

Early Versus Delayed Stroke After Cardiac Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Mario Gaudino, MD; Mohammed Rahouma, MD; Michele Di Mauro, MD; Bobby Yanagawa, MD, PhD; Ahmed Abouarab, MD; Michelle Demetres, MLIS; Antonino Di Franco, MD; Mohammed J. Arisha, MD; Dina A. Ibrahim, MD; Massimo Baudo, MD; Leonard N. Girardi, MD; Stephen Fremes, MD, PhD

Background—Although it is traditionally regarded as a single entity, perioperative stroke comprises 2 separate phenomena (early/ intraoperative and delayed/postoperative stroke). We aimed to systematically evaluate incidence, risk factors, and clinical outcome of early and delayed stroke after cardiac surgery.

Methods and Results—A systematic review (MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library) was performed to identify all articles reporting early (on awakening from anesthesia) and delayed (after normal awakening from anesthesia) stroke after cardiac surgery. End points were pooled event rates of stroke and operative mortality and incident rate of late mortality. Thirty-six articles were included (174 969 patients). The pooled event rate for early stroke was 0.98% (95% CI 0.79% to 1.23%) and was 0.93% for delayed stoke (95% CI 0.77% to 1.11%; P=0.68). The pooled event rate of operative mortality was 28.8% (95% CI 17.6% to 43.4%) for early and 17.9% (95% CI 14.0% to 22.7%) for delayed stroke, compared with 2.4% (95% CI 1.9% to 3.1%) for patients without stroke (P<0.001 for early versus delayed, and for perioperative stroke, early stroke, and delayed stroke versus no stroke). At a mean follow-up of 8.25 years, the incident rate of late mortality was 11.7% (95% CI 7.5% to 18.3%) for early and 9.4% (95% CI 5.9% to 14.9%) for delayed stroke, compared with 3.4% (95% CI 2.4% to 4.8%) in patients with no stroke. Meta-regression demonstrated that off-pump was inversely associated with early stroke (β =-0.009, P=0.01), whereas previous stroke (β =0.02, P<0.001) was associated with delayed stroke.

Conclusions—Early and delayed stroke after cardiac surgery have different risk factors and impacts on operative mortality as well as on long-term survival. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2019;8:e012447. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119.012447.)

Key Words: cardiac surgery • delayed stroke • early stroke • stroke

P erioperative stroke is a devastating complication after cardiac surgery, and the incidence has remained largely unchanged despite advances in surgical techniques.¹ Data from administrative databases and observational registries suggest that the incidence of perioperative stroke after

Accompanying Tables S1 through S7 and Figures S1 through S4 are available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.119.012447

Correspondence to: Mario Gaudino, MD, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Weill Cornell Medicine, 525 E 68th St, New York, NY 10065. E-mail: mfg9004@med.cornell.edu

Received February 23, 2019; accepted May 22, 2019.

© 2019 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. cardiac surgery ranges from 0.8% to 5.2%.² In landmark trials comparing outcomes of coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) and percutaneous coronary intervention, including SYNTAX (SYNergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with TAXus and cardiac surgery) and FREEDOM (Future REvascularization Evaluation in patients with Diabetes Mellitus), the burden of stroke has been a major limitation for surgery.³⁻⁵

Stroke may occur intraoperatively (usually detected when patients initially awaken from anesthesia) or thereafter. The 2 types of strokes have different pathophysiologic mechanisms: early/intraoperative stroke occurs primarily from aortic manipulation and atheroembolism, whereas delayed/postoperative stroke is usually related to postoperative atrial fibrillation or cerebral vascular disease.⁶ The conceptual framework of early and delayed stroke is important because it facilitates implementation and evaluation of tailored preventative strategies for both. Greater understanding of the incidence, risk factors, and sequelae of early and delayed stroke will facilitate the continued improvement in the safety of surgical intervention.

From the Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery (M.G., M.R., M.D.M., A.A., A.D.F., D.A.I., M.B., L.N.G.) and Samuel J. Wood Library & C.V. Starr Biomedical Information Center (M.D.), Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY; Division of Cardiac Surgery, St. Michael's Hospital (B.Y.) and Schulich Heart Centre, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre (S.F.), University of Toronto, Canada; Internal Medicine Department, West Virginia University Charleston Division, Charleston Area Medical Center, Charleston, WV (M.J.A.).

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

• This is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the incidence of early and delayed stroke after cardiac operations.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

• Early and delayed stroke after cardiac surgery have different risk factors and impacts on operative mortality as well as on long-term survival.

Here we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to give an objective and weighted estimate of the incidence and risk of early and delayed stroke following cardiac surgery and their impact on operative and long-term patient survival.

Methods

The data, analytic methods, and study materials will not be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results or replicating the procedure. This systematic review and meta-analysis were performed according to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement⁷ and the MOOSE (Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines (Table S1).⁸

Search Strategy

A medical librarian (M.D.) performed comprehensive systematic searches to identify studies that evaluated perioperative stroke after cardiac surgery. Searches were run in April 2018 on the following databases: Ovid MEDLINE (In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Ovid MEDLINE 1946 to Present); Ovid EMBASE (1974 to present); and The Cochrane Library (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ). The search strategy included all appropriate controlled vocabulary and keywords for identified "cardiac surgical procedures" and "intra- and postoperative stroke." Full details regarding the search strategy for Ovid MEDLINE are provided in Table S2.

Study Selection and Inclusion Criteria

Database searches were conducted and deduplicated by a qualified librarian (M.D.). Three preliminary reviewers screened the searched database for inclusion. A fourth independent reviewer confirmed the adequacy of studies based on predefined inclusion criteria for titles and abstracts.

Inclusion criteria were full-text English articles on adult patients who had undergone cardiac surgery and reported perioperative strokes and classified them as early or delayed. A full text of preliminary screened studies was then retrieved for a second round of eligibility screening. Reference lists of the included articles were also searched, and additional studies included (ie, backward snowballing). The full PRISMA flow chart outlining the study selection process is available in Figure S1. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for quality assessment was used for the critical appraisal of included studies (Table S3).⁹ Studies with scores of 6 or more were included.

Clinical Outcomes/Definitions

The primary outcome was the rate of early and delayed stroke. Secondary outcomes were (1) rate of perioperative (early+delayed) stroke; (2) operative mortality among patients with perioperative stroke, early stroke, delayed stroke, and among patients without stroke; and (3) late mortality for the above groups of patients.

We used the original articles' definitions for early and delayed stroke. The most common definitions used were stroke observed "on awakening" or "after extubation" for early stroke and stroke occurring after a symptom-free interval for delayed stroke (Table S4).

Data Extraction and Statistical Analysis

Extracted variables included study name, publication year, study design, type of surgery, total sample size, number of patients with perioperative, early, and delayed stroke, mean age (years), percentages of women, diabetes mellitus, preoperative atrial fibrillation (AF), preoperative carotid disease, previous history of stroke or urgent or emergency surgery, peripheral vascular disease, chronic renal failure, redo surgery, in-hospital mortality in the whole sample and in different subgroups, long-term mortality, and mean follow-up.

Measurement data were reported as mean \pm SD. Pooled event rates with 95% Cl were calculated for binary outcomes. For late outcomes the incidence rate with an underlying Poisson process with a constant event rate was used to account for different follow-up periods in different studies with the total number of events observed within a treatment group out of the total person-time of follow-up for that treatment group calculated from the study follow-up. Additionally, for long-term survival, individual patient survival data were reconstructed using an iterative algorithm that was applied to solve the Kaplan-Meier equations originally used to produce the published graphs. This algorithm uses digitalized Kaplan-Meier curve data obtained by the Graph Grabber

Table 1. Details of Outcomes in the Included Studies

Study/Year	Study Type	Cohort Size	Perioperative Stroke	Early Stroke	Delayed Stroke
Blossom 1992 ¹⁴	R	3428	46	16	30
Boivie 2005 ¹⁵	R	2641	98	76	22
Borger 2001 ¹⁶	R	6682	98	90	8
Bull 1993 ¹⁷	Р	245	5	4	1
Calafiore 2002 ¹⁸	R	4875	49	24	25
Cao 2011 ¹⁹	R	430	32	4	28
Carrascal 2014 ²⁰	R	844	32	23	9
Chen 2015 ²¹	R	1010	11	5	6
Doi 2010 ²²	R	611	8	0	8
Fessatidis 1991 ²³	R	1487	15	12	3
Filsoufi.A 2008 ²⁴	R	2808	63	35	28
Filsoufi.B 2008 ²⁵	R	2985	48	25	23
Gaudino 1999 ²⁶	R	2987	31	25	6
Goto 2003 ²⁷	Р	463	18	13	5
Hedberg 2005 ²⁸	R	2641	77	58	19
Hedberg 2011 ²⁹	R	9122	245	146	99
Hedberg 2013 ³⁰	R	10 809	339	223	116
Hogue 1999 ³¹	Р	2972	48	17	31
Imasaka 2018 ³²	R	1134	20	8	12
Karhausen 2017 ³³	R	6130	110	35	75
Karkouti 2005 ³⁴	R	10 949	160	110	50
Kinnunen 2015 ³⁵	R	1314	23	7	16
Lahtinen 2004 ³⁶	R	2630	52	20	32
Lee 2011 ³⁷	Р	1367	33	15	18
Lisle 2008 ³⁸	R	7201	202	46	156
Martin 1982 ³⁹	R	253	8	4	4
Marui 2012 ⁴⁰	R	2446	45	20	25
Murdock 200341	R	2104	68	18	50
Nishiyama 2009 ⁴²	Р	2516	46	17	29
Peel 2004 ⁴³	R	10 573	211	57	154
Ridderstolpe 200244	R	3282	64	47	17
Salazar 2001 ⁴⁵	R	5971	214	158	56
Tarakji 2011 ⁴⁶	Р	45 432	688	279	409
Toumpoulis 2008 ⁴⁷	R	4140	138	102	36
Weinstein 2001 ⁴⁸	P	2217	51	24	27
Wijdicks 1996 ⁴⁹	R	8270	25	4	21

P indicates prospective; R, retrospective.

software package (Quintessa, Oxfordshire, UK) to find numerical solutions to the inverted Kaplan-Meier equation. Based on the published data in each included study, 4 different levels of information might be available ("all information," "no numbers at risk," "no total events," and "neither"). The censoring pattern varied based on the numbers at risk published intervals as in Williamson.¹⁰ For the "no number at risk" case, the censoring pattern is assumed constant over the interval, and for the "neither" case, no censoring is assumed.¹¹

