

C-H activation

Formal C–H Carboxylation of Unactivated Arenes

Ashot Gevorgyan,*^[a] Kathrin H. Hopmann,^[b] and Annette Bayer*^[a]

Abstract: A formal C-H carboxylation of unactivated arenes using CO₂ in green solvents is described. The present strategy combines a sterically controlled Ir-catalyzed C-H borylation followed by a Cu-catalyzed carboxylation of the in situ generated organoboronates. The reaction is highly regioselective for the C-H carboxylation of 1,3-disubstituted and

Introduction

The last two decades have witnessed an exponential growth in the field of direct carbon-hydrogen (C-H) bond functionalization. A number of challenging carbon-carbon (C-C) and carbon-heteroatom (C-X) bond forming reactions can now be realized by direct transition metal-catalyzed C-H activation.^[1] Well-established C-H activations, which operate on unactivated systems with good functional group tolerance, can be applied to the late-stage substitution of valuable and rather complex systems, such as commercial drugs and natural products.^[2] A holy grail in this field is the development of protocols that allow the direct carboxylation of C-H bonds with CO₂; a sustainable and fossil-free carbon source.^[3] The resulting products, carboxylic acids and their derivatives, are widespread structural motifs in commercial drugs and natural products.^[4] The use of CO₂ as a carboxylating agent in C–H functionalizations is also attractive for the late-stage isotopic labeling of pharmaceuticals and other biologically active molecules.^[5]

Despite considerable progress in the field, known protocols for C-H functionalization with CO₂ still have pronounced limitations. Most of them are working selectively only on activated

[a]	Dr. A. Gevorgyan, Prof. Dr. A. Bayer
	Department of Chemistry
	UiT The Arctic University of Norway
	9037 Tromsø (Norway)
	E-mail: ashot.gevorgyan@uit.no
	annette.bayer@uit.no
[b]	Prof. Dr. K. H. Hopmann
	Hylleraas Centre for Quantum Molecular Sciences
	Department of Chemistry
	UiT The Arctic University of Norway
	9037 Tromsø (Norway)
	Supporting information and the ORCID identification numbers for the au- thors of this article can be found under:
	https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202000515.
ſ	\circledcirc 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons At-

tribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1,2,3-trisubstituted benzenes, 1,2- or 1,4-symmetrically substituted benzenes, fluorinated benzenes and different heterocycles. The developed methodology was applied to the late-stage C-H carboxylation of commercial drugs and ligands.

molecules.^[3a] Nolan,^[6a,b] Hou^[6c] and co-workers have reported good regioselectivities for carboxylation of oxazoles and perfluorinated arenes (Scheme 1A); however, this protocol is limited to activated aromatic systems with acidic C-H bonds. For unactivated systems, Iwasawa et al. found that Rh-catalyzed C-H carboxylations can provide good regioselectivities, but only in the presence of nitrogen-based directing groups (Scheme 1 B).^[7] Practical applications of directed C–H functionalizations are limited by the fact that directing groups may not be removable or modifiable.^[8]

(A) C-H carboxylation of arenes possessing activated C-H bonds



J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8858. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8674.

(B) Pyridine-directed C-H carboxylation



J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1251.

(C) Present approach: regioselective C-H carboxylation of unactivated arenes



Scheme 1. Previous works (A,^[6] B^[7]) and present study (C).

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 6064 - 6069

Wiley Online Library

6064 © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202000515



The aim of the work described here was to establish a method for regioselective C-H carboxylation of unactivated arenes with focus on substrates that are not reactive in currently known protocols.^[3a, 6, 7] We envisioned that the known reactivity and selectivity issues in the C-H carboxylation of unactivated arenes may be overcome by applying a sequential Ir/ Cu-catalyzed C-H transformation strategy: Regioselective C-H activation may be achieved through a sterically controlled Irmediated C–H borylation,^[9,10] which we hypothesized could be followed by a Cu-catalyzed carboxylation of the in situ generated organoboronates (Scheme 1 C). If successful, our strategy would provide new opportunities for formal C-H carboxylation of real-life systems, such as pharmaceuticals. Besides using CO₂ as a sustainable carboxylating agent, we further decided to ensure that our procedure would be applicable in green solvents, making our protocol relevant in the context of green chemistry.

