Is infant exposure to antiretroviral drugs during breastfeeding quantitatively important? A systematic review and meta-analysis of pharmacokinetic studies

Catriona John Waitt¹*, Paul Garner², Laura Jayne Bonnett^{3,4}, Saye Hock Khoo¹ and Laura Jayne Else¹

 ¹Department of Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, University of Liverpool, Block A, The Waterhouse Buildings, 1 – 5 Brownlow Street, Liverpool L69 3GE, UK; ²Clinical Sciences Department, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool L3 5QA, UK;
³Department of Biostatistics, University of Liverpool, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, 1st Floor Duncan Building, Daulby Street, Liverpool L69 3GA, UK; ⁴Department of Clinical Infection, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Liverpool, 8 West Derby Street, Liverpool L69 7BE, UK

*Corresponding author. Tel: +44-151-795-5557; E-mail: cwaitt@liv.ac.uk

Received 7 January 2015; returned 7 February 2015; revised 23 February 2015; accepted 9 March 2015

Objectives: The objectives of this study were to summarize antiretroviral drug concentrations in breast milk (BM) and exposure of breast-fed infants.

Methods: This was a systematic review of pharmacokinetic studies of HIV-positive women taking antiretrovirals that measured drugs in BM. The quality of pharmacokinetic and laboratory methods was assessed using predefined criteria. Pooled ratios and 95% CIs were calculated using the generalized inverse variance method and heterogeneity was estimated by the I^2 statistic. PubMed Central, SCOPUS and LactMed databases were searched. No date or language restrictions were applied. Searches were conducted up to 10 November 2014. Clinical relevance was estimated by comparing ingested dose with the recommended therapeutic dose for each drug.

Results: Twenty-four studies were included. There was substantial variability in the clinical and laboratory methods used and in reported results. Relative to maternal plasma (MP), NRTIs accumulate in BM, with BM:MP ratios (95% CI estimates) from 0.89 to 1.21 (14 studies, 1159 paired BM and MP samples). NNRTI estimates were from 0.71 to 0.94 (17 studies, 965 paired samples) and PI estimates were from 0.17 to 0.21 (8 studies, 477 paired samples). Relative to the recommended paediatric doses, a breast-fed infant may ingest 8.4% (95% CI 1.9–15.0), 12.5% (95% CI 2.6–22.3) and 1.1% (95% CI 0–3.6) of lamivudine, nevirapine and efavirenz, respectively, via BM.

Conclusions: Transfer to untreated infants appears quantitatively important for some NRTIs and NNRTIs. The pharmacokinetic methods varied widely and we propose standards for the design, analysis and reporting of future pharmacokinetic studies of drug transfer during breastfeeding.

Keywords: ARV, mother-to-child transmission, PK

Introduction

Approximately 1.5 million HIV-positive women become pregnant each year.¹ The infection of some 400 000 infants annually led the WHO to encourage provision of efavirenz-based antiretroviral (ARV) therapy for pregnant HIV-positive women [prevention of mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) options B and B+].² Increasing numbers of women will receive ARVs throughout breastfeeding. In contrast to well-resourced settings,^{3–5} the WHO recommends exclusive breastfeeding in the developing world (since formula feeding is associated with high infant mortality)⁶ despite breastfeeding accounting for a significant proportion of all mother-to-child transmissions of HIV.⁵ Infants of ARV recipients who acquire HIV via breast milk (BM) have high rates of drug resistance,^{7,8} limiting treatment options and shortening life. Pharmacokinetic (PK) knowledge of ARV transfer to BM and breastfed infants is essential to understand the safety of prolonged

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. exposure through breastfeeding and limit the development of drug resistance.

The objectives of this study were to summarize from existing studies of breastfeeding mothers taking ARVs: (i) ARV concentrations in BM; and (ii) ARV transfer to breast-fed infants.

Methods

We wrote the protocol for this review before starting the analysis (available as Supplementary data at *JAC* Online), following PRISMA guidelines.⁹

Ethics

Ethics approval was not necessary for this systematic review.

Inclusion criteria

We sought to identify and analyse all studies that reported drug concentrations of any ARV in the BM of HIV-positive women on ARVs.

Search strategy

PubMed Central, SCOPUS and LactMed databases were searched using the keywords 'antiretroviral' and 'breast' and 'milk' and subsequently by replacing the generic term 'antiretroviral' with the name of each individual agent. No date or language restrictions were applied. The proceedings of relevant conferences and citation lists from review articles and included papers were searched. Searches were conducted up to 10 November 2014.

Data extraction

Data were extracted onto an Excel[®] spreadsheet by C. J. W. and L. J. E., with differences resolved by discussion amongst all authors. For each study, the author, date, country, study design including inclusion and exclusion criteria, sample size, ARV regimen(s) administered to the mother and whether or not infant ARVs were administered were recorded.

Methodological rigor and reporting quality

For clinical sampling, we assessed: (i) the PK sampling strategy in terms of number of BM samples obtained from each mother, timing of sampling relative to birth and to maternal dosing, or whether this was unclear; (ii) if the methods used to obtain BM were described sufficiently (volume of milk, manual or pump-assisted expression and storage of milk) for another investigator to be able to replicate the process; and (iii) whether the samples of maternal plasma (MP), infant plasma (IP) and BM were obtained at the same time, a different time or if this was unclear.

We assessed the quality of the laboratory methods using seven criteria: (i) if the laboratory method was described in sufficient detail for another investigator to be able to repeat the procedure; (ii) whether the authors validated or referred to assay validation for the BM matrix (yes/no); (iii) if drug was measured in whole BM, if the lipid fraction was skimmed off or if both of these methods were used; (iv) the method of drug extraction; (v) the choice of detection method used; (vi) if the assay sensitivity was reported (yes/no); and (vii) if detail regarding the internal standard was provided (yes/no).