Study	Events	Total		Proportion	95%-CI	Weight
Boivie 2005 15	76	2641	— <mark>—</mark> —	0.03	[0.02; 0.04]	3.2%
Goto 2003 ²⁷	13	463		- 0.03	[0.02; 0.05]	2.7%
Carrascal 2014 ²⁰	23	844		0.03	[0.02; 0.04]	2.9%
Salazar 200145	158	5971		0.03	[0.02; 0.03]	3.2%
Toumpoulis 200847	102	4140	— <mark>—</mark> —	0.02	[0.02; 0.03]	3.2%
Hedberg 2005 ²⁸	58	2641	_	0.02	[0.02; 0.03]	3.1%
Hedberg 2013 ³⁰	223	10809		0.02	[0.02; 0.02]	3.2%
Bull 1993 ¹⁷	4	245		0.02	[0.00; 0.04]	2.0%
Hedberg 2011 ²⁹	146	9122		0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	3.2%
Martin 1982 39	4	253		0.02	[0.00; 0.04]	2.0%
Ridderstolpe 2002 ⁴⁴	47	3282	- -	0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.1%
Borger 2001 ¹⁶	90	6682		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.2%
Filsoufi.A 2008 24	35	2808	÷ •••	0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.1%
Lee 201137	15	1367	— <mark>—</mark> ——	0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	2.8%
Weinstein 200148	24	2217	_ <mark></mark>	0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.0%
Karkouti 2005 34	110	10949		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.2%
Cao 201119	4	430		0.01	[0.00; 0.02]	2.0%
Murdock 200341	18	2104		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	2.9%
Filsoufi.B 2008 ²⁵	25	2985		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.0%
Gaudino 1999 ²⁶	25	2987		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.0%
Marui 2012 ⁴⁰	20	2446		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	2.9%
Fessatidis 199123	12	1487	_ <u></u>	0.01	[0.00; 0.01]	2.7%
Lahtinen 2004 ³⁰	20	2630		0.01	[0.00; 0.01]	2.9%
Imasaka 2018 ³²	8	1134		0.01	[0.00; 0.01]	2.5%
Nishiyama 200942	17	2516		0.01	[0.00; 0.01]	2.8%
Lisie 2008 30	46	7201		0.01	[0.00; 0.01]	3.1%
Tarakji 201140	2/9	45432	—	0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.2%
Hogue 1999	17	2972		0.01	[0.00, 0.01]	2.8%
Karnausen 2017 33	35	10570		0.01	[0.00; 0.01]	3.1%
Kingunge 201535	57	105/3		0.01	[0.00, 0.01]	3.1%
Chen 201521	1	1010		0.01	[0.00, 0.01]	2.4%
Calafiara 200218	о 24	1010		0.00	[0.00, 0.01]	2.2%
Bloccom 100214	24	40/0		0.00	[0.00, 0.01]	3.0%
Doi 201022	10	614		0.00	[0.00, 0.01]	2.0%
Wijdicks 199649	4	8270	+	0.00	[0.00, 0.01]	2.0%
VIJUICKS 1390 15	4	0270		0.00	[0.00, 0.00]	2.0%
Random effects mode	2	174969		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: / ² = 95%,	τ ⁺ = 0.3912	, P < 0.01				
		L L	0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04			

Figure 1. Pooled event rate for early stroke.

The reconstructed patient survival data were then aggregated to obtain combined survival curves.

Subgroup analysis was used to compare early and delayed stroke for primary and secondary outcomes. Meta-regression was used to assess the effect of age, sex, diabetes mellitus, preoperative AF, preoperative carotid disease, previous stroke, urgency or emergency surgery, off-pump CABG, single or multiple aortic clamping, ascending aorta atheroma or calcification, cardiopulmonary bypass time, and aortic clamp time on the rate of early and delayed stroke.

Study heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochran Q statistic and the I^2 test. For the primary outcomes, if heterogeneity was significant ($I^2 > 75\%$), a leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was performed. Potential publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot and the Egger regression test.

A random-effect model (inverse variance method) was used. In addition, prediction interval was calculated as

described by Riley et al.¹² Supplementary analyses using a fixed-effect model were also performed, and τ^2 was provided as an inference on between-study variability; we then used meta-regression, which used covariates to explain some of this variability. A restricted maximumlikelihood model was used for meta-regression because it estimates parameters that maximize the likelihood of the error distribution while imposing restrictions to avoid overfitting, which makes it possible to obtain a better balance between the fractions of the variability captured by the fixed part versus the random part of the statistical model.¹³ Hypothesis testing for equivalence was set at the 2-tailed P<0.05. Analyses were performed using R (version 3.3.3, R Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) with the statistical packages "meta" and "metafor" within RStudio (0.99.489, http://www. rstudio.com).

Study	Events	Total			Proportion	95%-CI	Weight
Cao 2011 ¹⁹	28	430			0.07	[0 04: 0 09]	3.0%
Murdock 2003 ⁴¹	50	2104		. –	0.02	[0.02: 0.03]	3.2%
Lisle 2008 38	156	7201			0.02	[0.02; 0.03]	3.4%
Martin 1982 39	4	253			0.02	[0.00; 0.04]	1.7%
Peel 2004 43	154	10573	+-		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.4%
Lee 2011 37	18	1367	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	2.8%
Doi 2010 ²²	8	611			0.01	[0.01; 0.03]	2.3%
Karhausen 2017 33	75	6130			0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.3%
Weinstein 200148	27	2217	 _		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.0%
Kinnunen 2015 ³⁵	16	1314	_		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	2.8%
Lahtinen 2004 36	32	2630			0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.1%
Nishiyama 2009 ⁴²	29	2516	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.0%
Hedberg 2011 ²⁹	99	9122			0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.3%
Goto 2003 27	5	463	<u> </u>		0.01	[0.00; 0.03]	1.9%
Hedberg 2013 ³⁰	116	10809	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.3%
Carrascal 2014 ²⁰	9	844	<u> </u>		0.01	[0.00; 0.02]	2.4%
Imasaka 2018 ³²	12	1134	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	2.6%
Hogue 1999 ³¹	31	2972	•		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.1%
Marul 201240	25	2446	<u> </u>		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	3.0%
FIISOUTI.A 2008 24	28	2808	<u> </u>		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.0%
Salazar 200145	00	09/1			0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	3.2%
Research 1002 14	409	40402	-		0.01	[0.01, 0.01]	3.4%
Tourpoulic 2009 47	30	3420			0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	0.1% 0.1%
Roivie 2005 ¹⁵	20	2641			0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	0.170
Eilsoufi B 2008 ²⁵	22	2041			0.01	[0.01, 0.01]	2.5%
Hedberg 2005 28	19	2505	-		0.01	[0.00, 0.01]	2.9%
Chen 201521	6	1010			0.01	[0.00, 0.01]	2.5%
Ridderstolpe 200244	17	3282	-		0.01	[0.00; 0.01]	2.8%
Calafiore 200218	25	4875			0.01	[0.00, 0.01]	3.0%
Karkouti 2005 ³⁴	50	10949	+		0.00	[0.00; 0.01]	3.2%
Bull 1993 ¹⁷	1	245	-		0.00	[0.00; 0.02]	0.7%
Wijdicks 1996 ⁴⁹	21	8270	+		0.00	[0.00: 0.00]	2.9%
Fessatidis 1991 ²³	3	1487	-		0.00	[0.00; 0.01]	1.5%
Gaudino 1999 26	6	2987			0.00	[0.00; 0.00]	2.1%
Borger 2001 ¹⁶	8	6682	•		0.00	[0.00; 0.00]	2.3%
Random effects mode		174969			0.01	10 01 0 011	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0.0\%$	$r^2 = 0.2569$	D<0.01	~ г	<u> </u>		[0.01, 0.01]	100.078
neterogeneity. 7 - 52 %,	- 0.2000	, - < 0.01	0.02	0.04 0.06	0.08		

Figure 2. Pooled event rate for delayed stroke.

Results

Characteristics of Eligible Studies

We retrieved 5212 articles and 3784 articles after deduplication. Thirty-six articles met our inclusion criteria (list of the included studies provided in the supplemental references). The PRISMA flowchart is shown in Figure S1. The mean sample size for each study was 4860 patients (range: 245-45 432), and the mean follow-up time was 8.25 years (range 1.0-11.0 years). The mean age was 65.5 years (range: 54.0-74.0 years) (Table S5). Women represented 15% to 83% of the included patients, and diabetes mellitus, AF, carotid disease, and urgent/emergent procedures were reported in 5% to 47%, 1% to 19%, 6% to 32%, and 1% to 70% of patients, respectively. Sixty-three percent of procedures were isolated CABG (Table S5). A total of 174 969 patients were included in the analysis, of whom 2.0% (3421 of 174 969) had

early stroke, and 1.0% (1654/174 969) had delayed stroke (P=0.68) (Table 1).¹⁴⁻⁴⁹

The majority of stroke patients suffered from an ischemic event (88%). Transient ischemic attacks were reported in 1.38% of cases.

Mean bypass time was 81.6 minutes, and mean crossclamp time was 82.2 minutes.

Meta-Analysis

Rate of Stroke

The pooled event rate of perioperative stroke was 2.03% (Cl 1.75% to 2.35%) (Figure S2), early stroke was 0.98% (Cl 0.79% to 1.23%; Figure 1), and delayed stroke was 0.93% (Cl 0.77% to 1.11%; *P*=0.68 Figure 2; Table 2; a summary of the outcomes as analyzed by means of a fixed-effect model is reported in Table S6).

Table 2.	Summary of	of the	Outcomes	(Random-Effect	Model)
----------	------------	--------	----------	----------------	--------

Outcomes	No. of Studies	Proportion [CI]	Heterogeneity (I ² , <i>P</i> Value)	τ ²	Perioperative Stroke vs No Stroke*	Early vs Delayed Stroke Difference*
Random-effect model						
Pooled rate of perioperative stroke	36	2.03% [1.75; 2.35]; PI=0.85-4.74	94.1%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.1804		
Pooled rate of early stroke	36	0.98% [0.79; 1.23]; Pl=0.27-3.49	94.7%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.3912		0.6774
Pooled rate of delayed stroke	36	0.93% [0.77; 1.11]; PI=0.33-2.59	91.5%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.2568		0.6774
Pooled rate of operative mortality in the whole group	20	2.2% [1.8; 2.8]	96.9%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.2543		
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients with perioperative stroke	22	21.3% [18.3; 24.5]	58.8%, <i>P</i> =0.0003	0.0935	<0.0001	
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients without stroke	16	2.4% [1.9; 3.1]	96.9%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.2419	<0.0001	
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients with early stroke	12	28.8% [17.6; 43.4]	84.2%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.9440		<0.0001
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients with late stroke	13	17.9% [14.0; 22.7]	20.1%, <i>P</i> =0.2407	0.0550		<0.0001
Incidence rate of late mortality in the "all" group	5	3.4% [2.3; 5.2]	99.3%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.2150		
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients with perioperative stroke	5	10.9% [7.3; 16.2]	84.8%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.1600	<0.0001	
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients without stroke	8	3.4% [2.4; 4.8]	99.7%, <i>P</i> =0	0.2426	<0.0001	
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients with early stroke	5	11.7% [7.5; 18.3]	87.6%, <i>P</i> <0.0001	0.2194		0.5063
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients with delayed stroke	5	9.4% [5.9; 14.9]	71.2%, <i>P</i> =0.008	0.1771		0.5063

PI indicates prediction interval.

*P value for subgroup difference.

Operative Mortality

Overall pooled event rates for operative mortality was 2.2% (CI: 1.8% to 2.8%). For patients with perioperative stroke, the operative mortality was 21.3% (CI: 18.3% to 24.5%), 28.8% (CI: 17.6% to 43.3%) for patients with early stroke and 17.9% (CI: 14.0% to 22.7%) for patients with delayed stroke (P<0.001 for early versus delayed stroke; Figures 3 and 4). The pooled event rate for operative mortality without stroke was 2.4% (CI 1.9% to 3.1%) (P<0.001 compared with patients with perioperative stroke, early stroke, and delayed stroke; Figure 4).

