CLINICAL RESEARCH

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2016; 22: 3544-3551 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.896461

Accepte	d: 2015.10.28 d: 2015.12.16 d: 2016.10.04		Comparison of Intraven and Midazolam for Bisp Sedation During Spinal	ectral Index-Guided		
Da Statis Data II Manuscrip Lite	s' Contribution: Study Design A ata Collection B tical Analysis C nterpretation D t Preparation E rature Search F ds Collection G	ABCDEF BF BF ABDEF	Youn Yi Jo Dongchul Lee Wol Seon Jung Noo Ree Cho Hyun Jeong Kwak	Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Gachon University Gil Medica Center, Incheon, South Korea		
Corresponding Author: Source of support:		-	Hyun Jeong Kwak, e-mail: hyun615 @gilhospital.com Departmental sources			
	Back Material/N	kground: Aethods:	has compared the hemodynamic effects of dexmeder sia. We compared the effects of bispectral index (BI medetomidine on hemodynamics and recovery profi One hundred and sixteen adult patients were random n=58) or dexmedetomidine (dexmedetomidine group mean arterial pressures; heart rates; peripheral oxyg	ly assigned to receive either midazolam (midazolam group; p; n=58) during spinal anesthesia. Systolic, diastolic, and gen saturations; and bispectral index scores were record-		
		Results:	Hypotension occurred more frequently in the midazo quently in the dexmedetomidine group (P<0.001). Mo dexmedetomidine group after arrival in the PACU (P= medetomidine group (P=0.003).	postanesthesia care unit (PACU) stay were monitored. plam group (P<0.001) and bradycardia occurred more fre- ean Ramsay sedation score was significantly lower in the c0.025) and PACU stay was significantly longer in the dex-		
Conclusions:		clusions:	BIS-guided dexmedetomidine sedation can attenuate intraoperative hypotension, but induces more bradycar- dia, prolongs PACU stay, and delays recovery from sedation in patients during and after spinal anesthesia as compared with midazolam sedation.			
MeSH Keywords:		ywords:	Anesthesia, Spinal • Bradycardia • Dexmedetomic	dine • Hypotension • Midazolam		
	Full-t	text PDF:	http://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/			
			📑 1945 🏥 5 🛄 2 📑	อี 21		



MEDICAL SCIENCE MONITOR

Background

Despite the benefits of spinal anesthesia, which include its greater cost effectiveness and less postoperative pain than in general anesthesia [1], it has been associated with a high rate of hemodynamic instability. A previous analysis of 952 cases identified hypotension and bradycardia rates of 33% and 13%, respectively, during spinal anesthesia, which were attributed to thoraco-lumbar sympathetic block and relative activation of parasympathetic tone, leading to diminished cardiac output [2]. Sedation during spinal anesthesia undertaken to improve patient satisfaction and acceptance of regional anesthesia could aggravate spinal anesthesia-induced hemodynamic instability caused by the anxiolytic properties and negative inotropic actions of sedatives.

Recently, dexmedetomidine, a selective α_2 -adrenoreceptor agonist, was a focus of interest for sedation during regional anesthesia due to its rapid offset, prolongation of spinal anesthesia, and excellent postoperative analgesia characteristics [3,4]. In addition, dexmedetomidine has a biphasic hemodynamic effect, whereby an initial increase in blood pressure by α_2 -receptor-mediated peripheral vasoconstriction is followed by a decrease due to norepinephrine release and sympathetic activity inhibition in central nervous system [5,6].

Bispectral index (BIS) monitors offer distinct advantages of objective, real-time assessment of sedated patients without the application of external stimuli [7]. In addition to monitoring hypnotic state, BIS monitoring might be helpful during the titration of sedatives so as to avoid adverse effects such as awareness due to inappropriate dosage and the adverse effects of over-dosage. Although the rate of hypotension during spinal anesthesia with proper sedation has been reported to be high, no previous report has compared the hemodynamic effects of BIS-guided sedation using dexmedetomidine or midazolam during spinal anesthesia. We hypothesized that transient hypertension induced by dexmedetomidine might attenuate spinal anesthesia-induced hypotension. Thus, the aim of this study was to compare the effects of BIS-guided intravenous sedation using midazolam or dexmedetomidine on hemodynamics and recovery profiles in patients undergoing spinal anesthesia.