Results and Discussion

In our proposed strategy (Scheme 1 C), a key intermediate is the in situ generated organoboronate, which subsequently is carboxylated. To evaluate the feasibility of this protocol, we started our investigation with the analysis of the carboxylation of a model organoboronate, phenylboronic acid pinacol ester **b1**. During optimization of this reaction step (Supporting Information, Tables S1–S4, Scheme S1), particular attention was paid to the use of renewable/sustainable solvents, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG400), CO₂-derived diethyl carbonate (DEC), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), methylal^[11] and biomass-derived γ -valerolactone (GVL) and 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (2MeTHF).

An exhaustive screening of various parameters showed that the carboxylation of the proposed organoboronate intermediate is possible in green solvents. The best yields of benzoic acid **p1** were obtained in CO₂-derived DEC (86%), DMC (89%) and methylal (81%) using a Cu-catalyst generated from Cul and the carbene ligand 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolium chloride (IPrHCI), in combination with CsF as base (Supporting Information, Table S1–S4).^[12,13] The use of common organic solvents like THF (76%), dioxane (76%), toluene (18%) and DMF (64%) did not improve the yields compared to renewable CO₂-derived solvents (Supporting Information, Table S4).

On basis of the successful carboxylation of the organoboronate intermediate, we then tested our proposed one-pot C–H carboxylation strategy (Scheme 1 C), setting out from the unactivated arene substrate 1,3-dimethoxybenzene **r2** (Table 1). For in situ generation of the corresponding organoboronate **b2**, we first evaluated different iridium complexes (entries 3, 11–14, Supporting Information, Table S5). We found that the proposed strategy shows exceptional regioselectivity for the substrate **r2** when using (1,5-cyclooctadiene)(methoxy)iridium(I) dimer ([Ir(cod)OMe]₂) as catalyst precursor and 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'bipyridyl (dtbpy) as ligand in only 0.25 and 0.5 mol% loading, respectively (entries 2–10).^[9] For our formal C–H carboxylation, a screening of the best solvents identified above revealed that



(3 equiv), CO₂ (120 mL), 120 °C, 24 h. [b] The catalyst was generated in situ. [c] Isolated yields. [d] Both steps were performed for 18 h. [e] C–H borylation step was performed for 36 h. [Ir(cod)OMe]₂=(1,5-cyclooctadiene)(methoxy)iridium(I) dimer; [Ir(cod)CI]₂=bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)diiridium(I) dichloride; [Cp*IrCI₂]₂=pentamethylcyclopentadienyliridium(III) chloride dimer; dtbpy=4,4'.di-tert-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl; 1,10-phen=1,10-phenanthroline; Me₄phen=3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline.

the highest yield of the carboxylation product **p2** is obtained in ethers like methylal (73%), THF (70%) or 2MeTHF (61%) (entries 3, 8, 9).

Carbonates were not suitable as solvents for the formal C–H carboxylation (Table 1, entry 1), even though they were the best solvents for the carboxylation of the intermediate organoboronate (Supporting Information, Table S1–S4). Stepwise analysis of the reaction showed that the Ir-catalyzed C–H borylation of **r2** is not working in carbonates (Scheme 2A). A possible explanation may be that carbonates are being reduced by $B_2pin_2/HBpin$ (bis(pinacolato)diboron/pinacolborane), thus consuming the reagent of C–H borylation.^[14]



Scheme 2. Stepwise analysis of formal C–H carboxylation (\mathbf{r} =reactant (arene), \mathbf{b} =boronate (intermediate), \mathbf{p} =product (carboxylic acid)).

Chem. Eu	r. J.	2020,	26,	6064 -	6069
----------	-------	-------	-----	--------	------

www.chemeurj.org

I



Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202000515

In contrast to Ir-catalyzed C–H borylation in carbonates as solvents, borylation of **r2** in methylal leads to the corresponding organoboronate **b2** in 65% isolated yield (Scheme 2 A). The following Cu-catalyzed carboxylation of **b2** in methylal provided **p2** in 90% isolated yield (Scheme 2 B). Application of a two-solvent system, applying methylal in the C–H borylation step and exchanging the solvent to carbonates (DEC or DMC) for the carboxylation step, gave lower yields than the reaction performed using only methylal as solvent (Table 1, entry 3 versus 4 and 7). The yields for the two-solvent system can be slightly improved (72%) by extending the initial C–H borylation in methylal to 36 h (Table 1, entry 5).