Late Mortality

The weighted mean follow-up was 8.25 years. Overall incidence rate for late mortality for the entire cohort was 3.4% (Cl 2.3% to 5.2%). The incidence rate for late mortality was 10.9% (Cl 7.3% to 16.2%) for patients with perioperative stroke, 11.7% (Cl 7.5% to 18.3%) for early stroke, and 9.4% (Cl 5.9% to 14.9%) for delayed stroke (P=0.50). The incidence

rate for late mortality for patients without stroke was 3.4% (Cl 2.4% to 4.8%; P<0.0001 compared with patients with perioperative, early, and delayed stroke; Table 2; a summary of the outcomes as analyzed by means of a fixed-effect model is reported in Table S6).

Reconstructed individual patient survival data from Kaplan-Meier survival curves showed 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-year survival of 80.2%, 73.0%, 63.3%, and 40.7%, respectively, in the early-stroke group and 88.1%, 85.2%, 71.3%, and 30.2%, respectively, in the delayed-stroke group (Figure S3). For patients who did not experience stroke, 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-year survivals were 99.5%, 99.2%, 99.1%, and 97.1%, respectively.

The funnel plot of observed and imputed studies (trimand-fill method) and leave-one-out analysis for the primary outcomes revealed absence of publication bias (the Egger intercept is -2.25 ± 1.33 [*P*=0.10] for early and -1.47 ± 1.04 [*P*=0.17] for delayed stroke; Figure S4). The cumulative analyses for the primary outcomes are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 3. Pooled event rate for operative mortality in patients with (top) and without perioperative stroke (bottom).

Meta-Regression

Off-pump surgery was inversely associated with early stroke (β =-0.009, *P*=0.01). Previous stroke (β =0.02, *P*<0.001) was associated with delayed stroke. Single versus multiple aortic clamping, ascending aortic atheroma or calcification, use of circulatory arrest, cardiopulmonary bypass, and aortic cross-clamp times were not associated with either early or delayed stroke (Table S7).

Discussion

To our knowledge the present work is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the incidence, risk factors, and impact on clinical outcomes of early and delayed stroke after cardiac surgery.

Previous evidence was based on single-center cohorts with variable sample size, incidence of events, and follow-up duration so that a general and objective estimate of the



Figure 4. Pooled event rate for operative mortality for patients with early stroke (top) and late stroke (bottom).

incidence of the 2 types of stroke was difficult to ascertain. For this reason, the results of this meta-analysis are of substantial relevance for patient counseling, clinical decision making, and planning of research for preventive interventions.

The main findings were as follows: (1) the rates of early and late stroke were similar at $\approx 1\%$ each, (2) both early and delayed stroke were associated with a significant increase in operative as well as late mortality, (3) the impact on operative mortality was significantly higher for early versus delayed stroke, (4) a prior history of stroke was associated with delayed stroke, whereas (5) off-pump CABG was inversely associated with early stroke.

Early stroke (defined as detected "on awakening" or "after extubation") is directly linked to intraoperative events. Early strokes were inversely associated with off-pump CABG but not with any patient characteristics, suggesting the technical/ surgical nature of their etiology. Cerebral embolization is known to occur mainly due to aortic manipulation (cannulation, cross-clamping, and performance of proximal aortic anastomoses during CABG).⁵⁰⁻⁵² Early stroke has been reported to be usually located in the right hemisphere, consistent with the jet of the flow from the aortic cannula.^{15,28}

Although large randomized controlled trials have reported similar neurological outcomes after on- and off-pump CABG (30-day stroke incidence for on- versus off-pump, respectively; 0.7% versus 1.3% [P=0.28] in the ROOBY [Randomized On/Off Bypass] trial⁵³; 2.7% versus 2.2% [P=0.47] in the GOPCABE (German Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting in Elderly Patients) trial⁵⁴; 1.1% versus 1.0% [P-value not reported] in the CORONARY (CABG Off or On Pump Revascularization Study) trial⁵⁵), in our analysis off-pump surgery was significantly and adversely associated with early stroke. Differences in sample size, treatment allocation, and surgeon expertise are the possible reasons for these differences.

Α		В	
Study	Proportion 95%-CI	Study	Proportion 95%-CI
Adding Martin 1982 (k=1) ³⁹	- 0.02 [0.01: 0.04]	Adding Martin 1982 (k=1) 39	0.02 [0.01: 0.04]
Adding Fessatidis 1991 (k=2)	0.01 [0.01: 0.02]	Adding Ressatidis 1991 (k=2) ²³	0.02 [0.01, 0.04]
Adding Blossom 1992 (k=3) ¹⁴	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	Adding Blossom 1992 (k=3)14	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]
Adding Bull 1993 (k=4) 17	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]	Adding Bull 1993 (k=4) 17	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Wijdicks 1996 (k=5) ⁴⁹	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	Adding Wijdicks 1996 (k=5)49	0.01 0.00; 0.01
Adding Gaudino 1999 (k=6) ²⁶	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	Adding Gaudino 1999 (k=6)26	0.00 0.00; 0.01
Adding Hogue 1999 (k=7) 31	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	Adding Hogue 1999 (k=7)31	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Borger 2001 (k=8) ¹⁶	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	Adding Borger 2001 (k=8) ¹⁶	0.00 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Weinstein 2001 (k=9) ⁴⁸	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	Adding Weinstein 2001 (k=9) ⁴⁸	0.00 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Salazar 2001 (k=10) 45	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	Adding Salazar 2001 (k=10) 45	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Ridderstolpe 2002 (k=11)44	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Ridderstolpe 2002 (k=11)44	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Calatione 2002 (k=12)18	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Calafiore 2002 (k=12)18	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Goto 2003 (k=13) ²⁷	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Goto 2003 (k=13)27	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Murdock 2003 (k=14) 41	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Murdock 2003 (k=14)41	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Lantinen 2004 (k=15)30	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Lahtinen 2004 (k=15)36	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Boivie 2005 (k=17)15	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Peel 2004 (k=16)43	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Karkouti 2005 (k=18) 34	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Bolvie 2005 (K=17)+5	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Hedberg 2005 (k=19) ²⁸	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Karkouti 2005 (k=16) ³⁴	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]
Adding Toumpoulis 2008 (k=20)47	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Teumpoulio 2008 (k=20)47	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Filsoufi B 2008 (k=21)25	0.01 [0.01] 0.01]	Adding Toumpoulis 2006 (k=20)47	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Filsoufi A 2008 (k=22)24	0.01 [0.01: 0.01]	Adding Filsoufi A 2008 (k=21)25	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Lisle 2008 (k=23)38	0.01 [0.01: 0.01]	Adding Lisle 2008 (k=23)38	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Nishiyama 2009 (k=24) ⁴²	0.01 [0.01: 0.01]	Adding Nishiyama 2009 (k=24)42	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Doi 2010 (k=25)22	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Doi 2010 (k=25)22	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Hedberg 2011 (k=26) 29	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Hedberg 2011 (k=26) 29	0.01 [0.01: 0.01]
Adding Tarakji 2011 (k=27) 46	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Tarakii 2011 (k=27)46	0.01 [0.01: 0.01]
Adding Cao 2011 (k=28)19	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Cao 2011 (k=28)19	0.01 0.01: 0.011
Adding Lee 2011 (k=29)37	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Lee 2011 (k=29)37	0.01 0.01; 0.01
Adding Hedberg 2013 (k=30) 30	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Hedberg 2013 (k=30)30	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Marui 2012 (k=31) ⁴⁰	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Marui 2012 (k=31)40	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Carrascal 2014 (k=32)20	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Carrascal 2014 (k=32)20	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Kinnunen 2015 (k=33)	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Kinnunen 2015 (k=33) ³⁵	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Chen 2015 (k=34) 21	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Chen 2015 (k=34) 21 33	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Karhausen 2017 (k=35)	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Karhausen 2017 (k=35)	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Adding Imasaka 2018 (k=36) 32	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Adding Imasaka 2018 (k=36) 32 🛨	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]
Random - effects model	0.01 [0.01; 0.01]	Pandom offecte model	0.01 [0.01: 0.01]
-0.04 -0.02 0 0.02 0.	04	-0.04 -0.02 0 0.02	0.04

Figure 5. Cumulative analysis of incidence of (A) early stroke and (B) delayed stroke.

Hedberg et al in a series of 10 809 patients reported that early strokes were predominantly located in the right hemisphere (P=0.009), whereas delayed stroke had a uniform spatial distribution. Authors suggested that the preponderance for right-hemispheric lesions might suggest an embolic etiology via the brachiocephalic trunk.³⁰ Higher stroke-related mortality (odds ratio 9.16; P<0.0001) and greater rehabilitation needs for early versus delayed stroke were reported in a review of 7201 patients.³⁸

Significant efforts have been aimed at intraoperative stroke reduction including minimizing or eliminating aortic manipulation, eliminating cardiopulmonary bypass, and using preoperative CT scan of the ascending aorta and duplex scanning of the carotid arteries as well as epiaortic ultrasound.⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰

Motallebzadeh et al randomized a total of 212 patients to receive on-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass and demonstrated reduced cerebral embolism with a better neurocognitive score at discharge in those undergoing off-pump surgery (P<0.001 and P=0.01, respectively); there were 3 nonfatal strokes in the on-pump group and 1 in the off-pump group within 30 days of surgery.⁶¹

In a large series including more than 12 000 patients, the use an aortic facilitating device to perform the proximal anastomosis significantly reduced the postoperative stroke rate but was inferior to no-aortic touch technique (stroke rates 0.6%, 1.2%, and 1.5% in the no-touch, clampless facilitating device, and the clamp group, respectively).⁶² Consistent with these results, Vallely reported that anaortic off-pump coronary artery bypass resulted in 0.25% of neurological adverse events as compared with 1.1% in the groups with side-clamping for proximal anastomoses.⁶³

A multicenter randomized trial enrolling 383 patients undergoing surgical aortic valve replacement recently evaluated the potential neuroprotective role of 2 cannulation systems designed to capture aortic microemboli (Embol-X Embolic Protection Device, Edwards Life Science, Irvine, CA; and CardioGard Cannula, CardioGard Medical Ltd, Or-Yehuda, Israel). The rate of freedom from cerebral infarction at 7 days was 32.0% with suction-based extraction versus 33.3% with control (ie, standard aortic cannula) (between-group difference, -1.3%; 95% CI -13.8% to 11.2%) and 25.6% with intraaortic filtration versus 32.4% with control (between-group difference -6.9%; 95% CI -17.9% to 4.2%); no significant differences in mortality (3.4% for suction-based extraction versus 1.7% for control; and 2.3% for intra-aortic filtration versus 1.5% for control) or clinical stroke (5.1% for suctionbased extraction versus 5.8% for control; and 8.3% for intraaortic filtration versus 6.1% for control) were detected.⁶⁴

The effectiveness of early stroke reduction strategies was recently demonstrated by the EXCEL (Evaluation of XIENCE

Versus Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery for Effectiveness of Left Main Revascularization) trial, in which surgeons were encouraged to use intraoperative adjunctive techniques for stroke reduction including epiaortic ultrasound and transesophageal echocardiography for assessment of ascending aortic calcification.⁶⁵ The result was an overall incidence of stroke that did not differ between CABG and percutaneous coronary intervention (2.9% versus 2.3%, P=0.37).