Material and Methods

Participants and group assignment

After obtaining Institutional Review Board approval, 116 adult patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status 1 or 2, aged 20–65 years, and scheduled for elective surgery from January 2014 to December 2014 were enrolled in this prospective randomized study. The exclusion criteria applied were: a history of uncontrolled diabetes mellitus or uncontrolled hypertension, severe cardiovascular or respiratory disease, or any contraindication for spinal anesthesia. Patients were randomly assigned to receive either midazolam (the midazolam group; n=58) or dexmedetomidine (the dexmedetomidine group; n=58) using a randomized list generated using Excel 2007 (Microsoft Office, Redmond, WA, USA) without stratification.

Anesthesia and outcome assessment

No patient was premedicated. On arrival at the operating room, standard monitors were applied for non-invasive blood pressure, EKG, and pulse oximetry. All patients received a fluid preload of 300 ml of saline solution. Spinal anesthesia was performed in the lateral decubitus position using 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine by an anesthesiologist unaware of group identities. Study drugs were prepared by an anesthesia nurse blinded to group assignments (50 ml of midazolam 0.2 mg/ml in saline for the midazolam group and 50 ml of dexmedetomidine 4 µg/ml in saline for the dexmedetomidine group). Ten minutes after an intrathecal injection, 1.5 ml/kg/h of the study drug in a 50-ml syringe was infused over 10 min as a loading dose and then 0.125 ml/kg/h was infused as an initial maintenance dose. Infusion volumes were determined using midazolam or dexmedetomidine loading doses of 0.05 mg/kg or 1 µg/kg, respectively, for 10 min, and initial maintenance doses of midazolam or dexmedetomidine of 0.025 mg/kg/h or 0.5 µg/kg/h, respectively. Using a target bispectral index score (BIS) of 65-85, infused solutions were titrated until the end of surgery. Hypotension was defined as a fall in systolic blood pressure (SBP) to 80% below baseline or <90 mmHg; phenylephrine (50 μ g) or ephedrine (5 mg) was administered when SBP fell to <90 mmHg. Bradycardia was defined as heart rate (HR) of <50 beats/min; atropine (0.5 mg) was administered at 2 min intervals when HR fell to under 45 beats/min. Intravenous fluid was infused at a constant rate of 6 ml/kg/h. Systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial pressures, heart rates, pulse oximeter oxygen saturations, and bispectral index scores were recorded before anesthetic induction (T0), at 5 min before anesthetic induction (T5), at 10 min (T10; start of midazolam or dexmedetomidine administration), and every 5 min (T15-T40) after the intrathecal injection. After arrival at the postanesthetic care unit (PACU), we monitored hemodynamic variables, coldand pin prick-determined spinal block levels, and Ramsay sedation scores (1=anxious, agitated, or restless; 2=cooperative, oriented, and tranquil; 3=only responsive to verbal commands; 4=asleep, but brisk response to a light glabella tap or loud auditory stimulus; 5=asleep and sluggish response to a glabella tap or loud auditory stimulus; 6 asleep=no response to a light glabella tap or loud auditory stimulus). Patients were discharged from the PACU when vital signs were within 20% of



preanesthetic values, the Ramsay sedation score was <3, and spinal blocked level was under T10, as determined by an independent anesthesiologist.

Statistical analysis

The primary outcome variable was mean blood pressure (MBP) after spinal anesthesia. The sample size calculation was based on the findings of a previous study [8], which reported that the lowest mean blood pressure (MBP) was 82.7 ± 7.4 mmHg in a midazolam group. Assuming a 5% difference in mean values of the lowest MBP, a power of 80%, and an α -error of 0.05, 49 patients were found to be required per group. Thus, 58 subjects were included per group to accommodate an expected loss of 20%.

