, Y.; Wu, M.; Gao, H. CCAAT/Enhancer-binding protein delta is a critical mediator of lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2012**, *182*, 420–430. [CrossRef]
- Yan, C.; Liu, Y.; Gao, H.; Wang, X. Suppressors of cytokine signaling 3 is essential for FcγR-mediated inflammatory response via enhancing CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein δ transcriptional activity in macrophages. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2015, 337, 120–127. [CrossRef]
- 13. Maitra, U.; Gan, L.; Chang, S.; Li, L. Low-dose endotoxin induces inflammation by selectively removing nuclear receptors and activating CCAAT/Enhancer-binding protein delta. *J. Immunol.* **2011**, *186*, 4467–4473. [CrossRef]
- Slofstra, S.H.; Groot, A.P.; Obdeijn, M.H.; Reitsma, P.H.; ten Cate, H.; Spek, C.A. Gene expression profiling identifies C/EBPδ as a candidate regulator of endotoxin-induced disseminated intravascular coagulation. *Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care Med.* 2007, 176, 602–609. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chang, L.-H.; Huang, H.-S.; Wu, P.-T.; Jou, I.-M.; Pan, M.-H.; Chang, W.-C.; Wang, D.D.H.; Wang, J.-M. Role of macrophage CCAAT/Enhancer binding protein delta in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis in collagen-induced arthritic mice. *PLoS* ONE 2012, 7, e45378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Litvak, V.; Ramsey, S.A.; Rust, A.G.; Zak, D.E.; Kennedy, K.A.; Lampano, A.E.; Nykter, M.; Shmulevich, I.; Aderem, A. Function of C/EBPdelta in a regulatory circuit that discriminates between transient and persistent TLR4-induced signals. *Nat. Immunol.* 2009, 10, 437–443. [CrossRef]
- Duitman, J.; Hoogendijk, A.J.; Groot, A.P.; Ruela, R.R.; van der Poll, T.; Florquin, S.; Spek, C.A. CCAAT-Enhancer-binding protein delta (C/EBPδ) protects against Klebsiella-pneumoniae-induced pulmonary infection; potential role for macrophage migration. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2012, 206, 1826–1835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lu, Y.C.; Kim, I.; Lye, E.; Shen, F.; Suzuki, N.; Suzuki, S.; Gerondakis, S.; Akira, S.; Gaffen, S.L.; Yeh, W.C.; et al. Differential role for c-Rel and C/EBPbeta/delta in TLR-mediated induction of proinflammatory cytokines. *J. Immunol.* 2009, 182, 7212–7221. [CrossRef]
- 19. Duitman, J.W.; Hoogendijk, A.J.; van der Poll, T.; Spek, C.A. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein δ: Multifaceted regulator in respiratory disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2013**, *182*, 1459. [CrossRef]
- Ayoubi, T.A.; Creemers, J.W.; Roebroek, A.J.; Van de Ven, W.J. Expression of the dibasic proprotein processing enzyme furin is directed by multiple promoters. J. Biol. Chem. 1994, 269, 9298–9303. [CrossRef]
- Colangelo, A.M.; Johnson, P.F.; Mocchetti, I. beta-adrenergic receptor-induced activation of nerve growth factor gene transcription in rat cerebral cortex involves CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein delta. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998, 95, 10920–10925. [CrossRef]
- 22. Balamurugan, K.; Sterneck, E. The many faces of C/EBPδ and their relevance for inflammation and cancer. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* **2013**, *9*, 917–933. [CrossRef]
- 23. Hu, H.M.; Baer, M.; Williams, S.C.; Johnson, P.F.; Schwartz, R.C. Redundancy of C/EBP alpha, -beta, and -delta in supporting the lipopolysaccharide-induced transcription of IL-6 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1. *J. Immunol.* **1998**, *160*, 2334–2342.
- 24. Sterneck, E.; Paylor, R.; Jackson-Lewis, V.; Libbey, M.; Przedborski, S.; Tessarollo, L.; Crawley, J.N.; Johnson, P.F. Selectively enhanced contextual fear conditioning in mice lacking the transcriptional regulator CCAAT/enhancer binding protein delta. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1998**, *95*, 10908–10913. [CrossRef]
- 25. Dessing, M.C.; Knapp, S.; Florquin, S.; de Vos, A.F.; van der Poll, T. CD14 facilitates invasive respiratory tract infection by Streptococcus pneumoniae. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **2007**, 175, 604–611. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van den Boogaard, F.E.; Brands, X.; Duitman, J.; de Stoppelaar, S.F.; Borensztajn, K.S.; Roelofs, J.J.T.H.; Hollenberg, M.D.; Spek, C.A.; Schultz, M.J.; Veer, C.v.; et al. Protease-activated receptor 2 facilitates bacterial dissemination in pneumococcal pneumonia. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2018, 217, 1462–1471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cerami, E.; Gao, J.; Dogrusoz, U.; Gross, B.E.; Sumer, S.O.; Aksoy, B.A.; Jacobsen, A.; Byrne, C.J.; Heuer, M.L.; Larsson, E.; et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: An open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Dis.* 2012, 2, 401–404. [CrossRef]
- 28. R2: Genomics Analysis and Visualization Platform. Available online: http://r2.amc.nl (accessed on 24 November 2020).