In our study early stroke was associated with a 12-fold increase in operative mortality (29% versus 2% without stroke) as well as much higher increases in the risk of late death (12% versus 3% without stroke), suggesting that addressing this potential complication can significantly improve the outcomes of cardiac surgery. Of note, the impact on operative mortality was significantly higher for early versus delayed stroke.

Delayed stroke (defined as stroke occurring after a normal awakening from anesthesia) is probably mostly related to postoperative AF or to cerebrovascular disease.66-74 In our analysis delayed stroke was associated with a 7- and 3-fold increase in operative and late mortality, respectively. Late stroke was also associated with history of stroke, suggesting a greater influence of patient-related factors such as vascular disease compared with early stroke. Indeed, contemporary cardiac surgical patients are older and have greater numbers of cardiovascular comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, advanced age, kidney disease, peripheral artery disease, and cerebrovascular disease.⁷⁵ Validated stroke risk prediction tools such as the CHA₂DS₂-VASC (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age, diabetes [mellitus], and stroke/TIA-vascular disease and female gender) scoring schema indicate that a substantial portion of cardiac surgical patients are at high risk for AF-related stroke.⁷⁶

The main strategies for delayed stroke prevention are (1) pharmacological or nonpharmacological AF prophylaxis,⁷⁷ (2) anticoagulation for prevention and treatment of clot formation,⁷⁸ and (3) elimination of the left atrial appendage.¹ AF prophylaxis includes amiodarone, β -blockers, magnesium, atrial pacing, and posterior pericardiotomy.⁷⁷ Regarding left atrial appendage isolation, LAAOS III (the Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion Study) is an ongoing prospective, double-blind, randomized trial comparing concomitant surgical left atrial appendage occlusion and no-occlusion in patients with AF or flutter who are undergoing cardiac surgery (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01561651). Again, continued efforts to evaluate interventions to lower the risk of delayed stroke in prospective surgical trials are needed.

This study shares the common limitations of analyses of aggregate data. First, this analysis included a range of cardiac surgical procedures, although isolated CABG was the most common type of procedure (Table S5). There was heterogeneity in the definitions used by the different studies, in the surgical and postoperative protocols (Table S4), as well as in the follow-up approaches, in the involvement of a neurologist in the diagnosis of stroke events, and in the documentation of these events by cerebral-imaging studies. Moreover, postdischarge stroke might have been missed in some studies. Finally, most of the studies did not use continuous monitoring of postoperative cardiac rhythm, and thus, we have no solid information on the occurrence of postoperative AF, and we were unable to include this variable in our meta-regression analysis. As in all meta-analyses, ecological fallacy is a concern. Finally, it was not possible to determine whether early or late deaths were directly related to strokes.

Summary

This is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to examine the incidence of early and delayed stroke after cardiac operations. There is a 1% risk for both early and delayed stroke after cardiac surgery. Early stroke is not associated with any patient-level risk factors, suggesting a technical cause, and is associated with a significant increase in operative mortality as well as reduction in long-term survival. The impact of early stroke on operative mortality is significantly higher than that of delayed stroke. Delayed stroke is associated with previous stroke and also negatively impacts survival. Continued targeted interventions to reduce the burden of both early and delayed strokes are imperative to improve overall surgical outcomes.

Disclosures

None.

References

- Whitlock R, Healey JS, Connolly SJ, Wang J, Danter MR, Tu JV, Novick R, Fremes S, Teoh K, Khera V, Yusuf S. Predictors of early and late stroke following cardiac surgery. *CMAJ*. 2014;186:905–911.
- Selnes OA, Goldsborough MA, Borowicz LM, McKhann GM. Neurobehavioural sequelae of cardiopulmonary bypass. *Lancet*. 1999;353:1601–1606.
- Farkouh ME, Domanski M, Sleeper LA, Siami FS, Dangas G, Mack M, Yang M, Cohen DJ, Rosenberg Y, Solomon SD, Desai AS, Gersh BJ, Magnuson EA, Lansky A, Boineau R, Weinberger J, Ramanathan K, Sousa JE, Rankin J, Bhargava B, Buse J, Hueb W, Smith CR, Muratov V, Bansilal S, King S, Bertrand M, Fuster V; FREEDOM Trial Investigators. Strategies for multivessel revascularization in patients with diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:2375–2384.
- 4. Head SJ, Milojevic M, Daemen J, Ahn J-M, Boersma E, Christiansen EH, Domanski MJ, Farkouh ME, Flather M, Fuster V, Hlatky MA, Holm NR, Hueb WA, Kamalesh M, Kim Y-H, Mäkikallio T, Mohr FW, Papageorgiou G, Park S-J, Rodriguez AE, Sabik JF, Stables RH, Stone GW, Serruys PW, Kappetein AP. Stroke rates following surgical versus percutaneous coronary revascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72:386–398.
- Serruys PW, Morice M-C, Kappetein AP, Colombo A, Holmes DR, Mack MJ, Stähle E, Feldman TE, van den Brand M, Bass EJ, Van Dyck N, Leadley K, Dawkins KD, Mohr FW; SYNTAX Investigators. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med. 2009;360:961–972.
- Roach GW, Kanchuger M, Mangano CM, Newman M, Nussmeier N, Wolm an R, Aggarwal A, Marschall K, Graham SH, Ley C. Adverse cerebral outcomes after

coronary bypass surgery. Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group and the Ischemia Research and Education Foundation Investigators. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335:1857–1863.

- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA; PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev.* 2015;4:1.
- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, Moher D, Becker BJ, Sipe TA, Thacker SB. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA*. 2000;283:2008–2012.
- Ottawa Hospital Research Institute [Internet]. Available at: http://www. ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp. Accessed January 16, 2018.
- Williamson PR, Smith CT, Hutton JL, Marson AG. Aggregate data meta-analysis with time-to-event outcomes. *Stat Med.* 2002;21:3337–3351.
- Guyot P, Ades A, Ouwens MJ, Welton NJ. Enhanced secondary analysis of survival data: reconstructing the data from published Kaplan-Meier survival curves. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2012;12:9.
- 12. Riley R, Higgins J, Deeks J. Interpretation of random effects meta-analyses. BMJ. 2011;10:d549.
- Meta-Regression | Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health [Internet]. Available at: https://www.mailman.columbia.edu/research/popu lation-health-methods/meta-regression. Accessed May 1, 2019.
- Blossom GB, Fietsam R, Bassett JS, Glover JL, Bendick PJ. Characteristics of cerebrovascular accidents after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am Surg.* 1992;58:584–589; discussion 589.
- Boivie P, Edström C, Engström KG. Side differences in cerebrovascular accidents after cardiac surgery: a statistical analysis of neurologic symptoms and possible implications for anatomic mechanisms of aortic particle embolization. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2005;129:591–598.
- Borger MA, Ivanov J, Weisel RD, Rao V, Peniston CM. Stroke during coronary bypass surgery: principal role of cerebral macroemboli. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2001;19:627–632.
- Bull DA, Neumayer LA, Hunter GC, Keksz J, Sethi GK, McIntyre KE, Bernhard VM. Risk factors for stroke in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Cardiovasc Surg.* 1993;1:182–185.
- Calafiore AM, Di Mauro M, Teodori G, Di Giammarco G, Cirmeni S, Contini M, lacò AL, Pano M. Impact of aortic manipulation on incidence of cerebrovascular accidents after surgical myocardial revascularization. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002;73:1387–1393.
- Cao L, Li Q, Bi Q, Yu Q-J. Risk factors for recurrent stroke after coronary artery bypass grafting. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2011;6:157.
- Carrascal Y, Guerrero AL, Blanco M, Valenzuela H, Pareja P, Laguna G. Postoperative stroke related to cardiac surgery in octogenarians. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2014;18:596–601.
- Chen J-W, Lin C-H, Hsu R-B. Mechanisms of early and delayed stroke after systematic off-pump coronary artery bypass. J Formos Med Assoc. 2015;114:988–994.
- Doi K, Yaku H. Importance of cerebral artery risk evaluation before off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting to avoid perioperative stroke. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2010;38:568–572.
- Fessatidis I, Prapas S, Hevas A, Didilis V, Alotzeilat A, Missias G, Asteri T, Spyrou P. Prevention of perioperative neurological dysfunction. A six year perspective of cardiac surgery. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)*. 1991;32:570– 574.
- Filsoufi F, Rahmanian PB, Castillo JG, Bronster D, Adams DH. Incidence, topography, predictors and long-term survival after stroke in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:862– 870.
- Filsoufi F, Rahmanian PB, Castillo JG, Bronster D, Adams DH. Incidence, imaging analysis, and early and late outcomes of stroke after cardiac valve operation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008;101:1472–1478.
- Gaudino M, Martinelli L, Di Lella G, Glieca F, Marano P, Schiavello R, Possati G. Superior extension of intraoperative brain damage in case of normothermic systemic perfusion during coronary artery bypass operations. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1999;118:432–437.
- Goto T, Baba T, Matsuyama K, Honma K, Ura M, Koshiji T. Aortic atherosclerosis and postoperative neurological dysfunction in elderly coronary surgical patients. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2003;75:1912–1918.
- Hedberg M, Boivie P, Edström C, Engström KG. Cerebrovascular accidents after cardiac surgery: an analysis of CT scans in relation to clinical symptoms. *Scand Cardiovasc J.* 2005;39:299–305.