With these promising results in hand, we turned to the analysis of the scope and limitations of the new formal C–H carboxylation method (Schemes 3 and 4). The sequential Ir/Cucatalyzed reaction was examined on a wide range of unactivated arenes, including benzene, 1,2-, 1,4-, 1,3- and 1,2,3-substituted arenes, and heterocycles (for a full overview of used starting materials see Supporting Information, Scheme S2). We found that the formal C–H carboxylation of benzene r1 provide benzoic acid p1 in 88% yield (Scheme 3). Further, both 1,3-disubstituted arenes r2–8 as well as 1,2,3-trisubstituted arenes r9–13 could successfully be carboxylated at position 5. The corresponding carboxylic acids p2–13 were observed as single regioisomers in 16–89% yields.

Excellent regioselectivities were observed for carboxylations of 1,2- and 1,4-symmetrically substituted benzenes r14–19 (p14–19, 26–72%), and heterocycles like benzothiophene r23 (p23, 88%), benzofuran r24 (p24, 90%) and thiophene r25



Scheme 3. Formal C–H carboxylation of benzene, 1,3- and 1,2,3-substituted arenes. [a] The catalyst was generated in situ. [b] If not otherwise mentioned, the reaction was performed in methylal.

1) [Ir(cod)OMe]2 (0.25 mol%) dtbpy (0.5 mol%) B2pin2 (0.5-0.6 equiv) Methylal, 80°C, 24h 2) IPrCul (5 mol%)[a CsF (3 equiv.), CO2 r14-29 p14-29 Solvent [b] 120°C 24h CO₂H CO₂H O₂H MeO CO₂H MeO Me p15 26% OMe p14 59% p16 72% p17 42% Ha CO₂H C CO₂H CO₂H Hal MeC p18 Hal = Br, 65% p22 57%, 10:1.5 p20 61% p21 69% p19 Hal = Cl, 62% p23 88% (Methylal) 64% (Methylal/DEC) CO₂H 44% (Methylal/DMC) p24 90% p25 93% :O₂H MeC p26 75%, 10:4.6 p27 58%, 10:1.7 p28 80%, 10:1.6 p29 67%, 10:3:1.5

Scheme 4. Formal C–H carboxylation of various arenes. [a] The catalyst was generated in situ. [b] If not otherwise mentioned, the reaction was performed in methylal.

(p25, 93%) (Scheme 4). The observed regioselectivities indicate that the Ir-catalyzed C-H borylation occurs at the least sterically hindered position, in agreement with previous reports.^[9] Likewise, monofluorinated arenes r20-22 were carboxylated at the expected ortho position to fluorine (p20-22, 57-69%).^[10b] The regioselectivity of the reaction was slightly reduced for 1,2- and 1,4-unsymmetrically substituted benzenes r26 (p26, 75%; 10:4.6) and r27 (p27, 58%; 10:1.7) and for naphthalene r28 (p28, 80%; 10:1.6). The C-H carboxylation of anisole r29 (monosubstituted benzene) lead to a mixture of meta-, paraand ortho-carboxylated products p29 in 67% overall yield and 10:3:1.5 ratio. In spite of the moderate regioselectivities observed for products p26-29, our protocol provides improved results compared to other methods available for C-H carboxylation of this type of unactivated arenes.^[3a,7b] In general, it may be noted that for the majority of carboxylic acids described in the Schemes 3 and 4, no other obvious synthesis approach is currently available, and many of the obtained products have not been previously described in the literature (e.g. p7, p8, p10, p12, p13, p18). For practical late-stage applications, it is relevant to note that our formal C-H carboxylation strategy shows excellent functional group tolerance, with successful carboxylation of halogenated arenes, styrenes, aromatic nitriles and esters. Difficulties were observed only for nitro-substituted systems (r11), in which the nitro group can be reduced by B₂pin₂ or in situ generated HBpin.^[9,10]

Previous reports indicate that the Ir-catalyzed C–H borylation shows no preference for electron-rich or -deficient systems and is mainly controlled by steric effects.^[9,10] To further under-

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 6064 – 6069

www.chemeuri.ora



Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202000515

stand any limitations of the developed sequential Ir/Cu-catalyzed method, we examined the substrate dependency of the Cu-catalyzed carboxylation step on several arylboronic acid pinacol esters b1, b2, b25, b30-38 (Scheme 5). Electron-deficient arylboronates turned out to provide less yields (p30 77%, p36 59%, p37 55%, p38 51%) compared to electron-rich systems (p2 94%, p25 76%, p33 81%, p34 68%, p35 84%). However, the carboxylation does not appear to be strongly affected by steric hindrance; thus, ortho-substituted organoboronates can be carboxylated in moderate to good yields (p33 81%, p34 68%).