- Martinez, F.O.; Gordon, S.; Locati, M.; Mantovani, A. Transcriptional profiling of the human monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and polarization: New molecules and patterns of gene expression. *J. Immunol.* 2006, 177, 7303–7311. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xue, J.; Schmidt, S.V.; Sander, J.; Draffehn, A.; Krebs, W.; Quester, I.; De Nardo, D.; Gohel, T.D.; Emde, M.; Schmidleithner, L.; et al. Transcriptome-based network analysis reveals a spectrum model of human macrophage activation. *Immunity* 2014, 40, 274–288. [CrossRef]
- Ran, F.A.; Hsu, P.D.; Wright, J.; Agarwala, V.; Scott, D.A.; Zhang, F. Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat. Protoc.* 2013, *8*, 2281–2308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 32. Bolger, A.M.; Lohse, M.; Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **2014**, *30*, 2114–2120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Kim, D.; Paggi, J.M.; Park, C.; Bennett, C.; Salzberg, S.L. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *37*, 907–915. [CrossRef]
- 34. Anders, S.; Pyl, P.T.; Huber, W. HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 2015, 31, 166–169. [CrossRef]
- 35. Poli, V. The role of C/EBP isoforms in the control of inflammatory and native immunity functions. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, 273, 29279–29282. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Mantovani, R. A survey of 178 NF-Y binding CCAAT boxes. Nucleic Acids Res. 1998, 26, 1135–1143. [CrossRef]
- 37. Ly, L.L.; Yoshida, H.; Yamaguchi, M. Nuclear transcription factor Y and its roles in cellular processes related to human disease. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* **2013**, *3*, 339–346. [PubMed]
- 38. Banerjee, S.; Xie, N.; Cui, H.; Tan, Z.; Yang, S.; Icyuz, M.; Abraham, E.; Liu, G. MicroRNA let-7c regulates macrophage polarization. *J. Immunol.* **2013**, *190*, 6542–6549. [CrossRef]
- Babicki, S.; Arndt, D.; Marcu, A.; Liang, Y.; Grant, J.R.; Maciejewski, A.; Wishart, D.S. Heatmapper: Web-enabled heat mapping for all. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016, 44, W147–W153. [CrossRef]
- Screpanti, I.; Romani, L.; Musiani, P.; Modesti, A.; Fattori, E.; Lazzaro, D.; Sellitto, C.; Scarpa, S.; Bellavia, D.; Lattanzio, G. Lymphoproliferative disorder and imbalanced T-helper response in C/EBP beta-deficient mice. *EMBO J.* 1995, 14, 1932–1941. [CrossRef]
- Tanaka, T.; Akira, S.; Yoshida, K.; Umemoto, M.; Yoneda, Y.; Shirafuji, N.; Fujiwara, H.; Suematsu, S.; Yoshida, N.; Kishimoto, T. Targeted disruption of the NF-IL6 gene discloses its essential role in bacteria killing and tumor cytotoxicity by macrophages. *Cell* 1995, *80*, 353–361. [CrossRef]
- 42. Gorgoni, B.; Maritano, D.; Marthyn, P.; Righi, M.; Poli, V. C/EBP beta gene inactivation causes both impaired and enhanced gene expression and inverse regulation of IL-12 p40 and p35 mRNAs in macrophages. *J. Immunol.* 2002, *168*, 4055–4062. [CrossRef]
- Dahiya, S.; Liu, Y.; Nonnemacher, M.R.; Dampier, W.; Wigdahl, B. CCAAT enhancer binding protein and nuclear factor of activated T cells regulate HIV-1 LTR via a novel conserved downstream site in cells of the monocyte-macrophage lineage. *PLoS* ONE 2014, 9, e88116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Orengo, C.A.; Thornton, J.M. Protein families and their evolution—A structural perspective. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2005, 74, 867–900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Duitman, J.; Valls Serón, M.; Engelen-Lee, J.; Brouwer, M.C.; Spek, C.A.; van de Beek, D. Detrimental role for CCAAT/enhancer binding protein δ in blood-borne brain infection. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *16*, 670. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Valls Serón, M.; Duitman, J.; Geldhoff, M.; Engelen-Lee, J.; Havik, S.R.; Brouwer, M.C.; van de Beek, D.; Spek, C.A. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein δ (C/EBPδ) aggravates inflammation and bacterial dissemination during pneumococcal meningitis. *J. Neuroinflammation* **2015**, *12*, 88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Duitman, J.; Schouten, M.; Groot, A.P.; Borensztajn, K.S.; Daalhuisen, J.B.; Florquin, S.; van der Poll, T.; Spek, C.A. CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein δ facilitates bacterial dissemination during pneumococcal pneumonia in a platelet-activating factor receptor-dependent manner. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 9113–9118. [CrossRef]
- 48. Banerjee, S.; Fu, Q.; Shah, S.K.; Melnyk, S.B.; Sterneck, E.; Hauer-Jensen, M.; Pawar, S.A. C/EBPδ protects from radiation-induced intestinal injury and sepsis by suppression of inflammatory and nitrosative stress. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 13953. [CrossRef]
- Pawar, S.A.; Shao, L.; Chang, J.; Wang, W.; Pathak, R.; Zhu, X.; Wang, J.; Hendrickson, H.; Boerma, M.; Sterneck, E.; et al. C/EBPδ deficiency sensitizes mice to ionizing radiation-induced hematopoietic and intestinal injury. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e94967. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Balamurugan, K.; Wang, J.M.; Tsai, H.H.; Sharan, S.; Anver, M.; Leighty, R.; Sterneck, E. The tumour suppressor C/EBPδ inhibits FBXW7 expression and promotes mammary tumour metastasis. *EMBO J.* **2010**, *29*, 4106–4117. [CrossRef]
- Duitman, J.; Borensztajn, K.S.; Pulskens, W.P.; Leemans, J.C.; Florquin, S.; Spek, C.A. CCAAT-enhancer binding protein delta (C/EBPδ) attenuates tubular injury and tubulointerstitial fibrogenesis during chronic obstructive nephropathy. *Lab. Investig.* 2014, 94, 89–97. [CrossRef]