- Hedberg M, Boivie P, Engström KG. Early and delayed stroke after coronary surgery—an analysis of risk factors and the impact on short- and long-term survival. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2011;40:379–387.
- Hedberg M, Engström KG. Stroke after cardiac surgery—hemispheric distribution and survival. Scand Cardiovasc J. 2013;47:136–144.
- Hogue CW, Murphy SF, Schechtman KB, Dávila-Román VG. Risk factors for early or delayed stroke after cardiac surgery. *Circulation*. 1999;100:642–647.
- Imasaka K-I, Tayama E, Morita S, Tomita Y. Neurological outcome and efficacy of intensive craniocervical screening for elective cardiac surgery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2018;26:216–223.
- Karhausen JA, Smeltz AM, Akushevich I, Cooter M, Podgoreanu MV, Stafford-Smith M, Martinelli SM, Fontes ML, Kertai MD. Platelet counts and postoperative stroke after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2017;125:1129–1139.
- Karkouti K, Djaiani G, Borger MA, Beattie WS, Fedorko L, Wijeysundera D, Ivanov J, Karski J. Low hematocrit during cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with increased risk of perioperative stroke in cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;80:1381–1387.
- Kinnunen E-M, Juvonen T, Biancari F. Use of blood products and diseased ascending aorta are determinants of stroke after off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2015;29:1180–1186.
- Lahtinen J, Biancari F, Salmela E, Mosorin M, Satta J, Rainio P, Rimpiläinen J, Lepojärvi M, Juvonen T. Postoperative atrial fibrillation is a major cause of stroke after on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;77:1241–1244.
- Lee E-J, Choi K-H, Ryu J-S, Jeon S-B, Lee S-W, Park S-W, Park S-J, Lee J-W, Choo S-J, Chung C-H, Jung S-H, Kang D-W, Kim JS, Kwon SU. Stroke risk after coronary artery bypass graft surgery and extent of cerebral artery atherosclerosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:1811–1818.
- Lisle TC, Barrett KM, Gazoni LM, Swenson BR, Scott CD, Kazemi A, Kern JA, Peeler BB, Kron IL, Johnston KC. Timing of stroke after cardiopulmonary bypass determines mortality. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:1556–1562. discussion 1562–1563.
- Martin WR, Hashimoto SA. Stroke in coronary bypass surgery. Can J Neurol Sci. 1982;9:21–26.
- 40. Marui A, Kimura T, Tanaka S, Okabayashi H, Komiya T, Furukawa Y, Kita T, Sakata R; CREDO-Kyoto Investigators. Comparison of frequency of postoperative stroke in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;110:1773–1778.
- Murdock DK, Rengel LR, Schlund A, Olson KJ, Kaliebe JW, Johnkoski JA, Riveron FA. Stroke and atrial fibrillation following cardiac surgery. *WMJ*. 2003;102:26–30.
- Nishiyama K, Horiguchi M, Shizuta S, Doi T, Ehara N, Tanuguchi R, Haruna Y, Nakagawa Y, Furukawa Y, Fukushima M, Kita T, Kimura T. Temporal pattern of strokes after on-pump and off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;87:1839–1844.
- Peel GK, Stamou SC, Dullum MKC, Hill PC, Jablonski KA, Bafi AS, Boyce SW, Petro KR, Corso PJ. Chronologic distribution of stroke after minimally invasive versus conventional coronary artery bypass. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:752– 756.
- Ridderstolpe L, Ahlgren E, Gill H, Rutberg H. Risk factor analysis of early and delayed cerebral complications after cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2002;16:278–285.
- Salazar JD, Wityk RJ, Grega MA, Borowicz LM, Doty JR, Petrofski JA, Baumgartner WA. Stroke after cardiac surgery: short- and long-term outcomes. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2001;72:1195–1201; discussion 1201–1202.
- Tarakji KG, Sabik JF, Bhudia SK, Batizy LH, Blackstone EH. Temporal onset, risk factors, and outcomes associated with stroke after coronary artery bypass grafting. JAMA. 2011;305:381–390.
- Toumpoulis IK, Anagnostopoulos CE, Chamogeorgakis TP, Angouras DC, Kariou MA, Swistel DG, Rokkas CK. Impact of early and delayed stroke on inhospital and long-term mortality after isolated coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008;102:411–417.
- Weinstein GS. Left hemispheric strokes in coronary surgery: implications for end-hole aortic cannulas. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2001;71:128–132.
- Wijdicks EF, Jack CR. Coronary artery bypass grafting-associated ischemic stroke. A clinical and neuroradiological study. J Neuroimaging. 1996;6:20–22.
- Zhao DF, Edelman JJ, Seco M, Bannon PG, Wilson MK, Byrom MJ, Thourani V, Lamy A, Taggart DP, Puskas JD, Vallely MP. Coronary artery bypass grafting with and without manipulation of the ascending aorta: a network metaanalysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:924–936.
- Baufreton C, Allain P, Chevailler A, Etcharry-Bouyx F, Corbeau JJ, Legall D, de Brux JL. Brain injury and neuropsychological outcome after coronary artery

surgery are affected by complement activation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;79:1597–1605.

- Pugsley W, Klinger L, Paschalis C, Treasure T, Harrison M, Newman S. The impact of microemboli during cardiopulmonary bypass on neuropsychological functioning. *Stroke*. 1994;25:1393–1399.
- Shroyer AL, Grover FL, Hattler B, Collins JF, McDonald GO, Kozora E, Lucke JC, Baltz JH, Novitzky D; Veterans Affairs Randomized On/Off Bypass (ROOBY) Study Group. On-pump versus off-pump coronary-artery bypass surgery. N Engl J Med. 2009;361:1827–1837.
- Diegeler A, Börgermann J, Kappert U, Breuer M, Böning A, Ursulescu A, Rastan A, Holzhey D, Treede H, Rieß F-C, Veeckmann P, Asfoor A, Reents W, Zacher M, Hilker M; GOPCABE Study Group. Off-pump versus on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:1189–1198.
- 55. Lamy A, Devereaux PJ, Prabhakaran D, Taggart DP, Hu S, Paolasso E, Straka Z, Piegas LS, Akar AR, Jain AR, Noiseux N, Padmanabhan C, Bahamondes J-C, Novick RJ, Vaijyanath P, Reddy S, Tao L, Olavegogeascoechea PA, Airan B, Sulling T-A, Whitlock RP, Ou Y, Ng J, Chrolavicius S, Yusuf S; CORONARY Investigators. Off-pump or on-pump coronary-artery bypass grafting at 30 days. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:1489–1497.
- Rosenberger P, Shernan SK, Löffler M, Shekar PS, Fox JA, Tuli JK, Nowak M, Eltzschig HK. The influence of epiaortic ultrasonography on intraoperative surgical management in 6051 cardiac surgical patients. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:548–553.
- Emmert MY, Seifert B, Wilhelm M, Grünenfelder J, Falk V, Salzberg SP. Aortic no-touch technique makes the difference in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2011;142:1499–1506.
- Daniel WT, Kilgo P, Puskas JD, Thourani VH, Lattouf OM, Guyton RA, Halkos ME. Trends in aortic clamp use during coronary artery bypass surgery: effect of aortic clamping strategies on neurologic outcomes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc* Surg. 2014;147:652–657.
- Patel NC, Deodhar AP, Grayson AD, Pullan DM, Keenan DJM, Hasan R, Fabri BM. Neurological outcomes in coronary surgery: independent effect of avoiding cardiopulmonary bypass. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002;74:400–405; discussion 405–406.
- Albert A, Ennker J, Hegazy Y, Ullrich S, Petrov G, Akhyari P, Bauer S, Ürer E, Ennker IC, Lichtenberg A, Priss H, Assmann A. Implementation of the aortic no-touch technique to reduce stroke after off-pump coronary surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2018;156:544–554.e4.
- Motallebzadeh R, Bland JM, Markus HS, Kaski JC, Jahangiri M. Neurocognitive function and cerebral emboli: randomized study of on-pump versus off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2007;83:475–482.
- Moss E, Puskas JD, Thourani VH, Kilgo P, Chen EP, Leshnower BG, Lattouf OM, Guyton RA, Glas KE, Halkos ME. Avoiding aortic clamping during coronary artery bypass grafting reduces postoperative stroke. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2015;149:175–180.
- Vallely MP, Potger K, McMillan D, Hemli JM, Brady PW, Brereton RJL, Marshman D, Mathur MN, Ross DE. Anaortic techniques reduce neurological morbidity after off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2008;17:299–304.
- 64. Mack MJ, Acker MA, Gelijns AC, Overbey JR, Parides MK, Browndyke JN, Groh MA, Moskowitz AJ, Jeffries NO, Ailawadi G, Thourani VH, Moquete EG, Iribarne A, Voisine P, Perrault LP, Bowdish ME, Bilello M, Davatzikos C, Mangusan RF, Winkle RA, Smith PK, Michler RE, Miller MA, O'Sullivan KL, Taddei-Peters WC, Rose EA, Weisel RD, Furie KL, Bagiella E, Moy CS, O'Gara PT, Messé SR;

Cardiothoracic Surgical Trials Network (CTSN). Effect of cerebral embolic protection devices on CNS infarction in surgical aortic valve replacement: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2017;318:536–547.

- 65. Stone GW, Sabik JF, Serruys PW, Simonton CA, Généreux P, Puskas J, Kandzari DE, Morice M-C, Lembo N, Brown WM, Taggart DP, Banning A, Merkely B, Horkay F, Boonstra PW, van Boven AJ, Ungi I, Bogáts G, Mansour S, Noiseux N, Sabaté M, Pomar J, Hickey M, Gershlick A, Buszman P, Bochenek A, Schampaert E, Pagé P, Dressler O, Kosmidou I, Mehran R, Pocock SJ, Kappetein AP; EXCEL Trial Investigators. Everolimus-eluting stents or bypass surgery for left main coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:2223–2235.
- 66. Kosmidou I, Chen S, Kappetein AP, Serruys PW, Gersh BJ, Puskas JD, Kandzari DE, Taggart DP, Morice M-C, Buszman PE, Bochenek A, Schampaert E, Pagé P, Sabik JF, McAndrew T, Redfors B, Ben-Yehuda O, Stone GW. New-onset atrial fibrillation after PCI or CABG for left main disease: the EXCEL trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71:739–748.
- Villareal RP, Hariharan R, Liu BC, Kar B, Lee V-V, Elayda M, Lopez JA, Rasekh A, Wilson JM, Massumi A. Postoperative atrial fibrillation and mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:742–748.
- Creswell LL, Schuessler RB, Rosenbloom M, Cox JL. Hazards of postoperative atrial arrhythmias. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1993;56:539–549.
- Aranki SF, Shaw DP, Adams DH, Rizzo RJ, Couper GS, VanderVliet M, Collins JJ, Cohn LH, Burstin HR. Predictors of atrial fibrillation after coronary artery surgery. *Circulation*. 1996;94:390–397.
- Almassi GH, Schowalter T, Nicolosi AC, Aggarwal A, Moritz TE, Henderson WG, Tarazi R, Shroyer AL, Sethi GK, Grover FL, Hammermeister KE. Atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a major morbid event? *Ann Surg.* 1997;226:501–511; discussion 511–513.
- Mathew JP, Fontes ML, Tudor IC, Ramsay J, Duke P, Mazer CD, Barash PG, Hsu PH, Mangano DT; Investigators of the Ischemia Research and Education Foundation, Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. A multicenter risk index for atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery. *JAMA*. 2004;291:1720–1729.
- Banach M, Rysz J, Drozdz JA, Okonski P, Misztal M, Barylski M, Irzmanski R, Zaslonka J. Risk factors of atrial fibrillation following coronary artery bypass grafting: a preliminary report. *Circ J.* 2006;70:438–441.
- Ahlsson A, Fengsrud E, Bodin L, Englund A. Postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing aortocoronary bypass surgery carries an eightfold risk of future atrial fibrillation and a doubled cardiovascular mortality. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2010;37:1353–1359.
- Filardo G, Damiano RJ, Ailawadi G, Thourani VH, Pollock BD, Sass DM, Phan TK, Nguyen H, da Graca B. Epidemiology of new-onset atrial fibrillation following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Heart*. 2018;104:985–992.
- Pettersson GB, Martino D, Blackstone EH, Nowicki ER, Houghtaling PL, Sabik JF, Lytle BW. Advising complex patients who require complex heart operations. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;145:1159–1169.e3.
- Gialdini G, Nearing K, Bhave PD, Bonuccelli U, ladecola C, Healey JS, Kamel H. Perioperative atrial fibrillation and the long-term risk of ischemic stroke. *JAMA*. 2014;312:616–622.
- Arsenault KA, Yusuf AM, Crystal E, Healey JS, Morillo CA, Nair GM, Whitlock RP. Interventions for preventing post-operative atrial fibrillation in patients undergoing heart surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;1:CD003611.
- Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;146:857–867.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Item No	Recommendation	Page No.
	Reporting of background should include	
1	Problem definition	2
2	Hypothesis statement	2
3	Description of study outcome(s)	2
4	Type of exposure or intervention used	3
5	Type of study designs used	3
6	Study population	3
	Reporting of search strategy should include	
7	Qualifications of searchers (eg, librarians and investigators)	3
8	Search strategy, including time period included in the synthesis and key words	3
9	Effort to include all available studies, including contact with authors	3
10	Databases and registries searched	3
11	Search software used, name and version, including special features used (eg, explosion)	3
12	Use of hand searching (eg, reference lists of obtained articles)	3
13	List of citations located and those excluded, including justification	3
14	Method of addressing articles published in languages other than English	3
15	Method of handling abstracts and unpublished studies	3
16	Description of any contact with authors	3
	Reporting of methods should include	
17	Description of relevance or appropriateness of studies assembled for assessing the hypothesis to be tested	3
18	Rationale for the selection and coding of data (eg, sound clinical principles or convenience)	3
19	Documentation of how data were classified and coded (eg, multiple raters, blinding and interrater	3
20	Assessment of confounding (eg, comparability of cases and controls in studies where appropriate)	4