Data analysis

Outcomes

Medians and IQRs for ARV concentrations in human BM, MP and IP were extracted. The ratio of ARV in BM to MP (BM:MP ratio) is accepted as the

key index of BM transfer of drug;¹⁰ where this was not specifically stated, it was calculated from median MP and BM concentrations where these were provided. BM:MP and IP:BM ratios were only determined when MP, BM and IP concentrations were detectable (above the assay limit of quantification). Hence not all studies provided sufficient data for inclusion in the statistical analysis or presentation in the figures.

Statistical analysis

The individual studies identified in this review provided a median and IQR or single point estimates for drug concentrations and BM:MP ratios. Therefore, to provide a pooled estimate for the BM:MP and IP:BM ratios, it was necessary to assume that the ratios were normally distributed and that the median is approximately equal to the mean. Additionally, it is assumed that the IQR is four-thirds of the standard deviation, based on the fact that the standard normal has its 25th and 75th percentiles approximately two-thirds of a standard deviation away from zero.¹¹

Having calculated an assumed mean and standard deviation for all relevant studies, pooled estimates of each outcome were obtained via the generalized inverse variance method. Studies without a spread measure were removed from the pooling exercise.

To estimate clinical relevance, BM concentrations were interpreted as the percentage of the recommended infant dose¹² that would be ingested by a fully breast-fed infant. If both treatment and prophylactic doses were available, we used the PMTCT dose reflecting that which a neonate might typically be prescribed. Whilst not included in WHO guidelines, the FDA has approved efavirenz use in infants aged >3 months and weighing >3.5 kg under exceptional circumstances. Genotyping for CYP2B6 metabolizer status is strongly recommended with appropriate dose adjustment, but if not available a dose of 100 mg would be used for a 3.5 kg infant;¹³ this was used in our calculations. The standard assumption of 150 mL/kg/day milk intake was made. As studies did not summarize infant weights, simulations were made for infants weighing 2, 3, 4 and 5 kg. CIs for the percentages were calculated using standard methodology and once again pooled estimates were obtained using the generalized inverse variance method with zero percentages being excluded from the pooling. CIs were capped at 0 and 100 as true percentages are being considered, not changes in percentages.

Heterogeneity was estimated via the I^2 statistic. It was not possible to calculate an I^2 statistic where there is only a single study or where only one of several studies for a drug has a measure of spread.

Results

Description of studies

Twenty-four studies (19 full text and 5 conference proceedings) met the inclusion criteria and are summarized in Table 1. Figure 1 illustrates the information sources and search strategy. Fourteen were PK studies nested within PMTCT efficacy trials, 8 were observational PK studies and 2 were nested within early-phase clinical trials. Nineteen studies were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa.

The individual ARVs analysed in breastfeeding mothers were nevirapine (16 studies), zidovudine (12 studies), lamivudine (12 studies), stavudine (4 studies), lopinavir (4 studies), ritonavir (4 studies), nelfinavir (4 studies), tenofovir (2 studies), efavirenz (2 studies), atazanavir (1 study), indinavir (1 study), abacavir (1 study) and etravirine (1 study).

Eight studies reported IP concentrations where the infants were not given the same drug as the mother. A further seven also reported IP concentrations where infants and mothers were receiving the same drug; here, MP and BM concentrations were retained and IP levels excluded, as drug exposures in the

Table 1. Study population

			Drugs take								
study	country	design	inclusion criteria	exclusion criteria	size	duration of ART	NVP	ZDV	3TC	other	Drugs taken by infant
Aizire ⁴⁶	Uganda	observational	ARV naive, CD4 >250 cells/mm ³	multiple pregnancy; in a clinical trial; partner refusal	120 pairs	single perinatal dose	Х				not stated
Benaboud ³⁴	Cote d'Ivoire	nested in RCT	not stated (within trial population)	not stated	5 mothers	7 days	Х			FTC, TDF	not stated
Colebunders ¹⁸	³ Belgium	observational	on HAART	not stated	10 mothers	until after BM sampling	Х	Х	Х	NFV, IDV	ZDV single dose
Corbett ^{a22}	Malawi	nested in RCT	CD4 >200 cells/mm ³ ; infant birth weight >2 kg	not stated	20 pairs	7 days or throughout breastfeeding (RCT)	Х	Х	Х	d4T, NFV	NVP single dose+ZDV/ 3TC 7 days
Corbett ¹⁶	Malawi	nested in RCT	CD4 >200 cells/mm ³ ; on ARVs, infants HIV—	not stated	30 pairs	delivery to end of breastfeeding		Х	Х	LPV/r	not stated
Fogel ³²	Malawi	nested in RCT	CD4 <250 cells/mm ³	not stated	52 pairs	median 1.5 months at sampling time				d4T	NVP (one of three regimens in PEPI- Malawi trial)
Frank ⁴⁷	Uganda	observational	ineligible for HAART	not stated	62 pairs	single perinatal dose	Х				NVP 2 mg/kg
Giuliano ²⁴	Mozambique	observational	on any PMTCT regimen	not stated	40 women	28 weeks gestation – 1 month post-partum	Х	Х	Х		NVP 2 mg/kg
Kunz ²⁰	Uganda	nested in RCT	not stated (trial population)	on HAART	62 pairs	single perinatal dose	Х				NVP 2 mg/kg
Mirochnick ⁴⁸	USA and Puerto Rico	Phase I	ARV naive; enrolled at >34 weeks gestation	intercurrent illness; significant fetal anomaly; lab abnormalities	3 pairs	single perinatal dose	Х				NVP 2 mg/kg
Mirochnick ²⁵	Kenya	nested in RCT	not stated (trial population)	not stated	67 pairs	from ~34 weeks gestation through 6 months breastfeeding	Х	Х	Х		NVP 2 mg/kg
Mirochnick ⁴⁹	Malawi+Brazil	nested in RCT	not stated (trial population)	previous TDF; condition that might affect PK	25 mothers	single perinatal dose				TDF	TDF 4 mg/kg on days 1, 3 and 5
Moodley ²⁹	South Africa	nested in RCT	ARV naive	multiple pregnancy; significant fetal anomaly; lab abnormalities	20 pairs	from ~38 weeks gestation until 1 week post-partum		Х	Х		3TC 4 mg/kg bd alone or with ZDV 2 mg/kg qds for 1 week
Musoke ⁵⁰	Uganda	Phase I/II study	ARV naive; enrolled at >34 weeks gestation	intercurrent illness; significant fetal anomaly; lab abnormalities	21 pairs	single perinatal dose	Х				NVP 2 mg/kg
Olagunju ^{a28}	Nigeria	observational	on EFV-based ARV; exclusively breastfeeding	not stated	51 pairs	not stated			Х	EFV, FTC, TDF	not stated
Palombi ²³	Malawi	nested in RCT	not stated (trial population)	lab abnormalities	66 pairs	from 25 weeks gestation until end of breastfeeding	Х	Х	Х	d4T, LPV/r	NVP 2 mg/kg
Rezk ³¹	Malawi	nested in RCT	on HAART	not stated	60 women	not stated	Х	Х	Х	d4T, NFV, LPV/r	not stated