Scheme 5. Analysis of the substrate dependency of the Cu-catalyzed carboxylation step.

The influence of solvent on the outcome of the carboxylation of arylboronic acid pinacol esters showed that the best yields are achieved in DEC, although in some cases the yield differences between the solvents were negligible (p1, p2, Scheme 5). Thiophene-2-boronic acid pinacol ester b25 and b30 were the single exception, providing best results in methylal (Scheme 5, Supporting Information, Scheme S2). However, note that for the sequential Ir/Cu-catalyzed formal C-H carboxylation, the CO₂-derived methylal proved to be the best, as evaluated for several reactions (p2, p9, p23, Scheme 3 and 4, Supporting Information, Table S4).

The exceptional substrate scope mainly based on unactivated arenes and the excellent functional group tolerance allowed us to use the formal C-H carboxylation for the late-stage functionalization of complex and practically valuable systems, such as commercial drugs and natural products (Scheme 6).^[2, 10, 15] For example, we could carboxylate the natural product guaiazulene r39 (cosmetic ingredient) with 46% yield of p39 as a



Scheme 6. Late-stage C-H carboxylation.

mixture of regioisomers (10:~4). The commercial drugs praziquantel r40 (worm treatment) and clofibrate r41 (lipid-lowering agent) were carboxylated to provide, respectively, p40 (40%) and p41 (34%) in decent yields and with better regioselectivity (10:~1). Observed regioselectivities are similar to previously reported late-stage functionalizations of guaiazulene, praziguantel and clofibrate, which in all cases provided mixtures of regioisomers.[10e, 15e]

The developed methodology was further evaluated for the late-stage carboxylation of organometallics and phosphine ligands (Scheme 6, p42 to p44), as the generation of carboxylated organometallics and phosphines could be highly relevant to the production of water-soluble homogeneous catalysts.^[16] The formal C–H carboxylation of ferrocene r42 provided p42 in 37% yield, as a single product. All attempts to introduce a carboxyl group directly into unprotected phosphines failed, however, phosphine oxides were successfully carboxylated by our method. For triphenylphosphine oxide r43 and diphenyl(cyclohexyl)phosphine oxide r44, the reaction gave a regioisomeric mixture of carboxylated phosphine oxides p43, p44 in 61% and 67% yields, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, the late-stage C-H functionalization of phosphine ligands has not been described before.

Conclusions

We have developed a robust and versatile strategy for a formal C-H carboxylation of unactivated arenes. The present strategy consists of Ir-catalyzed C-H borylation and subsequent Cu-catalyzed carboxylation of in situ generated organoboronates. The protocol does not require any workup or purification during the two steps. The formal C-H carboxylation reaction proceeds with remarkable regioselectivity for 1,3-disubstituted and 1,2,3-trisubstituted benzenes, 1,2- and 1,4-symmetrically substituted benzenes, fluorinated benzenes and several heterocycles. The developed methodology shows excellent functional group tolerance and can be applied for the late-stage C-H functionalization of commercial drugs and ligands. Thus,



the present protocol has capacity for creating structurally diverse molecular libraries for modern medicinal chemistry and drug discovery, avoiding parallel de novo synthesis.

Evaluation of a range of green solvents showed that the formal C–H carboxylation can be conducted in CO_2 -derived solvents, which perform better than common organic solvents for these reactions. We believe that the present methodology will open a new chapter for the application of CO_2 as a sustainable carboxylating agent in medicinal chemistry, material sciences and catalysis.