21	Assessment of study quality, including blinding of quality assessors, stratification or regression on possible predictors of study results	4
22	Assessment of heterogeneity	5
23	Description of statistical methods (eg, complete description of fixed or random effects models, justification of whether the chosen models account for predictors of study results, dose-response models, or cumulative meta-analysis) in sufficient detail to be replicated	4
24	Provision of appropriate tables and graphics	5
	Reporting of results should include	
25	Graphic summarizing individual study estimates and overall estimate	Figures
26	Table giving descriptive information for each study included	Tables
27	Results of sensitivity testing (eg, subgroup analysis)	6-7
28	Indication of statistical uncertainty of findings	6-7
29	Quantitative assessment of bias (eg, publication bias)	6-7
30	Justification for exclusion (eg, exclusion of non-English language citations)	6-7
31	Assessment of quality of included studies	6-7
	Reporting of conclusions should include	
32	Consideration of alternative explanations for observed results	8-11
33	Generalization of the conclusions (ie, appropriate for the data presented and within the domain of the literature review)	8-11
34	Guidelines for future research	8-11
35	Disclosure of funding source	12

From: Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, for the Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) Group. Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology. A Proposal for Reporting. JAMA. 2000;283:2008-2012.

 Table S2.
 The Search Strategy for Ovid MEDLINE.

Ovid N	MEDLINE [®] (Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE [®] Daily and Ovid MEDLINE [®] - 1946 to
Preser	nt)
Line #	Search term (Searched on 04/11/2018)
1	(Intraoperative Complications/ or Postoperative Complications/) and exp Stroke/
2	((intraoperative or intra-operative or peroperative or per-operative or perioperative or peri-operative or early or postoperative
	or post-operative or post-surgical or postsurgical or delayed) adj4 (stroke or strokes or cerebrovascular accident* or cerebro
	vascular accident* or CVA or CVAs or apoplexy or apoplexia or brain vascular accident* or vascular brain accident* or acute
	cerebrovascular lesion or acute focal cerebral vasculopathy or brain blood flow disturbance or brain accident or brain attack or
	brain insult or brain insultus or brain ischaemic attack or brain ischemic attack or cerebral insult cerebral vascular accident or
	cerebral vascular insufficiency or cerebrovascular arrestor cerebrovascular failure or cerebrovascular injury or cerebrovascular
	insufficiency or cerebrovascular insult or ischaemic cerebral attack or ischaemic seizure or ischemic cerebral attack or ischemic
	seizure or brain infarction* or brain venous infarction* or cortical infarction or hemisphere infarct* or hemispheric infarct* or
	brain stem infarction* or brainstem infarction* or Claude Syndrome or Weber Syndrome or Millard-Gublar Syndrome or Top of
	the Basilar Syndrome or Benedict Syndrome or Foville Syndrome or cerebral infarct* or cerebrovascular infarct* or subcortical
	infarction* or posterior choroidal artery infarction* or anterior choroidal artery infarction* or lacunar syndrome* or lacunar
	infarct*)).tw.
3	1 or 2

4 Cardiac Surgical Procedures/

5	(cardiac surgery or cardiac surgical procedure* or heart surgery or heart valve surgery or heart surgical procedures* or cardiac
	operation* or heart operation* or cardiosurgery or myocardial resection).tw.
6	Coronary Artery Bypass/
7	(CABG or aortic coronary bypass or aorticocoronary anastomosis or Total arterial revascularization or total arterial
	revascularisation or Multiple arterial revascularization or multiple arterial revascularisation).tw.
8	((aortocoronary or aorta or coronary) adj2 (anastomosis or bypass or shunt or graft)).tw.
9	Coronary Artery Bypass, Off Pump/
10	Internal Mammary-Coronary Artery Anastomosis/
11	Myocardial Revascularization/
12	(cardiac muscle revascularisation or cardiac muscle revascularization or coronary revascularisation or coronary revascularization
	or heart muscle revascularisation or heart myocardium revascularisation or heart revascularisation or heart revascularization or
	internal mammary arterial anastomosis or internal mammary arterial implantation or internal mammary artery anastomosis or
	internal mammary artery graft or internal mammary artery implant or internal mammary artery implantation or internal
	mammary-coronary artery anastomosis or Coronary Internal Mammary Artery Anastomosis or myocardial revascularisation or
	myocardial revascularization or myocardium revascularisation or myocardium revascularization or transmyocardial laser
	revascularisation or transmyocardial laser revascularization or vineberg operation).tw.
13	(Aortic Valve Repair or Aortic Valve Replacement or aorta valve replacement or aorta valve transplantation or aortic valve
	transplantation or aortic valve xenotransplantation).tw.
14	Cardiac Valve Annuloplasty/
•	

15 (Cardiac Valve Annuloplasty or Cardiac Valve Annuloplasties or Valvular Annuloplasties or Valvular Annuloplasty or Heart Valve Annuloplasty or Heart Valve Annuloplasties or Cardiac Valve Annulus Repair or Heart Valve Annulus Repair or Cardiac Valve Annular Repair or Heart Valve Annular Repair or Cardiac Valve Annular Reduction or Cardiac Valve Annulus Shortening or Cardiac Valve Annulus Reduction).tw.

16	Mitral Valve Annuloplasty/
17	(Mitral Valve Annuloplasties or Mitral Valve Annuloplasty or Mitral Annuloplasty Mitral Annuloplasties or Mitral Valve Annulus
	Repair or mitral valve surgery or mitral valve replacement or mitral valve repair).tw.
18	Heart Valve Prosthesis Implantation/
19	heart valve prosthesis implantation.tw.
20	or/4-19
21	3 and 20
22	limit 21 to English language

Study/Year	Selection	Comparability	Outcome/Exposure	Total Score
Blossom 1992 ¹	****	*	**	*****
Boivie 2005 ²	****	*	**	*****
Borger 2001 ³	****	*	**	*****
Bull 1993 ⁴	****	*	**	*****
Calafiore 2002 ⁵	****	**	**	****
Cao 2011 ⁶	****	*	*	****
Carrascal 2014 ⁷	****	**	**	****
Chen 2015 ⁸	* * * *	*	**	*****
Doi 2010 ⁹	* * * *	**	*	*****
Fessatidis 1991 ¹⁰	* * * *	*	**	* * * * * *
Filsoufi.A 2008 ¹¹	* * * *	**	**	*****
Filsoufi.B 2008 ¹²	* * * *	**	**	* * * * * * *
Gaudino 1999 ¹³	* * * *	*	**	*****
Goto 2003 ¹⁴	* * * *	*	**	*****
Hedberg 2005 ¹⁵	* * * *	*	*	****
Hedberg 2011 ¹⁶	* * * *	**	**	* * * * * * *
Hedberg 2013 ¹⁷	* * * *	**	*	*****
Hogue 1999 ¹⁸	* * * *	**	**	* * * * * * *
lmasaka 2018 ¹⁹	****	**	*	*****
Karhausen 2017 ²⁰	* * * *	**	**	*****
Karkouti 2005 ²¹	****	**	*	*****
Kinnunen 2015 ²²	* * * *	**	**	* * * * * * *
Lahtinen 2004 ²³	* * * *	*	**	*****
Lee 2011 ²⁴	* * * *	**	*	* * * * * *
Lisle 2008 ²⁵	****	**	**	****
Martin 1982 ²⁶	****	*	**	****
Marui 2012 ²⁷	* * * *	**	**	******
Murdock 2003 ²⁸	****	*	**	****
Nishiyama 2009 ²⁹	****	**	**	******

 Table S3. Critical Appraisal of Included Studies Using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale for Cohort Studies.

Peel 2004 ³⁰	****	*	*	****
Ridderstolpe 2002 ³¹	****	**	**	*****
Salazar 2001 ³²	****	*	**	*****
Tarakji 2011 ³³	****	**	**	*****
Toumpoulis 2008 ³⁴	****	**	**	*****
Weinstein 2001 ³⁵	****	*	*	****
Wijdicks 1996 ³⁶	****	*	**	*****

 Table S4. Stroke Definitions in the Included Studies.

- In 23 studies, early strokes were defined as strokes observed at awaking or extubation, while delayed strokes were defined as strokes occurring after a symptom-free interval after awaking or extubation.
- In 7 studies, early strokes were defined as strokes that occurred within 24 hours after cardiac surgery, while delayed strokes after 24 hours.
- In 2 studies, early were strokes occurring intraoperatively, while delayed were those occurring postoperatively
- In 1 early strokes were defined as stroke at recovering from anesthesia or within 12h, while delayed strokes were defined as strokes occurring after 12 hours
- In 1 study early strokes were strokes occurring by 1st POD, while delayed strokes were defined as strokes occurring between POD 2 and 30.
- In 1 study early strokes were strokes presenting on day 0, while delayed strokes were defined as strokes occurring afterwards
- In 1 study early strokes were strokes presenting within 3rd POD, while delayed strokes were defined as strokes occurring afterwards

POD, Post-operative day

		A = -	Fomoloc	DM (%)	AF	Carotid		lineout/		
Study/Year	Cohort	Age (Vr)	remaies		AF (04)	disease	Prior Stroke (%)	Orgent/	PVD (%)	CKD (%)
		(11)	(70)		(70)	(%)		Emergent (%)		
Blossom 1992 ¹	3428	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Boivie 2005 ²	2641	66	27	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	3	NR
Borger 2001 ³	6682	62	20	24	1	NR	8	17	14	3
Bull 1993⁴	245	NR	NR	21	6	11	12	NR	NR	NR
Calafiore 2002 ⁵	4875	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Cao 2011 ⁶	430	64	24	37	3	NR	100	NR	3	NR
Carrascal 2014 ⁷	844	74	40	20	NR	NR	8	6	10	7
Chen 2015 ⁸	1010	67	15	NR	NR	NR	NR	27	NR	NR
Doi 2010 ⁹	611	68	22	46	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	15
Fessatidis 1991 ¹⁰	1487	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

Table S5. Demographics of the included studies.