Ruff ^{a17}	Haiti	observational r	not stated	not stated	6 women	single dose	\times		по
Schneider ²⁷	Rwanda	nested in RCT	>45 days since	not stated	13 pairs	from ${\sim}28$ weeks gestation	×	X X EFV	NVP 2 mg/kg stat+ZDV
			delivery			until 6 months			4 mg/kg for 7 days
						post-partum			
Shapiro ⁴⁵	Botswana	nested in RCT r	not stated	not stated	50 pairs	from 24–36 weeks	×	X X ABC, LPV	/r NVP 6 mg, ZDV 4 mg/kg
			(trial population)			gestation, throughout			bd for 4 weeks
						breastfeeding			
Shapiro ³⁰	Botswana	nested in RCT	on HAART	not stated	20 pairs	>6 weeks	×	×	ZDV 4 – 6 mg tds
Spencer ^{a 15}	NSA	observational	on HAART	not stated	7 women	not stated		X X ATV	not stated
Spencer ^{a 14}	NSA	observational	stable on HAART;	not stated	9 women	post-partum days 1–14		ETR	not stated
			undetectable						
			viral load						
Weidle ⁵¹	Kenya	nested in RCT r	not stated	not stated	26 pairs	34 weeks gestation to		NFV	not stated
			(trial population)			6 months post-partum			
	ino: EEV of anim	CTD of You invite	C		an disc. TDF	tonoformin. ETC amtricitability			atoración IDV indination
INVP, LIEVILUL	JILIE, ELV, EIUVILE	נוב; בוצ, פנימעונוויי	e; ZUV, ZIUUVUUIIE; J	IC, IUTIIVUUILIE, U4 I, SU	מעטמונופ; וער,	נפנוטוטעונ; דור, פווונוכונמטוות	c, ADC,	upucuvii; Ai v,	atazanavir; IDV, inamavir;

LPV/r, ritonavir-boosted lopinavir; NFV, nelfinavir; RCT, randomized controlled trial; bd, twice daily dosing; tds, three times daily dosing; qds, four times daily dosing; stat, single dose. ^aConference proceeding.

infant would reflect both orally administered drug and BM transfer, making it impossible to determine the contribution from the mother via BM. In two studies, infant blood sampling was performed at intervals over a period of several months; in this case, we included in the analysis only timepoints where the directly administered infant drug would have no longer been detectable based on the known ARV elimination half-life.

Study desian

The PK study design, types of matrices analysed (MP, BM and IP) and the laboratory methods are described in Tables S1 and S2.

PK design

For BM PK, 13 studies utilized a sparse PK sampling strategy (a single timepoint within a dosing interval), with sampling either within the first 6 weeks of life (6 studies) or at intervals up to 6 months of age (7 studies). Two studies undertook a rich PK profile on BM, sampling at 0, 2, 5, 8 and 24 h relative to dosing, ^{14,15} and two further studies performed truncated rich PK analysis from 0 to 6 h post-dose.^{16,17} In seven studies, the PK design was not clear. Fifteen studies sampled all three matrices (MP, BM and IP), whereas 9 did not include infant concentrations. Twenty studies sampled the different matrices contemporaneously and 3 did not; in the final study, this was not clear (Table S1).

Methods to obtain and process BM

Nine studies provided detail on the clinical process of BM samplina (Table S1). Regarding the fraction of milk analysed, three studies reported the measurement of drug in BM with the lipid layer skimmed off, six reported measurement in whole BM (one of which specified that this was homogenized prior to extraction)¹⁸ and three studies considered both whole and skimmed BM. In the remaining 12 studies, the milk fraction was not specified (Table S2).

Quality of laboratory methods

We evaluated whether matrix-specific assay validation for BM was described, in accordance with FDA bioanalysis guidelines.¹⁹ The majority of methods were either LC-MS or HPLC with ultraviolet detection based; one study employed GC-MS. Two studies described the use of drug-free milk for use in assay optimization.^{20,21} Fourteen studies described assay validation or referenced validated methods. Fourteen studies specified the assay sensitivity in terms of the lower limit of quantification and eight studies specified the internal standard used (Table S2).