Experimental Section

General experimental procedure for formal C-H carboxylation of arenes (Schemes 3, 4, and 6). Inside a glove box, a 45 mL pressure tube was charged with appropriate arene (2 mmol), dry methylal (4 mL), $[Ir(cod)OMe]_2$ (0.25 mol%), dtbpy (0.5 mol%) and B_2pin_2 (0.5 equiv for benzene r1 and arenes r14-22, r25-29, r39-41, r43, r44; 0.6 equiv for arenes r2-13, heterocycles r23, r24 and ferrocene r42). The pressure tube was closed with a suitable cap, removed from the glove box and stirred at 80 °C for 24 h. Next, the pressure tube was transferred into the glove box in which CsF (3 equiv) and a previously prepared solution of Cu-catalyst [the mixture of Cul (5 mol%), IPrHCl (6 mol%) and NaOtBu (6 mol%) in appropriate dry solvent (4 mL) was stirred at 20 °C for 30 min] were added to the reaction mixture at 20 $^\circ\text{C}.$ The pressure tube was closed with the cap and removed from the glove box. Afterwards, CO₂ (120 mL) was added through a syringe, followed by stirring of the reaction mixture at 120°C for 24 h. Next, the reaction mixture was diluted with 30 mL Et₂O and transferred into a 500 mL separating funnel. The resulting mixture was extracted with 30 mL saturated NaHCO₃ solution (3 times). The resulting basic aqueous extracts were combined, washed with 15 mL Et₂O (3 times), acidified (50-55 mL 6 M HCl) and extracted with 30 mL Et₂O (3 times). The resulting solution of Et₂O was distilled to dryness to give corresponding acid.

Other renewable solvents like 2MeTHF, diethoxymethane or methylal can replace Et_2O without any noticeable difference (the difference was in the range $\pm 3\%$). Similarly, saturated solution of NaHCO₃ can be replaced by $2 \, \text{m}$ solution of KOH.

Acknowledgements

This work has been performed with support from NordForsk (Grant No. 85378) and the Tromsø Research Foundation (Grant No. TFS2016KHH). We thank Manuel K. Langer and Truls E. Ingebrigtsen for technical support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: carbon dioxide \cdot carboxylation \cdot C–H activation \cdot green solvent \cdot late-stage functionalization

For the overview of metal-catalyzed C–H transformations, see: a) Z.
 Chen, B. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Yu, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1107–1295; b) J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2–24; c) J. F.
 Hartwig, M. A. Larsen, ACS Cent. Sci. 2016, 2, 281–292.