	1010	67	15	NR	NR	NR	NR	27	NR	NR	NR	CABG		
Doi 2010 ⁹	611	68	22	46	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	15	NR	CABG		
Fessatidis 1991 ¹⁰	1487	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CABG 943; Valve 496;		
												Congenital 46		
Filsoufi.A 2008 ¹¹	2808	63	43	15	NR	NR	7	3	7	5	19	CABG+Valve 1529;		
	2000											Valve 1279		
Filsoufi.B 2008 ¹²	2985	65	31	40	NR	NR	8	5	13	5	4	CABG		
Gaudino 1999 ¹³	2987	60	44	18	NR	NR	11	NR	NR	NR	NR	CABG		
Goto 2003 ¹⁴	463	70	31	35	NR	NR	17	NR	10	11	NR	CABG		
Hedberg 2005 ¹⁵	2641	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	All Cardiac Surgery		
Hedberg 2011 ¹⁶	9122	68 25	25	22	NR	NID	9	ND	ND	NR	5	CABG 8136;		
	9122	9122	9122	9122	00	08 25	22	1 11 1	1.411	5	INK	INK	INK	5

Type of Surgery (%)

CABG CABG 1882; Valve

195; CABG+Valve 200 CABG

CABG

CABG

CABG CABG 202; CABG+Valve 209;

Valve 403; Aortic 32

Redo (%)

NR

NR

8

NR NR

NR

6

Hodborg 201217	10200	NID	20	20	Λ	NID	٩	ND	٩	ND	5	All But Aortic 98,5%;
Heaperg 2013-	10809	INIT	20	20	4	INIT	9	INT	9		5	Aortic 1.5%
												CABG (88.4);
Hogue 1999 ¹⁸	2972	68	36	28	NR	32	7	NR	NR	NR	NR	CABG/valve (15.0);
												Valve (26.6)
												CABG 378;
lmasaka 2018 ¹⁹	113/	NR	39	31	16	1/	11	NR	NR	7	Л	CABG+Valve 151;
	1134	INIX	55	51	10	14	14		INIX	,	4	Valve 480; Aortic 43;
												Other 82
Karhausen 2017 ²⁰	6130	NR	28	38	NR	NR	10	NR	NR	6	2	CABG
Karkouti 2005 ²¹	10949	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	All Cardiac Surgery
Kinnunen 2015 ²²	1314	66	21	29	11	NR	4	56	12	NR	1	CABG
Lahtinen 2004 ²³	2630	NR	33	31	10	NR	36	1	NR	NR	NR	CABG
Lee 2011 ²⁴	1367	63	26	44	4	NR	9	NR	2	7	NR	CABG
Lisle 2008 ²⁵	7201	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	All Cardiac Surgery
Martin 1982 ²⁶	253	54	83	5	8	NR	6	NR	7	NR	NR	CABG
Marui 2012 ²⁷	2446	67	28	47	6	11	21	6	NR	39	NR	CABG
												CABG 1798, Valve
Murdock 2003 ²⁸	2104	60	50	46	6	NR	22	NR	30	NR	NR	135, CABG+Valve
												151, Other 20
Nishiyama 2009 ²⁹	2516	67	28	46	6	NR	22	6	20	17	0	CABG
Peel 2004 ³⁰	10573	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CABG
												CABG 2290,
Ridderstolpe	3787	66	27	1/	NR	NR	8	З	7	NR	0	CABG+Valve 275,
2002 ³¹	5202	00	27	14	INIX	INIX	0	5	7	INIX	0	Valve 570, Aortic 60,
												Other 87
Salazar 200132	5971	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CABG 3,974; Valve
	2271	INIX	INIX	INIX		INIX		INIA	INIX	INIA		828; CABG/valve 463;

												CABG/CEA 52;
												CABG/Other 76;
												Aortic 310;
												Transplant 94; Other
												174
Tarakji 2011 ³³	45432	68	21	23	2	NR	6	3	16	3	19	CABG
Toumpoulis 2008 ³⁴	4140	64	31	35	NR	6	7	70	NR	3	NR	CABG
Weinstein 2001 ³⁵	2217	71	35	33	19	NR	NR	NR	6	NR	NR	CABG
Wijdicks 1996 ³⁶	8270	NR	CABG									

DM, diabetes mellitus; CKD, chronic kidney disease; PVD, peripheral vascular disease; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CEA, carotid

endarterectomy; NR, not reported.

 Table S6.
 Summary of the outcomes (fixed effect model).

Outcomes	No. of Studies	Proportion [Confidence interval]	Heterogeneity (I^2 , P-value)	Perioperative stroke vs No stoke ¶	Early vs delayed stroke difference ¶					
FIXED EFFECT MODEL										
Pooled rate of perioperative stroke	36	2.15% [2.08; 2.22]	94.1%, P<0.0001							
Pooled rate of early stroke	36	1.25% [1.19; 1.30]	94.7%, P<0.0001		<0.0001					
Pooled rate of delayed stroke	36	1.08% [1.03; 1.14]	91.5%, P<0.0001		<0.0001					
Pooled rate of operative mortality in the whole group	20	3.39% [3.28; 3.50]	96.9%, P<0.0001							
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients with perioperative stroke	22	20.76% [19.12; 22.49]	58.8%, P=0.0003	<0.0001						
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients without stroke	16	3.19% [3.08; 3.31]	96.9%, P<0.0001	<0.0001						
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients with early stroke	12	25.97% [21.80; 30.62]	84.2%, P<0.0001		0.0049					
Pooled rate of operative mortality for patients with late stroke	13	17.85% [14.57; 21.67]	20.1%, P=0.2407		0.0049					
Incidence rate of late mortality in the all group	5	5.78% [5.71; 5.84]	99.3%, P<0.0001							
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients with perioperative stroke	5	8.44% [7.83; 9.10]	84.8%, P< 0.0001	<0.0001						
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients without stroke	8	5.37% [5.32; 5.43]	99.7%, P=0	<0.0001						
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients with early stroke	5	8.64% [7.49; 9.95]	87.6%, P< 0.0001		0.3738					
Incidence rate of late mortality in patients with delayed stroke	5	7.73% [6.34; 9.43]	71.2%, P=0.008		0.3738					

¶ P value for subgroup difference

Table S7. Meta-Regression for Early and Delayed Stroke (Restricted maximum likelihood model). By getting exponential of Beta (exp Beta):

- 1% \uparrow in prior stroke history \rightarrow \uparrow absolute risk of peri-operative stroke by 1.01%, P=0.001
- 1% \uparrow in on-pump \rightarrow \uparrow absolute risk of early stroke by 1.00%, P=0.006
- 1% \uparrow in in off-pump $\rightarrow \downarrow$ absolute risk of early stroke by 0.99%, P=0.012
- 1% \uparrow in in prior stroke history $\rightarrow \uparrow$ absolute risk of delayed stroke by 1.02%, P<0.001)

Perioperative Stroke	Beta ±SD (P-value)	Exp (Beta)
Age (years)	0.0041±0.0265, P=0.8774	1.004
Female (%)	0.0071±0.0076, P=0.3527	1.007
Diabetes (%)	0.0015±0.0080, P=0.8470	1.002
AF (%)	-0.0111±0.0246, P=0.6530	0.989
Carotid disease (%)	-0.0220 0.0144, P=0.1271	0.978
Prior stroke (%)	0.0129±0.0040, P=0.0014	1.013
Urgent or Emergent Procedure (%)	0.0043±0.0041, P=0.2957	1.004
On-pump (%)	0.0017±0.0025, P=0.4927	1.002
Off-pump (%)	-0.0014±0.0028, P=0.6152	0.999
Single clamp (%)	0.0034±0.0055, P=0.5385	1.003
Multiple clamp (%)	-0.0034±0.0055, P=0.5385	0.997
Asc Aorta atheroma or calcification (%)	0.0017±0.0065, P=0.7927	1.002
Use of circulatory arrest (%)	NA (no enough study)	NA
CPB time (in minutes)	0.0007±0.0030, P=0.8220	1.001
Aortic clamp time (in minutes)	0.0002±0.0038, P=0.9522	1.000
Early Stroke	Beta ±SD (P-value)	Exp (Beta)
Age (years)	0.0158±0.0318, P=0.6202	1.016
Female (%)	0.0074±0.0102, P=0.4687	1.007
Diabetes (%)	-0.0178±0.0097, P= 0.0678	0.982
AF (%)	-0.0153±0.0240, P=0.5256	0.985
Carotid disease (%)	-0.0473±0.0252, P=0.0600	0.954
Prior stroke (%)	-0.0046±0.0072, P=0.5244	0.995
Urgent or Emergent Procedure (%)	0.0056±0.0074, P=0.4508	1.006
On-pump (%)	0.0091±0.0034, P=0.0064	1.009

Off-pump (%)	-0.0097±0.0038, P=0.0115	0.990
Single clamp (%)	0.0012 0.0087, P=0.8874	1.001
Multiple clamp (%)	-0.0012±0.0087, P=0.8874	0.999
Asc Aorta atheroma or calcification (%)	0.0005±0.0115, P=0.9634	1.001
Use of circulatory arrest (%)	NA (no enough studies)	NA
CPB time (in minutes)	-0.0030±0.0047, P=0.5267	0.997
Aortic clamp time (in minutes)	-0.0035±0.0059, P=0.5600	0.997
Delayed Stroke	Beta ±SD (P-value)	Exp (Beta)
Age (years)	0.0108 0.0420, P=0.7982	1.011
Female (%)	0.0087±0.0115, P=0.4502	1.009
Diabetes (%)	0.0233±0.0120, P=0.0523	1.024
AF (%)	0.0193±0.0465, P=0.6782	1.019
Carotid disease (%)	0.0059±0.0091, P=0.5185	1.006
Prior stroke (%)	0.0224±0.0056, P<.0001	1.023
Urgent or Emergent Procedure (%)	0.0005±0.0077, P=0.9510	1.001
On-pump (%)	-0.0057±0.0036, P=0.1166	0.994
Off-pump (%)	0.0062±0.0039, P=0.1103	1.006
Single clamp (%)	0.0041±0.0091, P=0.6511	1.004
Multiple clamp (%)	-0.0041±0.0091, P=0.6511	0.996
Asc Aorta atheroma or calcification (%)	0.0010±0.0045, P=0.8213	1.001
Use of circulatory arrest (%)	NA (no enough studies)	NA
CPB time (in minutes)	0.0065±0.0050, P=0.1943	1.007
Aortic clamp time (in minutes)	0.0062±0.0064, P=0.3356	1.006

AF, Atrial Fibrillation; Asc, ascending; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; NA, not applies.