Disposition of ARVs into BM and infant

The ARV concentrations in MP and BM and the corresponding BM:MP ratios for each class of drug are summarized in Figures 2-4. Data on infant ARV concentrations resulting from BM exposure are summarized in Figure 5. Figure 6 illustrates the percentage of recommended infant dose ingested by a fully breast-fed 3 kg infant; results for other weights were similar and are not presented here.



Figure 1. Information sources and search strategy.

NNRTIs

Seventeen studies reported on NNRTI levels in MP and BM, of which 14 measured nevirapine, 2 measured efavirenz and 1 measured etravirine.

Despite considerable heterogeneity ($I^2 = 95\%$), studies evaluating nevirapine transfer into BM consistently showed a BM:MP ratio of <1, with an overall pooled estimate of 0.73 (95% CI 0.71-0.76). Furthermore, three studies reported nevirapine levels in MP and BM at several timepoints post-delivery, demonstrating the BM:MP ratios to be relatively constant from 6 to 24 weeks post-partum, although numbers sampled at each timepoint were small.²²⁻²⁴ Eleven reporting IP nevirapine concentrations were confounded by administration of nevirapine to the infant. However, both Mirochnick et al.²⁵ and Palombi et al.²³ performed longitudinal analyses on MP, BM and IP; given the half-life of 30 h for nevirapine in infants from a similar population,²⁶ by 3 months we presumed that detectable infant levels reflected BM transfer of drug. Both studies reported similar IP concentrations of \sim 12% of MP at 14 weeks and <5% by 24 weeks.^{23,25}

Both studies of efavirenz reported BM levels lower than plasma levels. The ratio of IP to BM was 0.24 in one study²⁷ and 0.08 in the other.²⁸ Etravirine was found to accumulate in the breast, with the BM:MP ratio rising from 1.09 to 3.27 between days 5 and 14 post-partum. Infant etravirine levels were not measured.

NRTIs

Fourteen studies reported NRTI levels in MP and BM, of which 10 measured lamivudine, 7 measured zidovudine, 3 measured stavudine, 2 measured tenofovir, 1 measured abacavir and 1 measured emtricitabine.

Seven of 10 studies showed lamivudine accumulation in the breast, with a median BM:MP ratio of >1, although the variability both within and between studies was notable $(I^2 = 98\%)$. The remaining three studies^{23,29,45} found that lamivudine BM:MP ratios were <1. The overall pooled estimate was 0.93 (95% CI 0.89-0.98). The majority of studies^{15,16,23-25} demonstrated the BM: MP ratios were constant from delivery throughout post-partum. However, in studies that performed intensive PK sampling,^{15,16} there was evidence of differential PK within the MP and BM compartments; a slower elimination rate of lamivudine was observed in BM than in MP, which resulted in a gradual increase in the BM: MP ratio across the dosing interval. Breastfeeding infants also had measurable drug, with IP concentrations between 2% and 6% of MP.^{22,23,30} Infant lamivudine exposures and corresponding IP:BM ratios declined over the course of the post-partum period, in spite of a constant delivery of drug through BM, which potentially reflects maturation (or ontogeny) of the infant's metabolic clearance system. Two studies evaluated MP and BM levels of zidovudine and lamivudine at intervals up to 24 weeks postpartum,^{22,23} finding the BM:MP ratios of these drugs to remain constant over this time.

Regarding zidovudine, three studies reported lower concentrations in BM compared with MP,^{15,23,25} three described higher levels^{16,30,31} and the final study²⁴ demonstrated wide interindividual variability in the BM:MP ratio. The overall pooled estimate was 0.80 (95% CI 0.76–0.85). Infant levels of zidovudine were determined in three studies.^{16,23,25} Zidovudine was measurable at the time of delivery (potentially a reflection of transplacental passage of drug), but was largely undetectable in IP after the neonatal period had passed.

Three studies report stavudine BM:MP ratios of $>1^{23,31,32}$ with a pooled estimate of 1.21 (1.07–1.36), which is significantly >1; however, infant concentrations approached the lower limit of quantification with a median value of zero.^{23,32} Two studies have measured tenofovir in BM. In one, tenofovir was measurable in the BM of only 4 out of 25 women sampled and neither study reported corresponding MP or IP concentrations.^{33,34} A single study measured emtricitabine in BM, but not MP. Abacavir had a reported BM:MP ratio of 0.85 in a single study, but BM, MP and IP concentrations were not reported.⁴⁵

PIs

Eight studies reported on PI levels in BM. One study measured atazanavir, one indinavir, four lopinavir used in combination with lowdose ritonavir and four nelfinavir. In all cases, the low-dose ritonavir was used as a boosting agent for lopinavir. Overall penetration of these PIs into BM was low (<40%) relative to MP. Only indinavir was found to accumulate in BM and this study must be interpreted with caution since the samples were drawn from a single mother on five consecutive days.¹⁸ Of the five studies analysing infant concentrations of PIs, four reported levels below the limit of quantification. One study from Malawi was able to detect lopinavir and ritonavir in the plasma of breast-fed infants, at ~2% of MP levels.²³



Figure 2. Forest plot of BM:MP ratios for NNRTIs. Mean (SD) BM:MP ratios are illustrated for each drug. Where studies reported drug levels measured at different infant ages (representing different sampling times post-partum), these are represented as a separate line. The vertical line indicates a BM:MP ratio of 1, where BM and MP levels are equal. Pooled statistics are shown by the diamond and the *I*² statistic is indicated. NVP, nevirapine; EFV, efavirenz; ETR, etravirine; NR, not reported; NaN, not a number; NA, not available. *Conference proceeding.