The statistical analysis was performed using PASW Statistics 13[®] (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Results are expressed as means \pm SDs or numbers of patients. Patient characteristics were compared using the *t* test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Hemodynamic variables and BIS values at each time point were compared using the *t* test. Changes in hemodynamic variables and BIS in the 2 groups were compared using repeated measures ANOVA. Post hoc comparisons within groups were performed using Bonferroni's test. Statistical significance was accepted for P values <0.05.

Results

The data of 116 patients were analyzed (Figure 1). No significant intergroup differences were observed for patient characteristics, total operative time, or the type of surgery (Table 1). During surgery, hypotension was more common in the midazolam group (P<0.001) and bradycardia was more common in the dexmedetomidine group (P<0.001). Phenylephrine and ephedrine requirements were non-significantly higher in the midazolam group. However, more atropine was required during surgery in the dexmedetomidine group (P=0.04) (Table 2). During sedation, no patient experienced arterial desaturation, defined as a SaO₂ of <90% and no patients required transfusion of packed red blood cell due to blood loss.

Intraoperative changes in systolic blood pressure (SBP), MBP, heart rate (HR), and BIS are shown in Figure 2. All variables were normally distributed (all P values >0.05). SBP and MBP were significantly higher in the dexmedetomidine group at T10–T40. SBP and MBP were significantly decreased after intrathecal injection in both groups versus baseline (P<0.001). Changes in SBP and MBP over time differed significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group. At T15–T40, HR was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group. HR decreased significantly from T10 in the dexmedetomidine group and from T20 in the midazolam group versus baseline. HR changes differed significantly higher at T15 in the dexmedetomidine group. Over time, BIS changed significantly in both groups (P<0.001), but no intergroup difference was observed (P=0.677).

Lowest SBP and MBP were significantly higher (P values <0.001) and lowest HR and BIS were significantly lower (P<0.001 and 0.011, respectively) in the dexmedetomidine group. Changes in SBP, MBP, HR, and BIS ((baseline – lowest value)/baseline value) were significantly different in the 2 groups (P<0.001, P=0.001, P<0.001, P=0.030, respectively) (Table 3).

Table 1. Patient characteristics and perioperative data.

	Midazolam (n=58)	Dexmedetomidine (n=58)	P-value
Age (yr)	47.0±16.2	47.1±15.2	0.972
Weight (kg)	68.3±13.3	69.3±13.1	0.659
Height (cm)	168.4±7.9	167.8 <u>±</u> 8.3	0.698
Gender (M/F)	43/15	40/18	0.537
Diabetes mellitus (n)	9	8	0.793
Hypertension (n)	10	14	0.412
Hypertensive medication (n)			0.405
β-blocker	1	2	
Calcium-channel blocker	6	11	
Others	7	9	
Anesthesia time (min)	70.2±25.8	73.0±27.6	0.583
Dose of bupivacaine (mg)	13.0 [12–15]	13.5 [12–16]	0.358
Infused fluid (ml)	430±173	463±161	0.427
Type of surgery (n)			0.489
Knee	10	15	
Tibiofibular/ankle/foot	25	23	
Urology	21	16	
Others	2	4	

Values are means ± standard deviations, medians [interquartile ranges], or numbers of patients.

In the PACU, hemodynamic changes were similar in the 2 groups (Table 4). Ramsay sedation score was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group after arrival in the PACU (P=0.025), and PACU stay was significantly longer this group than in the midazolam group (P=0.003) (Table 5).

Discussion

This prospective randomized study shows that BIS-guided dexmedetomidine sedation can decrease the incidence of hypotension as compared with midazolam sedation during spinal anesthesia. However, dexmedetomidine was found to provoke more episodes of bradycardia and to prolong PACU stay due to delayed recovery from its hypnotic effects.