- [2] For selected reviews on late-stage C–H functionalization and application of C–H activation in medicinal chemistry, see: a) L. McMurray, F. O'Hara, M. J. Gaunt, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1885–1898; b) J. Yamaguchi, A. D. Yamaguchi, K. Itami, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8960–9009; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 9092–9142; c) J. Wencel-Delord, F. Glorius, Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 369–375; d) E. J. E. Caro-Diaz, M. Urbano, D. J. Buzard, R. M. Jones, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2016, 26, 5378–5383; e) T. Cernak, K. D. Dykstra, S. Tyagarajan, P. Vachal, S. W. Krska, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 546–576; f) W. Wang, M. M. Lorion, J. Shah, A. R. Kapdi, L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 14700–14717; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 14912–14930.
- [3] For selected reviews on C–C bond forming reactions involving CO₂, see: a) J. Luo, I. Larrosa, *ChemSusChem* 2017, 10, 3317–3332; b) X.-F. Wu, F. Zheng, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2017, 375, 4; c) A. Tortajada, F. Julia-Hernandez, M. Borjesson, T. Moragas, R. Martin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 15948–15982; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, 130, 16178–16214.
- [4] a) H. Maag, Prodrugs of Carboxylic Acids, Springer, New York, 2007;
 b) Martindale: The Complete Drug Reference, 36th ed, (Ed.: S. C. Sweetman), Pharmaceutical Press, 2009.
- [5] For selected reviews on labelling with CO₂, see: a) B. H. Rotstein, S. H. Liang, J. P. Holland, T. L. Collier, J. M. Hooker, A. A. Wilson, N. Vasdev, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 5621–5629; b) R. A. Bragg, M. Sardana, M. Artelsmair, C. S. Elmore, *J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm.* **2018**, *61*, 934–948.
- [6] a) I. I. F. Boogaerts, S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8858–8859;
 b) I. I. F. Boogaerts, G. C. Fortman, M. R. L. Furst, C. S. J. Cazin, S. P. Nolan, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8674–8677; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 8856–8859; c) L. Zhang, J. Cheng, T. Ohishi, Z. Hou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8670–8673; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 8852–8855.
- [7] a) H. Mizuno, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1251– 1253; b) T. Suga, H. Mizuno, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 14360–14363.
- [8] For selected reviews on removable/modifiable directing groups used in C-H activation, see: a) F. Zhang, D. R. Spring, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, *43*, 6906–6919; b) R. Rossi, M. Lessi, C. Manzini, G. Marianetti, F. Bellina, *Tetrahedron* 2016, *72*, 1795–1837; c) M. Parasram, V. Gevorgyan, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2017, *50*, 2038–2053.
- [9] For selected reviews on C–H borylation, see: a) I. A. I. Mkhalid, J. H. Barnard, T. B. Marder, J. M. Murphy, J. F. Hartwig, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 890–931; b) J. F. Hartwig, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, *40*, 1992–2002.
- [10] For selected examples of formal C-H transformations, see: a) J. M. Murphy, X. Liao, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15434–15435; b) D. W. Robbins, J. F. Hartwig, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4266–4269; c) N. D. Litvinas, P. S. Fier, J. F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 536–539; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 551–554; d) M. A. Larsen, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4287–4299; e) Z.-T. He, H. Li, A. M. Haydl, G. T. Whiteker, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 17197–17202.
- [11] For selected reviews on CO₂-derived solvents, see: a) B. Schäffner, F. Schäffner, S. P. Verevkin, A. Borner, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 4554–4581;
 b) G. Fiorani, A. Perosa, M. Selva, *Green Chem.* 2018, *20*, 288–322; c) R. Sun, I. Delidovich, R. Palkovits, *ACS Catal.* 2019, *9*, 1298–1318.
- [12] For selected works on carboxylation of organoboronates, see: a) K. Ukai, M. Aoki, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8706–8707; b) T. Ohishi, M. Nishiura, Z. Hou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5792–5795; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 5876–5879; c) J. Takaya, S. Tadami, K. Ukai, N. Iwasawa, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2697–2700; d) X. Zhang, W.-Z. Zhang, L.-L. Shi, C.-X. Guo, L.-L. Zhang, X.-B. Lu, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 6292–6294; e) H. A. Duong, P. B. Huleatt, Q.-W. Tan, E. L. Shuying, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4034–4037; f) W. Wang, G. Zhang, R. Lang, C. Xia, F. Li, Green Chem. 2013, 15, 635–640; g) Y. Makida, E. Marelli, A. M. Z. Slawin, S. P. Nolan, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 8010–8013; h) A. Gevorgyan, K. H. Hopmann, A. Bayer, ChemSusChem 2020, 13, 2080–2088.
- [13] Similar catalytic systems were used for carboxylation of alkylboranes:
 a) T. Ohishi, L. Zhang, M. Nishiura, Z. Hou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 8114–8117; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 8264–8267; b) M. Juhl, S. L. R. Laursen, Y. Huang, D. U. Nielsen, K. Daasbjerg, T. Skrydstrup, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 1392–1396; c) M. F. Obst, A. Gevorgyan, A. Bayer, K. H. Hopmann, Organometallics 2020, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.9b00710.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 6064 - 6069

www.chemeurj.org

6068 $$\odot$$ 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



- [14] For recent examples on catalytic reduction of carbonates, see: a) A. Kai-thal, M. Holscher, W. Leitner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 13449–13453; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 13637–13641; b) V. Zubar, Y. Lebedev, L. M. Azofra, L. Cavallo, O. El-Sepelgy, M. Rueping, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 13439–13443; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 13627–13631; c) A. Kumar, T. Janes, N. A. Espinosa-Jalapa, D. Milstein, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 12076–12080; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 12252–12256; d) W.-T. Lee, A. P. van Muyden, F. D. Bobbink, Z. Huang, P. J. Dyson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 557–560; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 567–570.
- [15] For recent examples on late-stage C–H functionalization, see: a) H.-X. Dai, A. F. Stepan, M. S. Plummer, Y.-H. Zhang, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7222–7228; b) T. Liu, X. Shao, Y. Wu, Q. Shen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 540–543; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 555–558; c) C.

Cheng, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, *137*, 592–595; d) J. He, L. G. Hamann, H. M. L. Davies, R. E. J. Beckwith, *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 5943; e) X.-Y. Chen, Y. Wu, J. Zhou, P. Wang, J.-Q. Yu, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 1426–1429; f) X.-P. Fu, X.-S. Xue, X.-Y. Zhang, Y.-L. Xiao, S. Zhang, Y.-L. Guo, X. Leng, K. N. Houk, X. Zhang, *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 948–956.

[16] For selected reviews on water soluble ligands, see: a) C.-J. Li, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3095–3165; b) K. H. Shaughnessy, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 1827–1835; c) K. H. Shaughnessy, Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 643–710.

Manuscript received: January 30, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: January 31, 2020 Version of record online: May 4, 2020