Figure 3. Forest plot of BM:MP ratios for NRTIs. Mean (SD) BM:MP ratios are illustrated for each drug. Where studies reported drug levels measured at different infant ages (representing different sampling times post-partum), these are represented as a separate line. The vertical line indicates a BM:MP ratio of 1, where BM and MP levels are equal. Pooled statistics are shown by the diamond and the *I*² statistic is indicated. 3TC, lamivudine; ZDV, zidovudine; d4T, stavudine; ABC, abacavir; NR, not reported; NA, not available. *Conference proceeding.



Figure 4. Forest plot of BM: MP ratios for PIs. Mean (SD) BM: MP ratios are illustrated for each drug. Where studies reported drug levels at different infant ages (representing different sampling times post-partum), these are represented as a separate line. The vertical line indicates a BM: MP ratio of 1, where BM and MP levels are equal. Pooled statistics are shown by the diamond and the *I*² statistic is indicated. LPV, lopinavir; NFV, nelfinavir; TV, ritonavir; IDV, indinavir; ATV, atazanavir; NR, not reported; NA, not available. *Conference proceeding.

Systematic review

		Infant												
Drug	Study	age (weeks)	IP (ng/mL)	BM (ng/mL)	Sampling occasions									
NNRTIs														
EFV	Olagunju*_2014	16	178	2280	51									
	Schneider_2008	NR	870	3450	13				•				τ2 –	- NI A
NVP	Palombi 2012	14	795	3143	45									
	Palombi 2012	24	493	2881	45									
			155	2001	15			_					I ² =	NA
NRTIs													-	
3TC	Corbett*_2008	6-24	13	418	47	-								
	Corbett_2014	NR	18	944	107									
	Palombi_2012	4	27	528	59		I							
	Palombi_2012	14	13	499	52									
	Palombi_2012	24	3	410	50									
	Shapiro_2005	NR	28	NR										
						1							$I^{2} =$	88%
ZDV	Palombi_2012	4	3	100	32									
													I ² =	NA
PIs														
LPV	Palombi_2012	4–24	105.5	1640	6		•						2	
													I ² =	NA
RTV	Palombi_2012	4	9	47	7									
													I ² =	NA
						0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8
						-				ID-RM ratio				
											5			

Figure 5. Forest plot of IP: BM ratios for all drugs where infant concentrations were detectable, grouped according to drug class. Where studies reported drug levels at different infant ages, these are represented as a separate line. Pooled statistics are shown by the diamond and the I^2 statistic is indicated. EFV, efavirenz; NVP, nevirapine; 3TC, lamivudine; ZDV, zidovudine; LPV, lopinavir; RTV, ritonavir; NR, not reported; NA, not available. *Conference proceeding.



Figure 6. 'Dose' via BM to a fully breast-fed 3 kg infant, as a percentage of recommended paediatric dose. Pooled statistics are shown by the diamond and the I^2 statistic is indicated. EFV, efavirenz; NVP, nevirapine; 3TC, lamivudine; d4T, stavudine; ZDV, zidovudine; LPV, lopinavir; RTV, ritonavir; NaN, not a number. *Conference proceeding.

Discussion

Although increasing numbers of countries have adopted WHO option B+, meaning that all pregnant and breastfeeding women will receive lifelong ART,² understanding of the PK of transfer between mother and infant remains incomplete. Target concentrations have not been defined for any ARV in BM and many studies utilized an *in vitro* IC₅₀ or IC₉₅ with correction for protein binding. These approaches are not standardized and do not incorporate the active intracellular metabolites of NRTIs.^{35,36} Thus, we chose not to incorporate comparison against IC₅₀ in the formal undertaking of this systematic review.

The NRTIs have higher and more variable BM penetration than the NNRTIs or PIs. Lamivudine has the highest accumulation in the BM and reaches detectable levels in the infant. Initially assumed to have unlikely clinical significance³⁰ (exposure \sim 5% of $IC_{50}^{3/}$), more recent analysis of infants who acquired HIV through breastfeeding in the Kisumu Breastfeeding Study (KiBS) (mothers received zidovudine, lamivudine and either nevirapine or nelfinavir) found more than three-quarters to have resistance to both nevirapine and lamivudine.⁷ Further, amongst mothers who initiated triple therapy post-partum on the basis of clinical need in the PEPI-Malawi trial, almost one-third of HIV-positive infants were found to have multiclass drug resistance.⁸ The accumulation of zidovudine in BM was also highly variable between studies, but in most cases infant levels were undetectable, possibly attributed to the drug's rapid elimination half-life (\sim 1 h). Tenofovir and emtricitabine are measurable in BM, although the relationships with maternal and IP concentrations remain poorly defined; furthermore, tenofovir is not recommended for use in children aged <2 years. These findings have clinical and programmatic significance. Zidovudine and lamivudine remain components of first-line PMTCT and ARV regimens in low-resource settings and tenofovir and emtricitabine are increasingly used with implementation of WHO 2013 guidelines.38

Despite being a first-line ARV in PMTCT,³⁸ only two studies assessed efavirenz concentrations in BM. These showed infant levels approach the minimum levels considered necessary for effective treatment in adults, reaching 13%²⁷ and 8%³⁹ of MP concentrations. No data about effective efavirenz levels necessary for protection of neonates by PMTCT are published. In part, this is a consequence of efavirenz having been contraindicated in pregnancy until 2012 due to teratogenicity concerns, which have recently been refuted by meta-analysis of exposed pregnancies;⁴⁰ additionally, the drug is not recommended for use in children aged <3 years or weighing <10 kg except under exceptional circumstances and ideally with pharmacogenomic testing.¹³ Even with the extension to FDA licensing for young infants, current guidelines state it should not be used in infants aged <3 months. The studies by Schneider *et al.*²⁷ and Olagunju *et al.*²⁸ indicate that the 'dose' received by the breast-fed infant may reach 3.5% of the paediatric dose. The consequences of this in the neonatal period and the influence of maternal and infant pharmacogenomics on the concentrations reached warrant further evaluation.