Study	Events	Total			Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Cao 2011 ⁶	32	430			0.07	[0.05; 0.10]	0.9%	2.7%
Goto 2003 ¹⁴	18	463			0.04	[0.02; 0.06]	0.5%	2.4%
Carrascal 2014 ⁷	32	844			0.04	[0.03; 0.05]	0.9%	2.7%
Boivie 2005 ²	98	2641			0.04	[0.03; 0.05]	2.8%	3.0%
Salazar 2001 ³²	214	5971			0.04	[0.03; 0.04]	6.2%	3.1%
Toumpoulis 2008 ³⁴	138	4140			0.03	[0.03; 0.04]	4.0%	3.1%
Murdock 2003 ²⁸	68	2104			0.03	[0.03; 0.04]	2.0%	3.0%
Martin 1982 ²⁶	8	253			0.03	[0.01; 0.06]	0.2%	1.9%
Hedberg 2013 ¹⁷	339	10809	-		0.03	[0.03; 0.03]	9.8%	3.2%
Hedberg 2005 ¹⁵	77	2641			0.03	[0.02; 0.04]	2.2%	3.0%
Lisle 2008 ²⁵	202	7201			0.03	[0.02; 0.03]	5.9%	3.1%
Hedberg 2011 ¹⁶	245	9122	-		0.03	[0.02; 0.03]	7.1%	3.1%
Lee 2011 ²⁴	33	1367			0.02	[0.02; 0.03]	1.0%	2.7%
Weinstein 2001 ³⁵	51	2217			0.02	[0.02; 0.03]	1.5%	2.9%
Filsoufi.A 2008 ¹¹	63	2808			0.02	[0.02; 0.03]	1.8%	3.0%
Bull 1993 ⁴	5	245		-	0.02	[0.01; 0.05]	0.1%	1.5%
Peel 2004 ³⁰	211	10573	#		0.02	[0.02; 0.02]	6.2%	3.1%
Lahtinen 2004 ²³	52	2630	-		0.02	[0.01; 0.03]	1.5%	2.9%
Ridderstolpe_2002 ³¹	64	3282	-		0.02	[0.02; 0.02]	1.9%	3.0%
Marui 2012 ²⁷	45	2446			0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	1.3%	2.9%
Nishiyama 2009 ²⁹	46	2516			0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	1.4%	2.9%
Karhausen 2017 ²⁰	110	6130	-		0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	3.2%	3.1%
Imasaka 2018 ¹⁹	20	1134			0.02	[0.01; 0.03]	0.6%	2.5%
Kinnunen 2015 ²²	23	1314			0.02	[0.01; 0.03]	0.7%	2.6%
Hogue 1999 ¹	48	2972	-		0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	1.4%	2.9%
Filsoufi.B 2008 ¹²	48	2985	-		0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	1.4%	2.9%
Tarakji 201133	688	45432	+		0.02	[0.01; 0.02]	20.3%	3.2%
Borger 2001 ³	98	6682	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	2.9%	3.0%
Karkouti 2005 ²¹	160	10949	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	4.7%	3.1%
Blossom 1992	46	3428	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	1.4%	2.9%
Doi 2010°	8	611			0.01	[0.01; 0.03]	0.2%	1.9%
Chen 2015°	11	1010			0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	0.3%	2.1%
Gaudino 1999	31	2987	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	0.9%	2.7%
Fessatidis 199110	15	1487			0.01	[0.01; 0.02]	0.4%	2.3%
Calafiore 2002 ³	49	4875	-		0.01	[0.01; 0.01]	1.5%	2.9%
Wijdicks 1996 ³⁶	25	8270	•		0.00	[0.00; 0.00]	0.7%	2.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Prediction interval Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 94\%$,	Ι τ ² = 0.1804	174969 , <i>p</i> < 0.01			0.02	[0.02; 0.02] [0.02; 0.02] [0.01; 0.05]	100.0% —	 100.0%
			0.02 0.02	0.00 0.0	0.1			

Figure S2. Pooled event rate for perioperative stroke.

Figure S3. Reconstructed Kaplan-Meier survival curves from derived individual patient data (IPD) for A) No stroke versus perioperative stroke and B) No stroke versus early and delayed stroke. Solid/dotted line represents aggregation of all available Kaplan-Meier curves with 95% CI.



Overall survival, months

Figure S4. Leave-one-out analysis (top) and funnel plot (bottom) for incidence of A) early stroke and B) delayed stroke.



List of the included studies

- 1. Blossom GB, Fietsam R, Bassett JS, Glover JL, Bendick PJ. Characteristics of cerebrovascular accidents after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am Surg.* 1992;58:584–589; discussion 589.
- 2. Boivie P, Edström C, Engström KG. Side differences in cerebrovascular accidents after cardiac surgery: a statistical analysis of neurologic symptoms and possible implications for anatomic mechanisms of aortic particle embolization. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2005;129:591–598.
- 3. Borger MA, Ivanov J, Weisel RD, Rao V, Peniston CM. Stroke during coronary bypass surgery: principal role of cerebral macroemboli. *Eur J Cardio-Thorac Surg*. 2001;19:627–632.
- 4. Bull DA, Neumayer LA, Hunter GC, Keksz J, Sethi GK, McIntyre KE, Bernhard VM. Risk factors for stroke in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Cardiovasc Surg.* 1993;1:182–185.
- 5. Calafiore AM, Di Mauro M, Teodori G, Di Giammarco G, Cirmeni S, Contini M, Iacò AL, Pano M. Impact of aortic manipulation on incidence of cerebrovascular accidents after surgical myocardial revascularization. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2002;73:1387–1393.
- 6. Cao L, Li Q, Bi Q, Yu Q-J. Risk factors for recurrent stroke after coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2011;6:157.
- 7. Carrascal Y, Guerrero AL, Blanco M, Valenzuela H, Pareja P, Laguna G. Postoperative stroke related to cardiac surgery in octogenarians. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2014;18:596–601.
- 8. Chen J-W, Lin C-H, Hsu R-B. Mechanisms of early and delayed stroke after systematic off-pump coronary artery bypass. *J Formos Med Assoc*. 2015;114:988–994.
- 9. Doi K, Yaku H. Importance of cerebral artery risk evaluation before off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting to avoid perioperative stroke. *Eur J Cardio-Thorac Surg*. 2010;38:568–572.
- 10. Fessatidis I, Prapas S, Hevas A, Didilis V, Alotzeilat A, Missias G, Asteri T, Spyrou P. Prevention of perioperative neurological dysfunction. A six year perspective of cardiac surgery. *J Cardiovasc Surg* (*Torino*). 1991;32:570–574.
- 11. Filsoufi F, Rahmanian PB, Castillo JG, Bronster D, Adams DH. Incidence, topography, predictors and long-term survival after stroke in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85:862–870.
- 12. Filsoufi F, Rahmanian PB, Castillo JG, Bronster D, Adams DH. Incidence, imaging analysis, and early and late outcomes of stroke after cardiac valve operation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;101:1472–1478.
- 13. Gaudino M, Martinelli L, Di Lella G, Glieca F, Marano P, Schiavello R, Possati G. Superior extension of intraoperative brain damage in case of normothermic systemic perfusion during coronary artery bypass operations. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1999;118:432–437.
- 14. Goto T, Baba T, Matsuyama K, Honma K, Ura M, Koshiji T. Aortic atherosclerosis and postoperative neurological dysfunction in elderly coronary surgical patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2003;75:1912–1918.

- 15. Hedberg M, Boivie P, Edström C, Engström KG. Cerebrovascular accidents after cardiac surgery: an analysis of CT scans in relation to clinical symptoms. *Scand Cardiovasc J*. 2005;39:299–305.
- 16. Hedberg M, Boivie P, Engström KG. Early and delayed stroke after coronary surgery an analysis of risk factors and the impact on short- and long-term survival. *Eur J Cardio-Thorac Surg*. 2011;40:379–387.
- 17. Hedberg M, Engström KG. Stroke after cardiac surgery--hemispheric distribution and survival. *Scand Cardiovasc J*. 2013;47:136–144.
- 18. Hogue CW, Murphy SF, Schechtman KB, Dávila-Román VG. Risk factors for early or delayed stroke after cardiac surgery. *Circulation*. 1999;100:642–647.
- 19. Imasaka K-I, Tayama E, Morita S, Tomita Y. Neurological outcome and efficacy of intensive craniocervical screening for elective cardiac surgery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2018;26:216–223.
- Karhausen JA, Smeltz AM, Akushevich I, Cooter M, Podgoreanu MV, Stafford-Smith M, Martinelli SM, Fontes ML, Kertai MD. Platelet Counts and Postoperative Stroke After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting Surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2017;125:1129–1139.
- 21. Karkouti K, Djaiani G, Borger MA, Beattie WS, Fedorko L, Wijeysundera D, Ivanov J, Karski J. Low hematocrit during cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with increased risk of perioperative stroke in cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;80:1381–1387.
- 22. Kinnunen E-M, Juvonen T, Biancari F. Use of Blood Products and Diseased Ascending Aorta Are Determinants of Stroke After Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2015;29:1180–1186.
- 23. Lahtinen J, Biancari F, Salmela E, Mosorin M, Satta J, Rainio P, Rimpiläinen J, Lepojärvi M, Juvonen T. Postoperative atrial fibrillation is a major cause of stroke after on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2004;77:1241–1244.
- 24. Lee E-J, Choi K-H, Ryu J-S, Jeon S-B, Lee S-W, Park S-W, Park S-J, Lee J-W, Choo S-J, Chung C-H, Jung S-H, Kang D-W, Kim JS, Kwon SU. Stroke risk after coronary artery bypass graft surgery and extent of cerebral artery atherosclerosis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:1811–1818.
- 25. Lisle TC, Barrett KM, Gazoni LM, Swenson BR, Scott CD, Kazemi A, Kern JA, Peeler BB, Kron IL, Johnston KC. Timing of stroke after cardiopulmonary bypass determines mortality. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2008;85:1556–1562; discussion 1562-1563.
- 26. Martin WR, Hashimoto SA. Stroke in coronary bypass surgery. Can J Neurol Sci. 1982;9:21–26.
- 27. Marui A, Kimura T, Tanaka S, Okabayashi H, Komiya T, Furukawa Y, Kita T, Sakata R, CREDO-Kyoto Investigators. Comparison of frequency of postoperative stroke in off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting versus percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol*. 2012;110:1773–1778.

- 28. Murdock DK, Rengel LR, Schlund A, Olson KJ, Kaliebe JW, Johnkoski JA, Riveron FA. Stroke and atrial fibrillation following cardiac surgery. *WMJ*. 2003;102:26–30.
- 29. Nishiyama K, Horiguchi M, Shizuta S, Doi T, Ehara N, Tanuguchi R, Haruna Y, Nakagawa Y, Furukawa Y, Fukushima M, Kita T, Kimura T. Temporal pattern of strokes after on-pump and off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;87:1839–1844.
- 30. Peel GK, Stamou SC, Dullum MKC, Hill PC, Jablonski KA, Bafi AS, Boyce SW, Petro KR, Corso PJ. Chronologic distribution of stroke after minimally invasive versus conventional coronary artery bypass. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:752–756.
- 31. Ridderstolpe L, Ahlgren E, Gill H, Rutberg H. Risk factor analysis of early and delayed cerebral complications after cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2002;16:278–285.
- 32. Salazar JD, Wityk RJ, Grega MA, Borowicz LM, Doty JR, Petrofski JA, Baumgartner WA. Stroke after cardiac surgery: short- and long-term outcomes. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2001;72:1195–1201; discussion 1201-1202.
- 33. Tarakji KG, Sabik JF, Bhudia SK, Batizy LH, Blackstone EH. Temporal onset, risk factors, and outcomes associated with stroke after coronary artery bypass grafting. *JAMA*. 2011;305:381–390.
- 34. Toumpoulis IK, Anagnostopoulos CE, Chamogeorgakis TP, Angouras DC, Kariou MA, Swistel DG, Rokkas CK. Impact of early and delayed stroke on in-hospital and long-term mortality after isolated coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol*. 2008;102:411–417.
- 35. Weinstein GS. Left hemispheric strokes in coronary surgery: implications for end-hole aortic cannulas. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2001;71:128–132.
- 36. Wijdicks EF, Jack CR. Coronary artery bypass grafting-associated ischemic stroke. A clinical and neuroradiological study. *J Neuroimaging*. 1996;6:20–22.