Dexmedetomidine reduces the release of norepinephrine induced by presynaptic α_2 -receptor activation and inhibits sympathetic activity induced by postsynaptic receptors in the central nervous system, and these can decrease blood pressure and heart rate [6]. Some studies have reported blood pressure reductions after midazolam induction for ICU sedation or prehospital rapid sequence induction [9,10]. Given its anxiolytic and sedative properties, midazolam has negative inotropic activity in atrial tissues mediated by the inhibition of L-type calcium channels [11]. However, although dexmedetomidine and midazolam reduce blood pressure and heart rate, a previous comparative study demonstrated lower heart rate and blood pressure during third molar surgery for dexmedetomidine compared to midazolam during monitored anesthesia care [12]. However, in the present study, blood pressure was significantly higher in the dexmedetomidine group during the sedation period. Dexmedetomidine provokes an initial transient increase in blood pressure because of α_2 -adrenoceptormediated vasoconstriction in peripheral vessels, and a diminished heart rate could increase blood pressure mediated by the baroreceptor reflex [5]. A previous animal study demonstrated that pretreatment with oral dexmedetomidine before halothane administration can prevent the halothane-induced suppression of baroreceptor function, and produces a better hemodynamic profile than halothane alone [13]. However, midazolam causes a transient baroreflex depression and a sustained decrease of sympathetic tone in humans [14]. It has been reported that the preserved baroreflex and transient biphasic hemodynamic response observed during dexmedetomidine administration can attenuate hemodynamic changes induced by thoracolumbar sympathetic block and venous pooling during spinal anesthesia [15].

	Midazolam (n=58)	Dexmedetomidine (n=58)	P-value
Sensory block level at T10 (thoracic segments)	6 [6–8]	8 [6-8]	0.259
Time to reach to BIS 70 (min)	14.3±6.2	12.6±3.8	0.498
Time to recover to BIS 80 (min)	11.5±6.6	13.5±7.1	0.546
Incidence of hypotension (n)	38 (66)	18 (31)	<0.001
Phenylephrine use (n)	9 (16)	4 (7)	0.141
Ephedrine use (n)	17 (29)	9 (16)	0.075
Incidence of bradycardia (n)	11 (19)	29 (50)	<0.001
Atropine use (n)	3 (5)	14 (24)	0.004

Table 2. Sensory block level and adverse events during spinal anesthesia.

Values are means \pm SDs, medians [interquartile ranges] or numbers of patients (%). T10 – 10 min after intrathecal injection (T10; start of midazolam or dexmedetomidine); BIS – bispectral index score.



Figure 2. Intraoperative hemodynamic changes in systolic blood pressure, mean blood pressure, heart rate, and bispectral index in patients that received midazolam (midazolam group, ○) or dexmedetomidine (dexmedetomidine group, ●) during spinal anesthesia. Error bars represent standard deviations. Before anesthetic induction (T0), 5 min after intrathecal injection (T5), 10 min after intrathecal injection (T10; start of midazolam or dexmedetomidine), and every 5 min after sedative injection (T15–T40). * P<0.05, midazolam group vs. dexmedetomidine group, # P<0.05 vs. T0.</p>

 Table 3. Intraoperative hemodynamic and bispectral index data.

	Midazolam (n=58)	Dexmedetomidine (n=58)	P-value
Baseline			
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	141±18	144±16	0.377
Mean blood pressure (mmHg)	105±14	105±13	0.946
Heart rate (beats/min)	73±12	74±13	0.853
Bispectral index	95±4	95±4	0.249
Lowest			
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	103±11	115±16	<0.001
Mean blood pressure (mmHg)	76±10	84±11	<0.001
Heart rate (beats/min)	58±9	52 <u>+</u> 7	<0.001
Bispectral index	67±10	62±11	0.011
Changes (%)			
Systolic blood pressure	27±10	20±11	<0.001
Mean blood pressure	26±11	20±11	0.001
Heart rate	19±10	29±11	<0.001
Bispectral index	29±11	34±12	0.030

Values are means ±SDs. Changes, (baseline-lowest)/baseline*100.

Table 4. Postoperative	nemodynamic data.