The clinical relevance of ARV concentrations in BM is not fully understood. Whilst high levels have been correlated with reductions in the BM viral load, reducing the amount of virus to which the infant is exposed,²⁴ differential accumulation of agents used within a triple-therapy regimen risks exposure to monotherapy within the compartment of the breast, potentially selecting resistant HIV strains. Furthermore, transfer of low levels of individual drugs to a breast-fed HIV-positive infant is associated with high rates of drug resistance as demonstrated in secondary analyses of both KiBS⁷ and PEPI-Malawi.⁸ Future studies should consider not only the levels of each individual drug, but also the optimal combination to be used to maximize benefits and reduce risks.

The wide variability within and between studies may result from differences in sampling time relative to dose, differences in drug concentration assays and the statistical method used to report concentrations below the lower limit of quantification, in addition to biological differences between populations. However, it is noted that variability was particularly marked for the NRTIS. Intensive PK data suggest there is a possible lag in the elimination of lamivudine (and to a lesser extent zidovudine) from BM, as shown by a gradual increase in the BM:MP ratios over the course of the dosing interval. This may, in part, explain the extensive variation in NRTI BM:MP ratios across studies, as sparse samples are taken at different times relative to dosing.

The accumulation of PIs in BM is low. Protein binding is the strongest predictor of drug transfer in BM,⁴¹ which explains lower levels of PIs penetrating BM compared with the other classes of drug (~30% protein binding for zidovudine and lamivudine compared with >90% for the PIs).⁴² Maternal covariates influencing the variability in BM elimination of ARVs are poorly defined, but may include clinical states affecting circulating plasma proteins, such as intercurrent illness and poor nutrition.

Limitations

Statistical limitations

There are a number of limitations to the analysis. A normal distribution is assumed when converting medians and IQRs to means and standard deviations and comparison of pooled estimates must be made with caution. Significant heterogeneity was observed with CIs that frequently do not overlap (Figures 2-5), necessitating restriction of analyses to the generalized inverse variance method (use of a random-effects model⁴³ is an alternative approach).

We have presented a pooled estimate for every drug, which has an associated measure of spread. However, in some cases this means we are presenting a pooled estimate for a single study, which some may consider as not being meaningful. This is related to the limited data available.

The analysis of infant drug concentrations as a percentage of the recommended paediatric dose (Figure 6) relied on several assumptions. The source publications did not state infant weight and quantifying the volume of milk intake is challenging—we used the standard assumption of 150 mL/kg/day BM intake. Furthermore, most BM concentrations reflected a single timepoint during the dosing interval whereas the majority of mothers were taking a twice-daily regimen; our calculations assume a stable concentration of drug in BM throughout the dosing interval. Finally, we used an unlicensed efavirenz dose, which remains contraindicated in infants aged <3 months.

Limitations of reported methods

Although validated methods are essential for accurate and reliable drug concentration data,⁴⁴ published clinical PK studies of

Table 2. Recommendations for future studies

		JF

Component of study design	Ideal characteristics
Clinical	
population	population in whom the drug is to be used
study design	specific PK hypothesis or aims to be stated
sampling schedule	optimal sampling schedule to be considered from known PK of drugs
sample size	determined to enable estimation of PK parameters with a high degree of precision; rational basis from previous data (if available)
BM sampling	description of consistent, reproducible sampling method
time after birth	several timepoints relative to delivery; ideally the same mother/infant pairs followed longitudinally
time post-dose	sufficient timepoints to allow construction of an AUC for MP, BM and IP
documentation of timing	time of drug intake and PK sampling recorded
sampling of different matrices	contemporaneous sampling of MP and BM; validation of infant sampling in relation to maternal dose and recent feed
Laboratory	
assay validation	standards to be made up in donated BM to exclude matrix effect; use of internal standard labelled with a stable isotope
milk fraction	whole milk or clear justification for use of skimmed milk fraction with validation
BM storage	assay validation to include stability assessment
detection	highly sensitive assays such as HPLC-MS/MS to enable detection of low levels of drug
reporting	reporting of assay sensitivity to aid interpretation of BLQ levels
analysis	clear statement of method used to interpret BLQ levels

BLQ, below the limit of quantification.

ARVs in breastfeeding mother/infant pairs rarely report detail. Descriptions of sample collection, fraction of milk analysed, extraction method, type of internal standard, stability, matrix effects, recovery, accuracy and precision are frequently lacking.

The complexity of BM, particularly relating to variable lipid and protein content, requires that extraction methods are carefully validated. Among the studies reported here, most authors did not specify the milk fraction analysed or the extraction method used. This information is essential. Whereas Fogel *et al.*³² reported no significant difference in stavudine levels between whole and skimmed milk, Shapiro *et al.*⁴⁵ noted significantly different recoveries of drug between skimmed and whole BM and consequently elected to report whole milk concentrations.^{30,45} Physicochemical principles influence the partitioning of drug into aqueous or lipid fractions of milk, but both are ingested by the infant and therefore whole milk is more likely to reflect the true clinical situation.

The majority of BM PK studies included in this review followed the stringent eligibility criteria of the PMTCT trial within which they were nested. This selection process, rather than recruitment under operational conditions, may have excluded women with covariates influencing BM elimination of ARVs.

Studies report BM concentrations of only 13 of the currently available ARVs. At the point of licensing, new drugs will not have been assessed in pregnant and breastfeeding populations. Whereas in well-resourced settings formula feeding is an option for mothers on these drugs, validated methodology is essential to enable investigation of BM elimination of drugs intended for widespread use in low-resource populations where prolonged breastfeeding is the norm.

To yield precise, high-quality data in an efficient manner, the proposed study of a novel ARV in breastfeeding mother/infant pairs can be informed by the findings of this review as summarized in Table 2.

Conclusions

This systematic review reveals that the NRTI and NNRTI classes of ARVs are eliminated in the BM of HIV-positive women and transferred to breastfeeding infants, which may explain the development of drug-resistant HIV in infants where maternal ARVs have failed to prevent transmission. Available data are drawn from a small number of diverse studies employing laboratory methodology, which is frequently incompletely validated. Adoption of recent WHO guidelines will dramatically increase the use of ARVs in women of childbearing age in low-resource settings. Evaluation of the PK of both existing and novel drugs in breastfeeding mother/infant pairs is a matter of priority in order that best practice and safety can be ensured as novel regimens are rolled out.

Funding

C. J. W. held an Academy of Medical Sciences Starter Grant for Clinical Lecturers investigating ARV drugs in breastfeeding Ugandan mother/infant pairs. C. J. W. commenced a Wellcome Trust Clinical Postdoctoral Fellowship (104422/Z/14/Z) to investigate PK of ARVs in breastfeeding mother/infant pairs on 1 February 2015.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

Author contributions

C. J. W.: concept for review, study design and protocol development, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, preparation of figures and manuscript writing.

 $\mathsf{P}.$ G.: input into all areas listed for C. J. W., with particular input into protocol development and methods.

L. J. B.: statistical analysis of drug levels.

S. H. K.: discussion of all elements of study design, data collection and interpretation, particularly where this was challenging. Input into manuscript.

L. J. E.: protocol development, literature searches, data collection and analysis and manuscript writing.

Supplementary data

The protocol and Tables S1 and S2 are available as Supplementary data at *JAC* Online (http://jac.oxfordjournals.org/).

References

1 WHO/UNAIDS/UNICEF. Global HIV/AIDS Response: Epidemic Update and Health Sector Progress Towards Universal Access 2011. Geneva: WHO, 2011. http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/progress_report2011/en/.

2 WHO. Programmatic Update: Use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating Pregnant Women and Preventing HIV Infection in Infants. Geneva: WHO, 2012. http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/70892.

3 Achievements in public health. Reduction in perinatal transmission of HIV infection—United States, 1985–2005. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2006; **55**: 592–7.

4 Mofenson LM. Protecting the next generation: eliminating perinatal HIV-1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **362**: 2316–8.

5 UNAIDS. *Report on the Global HIV/AIDS Epidemic*. Geneva: UNAIDS, 2010. http://www.unaids.org/globalreport/Global_report.htm.

6 WHO. *Guidelines on HIV and Infant Feeding 2010.* http://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/9789241599535/en/.

7 Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L *et al*. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. *PLoS Med* 2011; **8**: e1000430.

8 Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE *et al*. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **52**: 1069–76.

9 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009; **339**: b2535.

10 Anderson GD. Using pharmacokinetics to predict the effects of pregnancy and maternal-infant transfer of drugs during lactation. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol* 2006; **2**: 947–60.

11 Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0. http://handbook.cochrane.org/.

12 WHO. Antiretroviral Therapy for HIV Infection in Infants and Children: Towards Universal Access. Recommendations for a Public Health Approach. Annexe E. Geneva: WHO, 2010.

13 NIH. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection. Page 227, Table 2. http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/pediatricguidelines.pdf.

14 Spencer L, Liu S, Wang C *et al.* Intensive etravirine PK and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women. In: *Abstracts of the Twenty-first Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston, MA,* 2014. Abstract 891. Foundation for Retrovirology and Human Health, Alexandria, VA, USA.

15 Spencer L, Neely M, Mordwinkin N *et al.* Intensive PK of zidovudine, lamivudine and atazanavir and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women receiving HAART therapy. In: *Abstracts of the Sixteenth Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Montreal, 2009.* Abstract 942. Foundation for Retrovirology and Human Health, Alexandria, VA, USA. **16** Corbett AH, Kayira D, White NR *et al*. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in mothers and breastfeeding infants from 6 to 24 weeks post partum: results of the BAN Study. *Antivir Ther* 2014; **19**: 587–95.

17 Ruff A, Hamzeh F, Lietman P *et al.* Excretion of zidovudine in human breast milk. In: *Abstracts of Thirty-fourth Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Orlando, FL, 1994.* Abstract L11. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC, USA.

18 Colebunders R, Hodossy B, Burger D *et al*. The effect of highly active antiretroviral treatment on viral load and antiretroviral drug levels in breast milk. *AIDS* 2005; **19**: 1912–5.

19 FDA. *Guidance for Industry: Bioanalytical Method Validation*. 2001. http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/Guidances/ucm070107.pdf.

20 Kunz A, Frank M, Mugenyi K *et al*. Persistence of nevirapine in breast milk and plasma of mothers and their children after single-dose administration. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **63**: 170–7.

21 Rezk NL, White N, Kashuba AD. An accurate and precise highperformance liquid chromatography method for the rapid quantification of the novel HIV integrase inhibitor raltegravir in human blood plasma after solid phase extraction. *Anal Chim Acta* 2008; **628**: 204–13.

22 Corbett A, Martinson F, Rezk N *et al.* Antiretroviral drug concentrations in breast milk and breastfeeding infants. In: *Abstracts of the Fifteenth Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston, MA, 2008.* Abstract 648. Foundation for Retrovirology and Human Health, Alexandria, VA, USA.

23 Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M *et al*. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther* 2012; **17**: 1511–9.