Table 4 Destruction for the second states of the

		PO	P10	P20	P30
CPD (mmHa)	Midazolam	114±15	110±26	115±14	114±20
SBP (mmHg)	Dexmedetomidine	109±16	106±23	111±15	111±15
	Midazolam	84±15	85±11	84±10	84±12
MAP (mmHg)	Dexmedetomidine	81±12	81±12	82±11	82±11
UD (heats (min)	Midazolam	61±9	58±11	59±9	59±8
HR (beats/min)	Dexmedetomidine	58±11	56±10	57±11	57±9

Values are means \pm SDs. SBP – systolic blood pressure; MBP – mean blood pressure; HR – heart rate; P 0–30 – 0–30 min after postanesthetic care unit admission.

During the operations, patients in the dexmedetomidine group were administered atropine more frequently than patients in the midazolam group because of the higher incidence of bradycardia. Previous animal studies have demonstrated that atropine during dexmedetomidine administration can increase blood pressure [16,17]; therefore, the hemodynamic effect of atropine may have contributed to the relatively high blood pressures we observed in the dexmedetomidine group.

Sim et al. [18] reported that dexmedetomidine 1 μ g/kg as a loading dose might lead to faster sedation without severe complications compared to a 0.5 μ g/kg loading dose during spinal anesthesia; therefore, we used a loading dose of

dexmedetomidine 1 $\mu\text{g/kg},$ which led to an onset similar to that observed for midazolam sedation.

In a previous comparative study, memory recalls at the end of 1-h infusions of 0.2 or 0.6 μ g/kg dexmedetomidine were significantly worse than in a placebo control group, and BIS recovered to baseline 4 h after stopping dexmedetomidine [19]. We also found that PACU stays were longer with higher sedation score in the dexmedetomidine group than in the midazolam group. It has been previously suggested that proper control of infusion rate might help reduce delayed recovery from sedation [20].

Table 5. Postoperative profiles.

	Midazolam (n=58)	Dexmedetomidine (n=58)	P-value
Sensory block level at PACU arrival (thoracic segments)	7 [6–8]	8 [6–10]	0.264
PACU stay (min)	42±17	55±27	0.003
Ramsay sedation score at PACU arrival	2.3 (2 [2–6])	2.7 (2 [2–6])	0.025
Ramsay sedation score at 30 min after PACU arrival	2.1 (2 [2–4])	2.3 (2 [2–5])	0.066
Incidence of hypotension (n)	4 (7)	6 (10)	0.508
Phenylephrine use (n)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0.315
Ephedrine use (n)	1 (2)	1 (2)	0.990
Incidence of bradycardia (n)	7 (12)	13 (22)	0.140
Atropine use (n)	1 (2)	5 (9)	0.098

Values are means ±SDs or means (medians [minimum-maximum]). PACU stay - time spent in the postanesthetic care unit (PACU).

The present study is limited because we could not measure the sensory regression time of spinal block because all patients were moderately sedated (BIS <85). A previously study reported that intravenous dexmedetomidine prolonged the duration of spinal anesthesia [21] and that sensory level could affect hemodynamic changes. However, despite the possibility of prolonged spinal block by dexmedetomidine, since blocked sensory levels were similar at the end of surgery, the hemodynamic effects induced by blocked levels might have been minimal in the present study.

References:

- Gonano C, Leitgeb U, Sitzwohl C et al: Spinal versus general anesthesia for orthopedic surgery: anesthesia drug and supply costs. Anesth Analg, 2006; 102: 524–29
- 2. Carpenter RL, Caplan RA, Brown DL et al: Incidence and risk factors for side effects of spinal anesthesia. Anesthesiology, 1992; 76: 906–16
- Kim J, Kim WO, Kim HB, Kil HK: Adequate sedation with single-dose dexmedetomidine in patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate with spinal anaesthesia: A dose-response study by age group. BMC Anesthesiol, 2015; 15: 17
- Niu XY, Ding XB, Guo T et al: Effects of intravenous and intrathecal dexmedetomidine in spinal anesthesia: A meta-analysis. CNS Neurosci Ther, 2013; 19: 897–904
- Bloor BC, Ward DS, Belleville JP, Maze M: Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine in humans. II. Hemodynamic changes. Anesthesiology, 1992; 77: 1134–42
- Gertler R, Brown HC, Mitchell DH, Silvius EN: Dexmedetomidine: A novel sedative-analgesic agent. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent), 2001; 14: 13–21
- Triltsch AE, Welte M, von Homeyer P et al: Bispectral index-guided sedation with dexmedetomidine in intensive care: A prospective, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled phase II study. Crit Care Med, 2002; 30: 1007–14
- Kaya FN, Yavascaoglu B, Turker G et al: Intravenous dexmedetomidine, but not midazolam, prolongs bupivacaine spinal anesthesia. Can J Anaesth, 2010; 57: 39–45.
- 9. Davis DP, Kimbro TA, Vilke GM: The use of midazolam for prehospital rapid-sequence intubation may be associated with a dose-related increase in hypotension. Prehosp Emerg Care, 2001; 5: 163–68

Conclusions

BIS-guided dexmedetomidine sedation attenuated spinal anesthesia-induced hypotension more so than midazolam sedation, but dexmedetomidine induced bradycardia more frequently and was associated with greater atropine use. In addition, dexmedetomidine prolonged PACU stay and delayed sedation recovery after spinal anesthesia.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no potential conflict of interest to declare.

- Higgins TL, Yared JP, Estafanous FG et al: Propofol versus midazolam for intensive care unit sedation after coronary artery bypass grafting. Crit Care Med, 1994; 22: 1415–23
- Nonaka A, Kashimoto S, Imamura M et al: Mechanism of the negative inotropic effect of diazepam and midazolam in cultured foetal mouse cardiac myocytes. Eur J Anaesthesiol, 1997; 14: 481–87
- Cheung CW, Ying CL, Chiu WK et al: A comparison of dexmedetomidine and midazolam for sedation in third molar surgery. Anaesthesia, 2007; 62: 1132–38
- Devcic A, Schmeling WT, Kampine JP, Warltier DC: Oral dexmedetomidine preserves baroreceptor function and decreases anesthetic requirements of halothane-anesthetized dogs. Anesthesiology, 1994; 81: 419–30
- 14. Marty J, Gauzit R, Lefevre P et al: Effects of diazepam and midazolam on baroreflex control of heart rate and on sympathetic activity in humans. Anesth Analg, 1986; 65: 113–19
- Stevens RA, Frey K, Liu SS et al: Sympathetic block during spinal anesthesia in volunteers using lidocaine, tetracaine, and bupivacaine. Reg Anesth, 1997; 22: 325–31
- Congdon JM, Marquez M, Niyom S, Boscan P: Evaluation of the sedative and cardiovascular effects of intramuscular administration of dexmedetomidine with and without concurrent atropine administration in dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc, 2011; 239: 81–89
- Monteiro ER, Campagnol D, Parrilha LR, Furlan LZ: Evaluation of cardiorespiratory effects of combinations of dexmedetomidine and atropine in cats. J Feline Med Surg, 2009; 11: 783–92
- Sim JH, Yu HJ, Kim ST: The effects of different loading doses of dexmedetomidine on sedation. Korean J Anesthesiol, 2014; 67: 8–12

3550

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS] [Index Copernicus]

- Hall JE, Uhrich TD, Barney JA et al: Sedative, amnestic, and analgesic properties of small-dose dexmedetomidine infusions. Anesth Analg, 2000; 90: 699–705
- 20. Snapir A, Posti J, Kentala E et al: Effects of low and high plasma concentrations of dexmedetomidine on myocardial perfusion and cardiac function in healthy male subjects. Anesthesiology, 2006; 105: 902–10
- 21. Hong JY, Kim WO, Yoon Y et al: Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine on low-dose bupivacaine spinal anaesthesia in elderly patients. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand, 2012; 56: 382–87