24 Giuliano M, Guidotti G, Andreotti M *et al.* Triple antiretroviral prophylaxis administered during pregnancy and after delivery significantly reduces breast milk viral load: a study within the Drug Resource Enhancement Against AIDS and Malnutrition Program. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2007; **44**: 286–91.

25 Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E *et al*. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**: 1170–6.

26 Mirochnick M, Nielsen-Saines K, Pilotto JH *et al*. Nevirapine concentrations in newborns receiving an extended prophylactic regimen. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2008; **47**: 334–7.

27 Schneider S, Peltier A, Gras A *et al*. Efavirenz in human breast milk, mothers', and newborns' plasma. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2008; **48**: 450–4.

28 Olagunju A, Siccardi M, Okafor O et al. Pharmacogenetics of efavirenz excretion into human breast milk and transfer to breastfed infants. In: *Abstracts of the Twenty-first Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston, MA, 2014.* Abstract 888. Foundation for Retrovirology and Human Health, Alexandria, VA, USA.

29 Moodley J, Moodley D, Pillay K *et al.* Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring. *J Infect Dis* 1998; **178**: 1327–33.

30 Shapiro RL, Holland DT, Capparelli E *et al.* Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of women in Botswana receiving antiretroviral treatment. *J Infect Dis* 2005; **192**: 720–7.

31 Rezk NL, White N, Bridges AS *et al.* Studies on antiretroviral drug concentrations in breast milk: validation of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric method for the determination of 7 anti-human immunodeficiency virus medications. *Ther Drug Monit* 2008; **30**: 611–9.

32 Fogel JM, Taha TE, Sun J *et al.* Stavudine concentrations in women receiving postpartum antiretroviral treatment and their breastfeeding infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2012; **60**: 462–5.

33 Mirochnick M, Kafulafula G, Kreitchmann R *et al.* Pharmacokinetics (PK) of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) after administration to HIV-1 infected pregnant women and their newborns. In: *Abstracts of the Sixteenth Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Montreal, 2009.* Abstract T-137. Foundation for Retrovirology and Human Health, Alexandria, VA, USA.

34 Benaboud S, Pruvost A, Coffie PA *et al*. Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in breast milk of HIV-1-infected women in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire, in the ANRS 12109 TEmAA Study, Step 2. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 1315–7.

35 Anderson PL, Kakuda TN, Kawle S *et al*. Antiviral dynamics and sex differences of zidovudine and lamivudine triphosphate concentrations in HIV-infected individuals. *AIDS* 2003; **17**: 2159–68.

36 Fletcher CV, Kawle SP, Kakuda TN *et al.* Zidovudine triphosphate and lamivudine triphosphate concentration-response relationships in HIV-infected persons. *AIDS* 2000; **14**: 2137–44.

37 Coates JA, Cammack N, Jenkinson HJ *et al.* (–)-2'-Deoxy-3'-thiacytidine is a potent, highly selective inhibitor of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and type 2 replication in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1992; **36**: 733–9.

38 WHO. Consolidated Guidelines on the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating and Preventing HIV Infection. Geneva: WHO, 2013. http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/arv2013/en/.

39 Acosta EP, Limoli KL, Trinh L *et al*. Novel method to assess antiretroviral target trough concentrations using in vitro susceptibility data. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; **56**: 5938–45.

40 Ford N, Mofenson L, Kranzer K *et al*. Safety of efavirenz in first-trimester of pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes from observational cohorts. *AIDS* 2010; **24**: 1461–70.

41 Abraham MH, Gil-Lostes J, Fatemi M. Prediction of milk/plasma concentration ratios of drugs and environmental pollutants. *Eur J Med Chem* 2009; **44**: 2452–8.

42 Boffito M, Back DJ, Blaschke TF *et al*. Protein binding in antiretroviral therapies. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2003; **19**: 825–35.

43 DerSimonian R, Kacker R. Random-effects model for meta-analysis of clinical trials: an update. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2007; **28**: 105–14.

44 Begg EJ, Duffull SB, Hackett LP *et al*. Studying drugs in human milk: time to unify the approach. *J Hum Lact* 2002; **18**: 323–32.

45 Shapiro RL, Ribaudo H, Powis K *et al*. Extended antenatal use of triple antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 correlates with favorable pregnancy outcomes. *AIDS* 2012; **26**: 120–1.

46 Aizire J, McConnell MS, Mudiope P *et al*. Kinetics of nevirapine and its impact on HIV-1 RNA levels in maternal plasma and breast milk over time after perinatal single-dose nevirapine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2012; **60**: 483–8.

47 Frank M, Harms G, Kunz A *et al.* Population pharmacokinetic analysis of a nevirapine-based HIV-1 prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in Uganda to assess the impact of different dosing regimens for newborns. *J Clin Pharmacol* 2013; **53**: 294–304.

48 Mirochnick M, Fenton T, Gagnier P *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 250 Team. *J Infect Dis* 1998; **178**: 368–74.

49 Mirochnick M, Taha T, Kreitchmann R *et al.* Pharmacokinetics and safety of tenofovir in HIV-infected women during labor and their infants during the first week of life. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2014; **65**: 33–41.

50 Musoke P, Guay LA, Bagenda D *et al*. A phase I/II study of the safety and pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in HIV-1-infected pregnant Ugandan women and their neonates (HIVNET 006). *AIDS* 1999; **13**: 479–86.

51 Weidle PJ, Zeh C, Martin A *et al.* Nelfinavir and its active metabolite, hydroxy-*t*-butylamidenelfinavir (M8), are transferred in small quantities to breast milk and do not reach biologically significant concentrations in breast-feeding infants whose mothers are taking nelfinavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; **55**: 5168–71.